

**STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTS**  
**302 West Washington Street**  
**Room E418**  
**INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2769**

FEDERAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

OF

TOWN OF FRANKTON

MADISON COUNTY, INDIANA

January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021



**FILED**  
07/21/2022



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Officials .....	2
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statement Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> .....	3-4
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance .....	5-8
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Accompanying Notes:	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards .....	10
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	11
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	12-20
Auditee-Prepared Document:	
Corrective Action Plan .....	22
Other Reports.....	23

SCHEDULE OF OFFICIALS

<u>Office</u>	<u>Official</u>	<u>Term</u>
Clerk-Treasurer	Jerry Ehman	01-01-21 to 12-31-22
President of the Town Council	Victoria J. Hart Larry Shively	01-01-21 to 12-31-21 01-01-22 to 12-31-22



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING  
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL  
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

TO: THE OFFICIALS OF THE TOWN OF FRANKTON, MADISON COUNTY, INDIANA

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statement of the Town of Frankton (Town), for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statement, which collectively comprise the Town's financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated June 30, 2022, wherein we noted the Town followed accounting practices the Indiana State Board of Accounts prescribes rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

***Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the Town's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Town's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Town's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Town's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2021-001 and 2020-002, that we consider to be material weaknesses.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING  
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL  
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*  
(Continued)

***Report on Compliance and Other Matters***

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Town's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2021-002.

***Town of Frankton's Response to Findings***

*Government Auditing Standards* requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Town's response to findings identified in our audit and described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The Town's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Corrective Action Plan. The Town's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

***Purpose of This Report***

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Town's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Town's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.



Beth Kelley, CPA, CFE  
Deputy State Examiner

June 30, 2022



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

TO: THE OFFICIALS OF THE TOWN OF FRANKTON, MADISON COUNTY, INDIANA

**Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program**

***Qualified Opinion***

We have audited the Town of Frankton's (Town) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2021. The Town's major federal program is identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

***Qualified Opinion on Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities***

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the *Basis for Qualified Opinion* section of our report, the Town complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities for the year ended December 31, 2021.

***Basis for Qualified Opinion***

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance* section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the Town and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Town's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE  
(Continued)

***Matter Giving Rise to Qualified Opinion on Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities***

As described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, the Town did not comply with requirements regarding 10.760 Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities as described in finding number 2021-003 for Procurement and Suspension and Debarment. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the Town to comply with the requirements applicable to that program.

***Responsibilities of Management for Compliance***

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the Town's federal programs.

***Auditor's Responsibility for the Audit of Compliance***

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the Town's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Non-compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually, or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the Town's compliance with the requirements of the major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the Town's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the Town's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Town's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE  
(Continued)

**Other Matters**

*Government Auditing Standards* requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Town's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The Town's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Corrective Action Plan. The Town's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

**Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance* section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we did identify certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2021-003 to be material weaknesses.

A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

*Government Auditing Standards* requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Town's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The Town's response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE  
(Continued)

**Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance**

We have audited the financial statement of the Town, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statement. We issued our report thereon dated June 30, 2022, which contained a dual opinion on the financial statement. An adverse opinion was issued regarding the presentation in accordance with *U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, and an unmodified opinion was issued regarding the presentation in accordance with the *Regulatory Basis of Accounting*. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statement as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statement. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement or to the financial statement itself, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statement as a whole.



Beth Kelley, CPA, CFE  
Deputy State Examiner

June 30, 2022

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS AND ACCOMPANYING NOTES

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and accompanying notes presented were approved by management of the Town. The schedule and notes are presented as intended by the Town.

TOWN OF FRANKTON  
 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
 For the Year Ended December 31, 2021

Federal Grantor Agency Cluster Title/Program Title/Project Title	Pass-Through Entity or Direct Grant	Assistance Listings Number	Pass-Through Entity (or Other) Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipient	Total Federal Awards Expended
<u>Department of Agriculture</u>					
Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities Wastewater Collection System Improvements	Direct grant	10.760	FY2021	\$ -	\$ 1,795,332
Total - Department of Agriculture				-	1,795,332
Total federal awards expended				\$ -	\$ 1,795,332

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

TOWN OF FRANKTON  
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

**Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*A. Basis of Presentation*

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) includes the federal grant activity of the Town under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2021. The information in the SEFA is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the SEFA presents only a select portion of the operations of the Town, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position of the Town.

