Indiana Election Commission Minutes January 31, 2019

Members Present: Bryce H. Bennett, Jr., Chairman of the Indiana Election Commission ("Commission"); S. Anthony Long, Vice Chairman of the Commission; Michael Claytor, proxy for Suzannah Wilson Overholt, member; Zachary E. Klutz, member.

Members Absent: Suzannah Wilson Overholt.

Staff Attending: J. Bradley King, Co-Director, Indiana Election Division of the Office of the Secretary of State (Election Division); Angela M. Nussmeyer, Co-Director of the Election Division; Dale Simmons, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division; Abbey Taylor, Election Division campaign finance staff, Michelle Thompson, Election Division campaign finance staff.

Others Attending: The Honorable Christopher Anderson, Elkhart County Circuit Court Clerk; Ms. Susan Kennedy; The Honorable Patricia Perry, Warrick County Clerk.

1. Call to Order:

The Chair called the January 31, 2019 meeting of the Commission to order at 9:00 a.m. EST in Conference Center Room 22, Indiana Government Center South, 402 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana.

2. Transaction of Commission Business:

The Commission proceeded to transact the business set forth in the Transcript of Proceedings for this meeting prepared by Angela Williams of Associated Reporting, Inc., which is incorporated by reference into these minutes.

The Commission corrects the following scrivener's errors in this document:

Page 3, line 3, replace "Committee" with "Commission".

Page 3, line 10, replace "Wilson-Overhold" with "Wilson Overholt".

Page 4, line 14, replace "review" with "approval".

Page 5, line 13, replace "the Orders 2015-16, 2018-174" with "Orders 2018-27 through 2018-164."

Page 5, line 18, replace "MR. KING" with "MR. KLUTZ".

Page 6, line 12, replace "of the last twenty orders" with "in the last motion".

Page 7, line 6, replace "per" with "to approve".

Page 9, line 3, replace "agenda" with "list".

Page 9, line 7, replace "agenda" with "list".

Page 10, line 6, replace "has" with "have".

Page 10, line 12, replace "note" with "notice".

Page 10, line 24, replace "Data and" with "Data in".

Page 11, line 4, replace "chairman" with "Chairman"

Page 12, line 22, replace "MR. KING" with "MR. KLUTZ".

Page 14, line 3, replace "Data and" with "Data in".

Page 15, line 4, replace "orders" with "Order".

Page 15, line 11, replace "Data and" with "Data in".

Page 19, line 23, replace "suspended" with "submitted".

Page 20, line 2, replace "Data and" with "Data in".

Page 20, line 16, replace "unconsolidated" with "unconsolidate".

Page 21, line 13, delete "a".

Page 23, line 13, replace "fact" with "practice".

Page 25, line 21, replace "that Mr. King" with "on the grounds that Mr. Weingarten".

Page 26, line 8, replace "3.934" with "3-9-3-4".

Page 32, line 16, replace "statutory" with "statute".

Respectfully submitted,

J. Bradley King Co-Director Angela M Nussmeyer

Co-Director

APPROVED:

Bryce H. Bennett, Jr., Chairman

STATE OF INDIANA BEFORE THE INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JANUARY 31, 2019

9:00 a.m.

Indiana Government Center South 402 West Washington Street Conference Center Room 22 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

ASSOCIATED REPORTING, INC.
251 EAST OHIO STREET, SUITE 940
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204
(317) 631-0940
www.associated-reporting.com

A P P E A R A N C E S

INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION:

Bryce Bennett, Chairman

Anthony Long, Vice-Chairman

Michael Claytor, Member (Proxy)

Zachary Klutz, Member

INDIANA ELECTION DIVISION:

J. Bradley King Co-Director

Angela M. Nussmeyer Co-Director

Dale Simmons Co-General Counsel

Michelle Thompson, Campaign Finance

Abbey Taylor, Campaign Finance

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: I'm going to call the Indiana Election Commission Public session to order. We are all here on the Election Committee, January 31, 2019. It's a couple of minutes after 9:00, and at this time the meeting is hereby called to order.

The following members of the Commission are present. Myself, Bryce Bennett; our Vice-Chairman, Anthony Long; Michael Claytor a proxy for Suzannah Wilson-Overhold; and Member Zachary Klutz.

