



INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
 101 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 1500 EAST
 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-3419

<http://www.in.gov/iurc>
 Office: (317) 232-2701
 Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

MEMORANDUM

TO: Chairman James D. Atterholt
 Commissioners Ziegner, Landis, Mays, and Bennett

FROM: Commission Technical Divisions

DATE: February 21, 2013

RE: 30-Day Utility Articles for Conference on *Wednesday, February 27, 2013 @ 2:00 P.M.*

The following thirty-day filings have been submitted to the Commission. Each item was reviewed by the appropriate Commission Technical Divisions and all regulations were met in accordance with 170 IAC 1-6 Thirty-Day Administrative Filing Procedures and Guidelines. Therefore, the following filings listed below and attached hereto are recommended to be considered by the Commission at the next conference:

<u>Attachment Number</u>	<u>30-Day Filing No.</u>	<u>Name of Utility Company</u>	<u>Type of Request</u>	<u>Date Received</u>
1	3098	Northern Indiana Public Service Co.	Update Demand Credit Rate	01/25/2013

Submitted By: *Bradley K. Borum* **BKB**
Director, Electric Division

Filing Party: Northern Indiana Public Service Co.
30-Day Filing ID No.: 3098
Date Filed: January 25, 2013
Filed Pursuant To: Commission Order No. 43969
Request: Adjust Rider 675 Demand Credit under Option A from \$1.00 per kW per Interruptible Billing Demand per month to \$0.37. Also, push the Effective Date of the Credit to March 1 from February 1.
Customer Impact: NIPSCO industrial customers participating in Rider 675 that are receiving electric service under Rates 632, 633 or 634.
Tariff Pages Affected: Rider 675, Page 3 of 6.
Staff Recommendations: The Final Order approving the Settlement reached in Cause No. 43969 contained Rider 675, Interruptible Industrial Service Rider. Under this Rider, the Demand Credit was to be updated every subsequent February 1. The update is based on an average of quotes taken from candidate bilateral counterparties in the wholesale market the preceding January. This update is in compliance with the aforementioned Final Order and staff recommends approval. Also, staff recognizes the timing of the calculation coupled with the 30-day approval process does not make the February 1 effective date reasonable for this filing.