



Glenda Ritz, NBCT

Indiana Superintendent of Public Instruction

Update on Accountability and ESSA

September 7, 2016

OVERVIEW





Overview

ESSA Accountability Advisory Committee

Glenda Ritz

Steve Baker

Steve Yager

Cari Whicker

Robert Lugo

Scott Bess

Jim Snapp

Shane Robbins

Keith Gambill

Ric Frataccia

John Elcesser

Trish Morita-Mullaney

Teresa Disterlrath

Veronique Briscoe-Beuoy

Lisa Kovacs

Keena Parquet

David Smith

David Purpura

Superintendent of Public Instruction
Principal, Bluffton High School
Member, Indiana State Board of Education
Teacher, Riverview Middle School; Vice Chair, Indiana State Board of Education
Principal, North Elementary School
Head of Purdue Polytechnic High School
Superintendent, Brownsburg Community School Corporation
Superintendent, Mt. Vernon Community Schools
Vice President, Indiana State Teachers Association

Superintendent Valparaiso Community Schools Executive Director, Indiana Non-Public Education Association

Assistant Professor in English Language Learning, Purdue University Vice President, Indiana Parent Teacher Association

Climate, Culture & Community Director, Indiana Department of Education

Founder & Director of Programs, Indiana Hands & Voices

Principal, Crooked Creek Elementary School

Superintendent, Evansville Vanderburgh Community School Corporation Assistant Professor, Purdue University; Member, Greater Lafayette Accountability Group





AGENDA: August 22, 2016

- Review ESSA requirements for statewide accountability systems
- Review data and determine long-term goals and interim measures of progress for all students and each subgroup, and timeline for achieving set goals
 - Graduation Rate
 - Academic Achievement





GRADUATION RATE GOAL





Graduation Rate Goal

Requirements

- Establish ambitious *state-designed* long-term goals and interim measures of progress for improved graduation rates for all students and for each student subgroup
- Must be measured by the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate
- May include an extended-year adjusted cohort graduation rate measure—if state chooses to include, it must set more rigorous long-term goals as compared to long-term goals of fouryear rate
- Must set the same multi-year timeline to achieve the long-term goals for all students and for each subgroup
- Must take into account the improvement necessary for lower-achieving subgroups to make significant progress in closing statewide achievement gaps





Graduation Rate Goal

Options Considered

- Statewide Goal of 90% within six years
- Statewide Goal of 91% within six years
- Cut Non-Graduate Rate by 50% within six years
- Set Statewide Goal at 90th Percentile within six years (94.19%)





Graduation Rate Goal

Option Preferred

- Statewide Goal of 90% Graduation Rate within Six Years for all Students and each Subgroup (based on 2015 rate)
- Further exploration into safe harbor options
- Further exploration into comparison of state and federal rates
- Baseline: 2016 Four-Year Graduation Rate





ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT GOAL





Requirements

- Establish ambitious *state-designed* long-term goals and interim measures of progress for improved academic achievement for all students and for each student subgroup
- Must be measured by grade-level proficiency on the annual language arts and math assessments respectively
- Must apply the same high standards of academic achievement to all public school students in the state, except for those with the most significant cognitive disabilities
- Must set the same multi-year timeline to achieve the long-term goals for all students and for each subgroup
- Must take into account the improvement necessary for lower-achieving subgroups to make significant progress in closing statewide achievement gaps





Options Considered

- Statewide Goal of 90% within six years
- Cut non-proficient rate by 50% within six years
- Cut non-proficient rate by a determined percentage within six years
- Set statewide goal at 90th percentile within six years
 - E/La: 85.20%
 - Math: 81.40%





Option Preferred

- Statewide goal to cut non-proficient rates in E/La and Math by a determined percentage within six years for all students and each subgroup
- Further exploration into various percentage goals other than 50%
- Further exploration into safe harbor options
- Baseline: 2016 ISTEP+ Results





OTHER LONG-TERM GOALS





Option Considered

• State may choose to set long-term goals and interim progress measures for other areas beyond what is required under ESSA

Option Preferred

Do not pursue setting of goals for other areas beyond those explicitly required under ESSA





Indiana Superintendent of Public Instruction

UPCOMING MEETINGS





Upcoming Meeting Dates

September 12, 2016

- High School Multiple Measures Domain
 - CCR Achievement Rate Calculation and Indicators
 - Graduation Rate Calculation (four- and five-year)
 - Review for alignment with federal requirements
- Growth Domain
 - Incorporation of English Learner Proficiency Progress Indicator & Selection of Metric
 - Review for alignment with federal requirements





Upcoming Meeting Dates

September 30, 2016

- Elementary and Middle School Multiple Measures Domain
 - Discussion and Selection of Recommended Multiple Measures for Elementary and Middle School Grade Spans





Overview

Upcoming Meeting Dates—State Board of Education

September 7, 2016

October 5, 2016

November 2, 2016

December 7, 2016



Board Member Input





QUESTIONS?



