

**MINUTES**  
**STATE BOARD OF DENTISTRY**  
**AUGUST 7, 2020**

**I. CALL TO ORDER AND ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM**

Dr. Mara Catey-Williams called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m. through virtual video and audio conferencing. Dr. Mara Catey-Williams declared a quorum in accordance with Indiana Code § 15-5-1.1-6(c).

**Board Members Present:**

Mara Catey-Williams, D.M.D., President  
Richard R. Nowakowski, D.D.S., Vice President  
Jeffrey L. Snoddy, D.D.S.  
Annette J. Williamson, D.D.S.  
Jennifer K. Bartek, L.D.H., M.S., C.D.A.  
R. Daron Sheline, D.D.S.  
Robert D. Findley, D.D.S.  
Ted M. Reese, D.D.S., Secretary/Designee

**Board Members Not Present:**

Gregory A. Berger, D.D.S.  
Mark R. Stetzel, D.D.S.  
Consumer Member, Vacant

**State Officials Present:**

Cindy Vaught, Board Director, Professional Licensing Agency  
Dana Brooks, Assistant Board Director, Professional Licensing Agency  
Felicia Wise, Litigation Specialist, Professional Licensing Agency  
Claire Dyer, Deputy Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General

**II. ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

Discussion occurred to reorganize the appearances of the administrative hearings.

A motion was made and seconded to adopt the agenda as amended.

NOWAKOWSKI/WILLIAMSON  
Motion carried 7-0-0

**III. ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES:**

The minutes of June 5, 2020 are complete; however, they are still under review for edits. Minutes are tabled.

**IV. ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS**

**9:10 a.m.**

**A. Mathew Negrelli, D.D.S., License No. 12009878A**

Administrative Cause No. 2019 ISBD 0005

Re: Notice of Proposed Default and Summary Suspension Extension

**Parties Present:**

Respondent was present

Alyssa Servies, Deputy Attorney General for the State of Indiana

Heather Orbaugh, Court Reporter, Accurate Reporting

Candance Backer, Witness to the Respondent

**Participating Board Members:**

Dr. Catey-Williams, DMD (Hearing Officer)

Dr. Nowakowski, DDS

Dr. Sheline, DDS

Dr. Reese, D.D.S

Dr. Williamson, DDS

Dr. Findley, DDS

Dr. Snoddy, DDS

Ms. Bartek, MS, CDA, LDH

**Case Summary:** In December of 2008 Dr. Negrelli was referred to the IDA Well Being Program and was diagnosed as part of the program. In February 2015 he successfully completed the program, however in 2018 he contacted the Program as he suffered a relapse. In 2018 he signed a Continuing Care Contract with the Well Being Program and relapsed again in December of 2018. In May of 2019 Dr. Negrelli failed his Program testing and refused to participate in the Program and all monitoring. On June 7, 2019 the Well- Being Program determined him as non-compliant with his IDA Well Being Contract, and Dr. Negrelli has not re-engaged with the program. On or about March 1, 2020 Dr. Negrelli's dental license expired. On or about June 5, 2020 Dr. Negrelli failed to attend a hearing regarding his license and issued a Notice of Proposed Default. Dr. Negrelli has not responded.

**Board Action:** A motion was made and seconded that Dr. Negrelli be held in default.

CATEY-WILLIAMS/NOWAKOWSKI

Motion carried 8-0-0

Candace Backer was called by the state to testify regarding Dr. Negrelli's history with the Well- Being Program. She informed the Board that Dr. Negrelli suffers from alcohol use disorder and left the program in 2015. Dr. Negrelli was then encouraged by his family to return to the program and in 2016 started drinking again in response to a death in the family. Dr. Negrelli did try to complete the outpatient program. He did complete the initial program but did not complete the follow up fitness for duty meetings. Those follow up programs were to occur in 2019. Dr. Negrelli was discharged from the program June 7, 2019. The Board asked

Ms. Backer if she knew if Dr. Negrelli was working at the times of his relapses, and she informed the Board that he was not working to the Program's knowledge. The State recommended that the license be disciplined as Dr. Negrelli based upon the evidence presented.

**Board Action:** A motion was made and seconded to Revoke Dr. Negrelli's dental license based upon the testimony and evidence presented.

