

HONORED TO SERVE
Indiana Probation Report
2009

VOLUME 1: STATEWIDE SUMMARY



2009

INDIANA PROBATION REPORT STATEWIDE SUMMARY



The Supreme Court of Indiana

The Honorable Randall T. Shepard, Chief Justice
The Honorable Brent E. Dickson, Assoc. Justice
The Honorable Frank Sullivan, Jr., Assoc. Justice
The Honorable Theodore R. Boehm, Assoc. Justice
The Honorable Robert D. Rucker, Assoc. Justice

Lilia G. Judson, Executive Director
Division of State Court Administration
30 South Meridian, Suite 500
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Phone: (317) 232-2542
Fax: (317) 233-6586

courts.IN.gov

2009 Indiana Probation Report

STATEWIDE SUMMARY / TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents of the Statewide Summary of this annual report are available on the Internet at the
Indiana Supreme Court website at courts.IN.gov/admin

Introduction	1
Probation Report Definitions	4
Probation Abbreviations	6
Adult Probation Supervisions 2000-2009	7
Adult Probation Supervision Method of Disposition 2000-2009	10
Adult Supervision Risk Levels 2000-2009	15
Adult Supervision Substance Abuse Convictions	16
Juvenile Probation Referrals 2000-2009	17
Juvenile Probation Supervisions 2000-2009	19
Juvenile Probation Supervisions Method of Disposition	21
Juvenile Law Services Report	25
2009 Juvenile Law Services Financial Report	32
Probation Personnel and Salary Expenses 2000-2009	33
Probation Restitution 2000-2009	35

STATEWIDE SUMMARY

2009

INDIANA PROBATION AND JUVENILE LAW SERVICES REPORTS

The 2009 Indiana Probation Service Report represents an annual compilation of workload data and an overview of funds expended on probation services in Indiana. The report is published by the Supreme Court Division of State Court Administration under Administrative Rule 1(C) of the Indiana Rules of Court and I. C. § 11-13-1-4. For 2009, the Statewide Summary is published as a separate document from the statewide statistics. Both reports can be accessed via the *Indiana Judicial Service Report* CD or the Division of State Court Administration's website. Reports dating back to 2002 can also be found online at <http://www.courts.in.gov/admin/reports/probation/index.html>.

THE ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE OF PROBATION SERVICES IN INDIANA

Probation services in Indiana fall within the jurisdiction of the judiciary; the probationer remains under court jurisdiction until completion of the term of probation. By contrast, parole services are part of the Department of Correction, an executive branch agency.

Probation officers are trial court employees and are subject to the appointment and supervisory power of the courts that they serve. The organization of

probation departments and their related services reflects the diverse nature of Indiana's local court structures. Although many courts have unified their probation services within the county, because of the stand-alone nature of Indiana's trial courts, a number of Indiana counties still have several probation departments within the county, each working for a different court.

The Judicial Conference of Indiana, chaired by the Chief Justice, sets guidelines and standards for qualification, provides training, administers licensing tests and certifies probation officers. By statute, the Conference is also authorized to set minimum compensation schedules for probation officers. In addition, the Conference sets certain policies such as risk assessment requirements for probationers.

The Judicial Conference of Indiana administers the Interstate Compact for the transfer of adult and juvenile probationers in and out of Indiana and serves as the intermediary for the return of juvenile runaways, absconders, and escapees. In 2009, 1,424 probationers transferred into Indiana and 1,314 probationers transferred out of Indiana under the Interstate Compact.

HOME DETENTION

Indiana has used home detention, with or without electronic monitoring, as a condition of probation since 1988. Both probation departments and community corrections agencies operate home detention programs. As of January 1, 2009, 20 probation departments were supervising adult and juvenile probationers through home detention, either with or without electronic monitoring. These departments collected \$1,176,249 in home detention user fees in 2009. These fees are charged in addition to probation user fees. Community Correction agencies collected \$9,576,610 from individuals being electronically monitored on home detention.

Home detention supervisions are counted as “Other” supervisions for purposes of this report and are included in the aggregate supervisions reflected herein. A detailed report on Indiana’s home detention program is available from the Indiana Judicial Center.

