



## **Resource Sharing Committee**

11/5/21, 1 pm EST

Virtual: [Click here to join the meeting](#)

### **Agenda**

- I. Call Meeting to Order
  - a. N. Schenkel called to order.
- II. Approval of Agenda
  - a. R. Roethmeyer moved to approve agenda. M. Paxton seconded.
- III. Approval of Minutes
  - a. T. Rue moved to approve minutes. J. Petrusa seconded.
- IV. State Library Report
  - a. Evergreen update
    - i. K. Kaffenberger - software upgrade to 3.7 over Thanksgiving and the following Friday. New features coming. Trainings are being provided for catalogers. Saturday will be back online. Useful stats to share? 61,156 remote circulations (items that were circulated to patrons from other library locations). Remote circ numbers are up over 2017/2018. J. Petrusa asked what counts as “remote circulation?” Inter-system circulations vs inter-branch circulations (Evergreen vs. SRCS). These stats could really help us to understand RS across the state – add them to monthly packet with Nicole. N. Schenkel: Add history, at least 2019 forward. Before 2019 would be even better.
    - ii. J. Speer: working with EIDC and IDDC about statewide Overdrive contract. Patrons would have more access but may impact ebook holds. ISL would

pay for platform fees; individual libraries would have their own payment plans. Potentially in place by January 2022. Has not been finalized yet. Hoopla is moving to accept consortia, so Overdrive is trying to compete with that. For Overdrive, if no one is paying the platform fee, the libraries lose access to legacy content. N. Schenkel: Having a larger group of libraries paying into it and being able to fill ebook holds from that money should be a good thing. J. Speer: IPLA meeting on Monday with directors. Lots of coordination coming up. More definitive information next month.

iii. K. Kaffenberger - 129 libraries in Evergreen.

b. INSPIRE update

i. N. Brock: no stats this month due to confusion on what should be included or not included. No alarming trends, however; looks similar to months in previous years. Further EBSCO admin trainings are coming in early 2022 so people can pull their own individual library's statistics.

c. InfoExpress update

i. N. Brock – some libraries are still struggling with drivers. Some upgrades coming now that certification portal is almost complete.

d. IN-SHARE update

i. N. Schenkel: statistics trending up but aren't better than pre-2020 numbers. N. Brock: we may no longer be able to use those pre-2020 numbers as a benchmark. These stats should go down since it means more libraries are using Evergreen or SRCS.

e. SRCS update

i. N. Schenkel: statistics trending up but aren't better than pre-2020 numbers. N. Brock – we may no longer be able to use those pre-2020 numbers as a benchmark. Fill rate numbers are up, but there may not be one big reason for this. Adding a new library – Johnson County. Enhancements meeting upcoming with national Share-It user group. Nicole will share those updates and voting will be coming soon. They are aware of academic needs, but they don't seem to want to focus on it yet and maybe aren't sure what to do about them. N. Schenkel: has

AutoGraphics mentioned anything about or are they aware of new platforms for sharing print materials? N. Brock: we are not the only consortia whose academics either half-participate or don't participate at all.

V. Old Business

a. Discovery to Delivery 2021 debrief

- i. N. Schenkel: 80ish participants; all virtual. Pretty consistent attendance throughout the day. Did anyone receive responses afterwards that are worth sharing? N. Brock: SRCS chat will need to happen separately. Chat was very quiet during the event.
- ii. INSPIRE/EBSCO - N. Schenkel: attempted to prompt speaker to discuss how features could be used at libraries but was unsuccessful. Were others happy with the presentation as it was? N. Brock: didn't know the EBSCO connect features existed so it was educational in that aspect. Admin trainings will go beyond the presented information. J. Speer: agrees with Nicole, but maybe it didn't connect with staff the way we were hoping it would. Maybe people were quiet because they weren't completely invested in the content. N. Schenkel: will EBSCO know if more people create Connect accounts? That might help answer the question.
- iii. Marketing - N. Schenkel: this information was interesting – we use an Indiana company for this.
- iv. Project ReShare - J. Speer: we will continue to monitor with MCLS and Scott. M. Paxton: when actual users talk about pros/cons, it's nice to hear that instead of only positive remarks from other companies. A. Davis: BTAA is renewing a one-year contract with OCLC/Relais for their UBBorrow platform and staying aware of ReShare via PennState and PALCI.
- v. N. Schenkel: next year's D2D? In person or not? J. Speer: we should discuss in January or February. There is no state mandate that we can't have a conference in person. If the committee has strong feelings one way or the other, we can make it happen. J. Petrusa: maybe now is the time for a re-branding of the conference as more of a broader conference

instead of strictly resource sharing. For example, the EBSCO Connect presentation wasn't specific to resource sharing. J. Speer: some people stuck around for the government materials presentation, which was good. N. Schenkel: people might want to drill down to more specific topics surrounding sharing of government documents. Library of Things topic could still be a good topic for the future because it's not always clear what can be sent (or what *should* be sent) via InfoExpress. Important part of resource sharing, even beyond cake pans! STEM kits, etc. could be useful things to share. N. Brock: we do have some limitations with the NOW Courier RFP, but we would need to include them in our conversations. A. Floyd: important to think about how these things should be cataloged and flagged for requesting in Evergreen/SRCS. Cover art uploader is coming soon to Evergreen, so people could even take pictures of items and upload them with the records. N. Schenkel: would be great to have that in SRCS. Libraries can pay to use a program to import cover art, but no one has talked to Nicole about it.

- vi. N. Brock – anything interesting happen in the statistics breakout? S. Asberry: she was the only one who brought stats and the conversation turned to Project ReShare.

VI. New Business

- a. None

VII. Set next meeting dates

- a. N. Schenkel: should we wait until January or are there topics for consideration in December? January is fine.
- b. Friday, January 14<sup>th</sup> – 1pm

VIII. Announcements