

# Amplify (formerly known as Wireless Generation) Monthly Performance Metrics July 8, 2013

Data will be reported at each SBOE Meeting. A summary of each sub-priority is reported for the overview and each sub-priority is reported on the Monthly Performance Metrics spreadsheet.

For monthly priority metrics: green = exceeded, met, or within four points of the goal; yellow = within five to nine points of the goal; red = ten or more points from the goal.

#### June Overview

Amplify teacher coaches reported final metrics in May since finals began in June. Teachers grew in many of the priority areas during the school year. The metrics for each priority are attached to this report. The coaches have spent the month of June supporting the George Washington Community High School (GWCHS) summer professional development week that will take place during the week of July 15-19. The coaches will co-present PD sessions with GWCHS teachers on July 18 and July 19. One session focus is *Instructional Strategies that Work* and the other focus is *Culture and Acceptance*. The latter has become an important one due to the change in the demographics at GWCHS.

The *Amplify* administrator coach, Claudia Rodriguez, has worked closely with Principal Linda Gagyi and her Assistant Principals, Cynthia Perkins, William Buckley, and Joel Munoz to close out the year successfully. The administrator coach continues to support Principal Gagyi through the summer months. The *Amplify* coaches attended the GWCHS graduation to cheer on the class of 2013.

7/08/13 Page 1 of 1

## **Amplify/George Washington High School Monthly Performance Metrics**

**Performance Metrics Key:** 

Green = Exceeded, met, or within four points of the goal.

Yellow = Within five to nine points of the goal

Red = Ten or more points from the goal

|                             | EOY Goal | 2012-2013 Pass  |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------|
|                             |          | Rates           |
| ECA Alg 1                   | 55%      | 60%             |
| ECA English 10              | 55%      | 40%             |
| ISTEP E/LA 7                | 40%      | awaiting scores |
| ISTEP Math 7                | 60%      | awaiting scores |
| ISTEP E/LA 8                | 45%      | awaiting scores |
| ISTEP Math 8                | 75%      | awaiting scores |
| <b>Graduation Rate Goal</b> | 92%      | 82%             |

