# STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTS 302 West Washington Street Room E418 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2769

SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLIANCE REPORT

OF

BAUGO COMMUNITY SCHOOLS

ELKHART COUNTY, INDIANA

July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2016





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Officials	2
Transmittal Letter	3
Federal Findings:	
Finding 2016-001	
Financial Transactions and Reporting	4-5
Finding 2016-002	
Child Nutrition Cluster - Activities Allowed or Unallowed,	
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	5-8
Finding 2016-003	
Special Education Grants to States - Level of Effort - Maintenance of Effort	8-9
Finding 2016-004	
Special Education Cluster (IDEA) - Activities Allowed or Unallowed	9-12
Finding 2016-005	
Special Education Cluster (IDEA) - Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	12-16
Finding 2016-006	
Special Education Cluster (IDEA) - Cash Management	16-18
Finding 2016-007	
Special Education Cluster (IDEA) - Period of Performance	19-21
Finding 2016-008	
Special Education Cluster (IDEA) - Reporting	21-23
Corrective Action Plan	24-31
Exit Conference	32

### SCHEDULE OF OFFICIALS

<u>Office</u>	<u>Official</u>	<u>Term</u>
Treasurer	Bruce Perry Nancy R. Winnicki	01-01-14 to 01-27-17 01-28-17 to 12-31-18
Superintendent of Schools	James H. DuBois	07-01-14 to 06-30-18
President of the		
School Board	David Polston	01-01-14 to 12-31-14
	Edward Collins	01-01-15 to 12-31-16
	Caleb Pontius	01-01-17 to 12-31-17
	Edward Collins	01-01-18 to 12-31-18



STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTS 302 WEST WASHINGTON STREET ROOM E418 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2769

> Telephone: (317) 232-2513 Fax: (317) 232-4711 Web Site: www.in.gov/sboa

#### TO: THE OFFICIALS OF THE BAUGO COMMUNITY SCHOOLS, ELKHART COUNTY, INDIANA

This report is supplemental to our audit report of the Baugo Community Schools (School Corporation), for the period from July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2016. It has been provided as a separate report so that the reader may easily identify any Federal Findings that pertain to the School Corporation. It should be read in conjunction with our Financial Statement and Federal Single Audit Report of the School Corporation, which provides our opinions on the School Corporation's financial statement and federal program compliance. This report may be found at <a href="https://www.in.gov/sboa/">www.in.gov/sboa/</a>.

The Federal Findings, identified in the above referenced audit report, are included in this report.

Any Corrective Action Plan for the Federal Findings, incorporated within this report, was not verified for accuracy.

Paul D. Joyce, CPA State Examiner

## BAUGO COMMUNITY SCHOOLS FEDERAL FINDINGS

#### **FINDING 2016-001**

Subject: Financial Transactions and Reporting

Audit Finding: Material Weakness

#### Repeat Finding

This is a repeat finding from the immediate prior audit regarding receipts. The prior audit finding number was 2014-001.

#### Condition

There were several deficiencies in the internal control system of the School Corporation related to Financial Transactions and Reporting.

#### Receipts

The School Corporation had not separated incompatible activities related to receipts, posting of receipts, and the preparation of the bank reconcilements. One person was solely responsible for all aspects of the receipts process and the preparation of the bank reconciliations.

#### Reporting

The School Corporation did not have a proper system of internal control in place to prevent, or detect and correct, errors on the financial statements. There were not adequate controls to ensure the accuracy of the transactions included in the records and reported in the financial statement for the Prepaid Food, Payroll Clearing, and Other Clearing funds.

#### Context

The lack of internal controls was a systemic issue throughout the audit period.

#### Criteria

The Indiana State Board of Accounts (SBOA) is required under Indiana Code 5-11-1-27(e) to define the acceptable minimum level of internal control standards. To provide clarifying guidance, the State Examiner compiled the standards contained in the manual, *Uniform Internal Control Standards for Indiana Political Subdivisions*. All political subdivisions subject to audit by SBOA are expected to adhere to these standards. The standards include adequate control activities. According to this manual:

"Control activities are the actions and tools established through policies and procedures that help to detect, prevent, or reduce the identified risks that interfere with the achievement of objectives. Detection activities are designed to identify unfavorable events in a timely manner whereas prevention activities are designed to deter the occurrence of an unfavorable event. Examples of these activities include reconciliations, authorizations, approval processes, performance reviews, and verification processes.

An integral part of the control activity component is segregation of duties. . . .

There is an expectation of segregation of duties. If compensating controls are necessary, documentation should exist to identify both the areas where segregation of duties are not feasible or practical and the compensating controls implemented to mitigate the risk. . . ."

"The Green Book identifies a list of control activity categories that are meant only to illustrate the range and variety of control activities; the list is by no means all inclusive, but is reproduced here for reference purposes:

· Accurate and timely recording of transactions. . . . "

#### Cause

Management of the School Corporation had not established a proper system of internal control that would have ensured proper reporting of Financial Transaction and Reporting of receipts.

#### Effect

The failure to establish controls could have enabled material misstatements or irregularities to remain undetected.

#### Recommendation

We recommended that the School Corporation establish a system of internal controls related to Financial Transactions and Reporting of receipts.

#### Views of Responsible Officials

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

#### **FINDING 2016-002**

Subject: Child Nutrition Cluster - Activities Allowed or

Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Federal Agency: Department of Agriculture

Federal Programs: School Breakfast Program, National School Lunch Program

CFDA Numbers: 10.553, 10.555

Federal Award Numbers and Years (or Other Identifying Numbers): FY 2015/FY2016

Pass-Through Entity: IN Dept. of Education

Compliance Requirements: Activities Allowed or Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Modified Opinion

#### Condition

An effective internal control system was not in place at the School Corporation in order to ensure compliance with requirements related to the grant agreement and the Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles compliance requirements. Effective controls were not in place to ensure that only applicable employees were paid from program funds.

