

STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTS
302 West Washington Street
Room E418
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2769

FEDERAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

OF

CITY OF MONTICELLO

WHITE COUNTY, INDIANA

January 1, 2023 to December 31, 2023



FILED

09/24/2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Officials	2
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statement Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	3-4
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	5-7
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Accompanying Notes:	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	11
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	12
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	13-15
Auditee-Prepared Document:	
Corrective Action Plan	18-19
Other Reports.....	20

SCHEDULE OF OFFICIALS

<u>Office</u>	<u>Official</u>	<u>Term</u>
Clerk-Treasurer	James D. Mann Doug Pepple	01-01-23 to 12-31-23 01-01-24 to 12-31-24
Mayor	Cathy Gross Aaron Sims	01-01-23 to 12-31-23 01-01-24 to 12-31-24
President of the Board of Public Works and Safety	Andy Harmon Josh Leonard	01-01-23 to 12-31-23 01-01-24 to 12-31-24
President Pro Tempore of the Common Council	Bill Cheever	01-01-23 to 12-31-24
Superintendent of Water Utility	Christopher W. Cohagan (Vacant) Brad Haygood	01-01-23 to 12-16-23 12-17-23 to 08-31-24 09-01-24 to 12-31-24
Superintendent of Wastewater Utility	Robert Lindley	01-01-23 to 12-31-24



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

TO: THE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF MONTICELLO, WHITE COUNTY, INDIANA

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statement of the City of Monticello (City), for the year ended December 31, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statement, which collectively comprise the City's financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated September 19, 2024, wherein we noted the City followed accounting practices the Indiana State Board of Accounts prescribes rather than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2023-001, that we consider to be material weaknesses.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*
(Continued)

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2023-001.

City of Monticello's Response to Findings

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the City's response to findings identified in our audit and described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Corrective Action Plan. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.



Beth Kelley, CPA, CFE
Deputy State Examiner

September 19, 2024



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

TO: THE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF MONTICELLO, WHITE COUNTY, INDIANA

Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program

Opinion on the Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Monticello's (City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2023. The City's major federal program is identified in the *Summary of Auditor's Results* section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2023.

Basis for Opinion on the Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance* section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the City's federal programs.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE
(Continued)

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not absolute assurance, and, therefore, is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually, or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE
(Continued)

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance* section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statement of the City, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statement. We issued our report thereon dated September 19, 2024, which contained a dual opinion on the financial statement. An adverse opinion was issued regarding the presentation in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and an unmodified opinion was issued regarding the presentation in accordance with the regulatory basis of accounting. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statement as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statement. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement or to the financial statement itself, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statement as a whole.



Beth Kelley, CPA, CFE
Deputy State Examiner

September 19, 2024

(This page intentionally left blank.)

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS AND ACCOMPANYING NOTES

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and accompanying notes presented were approved by management of the City. The schedule and notes are presented as intended by the City.

(This page intentionally left blank.)

CITY OF MONTICELLO
 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
 For the Year Ended December 31, 2023

Federal Grantor Agency Cluster Title/Program Title/Project Title	Pass-Through Entity or Direct Grant	Assistance Listings Number	Pass-Through Entity (or Other) Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipient	Total Federal Awards Expended
<u>Department of Housing and Urban Development</u>					
Community Development Block Grants/State's program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii Monticello Comp Plan	Office of Community and Rural Affairs	14.228	B-21-DC-18-0001	\$ -	\$ 44,100
Total - Department of Housing and Urban Development				-	44,100
<u>Department of the Treasury</u>					
COVID-19 - Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds American Rescue Plan	Direct	21.027	IN0311	-	278,178
Total - Department of the Treasury				-	278,178
<u>Environmental Protection Agency</u>					
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Cluster Drinking Water State Revolving Fund RCA Water Project	Indiana Finance Authority	66.468	DW22079102	-	1,055,000
Total - Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Cluster				-	1,055,000
Total - Environmental Protection Agency				-	1,055,000
Total federal awards expended				\$ -	\$ 1,377,278

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

CITY OF MONTICELLO
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) includes the federal grant activity of the City under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2023. The information in the SEFA is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the SEFA presents only a select portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position of the City.