*B. Other Significant Accounting Policies*

Expenditures reported on the SEFA are reported on the cash basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments*, or the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowed or are limited as to reimbursement. When federal grants are received on a reimbursement basis, the federal awards are considered expended when the reimbursement is received.

**Note 2. Indirect Cost Rate**

The Town has elected not to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

TOWN OF FRANKTON  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results**

Financial Statement:

Type of auditor's report issued:	Adverse as to GAAP; Unmodified as to Regulatory Basis
Internal control over financial reporting:	
Material weaknesses identified?	yes
Significant deficiencies identified?	none reported
Noncompliance material to financial statement noted?	yes

Federal Awards:

Internal control over major program:	
Material weaknesses identified?	yes
Significant deficiencies identified?	none reported
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?	yes

Identification of Major Program and type of auditor's report issued on compliance for it:

Assistance Listings Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster	Opinion Issued
10.760	Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities	Qualified

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	no
--	----

**Section II - Financial Statement Findings**

**FINDING 2021-001**

Subject: Financial Transactions and Reporting  
Audit Finding: Material Weakness

*Condition and Context*

There were deficiencies in the internal control system of the Town related to financial transactions and reporting. The Town had not properly designed or implemented internal controls over cash and investments and financial close and reporting.

The Town had not conducted an evaluation of its system of internal control. Effective internal controls over financial reporting requires the Town to monitor and assess the quality of its system of internal controls. Additionally, the Town did not have a process to identify or communicate corrective actions to improve internal controls.

TOWN OF FRANKTON  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

*Cash and Investments (Bank Reconciliations)*

The Town had not properly designed or implemented internal controls over cash and investments, including bank reconciliations, to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the ledgers.

Monthly bank reconciliations were completed by the Clerk-Treasurer without any oversight or review.

*Financial Close and Reporting*

The Town had not established internal controls over the financial information entered into the Indiana Gateway for Government Units financial reporting system, which was the source of the Town's financial statement. This information was entered by the Clerk-Treasurer without any oversight or review in place to prevent, or detect and correct, errors on the financial statement.

*Criteria*

The Indiana State Board of Accounts (SBOA) is required under Indiana Code 5-11-1-27(e) to define the acceptable minimum level of internal control standards. To provide clarifying guidance, the State Examiner compiled the standards contained in the manual, *Uniform Internal Control Standards for Indiana Political Subdivisions*. All political subdivisions subject to audit by SBOA are expected to adhere to these standards. The standards include adequate control activities. According to this manual:

"Control activities are the actions and tools established through policies and procedures that help to detect, prevent, or reduce the identified risks that interfere with the achievement of objectives. Detection activities are designed to identify unfavorable events in a timely manner whereas prevention activities are designed to deter the occurrence of an unfavorable event. Examples of these activities include reconciliations, authorizations, approval processes, performance reviews, and verification processes.

An integral part of the control activity component is segregation of duties. . . .

There is an expectation of segregation of duties. If compensating controls are necessary, documentation should exist to identify both the areas where segregation of duties are not feasible or practical and the compensating controls implemented to mitigate the risk. . . ."

"Risk assessment is the process used to identify and assess internal and external risks to the achievement of objectives, and then establish risk tolerances. . . ."

"Evaluations are used to determine whether each of the five components of internal control is present and functioning. These evaluations may be conducted on an ongoing or periodic basis. . . ."

*Cause*

Management had not established an effective system of internal control over financial transactions and reporting. An evaluation of the Town's system of internal control had not been conducted.

*Effect*

Without a proper system of internal control in place that operated effectively, material misstatements of financial transactions and reporting could have occurred and remained undetected.

TOWN OF FRANKTON  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

*Views of Responsible Officials*

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

**FINDING 2021-002**

Subject: Preparation of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards  
Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Noncompliance

*Condition and Context*

The Town had not established internal controls over the federal award information entered in the Indiana Gateway for Government Units financial reporting system, which was the source of the Town's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA). The information was entered by the Clerk-Treasurer without any oversight or review in place to prevent, or detect and correct, errors on the SEFA.