|   |           |   |                              | 20                              | 12                            |                              | 2013                       |                              |                |               |               |            |  |
|---|-----------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|------------|--|
| : I Increase student engagement and rigor             |           | EOY Goal  | Sept                         | Oct                             | Nov                           | Dec                          | Jan                        | Feb                          | Mar            | Apr           | May           | June       |  |
|   |           |   |                              |                                 |                               |                              |                            |                              |                |               |               |            |  |
| 1. Teacher lesson plans include questioning and       |           |   |                              |                                 |                               |                              |                            |                              |                |               |               |            |  |
| assessment practices addressing an essential question | Plan      | 95%   |                              | 50%                             | 60%                           |                              | 70%                        | 75%                          |                |               |               |            |  |
|   | Actual    |   | n/a                          | 45%                             | 61%                           | 69%                          | 70%                        | 71%                          | 74%            |               |               |            |  |
| Data Source: Classroom and PLC observations           | Comments: | Questioning to<br>and moving que<br>conversations<br>progress has b | uestioning st<br>and coachin | rategies up t<br>g conversation | hrough Bloo<br>ons all includ | om's Taxonor<br>de designing | ny. Continu<br>assessment- | ed growth is<br>driven quest | evident in th  | nis area. Act | ion plans, PL | .C         |  |
| 2.Teachers collect and analyze student engagement     |           |   |                              |                                 |                               | Ι                            |                            | 1                            | <u> </u>       | 1             |               | <u> </u>   |  |
| data  | Plan      | 95%   | n/a                          | 55%                             | 65%                           | 70%                          | 70%                        | 75%                          | 80%            | 85%           | 90%           | 95%        |  |
|   | Actual    |   | n/a                          | 60%                             | 63%                           | 70%                          | 70.3                       | 74%                          | 79%            | 80%           |               |            |  |
| Data Source: Teacher-collected data on percent of     | Comments: | Coaches supp  |                              |                                 |                               |                              |                            |                              |                |               |               |            |  |
| engaged students                                      |           | some cases. E<br>engagement l                                       |                              |                                 | cussed durin                  | g coaching c                 | onversation                | s, but some t                | eachers still  | struggle to ( | use data to e | valuate    |  |
| 3. Teachers develop and carry-out action research     | 1         |   | ı                            | Ι                               |                               | Ι                            | l                          | 1                            | Τ              | 1             |               |            |  |
| focused on student engagement and student             |           |   |                              |                                 |                               |                              |                            |                              |                |               |               |            |  |
| achievement   | Plan      | 90%   | n/a                          | 55%                             | 60%                           | 65%                          | 65%                        | 70%                          | 75%            | 80%           | 85%           | 90%        |  |
|   | Actual    |   | n/a                          | 50%                             | 61%                           | 74%                          | 82%                        | 84%                          | 86%            | 85%           |               |            |  |
| Data Source: Classroom and PLC Observations and PLC s | Comments: | During PLCs to  | achers ident                 | ified specific                  |                               |                              |                            | ļ                            | continue in    |               |               |            |  |
|   |           | students. Tea   |                              |                                 |                               |                              |                            |                              |                |               |               |            |  |
|   |           | administrator   |                              | n RISE Doma                     | ain 2 to iden                 | tify where th                | ney have had               | linstruction                 | al successes a | and challeng  | es this schoo | l year and |  |
|   |           | set goals for n   | ext year.                    |                                 |                               |                              |                            |                              |                |               |               |            |  |
| 4. Teachers provide immediate, specific, and          | 1         |   | I                            |                                 |                               | Ι                            | 1                          |                              |                |               |               |            |  |
| meaningful feedback to students                       | Plan      | 90%   | 50%                          | 55%                             | 60%                           | 65%                          | 65%                        | 70%                          | 75%            | 80%           | 85%           | 90%        |  |
| incaming an recuback to students                      | Actual    | 3070  | 48%                          | 52%                             | 61%                           | 66.50%                       | 68%                        | 73%                          | 75%            | 78%           |               | n/a        |  |
| Data Source: Classroom observation                    | Comments: | During PLCs te  |                              |                                 |                               |                              |                            |                              |                |               |               |            |  |
| 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3               |           | meaningful fe   |                              |                                 |                               |                              |                            |                              |                | · ·           | -             |            |  |
|   |           | classroom con   |                              |                                 | -                             |                              | -                          |                              |                |               |               | -          |  |
|   |           | daily instruction   | on.                          |                                 |                               |                              |                            |                              |                |               |               |            |  |

