Indiana Election Commission
Minutes
June 23, 2017

Members Present: Bryce H. Bennett, Jr., Chairman of the Indiana Election Commission ("Commission"); S. Anthony Long, Vice Chairman of the Commission; Karen Celestino-Horseman, proxy for Suzannah Wilson Overholt, member; Zachary E. Klutz, member.

Members Absent: Suzannah Wilson Overholt

Staff Attending: J. Bradley King, Co-Director of the Indiana Election Division of the Office of the Indiana Secretary of State (Election Division); Angela M. Nussmeyer, Co-Director of the Election Division; Matthew R. Kochevar, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division; Abbey Taylor, Campaign Finance Division staff

Others Attending: Dr. Jay Bagga, Co-Director, Voting System Technical Oversight Program (VSTOP); Mr. Jeremy Burton, Election Systems & Software (ES&S); Ms. Janet Buchanan, RBM Consulting (RBM); Dr. Bryan Byers, Co-Director of VSTOP; Mr. Bernie Hirsch, MicroVote General Corporation; Mr. Mark Manganaro, ES&S; Mr. Ian Piper, Dominion Voting Systems; Mr. Aniketh Rammareddy, Project Manager, VSTOP; Mr. Steve Shamo, MicroVote General Corporation.

1. Call to Order:

The Chair called the June 23, 2017 meeting of the Commission to order at 1:30 p.m. EDT in Conference Center Room 14, Indiana Government Center South, 402 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana.

2. Transaction of Commission Business:

The Commission proceeded to transact the business set forth in the Transcript of Proceedings for this meeting prepared by Lisa C. Pierce of Connor Reporting.

The Commission corrected the following scrivener’s errors in this document:

Page 3, line 10, replace “O-Director” with “Co-Director”.

Page 5, line 1, replace “FEMALE SPEAKER” with “MS. TAYLOR”.

Page 5, line 18, replace “FEMALE SPEAKER” with “MS. TAYLOR”.

Page 7, line 15, replace “MR. KING” with “MR. KLUTZ”.

Page 8, line 19, replace “3010” with “3.0.1.0”.

Page 9, line 7, replace “correctly” with “currently”.

Page 10, line 3, delete “(sic)”.

Page 10, line 7, replace “Steph” with “staff”.

Page 10, line 21, replace “State” with “Straight”.

Page 11, line 70, replace “3010” with “3.0.1.0”.

Page 16, line 16, replace “MALE SPEAKER” with “Mr. MANGANARO”.

Page 20, line 13, replace “and Unity” with “Infinity”.

Page 26, delete line 18.

Page 27, line 1, replace “Micro” with “MicroVote”.

Page 30, line 21, replace “InterCivic” with “Infinity”.

Page 32, line 11, replace “you’re training” with “you’re treating”.

Page 32, line 18, replace “M” with “EMS”.

Page 38, line 19, replace “Unison” with “Unisyn”.

Page 45, line 21, replace “division” with “decision”.

Page 47, line 13, replace “GVS” with “GBS”.

Page 52, line 4, replace “they” with “then”.

Page 60, line 9, replace “console” with “consolidate”.

Page 61, line 3, replace “for real-life” with “to realize”.

Page 61, line 12, replace “2018. Unless” with “2018, unless”.

Page 61, line 14, replace “objection, the” with “objection. The”.

Page 64, line 25, replace “Council medic” with “Councilmanic”.

Page 70, line 4, replace “EOPA” with “AOPA”.

Page 70, line 12, replace “Open” with “AOPA”.
Page 72, line 18, replace “Dubovitz” with “Dumezich”.

Page 80, line 6, replace “37-38.2” with “3-7-38.2”.

Page 81, line 20, replace “accounting” with “counting”.

This document is incorporated by reference into these minutes. The Commission adjourned this meeting at 3:18 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

J. Bradley King
Co-Director

Angela M. Nussmeyer
Co-Director
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CHAIRMAN BENNETT: This meeting of the Indiana Election Commission is called to order. The following members of the Commissioner are present: Myself, Chairman, Bryce Bennett; Vice Chairman, Anthony Long; Miss Karen Celestino-Horsemann --

PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMANN: Good job.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: -- proxy for member Suzannah Wilson-Overholt; and member, Zachary Klutz. The Indiana Election Division staff: O-Director, Brad King; and Co-Director, Angie Nussmeyer. Co-General Counsel, Matthew Kochevar, is here; and Co-General Counsel, Dale Simmons, is absent due to illness.

The court reporter today is Lisa C. Pierce from Connor Reporting. And before we begin I want to remind everyone, on behalf of the court reporter, to identify yourself when beginning to speak, spell your name when identifying yourself, speak clearly, and do not speak at the same time as others.

I request that the Co-Director confirm that the Commission meeting has been properly noticed as required by the Indiana Open Door Laws.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, notice of this meeting was properly
posted in compliance with the Open Door Law.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you.

The next item calls for the presentation and approval of the March 10th, 2017 Commission minutes. I would ask for that presentation.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, myself and Co-Director Nussmeyer have reviewed the March 10, 2017 minutes, which were submitted to you sometime ago for review, and recommend their approval.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a motion to approve the March 10th, 2017 minutes as presented?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So moved.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Second?

MR. KLUTZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: The motion is seconded.

Any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor say "Aye."

ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Opposed say "Nay." The ayes have it; the motion to ratify the minutes is -- the motion to approve the minutes is adopted.

I would ask for a presentation by Miss Taylor and Miss Thompson of the -- of the campaign finance settlements.
FEMALE SPEAKER: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, the second tab in your binder is a list of, I think, eleven committees that have agreed to waive the hearing and pay the proposed civil penalties. I think we need them ratified.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Okay. Is there a motion to ratify the campaign finance settlement agreements as presented?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So moved.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Motion. A second?

