

**STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTS**  
**302 West Washington Street**  
**Room E418**  
**INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2769**

FEDERAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

OF

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS

WHITLEY COUNTY, INDIANA

July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2023



**FILED**  
03/12/2024



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Officials .....	2
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statement Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> .....	3-4
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance .....	5-8
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Accompanying Notes:	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards .....	10-11
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	12
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	13-23
Auditee-Prepared Documents:	
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings.....	26
Corrective Action Plan .....	27-30
Other Reports.....	31

SCHEDULE OF OFFICIALS

<u>Office</u>	<u>Official</u>	<u>Term</u>
Treasurer	Jodi Royer Susan Loftain	07-01-21 to 06-30-23 07-01-23 to 06-30-24
Superintendent of Schools	Dan Hile Dr. Randy Zimmerly (interim) Dr. Paul Voigt	07-01-21 to 06-30-22 07-01-22 to 12-31-22 01-01-23 to 06-30-24
President of the School Board	Jeremy Hart Jermiah Johnson	01-01-21 to 12-31-23 01-01-24 to 12-31-24



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING  
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL  
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

TO: THE OFFICIALS OF THE SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS, WHITLEY COUNTY, INDIANA

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statement of the Smith-Green Community Schools (School Corporation), for the period of July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statement, which collectively comprise the School Corporation's financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated February 28, 2024, wherein we noted the School Corporation followed accounting practices the Indiana State Board of Accounts prescribes rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

***Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the School Corporation's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the School Corporation's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the School Corporation's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the School Corporation's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2023-001 and 2023-002, that we consider to be material weaknesses.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING  
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL  
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*  
(Continued)

***Report on Compliance and Other Matters***

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the School Corporation's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2023-001 and 2023-002.

***Smith-Green Community Schools' Response to Findings***

*Government Auditing Standards* requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the School Corporation's response to findings identified in our audit and described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The School Corporation's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Corrective Action Plan. The School Corporation's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

***Purpose of This Report***

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the School Corporation's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the School Corporation's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.



Beth Kelley, CPA, CFE  
Deputy State Examiner

February 28, 2024



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

TO: THE OFFICIALS OF THE SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS, WHITLEY COUNTY, INDIANA

**Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program**

***Opinion on the Major Federal Program***

We have audited the Smith-Green Community Schools' (School Corporation) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the period of July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2023. The School Corporation's major federal program is identified in the *Summary of Auditor's Results* section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

In our opinion, the School Corporation complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the period of July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2023.

**Basis for Opinion on the Major Federal Program**

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance* section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the School Corporation and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the School Corporation's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

***Responsibilities of Management for Compliance***

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the School Corporation's federal programs.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE  
(Continued)

***Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance***

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the School Corporation's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not absolute assurance, and, therefore, is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually, or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the School Corporation's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the School Corporation's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the School Corporation's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the School Corporation's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

***Other Matters***

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2023-003. Our opinion on the major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

*Government Auditing Standards* requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the School Corporation's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The School Corporation's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Corrective Action Plan. The School Corporation's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE  
(Continued)

**Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance* section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance, and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we did identify certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2023-003 to be material weakness.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

*Government Auditing Standards* require the auditor to perform limited procedures on the School Corporation's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The School Corporation's response was not subject to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE  
(Continued)

**Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance**

We have audited the financial statement of the School Corporation, as of and for the period of July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statement. We issued our report thereon dated February 28, 2024, which contained a dual opinion on the financial statement. An adverse opinion was issued regarding the presentation in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and an unmodified opinion was issued regarding the presentation in accordance with the regulatory basis of accounting. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statement as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statement. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement or to the financial statement itself, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statement as a whole.



Beth Kelley, CPA, CFE  
Deputy State Examiner

February 28, 2024

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS AND ACCOMPANYING NOTES

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and accompanying notes presented were approved by management of the School Corporation. The schedule and notes are presented as intended by the School Corporation.

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
 For the Years Ended June 30, 2022 and 2023