NOWAKOWSKI/SNODDY  
Motion carried 8-0-0

**B. William J. Downie, D.D.S., License No. 12011611A**

Administrative Cause No. 2020 ISBD 0002

Re: Summary Suspension Extension

**Parties Present:**

Respondent was not present

Alyssa Servies, Deputy Attorney General for the State of Indiana

Heather Orbaugh, Court Reporter, Accurate Reporting

**Participating Board Members:**

Dr. Catey-Williams, DMD (Hearing Officer)

Dr. Nowakowski, DDS

Dr. Sheline, DDS

Dr. Reese, D.D.S

Dr. Williamson, DDS

Dr. Findley, DDS

Dr. Snoddy, DDS

Ms. Bartek, MS, CDA, LDH

**Case Summary:** On or about June 5, 2020, the summary suspension of Dr. Downie's license was extended an additional ninety (90) days as the Board determined that he is a clear and present danger to the public's health and safety. Dr. Downie has failed to appear for the hearing. The State received notice that Dr. Downie was unable to appear today due to a medical issue. The State affirms that Dr. Downie still presents a clear and present danger to the public. The Board asks the State if they have any evidence or knowledge regarding Dr. Downie practice. The State informed the Board that there is still an ongoing investigation in this matter. The proceedings were concluded.

**Board Action:** A motion was made and seconded to extend the summary suspension an additional ninety (90) days in the matter Dr. Downie's dental license.

NOWAKOWSKI/REESE  
Motion carried 8-0-0

**C. Alan Friz, D.D.S., License No. 12008787A**

Administrative Cause No. 2017 ISBD 0010

Re: Administrative Complaint

**Parties Present:**

Respondent was present with Counsel Jon Brinson  
April Keaton, Deputy Attorney General for the State of Indiana  
Heather Orbaugh, Court Reporter, Accurate Reporting

**Participating Board Members:**

Dr. Catey-Williams, DMD (Hearing Officer)  
Dr. Nowakowski, DDS  
Dr. Sheline, DDS  
Dr. Reese, D.D.S  
Dr. Williamson, DDS  
Dr. Findley, DDS  
Dr. Snoddy, DDS  
Ms. Bartek, MS, CDA, LDH

**Case Summary:** On or about July 1, 2020 the State filed an administrative complaint against Dr. Friz due to Dr. Friz's guilty plea to Felony neglect of a minor which is considered a level 6 felony. The plea agreement was admitted as Exhibit A. The agreement stated that he plead guilty to having deprived medical support, psychiatric care, and did neglect a minor under his care. Dr. Friz was sentenced and placed on criminal probation on February 21, 2020. Mr. Brinson informed the Board that Dr. Friz is married with ten (10) children under his care. He stated that the child in question, known as AF, had been adopted by him and his wife when she was 4 years old from the Ukraine. They had adopted a boy at the same time who was 2 years younger. Mr. Brinson said that the raising of the two children was difficult as the children would act out in a physical violent manner. When the children reached their teenage years, AF had been acting out even more. AF was eventually taken out of his custody after he was arrested. He plead guilty as his charges would be amended after his trial, and the State has dismissed charges from his case. AF has been placed in Foster Care and did not do well there. She was hospitalized by the State of Indiana in a psychiatric hospital when they were 15 or 16. She has remained at the hospital for the past 3 years. Dr. Friz believes that AF suffers from reactive detachment disorder. This disorder is common from young children of that region of the World. When AF started showing symptoms Dr. Friz tried to address the issues that arose with help from the church. Dr. Friz did acknowledge that he probably should have sought psychiatric care but did not. He believed that home help would work, and he did not want to see her institutionalized. Dr. Friz did say they could have gone to trial regarding the charges; however, he did not want to put AF through a trial. Dr. Friz stated that he admitted guilt, the charge is a misdemeanor, and it should not be considered that he is a danger to the public. Dr. Friz stated that his pastor, dental assistant, and church friend are all here to attest to his character. Mrs. Theresa Smith testified on behalf of Dr. Friz attested that she has been in practice for 1 year when she was hired by Dr. Friz. At the office there is only 1 full time dental hygienist on staff and 1 part time. The staff is small, and all members are aware of the charges filed against Dr. Friz. She informed the Board that during the