The School Corporation paid \$112,232 of business office salaries/wages that were allocated to the school lunch based on fixed percentages. There was no supporting documentation to indicate how the percentages were determined.

#### Context

This is was a systemic issue, which occurred throughout the audit period.

#### Criteria

OMB Circular A-133, Subpart C, section .300 states in part:

"The auditee shall: . . . (b) Maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. . . . "

2 CFR 200.303 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in 'Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government' issued by the Comptroller General of the United States or the 'Internal Control Integrated Framework', issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). . . . "

OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A, Part C states in part:

- "1. Factors affecting allowability of costs. To be allowable under Federal awards, costs must meet the following general criteria:
  - a. Be necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient performance and administration of Federal awards.
  - b. Be allocable to Federal awards under the provisions of this Circular. . . .
  - j. Be adequately documented. . . . "

OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, Section 8h(4) states in part:

"Where employees work on multiple activities or cost objectives, a distribution of their salaries or wages will be supported by personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation which meets the standards in subsection (5) unless a statistical sampling system (see subsection (6)) or other substitute system has been approved by the cognizant Federal agency. Such documentary support will be required where employees work on:

- a. More than one Federal award.
- b. A Federal award and a non-Federal award, . . . "

#### 2 CFR 200.403 states in part:

"Except where otherwise authorized by statute, costs must meet the following general criteria in order to be allowable under Federal awards:

(a) Be necessary and reasonable for the performance of the Federal award and be allocable thereto under these principles.

- (b) Conform to any limitations or exclusions set forth in these principles or in the Federal award as to types or amount of cost items. . . .
- (g) Be adequately documented. . . . "

#### 2 CFR 200.430(i) states in part:

"Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses (1) Charges to Federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. These records must:

- (i) Be supported by a system of internal control which provides reasonable assurance that the charges are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated;
- (ii) Be incorporated into the official records of the non-Federal entity;
- (iii) Reasonably reflect the total activity for which the employee is compensated by the non-Federal entity, not exceeding 100% of compensated activities (for IHE, this per the IHE's definition of IBS); . . .
- (vii) Support the distribution of the employee's salary or wages among specific activities or cost objectives if the employee works on more than one Federal award; a Federal award and non-Federal award; an indirect cost activity and a direct cost activity; two or more indirect activities which are allocated using different allocation bases; or an unallowable activity and a direct or indirect cost activity. . . ."

#### 34 CFR 76.730 states:

"A State and a subgrantee shall keep records that fully show:

- (a) The amount of funds under the grant or subgrant;
- (b) How the State or subgrantee uses the funds;
- (c) The total cost of the project;
- (d) The share of that cost provided from other sources; and
- (e) Other records to facilitate an effective audit."

34 CFR 76.731 states: "A State and a subgrantee shall keep records to show its compliance with program requirements."

#### Cause

Management had not developed a system of internal controls that would have ensured compliance with the Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles compliance requirements.

#### Effect

The failure to establish an effective internal control enabled material noncompliance to go undetected. Noncompliance with the grant agreement and the compliance requirements could have resulted in the loss of federal funds to the School Corporation.

#### Questioned Costs

The \$112,232 of the business office salaries/wages allocated to the School Lunch fund was considered questioned costs.

#### Recommendation

We recommended that the School Corporation's management establish controls to ensure compliance and to comply with the Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles compliance requirements.

#### Views of Responsible Officials

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

#### **FINDING 2016-003**

Subject: Special Education Grants to States - Level of Effort - Maintenance of Effort

Federal Agency: Department of Education

Federal Program: Special Education Grants to States

CFDA Number: 84.027

Federal Award Numbers and Years (or Other Identifying Numbers): 14214-016-PN01, 99910-TA01,

14215-016-PN01, 14216-016-PN01

Pass-Through Entity: IN Dept. of Education

Compliance Requirement: Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking

Audit Finding: Material Weakness

#### Condition

The School Corporation had not established an internal control system related to the grant agreements and the Level of Effort - Maintenance of Effort requirements of the Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking compliance requirement. One individual prepared the maintenance of effort calculation without a proper system of oversight or review.

#### Context

This was a systemic problem throughout the audit period.

#### Criteria

OMB Circular A-133, Subpart C, section .300 states in part:

"The auditee shall: . . . (b) Maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. . . ."

2 CFR 200.303 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must:

Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in 'Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government' issued by the Comptroller General of the United States or the 'Internal Control Integrated Framework', issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). . . ."

#### Cause

The School Corporation had not established a proper internal control structure that would have ensured compliance with the grant agreement and the Level of Effort - Maintenance of Effort requirements of the Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking compliance requirement.

#### Effect

The failure to establish an effective internal control system placed the School Corporation at risk of noncompliance with the grant agreement and the compliance requirement. A lack of segregation of duties within an internal control system could have also allowed noncompliance with the compliance requirement and allowed the misuse and mismanagement of federal funds and assets by not having proper oversight, reviews, and approvals over the activities of the program.

#### **Questioned Costs**

There were no questioned costs identified.

#### Recommendation

We recommended that the School Corporation's management establish controls related to the grant agreements and the Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking compliance requirement.

#### Views of Responsible Officials

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

#### **FINDING 2016-004**

Subject: Special Education Cluster (IDEA) - Activities Allowed or Unallowed

Federal Agency: Department of Education

Federal Programs: Special Education Grants to States, Special Education Preschool Grants

CFDA Numbers: 84.027, 84.173

Federal Award Numbers and Years (or Other Identifying Numbers): 14214-016-PN01, 14215-016-PN01,

14216-014-PN01, 99914-016-TA01, 45714-016-PN01, 45715-016-PN01,

45716-014-PN01

Pass-Through Entity: IN Dept. of Education

Compliance Requirement: Activities Allowed or Unallowed Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Modified Opinion

#### Condition

The Elkhart County Special Education Cooperative (ECSEC) was a public school program serving approximately 3,400 students with disabilities 3-22 years old in Elkhart County. The School Corporation was a participating member school corporation of the ECSEC. The Goshen Community Schools (GCS) was the administrator of this Cooperative. Because the grant agreements were between the Indiana Department of Education and each member of the Cooperative, each member school corporation was ultimately responsible for ensuring compliance with the requirements. An effective internal control system was not in place at the School Corporation and at the ECSEC in order to ensure compliance with requirements related to the grant agreement and the Activities Allowed or Unallowed compliance requirement. There were no controls in place to ensure that expenditures charged to the grant were adequately documented to show compliance over Activities Allowed or Unallowed.