|  |           |                 | 2012                              |                                     |                                  |   |   | 2013                                    |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
|--|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Build teachers' capacity to meet the educational   |           | <b>EOY Goal</b> | Sept                              | Oct                                 | Nov                              | Dec                                     | Jan                                     | Feb                                     | Mar                                  | Apr                                 | May            | June                           |  |  |
| needs of English Language Learners (ELL)   |           |                 |                                   |                                     |                                  |   |   |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
| 1. Teachers (ENL and non-ENL) show evidence of use   | Plan      | 90%             | 50%                               | 55%                                 | 60%                              | 65%                                     | 65%                                     | 70%                                     | 75%                                  | 80%                                 | 85%            | 90%                            |  |  |
| of ENL strategies during a 30-minute observation   |           |                 |                                   |                                     |                                  |   |   |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
| period   |           |                 |                                   |                                     |                                  |   |   |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
|  | Actual    |                 | 45%                               | 58%                                 | 58%                              | 60%                                     | 62%                                     | 66%                                     | 66%                                  | 72%                                 | 72%            | n/a                            |  |  |
| Data source: Classroom observations looking for define   | Comments: | 1               |                                   | •                                   |                                  |   |   |   | •                                    |                                     | tudent preser  |                                |  |  |
|  |           |                 |                                   | -                                   | of the conti                     | nue to teach                            | without pla                             | nning for ENL                           | . student nee                        | ds. This is a                       | n area of nee  | d that can                     |  |  |
|  |           | be addresse     | ed during sun                     | nmer PD.                            |                                  |   |   |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
| 2. Too shore will develop an an asing formative  | Dian      | Tv.             | n /o                              | n /o                                | n/o                              | lv                                      | n /o                                    | n/2                                     | n/o                                  | n /o                                | ln/a           | n /a                           |  |  |
| 2. Teachers will develop an on-going formative   | Plan      | ľ               | n/a                               | n/a                                 | n/a                              | ľ                                       | n/a                                     | n/a                                     | n/a                                  | n/a                                 | n/a            | n/a                            |  |  |
| assessment to track student growth using an agreed-  |           |                 |                                   |                                     |                                  |   |   |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
| upon formative assessment by October   | Actual    |                 | n/a                               | n/a                                 | n/a                              | N                                       | n/a                                     | n/a                                     | n/a                                  | n/a                                 | V              | n/a                            |  |  |
| Data Source: Formative assessment  | Comments: | Teachers st     | . ·                               | ļ <i>'</i>                          | <u> </u>                         |   | -                                       | ļ '                                     | . ·                                  | . ·                                 | e year and se  | •                              |  |  |
| Data Source. Formative assessment  | Comments. |                 |                                   |                                     |                                  | of their own d                          |   |   | _                                    |                                     | e year and se  | t learning                     |  |  |
|  |           |                 |                                   |                                     |                                  |   | 8 -  8                                  |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
| 2. A teacher developed engaing formative assessment  | Dlan      | 100%            | 50%                               | 50%                                 | 60%                              | 70%                                     | 70%                                     | 75%                                     | 80%                                  | 85%                                 | 90%            | 100%                           |  |  |
| 3. A teacher-developed ongoing formative assessment will be used to track ELN student growth across the    | Pidii     | 100%            | 30%                               | 30%                                 | 00%                              | 70%                                     | 70%                                     | /5%                                     | 00%                                  | 05%                                 | 90%            | 100%                           |  |  |
|  |           |                 |                                   |                                     |                                  |   |   |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
| year   | Actual    |                 | 50%                               | 50%                                 | 100%                             | 0%                                      | 0%                                      | 0%                                      | 50%                                  | 75%                                 | 75%            | n/a                            |  |  |
| Data source: Percent of students being assessed using  | Comments: | In Decembe      |                                   |                                     |                                  |   | • | • |                                      |                                     | by Feb. The    | -                              |  |  |
| on-going formative assessment  | Comments. |                 |                                   | _                                   | -                                | -                                       |   |   |                                      |                                     | n Benchmark    |                                |  |  |
| going formative assessment   |           | 1 '             | _                                 |                                     |                                  |   | -                                       | -                                       |                                      | -                                   | ne benchmar    |                                |  |  |
|  |           | have been o     | developed ar                      | nd the team v                       | will meet to                     | continue this                           | work over tl                            | ne summer.                              |                                      |                                     |                | -                              |  |  |
|  | 1         | ·               | ,                                 |                                     |                                  |   |   |   | ,                                    |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
| 4. Teachers will utilize student data to plan which ENL  | Plan      | 95%             | n/a                               | 55%                                 | 65%                              | 70%                                     | 70%                                     | 75%                                     | 80%                                  | 85%                                 | 90%            | 95%                            |  |  |
| strategies to address during action research   |           |                 |                                   |                                     |                                  |   |   |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
|  |           |                 | ,                                 |                                     |                                  |   |   |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
|  | Actual    | -1              | n/a                               | 58%                                 | 58%                              |   | 61%                                     |   | 73%                                  |                                     |                | n/a                            |  |  |
| Data source: PLC Rubric, Classroom observations  | Comments: |                 |                                   |                                     |                                  |   | _                                       | -                                       |                                      | _                                   | PLCs by havin  | _                              |  |  |
|  |           |                 | are effective<br>e ENL studen     | _                                   | ategies. Son                     | ne teachers d                           | ifferentiate                            | in various wa                           | iys, but still r                     | ieea improve                        | ement in addr  | essing the                     |  |  |
|  |           | needs of the    | e civil studen                    | 11.5.                               |                                  |   |   |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
|  |           |                 |                                   |                                     |                                  |   |   |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
| 5. At least three new apportunities will be offered  | Plan      | ] 3             | ln/a                              | n/a                                 | l 1                              | n/a                                     | n/a                                     | 2                                       | n/a                                  | n/a                                 | ا عا           | n/a                            |  |  |
| 5. At least three new opportunities will be offered allowing students to learn from real world experiences | Plan      | 3               | n/a                               | n/a                                 | 1                                | n/a                                     | n/a                                     | 2                                       | n/a                                  | n/a                                 | 3              | n/a                            |  |  |
| allowing students to learn from real world experiences   | Plan      | 3               | n/a                               | n/a                                 | 1                                | n/a                                     | n/a                                     | 2                                       | n/a                                  | n/a                                 | 3              | n/a                            |  |  |
| • •  |           | 3               |                                   |                                     | 1                                |   | -                                       |   |                                      |                                     |                |                                |  |  |
| allowing students to learn from real world experiences with community partners                             | Actual    |                 | n/a                               | n/a                                 | 1                                | n/a                                     | n/a                                     | 1                                       | n/a                                  | n/a                                 | 2              | n/a                            |  |  |
| allowing students to learn from real world experiences   | Actual    | Oct./Nov        | n/a<br>Students us                | n/a<br>ed Skype to o                | 1<br>communicate                 | n/a<br>e with college                   | n/a<br>students to                      | 1<br>hear about                         | n/a<br>their experie                 | n/a<br>nces and ask                 | 2 questions ab | n/a<br>out college             |  |  |
| allowing students to learn from real world experiences with community partners                             | Actual    | Oct./Nov        | n/a<br>Students us<br>. Feb Still | n/a<br>ed Skype to d<br>in communic | 1<br>communicate<br>ation lookin | n/a<br>e with college<br>g for partners | n/a<br>students to<br>to come to        | 1<br>hear about<br>the school a         | n/a<br>their experie<br>nd share rea | n/a<br>nces and ask<br>I world expe | 2              | n/a<br>out college<br>tudents. |  |  |

