

HONORED TO SERVE  
Indiana Probation Report

2010

VOLUME 1: STATEWIDE SUMMARY





# 2010

## INDIANA PROBATION REPORT STATEWIDE SUMMARY



### **The Supreme Court of Indiana**

The Honorable Randall T. Shepard, Chief Justice  
The Honorable Brent E. Dickson, Assoc. Justice  
The Honorable Frank Sullivan, Jr., Assoc. Justice  
The Honorable Theodore R. Boehm, Assoc. Justice (retired September 2010)  
The Honorable Robert D. Rucker, Assoc. Justice  
The Honorable Steven H. David, Assoc. Justice (appointed October 2010)

*Lilia G. Judson, Executive Director*  
Division of State Court Administration  
30 South Meridian, Suite 500  
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Phone: (317) 232-2542  
Fax: (317) 233-6586

[www.courts.in.gov](http://www.courts.in.gov)



# 2010 Indiana Probation Report

## STATEWIDE SUMMARY / TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

Contents of the Statewide Summary of this annual report are available on the Internet at the  
Indiana Supreme Court website at [www.courts.IN.gov](http://www.courts.IN.gov)

---

Introduction .....	1
Probation Report Definitions .....	5
Probation Abbreviations .....	7
Adult Probation Supervisions 2001-2010 .....	8
Adult Probation Supervision Method of Disposition 2001-2010 .....	10
Adult Supervision Risk Levels 2001-2010 .....	14
Adult Supervision Substance Abuse Convictions .....	15
Adult Presentence Investigations 2001-2010 .....	16
Juvenile Probation Referrals 2001-2010 .....	17
Juvenile Probation Supervisions 2001-2010 .....	19
Juvenile Probation Supervisions Method of Disposition .....	21
Juvenile Law Services Report .....	25
2010 Juvenile Law Services Financial Report .....	29
Probation Personnel and Salary Expenses 2001-2010 .....	30
Probation Restitution 2001-2010 .....	32



---

# STATEWIDE SUMMARY

# 2010

## INDIANA PROBATION AND JUVENILE LAW SERVICES REPORTS

---

Administrative Rule 1(C) of the Indiana Rules of Court requires all probation departments to report to the Division of State Court Administration (Division) information required by Indiana Code 11-13-1-4. The Division compiles information from these individual reports and publishes the annual Indiana Probation Report. The report represents an annual compilation of workload data and an overview of funds expended on probation services in Indiana. Also included within the Probation Report is the Juvenile Law Services Report which provides demographic and financial information related to juvenile law services provided to delinquent children as required by Indiana Code 31-31-10-2.

Since 2009, the Indiana Probation Report has been published in two volumes. This Statewide Summary provides in graphical format a statewide view of the workload of Indiana's probation departments, information about probation personnel expenses, restitution collected, and juvenile demographic information over a ten year period. The second volume provides detailed information for 2010 broken down by individual department and county. Both volumes can be accessed via the *Indiana Judicial Service Report* CD or the Indiana Courts website. Reports dating back to 2002 can also be found online at [www.courts.in.gov](http://www.courts.in.gov).

---

### THE ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE OF PROBATION SERVICES IN INDIANA

---

Probation services in Indiana fall within the jurisdiction of the judiciary; the probationer remains under court jurisdiction until completion of the term of probation. By contrast, parole services are part of the Department of Correction, an executive branch agency.

Probation officers are trial court employees and are subject to the appointment and supervisory power of the courts that they serve. The organization of probation departments and their related services reflects the diverse nature of Indiana's local court structures. Because of the stand-alone nature of Indiana's trial courts, a number of Indiana counties have several probation departments within the county, each working for a different court. However in 2010, the Indiana Supreme Court promulgated Administrative Rule 18, effective January 1, 2011, which requires the courts of record in a county that are authorized to impose probation and which do not already have a unified or consolidated probation department to adopt a plan for a unified or consolidated probation department for the county.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Unified or consolidated probation department means a single, county-funded probation department that is directed by a single chief probation officer. Such a department

Counties that established separate juvenile probation departments before January 1, 2010, may elect to maintain their separate juvenile departments.