MR. KLUTZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: A motion and a second. Any discussion?

MR. KLUTZ: Just have a quick question, Mr. Chairman. Some of the amounts are, like, kind of based on a formula? based on number of days late or --

FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes. It's $50 a day up to $1,000. So --

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Any other questions?

Hearing none, all in favor say "Aye."

ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed say "Nay."

Ayes have it. The motion to ratify the agreements is adopted.
The next item on the agenda is the administration of oath to those who will testify before the Commission. And I would ask Mr. Kochevar to administer the oath. Everyone who's present to testify at today's meeting, please stand for administration of the oath.

MR. KOCHEVAR: Please stand, raise your right hand, and say "I do" after administration of the oath.

(Thereupon, the oath was administered, and the following proceedings were had:)

MR. KOCHEVAR: Say "I do."

ALL PRESENT TO TESTIFY: I do.

MR. KOCHEVAR: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you. I now recognize Dr. Jay Bagga and Dr. Bryan Byers of Ball State University, which administers the Voting System Technical Oversight Program, VSTOP, for a presentation concerning voting systems.

DR. BAGGA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. We are here to present reports on renewal applications for voting systems. That are thirty-five in Indiana.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Before you proceed, let me, on behalf of the Commission, welcome Dr. Byers as a
new Co-Director for the VSTOP program. Dr. Byers replaces Dr. Joe Losco who has retired from Ball State since our last meeting.

DR. BAGGA: I'm happy to introduce Dr. Bryan Byers as the Co-Director of VSTOP program. Dr. Joe Losco, as you know, served with this VSTOP program until December when he retired from Ball State. And Dr. Byers has replaced him as the new Co-Director of VSTOP.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Very good.

DR. BAGGA: We are happy to have him.

DR. BYERS: And I'm happy to be here.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: We are too.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Welcome, Doctor.

MR. KING: Welcome.

DR. BYERS: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: We're pretty tough on you guys. I hope you can stand up under the heat here.

DR. BYERS: Okay.

DR. BAGGA: I may add that Professor Byers is a professor of criminal justice and criminology at Ball State.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Well, that's good. We've got two criminal defense lawyers up here. So, by gosh, we're -- we'll be in business.
CHAIRMAN BENNETT: One other item, I -- I also understand that the VSTOP Program Manager, Aniketh Ramname, will be leaving the program to accept another position. And I'm sure I speak for all the Commission members in wishing Aniketh the best and thanking him for all of his work with VSTOP.

MR. RAMNAME: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Thank you.

MR. RAMNAME: Privilege.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Now, Dr. Bagga, would you like to proceed.

DR. BAGGA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Commission. We are here to submit reports on renew -- renewal applications for working systems that are thirty-five in Indiana. These systems, their certification expires in October 1, and we are here to recommend renewal.

The first system we want to present is the Unity 3010 Amendment. VSTOP has reviewed the IEC-11 application by renewal of this previously certified system. And we have reviewed the ES&S documentation, and we also reviewed all of the changes on ECOs, the engineering change orders, if applicable. And we have verified the attestation from the vendors to the accuracy of the list of all...
the components that are included in your report
that were also included in the application.

We find that this system meets all the
requirements of the Indiana Code for use in the
State of Indiana. And as stated in the attached
attestation by ES&S, it's identical to the version
that's correctly certified with the exception of
the following changes. There is an ECO 927 in
state -- in your packet. The other major
modification was an SEA 61 compliance procedure.
This modification was achieved by a method of
programming. It did not involve any software
modifications to the system.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Dr. Bagga, can I interrupt
you just for a minute. I want to make sure we're
all on the same page.

DR. BAGGA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: The Commission's received
several reports and recommendations from VSTOP
regarding these pending voting system applications
from different voting system vendors. And the
reports are included in your binders behind the tab
with the appropriate vendor's name. And following
each report, the binders include copies of
preliminary correspondence and applications
received before the issuance of the VSTOP report by
the election division.

E&S (sic) has three applications pending.
MicroVote has two reports pending. And there's
colored stickers behind the appropriate vendor name
tab to keep those voting systems --

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Thank you, Steph, for
doing that for us. That would be -- that would be
a nightmare if you hadn't have.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Okay. You wish to
continue, Dr. Bagga, with your presentation.

DR. BAGGA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

So -- so the system is identical in -- in all
respects except for the changes that are listed in
the -- in -- in our report on Page 3. One change
is ECO 927 as listed in -- on Page 2, which is an
engineering change order, which is a de minimis
order which does not require any software
modification.

The other change is a compliance with the
SEA 61, State Party Voting Law, that was approved
by Indiana in 2016. And that method was achieved
by a method of programming elections. Did not
involve any software modifications. And the third
change is that the -- the component, Optech 3PE
Eagle, which was listed as the component in the current recertified version is no longer being used in Indiana. That component did not satisfy the E -- SEA 61 compliance, and it's no longer used in Indiana, as shown by the attached e-mail from ES&S.

We support the renewal application for the Unity 3010 Amendment.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Question. The one that's 3PE Eagle?

DR. BAGGA: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: It's not a part of their application?

DR. BAGGA: It's no longer part of the application.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So it's -- an approval of the application would not involve that at all.

DR. BAGGA: That is correct. That is correct, sir.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a representative of ES&S or other person present who wishes to testify regarding this matter?

MR. BURTON: Yes. There's two representatives from ES&S here, myself and Mark Manganaro.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Would you state your name, please.
MR. BURTON: Jeremy Burton, Indiana Management

for Election Systems & Software.