|   |                |                           |              |            | 2012 |  |     |         | 2     | 013    |       |                    |
|---|----------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------|------|--|-----|---------|-------|--------|-------|--------------------|
| Assist in the implementation of a Response to Intervention (Rtl   | ) program      | EOY Goal                  | Sept         | Oct        | Nov  | Dec                                      | Jan | Feb     | Mar   | Apr    | May   | June               |
|   |                | 9 monthly                 |              |            |      |  |     |         |       |        | 1     |                    |
| 1. Student support team meets monthly   | Plan           | meetings                  | n/a          | у          | y    | у  | у   | у       | ly    | y      | у     | у                  |
|   | Actual         |                           | n/a          | у          | у    | у  | у   | у       | У     | Υ      | Υ     | n/a                |
| Data Source: Meeting sign-in and notes  | Comments:      | 1                         |              | _          |      | ess Period as an Rt<br>ta-driven ELA and |     |         | _     |        |       | -                  |
| 2. Success Period will show evidence of differentiation during a  |                | Τ                         |              |            |      | Ι  |     |         |       |        | 1     | $\overline{}$      |
| 30-minute observation period  | Plan           | 95%                       | 50%          | 60%        | 65%  | 70%                                      | 70% | 75%     | 6 80% | 859    | % 90° | %                  |
|   | Actual         |                           | 55%          | 40%        | 46%  | 69%                                      | 90% | 90%     | 90%   | 939    | % 93° | <mark>%</mark> n/a |
| Data Source: Data-driven success period groupings and success period observations                                       | Comments:      | _                         | d. Evaluator |            | _    | ed regularly. There were discussing the  |     |         |       | _      | _     |                    |
|   | ·              | 1                         |              | 1          |      | 1  | 1   | 1       | T     | 1      | 1     |                    |
| 3 Response to Intervention Support Team will identify and   |                | monthly RtI               |              |            |      |  |     |         |       |        |       |                    |
| Response to Intervention Support Team will identify and utilize a short-cycle assessment for monitoring RtI practice.   | Plan           | monthly RtI               | 1            | n/a        | V    | V  | V   | V       | v     | v      | V     | V                  |
| 3. Response to Intervention Support Team will identify and utilize a short-cycle assessment for monitoring RtI practice | Plan<br>Actual | monthly RtI<br>monitoring | 1            | n/a<br>n/a | У    | y  | y   | y<br>In | у     | y<br>n | y     | y<br>n/a           |