initial arrest in 2017 his number one concern was his practice and patient care. She stated that Dr. Friz has not been concerned with making money, but rather that the patient has the necessary care needed. He ensures that all of his staff know his viewpoint and reflect that in their work. The Board had no questions for the witness. Mr. Don Brown appeared to attest to the character of Dr. Fritz. He is a stay at home father who knows Dr. Friz through their church. He is also married with 10 children and has a child with the same diagnosis as AF. He also volunteers to help families adjust with similar cases. He informed the Board that AF went to two different care facilities and threatened suicide, and that is not uncommon for children with this diagnosis to accuse their parents of wrongdoing even when none has occurred. He stated that has happened to him before. He had been accused of forcing people to pray over a child before and after the investigation, was found to be innocent of harmful behavior. The Board asked Mr. Brown what steps he took to deal with the behavior of his own child. He stated that they had met with counselors and consulted at the health hospital. They found that they could no longer care adequately for their child and found a home in a different state for them. The child had asked for the change and they felt it was a better home. Mr. Brown did not know at the time that Dr. Friz what going through similar struggles, as the children with the detachment disorder tend to not act up in a public setting, but rather in a private home setting. Mr. Brown informed the Board that Dr. Friz is a good man and his character reflects love and compassion for people. Mr. Brown wanted the Board to know that he is in no way an expert, but he is constantly learning about the disorder and always adapts as he discovers new information. Dr. Brian Marshal Epshine, Pastor of Dr. Friz's church, attests that Dr. Friz and his family do attend his church, and they travel 1 hour every Sunday to attend. They have been attending his church for around 7 years and Dr. Epshine knew AF from the church services. He knew that AF was disciplined normally for bad behavior, but he was aware that she had some cognitive issues. She was involved in family activities and was home schooled to better assist in her learning needs. Dr. Epshine knew of the allegations but he did not see anything in AF's behavior, or any inappropriate discipline enforced onto her. Dr. Friz had approached him with discussions but nothing was outright asked. He suggested a few facilities that followed christian love philosophy. Those facilities function in the manner that if the child behaves wrong, then you must give the correct amount of love to fix the issue. Dr. Epshine did know that Dr. Friz reached out to a different pastor for additional guidance as he knew Dr. Friz and his family did not want to institutionalize her. Dr. Friz informed the Board that he and his wife live in a country home with a small farm and the children help with the chores. They are always outside and exploring nature and AF was no different in that aspect. When he was arrested all his children were placed in foster care and then returned within 8 weeks after he and his wife showed proof that they were fit and proper providers. He and his wife knew at the time of the adoption of AF that there might be some cognitive issues to handle, but at the time they were minor. They did have to get rid of their family dog, as AF almost killed the animal due to her violent tendencies. He and his wife corrected her behavior by showing her love and affection. She improved and they continued with their love and affection therapy and attended cognitive therapy sessions. At the sessions he and his wife were told that they needed to give AF puzzles, hugs, and show affection. AF's behavior was not extreme until age 14 when she started to get worse. They wanted to

introduce her to a christian institution, but this was not possible due to either she was too violent or did not meet their cognitive requirements. AF then attacked Dr. Friz's father-in-law and they had to go to the police in order to hopefully get her into an institution. AF had a history of running away from them, and there was one case that she was 4 miles from home and did not know how she got there. During her time in foster care AF threatened their foster parent and CPS was called. She was then institutionalized as she was diagnosed and was determined that she was a danger. The State asked for clarification on when AF started to worsen. Dr. Friz stated it was around 2017 that AF was making remarks that were said to cause a reaction from him and his wife. At that time, she was leaving their property and running off more often. When he and his wife tried to address this behavior, she became violent and threatened the family. It was hard to gauge when the turning point occurred as the behavior changes were gradual and over a long period of time. It was not a sudden change. Dr. Friz stated that while he was charged with 27 counts, he only plead guilty to two of the charges as the rest were investigated and found to be unfounded. The State submitted Exhibit A, with no objections from Dr. Friz and counsel, which was a copy of Dr. Friz's criminal record. The convictions on the criminal history happened on February 21, 2020 this year. He had terminated his parent relationship with AF a couple years ago, and he is currently on criminal probation. He wanted the Board to know that he was in error and ignorant as he did not know that christian counseling was not recognized as an appropriate and authorized treatment. He stated that this whole incident is a family tragedy and not him trying to neglect or harm any of God's creations. The State concluded to say that Dr. Friz has plead guilty and signed an agreement to that fact. The three witnesses have provided their personal testimony. The first witness showed that Dr. Friz did not show every possible care for his daughter. The second witness is not an expert. He also in his own situation. Mr. Brinson that Dr. Friz is not harmful to the public, however if we let Dr. Friz go with no penalty will be setting a precedent for those with similar charges to go free. To illuminate courts underlining reason for plea and conviction review page 17 of Exhibit A, he accepted the plea. The plea states that "the entered plea for the State to help protect the public, and it is very lenient in this matter. AF is doing better outside of Dr. Friz's care and it would not be in her best health to go to trial." If the Board does not do anything for this incident, then those of similar charges could be determined as not harmful to the public. Mr. Brinson closed to state that after thorough investigation of the situation, the State saw fit to give custody of the rest of Dr. Friz's children back to his care. The same judge who granted custody back also moved AF to the mental health institution. Dr. Friz did his best to give AF good Christian home, and he does not agree with the State as he states the Board should only be reviewing the convictions and not all the charges filed against Dr. Friz.