The Judicial Conference of Indiana (Conference), chaired by the Chief Justice, sets guidelines and standards for qualification, provides training, administers licensing tests and certifies probation officers. By statute, the Conference is also authorized to set minimum compensation schedules for probation officers.

In addition, the Conference sets certain policies such as risk assessment requirements for probationers. In 2010, Indiana adopted two new risk assessment systems, the Indiana Youth Assessment System (IYAS) for juveniles, and the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) for adults. Probation Departments began using IYAS in October 2010, and use of IRAS was scheduled to begin January 1, 2011.

The Conference also administers the Interstate Compact for the transfer of adult and juvenile probationers in and out of Indiana and serves as the intermediary for the return of juvenile runaways, absconders, and escapees. In 2010, 1,221 probationers transferred into Indiana and 1,254 probationers transferred out of Indiana under the Interstate Compact.

---

## HOME DETENTION

---

Indiana has used home detention, with or without electronic monitoring, as a condition of probation since 1988. Both probation departments and community corrections agencies operate home detention programs. As of January 1, 2010, 20 probation departments were supervising adult and juvenile probationers through home detention, either with or

---

may have separate divisions such as felony, misdemeanor, adult, juvenile, Court Alcohol & Drug or pre-trial divisions. Indiana Administrative Rule 18(C)(4).

without electronic monitoring. These departments collected \$1,566,376 in home detention user fees in 2010. These fees are charged in addition to probation user fees. Community Correction agencies collected \$9,725,480 from individuals being electronically monitored on home detention.

Home detention supervisions are counted as "Other" supervisions for purposes of this report and are included in the aggregate supervisions reflected herein. A detailed report on Indiana's home detention program is available from the Indiana Judicial Center.

---

## FUNDING OF PROBATION SERVICES

---

As with other trial court operations, local county revenues, derived primarily through property taxes, fund probation services. Depending on the size of the county and budget, many courts include the court's probation functions within the operations budget of the court itself. In the more populous counties where probation offices are quite sizable, the probation office prepares a separate budget to the local funding authority. Counties that have established and maintain juvenile detention facilities reflect this substantial expenditure as a probation expense.

Probation user fees, adult and juvenile, provide another significant funding source for probation services. The user fees are paid by probationers as part of the conditions of probation and may be used by the courts to provide probation services. Because many counties do not have a separate probation budget, non-personnel expenditures are absorbed within the court's expenditures, making it impossible to derive a complete picture of all probation-related expenditures. This report does include probation personnel positions, a range of compensation, and

such other probation expenditures as the probation departments have reported.

Probation officers and probation office staff constitute the largest segment of trial court personnel in Indiana. During 2010, there were approximately 1,342 professional probation officers and approximately 578 other employees providing probation services throughout Indiana.

---

## **SUMMARY OF 2010 CASELOAD DATA**

---

The probation caseload information presented in this report was reported to the Division on a quarterly basis. It reflects the number of adult and juvenile supervisions pending at the beginning and end of the calendar year, the number of supervisions and referrals received, and the number of supervisions and referrals closed during the reporting year.

Beginning in 1996, Indiana's probation departments began mandatory use of a probation classification and workload measures system. Thus, probationers are assigned to supervision based on the relative risk of committing a new offense while on probation. The statistical information reflects the relative risk levels of probationers on supervision as of December 31, 2010.

The report also reflects information about how the supervision was terminated. The juvenile data provide information on the total number of juvenile referrals, preliminary inquiries, and predisposition reports, as well as the juvenile supervisions.

In 2010, there was an overall decrease of 5.5 percent in the number of new probation supervisions. The largest decrease was in the number of new misdemeanor supervisions which were down 4,762, a decrease of 7.7 percent.

Although not as large as the drop in new misdemeanor supervisions, there were also decreases in the number of new juvenile and felony supervisions. Juvenile supervisions decreased by 612, down 3.2 percent. New felony supervisions received during 2010 decreased by 976, a decline of 2.8 percent from last year. In the adult felony category, 33,645 persons were placed on probation during the reporting year, a number that could have resulted in an increased inmate population in the Department of Correction absent the probation system.