Due to the lack of internal controls, the SEFA presented for audit included the following errors:

1. The Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities expenditures were overstated by \$1,821,192 for Passed Through to Subrecipient and by \$370,678 for Total Federal Awards Expended.
2. The Coronavirus Relief Fund grant was incorrectly included, which overstated federal expenditures by \$59,274.
3. Other errors included incorrect program names, pass-through entities, and identifying numbers.

Audit adjustments were proposed, accepted by the Town, and made to the SEFA presented in this report.

*Criteria*

The Indiana State Board of Accounts (SBOA) is required under Indiana Code 5-11-1-27(e) to define the acceptable minimum level of internal control standards. To provide clarifying guidance, the State Examiner compiled the standards contained in the manual, *Uniform Internal Control Standards for Indiana Political Subdivisions*. All political subdivisions subject to audit by SBOA are expected to adhere to these standards. The standards include adequate control activities. According to this manual:

"Control activities are the actions and tools established through policies and procedures that help to detect, prevent, or reduce the identified risks that interfere with the achievement of objectives. Detection activities are designed to identify unfavorable events in a timely manner whereas prevention activities are designed to deter the occurrence of an unfavorable event. Examples of these activities include reconciliations, authorizations, approval processes, performance reviews, and verification processes.

An integral part of the control activity component is segregation of duties. . . .

There is an expectation of segregation of duties. If compensating controls are necessary, documentation should exist to identify both the areas where segregation of duties are not feasible or practical and the compensating controls implemented to mitigate the risk. . . ."

TOWN OF FRANKTON  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

2 CFR 200.303 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must:

- (a) Establish and maintain effective internal control . . ."

2 CFR 200.1 states in part:

". . . *Internal controls* for non-Federal entities means:

- (1) Processes designed and implemented by non-Federal entities to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the following categories:
  - (i) Effectiveness and efficiency of operations;
  - (ii) Reliability of reporting for internal and external use; . . ."

2 CFR 200.508 states in part:

"The auditee must: . . .

- (b) Prepare appropriate financial statements, including the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards in accordance with § 200.510 . . ."

2 CFR 200.510(b) states:

"Schedule of expenditures of Federal awards. The auditee must also prepare a schedule of expenditures of Federal awards for the period covered by the auditee's financial statements which must include the total Federal awards expended as determined in accordance with § 200.502. While not required, the auditee may choose to provide information requested by Federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities to make the schedule easier to use. For example, when a Federal program has multiple Federal award years, the auditee may list the amount of Federal awards expended for each Federal award year separately. At a minimum, the schedule must:

- (1) List individual Federal programs by Federal agency. For a cluster of programs, provide the cluster name, list individual Federal programs within the cluster of programs, and provide the applicable Federal agency name. For R&D, total Federal awards expended must be shown either by individual Federal award or by Federal agency and major subdivision within the Federal agency. For example, the National Institutes of Health is a major subdivision in the Department of Health and Human Services.
- (2) For Federal awards received as a subrecipient, the name of the pass-through entity and identifying number assigned by the pass-through entity must be included.
- (3) Provide total Federal awards expended for each individual Federal program and the Assistance Listings Number or other identifying number when the Assistance Listings information is not available. For a cluster of programs also provide the total for the cluster.
- (4) Include the total amount provided to subrecipients from each Federal program.

TOWN OF FRANKTON  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

- (5) For loan or loan guarantee programs described in § 200.502(b), identify in the notes to the schedule the balances outstanding at the end of the audit period. This is in addition to including the total Federal awards expended for loan or loan guarantee programs in the schedule.
- (6) Include notes that describe that significant accounting policies used in preparing the schedule, and note whether or not the auditee elected to use the 10% de minimis cost rate as covered in § 200.414."

*Cause*

Management had not established a system of internal control that would have ensured proper reporting of the SEFA.

*Effect*

Without a proper system of internal control in place that operated effectively, material misstatements of the SEFA remained undetected. The SEFA contained the errors identified in the *Condition and Context*.

*Views of Responsible Officials*

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

**Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs**

**FINDING 2021-003**

Subject: Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities -  
Procurement and Suspension and Debarment  
Federal Agency: Department of Agriculture  
Federal Program: Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities  
Assistance Listings Number: 10.760  
Federal Award Number and Year (or Other Identifying Number): FY2021  
Compliance Requirement: Procurement and Suspension and Debarment  
Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Modified Opinion

*Condition and Context*

An effective system of internal control was not in place at the Town to ensure compliance with requirements related to the grant agreement and the Procurement and Suspension and Debarment compliance requirement.