B. Other Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the SEFA are reported on the cash basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments*, or the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowed or are limited as to reimbursement. When federal grants are received on a reimbursement basis, the federal awards are considered expended when the reimbursement is received.

Note 2. Indirect Cost Rate

The City has elected not to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

CITY OF MONTICELLO
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statement:

Type of auditor's report issued:	Adverse as to GAAP; Unmodified as to Regulatory Basis
Internal control over financial reporting:	
Material weaknesses identified?	yes
Significant deficiencies identified?	none reported
Noncompliance material to financial statement noted?	yes

Federal Awards:

Internal control over major programs:	
Material weaknesses identified?	no
Significant deficiencies identified?	none reported
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?	no

Identification of Major Programs and type of auditor's report issued on compliance for each:

Assistance Listings Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster	Opinion Issued
66.468	Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Cluster	Unmodified

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	no
--	----

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

FINDING 2023-001

Subject: Financial Transactions and Reporting
Audit Findings: Material Weakness, Noncompliance

Condition and Context

Financial information is required to be entered annually into the Indiana Gateway for Government Units (Gateway) financial reporting system, which is the source of the City's Annual Financial Report (AFR or financial statement). The City entered the information as required; however, the City did not properly review the financial information prepared and submitted in Gateway. Although one employee prepared and entered the financial information into Gateway, and another employee reviewed and approved the information entered, the internal controls were not effective and did not detect and allow correction of errors prior to submission.

CITY OF MONTICELLO
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
(Continued)

Due to the lack of effective internal controls the financial statement presented for audit included the following errors:

- The BNY SRFDW Monticello 23 fund receipts and disbursements were both overstated by \$492,763.
- The BNY SRFDW Monticello BAN 23 fund receipts and disbursements were both overstated by \$61,675.
- The Sewage Bond Reserve fund beginning cash balance was understated by \$1,112,761, and receipts were overstated by \$1,331,771, which overstated ending cash balance by \$219,010.
- The Lease Rental Bonds of 2015 P & I fund (related to the Monticello Redevelopment Authority) beginning cash balance was overstated by \$79,555, receipts were understated by \$375,480, and disbursements were understated by \$367,358, which overstated ending cash balance by \$71,432.
- The Lease Rental Bonds DSR fund (related to the Monticello Redevelopment Authority) beginning cash balance was understated by \$26,949, and receipts were understated by \$20,739, which understated ending cash balance by \$47,688.
- The Lease Bonds of 2015 Bond Issuance fund (related to the Monticello Redevelopment Authority) beginning cash balance and ending cash balance were both overstated by \$15,938.

Audit adjustments were proposed, accepted by the City, and made to the financial statement presented in the Financial Statement Audit Report of the City.

The City did not include the financial activity of the Redevelopment Commission in the financial statement. Based on the bank activity for the Redevelopment Commission, beginning cash balance was understated by \$101,570, receipts understated by \$500, and disbursements understated by \$6,773, which understated ending cash balance by \$95,297. Amounts were immaterial to the financial statement, and audit adjustments were not made to the financial statement.

Criteria

The Indiana State Board of Accounts (SBOA) is required under Indiana Code 5-11-1-27(e) to define the acceptable minimum level of internal control standards. To provide clarifying guidance, the State Examiner compiled the standards contained in the manual, *Uniform Internal Control Standards for Indiana Political Subdivisions*. All political subdivisions subject to audit by SBOA are expected to adhere to these standards. The standards include adequate control activities. According to this manual:

"Control activities are the actions and tools established through policies and procedures that help to detect, prevent, or reduce the identified risks that interfere with the achievement of objectives. Detection activities are designed to identify unfavorable events in a timely manner whereas prevention activities are designed to deter the occurrence of an unfavorable event. Examples of these activities include reconciliations, authorizations, approval processes, performance reviews, and verification processes.

An integral part of the control activity component is segregation of duties. . . .

CITY OF MONTICELLO
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There is an expectation of segregation of duties. If compensating controls are necessary, documentation should exist to identify both the areas where segregation of duties are not feasible or practical and the compensating controls implemented to mitigate the risk. . . ."