Along with the decline in the number of new supervisions received in 2010, the total number of supervisions pending at the end of the year is down from 2009. At the end of 2010, Indiana probation departments were supervising 147,589 adults and juveniles, a decrease of 1.7 percent from the previous year.

---

## **SUMMARY OF FISCAL DATA**

---

The 2010 data indicate that county trial courts spent \$80,536,497 on salaries of probation officers and probation office staff. The salary expenditure by the city and town court probation departments was an additional \$2,562,294. This represents approximately 31.8 percent of all expenditures on the operation of the trial courts. This amount, however, was partially offset by the collection of adult and juvenile probation user fees. In 2010, \$16,530,512 was collected statewide in adult probation user fees and \$1,368,608 in juvenile user fees, for a total of \$17,899,120.

Many probationers are ordered to pay restitution as a condition of probation. These funds are collected and distributed to the appropriate recipient by the clerk's office or the probation department. In 2010, probationers paid \$6,524,375 for distribution to aggrieved parties.

The information compiled in this report would not be possible without the cooperation and contribution of Indiana's probation officers and their staff.

## PROBATION REPORT DEFINITIONS

---

The following terminology will be used throughout the 2010 Indiana Probation Report.

- ❖ **Absconded** – Persons who have left the jurisdiction of the court without proper authorization and whose whereabouts are currently unknown.
- ❖ **CHINS (Child in Need of Services)** - Cases in which a child is alleged to be “in need of services” because the child's physical or mental condition is seriously impaired or endangered due to the inability, refusal, or neglect of the child's parent, guardian, or custodian to supply the child with necessary food, clothing, shelter, medical care, education, or supervision (I. C. 31-34-1-1).
- ❖ **Delinquent or Non-Status Delinquent** - A child who has allegedly committed an offense prior to attaining 18 years of age that would be considered an offense if committed by an adult (I. C. 31-37-1-2).
- ❖ **Intrastate Accepted** - Persons accepted under supervision from other counties in Indiana.
- ❖ **Interstate Accepted** - Persons accepted under supervision from other states, through the Interstate Compact.
- ❖ **Predispositional Report (PDR)** – Upon a finding by a court that a child is a delinquent child, Indiana Code 31-37-17-1 requires a probation officer or caseworker to complete a predispositional report. Predispositional reports contain (1) a statement of the needs of the child for care, treatment, rehabilitation, or placement; and (2) a recommendation for the care, treatment, rehabilitation, or placement of the child.
- ❖ **Post-Sentence Supervisions** - Persons ordered under supervision after conviction and sentencing. Generally, post-sentence supervisions represent convictions where the entire sentence is suspended in favor of probation only.
- ❖ **Referral** – A probation department includes in the referral category any allegation of juvenile misconduct, whether it stems from the police, parents, school, commercial businesses, or other adults. Examples of referrals include police reports of juvenile misconduct, complaints of incorrigibility by a parent, reports from a school as to truancy, or allegations by a neighbor regarding misconduct.
- ❖ **Split-Sentence Supervisions** - Persons ordered under supervision after commitment to the Department of Correction for a period of time, or commitment to a local facility. These cases are not counted until the convicted person is released from the institution and is being supervised by the probation department. The category includes any sentence that is a combination of confinement and probation and almost always involves felony convictions rather than misdemeanors, although some misdemeanor convictions will result in split sentences. The key to this category is that the sentence involves a period of commitment in a secure facility, to be followed by probation.

- ❖ **Status Delinquent** - A juvenile who is charged with committing an act that would not be considered a crime if committed by an adult, such as truancy, incorrigibility, violations of curfew, and violations of I. C. 7.1-5-7 (minors and alcoholic beverages) (I. C. 31-37-2-2 – I.C. 31-37-2-7).
  