MR. MANGANARO: And Mark Manganaro,

M-a-n-g-a-n-a-r-o, Election Systems & Software,

State Certification Manager. So we're just here to

answer any questions that you -- the board may have

regarding the three-part application.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Okay. Any further

questions?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: No.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Hearing none, is there a

motion to adopt findings that the Election Systems

& Software Unity 3.0.1.0 Amendment Voting System

complies with the standards prescribed for voting

systems under Indiana law, has worked effectively

where the system has been used and has been

adequately supported by the vendor, and therefore

to recertify this voting system for marketing,

sale, lease, installation and implementation in

Indiana for a four-year term beginning October 1st,

2017?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So moved.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Have a motion. Is there a

second?

MR. KLUTZ: Second.
CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Those in favor say "Aye."

ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Those opposed say "Nay."

The Ayes have it. The motion is adopted.

Dr. Bagga, please proceed with your presentation regarding the application for recertification of the election -- Election Systems & Software Unity 3.4.1.0 Voting System.

DR. BAGGA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We next present a renewal application recommendation for --

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: This is the blue tab.

DR. BAGGA: -- ES&S Unity 3.4.1.0. VSTOP has reviewed the IEC-11 application for renewal of this previously certified system, Unity 3.4.1.0, and reviewed all the documentation that's been submitted by ES&S along with their application.

Our review included verification of all the approved ECOs, if applicable, for this voting system and an attestation from the vendor to the accuracy of the list of all components included in the application. We find that this system meets all the requirements of the Indiana Code for use in the State of Indiana. And as stated in the attached attestation by ES&S is identical to the
version of the voting system previously certified
by Indiana Election Commission, except for
modifications listed in the application packet.
And that modification is compliance with
SES 61, which was also achieved through a method of
programming and did not involve any software
modifications.
VSTOP supports the renewal application for
Unity 3.4.1.0.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you. Do the
representatives of E&S -- ES&S wish to add anything
at this time? Any questions from the Commission
members?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I have none.

MR. KLUTZ: No.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a motion to adopt
findings that the Election Systems & Software Unity
3.4-1 -- .1.0 Voting System -- going to say that
again -- Unity 3.4.1.0 Voting System complies with
the standards prescribed for voting systems under
Indiana law, has worked effectively where the
system has been used and has been adequately
supported by the vendor, and therefore to recertify
this voting system for marketing, sale, lease,
installation and implementation in Indiana for a
four-year term beginning October 1st, 2017?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So moved.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Motion. Is there a second?

MR. KLUTZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Hearing none, those in favor say "Aye."

ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed say "Nay."

Ayes have it. The motion is adopted.

Dr. Bagga, please proceed with your presentation regarding the application for recertification of the Election Systems & Software EVS 5.2.0.0 Voting System.

DR. BAGGA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We would like to present next the renewal application recommendation for ES&S EVS 5.2.0.0.

VSTOP has reviewed the IEC-11 application for renewal of this previously approved system, EVS 5.2.0.0, that’s been submitted by ES&S. And VSTOP has also reviewed all of the supporting documentation.

Our review included the verification of all the ECOs, if applicable, for this voting system and an attestation from the manufacturer to the
accuracy of the list of all the components included in the application.

We find that this system meets all the requirements of the Indiana Code for use in the State of Indiana. And, as stated in the attached attestation by ES&S, is identical to the version of the voting system currently certified by Indiana Election Commission except for the modifications and compliance with SEA 61 as listed in our report.

And the SEA 61 was again achieved by a method of programming elections and did not involve software modifications. VSTOP supports the renewal of this application for EVS 5.2.0.0.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Any representatives of ES&S wish to add anything?

MALE SPEAKER: If -- if I could, I just wanted to thank, VSTOP, for all their work in the recertification process. As you know, all the systems go through recertification every four years. I'm sure all the other vendors had submitted applications. And they've always been very helpful, always responsive to any questions that I had on the process. So I just kind of wanted to thank them publicly.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Very good. Thank you for
your comment. Are there any questions or
discussion from the Commission members?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I have nothing.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Hearing nothing is there a
motion to adopt findings that the Election Systems
& Software EVS 5.2.0.0 Voting System complies with
the standards prescribed for voting systems under
Indiana law, has worked effectively where the
system has been used and has been adequately
supported by the vendor, and therefore to recertify
this voting system for marketing, sale, lease,
installation and implementation in Indiana for a
four-year term beginning October 1st, 2017?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So moved.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Motion. Is there a second?

MR. KLUTZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Any further discussion?

Hearing none, those in favor say "Aye."

ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Opposed say "Nay." The
ayes have it and the motion is adopted.

We'll now discuss the Hart InterCivic voting
system report. Mr. Ramname, would you please
discuss the application for the recertification of
the Hart InterCivic HVS 6.2.2 Voting System.
MR. RAMNAME: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

VSTOP reviewed the IEC-11 application for any of the previously approved Hart Working System 6.2.2 by Hart InterCivic along with all the supporting documentation. Our review included verification of all approved ECOs for this voting system and attestation from the manufacturer to the accuracy of the list of all companies included in the application.

We find that this system meets all the requirements of Indiana Code for use in State of Indiana. And as stated in the attach -- attached attestation by Hart InterCivic is identical to the origin of voting systems previously certified by the Indiana Election Commission except for the modification that was part of SEA 61 compliance.

And, as required by the Indiana Election Commission, the SEA 61 was approved on March 10, 2017 by the Indiana Election Commission, and Hart was given sufficient time to implement that modification. And on March 30th Hart InterCivic confirmed to VSTOP that all the modifications for SEA 61 had been implemented to the affected -- affected counties, and the process for SEA 61 compliance is now complete.
With that factored in VSTOP supports the renewal of HVA 6.2.2.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Very good; thank you. Is there a representative of Hart InterCivic or any other person present who wishes to testify regarding this matter? Seeing none are there any questions/comments from the Commissioners?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: No. I have none.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a motion to adopt findings that the Hart InterCivic HVS 6.2.2 Voting System complies with the standards prescribed for voting systems under Indiana law, has worked effectively where the system has been used and has been adequately support by the vendor, and therefore to recertify this voting system for marketing, sale, lease, installation and implementation in Indiana for a four-year term beginning October 1st, 2017?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So moved.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a second?