Indiana Code 5-11-1-4(a) states:

"The state examiner shall require from every audited entity financial reports covering the full period of each fiscal year. These reports shall be prepared, verified, and filed with the state examiner as set forth in the uniform compliance guidelines. The reports must be in the form and content prescribed by the state examiner and filed electronically in the manner prescribed under [IC 5-14-3.8-7](#)."

Cause

Management of the City had not established an effective system of internal controls that would have ensured proper reporting of the AFR.

Effect

Without a proper system of internal controls in place that operated effectively, material misstatements of the AFR remained undetected. The financial statement contained the errors identified in the *Condition and Context*.

Views of Responsible Officials

For the views of responsible officials, refer to the Corrective Action Plan that is part of this report.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

No matters are reportable.

(This page intentionally left blank.)

AUDITEE-PREPARED DOCUMENT

The subsequent document was provided by management of the City. The document is presented as intended by the City.



City of Monticello

Doug Pepple, Clerk Treasurer

clerktreasurer@monticelloin.gov

Office: 574.583.5712

Fax: 574.583.5892



Mary Black, 1st Deputy Clerk Treasurer

Deborah Madtson, 2nd Deputy/Payroll

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

September 19, 2024

FINDING 2023-001

Finding Subject: Financial Transactions and Reporting

Summary of Finding:

Financial information is required to be entered annually into the Indiana Gateway for Government Units (Gateway) financial reporting system, which is the source of the City's Annual Financial Report (AFR or financial statement). The City entered the information as required; however, the City did not properly review the financial information prepared and submitted in the Gateway. Although one employee prepared and entered the financial information into the Gateway, and another employee reviewed and approved the information entered; the internal controls were not effective and did not detect and allow correction of errors prior to submission.

Due to the lack of effective internal controls the financial statement presented for audit included the following errors:

- BNY SRFDW Monticello 23 fund receipts and disbursements were both overstated by \$492,763.
- BNY SRFDW Monticello BAN 23 fund receipts and disbursements were both overstated by \$61,675.
- Sewage Bond Reserve fund beginning cash was understated by \$1,112,761 and receipts were overstated by \$1,331,771 which overstated ending cash balance by \$219,010.
- Lease Rental Bonds of 2015 P & I fund (related to the Monticello Redevelopment Authority) beginning cash was overstated by \$79,555, receipts were understated by \$375,480, and disbursements were understated by \$367,358 which overstated ending cash balance by \$71,432.
- Lease Rental Bonds DSR fund (related to the Monticello Redevelopment Authority) beginning cash was understated by \$26,949 and receipts were understated by \$20,739 which understated ending cash balance by \$47,688.
- Lease Bonds of 2015 Bond Issuance fund (related to the Monticello Redevelopment Authority) beginning cash balance and ending cash balance were both overstated by \$15,938.
- The City did not include the financial activity of the Redevelopment Commission in the financial statement. Based on the bank activity for the Redevelopment Commission, beginning cash was understated by \$101,570, receipts understated by \$500, and disbursements understated by \$6,773 which understated ending cash balance by \$95,297.

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Doug Pepple

Contact Phone Number and Email Address: 574-583-5712 clerktreasurer@monticelloin.gov

Views of Responsible Officials:

We concur with the finding.



City of Monticello

Doug Pepple, Clerk Treasurer

clerktreasurer@monticelloin.gov

Office: 574.583.5712

Fax: 574.583.5892



Mary Black, 1st Deputy Clerk Treasurer

Deborah Madtson, 2nd Deputy/Payroll

Description of Corrective Action Plan:

Regarding all SRF receipts and disbursements, the Clerk Treasurer will produce the originating documents which will subsequently be reviewed, approved and initialed by either the 1st or 2nd Deputy before submission.

Regarding Bond transaction activity, the Clerk Treasurer, 1st Deputy or 2nd Deputy will review and initial agreement and the same with subsequent bank reconciliations

Regarding Redevelopment Commission activity, the Clerk Treasurer, 1st Deputy or 2nd Deputy will review and initial agreement and the same with subsequent bank reconciliations

Anticipated Completion Date:

This will be implemented immediately.

OTHER REPORTS

In addition to this report, other reports may have been issued for the City. All reports can be found on the Indiana State Board of Accounts' website: <http://www.in.gov/sboa/>.