And Indiana Election Division Staff, and
Co-Director Brad King and Co-Director
Angie Nussmeyer, Co-General Counsel Dale Simmons,
and Counsel Matthew Kochevar is absent due to a
previously scheduled vacation.

The court reporter today is Angela Williams from Associated Reporting. Before we begin, I want to remind everyone on behalf of the court reporter to identify yourself when you are beginning to speak, spell your name when identifying yourself, and speak clearly, and do not speak at the same time as others.

At this time I would request that the Co-Directors confirm that this Commission meeting has been properly noticed as required under the

Open Door Law.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, notice of this meeting was posted in accordance with the Indiana Open Door Law.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you. At this time I would ask for a presentation by Mr. King and Ms. Nussmeyer of the August 24th and September 12, 2018, Commission minutes.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Commission, we have before you the minutes of the August 24, 2018 and September 12, 2018, Commission minutes. These minutes have been reviewed by Co-Director Nussmeyer and myself and are recommended to you for review.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you. Is there a motion to approve the August 24, 2018, minutes and the September 12, 2018, minutes, as presented?

MR. KLUTZ: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a second?

MR. LONG: Second.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Motion and a second. Is there a discussion? Hearing none, all in favor, say aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed say nay. The

ayes have it. The motion to approve the minutes is adopted.

Next item on our agenda is the approval of orders 2018-27 through 2018-164 from the previous meetings. And again, I would ask the presentation from Mr. King here and Ms. Nussmeyer.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, the orders that you referenced are campaign finance enforcement orders that reflect the actions of the Commission taken at the previous meetings for which the minutes have just been approved.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a motion to adopt the Orders 2015-16, 2018-174 as presented and authorize Election Division staff to use the signature stamps of members for those orders?

MR. LONG: So moved.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a second?

MR. KING: Second.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor, say aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed say nay. The ayes have it, and the motion it approved.

Next item on the agenda is the ratification of settlement agreements, and I would ask for a

presentation by Mr. King and Ms. Nussmeyer.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Commission, I will, with your consent, yield to Ms. Taylor and Ms. Thompson that in your binders there is an orange tab labeled "Campaign Finance," and the first document behind that are the settlement agreements that are referenced. And I will turn this over to Ms. Taylor and Ms. Thompson and ask them to confirm that the order numbers were correct in the Commission's last motion.

MS. THOMPSON: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The order numbers were correct of the last twenty orders.

This is the list of committees to ratify that have agreed to pay the settlement agreement and waive a hearing.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a motion to ratify these settlement agreements?

MR. CLAYTOR: Mr. Chairman, before we proceed with that, there is one item on the settlement agreement list down there at the bottom, Joselyn for Indiana, that relates to a campaign that I was involved with. I don't believe that I have to recuse myself on that issue, so I just wanted to have full disclosure.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Claytor, for

your disclosure. I don't hear any motions to recuse you so I think it's up to you. You can abstain from the vote on that or you can vote for the rest of the agreements. I'll leave that up to you.

MR. LONG: I make a motion per the settlement agreements and the addendum -- I think since they are agreements, I don't see a conflict.

Everybody's agreed before we got here. It's just a rubber-stamp issue. I don't see that -- to recuse

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Okay. Is there a motion then to ratify these agreements?

MR. LONG: That was my motion.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Okay. Is there a second?

MR. KLUTZ: Second.

the issue.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Any discussions? All in favor, say aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed, say nay. The ayes have it and the motion is approved.

At this time we ask for the administration of oath to individuals who are testifying for the Commission and ask everyone present who plans to testify at today's meeting to please stand for the

administration of the oath. And I recognize Mr. Simmons to administer the oath.

(Oath administered.)

MR. LONG: Mr. Chairman, can I ask a question?

Do we have an agenda or are we just sitting here
taking them as they come and surprise, surprise?

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Well, we have the -- okay, so you don't?

MR. LONG: I don't have an agenda. Michael doesn't have an agenda. Angie doesn't have an agenda.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Right. We had to schedule this meeting fairly quickly, and yesterday was a -- I guess we'll call it a snow day, cold day; the offices were closed, and we didn't have time to prepare an agenda.

MR. LONG: So how do we know what we are doing?

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Well, right now we are getting ready to consider the precinct orders and the precinct proposal report for Elkhart, Howard, and Warrick County.