MR. KLUTZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Motion and a second. Any further discussion? Hearing none, those in favor say "Aye."

ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed say "Nay." The ayes have it; motion is adopted.

Thank you. We will now address the MicroVote EMS 4.1 Voting System engineering change orders.

Dr. Bagga, I understand this vendor has requested approval of certain engineering change orders be granted to modify the MicroVote EMS 4.1 before its recertification is considered by the Commission.

Please describe briefly how these engineering change orders have been implemented.

DR. BAGGA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We are here to present our report on our review of MicroVote and Unity change orders which have been submitted to us for approval. MicroVote EMS 4.1 is in thirty-five working systems in Indiana. The ECOs, or the engineering change orders, are listed on Pages 1 and 2 of our report.

All of these ECOs -- Carson 1600, Carson 1603, Carson 1604, Carson 1605, Carson 1609, Carson 1611, MicroVote 115, MicroVote 116, Carson 1607, Carson 1613, and Aeon ECN2-15-27 -- have been tested by a federal lab, a voting system lab and have been certified to be non de minimis or minimal ECOs. And VSTOP agrees with the determination of the non de minimis nature of -- of these ECOs.
VSTOP recommends that these -- these ECOs be approved.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there any representative of MicroVote or other person present here to testify regarding this matter?

MR. SHAMO: Yes. My name is Steve Shamo, S-h-a-m-o, with Micro -- General Manager at MicroVote. With me is Bernie Hirsch, H-i-r-s-c-h. He's our Director of Software Development. We're here for any questions.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Hi, Steve.

MR. SHAMO: Hey.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Are there any questions from the Commissioners at this point in time?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I have none.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Hearing none, is there a motion to adopt VSTOP's recommendation for approval of engineering change orders listed in VSTOP's report as modifications to the MicroVote EMS 4.1 Voting System with this approval effective immediately?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So moved.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: I have a motion. Is there a second?
MR. KLUTZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Any discussion? Hearing none, those in favor say "Aye."

ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed say "Nay." The ayes have it; the motion is adopted. Thank you.

Dr. Bagga, would you please discuss the application for recertification of the MicroVote EMS 4.1 Voting System, which would include the engineering change orders just approved by the Commission.

DR. BAGGA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

In this report we present our report of renewal application for MicroVote EMS 4.1. This recommendation will include the ECOs that have just been approved by the Commission. VSTOP has reviewed the IEC application for this renewal of previously approved system EMS 4.1 that has been submitted by MicroVote, and VSTOP has reviewed all of the supporting documentation.

Our review included the approved ECOs and also an attestation from the manufacturer to the accuracy of the list of components included in the application. We find that this system meets all the requirements of Indiana Code for use in the
State of Indiana. And, as stated in the attached attestation by MicroVote, is identical to the version of the voting system previously certified by Indiana Election Commission except for the modifications listed. And VSTOP supports the renewal application for EMS 4.1.

Now, the modifications are the ECOs that were approved by the Commission. And it also includes a modification for Indiana SEA 61, software modifications, that was previously approved by the Commission on July 27th, 2016. VSTOP supports the application for renewal.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you. I note that the Commission has received two e-mails from current county MicroVote users regarding this system. And copies of those e-mails are included in the Commissioners' binders. Is there a representative of MicroVote or any other person present who wishes to testify regarding this matter?

MR. KLUTZ: And -- Mr. Chairman, I think -- and I think the matter is -- and -- and there's correspondence from you guys, so you know what the matter is. The matter is clerk's concern that on multi-precinct program machines, whether they be used for vote centers or early voting, that the --
the ability to print tally tapes, showing
individual precinct results, is not possible. I
mean, that's the issue that we're being -- is being
brought to our attention.

MR. HIRSCH: So the Infinity Panel does have
the ability to print a precinct report on a tally
tape. The only difference between that and just
printing the consolidated tally tape is it requires
an -- an elevated permission code to be put in,
as -- as if you were at the checkout line at
Walmart and wanted to do a refund, you have to have
someone up and approve that -- that refund. That's
kind of what it is. You have to put in an
administrator code in order to print that report.
So it can -- it's always been there. It's --
it's called tally by precinct. So we -- we usually
don't recommend printing that out on election night
because it can be a very long report, if there are
hundreds of precincts on one machine. So the
information is actually contained in the tally
card. And we've -- with one of these ECOs we
actually also added precinct-level write-in
information on the consolidated tally -- the
shorter tally report.

So typically our counties have all the
information they need in order to completely know
what happened in each precinct just from the
consolidated report and the tally card.
MR. KLUTZ: Isn't the issue here for election
evening, if you have a machine -- you have a
precinct. Yeah, I'm sorry. You have a voting
location that has multiple precincts voting at this
location. You -- at 6:00 p.m. you shut the polls
down, and you have precinct committee people there
who want to take that tape with them.
And when they do that, are you saying that
they're gonna' take a tape that has five precincts
on it? Is that the only way they can do it at the
voting location level?
MR. SHAMO: With the poll worker, with the --
there's a password that is granted to the poll
worker. It will come out as a consolidated tape.
MR. KLUTZ: Is that new?
MR. SHAMO: It's always been there.
MR. KLUTZ: Okay.
MR. SHAMO: It's always been there. So the
vote centers have kind of come in after, you know,
that --
MR. KLUTZ: So --
MR. HIRSCH: The consolidated tape has, at the
beginning, the total public count for each
precinct.