**Board Action:** A motion was made to not place sanctions or discipline upon Dr. Friz's license. Board's counsel advised that they first must determine if the State met their burden of proof. Motion was then withdrawn.

A motion was made and seconded that the State has failed to meet its burden and could not show Dr. Friz violated IC 25-1-9-4(a)(2)(b)

BARTEK/CATE-WILLIAMS  
Motion carried 7-0-0 (Dr. Sheline was not present)

**D. Christie Lant, D.D.S., License No. 12010692A**

Administrative Cause No. 2020 ISBD 0004

Re: Order to Show Cause

**Parties Present:**

Respondent was not present or represented by counsel  
Alyssa Servies, Deputy Attorney General for the State of Indiana  
Heather Orbaugh, Court Reporter, Accurate Reporting  
Candance Backer, Witness to the Respondent

**Participating Board Members:**

Dr. Catey-Williams, DMD (Hearing Officer)  
Dr. Nowakowski, DDS  
Dr. Sheline, DDS  
Dr. Ted M. Reese, D.D.S  
Dr. Williamson, DDS  
Dr. Findley, DDS  
Dr. Snoddy, DDS  
Ms. Bartek, MS, CDA, LDH

**Case Summary:** On or around June 5, 2020 Dr. Lant was placed on Probation and had to complete the following terms:

1. Complete her contract with the IDA Well Being Program
2. Keep the Board apprised of the following information:
  - a. Current residence, mailing address, email address and residential phone number; and
  - b. Place of employment, mailing address, phone number, and name of supervisor, if applicable
3. To no practice as a dentist until she has been evaluated and approved to return to practice by the IDA Well Being Program.
4. Appear before the Board at two scheduled board meeting every year while on probation once she has been approved to practice.
5. Once approved to practice her employer must submit quarterly reports addressing the applicant's duties, responsibilities, character, and performance in her professional capacity. Should she be self employed then she shall not be required to submit quarterly reports.

Candance Backer of the IDA Well Being Program informed the Board that Dr. Lant is currently non-compliant with the Well Being Program. She is currently in violation of her probation terms. Dr. Lant has received notice and is aware of this Board Meeting. She has sent notice to the Board

that she will not appear at this meeting and would like to relinquish her license. The State recommends that a notice of proposed default be issued.

**Board Action:** A motion was made and seconded to issue a notice of proposed default in the matter of Dr. Lant.

FINDLEY/CATEY-WILLIAMS  
Motion carried 8-0-0

## V. PERSONAL APPEARANCES

### A. PROBATION

There were no probation appearances before the Board.

### B. RENEWALS

There were no renewal appearances before the Board.

### C. REINSTATMENT

#### 1. Lisa Ann Sandberg, L.D.H., License No. 13004314A

Ms. Sandberg appeared as requested to discuss her license reinstatement. Ms. Sandberg's license expired on March 1, 1994. Ms. Sandberg submitted CE and a statement for the Board to review regarding her request to appear. Upon review, it was found that Ms. Sandberg has not completed the CPR in its entirety, which is the live portion.

**Board Action:** A motion was made and seconded to approve Ms. Sandberg's license reinstatement pending submission of the completed CPR training and passing the jurisprudence examination.