Federal Grantor Agency Cluster Title/Program Title/Project Title	Pass-Through Entity or Direct Grant	Assistance Listings Number	Pass-Through Entity (or Other) Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipient 06-30-22	Total Federal Awards Expended 06-30-22	Passed Through to Subrecipient 06-30-23	Total Federal Awards Expended 06-30-23
<b>Department of Agriculture</b>							
Child Nutrition Cluster							
School Breakfast Program	Indiana Department of Education	10.553	FY 21-22, FY 22-23	\$ -	\$ 19,424	\$ -	\$ 23,288
School Breakfast Program							
National School Lunch Program	Indiana Department of Education	10.555					
School Lunch			FY 21-22, FY 22-23	-	507,383	-	287,962
School Lunch - 2021 Healthy Meals			FY 21-22	-	358	-	-
School Lunch - 2022 Supply Chain Assistance			FY 22-23	-	-	-	52,730
School Lunch - 2023 Supply Chain Assistance			FY 22-23	-	-	-	13,526
Commodities			FY 21-22, FY 22-23	-	60,793	-	37,412
Total - National School Lunch Program				-	568,534	-	391,630
Total - Child Nutrition Cluster				-	587,958	-	414,918
Pandemic EBT Administrative Costs	Indiana Department of Education	10.649					
School Lunch - 2021 SNAP State and Local PEBT			FY 21-22	-	614	-	-
School Lunch - 2022 SNAP State and Local PEBT			FY 22-23	-	-	-	628
Total - Pandemic EBT Administrative Costs				-	614	-	628
Total - Department of Agriculture				-	588,572	-	415,546
<b>Department of Education</b>							
Special Education Cluster (IDEA)							
Special Education Grants to States	Indiana Department of Education	84.027					
FY21 IDEA Part B Section 611 Special Education			21611-042-PN01	-	5,518	-	2,456
FY22 IDEA Part B Section 611 Special Education			22611-042-PN01	-	270,213	-	7,690
Grants to States for Education of Children with Disabilities Part B Sec. 611			23611-042-PN01	-	-	-	282,446
Subtotal - Special Education Grants to States				-	275,731	-	292,592
COVID-19 - Special Education Grants to States	Indiana Department of Education	84.027X					
American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (ARP) supplemental funding of Grants to States for Education of Children with Disabilities Part B Sec. 611			22611-042-ARP	-	-	-	35,929
Total - Special Education Grants to States				-	275,731	-	328,521
Special Education Preschool Grants	Indiana Department of Education	84.173					
Special Education Preschool Grants Part B Section 619			22619-042-PN01	-	9,731	-	-
Special Education Preschool Grants Part B Section 619			23619-042-PN01	-	-	-	9,998
Subtotal - Special Education Preschool Grants				-	9,731	-	9,998

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
 For the Years Ended June 30, 2022 and 2023

Federal Grantor Agency Cluster Title/Program Title/Project Title	Pass-Through Entity or Direct Grant	Assistance Listings Number	Pass-Through Entity (or Other) Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipient 06-30-22	Total Federal Awards Expended 06-30-22	Passed Through to Subrecipient 06-30-23	Total Federal Awards Expended 06-30-23
COVID-19 - Special Education Preschool Grants American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (ARP) supplemental funding of Grants to States for Education of Children with Disabilities Part B Sec. 619	Indiana Department of Education	84.173X	22619-042-ARP	-	-	-	3,063
Total - Special Education Preschool Grants				-	9,731	-	13,061
Total - Special Education Cluster (IDEA)				-	285,462	-	341,582
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	Indiana Department of Education	84.010					
Title I 2020-21			S010A200014	-	26,420	-	-
Title I 2021-22			S010A210014	-	-	-	122,667
Title I 2022-23			S010A220014	-	-	-	68,639
Total - Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies				-	26,420	-	191,306
Supporting Effective Instruction State Grants (formerly Improving Teacher Quality State Grants) Title II Part A FFY 2020 Title II Part A FFY 2021	Indiana Department of Education	84.367					
			S367A200013	-	13,049	-	-
			S367A210013	-	-	-	27,902
Total - Supporting Effective Instruction State Grants (formerly Improving Teacher Quality State Grants)				-	13,049	-	27,902
Student Support and Academic Enrichment Program Title IV Part A FY19 2019-2020 Title IV Part A FFY20 Student Support Title IV Part A FFY21 Student Support	Indiana Department of Education	84.424					
			S424A190015	-	5,000	-	-
			S424A200015	-	10,000	-	-
			S424A210015	-	-	-	10,000
Total - Student Support and Academic Enrichment Program				-	15,000	-	10,000
COVID-19 - Education Stabilization Fund Governor's Emergency Education Relief Fund (GEER) CARES Act ESSER II (CRRSA)	Whitley County Consolidated Schools Indiana Department of Education Indiana Department of Education	84.425 84.425C 84.425D 84.425D					
			S425C200018	-	-	-	17,073
			S425D200013	-	-	-	80,643
			S425D210013	-	-	-	225,985
Total - COVID-19 - Education Stabilization Fund				-	-	-	323,701
Total - Department of Education				-	339,931	-	894,491
Total federal awards expended				\$ -	\$ 928,503	\$ -	\$ 1,310,037

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

**Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**A. Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) includes the federal grant activity of the School Corporation under programs of the federal government for the years ended June 30, 2022 and 2023. The information in the SEFA is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the SEFA presents only a select portion of the operations of the School Corporation, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position of the School Corporation.