MR. LONG: You're obviously reading from some kind of a list. Would it be inappropriate for the rest of us get a copy of that so we at least know

what's coming up?

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Yes. Let me go through and tell you. The next item on the agenda --

MR. LONG: Take your time. We're going to have to write it out. Precinct.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Yeah, these are my notes.

The next item on the agenda is the consideration of precinct orders and precinct proposal report for Elkhart, Howard, and Warrick County.

MR. LONG: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: After that is a campaign finance complaint report. One filed by

Dan Leonard -- one regarding Dan Leonard, and the other regarding Brian Bosma, and we're adjourned.

MR. LONG: Okay. Wow.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: So any other questions, thoughts, comments?

MR. LONG: No.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Are we prepared, then, to proceed?

MR. LONG: Sure. I wasn't making an issue; I just kind of like to know what we're doing. I have a hard enough time on my own figuring with out what I'm doing.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Understood.

1.1

So we have the oath administered and we're prepared to consider the precinct orders and precinct proposal report, and I would ask for Mr. King and Ms. Nussmeyer's discussion of that.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, I can begin and I will defer to Ms. Nussmeyer. Our staffs has worked on various county proposed precinct orders, several of which completed the process, but as the Commission may recall from a couple of years ago, one county sent its legal notice to the newspaper, the newspaper sent the county a bill, but never published the notes. And in those circumstances to meet the statutory deadline of January 31st, the county asked for and received a hearing from the Commission to approve their precinct changes.

So I would address these first. The first one behind the white tab labeled "Precincts" in your binder is an order for the establishment of the precinct boundaries in Elkhart County. The memo that Co-Director Nussmeyer and myself follows in which we note that as we as co-directors have reviewed the proposed precinct changes and find that they address any technical comments from the Office of Census Data and Legislative Services and, otherwise, comply with state law. And I believe a

1 representative from Elkhart County is present. 2 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Very good. Thank you. 3 Ms. Nussmeyer, anything to add to that? 4 MS. NUSSMEYER: No, Mr. chairman. 5 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there anyone from 6 Elkhart County that wishes to testify? 7 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. I've also got information 8 I can pass out. 9 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Yes, please. Start by 10 stating your name for the record. 11 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. My name is 12 Christopher Anderson, A-N-D-E-R-S-O-N, 13 C-H-R-I-S-T-O-P-H-E-R. I am a circuit court clerk 14 in Elkhart County. 15 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Very well. You may 16 proceed. 17 MR. ANDERSON: We had a late annexation with 18 the City of Goshen that annexed, I think, in terms 19 of acreage, I think it was about ten acres; it 20 ended up being 21 subdivision lots. It was the 21 tail end of the last phase of the subdivision. 22 There are 16 registered voters in that -- those two 23 cul-de-sacs that are the tail end, and we would 24 like to move what used to be farmland, it's now 25 developed, we would like to move that from Elkhart

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed, say nay. The ayes have it. The motion is approved,
Mr. Anderson.

MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee.

MR. LONG: If you put it in there, I give you permission to stamp my name on it.

MS. NUSSMEYER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: With that, we move to the Howard County Order 2012-11 and ask for Mr. King's presentation.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, if you turn about four pages back behind the Elkhart County material, you'll come across Order 2019-10, which concerns precinct boundary changes in Howard County, and I believe a representative of Howard County is present.

MS. KENNEDY: Susan Kennedy, K-E-N-N-E-D-Y, S-U-S-A-N. I think the only bit of data that we did not meet the timeline on was the publication in our local newspaper. It was published by the auditor for a copy along with the receipt in regards to the precinct boundary change.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, I would add as

Elkhart County, the Co-Directors reviewed this 1 submission after the technical review of the Office 2 3 of Census Data and Legislative Services Agency and our only determination is those precincts comply 4 5 with state law. 6 MR. LONG: I move to --7 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: And Ms. Nussmeyer, do you 8 have anything? 9 MS. NUSSMEYER: I don't. Thank you, 10 Mr. Chairman. 11 MR. LONG: I move to approve the request, Order No. 2019-10, and I agree to affix our 12 13 signatures by signature stamp if passed. 14 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Very well. Second for the 15 motion? 16 MR. KLUTZ: Second. 17 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Motion and second. discussion? All in favor, say aye. 18 19 (Chorus of ayes.) 20 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed, say nay. 21 ayes have it. Thank you very much. 22 MR. LONG: Lots of questions on the next one. 23 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: We'll move to the Warrick 24 County Order, the 2012-12? 25 MR. KING: Yes. Order 2019-12.