FUNDING OF PROBATION SERVICES

As with other trial court operations, local county revenues, derived primarily through property taxes, fund probation services. Depending on the size of the county and budget, many courts include the court’s probation functions within the operations budget of the court itself. In the more populous counties where the probation offices are quite sizable, the probation office prepares a separate budget to the local funding authority. Counties that have established and maintain juvenile detention facilities reflect this substantial expenditure as a probation expense.

Probation user fees, adult and juvenile, provide another significant funding source for probation services. The user fees are paid by probationers as part of the conditions of probation and may be used by the courts to provide probation services.

Because many counties do not have a separate probation budget, non-personnel expenditures are absorbed within the court’s expenditures, making it impossible to derive a complete picture of all probation-related expenditures. This report does include probation personnel positions, a range of compensation, and such other probation expenditures as the probation departments have reported.

Probation officers and staffs constitute the largest segment of trial court personnel in Indiana. During 2009, there were approximately 1,362 professional probation officers and approximately 561 other employees providing probation services throughout Indiana.

SUMMARY OF 2009 CASELOAD DATA

The probation caseload information presented in this report was reported to the Division on a quarterly basis. It reflects the number of adult and juvenile supervisions pending at the beginning and end of the calendar year, the number of supervisions and referrals received, and the number of supervisions and referrals closed during the reporting year.

Beginning in 1996, Indiana’s probation departments began mandatory use of a probation classification and workload measures system. Thus, probationers are assigned to supervision based on the relative risk of committing a new offense while on probation. The statistical information reflects the relative risk levels of probationers on supervision as of December 31, 2009.

The report also reflects information about how the supervision was terminated. The juvenile data provides information on the total number of juvenile referrals, preliminary inquiries, and predisposition reports, as well as the juvenile supervisions.

In 2009, there was an overall decrease of 3.5% in the number of new probation supervisions. The largest decrease was in the number of new juvenile supervisions which were down 2,467, a decrease of 11.6%. Although not as large as the drop in new juvenile supervisions, there were also decreases in the number of new felony and misdemeanor supervisions. New misdemeanor supervisions received during 2009 decreased by 1,509, a decline of 2.4% from last year. Felony supervisions decreased by 221, down only .6%. In the adult felony category, 34,621 persons were placed on probation during the reporting year, a number that could have resulted in an increased inmate population in the Department of Correction absent the probation system.

Along with the decline in the number of new supervisions received in 2009, the total number of supervisions pending at the end of the year is down from 2008. At the end of 2009, Indiana probation departments were supervising 150,172 adults and juveniles, a decrease of 2.5% from the previous year.

SUMMARY OF FISCAL DATA

The 2009 data indicates that county trial courts spent \$80,144,131 on salaries of probation officers and probation office staff. The salary expenditure by the city and town court probation departments was an additional \$2,557,519. This represents approximately 32% of all expenditures on the operation of the trial courts. This amount, however, was partially offset by the collection of adult and juvenile probation user fees. In 2009, \$15,789,269 was collected statewide in adult probation user fees and \$1,485,576 in juvenile user fees, for a total of \$17,274,845.

Many probationers are ordered to pay restitution as a condition of probation. These funds are collected and distributed to

the appropriate recipient by the clerk's office or the probation department. In 2009, probationers paid \$7,075,780 for distribution to aggrieved parties.

The information compiled in this report would not be possible without the cooperation and contribution of Indiana's probation officers and their staff.

PROBATION REPORT DEFINITIONS

The following definitions will be used throughout the 2009 Indiana Probation Report.

Referral – A probation department includes in the referral category any allegation of juvenile misconduct, whether it stems from the police, parents, school, commercial businesses, or other adults. Examples of referrals include police reports of juvenile misconduct, complaints of incorrigibility by a parent, reports from a school as to truancy, or allegations by a neighbor regarding misconduct.

Delinquent or Non-Status Delinquent - A child who has allegedly committed an offense prior to attaining 18 years of age that would be considered an offense if committed by an adult (I. C. § 31-37-1-2).

Status Delinquent - A juvenile who is charged with committing an act that would not be considered a crime if committed by an adult, such as truancy, incorrigibility, violations of curfew, and violations of I. C. § 7.1-5-7 (minors and alcoholic beverages) (I. C. § 31-37-2-2 – I.C. § 31-37-2-7).