The Uniform Guidance requires an annual audit of nonfederal entities expending a total amount of federal awards equal to or in excess of \$750,000 in any fiscal year unless by constitution or statute a less frequent audit is required. In accordance with Indiana Code (IC 5-11-1-25), audits of school corporations shall be conducted biennially. Such audits shall include both years within the biennial period.

**B. Other Significant Accounting Policies**

Expenditures reported on the SEFA are reported on the cash basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments*, or the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowed or are limited as to reimbursement. When federal grants are received on a reimbursement basis, the federal awards are considered expended when the reimbursement is received.

**Note 2. Indirect Cost Rate**

The School Corporation has elected not to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

**Note 3. Special Education Cooperative**

The School Corporation is a member of the Northeast Indiana Special Education Cooperative (Cooperative). The Cooperative operates the special education program for the School Corporation. As a result, some of the activity for the Special Education Cluster (IDEA) that is presented on the SEFA is not presented as receipts and disbursements in the financial statement for the School Corporation. This activity is presented in the financial statement of the Cooperative's fiscal agent.

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results**

Financial Statement:

Type of auditor's report issued:	Adverse as to GAAP; Unmodified as to Regulatory Basis
Internal control over financial reporting:	
Material weaknesses identified?	yes
Significant deficiencies identified?	none reported
Noncompliance material to financial statement noted?	yes

Federal Awards:

Internal control over major program:	
Material weaknesses identified?	yes
Significant deficiencies identified?	none reported
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?	yes

Identification of Major Program and type of auditor's report issued on compliance for it:

<u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u>	<u>Opinion Issued</u>
Child Nutrition Cluster	Unmodified
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:	\$750,000
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	no

**Section II - Financial Statement Findings**

**FINDING 2023-001**

Subject: Financial Transactions and Reporting  
Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Noncompliance

*Condition and Context*

The School Corporation is required to file Annual Financial Reports after the close of each fiscal year. The reports are to be filed electronically as prescribed.

The School Corporation filed its reports as prescribed; however, the internal controls over the financial information entered into the Indiana Gateway for Government Units (Gateway) financial reporting system, which was the source of the School Corporation's financial statement, were not effective.

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

The School Corporation failed to properly review the financial information prepared and submitted in Gateway. Although one employee prepared and entered the financial information into Gateway, and another employee reviewed and approved the information entered, the internal controls were not effective and did not detect or correct errors prior to submission.

Due to the lack of effective internal controls, the financial statement presented for audit contained the following errors:

*Financial Data*

1. The Bank to Bank Transfer fund receipts and disbursements, which represent transfers between bank accounts, were presented on the Financial Statement, which overstated both receipts and disbursements by \$4,519,825 and \$4,900,915 for fiscal years 2021-2022 and 2022-2023, respectively.
2. Proceeds of long-term debt were incorrectly presented as receipts in Local Sources, instead of Other Financing Sources, in the amount of \$1,330,788 and \$884,750 for 2021-2022 and 2022-2023, respectively.
3. The June 2023 Spring Tax Settlement of \$973,142 was not posted until July 5, 2023, which understated receipts for 2022-2023.

Audit adjustments were proposed, accepted by the School Corporation, and made to the financial statement and the Combining Schedules of Receipts, Disbursements, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Cash and Investment Balances - Regulatory Basis presented as Other Information in Financial Statement Audit Report for the School Corporation.

*Criteria*

Indiana Code 5-11-1-4(a) states:

"The state examiner shall require from every audited entity financial reports covering the full period of each fiscal year. These reports shall be prepared, verified, and filed with the state examiner as set forth in the uniform compliance guidelines. The reports must be in the form and content prescribed by the state examiner and filed electronically in the manner prescribed under [IC 5-14-3-8.7](#)."

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) required under IC 5-11-1-4(a) shall be filed with the state examiner not later than sixty (60) days after the close of each fiscal year. (Accounting and Uniform Guidelines Compliance Manual for Indiana Public School Corporations, Chapter 1)

The Indiana State Board of Accounts (SBOA) is required under Indiana Code 5-11-1-27(e) to define the acceptable minimum level of internal control standards. To provide clarifying guidance, the State Examiner compiled the standards contained in the manual, *Uniform Internal Control Standards for Indiana Political Subdivisions*. All political subdivisions subject to audit by SBOA are expected to adhere to these standards. The standards include adequate control activities. According to this manual:

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

"Control activities are the actions and tools established through policies and procedures that help to detect, prevent, or reduce the identified risks that interfere with the achievement of objectives. Detection activities are designed to identify unfavorable events in a timely manner whereas prevention activities are designed to deter the occurrence of an unfavorable event. Examples of these activities include reconciliations, authorizations, approval processes, performance reviews, and verification processes.