MR. LONG: The Order is 2019-12.

MR. KING: Members of the Commission, we'll turn a few more pages back in the binder, and you should see orders 2019-12, which concerns Warrick County, and I believe a representative from Warrick County is present.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Do you want to give us any background before the testimony?

MR. KING: Just as in the case of the other matters, this proposal was approved by the Office of Census Data and Legislative Services Agency and Co-Director Ms. Nussmeyer and myself. In this case, Ms. Nussmeyer's staff worked on Warrick County, so I'll defer to her for Warrick County.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Ms. Nussmeyer.

MS. NUSSMEYER: Mr. Chairman, if I might, as Mr. King mentioned, we did, in fact, finish our technical review with staff along with the Office of Census Data. If memory serves, there were around eight precincts, I believe, where the boundaries were changed due to annexations. We did approve the -- the request on January 16, 2019, but that did not afford Warrick County enough time to get something published in their weekly paper in order to allow for the 10-day public comment period

to expire before January 31st. And so with that, I would defer to Clerk Perry if she has more to add.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Would you state your name, please, for the record?

MS. PERRY: I'm sorry. Patricia Perry, Warrick County Clerk.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Very well. You may proceed.

MS. PERRY: The only point I would like to add is that our paper in our county is only printed once a week. So the paper did -- the public notice did go out on January 24th, but did not meet the 10-day deadline for objections.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Very good. Thank you. Any questions? Comments?

MR. LONG: Is that an impediment to approve that?

MS. NUSSMEYER: No, Commissioner Long. It's not. The reason why we're here today for the hearing is to essentially allow for a member of the public from Warrick County to come up and state their objections to the precinct consolidation work or precinct boundary changes to this Commission so they could review those facts in evidence and decide whether or not they should move forward with

1 that so --2 MR. LONG: Does that include me? 3 MS. NUSSMEYER: Yes. That would include you, yes. As a resident of Warrick County, yes, sir. 4 5 MR. LONG: I would move and approve the 6 request of the Order 2019-12, and if approved, 7 affix our signature by stamp. CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Before we ask for a second 8 9 of that motion, let me ask if there is anyone else 10 who plans to testify on behalf of Warrick County 11 that is with us here today? 12 MR. LONG: I know everybody down here, so I 13 didn't have to wait for that. CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Okay. All right. I wanted 14 that on the record. All right. We have a motion. 15 16 Is there a second? 17 MR. KLUTZ: Second. 18 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: I have a motion and second. 19 Is there any discussion? Hearing none, all in 20 favor, say aye. 21 (Chorus of ayes.) 22 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed, say nay. 23 ayes have it. Motion to carry. 24 MS. PERRY: Thank you, sir. 25 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: With that, we will move to

_ 0

- '

campaign finances. Oh, I've got two more counties here. Let's move to DeKalb County.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, there is no material in your binders concerning DeKalb County. I will defer to Ms. Nussmeyer, whose staff worked on DeKalb County and I believe she had conversations with the DeKalb County clerk about appearing before the Commission today.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Okay. Ms. Nussmeyer.

MS. NUSSMEYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So DeKalb County had a handful of precinct changes that they asked to be made. As of last summer, that work was completed by our staff and the review handled by the Office of Census Data; however, the DeKalb County Office was not able to publish notice to allow for the full 10-day comment period to expire before January 31st. I asked if they were planning to attend the Commission Hearing today and they were fine with allowing the 10-day period to expire after January 31st, which would mean, then, that those precinct boundary changes would go into effect after the November 2019 election.

So the work isn't lost. The public comment period is still allowed to move forward as is.

It's just that their request to adjust those precinct boundary lines will not go into effect until after the November election, assuming no constituent from DeKalb County files an objection with our office in the next few days.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you. Is there anyone here wanting to testify in this DeKalb County matter? Hearing none, are there any motions?

MR. LONG: Not for sure, since we don't have anything in front of us, what would be a proposed motion. We don't need to do anything. Sounds like they were on the right track.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: So no motion necessary. No further comments. Let's -- is Lake County on the agenda?

MR. KING: Yes, Mr. chairman.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Okay. Do you have a presentation with regard to Lake County?