*Policy*

The Town did not have policies or procedures that complied with procurement standards. The Town did not have written standards of conduct covering conflicts of interest and governing the performance of its employees engaged in the selection, award, and administration of contracts. Additionally, there was not a policy to use statutorily or administratively imposed in-State or local geographical preferences in the evaluation of bids or proposals.

TOWN OF FRANKTON  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

*Procurement*

The Town could not provide documentation to support its choice of vendors who received contracts. For one small purchase vendor tested, price or rate quotations were not obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources. Additionally, for one vendor that exceeded the simplified acquisition threshold, adequate documentation was not maintained to support the decision to award the contract to the selected vendor.

*Suspension and Debarment*

The Town could not provide documentation that procedures were performed to verify that the vendors with contracts over \$25,000 were not excluded or disqualified from participation in federal programs.

The lack of internal controls and noncompliance were systemic issues throughout the audit period.

*Criteria*

2 CFR 200.303 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must:

- (a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in 'Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government' issued by the Comptroller General of the United States or the 'Internal Control Integrated Framework', issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). . . ."

2 CFR 200.318 states in part:

"(a) The non-Federal entity must use its own documented procurement procedures which reflect applicable State, local, and tribal laws and regulations, provided that the procurements conform to applicable Federal law and the standards identified in this part. . . ."

(c)

- (1) The non-Federal entity must maintain written standards of conduct covering conflicts of interest and governing the actions of its employees engaged in the selection, award and administration of contracts. No employee, officer, or agent may participate in the selection, award, or administration of a contract supported by a Federal award if he or she has a real or apparent conflict of interest. Such a conflict of interest would arise when the employee, officer, or agent, any member of his or her immediate family, his or her partner, or an organization which employs or is about to employ any of the parties indicated herein, has a financial or other interest in or a tangible personal benefit from a firm considered for a contract. The officers, employees, and agents of the non-Federal entity may neither solicit nor accept gratuities, favors, or anything of monetary value from contractors or parties to subcontracts. However, non-Federal entities may set standards for situations in which the financial interest is not substantial or the gift is an unsolicited item of nominal value. The standards of conduct must provide for disciplinary actions to be applied for violations of such standards by officers, employees, or agents of the non-Federal entity.

TOWN OF FRANKTON  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

(d) The non-Federal entity's procedures must avoid acquisition of unnecessary or duplicative items. Consideration should be given to consolidating or breaking out procurements to obtain a more economical purchase. Where appropriate, an analysis will be made of lease versus purchase alternatives, and any other appropriate analysis to determine the most economical approach. . . .

(i) The non-Federal entity must maintain records sufficient to detail the history of procurement. These records will include, but are not necessarily limited to the following: rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type, contractor selection or rejection, and the basis for the contract price. . . ."

2 CFR 200.320 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must have and use documented procurement procedures, consistent with the standards of this section and §§ 200.317, 200.318, and 200.319 for any of the following methods of procurement used for the acquisition of property or services required under a Federal award or sub-award.

(a) *Informal procurement methods.* When the value of the procurement for property or services under a Federal award does not exceed the *simplified acquisition threshold (SAT)*, as defined in § 200.1, or a lower threshold established by a non-Federal entity, formal procurement methods are not required. The non-Federal entity may use informal procurement methods to expedite the completion of its transactions and minimize the associated administrative burden and cost. The informal methods used for procurement of property or services at or below the SAT include: . . .

(2) *Small purchases* –

(i) *Small purchase procedures.* The acquisition of property or services, the aggregate dollar amount of which is higher than the micro-purchase threshold but does not exceed the simplified acquisition threshold. If small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations must be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources as determined appropriate by the non-Federal entity. . . .

