

final

AMENDMENT # A1

Contract # EDS A27-26-012-A1

This is an Amendment to the Contract (the "Contract") previously identified as EDS # A27-26-012 entered into by and between the **Indiana Secretary of State (the "State")** and **iLab LLC (the "Contractor")** approved by the last State signatory on August 13, 2025.

In consideration of the mutual undertakings and covenants hereinafter set forth, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Adding TSCM inspection and report as detailed in **Attachment A**.
- 2. The consideration for deliverables detailed in **Attachment A** are detailed therein and shall not exceed **Twenty-Six Thousand Two-Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$26,250.00)**.

All matters set forth in the original Contract and not affected by this Amendment shall remain in full force and effect.

Non-Collusion and Acceptance

The undersigned attests, subject to the penalties for perjury, that the undersigned is the Contractor, or that the undersigned is the properly authorized representative, agent, member or officer of the Contractor. Further, to the undersigned's knowledge, neither the undersigned nor any other member, employee, representative, agent or officer of the Contractor, directly or indirectly, has entered into or been offered any sum of money or other consideration for the execution of this Amendment other than that which appears upon the face hereof. **Furthermore, if the undersigned has knowledge that a state officer, employee, or special state appointee, as those terms are defined in IC § 4-2-6-1, has a financial interest in the Amendment, the Contractor attests to compliance with the disclosure requirements in IC § 4-2-6-10.5.**

In Witness Whereof, the Contractor and the State have, through their duly authorized representatives, entered into this Amendment. The parties, having read and understood the foregoing terms of this Amendment, do by their respective signatures dated below agree to the terms thereof.

iLab LLC [Contractor]

By: 

Jethro Lloyd, CEO

Name and Title, Printed

Jan 28th, 2026 _____

Date:

Indiana Secretary of State [Indiana Agency]

By: 

Jerold A. Bonnet, Deputy Secretary of State

Date: 1-29-2026

A27-26-012-A1



Prepared by: ILAB, LLC

SECURITY ASSESSMENT

Prepared for:

INDIANA SECRETARY OF STATE

12/14

1 Executive Summary

This Statement of Work (SOW) outlines the security assessment iLAB will conduct for the Indiana Secretary of State. The Indiana Secretary of State (SOS) has asked iLAB to propose a technical surveillance countermeasures (TSCM) inspection. iLAB has partnered with Soteria, a client-focused organization providing expert advisory, consulting, and tailored solutions to prevent and respond to security incidents.

The scope of the assessment is the following:

- Conduct a technical surveillance countermeasures (TSCM) inspection at the Indiana Secretary of State.

1.1 About Soteria

Soteria is a client-focused organization providing expert advisory, consulting, and solutions to assist in preventing and responding to security incidents. Established in 2014 and based in Charleston, South Carolina, Soteria's expertise in the cybersecurity domain is predicated upon the accumulated practical experience of its leadership and staff. Soteria's security professionals have held leading positions in federal intelligence communities, state governments, and private industries. Driven by this combined pool of knowledge and the belief that "Security is for Everyone," Soteria offers advisory services and solutions significantly differentiated from the security status quo. Soteria treats each client as a unique case deserving of unique security insights and specialized hands-on assistance.

Soteria's team of experts has vast experience attacking, exploiting, securing, and defending against a broad spectrum of threats and malicious actors, from non-targeted "spray and pray" attacks to ultra-sophisticated state-sponsored hackers. Soteria draws on the deep knowledge of its security experts across many industries to provide clients with recommendations that create more efficient workflows. The Soteria team provides security-specific communications to the C-suite and to IT and security personnel alike, offering easy-to-understand perspectives at a strategic level and deep, detailed recommendations for those who will implement security and technology changes.

2 Investment

Physical security testing; technical surveillance countermeasures (TSCM) inspection Cost : \$26,250

The above costs inclusive of inclusive of travel expenses for the on-site engagement in Indianapolis.