MR. KLUTZ: For each -- okay.

MR. HIRSCH: And -- and then it has the
current test totals for all precincts on that
machine.

MR. KLUTZ: And so -- so I'm from Allen
County. We use MicroVote. And use MicroVote. We
have a precinct -- at a voting location we have
Precinct 210, 211, 212. It would say 210, 211,
212, but the vote totals would be in the aggregate.

MR. SHAMO: Yes.

MR. HIRSCH: Yes.

MR. SHAMO: So it would identify the total
votes from each precinct, as a collective, as in
check-in. And then the votes --

MR. KLUTZ: And it's always been that way?

Implements.

MR. HIRSCH: Unless the admin code is put in.
And then you also have the option of printing out,
you know, a complete report for each precinct onto
paper.

MR. SHAMO: The best example would be the
State recount. The State Board of Accounts
recounted the congressional district last year
where the Micro team went in front of the State Board of Accounts and went to every voting machine and printed out, by precinct tally, for each and every voting machine, which then -- they then broke down at that point.

MR. HIRSCH: So we do have a -- a matrix of permission levels for each function that the machine has that we supplied as -- as part of our response. And basically the federal guidelines in the area of security require that the machine -- any component of a voting system be secure in that it's available during the voting process and during the tallying process.

And the elevated permissions are needed because it's possible, if a machine has a great number -- you know, you mentioned only a few precincts. But, you know, if -- a machine could have hundreds of precincts --

MR. KLUTZ: Right.

MR. HIRSCH: -- you would want to have an -- an increased security level in order to print that out on election night at the precinct or at the vote center, early vote area.

So that's -- that's always been that way. The permission has always been available. It's merely
a procedural change if a county decides to do it
that way. And the security requirements of the
federal standards also state that we're to provide
that capability. But it is actually up to -- it's
beyond our control what -- how the jurisdiction
actually decides to implement that security
according to their own procedures.

So if a county does actually want to print out
that printout, they -- they're certainly welcome to
do so. They don't have to, you know, do it the
next day or bring the machine in or anything --

MR. KLUTZ: But that's a decision that has to
be done at the county level.

MR. SHAMO: Which password do you give to the
poll worker essentially.

MR. KLUTZ: Okay. Okay.

MR. HIRSCH: Or -- or some special designated
person at the precinct that, you know, has that
elevated security clearance to do that.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: So when and how does the
poll worker get this elevated security code?

MR. SHAMO: It would be through the poll
worker inspector training at that point. They
would give them the pass code at that point in
time.
Now, the pass code -- the -- the level of security is not dramatic. There's just a much -- there's a few more features that are available when you enter the administrative code. So it's like -- for instance, if I used a general poll worker pass code right now, I'm going to get: Show the tally, print the tally, is essentially my two options that I'm --

MR. HIRSCH: -- as the consolidated --

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: What does MicroVote do to educate the users about this elevated security?

MR. SHAMO: Well, I've had this conversation with Dana every election for the last three. And -- and so I've demonstrated it up there multiple times for the election board. They're aware of it. It's just that their election board has not necessarily put anything into place to advance that.

MR. KLUTZ: So if they're training -- if they thought it was prudent or secure, they could -- their -- their election board or their poll workers, they could give them a password that would allow them to do it --

MR. SHAMO: Yes, yeah.

MR. KLUTZ: And whether that's recommended or
not, that's -- or prudent or not, that's up to
them, I suppose?

MR. SHAMO: Yeah. It's essentially the 4-H
building in Merrillville is what we're talking
about. They have five precincts in that location.
And that's the precinct report everyone seemingly
hangs around for on election night to see the
breakdowns on there. So --

PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: May I ask a
question?

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Yes.

PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: So, in other words,
what we're talking about here is giving an
administrative rights -- administrative rights to
poll workers that gives them a few -- access to a
few more things. That's a decision to be left to
the local election board? They decide that, right?
So it really doesn't have anything to do with these
approvals here today?

MR. SHAMO: No. It's a feature that's been
there in every version of the InterCivic.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I agree with that; it's
not a part of the certification. But I'm somewhat
concerned under Open Door Laws and public
information requests, that if a -- and I'm not --
as I'm interpreting you're saying you don't give
all the precinct workers administrator codes.
Somebody who's in charge of the machines
bipartisan-ly would have that capacity to say -- if
a -- if a precinct worker said, We need a copy of
X, Y, Z precinct, someone there could do that.
MR. SHAMO: Well, we have the capability for
two levels of security during --
VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I -- I understand that.
But I'm saying -- I'm not advising or suggesting
that -- that these be handed out willy-nilly. What
I'm saying is that somebody there, if it were -- if
a precinct committeeman says, I'd like to have a
copy of the precinct report, voter tally for this
precinct, that could be done at the -- at the site
where the votes were counted.
MR. SHAMO: Yes. My recommendation to the
Board, when it came up in Lake County, was to
simply -- we have forty-five machine technicians
that float throughout the county on election day
for whatever election issues come up. One of those
technicians could essentially be on site and
administer that code, if they chose to do it that
way too.
VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: And do you have any
insight, that you could share with me anyway, as to why people would be reluctant to do that? I mean, I think that that's a public information --

MR. SHAMO: No.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: -- and it's available to anybody that asks for it.

MR. SHAMO: Yeah. Not -- not really. I think the only -- like I said, there's a couple other features on that -- on that page that they're not accustomed to seeing. I think it would be more of a training thing because now you're training this precinct differently than that precinct.

MR. HIRSCH: And when you said to be able to get a count at the location where they're being counted, the information is being extracted from the machine and taken to the central count on election night. They have -- they can print out a precinct report from the M software on election night at the central count area from that machine.