|  |           |  |                              | 20                            | 012                         |                | 2013         |                |             |                 |               |                    |  |
|--|-----------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|--|
| Improve reading/writing across all content areas in all grades                       |           | EOY Goal   | Sept                         | Oct                           | Nov                         | Dec            | Jan          | Feb            | Mar         | Apr             | May           | June               |  |
|  |           | Quarterly -  |                              |                               |                             |                |              |                |             |                 |               |                    |  |
| 1. All ELA teachers will develop a consistent portfolio showing                      |           | current  |                              |                               |                             |                |              |                |             |                 |               |                    |  |
| reading and writing growth over the school year                                      | Plan      | portfolio  | n/a                          | n/a                           | 80%                         | n/a            | n/a          | 90%            | n/a         | n/a             | n/a           | 100%               |  |
|  | Actual    |  | n/a                          | n/a                           | 78%                         | n/a            | n/a          | 88%            | n/a         | n/a             | 929           | <mark>%</mark> n/a |  |
| Data Source: Evidence of quarterly portfolio   | Comments: | Nov Portfolios creating end-of-y   | •                            |                               | of semester.                | Feb Most       | ELA teache   | rs have comp   | leted porti | folios. April/N | May - Teache  | ers are            |  |
| 2. Students identified as below proficient will receive 30 minute                    | es        |  |                              |                               |                             |                |              |                |             |                 | 1             |                    |  |
| of intervention per day during success period  | Plan      | Monthly check  | n/a                          | n/a                           | 30min                       | 30min          | 30min        | 30min          | 30min       | 30min           | 30min         | 30min              |  |
|  | Actual    |  | n/a                          | n/a                           | 30 min                      | 30 min         | 30 min       | 30 min         | 30 min      | 30min           | 30min         | n/a                |  |
| Data Source: Data-Driven Success Period monthly checks                               | Comments: | Success period had prescribed lessor teachers who are                      | ns, students                 | continue to r                 | receive 30 mi               | inutes of inte |              |                | -           |                 |               |                    |  |
| 3. At least three new opportunities will be offered allowing                         |           |  |                              |                               |                             |                |              |                |             |                 |               |                    |  |
| students to learn from real world experiences with community                         |           |  |                              |                               |                             |                |              |                |             |                 |               |                    |  |
| partners   | Plan      | 3  | n/a                          | n/a                           | 1                           | n/a            | n/a          | _              | n/a         | n/a             |               | 3 n/a              |  |
| 1  | Actual    |  | n/a                          | n/a                           | 0                           | n/a            | n/a          | 1              | n/a         | n/a             |               | <mark>2</mark> n/a |  |
| Data Source: Number of community opportunities at each check point                   | Comments: | Oct./Nov Stude<br>preparation. Feb<br>April/May - Stud<br>& A session with | o Still in co<br>ents walked | mmunicatior<br>I to the local | n looking for<br>Humane Soc | partners to o  | come to the  | school and s   | hare real w | orld experie    | nces with st  | udents.            |  |
| 4. Teachers will consistently collaborate and co-plan ways to                        | 1         |  |                              |                               |                             |                |              | T              |             |                 | 1             | $\top$             |  |
| embed effective reading and writing strategies within all conte                      | nt        |  |                              |                               |                             |                |              |                |             |                 |               |                    |  |
| areas as evidenced by PLC sharing, lesson plans and classroom observations           | Plan      | 90%  | n/a                          | 55%                           | 60%                         | 65%            | 65%          | 70%            | 75          | % 809           | % 859         | % 90%              |  |
|  | Actual    |  | n/a                          | 50%                           | 63%                         | 68%            | 75%          |                | 85          |                 |               | <mark>%</mark> n/a |  |
| Data Source: Evidence from planning in PLCs, lesson plans and classroom observations | Comments: | Embedding ELA s<br>embedding strate  | •                            |                               |                             | creased durii  | ng the schoo | ol year with S | cience, Soc | ial Studies ar  | nd Specials t | eachers            |  |