An integral part of the control activity component is segregation of duties. . . .

There is an expectation of segregation of duties. If compensating controls are necessary, documentation should exist to identify both the areas where segregation of duties are not feasible or practical and the compensating controls implemented to mitigate the risk. . . ."

Units are required to comply with all grant agreements, rules, regulations, bulletins, directives, letters, letter rulings, court decisions, and filing requirements concerning reports and other procedural matters of federal and state agencies. Units must file accurate reports required by federal and state agencies. Noncompliance may require corrective action. (Accounting and Uniform Compliance Guidelines Manual for Indiana Public School Corporations, Chapter 1)

*Cause*

Management of the School Corporation had not established an effective system of internal controls that would have ensured proper reporting of the financial statement.

*Effect*

Without a proper system of internal controls in place that operated effectively, material misstatements of the financial statement remained undetected. The financial statement contained the errors identified in the *Condition and Context*.

*Views of Responsible Officials*

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

**FINDING 2023-002**

Subject: Preparation of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards  
Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Noncompliance

*Condition and Context*

The School Corporation is required to file financial reports after the close of each fiscal year. The reports are to be filed electronically as prescribed.

The School Corporation filed its reports as prescribed; however, the internal controls over the federal award information entered into the Indiana Gateway for Government Units (Gateway) financial reporting system, which was the source of the School Corporation's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA), were not effective.

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

The School Corporation failed to properly review the federal grant information prepared and submitted in Gateway. Although one employee prepared and entered the federal award information into Gateway, and another employee reviewed and approved the information entered, the internal controls were not effective and did not detect or correct errors prior to submission.

Due to the lack of effective internal controls, the SEFA presented for audit included grants with errors, which resulted in misstatements of expenditures of \$93,726, in total.

Audit adjustments were proposed, accepted by the School Corporation, and made to the SEFA presented in this report.

*Criteria*

Indiana Code 5-11-1-4(a) states:

"The state examiner shall require from every audited entity financial reports covering the full period of each fiscal year. These reports shall be prepared, verified, and filed with the state examiner as set forth in the uniform compliance guidelines. The reports must be in the form and content prescribed by the state examiner and filed electronically in the manner prescribed under [IC 5-14-3-8.7](#)."

2 CFR 200.303 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must:

- (a) Establish and maintain effective internal control . . ."

2 CFR 200.1 states in part:

". . . *Internal controls* for non-Federal entities means:

- (1) Processes designed and implemented by non-Federal entities to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the following categories:

- (i) Effectiveness and efficiency of operations;
- (ii) Reliability of reporting for internal and external use; . . ."

2 CFR 200.508 states in part:

"The auditee must: . . .

- (b) Prepare appropriate financial statements, including the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards in accordance with § 200.510. . . ."

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

2 CFR 200.510(b) states:

"Schedule of expenditures of Federal awards. The auditee must also prepare a schedule of expenditures of Federal awards for the period covered by the auditee's financial statements which must include the total Federal awards expended as determined in accordance with § 200.502. While not required, the auditee may choose to provide information requested by Federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities to make the schedule easier to use. For example, when a Federal program has multiple Federal award years, the auditee may list the amount of Federal awards expended for each Federal award year separately. At a minimum, the schedule must:

- (1) List individual Federal programs by Federal agency. For a cluster of programs, provide the cluster name, list individual Federal programs within the cluster of programs, and provide the applicable Federal agency name. For R&D, total Federal awards expended must be shown either by individual Federal award or by Federal agency and major subdivision within the Federal agency. For example, the National Institutes of Health is a major subdivision in the Department of Health and Human Services.
- (2) For Federal awards received as a subrecipient, the name of the pass-through entity and identifying number assigned by the pass-through entity must be included.
- (3) Provide total Federal awards expended for each individual Federal program and the Assistance Listings Number or other identifying number when the Assistance Listings information is not available. For a cluster of programs also provide the total for the cluster.
- (4) Include the total amount provided to subrecipients from each Federal program.
- (5) For loan or loan guarantee programs described in § 200.502(b), identify in the notes to the schedule the balances outstanding at the end of the audit period. This is in addition to including the total Federal awards expended for loan or loan guarantee programs in the schedule.
- (6) Include notes that describe that significant accounting policies used in preparing the schedule and note whether or not the auditee elected to use the 10% de minimis cost rate as covered in § 200.414."