MR. KLUTZ: The issue here is at what point -- the issue here is they just want it at 6:01 --

MR. HIRSCH: If they want an extra paper report on site before the machine is moved, that's -- that's --

MR. SHAMO: Well, I mean, my experience --
I've been in every election in Lake County for 20 years now. And my experience is, sitting there on election night, reading results into our central tabulation system and listening to the radio and hearing who won based on these tapes. That's what we're talking about.

It bothers me that a public broadcast vote total is being handled from a pulling of the tape of the precinct, calling it in to headquarters, having it transcribed, someone else has given it to a reporter, rather than taking from the central tabulation system.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: The official vote --

MR. SHAMO: If there's any hesitancy, I think that's probably it.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: The official vote tally comes out of central headquarters?

MR. SHAMO: Yes. But we will hear -- but we will hear candidate totals on the radio even before that precinct has returned to the courthouse. And so that's -- that's really the issue.

PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: So it sounds like this should be an issue that should be discussed locally. I mean, I think, looking at this letter, I don't see that a request was made to the clerk to
have the codes and be able to seek this
information. So I think maybe they should start
off there first before --

MR. KLUTZ: I believe this came from the --

MR. SHAMO: It came from one of the Republican
board members.

PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Yeah, not the whole
board, just one.

MR. SHAMO: Just one member, yes. It was not
a board action.

MR. KLUTZ: Have you heard this issue or
complaint from any other of your customers?

MR. SHAMO: No. This is the only time we've
ever heard it. Now, you know, as -- as vote
centers evolve more and more, I think it actually
diminishes the other way. Because essentially you
have, as I first mentioned, so many tapes coming
from Hendricks County to the vote -- to the vote
centers next year. They're talking about a tape
with a hundred precincts on it coming out of each
machine.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: As long as I can be
assured, as a member of this body, that they're --
these are available, maybe not at 6:01 or whatever
the -- the time they started counting them out, but
they can, in -- in an orderly process that
recognizes the official tally takes precedence over
public requests, that Lake County or if it's done
in Allen County, wherever it is in Indiana, I think
people are entitled to this information and as
reasonably quickly as they can get it.

MR. HIRSCH: Yeah. And I provided the page
from the technical manual from Indiana showing the
report and that it's been available all along.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Thank you. That was a
con -- I saw the -- one of the e-mails, and that
was a concern to me. And I didn't understand the
-- the -- the problem. I -- I thought it just
wasn't available, period.

MR. SHAMO: Yeah. And I think the -- in -- in
the e-mail that Dana submitted too, I think a -- a
feature that -- that we do in Lake County that I
believe with the -- perhaps the third item she
referenced regarding the -- the total votes,
they're an electronic poll book county. So by
10:00 in the morning on Wednesday morning, not only
do they have all their vote totals, but MicroVote
has supplied to them an Excel sheet that has all
545 precincts listed. The second column has all
the votes cast on the voting machines in the second
one. Third column is all the check-ins on the electronic poll book. And the fourth column is the plus/minus margin, making certain they don't hit the five that would mandate the automatic calling of an election board meeting.

So they're getting audit information days if not weeks ahead of most counties in the state in terms of the balance between the voting machines and the check-ins.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Thank you.

MR. SHAMO: Yep.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: I'd like to call on our Co-Director, who's sitting here, to confirm the compliance of this machine with the statutory requirements.

MR. KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The State law regarding the certificates that are produced on election night from touchscreen voting systems such as MicroVotes is at 3-12-3.5-2 and then the following section 3-12-3.5-3. In short, those State laws do not require, say, a precinct breakdown of the vote cast for each candidate. But instead for each candidate on the system, which would be the aggregate total that the MicroVote representatives have been describing.
As Commissioner Celestino-Horseman indicated, this is a -- a matter that the County Election Board can address as part of its training of precinct election workers, or it would be permissible but not required to generate that detailed precinct statement.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you. Mr. Kochevar, anything to add to that?

MR. KOCHEVAR: No, not at this time.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Any other questions, discussion by the Commission?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I have nothing.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Hearing none, is there a motion to adopt findings that the MicroVote EMS 4.1 voting system complies with the standards prescribed for voting systems under Indiana law, has worked effectively --

MR. KLUTZ: I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: -- where the system has been used and has been adequately supported by the vendor, and therefore to recertify this voting system for marketing, sale, lease, installation and implementation in Indiana for a four-year term beginning October 1st, 2017?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So moved.
CHAIRMAN BENNETT: We have a motion. Is there a second?

MR. KLUTZ: Second.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, those in favor say "Aye."

ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed, nay. The ayes have it and the motion is adopted.

Let's now turn to the Unisyn Voting Systems -- Vote Solutions Voting System report. Dr. Byers, would you please discuss the application for recertification of the Unisyn Voting Solutions Voting System.

DR. BYERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission.

VSTOP reviewed the IEC-11 application for renewal of a previously approved voting system, the OpenElect 1.3.4, submitted by Unison Voting Solutions along with their supporting documentation. Our review included verification of all of the approved engineering change orders for this voting system and an attestation from the manufacturer to the accuracy of the list of all components included in the application.
The two previously approved ECOs, the first
one was approved on December 11th, 2015 for a metal
ballot box for the OpenElect system. And the
second was approved by the Commission on June 29th,
2016 for compliance with SEA 61.

We find that this system meets all of the
requirements of the Indiana Code for use in the
State of Indiana. And, as stated in the attached
attestation by Unisyn, is identical to the version
of the voting system previously certified by the
Indiana Election Commission except for the
modifications just noted.

VSTOP therefore supports the renewal
application for OpenElect 1.3.4.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Very well. Is there a
representative of Unisyn or any other person
present who wishes to offer testimony in this
matter?