- ❖ **Supervision in Lieu of Prosecution** - Persons ordered under supervision as an alternative to prosecution. If a court determines that probation is a better alternative to actual prosecution for a crime (generally misdemeanors), including some sort of court-ordered treatment, the probation department will report the supervision in this category. There must be a court order before any supervision is reported in this category. Indiana Code 12-23-5-1 permits this type of supervision when the court “takes judicial notice of the fact that proper early intervention, medical, advisory or rehabilitative treatment of the defendant is likely to decrease the defendant’s tendency to engage in antisocial behavior.”



## PROBATION ABBREVIATIONS

Probation- Adult Felony and Misdemeanor	Abbreviation	Term
	Absconded	Absconded and/or Warrant Active
	Drug	Drug Court Supervision
	In Lieu of Prosecution	Supervision in Lieu of Prosecution
	Inter-State	Inter-State Accepted (from another state)
	Intra-State	Intra-State Accepted (from another county in Indiana)
	Other	Other
	Post	Post-Sentence Supervision
	Pre	Pre-Trial Supervision
	Probation Completed	Discharged
	Revoke New Offense	Revoked Because of New Offense
	Revoke New Violation	Revoked Because of New Violation
	Split	Split Sentence Supervision
	Withheld	Judgment Withheld


Probation – Juvenile Referral	Another Agency	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to Refer to Another Agency or County
	Dismiss	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to Dismiss
	File Petition	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to File Petition
	Informal Adjustment	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation for Informal Adjustment
	Non-Status Delinq	Non-Status Delinquent
	Other (Incoming)	Other Referrals, primarily Child in Need of Services
	Other (Method of Disposition)	Other Disposition of Referral
	Status Delinq	Status Delinquent
	Waiver	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation for Waiver

Probation – Juvenile Supervisions	Absconded	Absconded
	Cmpltd Probtn	Discharged (Closed Supervision)
	DOC New Offense	Modified & Committed to Correctional Facility (DOC) (New Offense)
	DOC Technical Violation	Modified & Committed to Correctional Facility (DOC) (Technical Violation)
	In-State New Off	Modified and Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (New Offense)
	In-State Tech Viol	Modified and Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (Technical Violation)
	Inter-State	Inter-State (from or to another state)
	Intra-State	Intra-State (from or to another county in Indiana)
	New Offense	Removed from Supervision Because of New Offense
	Non-St Delinq	Post Adjudication or Informal Adjustment Non-Status Delinquent
	Other (Incoming)	Other Supervisions Received
	Other Closed	Other Closed Supervisions
	Out-State New Off	Modified and Placed in an Out-of-State Facility (New Offense)
	Out-State Tech Viol	Modified and Placed in an Out-of-State Facility (Technical Violation)
	Stand Sup	Standard Supervision
	Status Delinq	Post Adjudication or Informal Adjustment Status Delinquent
	Trans Program	Placed in Community Transition Program (Actively Providing Services)

# ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS 2001-2010




Adult Supervisions Disposed (2001-2010)




Adult Felony	24,365	25,821	27,175	29,612	31,469	31,712	33,525	34,445	34,776	33,487
Adult Misdemeanor	63,030	64,983	70,149	70,088	68,159	63,700	65,864	65,172	66,343	63,980
Total Supervisions Disposed	87,395	90,804	97,324	99,700	99,628	95,412	99,389	99,617	101,119	97,467

Supervisions Pending December 31 (2001-2010)




Felony	42,357	45,220	47,762	47,223	49,912	52,758	55,357	58,097	60,099	62,015
Misdemeanor	70,344	71,797	69,343	73,193	71,712	74,072	74,083	76,077	72,527	69,512

## ADULT SUPERVISION METHOD OF DISPOSITION (2001-2010)




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Completed Probation	13,843	14,809	15,235	15,999	16,414	17,145	16,682	18,479	17,971	19,065
Revocations										
New Offense	2,538	2,595	2,911	3,447	3,541	3,886	3,824	4,222	4,575	4,443
Technical	2,933	3,030	3,673	3,887	4,625	5,010	5,497	5,133	5,392	4,664
Total Revocations	5,471	5,625	6,584	7,334	8,166	8,896	9,321	9,355	9,967	9,107
Absconded	2,327	2,604	2,531	3,126	3,335	2,267	2,340	2,179	2,480	2,311
Other	2,724	2,783	2,825	3,153	3,554	3,404	5,182	4,432	4,358	3,004
Total Dispositions	24,365	25,821	27,175	29,612	31,469	31,712	33,525	34,445	34,776	33,487