The ECSEC provided special education services to its participating member school corporations (members) and billed them from expenditures paid within the ECSEC funds during the audit period. The ECSEC gave its members credits on their bills for amounts the members were awarded from the budgeted grant category, Services from Another Educational Agency. Members did not directly request reimbursement for Services from Another Educational Agency, but rather, the ECSEC requested reimbursement on the member's behalf for special education services provided under other categories such Certified Salaries, Non-Certified Salaries, Employee Benefits, Purchased/Contracted Services, Conference/Travel, Materials/Supplies, and Buildings. The ECSEC did not provide any documentation to support the allocation charged to the grant for members' credits in order to test the population for Activities Allowed or Unallowed. Total allocations charged to Special Education Grants to States by the ECSEC for member's credits for school years ending June 30, 2015 and 2016, were \$345,319 and \$247,449, respectively.

The funds requested by the ECSEC for members were receipted in the GCS's Spec Ed Part B funds and never transferred into the appropriate ECSEC fund. As a result, the requested funds that belong to the ECSEC were commingled with the Special Ed Part B fund transactions that benefited the GCS and not the other remaining members. Audit procedures over Special Ed Part B fund transactions revealed a lack of a review or approval process over payroll disbursements; however, sampled transactions as a whole, were made in compliance with Activities Allowed or Unallowed.

#### Context

The lack of controls and noncompliance were systemic issues, which occurred throughout the audit period. Additionally, adequate documentation did not support ECSEC expenditures allocated to the grant for members' credits and the comingling of Spec Ed Part B funds and expenditures identified in the *Condition*.

#### Criteria

OMB Circular A-133, Subpart C, section .300 states in part:

"The auditee shall: . . . (b) Maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. . . . "

2 CFR 200.303 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in 'Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government' issued by the Comptroller General of the United States or the 'Internal Control Integrated Framework', issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). . . ."

34 CFR 76.731 states: "A State and a subgrantee shall keep records to show its compliance with program requirements."

#### 34 CFR 80.20 states in part:

- "(a) A State must expend and account for grant funds in accordance with State laws and procedures for expending and accounting for its own funds. Fiscal control and accounting procedures of the State, as well as its subgrantees and cost-type contractors, must be sufficient to:
  - (1) Permit preparation of reports required by this part and the statutes authorizing the grant, and
  - (2) Permit the tracing of funds to a level of expenditures adequate to establish that such funds have not been used in violation of the restrictions and prohibitions of applicable statutes.
- (b) The financial management systems of other grantees and subgrantees must meet the following standards:
  - (1) Financial reporting. Accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of financially assisted activities must be made in accordance with the financial reporting requirements of the grant or subgrant.
  - (2) Accounting records. Grantees and subgrantees must maintain records which adequately identify the source and application of funds provided for financially-assisted activities...."

#### 2 CFR 200.302(b) states in part:

"The financial management system of each non-Federal entity must provide for the following . . .

(2) Accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of each Federal award or program in accordance with the reporting requirements set forth in §§ 200.327 Financial reporting and 200.328 Monitoring and reporting program performance. . . . "

#### Cause

The School Corporation and the ECSEC had not established an effective internal control structure that would have ensured compliance with the grant agreement and the Activities Allowed or Unallowed compliance requirement. Additionally, an effective internal control structure had not been established to ensure adequate documentation over the ECSEC expenditures allocated to the grant for members' credits and the comingling of Spec Ed Part B funds and expenditures identified in the *Condition*.

#### Effect

The failure to establish an effective internal control system enabled material noncompliance to go undetected. Noncompliance with the grant agreement and the compliance requirement could have resulted in the loss of federal funds to the School Corporation and to the ECSEC. Additionally, the failure to maintain adequate documentation over ECSEC expenditures allocated to the grant for members' credits and the comingling of Spec Ed Part B funds prevented the determination of the School Corporation's compliance relating to Activities Allowed or Unallowed and the expenditures identified in the *Condition*.

#### **Questioned Costs**

There were no questioned costs identified.

#### Recommendation

We recommended that the School Corporation's management establish controls to ensure compliance with the Activities Allowed or Unallowed compliance requirement. Additionally, we recommended that management of the School Corporation and the ECSEC establish controls to ensure adequate documentation will be maintained.

#### Views of Responsible Officials

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

#### **FINDING 2016-005**

Subject: Special Education Cluster (IDEA) - Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Federal Agency: Department of Education

Federal Programs: Special Education Grants to States, Special Education Preschool Grants

CFDA Numbers: 84.027, 84.173

Federal Award Numbers and Years (or Other Identifying Numbers): 14214-016-PN01, 14215-016-

PN01,

14216-016-PN01, 99914-016-TA01, 45714-016-PN01, 45715-016-PN01,

45716-014-PN01

Pass-Through Entity: IN Dept. of Education

Compliance Requirement: Allowable Costs/Cost Principles Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Modified Opinion

#### Condition

The Elkhart County Special Education Cooperative (ECSEC) was a public school program serving approximately 3,400 students with disabilities 3-22 years old in Elkhart County. The School Corporation was a participating member school corporation of the ECSEC. The Goshen Community Schools (GCS) was the administrator of this Cooperative. Because the grant agreements were between the Indiana Department of Education and each member of the Cooperative, each member school corporation was ultimately responsible for ensuring compliance with the requirements. An effective internal control system was not in place at the School Corporation and at the ECSEC in order to ensure compliance with requirements related to the grant agreement and the Allowable Costs/Cost Principles compliance requirement.