MS. BUCHANAN: Janet Buchanan from RBM
Consulting is here. No further testimony.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Okay. Thank you. Any
questions from the Commission members? Is there a
motion to adopt findings that the Unisyn Voting
Solutions OpenElect 1.3.4 Voting System complies
with the standards prescribed for voting systems
under Indiana law, has worked effectively where the
system has been used and has been adequately
supported by the vendor, and therefore to recertify
this voting system for marketing, sale, lease,
installation and implementation in Indiana for a
four-year term beginning October 1st, 2017?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So moved.
CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a second?
MR. KLUTZ: Second.
CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Any further discussion?
Hearing none, those in favor say "Aye."
ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BENNETT: All opposed say "Nay."
Ayes have it; the motion is adopted.

We will move to the status of voting system
compliance with SEA 61-2016. Dr. Bagga and
Dr. Byers, is it accurate to state that based on
VSTOP's review of the voting systems approved at
this meeting that the State's voting systems are
now in full compliance with the requirements of
Senate Enrolled Act 61-2016 with respect to the
tabulation of straight party votes other than the
remaining vendor you will discuss shortly?

DR. BAGGA: Yes --

DR. BYERS: That is correct.
DR. BAGGA: -- Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Okay. I would like to move then --

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Could I make a comment?

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: To those -- these are the reports and the app -- applications. Before VSTOP came on board, we had the distinct pleasure of doing this ourselves. And it was most uncomfortable. And with no disrespect to the staff there at the time, none of them got "doctor" as their first name. And they don't -- they're not experts in this area. And we've come a long way, and I thank these folks for what you've done. This program has been extraordinarily successful.

DR. BAGGA: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Thank you.

DR. BAGGA: Very kind.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Thank you. Let's move to the Dominion Voting GMS 1.18.24D1 System report. Dr. Bagga and Dr. Byers, please discuss the status of Dominion Voting System except -- to let, buy and accept this voting system for compliance with Indiana law in this regard.

DR. BAGGA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want
to --

(Thereupon, after a telephone interruption, the following proceedings were had:)

DR. BAGGA: -- the current status of Dominion Voting Systems requirements -- with the requirements of Indiana Election Commission's Resolution 2016-1, which is appended in Appendix 8.

At its March 10th, 2017 meeting the Indiana Election Commission imposed the following deadlines for Dominion Voting System compliance with the process of SEA 61. Dominion was supposed to provide weekly updates on the progress of software modification to VSTOP starting with Friday, March 17, 27 (sic) and every Friday thereafter.

By May 1st, 2017 there was a deadline from the contractors, Sedona Technologies, which did the software modification, on the progress of software development. By June 16, 2017 there was a deadline for a test plan to be submitted to VSTOP for review. Between June 19 and 22nd VSTOP was required to assess the plan and the report of software development and report to Indiana Election Commission at its meeting today.

In meeting those deadlines on April 28th, 2017, VSTOP received an update from Sedona
Technologies on the process of software development, which is attached in Appendix B in your folder.

Dominion complied with each of the deadlines that we just described except for the June 16, 2017 deadline for submitting development completion report. And e-mail communication received from Dominion gave a reason for the delay. We did receive a report from Dominion on June 20th, 2017. Appendix C in your folder contains a summary of all of the Dominion weekly updates that were received from Dominion, VSTOP. And the appendix also includes in summary of VSTOP responses to Dominion on the progress of software development.

On June 20th, 2017 Dominion submitted the Development Completion Report that describes Dominion's strategy to complete the modification of its voting system with AcuVote 1-96 -- 1.96.6 from there and GEMS, G-E-M-S, 1.18.24D software, to bring it into compliance with IC 3-12-1-7(f) of SEA 61. And this is described in Appendix D that's attached to the report.

We received e-mail from Dominion on June 16, June 20th and June 21st which provided a revised timeline, a description of an error that caused a
delay in submitting the report, and a description
of how that error was corrected, and a confirmation
that all of the responsibilities listed in the
Development Completion Report were met. This is
described in Appendix E in your folder.

On June 22nd, 2017 VSTOP received from
Pro V&V, a federally certified voting system
testing lab, a test plan and script for testing of
the SEA 61 compliance requirements. VSTOP has
reviewed the Development Completion Report and also
the Pro V&V test plan, and VSTOP recommends that
these two reports be approved by IEC.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Tell us where -- where
that gets us in the -- in the system. I mean,
are -- are they -- is it operational now or they've
still got more to do?

DR. BAGGA: They have -- so the last piece of
this would be, should the Commission approve the
test plan today, for Dominion to get this modified
version tested in the lab. And once that test
report has come back to VSTOP, VSTOP will review
the test report and make the next recommendation to
the Commission.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a representative
of Dominion here today?
MR. PIPER: Ian Piper with Dominion Voting, the Director, Federal Certification.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there anything you'd like to add to that report?

MR. PIPER: We are scheduling right now to have the -- have the VSTL, the Voter System Test Lab, actually perform the witness bill and also the testing this coming week so that we can actually have a test report to VSTOP in the division by the following week.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Then what?

MR. PIPER: Excuse me?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Will that -- will that -- will that be the end of this -- this -- this whole process? And then, if -- if everything checks out, this --

MR. PIPER: There would be --

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: -- problem is resolved?

MR. PIPER: Correct. Our testing has shown that the system modifications actually meet SEA 61. But, of course, that is up to the division of VSTOP to make that determination. And they would have to base that decision off of the VSTL report that they receive.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Dr. Bagga, do you agree
with that, that if -- if it comes -- if the report
from Pro V&V confirms what they're -- they believe,
that that will bring this problem to an end?