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Completed Probation	45,931	47,125	49,424	49,666	47,522	45,485	46,598	46,379	47,312	46,713
Revocations										
New Offense	3,158	3,212	3,809	3,696	3,755	3,732	3,559	4,128	4,245	4,174
Technical	5,417	5,420	6,552	6,170	6,352	5,624	5,757	5,742	5,833	5,693
Total Revocations	8,575	8,632	10,361	9,866	10,107	9,356	9,316	9,870	10,078	9,867
Absconded	5,273	5,355	6,591	6,893	6,330	5,027	5,621	5,083	4,804	4,799
Other	3,251	3,871	3,773	3,663	4,200	3,832	4,329	3,840	4,149	2,601
Total Dispositions	63,030	64,983	70,149	70,088	68,159	63,700	65,864	65,172	66,343	63,980


Felony Probation Dispositions  
Completed Probation and Probation Revocations  
as a Percentage of Total Dispositions




Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions  
Completed Probation and Probation Revocations  
as a Percentage of Total Dispositions




Felony Probation Dispositions  
Supervisions Disposed by Absconding (2001-2010)




Felony Probation Dispositions  
Supervisions Disposed by Other (2001-2010)




Felony Probation Dispositions  
Supervisions Disposed by Inter/Intra State Transfer (2001-2010)




Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions  
Supervisions Disposed by Absconding (2001-2010)




Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions  
Supervisions Disposed by Other (2001-2010)




Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions  
Supervisions Disposed by Inter/Intra State Transfer (2001-2010)



## ADULT SUPERVISION RISK LEVELS (2001-2010)




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
High	14,208	14,974	15,894	16,814	17,416	16,375	18,289	22,796	22,858	25,121
Medium	11,582	8,421	8,581	10,241	10,458	10,126	15,871	22,116	22,193	20,872
Low	7,415	12,105	13,455	13,106	13,611	12,295	11,769	18,120	19,393	16,366




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
High	10,140	10,383	11,743	11,328	10,781	11,729	11,771	6,171	6,539	9,517
Medium	14,849	18,013	19,626	19,837	20,139	19,986	16,341	7,235	7,513	9,763
Low	16,721	16,190	16,970	15,794	15,624	17,424	18,355	8,847	9,399	12,109

## SUBSTANCE ABUSE CONVICTIONS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED (2001-2010)



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Supervisions Received	35,624	26,695	29,037	31,263	32,638	32,993	35,234	34,842	34,621	33,645
Convicted of a Substance Abuse Offense	8,903	9,853	11,615	12,813	12,547	13,285	14,522	15,558	13,250	12,772




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Supervisions Received	65,221	67,218	66,883	67,851	66,357	65,918	62,566	63,462	61,953	57,191
Convicted of a Substance Abuse Offense	30,633	34,344	34,612	33,560	34,761	33,672	33,256	26,841	24,921	27,090

## PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATIONS (2001-2010)

---


**Presentence Investigations (2001-2010)**



# JUVENILE PROBATION REFERRALS 2001-2010



## 2010 Juvenile Probation Referrals

	Pending 1/1/10	Received	Disposed	Pending 12/31/10
Non-Status Delinquent	9,891	34,539	35,315	9,115
Status Delinquent	4,851	13,987	14,223	4,615
Other	8	911	910	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>14,750</b>	<b>49,437</b>	<b>50,448</b>	<b>13,739</b>



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Status Delinquent	17,091	16,962	18,008	16,516	15,915	17,264	16,976	15,492	14,211	13,987
Non-Status Delinquent	40,040	37,662	39,370	40,433	39,199	40,495	38,814	36,189	37,362	34,539