Expenditures made by the GCS out of Special Ed Part B funds for salaries and benefits charged to the Special Education Cluster (IDEA) were paid without adequate documentation to ensure compliance with the Allowable Costs/Cost Principles compliance requirement. Time and Effort Reports or other documentation of personnel expenses for employees who worked on multiple activities or cost objectives during the audit period were not completed or presented. Also, Semi-Annual Certifications or other documentation of personnel expenses for employees whose salaries were paid 100 percent out of the grant funds were not accurate or completed. The following is a list of issues found during our audit:

- 1. In a test performed on 31 employee payroll transactions, 22 of those transactions were not supported by personnel activity reports or other personnel expense documentation to support the percentage of their pay being charged to the Special Education Cluster (IDEA).
- 2. For two employees who did complete personnel activity reports or other personnel expense documentation, only 13 out of 20 personnel activity reports or other personnel expense documentation were signed by a supervisor.
- 3. The School Corporation maintained a listing of employees who were to be paid from the Special Ed Part B funds. The School Corporation paid two employees from the Special Ed Part B funds who were not on that list.
- 4. The GCS did not adequately maintain personnel expense documentation to support substitutes' pay that was charged to the Special Education Cluster (IDEA).

Furthermore, the ECSEC provided special education services to its participating member school corporations (members) and billed them from expenditures paid within the ECSEC funds during the audit period. The ECSEC gave its members credits on their bills for amounts the members were awarded from the budgeted grant category, *Services from Another Educational Agency*. Members did not directly request reimbursement for *Services from Another Educational Agency*, but rather, the ECSEC requested reimbursement on the member's behalf for special education services provided under other categories such *Certified Salaries, Non-Certified Salaries, Employee Benefits, Purchased/Contracted Services, Conference/Travel, Materials/Supplies, and Buildings*. The ECSEC did not provide any documentation to support the allocation charged to the grant for members' credits in order to test the population for Allowable Costs/Cost Principles. Total allocations charged to Special Education Grants to States by the ECSEC for member's credits for school years ending June 30, 2015 and 2016, were \$345,319 and \$247,449, respectively.

The funds requested by the ECSEC for members were receipted in the GCS's Spec Ed Part B funds and never transferred into the appropriate ECSEC fund. As a result, the requested funds that belong to the ECSEC were commingled with the Special Ed Part B fund transactions that benefited the GCS and not the other remaining members. Audit procedures over Special Ed Part B fund transactions revealed a lack of a review or approval process over payroll disbursements; however, sampled transactions as a whole, except as noted above, were made in compliance with Allowable Costs/Cost Principles.

#### Context

The lack of controls and noncompliance were systemic issues, which occurred throughout the audit period. Additionally, documentation was not provided to support the expenditures identified in the *Condition*.

#### Criteria

OMB Circular A-133, Subpart C, section .300 states in part:

"The auditee shall: . . . (b) Maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. . . ."

2 CFR 200.303 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in 'Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government' issued by the Comptroller General of the United States or the 'Internal Control Integrated Framework', issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). . . . "

OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A, Part C. states in part:

- "1. Factors affecting allowability of costs. To be allowable under Federal awards, costs must meet the following general criteria:
  - a. Be necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient performance and administration of Federal awards.
  - b. Be allocable to Federal awards under the provisions of this Circular. . . .
  - j. Be adequately documented. . . . "

OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, Section 8h states in part:

- "...(3) Where employees are expected to work solely on a single Federal award or cost objective, charges for their salaries and wages will be supported by periodic certifications that the employees worked solely on that program for the period covered by the certification. These certifications will be prepared at least semi-annually and will be signed by the employee or supervisory official having first hand knowledge of the work performed by the employee.
- (4) Where employees work on multiple activities or cost objectives, a distribution of their salaries or wages will be supported by personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation which meets the standards in subsection (5) unless a statistical sampling system (see subsection (6)) or other substitute system has been approved by the cognizant Federal agency. Such documentary support will be required where employees work on:
  - (a) More than one Federal award,
  - (b) A Federal award and a non-Federal award, . . .
- (5) Personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation must meet the following standards:

- (a) They must reflect an after the fact distribution of the actual activity of each employee,
- (b) They must account for the total activity for which each employee is compensated,
- (c) They must be prepared at least monthly and must coincide with one or more pay periods, and . . ."

#### 2 CFR 200.403 states in part:

"Except where otherwise authorized by statute, costs must meet the following general criteria in order to be allowable under Federal awards:

- (a) Be necessary and reasonable for the performance of the Federal award and be allocable thereto under these principles.
- (b) Conform to any limitations or exclusions set forth in these principles or in the Federal award as to types or amount of cost items. . . .
- (g) Be adequately documented. . . . "

#### 2 CFR 200.430(i) states in part:

"Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses (1) Charges to Federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. These records must:

- (i) Be supported by a system of internal control which provides reasonable assurance that the charges are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated;
- (ii) Be incorporated into the official records of the non-Federal entity;
- (iii) Reasonably reflect the total activity for which the employee is compensated by the non-Federal entity, not exceeding 100% of compensated activities (for IHE, this per the IHE's definition of IBS); . . .
- (vii) Support the distribution of the employee's salary or wages among specific activities or cost objectives if the employee works on more than one Federal award; a Federal award and non-Federal award; an indirect cost activity and a direct cost activity; two or more indirect activities which are allocated using different allocation bases; or an unallowable activity and a direct or indirect cost activity. . . . "

#### Cause

The School Corporation had not established an effective internal control structure that would have ensured compliance with the grant agreement and the Allowable Costs/Cost Principles compliance requirement. Additionally, an effective internal control structure had not been established to ensure supporting documentation was maintained relating to Time and Effort and the expenditures identified in the Condition.

#### Effect

The failure to establish an effective internal control system enabled material noncompliance to go undetected. Noncompliance with the grant agreement and the compliance requirement could have resulted in the loss of federal funds to the School Corporation and to the ECSEC. Additionally, the failure to maintain supporting documentation prevented the determination of the School Corporation's compliance relating to Time and Effort and the expenditures identified in the *Condition*.