Appendix A: Change Management Process

Project efforts are estimated based on the information available to the SOS at the time of this document's creation. It is anticipated that these staffing levels will meet the requirements for this initial testing assessment project. Should the scope change, or the information not be available to properly conduct the assessment, a Change Request (CR) may be needed. The following process will be followed for any changes made to this Statement of Work (SOW):

- a) If either the SOS or iLAB requires a change to the scope or execution of the SOW, a Change Request will be submitted to allow the iLAB Team the necessary time and/or materials to complete the agreed-upon scope of work identified within the SOW.
- b) If the SOW scope is modified, iLAB shall submit details of the requested change to the SOW in writing as a Change Request. Below are examples that could trigger such an event:
 - i) Needed SMEs are not available to provide the necessary information for the SQA assessment.
 - ii) Insight into system resources, materials, data, or other essential criteria are not available during the time allotted.
 - iii) Visibility is unavailable into the current architecture, necessary upstream/downstream systems, integrations, business processes, etc.
- c) Any necessary variations to the service charges arising from the Change Request, and if either party submits a Change Request, iLAB shall, within a reasonable time, provide a written estimate to the SOS with:
 - i) The likely effect of the Change Request on the SOW
 - ii) The likely time required to implement the Change Request
 - iii) Any necessary variations to the service charges arising from the Change Request
 - iv) Any other impact of the Change Request on this SOW
- d) A Change Request shall become a Change Order when it is signed by the authorized representatives of both parties signifying their approval of the change.
- e) Neither party shall be obliged to agree to a Change Request originating from the other party
- f) Until a change is agreed upon in writing, both parties will continue to act in accordance with the latest agreed-upon version of the SOW.

This Change Management Process is established to ensure transparency and collaboration in managing any necessary adjustments as the project progresses. iLAB is committed to delivering a successful project and believes that this process will facilitate effective adaptation to evolving circumstances.

SECRETARY OF STATE
STATE OF INDIANA
200 W. WASHINGTON STREET, INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204

SPECIAL PROCUREMENT MEMO

CONTRACT NUMBER: EDS A27-26-012-A1 **DATE:** 01/29/2026
VENDOR: iLab LLC
AMOUNT: \$26,250.00 **TERM:** 5-months

PURCHASING AGENT: Jerry Bonnet jbonnet@sos.in.gov

DIVISION/PROJECT – DESCRIPTION OF WORK – JUSTIFICATION

The Agency is currently in the final stages of deploying IT application modernization across its divisions and operating departments. iLab has been engaged in final testing of software and applications for technical specifications and user acceptance. It was determined that a physical TSCM inspection and evaluation was a necessary adjunct to deployment of the new applications. This work is a logical amendment to the existing iLab engagement due to knowledge of the applications and systems, technical expertise, long satisfactory performance, and maintaining the deployment time-line schedule.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY:

Auto Dealer Services: IC 9-32; Business Services IC 23-0.5 through 23-18.1; Elections IC Title 3; Securities Licensing, Regulation, Enforcement and Consumer Protection: IC 23-19 through 23-20. Enhanced Access – Business One Stop Program IC 5-5-10-5 and IC 5-14-3-8.3

NEED FOR SPECIAL PROCUREMENT

- a) Relatively minor change order to the existing engagement; b) need to maintain the overall project deployment timeline; c) vendor's familiarity with agency operations and technical specifications.

AUTHORITY FOR SPECIAL PROCUREMENT

IC 5-22-10-7 Data Processing Software, Licensing, or Services; IC 5-22-10-7 Compatibility; IC: 5-22-10-9 Standard Procurement Impairs Functioning of Agency; IC 5-22-10-12 Government Discount Availability; IC 5-22-10-13 Single Source.

VALIDATION OF SPECIAL PURCHASE JUSTIFICATION FOR VENDOR SELECTION

The vendor has successfully performed the required evaluation in the past. Given timeline constraints, and modest investment, it would be impractical and inefficient to engage new vendors in competitive solicitation. Solicitation for TSCM evaluation would require public disclosure of potentially security sensitive technical information, which the agency's CIO deems not advisable.

REASONABLE AND COMMERCIALY COMPETITIVE PRICE

The agency CIO, with considerable public and private sector experience, assesses the negotiated cost for the contracted work to be reasonable and commercially competitive.