CHINS (Child in Need of Services) - Cases in which a child is alleged to be “in need of services” because the child’s physical or mental condition is seriously impaired or endangered due to the inability, refusal, or neglect of the child’s parent, guardian, or custodian to supply the child with necessary food, clothing, shelter, medical care, education, or supervision (I. C. § 31-34-1-1).

Post-Sentence Supervisions - Persons ordered under supervision after conviction and sentencing. Generally, Post-Sentence supervisions represent

convictions where the entire sentence is suspended in favor of probation only.

Split-Sentence Supervisions - Persons ordered under supervision after commitment to the Department of Correction for a period of time, or commitment to a local facility. These cases are not counted until the convicted person is released from the institution and is being supervised by the probation department. The category includes any sentence that is a combination of confinement and probation and almost always involves felony convictions rather than misdemeanors, although some misdemeanor convictions will result in split sentences. The key to this category is that the sentence involves a period of commitment in a secure facility, to be followed by probation.

Interstate Accepted - Persons accepted under supervision from other states, through the Interstate Compact.

Intrastate Accepted - Persons accepted under supervision from other counties in Indiana.

Supervision in Lieu of Prosecution - Persons ordered under supervision as an alternative to prosecution. If a court determines that probation is a better alternative rather than actual prosecution for a crime (generally misdemeanors), including some sort of court-ordered treatment, the probation department will report the supervision in this category. There must be a court order before any supervision is reported in this category. Indiana Code §12-23-5-1 permits this type of supervision when the court “takes judicial notice of the fact that proper early intervention, medical, advisory or rehabilitative

treatment of the defendant is likely to decrease the defendant's tendency to engage in antisocial behavior.”

Absconded – Persons who have left the jurisdiction of the court without proper authorization and whose whereabouts are currently unknown.

Predispositional Report (PDR) – Upon a finding by a court that a child is a delinquent child, Indiana Code § 31-37-17-1 requires a probation officer or caseworker to complete a predispositional report. Predispositional reports contain (1) a statement of the needs of the child for care, treatment, rehabilitation, or placement; and (2) a recommendation for the care, treatment, rehabilitation, or placement of the child.

PROBATION ABBREVIATIONS


Probation - Adult Felony and Misdemeanor	Abbreviation	Term
	Absconded	Absconded and/or Warrant Active
	Drug	Drug Court Supervision
	In Lieu of Prosecution	Supervision in Lieu of Prosecution
	Inter-State	Inter-State Accepted (from another state)
	Intra-State	Intra-State Accepted (from another county in Indiana)
	Other	Other
	Post	Post-Sentence Supervision
	Pre	Pre-Trial Supervision
	Probation Completed	Discharged
	Revoke New Offense	Revoked Because of New Offense
	Revoke New Violation	Revoked Because of New Violation
	Split	Split Sentence Supervision
	Withheld	Judgment Withheld

Probation – Juvenile Referral	Another Agency	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to Refer to Another Agency or County
	Dismiss	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to Dismiss
	File Petition	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to File Petition
	Informal Adjustment	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation for Informal Adjustment
	Non-Status Delinq	Non-Status Delinquent
	Other (Incoming)	Other Referrals, primarily Child in Need of Services
	Other (Method of Disposition)	Other Disposition of Referral
	Status Delinq	Status Delinquent
	Waiver	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation for Waiver


Probation – Juvenile Supervisions	Absconded	Absconded
	Cmpltd Probtn	Discharged (Closed Supervision)
	DOC New Offense	Modified & Committed to Correctional Facility (DOC) (New Offense)
	DOC Technical Violation	Modified & Committed to Correctional Facility (DOC) (Technical Violation)
	In-State New Off	Modified and Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (New Offense)
	In-State Tech Viol	Modified and Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (Technical Violation)
	Inter-State	Inter-State (from or to another state)
	Intra-State	Intra-State (from or to another county in Indiana)
	New Offense	Removed from Supervision Because of New Offense
	Non-St Delinq	Post Adjudication or Informal Adjustment Non-Status Delinquent
	Other (Incoming)	Other Supervisions Received
	Other Closed	Other Closed Supervisions
	Out-State New Off	Modified and Placed in an Out-of-State Facility (New Offense)
	Out-State Tech Viol	Modified and Placed in an Out-of-State Facility (Technical Violation)
	Stand Sup	Standard Supervision
	Status Delinq	Post Adjudication or Informal Adjustment Status Delinquent
	Trans Program	Placed in Community Transition Program (Actively Providing Services)

ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS 2000-2009


Adult Supervisions Pending January 1 (2000-2009)




2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
38,473	41,098	44,346	43,925	45,572	48,743	51,477	53,648	56,392	58,360



2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
66,598	68,153	69,562	72,512	75,430	73,514	71,854	77,381	76,086	74,618




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Adult Felony	23,571	25,624	26,695	29,037	31,263	32,638	32,993	35,234	34,842	34,621
Adult Misdemeanor	65,860	65,221	67,218	66,883	67,851	66,357	65,918	62,566	63,462	61,953
Total Received	89,431	90,845	93,913	95,920	99,114	98,995	98,911	97,800	98,304	96,574




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Adult Felony	22,297	24,365	25,821	27,175	29,612	31,469	31,712	33,525	34,445	34,776
Adult Misdemeanor	64,532	63,030	64,983	70,149	70,088	68,159	63,700	65,864	65,172	66,343
Total Disposed	86,829	87,395	90,804	97,324	99,700	99,628	95,412	99,389	99,617	101,119

Adult Supervisions Pending December 31 (2000-2009)




2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
39,747	42,357	45,220	47,762	47,223	49,912	52,758	55,357	58,097	60,099




2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
67,926	70,344	71,797	69,343	73,193	71,712	74,072	74,083	76,077	72,527


ADULT SUPERVISION METHOD OF DISPOSITION (2000-2009)




2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
13,409	13,843	14,809	15,235	15,999	16,414	17,145	16,682	18,479	17,971



2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
47,708	45,931	47,125	49,424	49,666	47,522	45,485	46,598	46,379	47,312




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
New Offense	2,188	2,538	2,595	2,911	3,447	3,541	3,886	3,824	4,222	4,575
Technical Violation	2,285	2,933	3,030	3,673	3,887	4,625	5,010	5,497	5,133	5,392




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Technical Violation	5,044	5,417	5,420	6,552	6,170	6,352	5,624	5,757	5,742	5,833
New Offense	3,327	3,158	3,212	3,809	3,696	3,755	3,732	3,559	4,128	4,245

Felony Dispositions
 Comparison of Supervisions Disposed by
 Completion/Revocation (2000-2009)




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Completion	13,409	13,843	14,809	15,235	15,999	16,414	17,145	16,682	18,479	17,971
Revocation	4,473	5,471	5,625	6,584	7,334	8,166	8,896	9,321	9,355	9,967

Misdemeanor Dispositions
 Comparison of Supervisions Disposed by
 Completion/Revocation




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Completion	47,708	45,931	47,125	49,424	49,666	47,522	45,485	46,598	46,379	47,312
Revocation	8,371	8,575	8,632	10,361	9,866	10,107	9,356	9,316	9,870	10,078

**Felony Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Absconding (2000-2009)**




2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
2,084	2,327	2,604	2,531	3,126	3,335	2,267	2,340	2,179	2,480

**Misdemeanor Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Absconding (2000-2009)**




2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
4,793	5,273	5,355	6,591	6,893	6,330	5,027	5,621	5,083	4,804

**Felony Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Inter/Intra State Transfer
(2000-2009)**




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Inter-State	484	579	564	524	498	602	523	655	643	1,078
Intra-State	1,151	1,289	1,231	1,263	1,377	1,550	1,397	1,942	1,505	2,277

**Misdemeanor Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Inter/Intra State Transfer
(2000-2009)**




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Inter-State	291	256	301	289	229	250	89	149	38	141
Intra-State	1,384	1,418	1,404	1,225	1,336	1,614	1,339	1,338	1,137	2,097

ADULT SUPERVISION RISK LEVELS (2000-2009)




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
High	11,137	14,208	14,974	15,894	16,814	17,416	16,375	18,289	22,796	22,858
Medium	8,492	11,582	8,421	8,581	10,241	10,458	10,126	15,871	22,116	22,193
Low	7,100	7,415	12,105	13,455	13,106	13,611	12,295	11,769	18,120	19,393