BARTEK/FINDLEY  
Motion carried 7-0-0

### D. APPLICATION

#### 1. Addison Bushfield (L.D.H.)

Ms. Bushfield was requested to appear her response to question number 4 on her application "Except for minor violations of traffic laws resulting in fines, and arrests or convictions that have been expunged by a court, have you ever been arrested; have you ever entered into a prosecutorial diversion or deferment agreement regarding any offense, misdemeanor, or felony in any state; have you ever been convicted of any offense, misdemeanor, or felony in any state; have you ever pled guilty to any offense, misdemeanor, or felony in any state; or have you

ever pled nolo contendere to any offense, misdemeanor, or felony in any state?" In 2017 she was convicted of underage drinking/illegal consumption and convicted of Class C Misdemeanor; in 2018 arrested for marijuana which was a diversion agreement and in 2019 pled guilty to an OWI which was a misdemeanor. She has completed all requirements and diversion agreements. All incidents revolved around alcohol and marijuana use and she informed the Board her last drink was in October of 2019. Ms. Bushfield submitted 3-character reference letters. Ms. Bushfield explained to the Board that her school was aware of her background but did not inform her that she might have to appear before them regarding her background. The Board stated that she has had a number of charges within a short period of time and asked for clarification what they should expect on regarding behavior changes. Ms. Bushfield stated she believes she knows what her issue is, and she is currently seeing a counselor. She informed the Board that she has been seeing her counselor of her own after her last incident. She has also been taking sobriety steps and has a new outlook on life. She stated that she does not plan on leaving her therapist any time soon and has not had a relapse. She has changed her circle of friends and has completed her diversion agreement and probation terms. She stated she is currently employed; however, her employer does not know of her incidents. The Board informed her that they are concerned with her responses and there might be a concern that they will see her again. They stressed the importance of open communication with her employer and to continue with her therapist.

**Board Action:** A motion was made and seconded to approve Ms. Bushfield's application pending passing the jurisprudence exam.

BARTEK/FINDLEY

Motion carried 6-1-0 (Dr. Reese opposed)

## 2. Tarek Elseweifi (D.D.S.)

Dr. Elseweifi was requested to appear to discuss his yes response to question number 4 on his application " Except for minor violations of traffic laws resulting in fines, and arrests or convictions that have been expunged by a court, have you ever been arrested; have you ever entered into a prosecutorial diversion or deferment agreement regarding any offense, misdemeanor, or felony in any state; have you ever been convicted of any offense, misdemeanor, or felony in any state; have you ever pled guilty to any offense, misdemeanor, or felony in any state; or have you ever pled nolo contendere to any offense, misdemeanor, or felony in any state?" Dr. Elseweifi informed the Board that he had had his record expunged and thought the charges would no longer show be on his record. Dr. Elseweifi submitted a statement and supporting documents regarding his charges. Dr. Elseweifi stated that his incidents occurred due to hanging out with the wrong crowd. The one incident that showed on his background started when he went out to dinner and drinks with friends. The police initially pulled him over due to his taillight being out. He was told to step out of his vehicle. At the time he did not have a good relationship with his girlfriend and was under the impression she might have

reported him for suspicious character actions. This might have caused the police to be more cautious with their handling of his case. Dr. Elseweifi informed the Board that his criminal probation has been completed. He stated that he is currently in a fellowship program at Indiana University and has not been in trouble regarding his practice of dentistry.

**Board Action:** A motion was made and seconded to approve Dr. Elseweifi's application pending passing the jurisprudence exam.

REESE/NOWAKOWSKI  
Motion carried 7-0-0

### **3. Vivek Narra (D.D.S.)**

Dr. Narra appeared as requested to discuss his application. Dr. Narra has failed the CDCA examination on three different occasions. Indiana code 25-14-1-3 states that every applicant must pass an examination administered by an entity approved by the board and may not take the examination more than three (3) times. Dr. Narra currently holds a license in Virginia and Washington State. Those licenses are not listed on the application as they were earned while he was going through his Indiana application process. Dr. Narra did apply for an Ohio license, however he withdrew it as their State had different rules for the application process and he was dealing with Visa issues at the time. The Board asked what his reasons were for pursuing an Indiana license. Dr. Narra explained that he found more opportunities in the State and he would be closer to his family. He has intentions of moving to the State once his license is granted. The Board found if there were any language barrier issues, and Dr. Narra stated he normally does not however his accent does become heavier sometimes when he is nervous. Dr. Narra also informed the Board he had no problem with the language barrier when taking his examination, as each attempt got him closer to passing. The Board informed Dr. Narra that due to statute of Ind. Code 25-14-1-3 they would not be able to grant him a dental license. The Board asked Dr. Narra if he wished to withdraw his application. Dr. Narra requested to withdraw his application at this time.