MR. KING: I will begin and I'll defer to Ms. Nussmeyer.

There is material in the binder behind the
"Precinct" tab, actually behind the blue Lake
County tab regarding a proposal that was suspended
by the Lake County Board of Elections and
Registration. The work on that matter was not

completed by our office, the Election Division, and by the Office of Census Data and Legislative

Services Agency in time for Ms. Nussmeyer and myself to review the proposal and sign the orders as we did in the previous counties with reference to their precinct proposals. So I will defer to Ms. Nussmeyer.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Ms. Nussmeyer, is that an accurate summation? Do you have anything to add?

MS. NUSSMEYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I

do. I would add that staff in the Office of Census

Data did complete work on precinct boundaries in

Lake County that were impacted by annexations, and
there were a handful of precincts that the County

Election Board had asked us to review to make some
consolidation and to unconsolidated some precincts,
which, unfortunately, my staff was not able to
complete in time for the Office of Census Data to
finish their work.

There was a disagreement between myself -actually, just a different view of the law between
myself and Co-Director King as to whether or not we
could consider the IEC-8s as individual orders and
consider just those annexed precinct boundary
changes as part of our technical review.

I know that I did speak to Director Fajman in Lake County and -- on Tuesday to see if their chair could call an emergency meeting to pass an order that would allow for just those precinct boundary changes impacted by annexations to be considered by the Co-Directors, and then, therefore, the Commission at this hearing today. Her chair was out of town and the Lake County Offices were closed on Wednesday due to the inclement weather, so they were not able to call a special meeting in order to pass an order to direct us to review just those annexed precinct boundary changes. That's all that I have to a add.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there anyone here wishing to testify in this matter? Seeing how -- it sounds like this Commission is not in a position to take any action at this time.

MR. LONG: And I apologize, I've not been here for a bit. Could we get at some point a summary where they've progressed up there? I sort of lost track. It is a small precinct that's a part of this too, isn't there?

MS. NUSSMEYER: Mr. Chairman, if I may. The small precinct consolidation matter was managed by the Secretary of State's Office and that order went

into effect at the end of last year and Lake County did, in fact, implement those requested changes.

MR. LONG: Okay. That's what I thought happened. But I saw something in here dealing with the small precincts.

MS. NUSSMEYER: Yes. And they did -- they did perform that work at the county level to get it done.

MR. LONG: Okay.

MS. NUSSMEYER: But what Lake County did request our office to look at last fall -- was precincts where annexations were impacting their precinct boundaries, and so that was the work that our staff at the Office of Census Data was able to complete. And then they also they asked us to review some additional precincts where they wanted to unconsolidate a handful of precincts or consolidate other areas where the Board thought was appropriate, so that's what -- the work we were trying to get complete in order -- before this January 31st meeting. That was in addition to what had already been implemented at the end of 2018 by the Lake County through the Secretary of State's order.

MR. LONG: Thank you. You don't need to send

me anything. I thought the Secretary of State had done that, and then I saw that. I wasn't sure what was happening. Okay.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: So based on the status of the matter, there is no action required by the Commission. We'll move to campaign finance complaint reports, and I would ask for Mr. King and Ms. Nussmeyer to present with regard to the --

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, behind the orange tab labeled "Campaign Finance" is the first of two complaints that were submitted to the Indiana Election Division since the last meeting with this body. The fact, as in the past, is to bring those complaints to your attention, so the material is included in your binder.

The initial complaint is the second page behind the binder filed by Mr. Jorge Fernandez on Wednesday, October 24, 2018. And to summarize: It concerns the lack of a disclaimer and "paid for and authorized by" statement on a campaign advertisement that was distributed by District State Representative candidate Dan Leonard. A copy of the ad in question is also attached to that complaint. And I'll defer to Ms. Nussmeyer.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Ms. Nussmeyer, anything to

MS. NUSSMEYER: Mr. Chairman, if I might, I don't believe we've received a response from Mr. Leonard.

MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, at this time, we have not.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Any questions or comments from the Commissioners? Hearing none, we'll move to the next item.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission, behind the material I just mentioned is a complaint filed by Mr. Joe Weingarten on Friday, November 2nd of 2018. And there is both an original email and an amended email, that's -- are both from that same date with regard to the Committee Elect Brian Bosma, John Trimble as Treasurer.