#### **Questioned Costs**

There were no questioned costs identified.

#### Recommendation

We recommended that the School Corporation's management establish controls to ensure compliance with the Allowable Costs/Cost Principles compliance requirement. Additionally, we recommended that management of the School Corporation and the ECSEC establish controls to ensure adequate supporting documentation will be maintained.

#### Views of Responsible Officials

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

#### **FINDING 2016-006**

Subject: Special Education Cluster (IDEA) - Cash Management

Federal Agency: Department of Education

Federal Programs: Special Education Grants to States, Special Education Preschool Grants

CFDA Numbers: 84.027, 84.173

Federal Award Numbers and Years (or Other Identifying Numbers): 14214-016-PN01, 14215-016-PN01,

14216-016-PN01, 99914-016-TA01, 45714-016-PN01, 45715-016-PN01,

45716-014-PN01

Pass-Through Entity: IN Dept. of Education Compliance Requirement: Cash Management

Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Modified Opinion

#### Condition

The Elkhart County Special Education Cooperative (ECSEC) was a public school program serving approximately 3,400 students with disabilities 3-22 years old in Elkhart County. The School Corporation was a participating member school corporation of the ECSEC. The Goshen Community Schools (GCS) was the administrator of this Cooperative. Because the grant agreements were between the Indiana Department of Education (IDOE) and each member of the Cooperative, each member school corporation was ultimately responsible for ensuring compliance with the requirements. An effective internal control system was not in place at the School Corporation and at the ECSEC in order to ensure compliance with requirements related to the grant agreement and the Cash Management compliance requirement.

The reimbursement request form prescribed by IDOE required dual signatures for approval before submission. Multiple requests presented for audit did not have the required dual signatures.

Expenditures charged to the Special Education Cluster (IDEA) were paid without adequate documentation; therefore, it could not be determined whether expenditures were paid prior to the ECSEC requesting reimbursement.

The ECSEC, who operated out of its own fund on the GCS's ledger and provided services to its member school corporation (members) and billed its members for those services, requested special education funds on behalf of its members in exchange for credits on its members' bills. The members budgeted and used the category, *Services from Another Educational Agency*, to account for the activity they wanted to receive from the ECSEC. For instance, the grant award, 14215-016-PN01, the ECSEC requested reimbursement on April 15, 2015, and on September 15, 2015, for the activities, *Certified Salaries* and *Employee Benefits*, but did not provide any supporting documentation for a total of \$214,569. The ECSEC's requested funds were received by the GCS and comingled with the GCS's Spec Ed Part B funds. The ECSEC did not bill its members for its services until January 8, 2016. The funds the ECSEC requested were never transferred to the appropriate GCS fund to reimburse the credits that were given to ECSEC members.

#### Context

The lack of controls and noncompliance were systemic issues, which occurred throughout the audit period. Additionally, documentation was not provided to support requests for reimbursement and that expenditures were made prior to the request and evidence was not presented to support approval of requests identified in the *Condition*.

#### Criteria

OMB Circular A-133, Subpart C, section .300 states in part:

"The auditee shall: . . . (b) Maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. . . ."

2 CFR section 200.303 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in 'Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government' issued by the Comptroller General of the United States or the 'Internal Control Integrated Framework', issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). . . . "

#### 34 CFR 74.22 states in part:

"Reimbursement is the preferred method when the requirements in paragraph (b) of this section cannot be met. . . .

- (e)(1) When the reimbursement method is used, the Secretary makes payment within 30 days after receipt of the billing, unless the billing is improper. . . .
- (f). . Thereafter, the Secretary reimburses the recipient for its actual cash disbursements.  $\mbox{\tt "}$

34 CFR 76.702 states: "A State and a subgrantee shall use fiscal control and fund accounting procedures that insure proper disbursement of and accounting for Federal funds."

#### 34 CFR 76.730 states:

"A State and a subgrantee shall keep records that fully show:

- (a) The amount of funds under the grant or subgrant;
- (b) How the State or subgrantee uses the funds;
- (c) The total cost of the project;
- (d) The share of that cost provided from other sources; and
- (e) Other records to facilitate an effective audit."

34 CFR 76.731 states: "A State and a subgrantee shall keep records to show its compliance with program requirements."

#### Cause

The School Corporation had not established an effective internal control structure that would have ensured compliance with the grant agreement and the Cash Management compliance requirement. Additionally, an effective internal control structure had not been established to ensure supporting documentation was maintained relating to the reimbursement requests and expenditures identified in the *Condition*.

#### Effect

The failure to establish an effective internal control system enabled material noncompliance to go undetected. Noncompliance with the grant agreement and the compliance requirement could have resulted in the loss of federal funds to the School Corporation and to the ECSEC. Additionally, the failure to maintain supporting documentation prevented the determination of the School Corporation's compliance relating to the reimbursement requests and expenditures identified in the *Condition*.

#### **Questioned Costs**

There were no questioned costs identified.

#### Recommendation

We recommended that the School Corporation's management establish controls to ensure compliance with the Cash Management compliance requirement. Additionally, we recommended that management of the School Corporation and the ECSEC establish controls to ensure supporting documentation will be maintained.