The Indiana State Board of Accounts (SBOA) is required under Indiana Code 5-11-1-27(e) to define the acceptable minimum level of internal control standards. To provide clarifying guidance, the State Examiner compiled the standards contained in the manual, *Uniform Internal Control Standards for Indiana Political Subdivisions*. All political subdivisions subject to audit by SBOA are expected to adhere to these standards. The standards include adequate control activities. According to this manual:

"Control activities are the actions and tools established through policies and procedures that help to detect, prevent, or reduce the identified risks that interfere with the achievement of objectives. Detection activities are designed to identify unfavorable events in a timely manner whereas prevention activities are designed to deter the occurrence of an unfavorable event. Examples of these activities include reconciliations, authorizations, approval processes, performance reviews, and verification processes.

An integral part of the control activity component is segregation of duties. . . .

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

There is an expectation of segregation of duties. If compensating controls are necessary, documentation should exist to identify both the areas where segregation of duties are not feasible or practical and the compensating controls implemented to mitigate the risk. . . ."

*Cause*

Management of the School Corporation had not established an effective system of internal controls that would have ensured proper reporting of the SEFA.

*Effect*

Without a proper system of internal controls in place that operated effectively, material misstatements of the SEFA remained undetected. The SEFA contained the errors identified in the *Condition and Context*.

*Views of Responsible Officials*

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

**Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs**

**FINDING 2023-003**

Subject: Child Nutrition Cluster - Procurement and Suspension and Debarment  
Federal Agency: Department of Agriculture  
Federal Programs: School Breakfast Program, National School Lunch Program  
Assistance Listings Numbers: 10.553, 10.555  
Federal Award Numbers and Years (or Other Identifying Numbers): FY 21-22, FY 22-23  
Pass-Through Entity: Indiana Department of Education  
Compliance Requirements: Procurement and Suspension and Debarment  
Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Other Matters

*Repeat Finding*

A similar comment was in the prior audit report. The prior audit finding number was 2021-001.

*Condition and Context*

A School Nutrition Cooperative (Co-ops, Education Service Center, Group Purchasing Organization, etc.) that would like to be classified as a School Food Authority (SFA) Cooperative must complete a questionnaire and submit it to the Indiana Department of Education (IDOE). Once a questionnaire is received, the IDOE will review the answers to determine a Cooperative's classification. Only Cooperatives that submit the questionnaire and receive a SFA-only Cooperative classification from the IDOE in writing will be considered a SFA only Cooperative for the purposes of the procurement process and procurement reviews.

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

*Procurement*

When the value of goods or services exceeds the simplified acquisition threshold, the proper purchasing method would be the bidding process, unless the purchase meets certain other qualifications. Federal regulations allow for informal procurement methods when the value of the procurement for goods or services does not exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, which is customarily set at \$250,000. However, Indiana Code 5-22-8 has a more restrictive threshold of \$150,000 or less for when small purchase procedures may be used. This informal process allows for methods other than the formal bid process. The informal process is divided between two methods based on thresholds. Micro-purchases, typically for those purchases \$10,000 or under, and small purchase procedures for those purchases above the micro-purchase threshold, but below the simplified acquisition threshold. Micro-purchases may be awarded without soliciting competitive price rate quotations. If small purchase procedures are used, then price or rate quotations must be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources. If it is determined a single source provider can be used for a small purchase, documentation must be retained supporting the determination.

The School Corporation purchased bread, dairy, produce, and commodities through Region 8 Education Service Center (Region 8). However, Region 8 had not received the SFA-only Cooperative classification from the IDOE for fiscal years 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As such, the School Corporation could not rely on the procurement procedures completed by Region 8 and were responsible for adhering to the procurement requirements for its purchases of bread, dairy, produce, and commodities.

Two vendors fell within the small purchase threshold. Both vendors were selected for testing. For one of the two vendors, an adequate number of price or rate quotes were not obtained. The vendor not in compliance, was procured through Region 8; however, as noted above, since Region 8 was not an approved SFA-only Cooperative, the School Corporation could only use Region 8 as one price or rate quote and would have needed to solicit additional bids or quotes to be in compliance.

*Suspension and Debarment*

Prior to entering into subawards and covered transactions with federal award funds, recipients are required to verify that such contractors and subrecipients are not suspended, debarred, or otherwise excluded. "Covered transactions" include, but are not limited to, contracts for goods and services awarded under a nonprocurement transaction (i.e., grant agreement) that are expected to equal or exceed \$25,000. The verification is to be done by checking the SAMs exclusions, collecting a certification from that vendor, or adding a clause or condition to the covered transaction with that vendor.

The School Corporation purchased bread, dairy, produce, and commodities through Region 8 Education Service Center (Region 8). However, Region 8 had not received the SFA-only Cooperative classification from the IDOE for 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As such, the School Corporation could not rely on Region 8's verification of suspension and debarment and was required to complete its own verification.