As is the campaign finance staff's practice, a copy of this complaint was forwarded to the Committee, and a response was received. You will find that response about five pages further back. It was filed on January 14, 2019, by

Ms. Margaret Christensen of Bingham, Greenebaum, and Doll, and addresses the items in

Mr. Weingarten's complaint in a narrative along with several exhibits. And I'll defer to Ms. Nussmeyer.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Ms. Nussmeyer?

MS. NUSSMEYER: I have nothing further, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you. Any comments, questions from the Commissioners?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace.$ LONG: Just take a second to familiarize myself with it.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, if I could add just for the record, in both cases regarding the Leonard and Bosma complaints, I forwarded copies of the material to Commission Members when they were received. I'm assuming that my counterpart has as well.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you.

MR. KLUTZ: Mr. Chairman, if I may? It appears that the email requested an investigation in -- the Election Division opened an investigation that Mr. King challenged the candidate campaign.

Our directive under the code, Indiana Code

3-6-4.1-21 says: "If the Commission determines that there is substantial reason to believe an election law violation has occurred, then it should

24

25

expeditiously make an investigation." So what we have here appears that a request for an investigation based upon campaign expenditures and whether they were proper, I suppose. campaign contributions used for legal fees to advise, consult regarding campaign attack mailers. It appears that is permissible use of money if I look at Indiana Code 3-9.34: "Money received by a candidate or committee can be used for the following to defray any expense reasonably related to any person's campaign for office, continuing political activity, or activity related to the service in an elected office." That all appears to be -- have occurred. So I guess I do not see, again, substantial reason to believe an election law violation has occurred and does not require an investigation.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you,

Commissioner Klutz. Any other comments by

Commissioners?

MR. LONG: Do you have any?

MR. KLUTZ: I guess I make a motion that an investigation, as requested by email dated

November 2nd of 2018, as amended, is not necessary.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a second? I'll

1 second the motion. 2 MR. CLAYTOR: Could you -- the motion is it's 3 not necessary? 4 MR. KLUTZ: The request was to open an 5 investigation. I quess I made a motion based upon what I've seen, there is not a substantial 6 7 likelihood that a campaign violation has occurred. 8 So I made a motion that an investigation not be 9 opened or initiated based upon the request made 10 by -- within the email. 11 MR. CLAYTOR: Okay. Just a suggestion, and I 12 think a cleaner motion would be just to deny the 13 request. 14 MR. KLUTZ: That's fine. I'll amend my motion 15 to simply say that we deny the request. 16 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a second to that 17 motion? MR. CLAYTOR: Second. 18 19 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All in favor, say aye. 20 (Chorus of ayes.) 21 CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed, say nay. 22 ayes have it. The motion to deny the request to 23 open an investigation is sustained. 24 MR. CLAYTOR: Mr. Chairman, can I ask a 25 question? On the previous complaint, we didn't do

anything. The individual that complained was against, I believe, it was indicated, did not respond. Should we have Co-Directors follow up and demand an response from the individual? I mean, rather than just move on without --

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Let me defer to Mr. King. Do you have any comments on that?

MR. KING: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I will just respond by noting that Ms. Nussmeyer and I did, in fact, request a response in writing and received no written response, and I don't assume that members of the campaign finance staff received any written or oral response as well.

MS. THOMPSON: Not at this time.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Given the concerns expressed and Mr. King's response, is there any motion?

MR. LONG: Could I ask -- this would be a motion before we ask a question. I just --

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Go ahead and ask your question.

MR. LONG: I think it's obvious there isn't a disclaimer on this and that's the thing that's stuck in my mind. I'm not for sure what the penalty is for it. Is it through us or through the

law enforcement?

MR. CLAYTOR: I think it's through us and it's per instance or per -- I mean, it's hard to say for something that is published in a newspaper of how many instances there actually are, but my memory was that it was a per-instance-type penalty to these.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Let me ask counsel if you have an opinion on that.

MR. SIMMONS: Mr. Chairman, Members of

Commission, the Commission really doesn't have

any fine -- this is not a campaign finance

violation where a Commission fines a committee. A

violation of a disclaimer law is actually a Class A

Misdemeanor.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: So it's a criminal matter?

MR. SIMMONS: It's a criminal matter.