### Disposition of 2010 Juvenile Referrals




### Ten Year Review of Referral Dispositions

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
File Petition	24,022	24,486	23,999	24,606	24,548	25,061	23,742	21,967	20,793	19,081
Dismiss	6,827	6,390	6,488	8,091	8,615	8,500	8,773	7,353	7,779	7,421
Refer to Other Agency	2,812	2,646	2,693	2,758	2,760	2,948	2,894	2,632	2,857	2,337
Informally Adjust	10,775	10,555	10,982	10,759	9,893	11,025	11,152	9,385	9,805	10,961
Other	12,620	13,202	13,604	12,658	13,265	13,009	17,585	11,293	10,279	10,310

# JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS 2001-2010


## 2010 Juvenile Probation Supervisions

	Pending 1/1/10	Received	Reopened	Disposed	Pending 12/31/10
<b>Informal Supervision</b>					
Non-Status Delinquent	3,778	5,236	1	5,180	3,835
Status Delinquent	1,845	2,480	1	2,703	1,623
<b>Post Judgment Supervision</b>					
Non-Status Delinquent	9,024	8,343	177	9,249	8,295
Status Delinquent	1,965	1,526	36	1,708	1,819
<b>Other Supervisions</b>					
Intrastate Accepted	146	168	0	176	138
Interstate Accepted	111	50	0	92	69
Other	248	446	0	411	283
<b>Total Supervisions</b>					
Total	17,117	18,249	215	19,519	16,062




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Received	23,418	23,399	24,651	23,670	23,197	23,604	23,155	21,328	18,861	18,249
Disposed	22,594	22,714	22,953	24,371	22,603	23,793	26,462	21,563	22,367	19,519

### Juvenile Probation Supervisions Received (2001-2010)





	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Informal Non-Status	6,324	6,268	6,734	6,171	6,260	6,163	6,075	6,081	5,417	5,236
Informal Status	3,478	3,290	3,849	3,199	2,905	3,171	3,142	3,287	2,494	2,480
Post Judgment Non-Status	10,489	10,905	10,746	11,175	10,954	11,199	11,023	9,328	8,784	8,343
Post Judgment Status	2,425	2,309	2,237	2,330	2,136	2,296	2,281	2,022	1,534	1,526




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Intra-State	160	202	197	203	181	176	180	196	161	168
Inter-State	170	128	80	93	65	71	68	99	81	50

# JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS METHOD OF DISPOSITION


## 2010 Method of Disposition



2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
17,826	17,638	16,509	15,827	17,479	18,114	18,369	17,500	17,111	16,244




2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
1,245	1,454	680	514	795	772	858	1,083	929	690




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Intra-State	231	154	106	92	109	118	127	195	181	176
Inter-State	56	55	47	38	34	35	59	97	95	92

### Juvenile Supervisions Risk Levels (2001-2010)




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
High	2,121	2,371	2,582	2,444	2,413	2,393	2,313	2,073	2,253	1,922
Medium	5,067	5,636	6,221	6,452	6,043	6,045	6,279	6,257	5,708	5,106
Low	4,392	4,799	5,828	5,951	5,738	6,091	5,245	5,297	4,497	4,440

### Juvenile Supervisions Supervisions Due to Substance Abuse Offenses (2001-2010)



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Supervisions Received	23,418	23,399	24,651	23,670	23,197	23,604	23,155	21,328	18,861	18,249
Convicted of Substance Abuse Offense	5,966	6,088	5,889	5,357	3,682	3,644	4,100	4,236	3,296	3,254

### Juvenile Supervisions Completed PDRs and Progress Reports (2001-2010)



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
PDRs	9,072	9,287	11,519	10,970	11,088	11,390	12,076	10,978	10,813	10,460
Progress Reports	15,492	17,829	16,516	14,131	13,644	11,115	13,636	12,594	13,803	11,546

## JUVENILE LAW SERVICES REPORT

---

Indiana Code 31-31-10-1 *et seq.* requires the probation department of the juvenile court to maintain information relating to delinquent children and children in need of services who receive juvenile law services, and all financial information relating to each juvenile law service.