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
High	9,955	10,140	10,383	11,743	11,328	10,781	11,729	11,771	6,171	6,539
Medium	13,112	14,849	18,013	19,626	19,837	20,139	19,986	16,341	7,235	7,513
Low	16,920	16,721	16,190	16,970	15,794	15,624	17,424	18,355	8,847	9,399

ADULT SUPERVISIONS (2000-2009)

SUBSTANCE ABUSE CONVICTIONS COMPARED TO SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Supervisions Received	23,571	35,624	26,695	29,037	31,263	32,638	32,993	35,234	34,842	34,621
Convicted of a Substance Abuse Offense	9,291	8,903	9,853	11,615	12,813	12,547	13,285	14,522	15,558	13,250




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Supervisions Received	65,860	65,221	67,218	66,883	67,851	66,357	65,918	62,566	63,462	61,953
Convicted of a Substance Abuse Offense	34,042	30,633	34,344	34,612	33,560	34,761	33,672	33,256	26,841	24,921



JUVENILE PROBATION REFERRALS 2000-2009

2009 Juvenile Probation Referrals

	Pending 1/1/09	Received	Disposed	Pending 12/31/09
Non-Status Delinquent	9,545	37,362	37,151	9,756
Status Delinquent	4,388	14,211	13,654	4,945
Other	11	850	855	6
Total	13,944	52,423	51,660	14,707



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Status Delinquent	18,084	17,091	16,962	18,008	16,516	15,915	17,264	16,976	15,492	14,211
Non-Status Delinquent	38,857	40,040	37,662	39,370	40,433	39,199	40,495	38,814	36,189	37,362




Ten Year Review of Referral Dispositions

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
File Petition	23,360	24,022	24,486	23,999	24,606	24,548	25,061	23,742	21,967	20,793
Dismiss	7,228	6,827	6,390	6,488	8,091	8,615	8,500	8,773	7,353	7,779
Refer to Other Agency	2,756	2,812	2,646	2,693	2,758	2,760	2,948	2,894	2,632	2,857
Informally Adjust	11,179	10,775	10,555	10,982	10,759	9,893	11,025	11,152	9,385	9,805
Other	12,206	12,620	13,202	13,604	12,658	13,265	13,009	17,585	11,293	10,279


JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS 2000-2009

2009 Juvenile Probation Supervisions


	Pending 1/1/09	Received	Reopened	Disposed	Pending 12/31/09
Informal Supervision					
Non-Status Delinquent	5,515	5,417	6	7,120	3,818
Status Delinquent	2,564	2,494	2	3,212	1,848
Post Judgment Supervision					
Non-Status Delinquent	9,946	8,784	160	9,616	9,274
Status Delinquent	2,311	1,534	36	1,811	2,070
Other Supervisions					
Intrastate Accepted	174	161	0	181	154
Interstate Accepted	127	81	0	95	113
Other	207	390	4	332	269
Total Supervisions					
Total	20,844	18,861	208	22,367	17,546



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Received	24,652	23,418	23,399	24,651	23,670	23,197	23,604	23,155	21,328	18,861
Disposed	23,803	22,594	22,714	22,953	24,371	22,603	23,793	26,462	21,563	22,367





	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Informal Non-Status	6,011	6,324	6,268	6,734	6,171	6,260	6,163	6,075	6,081	5,417
Informal Status	3,310	3,478	3,290	3,849	3,199	2,905	3,171	3,142	3,287	2,494
Post Judgment Non-Status	11,793	10,489	10,905	10,746	11,175	10,954	11,199	11,023	9,328	8,784
Post Judgment Status	2,515	2,425	2,309	2,237	2,330	2,136	2,296	2,281	2,022	1,534




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Intra-State	152	160	202	197	203	181	176	180	196	161
Inter-State	52	170	128	80	93	65	71	68	99	81


JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS METHOD OF DISPOSITION




2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
17,839	17,826	17,638	16,509	15,827	17,479	18,114	18,369	17,500	17,111




2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
1,745	1,245	1,454	680	514	795	772	858	1,083	929



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Intra-State	180	231	154	106	92	109	118	127	195	181
Inter-State	56	56	55	47	38	34	35	59	97	95