DR. BAGGA: Yes, sir. There will be another
step afterwards. Once this test plan has been
reviewed by VSTOP and has been approved by the
Commission, there will be a period of
implementation of the modified system in the
counties. And VSTOP will ask Dominion to keep
VSTOP updated on the progress of implementation.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Has that been -- do we
have a timeline? We had a timeline for -- to where
we are today. Will we leave here today with
another timeline?

DR. BAGGA: Yes, we do. We will give you
the -- the dates.

The timeline is presented in the Appendix E of
your folder.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: Is there a page number?

DR. BAGGA: Page 1 of Appendix E.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: How do I find E?

DR. BAGGA: The last one; is that right? Yes.

It is in the form of an e-mail communication
from Dominion to VSTOP. And the Page 1 of
Appendix E presents a revised timeline for this.
CHAIRMAN BENNETT: And it's -- I'll -- I'll just -- to speed it up I can read what it says. The V -- VSTOP Indiana Election Commission test plan review, June 23rd, that's today, a VSTL testing and report would be the -- take two weeks and would end July 14th after IEC has approved the test plan. And the VSTOP IEC test report review would be another two weeks, ending July 28th. And then implementation would be two to three weeks, ending August 18th.

Start date, depending on Election Commission approval, but assumption is a three-week period for GVS installing in twenty counties. So that is the proposed time table. Is that submitted by Dominion to VSTOP?

DR. BAGGA: That's been submitted by Dominion to VSTOP, yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: What is VSTOP's reaction to that proposed time table?

DR. BAGGA: VSTOP believes that's a reasonable timeline based on when the test plan is implemented and tested by the lab and the test report comes back to VSTOP for reasonable period of review.

PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Excuse me, Doctor.

This timeline is dependent upon VSTOP, the -- is
the description you have here of the VSTOP IEC test report review that requires the Commission to meet by July 28th. If they don't have a meeting scheduled by or before July 28th, how much is that going to throw this off?

DR. BAGGA: We understand. We will present our report of our review of the test report to the Indiana Election Division for approval by the Commission. And so it will be dependent on a Commission meeting.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: We've got a meeting -- we'll call one on August the 9th.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: We have one August 9th.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: If -- if -- if we were to have a meeting August 9th, was that something that would unduly protract this thing?

DR. BAGGA: If -- if -- should the Commission approve the test report on August 9th, that would give Dominion two to three weeks from that period to do that implementation.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So it would just push it down 11 days or so?

DR. BAGGA: Yes. And -- and -- and another aspect of this process would also be a recertification of Dominion system of that.
PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Oh, yes. And when -- and so what we're talking here is -- this only goes to SEA 61 compliance, right? We're -- none of this is -- goes to the recertification for four years, correct?

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: That's correct.

PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Okay. So what happens then to these counties? Let's say that they come back to you, and you aren't happy with the test results or something doesn't work. How is that going to leave those counties? 'Cause if the meeting isn't till August 9th, how is that going to leave those counties? Is it going to -- I mean, are we looking at a potential problem here on counties being able to have their equipment working?

DR. BAGGA: If -- if the test goes successful, if the test labs come back with a successful report of Dominion having met with SEA 61 requirements, VSTOP does not foresee an issue with implementation aspect.

PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: But if it does have a problem?

DR. BAGGA: If it does have a problem, then we have to go back and find out what the problem is
and find steps to correct it at that point.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: So there's at least three
weeks of -- of implementation before the IEC can
vote on the recertification; is that correct?

DR. BAGGA: Yes. Between August 9 and
August 18; they have about nine days, ten days.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: We -- we're going to
pretty well know by August the 28th. I mean,
obviously today when we rely heavily on your
recommendations, and rightfully so. I mean, you've
earned that credibility. So, in reality, when we
meet to approve is probably more academic, in my
mind, than -- than -- I think realistically once
you decide by the 28th and give us the report,
Dominion's going to have a -- a real good idea they
need to start moving forward to implementation,
putting a plan together, whatever it takes.

DR. BAGGA: Uh-huh, yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: And then what I don't
think I understand is we would need -- they need to
be reauthorized by when? How -- how much --
what's -- let's say that they -- they come up by --
they had 11 days, the 29th of August and
everything's fine and we've approved all that, when
do they get recertified?
DR. BAGGA: If -- if they can do that implementation by August 18th, enough for VSTOP to review that implementation, we could recommend a -- a renewal on that date.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So -- so it's the implementation that completes the cycle, and the renewal could follow? There's not another renewal process after that; that's -- that's the end of --

DR. BAGGA: That is correct, sir.

VICE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: The renewal process is the -- is the Commission's determination.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Yes. After they've completed everything that we've talked about here.

DR. BAGGA: Yes, sir.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BENNETT: And that could happen anytime from when the VSTOP report comes to us, which is around August 28th until, what, October 1st, 2017 deadline?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So we're -- I think we've got -- we've got -- unless -- I was gonna' ask: Of any of the affected counties, has anybody got a special election between now and September 1st that anybody knows of?
PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: But the recertification -- okay. The recertification deadline's October 1st. It has to be done by then. If it's not done by they, what happens? And, forgive me, I'm not familiar --

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: They can't use the machines.

PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: So is there any -- if there's a problem, is there anything -- I mean, everything has to be recertified and done by October 1st. This is cutting it kind of close because it's -- you're getting into August when you're gonna' come back, if everything goes well. So far though this has been an extended process with this company, correct?

DR. BAGGA: Yes, ma'am.

PROXY CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: So what -- if -- I mean, I guess -- I guess all we can say is that, Old Dominion -- Dominion, let's hope you get everything done. Because that creates a whole new set of problems.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: That's a whole new set of --

MS. NUSSMEYER: Mr. Chairman, if I may though -- and, Brad, correct me if I'm misstating