One covered transaction was identified and tested that equaled or exceeded \$25,000. For the noted transaction, the School Corporation did not verify that the vendor was not excluded or disqualified from participation in federal award programs.

The lack of internal controls and noncompliance were systemic issues throughout the audit period.

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

*Criteria*

2 CFR 200.303 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in 'Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government' issued by the Comptroller General of the United States or the 'Internal Control Integrated Framework', issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). . . ."

2 CFR 200.318 states in part:

"(a) The non-Federal entity must have and use documented procurement procedures, consistent with State, local, and tribal laws and regulations and the standards of this section, for the acquisition of property or services required under a Federal award or subaward. The non-Federal entity's documented procurement procedures must conform to the procurement standards identified in §§ 200.317 through 200.327. . . ."

(i) The non-Federal entity must maintain records sufficient to detail the history of procurement. These records will include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following: Rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type, contractor selection or rejection, and the basis for the contract price. . . ."

2 CFR 200.320 states in part:

"The non-Federal entity must have and use document procurement procedures, consistent with the standards of this section and §§ 200.317, 200.318, and 200.319 for any of the following methods of procurement used for the acquisition of property or services required under a Federal award or sub-award.

(a) *Informal procurement methods.* When the value of the procurement for property or services under a Federal award does not exceed the *simplified acquisition threshold (SAT)*, as defined in § 200.1, or a lower threshold established by a non-Federal entity, formal procurement methods are not required. The non-Federal entity may use informal procurement methods to expedite the completion of its transactions and minimize the associated administrative burden and cost. The informal methods used for procurement of property or services at or below the SAT include: . . .

(2) *Small purchases –*

(i) *Small purchase procedures.* The acquisition of property or services, the aggregate dollar amount of which is higher than the micro-purchase threshold but does not exceed the simplified acquisition threshold. If small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations must be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources as determined appropriate by the non-Federal entity. . . .

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

(b) *Formal procurement methods.* When the value of the procurement for property or services under a Federal financial assistance award exceeds the SAT, or a lower threshold established by a non-Federal entity, formal procurement methods are required. Formal procurement methods require following documented procedures. Formal procurement methods also require public advertising unless a non-competitive procurement can be used in accordance with § 200.319 or paragraph (c) of this section. The following formal methods of procurement are used for procurement of property or services above the simplified acquisition threshold or a value below the simplified acquisition threshold the non-Federal entity determines to be appropriate:

(1) *Sealed bids.* A procurement method in which bids are publicly solicited and a firm fixed-price contract (lump sum or unit price) is awarded to the responsible bidder whose bid, conforming with all the material terms and conditions of the invitation for bids, is the lowest in price. The sealed bids method is the preferred method for procuring construction, if the conditions.

(i) In order for sealed bidding to be feasible, the following conditions should be present:

- (A) A complete, adequate, and realistic specification or purchase description is available;
- (B) Two or more responsible bidders are willing and able to compete effectively for the business; and
- (C) The procurement lends itself to a firm fixed price contract and the selection of the successful bidder can be made principally on the basis of price.

(ii) If sealed bids are used, the following requirements apply:

- (A) Bids must be solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources, providing them sufficient response time prior to the date set for opening the bids, for local, and tribal governments, the invitation for bids must be publicly advertised;
- (B) The invitation for bids, which will include any specifications and pertinent attachments, must define the items or services in order for the bidder to properly respond;
- (C) All bids will be opened at the time and place prescribed in the invitation for bids, and for local and tribal governments, the bids must be opened publicly;
- (D) A firm fixed price contract award will be made in writing to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. Where specified in bidding documents, factors such as discounts, transportation cost, and life cycle costs must be considered in determining which bid is lowest. Payment discounts will only be used to determine the low bid when prior experience indicates that such discounts are usually taken advantage of; and
- (E) Any or all bids may be rejected if there is a sound documented reason.

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

(2) *Proposals.* A procurement method in which either a fixed price or cost-reimbursement type contract is awarded. Proposals are generally used when conditions are not appropriate for the use of sealed bids. They are awarded in accordance with the following requirements:

(i) Requests for proposals must be publicized and identify all evaluation factors and their relative importance. Proposals must be solicited from an adequate number of qualified offerors. Any response to publicized requests for proposals must be considered to the maximum extent practical;

(ii) The non-Federal entity must have a written method for conducting technical evaluations of the proposals received and making selections;

(iii) Contracts must be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous to the non-Federal entity, with price and other factors considered; and

(iv) The non-Federal entity may use competitive proposal procedures for qualifications-based procurement of architectural/engineering (A/E) professional services whereby offeror's qualifications are evaluated and the most qualified offeror is selected, subject to negotiation of fair and reasonable compensation. The method, where price is not used as a selection factor, can only be used in procurement of A/E professional services. It cannot be used to purchase other types of services though A/E firms that are a potential source to perform the proposed effort. . . ."