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
High	2,245	2,121	2,371	2,582	2,444	2,413	2,393	2,313	2,073	2,253
Medium	5,898	5,067	5,636	6,221	6,452	6,043	6,045	6,279	6,257	5,708
Low	4,766	4,392	4,799	5,828	5,951	5,738	6,091	5,245	5,297	4,497



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Supervisions Received	24,652	23,418	23,399	24,651	23,670	23,197	23,604	23,155	21,328	18,861
Convicted of Substance Abuse Offense	5,750	5,966	6,088	5,889	5,357	3,682	3,644	4,100	4,236	3,296

**Juvenile Supervisions
Completed PDRs and Progress Reports (2000-2009)**



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
PDRs	8,813	9,072	9,287	11,519	10,970	11,088	11,390	12,076	10,978	10,813
Progress Reports	14,366	15,492	17,829	16,516	14,131	13,644	11,115	13,636	12,594	13,803

JUVENILE LAW SERVICES REPORT

Indiana Code § 31-31-10-1 *et seq.* requires the probation department of the juvenile court to maintain information relating to delinquent children and children in need of services who receive juvenile law services, and all financial information relating to each juvenile law service.

The terms “juvenile law services” and “all financial information” are not defined by statute. For the purpose of complying with the reporting requirements of the law, the Division and the Juvenile Justice Improvement Committee of the Indiana Judicial Conference (“Committee”) have defined the terms.

“Juvenile law services” means: The filing with a court of a JD (Juvenile Delinquent), JS (Juvenile Status), JC (Child in Need of Service or CHINS), or JM (Juvenile Miscellaneous) case, as defined pursuant to Administrative Rule 8. The interpretation of case types in Administrative Rule 8 generally refers to the following:

JD - Cases in which a child is alleged to be delinquent as a result of committing an act prior to attaining 18 years of age that would be considered an offense if committed by an adult (I. C. § 31-37-1-2).

JS - Cases in which a juvenile is charged with committing an act that would not be considered a crime if committed by an adult, such as truancy, incorrigibility, violations of curfew, and violations of I. C. § 7.1-5-7 (minors and alcoholic beverages) (I. C. § 31-37-2-2 – I.C. § 31-37-2-7).

JC - Cases in which a child is alleged to be “in need of services” because the child's physical or mental condition is seriously impaired or endangered due to the inability, refusal, or neglect of the child's parent, guardian, or custodian to supply the child with necessary food, clothing, shelter,


medical care, education, or supervision (I. C. § 31-34-1-1).

JM - Cases involving juvenile matters not reflected in other categories, including court approval of informal adjustments.


The financial information available to the courts and to probation departments is often limited to expenditures made through court budgets. Expenditures made from the county general fund could be made available to the probation department and the court, if identified as such by the county auditor. The bulk of the financial information on children receiving “juvenile law services” is collected and reported by the Department of Child Services (DCS).

For purposes of this publication, “Reimbursement” is the amount of money collected for programs from the sources listed on the Semi-Annual Juvenile Law Services Financial Information report.


Juvenile Delinquency (2000-2009)



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Caucasian	15,122	14,610	15,113	15,767	16,164	14,892	14,730	14,216	14,562	11,201
African American	5,279	5,872	6,415	6,351	7,087	6,902	6,070	5,933	6,531	5,307
Hispanic	695	788	795	857	1,171	1,106	1,295	1,164	1,147	1,019
Other	307	351	404	459	602	605	684	581	593	574




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Male	16,879	16,115	16,685	17,203	18,101	16,883	16,503	15,824	17,118	13,638
Female	5,607	5,904	6,087	6,259	6,923	6,621	6,281	6,073	5,736	4,476




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
6 to 12	1,859	1,776	1,849	1,874	1,893	1,604	1,347	1,344	1,171	804
13 to 17	13,425	13,803	14,309	14,985	16,213	15,326	14,841	14,187	15,160	11,533
17+	5,920	6,104	6,616	6,589	6,914	6,566	6,569	6,540	6,505	5,773


Juvenile Status (2000-2009)



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Caucasian	4,735	4,947	4,554	4,279	4,694	3,871	4,012	4,402	4,062	2,224
African American	1,619	1,799	1,212	1,728	2,038	1,036	1,126	972	1,018	453
Hispanic	192	238	283	240	345	246	325	365	255	181
Other	104	149	136	208	299	269	263	153	130	108