#### Views of Responsible Officials

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

#### **FINDING 2016-007**

Subject: Special Education Cluster (IDEA) - Period of Performance

Federal Agency: Department of Education

Federal Programs: Special Education Grants to States, Special Education Preschool Grants

CFDA Numbers: 84.027, 84.173

Federal Award Numbers and Years (or Other Identifying Numbers): 14214-016-PN01, 14215-016-PN01,

14216-016-PN01, 99914-016-TA01, 45714-016-PN01, 45715-016-PN01,

45716-014-PN01

Pass-Through Entity: IN Dept. of Education Compliance Requirement: Period of Performance Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Modified Opinion

#### Condition

The Elkhart County Special Education Cooperative (ECSEC) was a public school program serving approximately 3,400 students with disabilities 3-22 years old in Elkhart County. The School Corporation was a participating member school corporation of the ECSEC. The Goshen Community Schools (GCS) was the administrator of this Cooperative. Because the grant agreements were between the Indiana Department of Education and each member of the Cooperative, each member school corporation was ultimately responsible for ensuring compliance with the requirements. An effective internal control system was not in place at the School Corporation and at the ECSEC in order to ensure compliance with requirements related to the grant agreement and the Period of Performance compliance requirement. There were no controls in place to ensure that all expenditures charged to the grant fund were obligated within the Period of Performance. Expenditures charged by the ECSEC to the Special Education Cluster (IDEA) were paid with no supporting documentation to determine if expenditures were within the Period of Performance.

#### Context

The lack of controls and noncompliance were systemic issues, which occurred throughout the audit period. Additionally, documentation was not provided to support the expenditures identified in the *Condition*.

#### Criteria

OMB Circular A-133, Subpart C, section .300 states in part:

"The auditee shall: . . . (b) Maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. . . . "

2 CFR 200.303 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in 'Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government' issued by the Comptroller General of the United States or the 'Internal Control Integrated Framework', issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). . . . "

#### 34 CFR 80.23 states:

- "(a) General. Where a funding period is specified, a grantee may charge to the award only costs resulting from obligations of the funding period unless carryover of unobligated balances is permitted, in which case the carryover balances may be charged for costs resulting from obligations of the subsequent funding period.
- (b) Liquidation of obligations. A grantee must liquidate all obligations incurred under the award not later than 90 days after the end of the funding period (or as specified in a program regulation) to coincide with the submission of the annual Financial Status Report (SF–269). The Federal agency may extend this deadline at the request of the grantee."

#### 34 CFR 76.730 states:

"A State and a subgrantee shall keep records that fully show:

- (a) The amount of funds under the grant or subgrant;
- (b) How the State or subgrantee uses the funds;
- (c) The total cost of the project;
- (d) The share of that cost provided from other sources; and
- (e) Other records to facilitate an effective audit."

34 CFR 76.731 states: "A State and a subgrantee shall keep records to show its compliance with program requirements."

#### Cause

The School Corporation had not established an effective internal control structure that would have ensured compliance with the grant agreement and the Period of Performance compliance requirement. Additionally, an effective internal control structure had not been established to ensure supporting documentation was maintained relating to the expenditures identified in the *Condition*.

#### Effect

The failure to establish an effective internal control system enabled material noncompliance to go undetected. Noncompliance with the grant agreement and the compliance requirement could have resulted in the loss of federal funds to the School Corporation and to the ECSEC. Additionally, the failure to maintain supporting documentation prevented the determination of the School Corporation's compliance relating to the expenditures identified in the *Condition*.

#### Questioned Costs

There were no questioned costs identified.

#### Recommendation

We recommended that the School Corporation's management establish controls to ensure compliance with the Period of Performance compliance requirement. Additionally, we recommended that management of the School Corporation and the ECSEC establish controls to ensure supporting documentation will be maintained.

Views of Responsible Officials

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

#### **FINDING 2016-008**

Subject: Special Education Cluster (IDEA) - Reporting

Federal Agency: Department of Education

Federal Programs: Special Education Grants to States, Special Education Preschool Grants

CFDA Numbers: 84.027, 84.173

Federal Award Numbers and Years (or Other Identifying Numbers): 14214-016-PN01, 14215-016-PN01,

14216-016-PN01, 99914-016-TA01, 45714-016-PN01, 45715-016-PN01,

45716-014-PN01

Pass-Through Entity: IN Dept. of Education Compliance Requirement: Reporting

Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Modified Opinion

#### Condition

The Elkhart County Special Education Cooperative (ECSEC) was a public school program serving approximately 3,400 students with disabilities 3-22 years old in Elkhart County. The School Corporation was a participating member school corporation of the ECSEC. The Goshen Community Schools (GCS) was the administrator of this Cooperative. Because the grant agreements were between the Indiana Department of Education and each member of the Cooperative, each member school corporation was ultimately responsible for ensuring compliance with the requirements. An effective internal control system was not in place at the School Corporation and at the ECSEC in order to ensure compliance with requirements related to the grant agreement and the Reporting compliance requirement. There were no controls in place to ensure that all expenditures charged to the grant fund were reported correctly.

The ECSEC, who requested funds on behalf of its member school corporation (members), did not provide any documentation supporting its reimbursement requests and reimbursement requests were submitted without an oversight, review, or approval process to ensure reimbursement requests were accurate and in compliance with the Reporting compliance requirement. In addition, the ECSEC prepared and submitted the final reports for its members without an oversight, review, or approval process to ensure final reports were accurate and in compliance with the Reporting compliance requirement.

#### Context

The lack of controls and noncompliance were systemic issues, which occurred throughout the audit period. Additionally, documentation was not provided to support the reimbursement requests and expenditures identified in the *Condition*.

#### Criteria

OMB Circular A-133, Subpart C, section .300 states in part:

"The auditee shall: . . . (b) Maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. . . . "

2 CFR 200.303 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in 'Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government' issued by the Comptroller General of the United States or the 'Internal Control Integrated Framework', issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). . . . "

#### 34 CFR 80.20 states in part:

- "(a) A State must expend and account for grant funds in accordance with State laws and procedures for expending and accounting for its own funds. Fiscal control and accounting procedures of the State, as well as its subgrantees and cost-type contractors, must be sufficient to:
  - (1) Permit preparation of reports required by this part and the statutes authorizing the grant, and
  - (2) Permit the tracing of funds to a level of expenditures adequate to establish that such funds have not been used in violation of the restrictions and prohibitions of applicable statutes.
- (b) The financial management systems of other grantees and subgrantees must meet the following standards:
  - (1) Financial reporting. Accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of financially assisted activities must be made in accordance with the financial reporting requirements of the grant or subgrant.
  - (2) Accounting records. Grantees and subgrantees must maintain records which adequately identify the source and application of funds provided for financially-assisted activities. . . . "

34 CFR 76.731 states: "A State and a subgrantee shall keep records to show its compliance with program requirements."

2 CFR 200.302(b) states in part:

"The financial management system of each non-Federal entity must provide for the following

(2) Accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of each Federal award or program in accordance with the reporting requirements set forth in §§ 200.327 Financial reporting and 200.328 Monitoring and reporting program performance. . . . "

#### Cause

The School Corporation had not established a proper internal control structure that would have ensured compliance with the grant agreement and the Reporting compliance requirement.