|  |                  |  | 2012  |  |  |  | 2013   |  |  |                                    |  |   |  |
|--|------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Improve student performance by supporting leaders in managing  |                  | FOV Cool   | Comb  | Oct  | New  | Des  | lan  | Fala   | Man  | A                                  | Mari   | l                                       |  |
| school change  1. Leadership team agrees that collective coaching sessions practicing                                      |                  | EOY Goal   | Sept  | Oct  | Nov  | Dec  | Jan  | Feb  | Mar  | Apr                                | May  | June                                    |  |
| instructional conversations (feedback) helped improve instructional  |                  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |                                    |  |   |  |
|  | Plan             | 100%   | n/a   | n/a  | 72%  | n/a  | n/a  | n/a  | 86%  | n/a                                | n/a  | 1009                                    |  |
|  | Actual           |  | n/a   | n/a  |  | n/a  | n/a  | n/a  |  | n/a                                | n/a  | 1009                                    |  |
| Data Source: PLC exit tickets and surveys  | Comments:        | Most administrat<br>They utilized TNT<br>keep them from overy positive and<br>make every effort<br>able to attend. | P training in completing encouragir         | nformation which instructional leading. Even when    | nile conductir<br>eadership res<br>given a choi    | ng observatio<br>ponsibilities.<br>ce of cancelli    | ns and follov<br>Survey and<br>ng or resched | v-up convers<br>verbal feedl<br>duling, if sch | sations, but so<br>back about the<br>edules are bu | ome tend<br>e coachi<br>sy, all le | ded to allow otl<br>ng sessions cor<br>adership team | her tasks to<br>ntinue to be<br>members |  |
| 2. The leadership team agrees that individual coaching sessions  |                  | T  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |                                    |  |   |  |
| improved their leadership skills   | Plan             | 100%   | n/a   | n/a  | 72%  | n/a  | n/a  | n/a  | 86%  | n/a                                | n/a  | 100%                                    |  |
|  | Actual           |  | n/a   | n/a  | 86%  |  | n/a  | n/a  | 100%   | n/a                                | n/a  | 100%                                    |  |
|  |                  | time for the admi<br>has allowed the a   |   | •  |  |  |  | •  | _  |                                    |  | , me ume                                |  |
| 3. The leadership team intentionally schedules short and long classroom observations and meetings to provide observational |                  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |                                    |  |   |  |
| feedback   | Plan             | 95%  | n/a   | 58%  | n/a  | 72%  | n/a  | n/a  | 85%  | n/a                                | n/a  | 95%                                     |  |
|  | Actual           |  | n/a   |  | n/a  |  | n/a  | n/a  |  | n/a                                | n/a  | 1009                                    |  |
| Data Source: Administrator Coach PLC notes and observations  | Comments:        | Most administrat completing instru worked collabora feedback to teach comfortable with                             | ctional lead<br>tively to su<br>ers. Contin | dership respor<br>pport, encoura<br>nued support a   | nsibilities. Bui<br>age, and ensu<br>and collabora | lding-wide is<br>ire that all ad                     | sues with stu<br>ministrators                | ıdent behav<br>intentionall                    | ior have been<br>y schedule ob                     | a distra<br>servatio               | ctor. Amplify a ns and provide                       | nd TNTP have<br>meaningful              |  |
|  |                  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  | _                                  |  |   |  |
| 4. Leadership team is able to use individual and aggregate school data   |                  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |                                    |  |   |  |
| to provide meaningful feedback to teachers as indicated on RISE  | Dlan             | 050/   | n /o  | F00/   | 1 2 /2   | 720/   | n /o   | n /n   | 050/   | <br>                               | m /-   | 05/                                     |  |
| observation forms  | Plan             | 95%  |   |  | n/a  | 72%  |  | n/a<br>n/a                                     | 85%<br>86%   |                                    | n/a  | 959                                     |  |
| Data Source: Administrator Coach PLC notes and observations  | Actual Comments: | Most administrat   | n/a   |  | n/a  |  | n/a  | <u> </u>                                       |  | n/a<br>tinue to                    | n/a  | ata a priority                          |  |
| Duta Source. Administrator Couch FEC notes and observations  | Comments.        | and let the data d   | · ·   | _  | _  |  |  | Tractive team                                  | Theeds to con                                      |                                    | Thake sensor a                                       |   |  |
| 5. Leadership team articulates a cohesive and coherent vision for the  |                  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ī                                  |  |   |  |
| school   | Plan             | 95%  | n/a   | 58%  | n/a  | 72%  | n/a  | n/a  | 85%  | n/a                                | n/a  | 959                                     |  |
|  | Actual           |  | n/a   | 72%  | n/a  | 72%  | n/a  | n/a  | 72%  | n/a                                | n/a  | 1009                                    |  |
| Data Source: Administrator Coach PLC and coaching notes  | Comments:        | The former princi comprised of tead very positive one provide input and excited about the                          | ther leaders<br>as the actir<br>collaborat  | s to discuss an<br>ng principal co<br>e about teachi | d develop, no<br>ntinues to so<br>ing and learn    | ot only a visic<br>licit input fro<br>ing, school cu | on for the sch<br>m all stakeho              | nool, but a st<br>olders. The l                | crategic plan, a<br>eadership tea                  | as well.<br>m is in p              | The last month<br>lace and ready                     | has been a and willing to               |  |