### **4. FDG Mobile, LLC – Garrett Fiorena, D.D.S.**

FDG Mobile was requested to appear to discuss his application for registration of mobile dental facility. Garrett Fiorena, D.D.S., appeared on behalf of the mobile dental facility to answer any questions of the Board. The mobile unit will be primarily focused on schools and retirement communities. Their scheduling office will have two associates on staff to maintain the stationary office and the mobile unit will be using the same record keeping software as their stationary office. This format is used to ensure that the mobile unit can access records as needed. The services they are planning to provide will be exams, routine cleanings, x-rays, and simple restorations. If the patient requires more extensive treatment, they are referred to other dental offices.

**Board Action:** A motion was made and seconded to grant FDG Mobile, LLC registration as a mobile dental facility.

FINDLEY/WILLIAMSON  
Motion carried 7-0-0

## **VI. APPLICATION FOR REVIEW**

### **A. Waldemar Daudt Polido, License No. LDF17000**

Re: Dental Anesthesia and Sedation Permit

Dr. Polido submitted an application for dental anesthesia and sedation permit review. Dr. Polido does not hold an unlimited dental license currently. Ms. Dyer advised the Board that based upon Ind. Code 25-14-1-14.5(B)(1) Dr. Polido does not qualify for the permit, since he holds a dental faculty license. The Board discussed Dr. Polido's credentials as to whether he would qualify for a dental license that would allow him to obtain the permit. However, at this time he does not qualify for dental licensure as he has not taken and passed the National Boards.

**Board Action:** A motion was made and seconded to grant a dental anesthesia and sedation permit to Dr. Polido.

CATEY-WILLIAMS/NOWAKOWSKI

After further discuss, Dr. Nowakowski withdrew his second. There being no other second the motion died.

### **B. Shelbi Mehrazarin, D.D.S.**

Re: Application for Dental Licensure

Dr. Mehrazarin submitted an application for dental licensure by examination for review. Dr. Mehrazarin has taken and passed his National Boards in 2010 and 2012 and the regional examination, WREB, in 2012. Dr. Mehrazarin does not qualify for licensure in Indiana by examination as his regional examination is over 5 years old. Dr. Mehrazarin does not qualify by endorsement as he has not been in active practice the 2 out of the last 3yrs. Dr. Mehrazarin has taken and passed the OSCE examination with the CDCE in 2018 but did not complete the entire examination. Dr. Mehrazarin may want to wait to file an application by endorsement after December of 2020 after he has met the years of active practice.

## **VII. DISCUSSION**

### **A. Clinical Examination Pathways for CODA Approved Programs**

The Board discussed the clinical exams for next year's graduates. Dr. Weingarten spoke with the Board regarding the performance of the clinical and nonclinical examinations. Other states are accepting the nonclinical examinations and the pass rates have risen.

**B. Indiana Dental Association**

Re: Coronavirus Testing

The Indiana Dental Association submitted a request to the Board asking that they publicly affirm that is within the dentist's current scope of practice to conduct Food and Drug Administration (FDA) authorized point-of-care testing for the novel coronavirus (COVID-19). The Board stated they will leave the decision up to the respective dentists to determine if it is appropriate to test for COVID-19.

**C. Jennifer Laymon, L.D.H., License No 13003442A**

Re: Payment of Civil Penalties

Ms. Laymon was required to pay a civil penalty for her dental hygienist license as part of a continuing education audit. At the time due to nonpayment of the civil penalty her license was suspended. Ms. Laymon did make the payment at a later date and her license was reinstated. However, the check was returned for nonsufficient fees. Based upon the check being returned, the Board is required to take the appropriate action regarding her license.

**Board Action:** A motion was made and seconded to suspend Ms. Laymon's dental hygiene license.

CATEY-WILLIAMS/NOWAKOWSKI  
Motion carried 7-0-0

**VIII. REPORTS**

The Attorney General's office reported that Amanda Cassidy has been assigned as the new person in charge of Consumer Protection with the Dental Board.

**IX. OLD/NEW BUSINESS**

There was no new/old business to discuss.

**X. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, and having completed its duties, the meeting of the State Board of Dentistry adjourned at 3:31 p.m. by consensus.

---

Mara Catey-Williams, D.M.D., President

---

Date

---

Richard R. Nowakowski, D.D.S., Vice President

---

Date