


# In the Indiana Supreme Court

In the Matter of: Philip T. King,  
Respondent

Supreme Court Case No.  
19S-DI-672



## Published Order Suspending Respondent from the Practice of Law in Indiana for Noncooperation


On December 19, 2019, this Court ordered Respondent to show cause why Respondent should not be immediately suspended from the practice of law in this state for failure to cooperate with the Commission's investigation of a grievance, **No. 20-0465**, filed against Respondent. The order required that Respondent show cause in writing within ten days of service of the order. Respondent has not submitted a response to the Court's order to show cause. On January 16, 2020, the Commission filed a "Request for Ruling and to Tax Costs" asserting that Respondent still has not cooperated, to which Respondent has not responded.

Being duly advised, the Court **ORDERS** that **Respondent be suspended from the practice of law for noncooperation with the Commission, effective immediately**. Pursuant to Admission and Discipline Rule 23(10.1)(c)(3), this suspension shall continue until the Executive Director of the Disciplinary Commission certifies to the Court that Respondent has cooperated fully with the investigation or until further order of this Court, provided there are no other suspensions then in effect.

Respondent is already under a suspension for continuing legal education noncompliance and dues nonpayment. Respondent is ordered to fulfill the continuing duties of a suspended attorney under Admission and Discipline Rule 23(26)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Admission and Discipline Rule 23(10.1)(d), that Respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Commission **\$521.80** for the costs of prosecuting this proceeding.

Done at Indianapolis, Indiana, on 2/27/2020.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Loretta H. Rush  
Chief Justice of Indiana

All Justices concur.