(b) *Formal procurement methods.* When the value of the procurement for property or services under a Federal financial assistance award exceeds the SAT, or a lower threshold established by a non-Federal entity, formal procurement methods are required. Formal procurement methods require following documented procedures. Formal procurement methods also require public advertising unless a non-competitive procurement can be used in accordance with § 200.319 or paragraph (c) of this section. The following formal methods of procurement are used for procurement of property or services above the simplified acquisition threshold or a value below the simplified acquisition threshold the non-Federal entity determines to be appropriate:

(1) *Sealed bids.* A procurement method in which bids are publicly solicited and a firm fixed-price contract (lump sum or unit price) is awarded to the responsible bidder whose bid, conforming with all the material terms and conditions of the invitation for bids, is the lowest in price. The sealed bids method is the preferred method for procuring construction, if the conditions.

(i) In order for sealed bidding to be feasible, the following conditions should be present:

TOWN OF FRANKTON  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

- (A) A complete, adequate, and realistic specification or purchase description is available;
  - (B) Two or more responsible bidders are willing and able to compete effectively for the business; and
  - (C) The procurement lends itself to a firm fixed price contract and the selection of the successful bidder can be made principally on the basis of price.
- (ii) If sealed bids are used, the following requirements apply:
- (A) Bids must be solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources, providing them sufficient response time prior to the date set for opening the bids, for local, and tribal governments, the invitation for bids must be publicly advertised;
  - (B) The invitation for bids, which will include any specifications and pertinent attachments, must define the items or services in order for the bidder to properly respond;
  - (C) All bids will be opened at the time and place prescribed in the invitation for bids, and for local and tribal governments, the bids must be opened publicly;
  - (D) A firm fixed price contract award will be made in writing to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. Where specified in bidding documents, factors such as discounts, transportation cost, and life cycle costs must be considered in determining which bid is lowest. Payment discounts will only be used to determine the low bid when prior experience indicates that such discounts are usually taken advantage of; and
  - (E) Any or all bids may be rejected if there is a sound documented reason."

2 CFR 180.300 states:

"When you enter into a covered transaction with another person at the next lower tier, you must verify that the person with whom you intend to do business is not excluded or disqualified. You do this by:

- (a) Checking SAM Exclusions; or
- (b) Collecting a certification from that person; or
- (c) Adding a clause or condition to the covered transaction with that person."

*Cause*

Management had not developed a system of internal control that would have ensured compliance with the Procurement and Suspension and Debarment compliance requirement.

TOWN OF FRANKTON  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

*Effect*

The failure to establish an effective internal control system enabled material noncompliance to go undetected. Noncompliance with the grant agreement and the Procurement and Suspension and Debarment compliance could result in the loss of federal funds to the Town.

*Questioned Costs*

There were no questioned costs identified.

*Recommendation*

We recommended that the Town's management establish a system of internal control to ensure compliance and comply with the grant agreement and the Procurement and Suspension and Debarment compliance requirement.

*Views of Responsible Officials*

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

AUDITEE-PREPARED DOCUMENT

The subsequent document was provided by management of the Town. The document is presented as intended by the Town.

# Town of Frankton

## *Office of the Clerk Treasurer*

204 East Sigler Street, P.O. Box 286

Frankton, Indiana 46044

[frankton@swayzee.com](mailto:frankton@swayzee.com) / [www.townoffrankton.com](http://www.townoffrankton.com)

### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

#### ***FINDING 2021 - 001***

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Jerry Ehman  
Contact Phone Number: 765-754-7285

Views of Responsible Official: We concur with findings.

Description of Corrective Action Plan: We will review all internal controls and update as necessary. Bank reconciliements will be reviewed by Board President and initialed. Board President will review Annual Financial Report before submission to Gateway.

Anticipated Completion Date: September 2022

#### ***FINDING 2021 - 002***

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Jerry Ehman  
Contact Phone Number: 765-754-7285

Views of Responsible Official: We concur with findings.

Description of Corrective Action Plan: This was my first experience with Federal Grant money and did not realize what all it incurred. The Board President and myself will review the lack of internal controls and will put some in place.

Anticipated Completion Date: September 2022

#### ***FINDING 2021 - 003***

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Jerry Ehman  
Contact Phone Number: 765-754-7285

Views of Responsible Official: We concur with the findings.

Description of Corrective Action Plan: A Conflict of interest policy will be established and implemented. We will also write a Purchasing policy. It is our intent to comply with all State and/or Federal guidelines.

Anticipated Completion Date: September 2022

## OTHER REPORTS

In addition to this report, other reports may have been issued for the Town. All reports can be found on the Indiana State Board of Accounts' website: <http://www.in.gov/sboa/>.