2 CFR 180.300 states:

"When you enter into a covered transaction with another person at the next lower tier, you must verify that the person with whom you intend to do business is not excluded or disqualified.

You do this by:

- (a) Checking SAM Exclusions; or
- (b) Collecting a certification from that person; or
- (c) Adding a clause or condition to the covered transaction with that person."

*Cause*

Management had not established a system of internal controls that would have ensured compliance with the Procurement and Suspension and Debarment compliance requirement.

*Effect*

The failure to design and implement an effective internal control system enabled material noncompliance to go undetected. Noncompliance with the grant agreement and the Procurement and Suspension and Debarment compliance requirement could have resulted in the loss of federal funds to the School Corporation.

SMITH-GREEN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
(Continued)

*Questioned Costs*

There were no questioned costs identified.

*Recommendation*

We recommended that the School Corporation's management establish a system of internal controls to ensure compliance and comply with the grant agreement and the Procurement and Suspension and Debarment compliance requirement.

*Views of Responsible Officials*

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

(This page intentionally left blank.)

#### AUDITEE-PREPARED DOCUMENTS

The subsequent documents were provided by management of the School Corporation. The documents are presented as intended by the School Corporation.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

**FINDING 2021-001**

**Fiscal year in which the finding initially occurred: 2020-2021**

**Current Audit Period: 2021-2023**

**Finding Subject:**

**Summary of Finding:**

The School Corporation was a member of the Region 8 Educational Service Center. The Service Center completed the Procurement and Suspension and Debarment compliance requirements on behalf of the School Corporation. Because the grant agreements were between the Indiana Department of Education and the School Corporation the School Corporation was ultimately responsible for compliance with the grant agreement and the Procurement and Suspension and Debarment compliance requirement. The bid for milk was originally awarded for 2018-2019 and was renewed for the 2020-2021 school year. The Service Center could not provide documentation that the procedures were performed to verify the vendor was not suspended or debarred from participation in federal programs prior to entering covered transactions with these vendors.

**Recommendation:**

It was recommended that the School Corporation's management establish internal controls to ensure compliance and comply with the grant agreement and the Procurement and Suspension and Debarment compliance requirement.

**Status of Audit Finding:**

Not Corrected

**Response Comments:**

We were under the assumption that Region 8 was to provide us with this information. We now understand that we must reach out to the vendor ourselves to make sure the Procurement and Suspension and Debarment compliance requirement is being reviewed and documentation is being kept.

*"The mission of Smith-Green Community Schools is to provide a superior educational experience that ensures success for every student through excellence in teaching and learning."*

---

Board of School Trustees: Mr. Brian Clark • Mr. Jeremy Hart • Mr. Jeremiah Johnson • Mrs. Emily Putt • Mrs. Kassie Taksey  
Superintendent: Dr. Paul Voigt



**Smith-Green Community Schools**  
*Superior Schools ... In a Supportive Community*

**FINDING 2023-001**

**Finding Subject: Financial Transactions and Reporting**

**Summary of Finding:**

The School Corporation failed to properly review the financial information prepared and submitted in Gateway

**Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:** Susan Loftain

**Contact Phone Number and Email Address:** (260) 693-2007

loftains@sgcs.k12.in.us

**Views of Responsible Officials:**

Option 1: "We concur with the finding."

**Description of Corrective Action Plan:**

Fixing AFR Financial Data

1. Bank to Bank Transfer will be removed from AFR in the future
2. Proceeds of long-term debt incorrectly reported will be shown as proceeds in debt for future
3. June Tax Settlement will be moved to June as it was receipted in July on the AFR and will account for the reverse effect in the following year

**Anticipated Completion Date:**

The date the 2023-24 AFR is due

*Per Uniform Guidance:*

2 CFR § 200.511(a) – "The auditee is responsible for follow-up and corrective action on all audit findings. . . The auditee must also prepare a corrective action plan for current year audit findings. . . The corrective action plan and summary schedule of prior audit findings must include findings relating to the financial statements which are required to be reported in accordance with GAGAS."

2 CFR § 200.511(c) – "At the completion of the audit, the auditee must prepare, in a document separate from the auditor's findings described in § 200.516, a corrective action plan to address each audit finding included in the current year auditor's reports. The corrective action plan must provide the name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action, the corrective action planned, and the anticipated completion date. If the auditee does not agree with the audit findings or believes corrective action is not required, then the corrective action plan must include an explanation and specific reasons."