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Male	3,744	4,110	3,363	3,856	3,766	2,809	2,911	3,090	2,791	1,569
Female	2,901	3,018	2,838	2,981	3,610	2,641	2,810	2,797	2,676	1,397




	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
6 to 12	534	550	498	981	1,164	514	612	481	366	179
13 to 17	4,674	5,061	4,389	3,588	3,873	3,600	3,676	3,848	3,866	2,053
17+	1,563	1,542	1,290	1,029	1,298	1,094	1,072	1,392	1,235	714


Juvenile Miscellaneous (2000-2009)



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Caucasian	4,416	4,233	3,862	4,767	4,358	4,433	4,659	5,190	6,742	7,859
African American	781	572	504	659	502	585	844	791	1,727	2,470
Hispanic	126	120	142	180	153	173	224	232	501	542
Other	99	89	78	148	107	130	261	302	474	662





	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Male	3,157	3,036	2,778	3,337	3,031	3,175	3,570	3,698	5,371	6,650
Female	2,262	1,945	1,820	2,422	2,089	2,146	2,415	2,811	4,073	4,884



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
0 to 5	371	389	324	481	522	458	712	965	1,661	1,598
6 to 12	791	648	586	868	628	684	802	982	1,604	1,719
13 to 17	3,009	2,776	2,473	3,084	2,710	2,850	3,184	3,083	4,322	5,736
17+	1,146	1,181	1,222	1,299	1,260	1,329	1,417	1,418	1,858	2,484

2009 JUVENILE LAW SERVICES FINANCIAL REPORT

Financial Information



PROBATION PERSONNEL AND SALARY EXPENSES 2000-2009

2009 Probation Personnel


	Courts of Record	City/Town Courts	Total
Chief Probation Officers	133	23	156
Probation Officers	1,188	18	1,206
Clerical Personnel	350	34	384
Other Personnel	164	13	177
Total	1,835	88	1,923


10 Year Comparison of Probation Personnel

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Chief Probation Officers	153	153	155	148	145	150	154	142	152	156
Probation Officers	938	988	1,030	1,082	997	1,019	1,061	1,136	1,204	1,206
Clerical Personnel	341	361	329	368	331	331	349	382	412	384
Other Personnel	193	163	215	212	215	227	224	228	166	177
Total	1,625	1,665	1,729	1,810	1,688	1,727	1,788	1,888	1,934	1,923


2009 Probation Personnel Expenses

	Courts of Record	City/Town Courts	Total
Chief Probation Officers	\$7,867,445	\$815,479	\$8,682,924
Probation Officers	\$49,634,605	\$647,144	\$50,281,749
Clerical Personnel	\$8,940,564	\$593,365	\$9,533,929
Other Personnel	\$3,236,602	\$102,813	\$3,339,415
Fringe Benefits	\$10,464,916	\$398,719	\$10,863,634
Total	\$80,144,131	\$2,557,519	\$82,701,650






	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average PO Salary	\$29,816	\$30,679	\$32,494	\$32,798	\$36,108	\$37,379	\$37,190	\$39,607	\$40,454	\$41,693



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average CPO Salary	\$36,788	\$38,054	\$39,436	\$41,408	\$49,488	\$49,094	\$50,798	\$51,630	\$54,162	\$55,660

PROBATION RESTITUTION 2000-2009



2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
\$6,810,862	\$7,179,362	\$6,599,172	\$6,187,176	\$6,848,994	\$7,669,848	\$6,847,502	\$8,197,027	\$9,436,170	\$7,075,780

INDIANA SUPREME COURT



DIVISION OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATION

30 S. Meridian St., Suite 500
Indianapolis, IN 46204
317/ 232.2542

COURTS.IN.GOV



Cover Image: Vigo County Courthouse in Terre Haute. Built from Indiana limestone in the French Second Empire style, Vigo County's third courthouse was originally completed in 1888, at a cost of \$443,000. One hundred twenty years later, a four year, multi-million dollar renovation brought the massive 19th century structure into the 21st century with the replacement of all mechanical, plumbing, electrical and data systems and the addition of new courtrooms, while restoring the rotunda and hallways to bring to mind what the citizens may have seen when they filled the building for its dedication on June 7, 1888. The courthouse is listed on the National Register of Historic Places.