#### Effect

The failure to establish an effective internal control system enabled material noncompliance to go undetected. A lack of segregation of duties within an internal control system also allowed noncompliance with the compliance requirement and allowed the misuse and mismanagement of federal funds and assets by not having proper oversight, reviews, and approvals over the activities of the programs. Noncompliance with the grant agreement and the compliance requirement could have resulted in the loss of federal funds to the School Corporation and to the ECSEC. Additionally, the failure to maintain supporting documentation prevented the determination of the School Corporation's compliance relating to reporting identified in the Condition.

#### **Questioned Costs**

There were no questioned costs identified.

#### Recommendation

We recommended that the School Corporation's management establish controls to ensure compliance with the Reporting compliance requirement. Additionally, we recommended that management of the School Corporation establish controls to ensure supporting documentation will be maintained.

#### Views of Responsible Officials

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.



29125 County Road 22 West - Elkhart, Indiana 46517 / Phone 574-293-8583 / Fax 574-294-2171

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

#### FINDING 2016-001

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Nancy Winnicki Contact Phone Number: 574-293-8583

Description of Corrective Action Plan:

Management recognizes and acknowledges that an effective internal control system is established through segregated duties.

The treasurer currently prepares the receipts and bank reconciliations. The bank reconciliations are then reviewed and signed by the Superintendent. The Treasurer will continue to prepare receipts; however, the Business Manager will review and sign the receipt documents.

Previously funds were deposited throughout the month then grouped into one receipt at the end of the month. Effective immediately, individual receipts will be issued when the deposit is made, unless there is a separate software system to verify daily and monthly receipts; example, textbook software (Renweb) and school lunch fund (Mealtime).

During the audit is was determined at the time of our software transition (Komputrol), various payroll clearing accounts were posted in one account, rather than segregated into individual accounts as in prior years. Upon discovering this issue, the system was updated/changed to record payroll withholding amounts in one account, and payment of the funds to another account.

**Anticipation Complete Date:** 

Effective immediately as of January 31, 2018

Maney of Wins	nicki
, ,	(Signature)
TREASURER	
	(Title)
01/31/2018	
	(Date)



29125 County Road 22 West - Elkhart, Indiana 46517 / Phone 574-293-8583 / Fax 574-294-2171

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

#### **FINDING 2016-002**

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Zac Quiett Contact Phone Number: 574-293-8583

Description of Corrective Action Plan:

Management recognizes and acknowledges that an effective internal control system is established through compliance with Allowable Costs/Cost Principles.`

Management will review and create new controls to ensure compliance of recording wages and salaries for staff personnel associated with Food Service through a Time and Effort Log, and/or evaluate an indirect costing structure.

**Anticipation Complete Date:** 

Effective immediately as of January 31, 2018

(Signature)

Business Manager
(Ittle)

/3/ / / 8 (Date)



29125 County Road 22 West - Elkhart, Indiana 46517 / Phone 574-293-8583 / Fax 574-294-2171

#### **CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN**

#### **FINDING 2016-003**

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Carol Deak

Contact Phone Number: 574-293-8583

Description of Corrective Action Plan:

Management recognizes and acknowledges that an effective internal control system is established through maintaining proper compliance with the Special Education Maintenance of Effort reporting.

The Maintenance of Effort calculation and reporting will be prepared by one individual and reviewed and approved by another person.

Anticipation Complete Date:

Effective immediately as of January 31, 2018

25 %

29125 County Road 22 West - Elkhart, Indiana 46517 / Phone 574-293-8583 / Fax 574-294-2171

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

#### FINDING 2016-004

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Carol Deak

Contact Phone Number: 574-293-8583

Views of Responsible Official:

The Elkhart County Special Education Cooperative (ECSEC) is a public school program serving students with disabilities in Elkhart County. Baugo Community Schools is a participating member of the Cooperative. Goshen Community Schools Is the administrator of the Cooperative.

While Baugo Community Schools acknowledges that as a member of the Cooperative they, along with other member schools, are equally responsible to ensure that all state and federal regulations are adhered to in the accounting and documentation of the programs and related federal grants, it should be stated that the shortcomings documented in this finding are of an internal nature at the administrative school (GCS). The audit completed by the State Board of Accounts for this period on the records of Baugo Community Schools relative to special education programs and related grants found no deficiencies related to the Activities Allowed or Unallowed compliance requirement.

Description of Corrective Action Plan:

The entire ECSEC operation is going through some transitions. These transitions range from different personnel in place who handle the programs and related grants to the decentralization of some of the programs. Through these transitions procedures are being upgraded to establish controls for adequate documentation that will ensure compliance with all state and federal guidelines as they relate to the programs. Baugo Community Schools business office personnel and our Special Education Coordinator will not only continue to follow established guidelines as they understand them, but will also monitor the related procedures put in place by the administrator (GCS) to the best of their abilities.

Anticipated Completion Date: Ongoing Implementation

Assistant Superintendent

29125 County Road 22 West - Elkhart, Indiana 46517 / Phone 574-293-8583 / Fax 574-294-2171

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

#### FINDING 2016-005

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Carol Deak

Contact Phone Number: 574-293-8583

Views of Responsible Official:

The Elkhart County Special Education Cooperative (ECSEC) is a public school program serving students with disabilities in Elkhart County. Baugo Community Schools is a participating member of the Cooperative. Goshen Community Schools Is the administrator of the Cooperative.