MR. LONG: I couldn't remember in the years I have been here if we ever had a hearing on -- a finding for one, and I honestly did think it was either an infraction or something outside of our purview. I think maybe public services notify the complaint. But that would be one we probably had -- and I mean, I'm taking it to the next step, you believe we have jurisdiction over it?

25

MR. SIMMONS: Well, it is an election law, so potentially, yes, under the same statutes mentioned earlier, 3-6-4.1-21. It would require those before the Commission would take action, which -- action could include anything. It says action the Commission considers appropriate including referring to a county prosecutor. A lot of times, I know, we've talked to county election boards about this; sometimes they take action far less than that. They take action, say: "Hey, look. it's in the midst of a campaign, get your disclaimer on your material, fix your signs," or just a good old-fashioned finger wagging, if you will. But it does include up to and can include a referral to the prosecutor after notice and a hearing, and that would be required before that action would be taken.

MR. LONG: And we've done that, but not necessarily technically, but we've had hearings and decided it should be a referral. It's been some time ago.

MR. SIMMONS: Yeah. You may recall better than I. Did we have hearings --

MR. KING: Yes, Mr. Chairman,
Vice-Chairman Long, yes, you're correct. The

Commission has had hearings in the past where one of the remedies before the Commission was a referral to the prosecuting attorney.

MR. LONG: I don't --

MR. KLUTZ: It seems like there's a happy medium. Maybe a letter --

MR. LONG: I think a letter solves the problem. I'm not particularly interested in conducting a hearing on one picture or maybe two at the most; there is two of them in here. I don't know if one is a better picture; it looks like the same ad. At least there's two in mine. I don't know if it was -- just about three pages back from the ad. I think it's the same. It's clearly -- well, maybe not clearly. Yeah, it looks like it cut off part of it here. I've got a horizontal one and a vertical one, but it looks like it's the same. The horizonal is obviously a higher quality copy.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: So do we have a motion from any of the Commissioners?

MR. LONG: What do you think? What are you comfortable with, Michael?

MR. CLAYTOR: I would like something to come from the Commission putting them on -- obviously,

he has not responded to our Co-Directors. He may not respond to this, the Commissioners either, but I don't -- Mr. Chairman, if I made a motion that a letter came from the Commission, signed by the Chairman, asking him to respond to this instance and explain the matter, that's not really initiating any action or requiring a hearing, but more a -- just notice that these things are rather important to us, and not just to be totally ignored.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: It seems to me if that letter is written and asked for an explanation, that we're inviting a hearing on it for him to provide that explanation.

MR. LONG: How about a letter reminding him of the statutory, and the fact that it could be referred to the prosecutor in the future, just to let them know we have received it and showed them a path.

MR. CLAYTOR: I think --

MR. LONG: Are you comfortable with that, Michael?

MR. CLAYTOR: I'm very comfortable with that.

MR. LONG: You amend your motion to that?

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: You didn't actually make a

motion.

MR. CLAYTOR: I didn't have a chance to do that. Allow me to move that a letter be issued.

MR. LONG: Suggest it to be signed by Co-Directors as opposed by the Commission.

MR. CLAYTOR: As opposed by the Commission itself. I think that will be fine. I move that the Commission request the Co-Directors to send a letter that's clearly indicated that it is from the Commission reminding the individual of the statute and the penalties thereof, but taking no further action by the Commission. And hopefully that made a little sense.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: So you're basically talking about a letter of admonition coming from the Co-Directors?

MR. CLAYTOR: Correct.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Okay. As a motion --

MR. LONG: I'll second that.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Motion to the second. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor, say aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed, say may. The ayes have it, and the motion carried. Thank you.

MR. CLAYTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for indulging my thought process.

MR. LONG: I like to see how you work. You usually sit in for me.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Indiana Election Commission has finished its business for today. Is there a motion for the Indiana Election Commission to adjourn? Those in favor, say aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed, say nay. The ayes have it. The Indiana Election Commission meeting is adjourned.

(Meeting adjourned at 9:46 a.m.)

STATE	OF	INDIANA)	
)	SS:
COUNTY	OF	' HAMILTON)	

I, Angela Williams, Notary Public in and for said County of Hamilton and State of Indiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true, full, and complete transcript of the proceedings had.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this _____ day of _____, 2019.

Angela Williams, Notary Public

Commission expires: September 18, 2019. County of residence: Hamilton.