**Smith-Green Community Schools**  
*Superior Schools ... In a Supportive Community*

**FINDING 2023-002**

**Finding Subject:** Preparation of the Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards

**Summary of Finding:**

The School Corporation failed to properly review the financial information prepared and submitted into Gateway for Federal Grants

**Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:** Susan Loftain  
**Contact Phone Number and Email Address:** (260) 693-2007  
loftains@sgcs.k12.in.us

**Views of Responsible Officials:**

Option 1: *"We concur with the finding."*

**Description of Corrective Action Plan:**

Upon completion and before submission of the SEFA in the AFR I will take my signed grant spreadsheet along with all receipts and disbursements showing totals for review and have the Superintendent and Elementary Principal double check my totals and sign off.

**Anticipated Completion Date:**

We started preparing a spreadsheet in September 2023 with 3 of us signing off on it monthly keeping track of all grant activities

*Per Uniform Guidance:*

2 CFR § 200.511(a) – "The auditee is responsible for follow-up and corrective action on all audit findings. . . The auditee must also prepare a corrective action plan for current year audit findings. . . The corrective action plan and summary schedule of prior audit findings must include findings relating to the financial statements which are required to be reported in accordance with GAGAS."

2 CFR § 200.511(c) – "At the completion of the audit, the auditee must prepare, in a document separate from the auditor's findings described in § 200.516, a corrective action plan to address each audit finding included in the current year auditor's reports. The corrective action plan must provide the name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action, the corrective action planned, and the anticipated completion date. If the auditee does not agree with the audit findings or believes corrective action is not required, then the corrective action plan must include an explanation and specific reasons."



**Smith-Green Community Schools**  
*Superior Schools ... In a Supportive Community*

**FINDING 2023-003**

**Finding Subject:** Child Nutrition Cluster – Procurement and Suspension and Debarment

**Summary of Finding:**

Procurement: For one of the two vendors tested for small purchases an adequate number of price or rate quotes were not obtained.

Suspension and Debarment: The School Corporation purchased bread, dairy produce and commodities through Region 8 Education Service Center (Region 8) However, Region 8 had not received the SFA – only Cooperative classification from IDOE for fiscal years 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As such, the School Corporation could not rely on Region 8's verification of suspension and debarment and was required to complete their own verification.

One covered transaction was identified and tested that equaled or exceeded \$25,000. For the noted transaction, the School Corporation did not verify that the vendor was not excluded or disqualified from participation in federal award programs.

**Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:** Susan Loftain  
**Contact Phone Number and Email Address:** (260) 693-2007  
loftains@sgcs.k12.in.us

**Views of Responsible Officials:**

Option 1: *"We concur with the finding."*

**Description of Corrective Action Plan:**

Procurement: All vendors procured through Region 8 we will need to take action to secure bids and quotes and keeps copies and make we are within compliance

Suspension and Debarment:

We will be sure to complete our own verifications and not rely on Region 8 to check on suspension and debarment

*Per Uniform Guidance:*

2 CFR § 200.511(a) – "The auditee is responsible for follow-up and corrective action on all audit findings. . .The auditee must also prepare a corrective action plan for current year audit findings. . . The corrective action plan and summary schedule of prior audit findings must include findings relating to the financial statements which are required to be reported in accordance with GAGAS."

2 CFR § 200.511(c) – "At the completion of the audit, the auditee must prepare, in a document separate from the auditor's findings described in § 200.516, a corrective action plan to address each audit finding included in the current year auditor's reports. The corrective action plan must provide the name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action, the corrective action planned, and the anticipated completion date. If the auditee does not agree with the audit findings or believes corrective action is not required, then the corrective action plan must include an explanation and specific reasons."

**Anticipated Completion Date:**

Today 2/28/24

*Per Uniform Guidance:*

2 CFR § 200.511(a) – “The auditee is responsible for follow-up and corrective action on all audit findings. . . The auditee must also prepare a corrective action plan for current year audit findings. . . The corrective action plan and summary schedule of prior audit findings must include findings relating to the financial statements which are required to be reported in accordance with GAGAS.”

2 CFR § 200.511(c) – “At the completion of the audit, the auditee must prepare, in a document separate from the auditor's findings described in § 200.516, a corrective action plan to address each audit finding included in the current year auditor's reports. The corrective action plan must provide the name(s) of the contact person(s) responsible for corrective action, the corrective action planned, and the anticipated completion date. If the auditee does not agree with the audit findings or believes corrective action is not required, then the corrective action plan must include an explanation and specific reasons.”

## OTHER REPORTS

In addition to this report, other reports may have been issued for the School Corporation. All reports can be found on the Indiana State Board of Accounts' website: <http://www.in.gov/sboa/>.