While Baugo Community Schools acknowledges that as a member of the Cooperative they, along with other member schools, are equally responsible to ensure that all state and federal regulations are adhered to in the accounting and documentation of the programs and related federal grants, it should be stated that the shortcomings documented in this finding are of an internal nature at the administrative school (GCS). The audit completed by the State Board of Accounts for this period on the records of Baugo Community Schools relative to special education programs and related grants found no deficiencies related to the Allowable Costs/Cost Principles compliance requirement.

Description of Corrective Action Plan:

The entire ECSEC operation is going through some transitions. These transitions range from different personnel in place who handle the programs and related grants to the decentralization of some of the programs. Through these transitions procedures are being upgraded to establish controls for adequate documentation that will ensure compliance with all state and federal guidelines as they relate to the programs. Baugo Community Schools business office personnel and our Special Education Coordinator will not only continue to follow established guidelines as they understand them, but will also monitor the related procedures put in place by the administrator (GCS) to the best of their abilities.

Anticipated Completion Date: Ongoing Implementation

(Signature)

Assistant Superintendent

29125 County Road 22 West - Elkhart, Indiana 46517 / Phone 574-293-8583 / Fax 574-294-2171

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

#### FINDING 2016-006

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Carol Deak

Contact Phone Number: 574-293-8583

Views of Responsible Official:

The Elkhart County Special Education Cooperative (ECSEC) is a public school program serving students with disabilities in Elkhart County. Baugo Community Schools is a participating member of the Cooperative. Goshen Community Schools Is the administrator of the Cooperative.

While Baugo Community Schools acknowledges that as a member of the Cooperative they, along with other member schools, are equally responsible to ensure that all state and federal regulations are adhered to in the accounting and documentation of the programs and related federal grants, it should be stated that the shortcomings documented in this finding are of an internal nature at the administrative school (GCS). The audit completed by the State Board of Accounts for this period on the records of Baugo Community Schools relative to special education programs and related grants found no deficiencies related to the Cash Management compliance requirement.

Description of Corrective Action Plan:

The entire ECSEC operation is going through some transitions. These transitions range from different personnel in place who handle the programs and related grants to the decentralization of some of the programs. Through these transitions procedures are being upgraded to establish controls for adequate documentation that will ensure compliance with all state and federal guidelines as they relate to the programs. Baugo Community Schools business office personnel and our Special Education Coordinator will not only continue to follow established guidelines as they understand them, but will also monitor the related procedures put in place by the administrator (GCS) to the best of their abilities.

Anticipated Completion Date: Ongoing Implementation

(Signature)

Assistant Superintendent

29125 County Road 22 West - Elkhart, Indiana 46517 / Phone 574-293-8583 / Fax 574-294-2171

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

#### FINDING 2016-007

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Carol Deak

Contact Phone Number: 574-293-8583

#### Views of Responsible Official:

The Elkhart County Special Education Cooperative (ECSEC) is a public school program serving students with disabilities in Elkhart County. Baugo Community Schools is a participating member of the Cooperative. Goshen Community Schools Is the administrator of the Cooperative.

While Baugo Community Schools acknowledges that as a member of the Cooperative they, along with other member schools, are equally responsible to ensure that all state and federal regulations are adhered to in the accounting and documentation of the programs and related federal grants, it should be stated that the shortcomings documented in this finding are of an internal nature at the administrative school (GCS). The audit completed by the State Board of Accounts for this period on the records of Baugo Community Schools relative to special education programs and related grants found no deficiencies related to the Period of Performance compliance requirement.

#### Description of Corrective Action Plan:

The entire ECSEC operation is going through some transitions. These transitions range from different personnel in place who handle the programs and related grants to the decentralization of some of the programs. Through these transitions procedures are being upgraded to establish controls for adequate documentation that will ensure compliance with all state and federal guidelines as they relate to the programs. Baugo Community Schools business office personnel and our Special Education Coordinator will not only continue to follow established guidelines as they understand them, but will also monitor the related procedures put in place by the administrator (GCS) to the best of their abilities.

Anticipated Completion Date: Ongoing Implementation

Signature)

Assistant Superintendent



29125 County Road 22 West - Elkhart, Indiana 46517 / Phone 574-293-8583 / Fax 574-294-2171

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

#### **FINDING 2016-008**

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Carol Deak

Contact Phone Number: 574-293-8583

Views of Responsible Official:

The Elkhart County Special Education Cooperative (ECSEC) is a public school program serving students with disabilities in Elkhart County. Baugo Community Schools is a participating member of the Cooperative. Goshen Community Schools Is the administrator of the Cooperative.

While Baugo Community Schools acknowledges that as a member of the Cooperative they, along with other member schools, are equally responsible to ensure that all state and federal regulations are adhered to in the accounting and documentation of the programs and related federal grants, it should be stated that the shortcomings documented in this finding are of an internal nature at the administrative school (GCS). The audit completed by the State Board of Accounts for this period on the records of Baugo Community Schools relative to special education programs and related grants found no deficiencies related to the Reporting compliance requirement.

Description of Corrective Action Plan:

The entire ECSEC operation is going through some transitions. These transitions range from different personnel in place who handle the programs and related grants to the decentralization of some of the programs. Through these transitions procedures are being upgraded to establish controls for adequate documentation that will ensure compliance with all state and federal guidelines as they relate to the programs. Baugo Community Schools business office personnel and our Special Education Coordinator will not only continue to follow established guidelines as they understand them, but will also monitor the related procedures put in place by the administrator (GCS) to the best of their abilities.

Anticipated Completion Date: Ongoing Implementation

Assistant Superintendent

# BAUGO COMMUNITY SCHOOLS EXIT CONFERENCE

The contents of this report were discussed on April 13, 2018, with Nancy R. Winnicki, Treasurer; Zac Quiett, Business Manager; Edward Collins, President of the School Board; Carol Deak, Assistant Superintendent of Schools; and James H. Dubois, Superintendent of Schools.