The terms “juvenile law services” and “all financial information” are not defined by statute. For the purpose of complying with the reporting requirements of the law, the Division and the Juvenile Justice Improvement Committee of the Indiana Judicial Conference (“Committee”) have defined the terms.

“Juvenile law services” means: The filing with a court of a JD (Juvenile Delinquent), JS (Juvenile Status), JC (Child in Need of Service or CHINS), or JM (Juvenile Miscellaneous) case, as defined pursuant to Indiana Administrative Rule 8. The interpretation of case types in Indiana Administrative Rule 8 generally refers to the following:

**JD** - Cases in which a child is alleged to be delinquent as a result of committing an act prior to attaining 18 years of age that would be considered an offense if committed by an adult (I. C. 31-37-1-2).

**JS** - Cases in which a juvenile is charged with committing an act that would not be considered a crime if committed by an adult, such as truancy, incorrigibility, violations of curfew, and violations of I. C. 7.1-5-7 (minors and alcoholic beverages) (I. C. 31-37-2-2 – I. C. 31-37-2-7).

**JC** - Cases in which a child is alleged to be “in need of services” because the child’s physical or mental condition is seriously impaired or endangered due to the inability, refusal, or neglect of the child’s parent, guardian, or custodian to supply the child


with necessary food, clothing, shelter, medical care, education, or supervision (I. C. 31-34-1-1).

**JM** - Cases involving juvenile matters not reflected in other categories, including court approval of informal adjustments.

The financial information available to the courts and to probation departments is often limited to expenditures made through court budgets. Expenditures made from the county general fund could be made available to the probation department and the court, if identified as such by the county auditor. The bulk of the financial information on children receiving “juvenile law services” is collected and reported by the Department of Child Services (DCS).


For purposes of this publication, “Reimbursement” is the amount of money collected for programs from the sources listed on the Semi-Annual Juvenile Law Services Financial Information report.

## Juvenile Delinquency (2001-2010)




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Caucasian	14,610	15,113	15,767	16,164	14,892	14,730	14,216	14,562	11,201	10,715
African American	5,872	6,415	6,351	7,087	6,902	6,070	5,933	6,531	5,307	5,337
Hispanic	788	795	857	1,171	1,106	1,295	1,164	1,147	1,019	942
Other	351	404	459	602	605	684	581	593	574	687

### 2010 Gender




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Male	16,115	16,685	17,203	18,101	16,883	16,503	15,824	17,118	13,638	13,014
Female	5,904	6,087	6,259	6,923	6,621	6,281	6,073	5,736	4,476	4,651

### 2010 Age



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
0 to 5	4	5	10	4	7	10	13	4	5	1
6 to 12	1,776	1,849	1,874	1,893	1,604	1,347	1,344	1,171	804	918
13 to 17	13,803	14,309	14,985	16,213	15,326	14,841	14,187	15,160	11,533	11,132
17+	6,104	6,616	6,589	6,914	6,566	6,569	6,540	6,505	5,773	5,614

## Juvenile Status (2001-2010)




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Caucasian	4,947	4,554	4,279	4,694	3,871	4,012	4,402	4,062	2,224	2,638
African American	1,799	1,212	1,728	2,038	1,036	1,126	972	1,018	453	570
Hispanic	238	283	240	345	246	325	365	255	181	166
Other	149	136	208	299	269	263	153	130	108	162

### 2010 Gender




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Male	4,110	3,363	3,856	3,766	2,809	2,911	3,090	2,791	1,569	1,870
Female	3,018	2,838	2,981	3,610	2,641	2,810	2,797	2,676	1,397	1,668

### 2010 Age



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
0 to 5	1	3	733	1041	217	371	198	8	18	107
6 to 12	550	498	981	1,164	514	612	481	366	179	226
13 to 17	5,061	4,389	3,588	3,873	3,600	3,676	3,848	3,866	2,053	2,324
17+	1,542	1,290	1,029	1,298	1,094	1,072	1,392	1,235	714	881

## Juvenile Miscellaneous (2001-2010)




	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Caucasian	4,233	3,862	4,767	4,358	4,433	4,659	5,190	6,742	7,859	5,988
African American	572	504	659	502	585	844	791	1,727	2,470	1,595
Hispanic	120	142	180	153	173	224	232	501	542	385
Other	89	78	148	107	130	261	302	474	662	548

### 2010 Gender



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Male	3,036	2,778	3,337	3,031	3,175	3,570	3,698	5,371	6,650	4,900
Female	1,945	1,820	2,422	2,089	2,146	2,415	2,811	4,073	4,884	3,616



### 2010 Age



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
0 to 5	389	324	481	522	458	712	965	1,661	1,598	1,306
6 to 12	648	586	868	628	684	802	982	1,604	1,719	1,171
13 to 17	2,776	2,473	3,084	2,710	2,850	3,184	3,083	4,322	5,736	4,207
17+	1,181	1,222	1,299	1,260	1,329	1,417	1,418	1,858	2,484	1,830

# 2010 JUVENILE LAW SERVICES FINANCIAL REPORT

## Financial Information



## PROBATION PERSONNEL AND EXPENSES 2001-2010

### 2010 Probation Personnel


	Courts of Record	City/Town Courts	Total
Chief Probation Officers	127	20	147
Probation Officers	1,176	19	1,195
Clerical Personnel	404	21	425
Other Personnel	125	28	153
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,832</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>1,920</b>


### 10 Year Comparison of Probation Personnel

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Chief Probation Officers	153	155	148	145	150	154	142	152	156	147
Probation Officers	988	1,030	1,082	997	1,019	1,061	1,136	1,204	1,206	1,195
Clerical Personnel	361	329	368	331	331	349	382	412	384	425
Other Personnel	163	215	212	215	227	224	228	166	177	153
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,665</b>	<b>1,729</b>	<b>1,810</b>	<b>1,688</b>	<b>1,727</b>	<b>1,788</b>	<b>1,888</b>	<b>1,934</b>	<b>1,923</b>	<b>1,920</b>


### 2010 Probation Personnel Expenses

	Courts of Record	City/Town Courts	Total
Chief Probation Officers	\$7,514,598	\$775,581	\$8,290,179
Probation Officers	\$49,942,378	\$702,988	\$50,645,366
Clerical Personnel	\$9,682,951	\$434,320	\$10,117,271
Other Personnel	\$2,653,464	\$255,781	\$2,909,245
Fringe Benefits	\$10,743,105	\$393,623	\$11,136,728
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$80,536,496</b>	<b>\$2,562,293</b>	<b>\$83,098,789</b>






	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average PO Salary	\$30,679	\$32,494	\$32,798	\$36,108	\$37,379	\$37,190	\$39,607	\$40,454	\$41,693	\$41,995



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average CPO Salary	\$38,054	\$39,436	\$41,408	\$49,488	\$49,094	\$50,798	\$51,630	\$54,162	\$55,660	\$56,396

## PROBATION RESTITUTION 2001-2010



2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
\$7,179,362	\$6,599,172	\$6,187,176	\$6,848,994	\$7,669,848	\$6,847,502	\$8,197,027	\$9,436,170	\$7,075,780	\$6,524,375



ON THE COVER. The Jennings County Courthouse in Vernon is an example of the Italianate Style of architecture which became popular in the United States in the mid-nineteenth century.

The courthouse was designed by Irish born architect Isaac Hodgson of Indianapolis, and built by Samuel Read of Vernon. Construction began in 1857 but was not totally completed until 1864.



The first all female jury in Jennings County—and reportedly the first in Indiana—found in favor of the defendant in a surety of the peace case in the Jennings Circuit Court on June 7, 1921. The case arose from an argument between neighbors about corn planting.

## INDIANA SUPREME COURT



DIVISION OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATION

30 S. Meridian St., Suite 500

Indianapolis, IN 46204

317/ 232.2542

[COURTS.IN.GOV](http://COURTS.IN.GOV)