



**MEETING MINUTES**

**Section 6 Community Advisory Committees (CAC)**

**Stakeholder Working Group (SWG)**

Southland Community Church

Greenwood, Indiana

June 15, 2017 from 9:30 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.

<b>Attendee</b>	<b>Organization</b>
<b>CAC / SWG</b>	
Pat Andrews	Marion County Alliance of Neighborhood Associations
Bob Babcock	Indiana Rail Company
Stephanie Belch	Indy MPO
Anne Bono	Bloomington Chamber of Commerce
Angela Caywood	Morgan County DFR
Tosha Daughtery	Visit Morgan County
Craig DeMott	City of Martinsville
Ryan Goodwin	Morgan County Commissioner
Justin Groenert	Southwest IN Chamber of Commerce
Jack Hubbard	South Central IN REMC
Mayor Shannon Kohl	City of Martinsville
Joe Krebsbach	Indianapolis Fire Department
Tana Lobb	Martinsville Redevelopment Commission
Michelle Moore	Martinsville School Corp.
Dana Monson	Johnson County Development Corporation
Steve Oschman	Greater Mooresville Advisory Committee
Jeremy Pell	White River Township Fire Department
Phil Probst	Morgan County Three Creeks Neighborhood Alliance
Ann Smith	Greater Greenwood Chamber of Commerce
Larry Smith	Morgan County Engineer
Jamie Thompson-Taylor	Martinsville Chamber of Commerce
Norman Voyles	Morgan County Commissioner
Julie Young	Town of Bargersville
<b>I-69 Project Team</b>	
Sarah Rubin	INDOT
Jim Earl	INDOT
Andy Dietrick	INDOT
Kathy Eaton McKalip	INDOT
Laura Hilden	INDOT
LaMar Holliday	INDOT
Eryn Fletcher	FHWA
Michelle Allen	FHWA
Eric Swickard	Lochmueller Group
Tim Miller	HNTB
Dave Pluckebaum	Corradino Group



## **I. Welcome and Introductions**

Sarah Rubin (INDOT Project Manager) welcomed everyone and asked attendees to introduce themselves. She also discussed the meeting agenda.

## **II. Local Project Funding Guidance**

Kathy Eaton-McKalip (INDOT Local Programs Director) gave a presentation regarding the Community Crossing funding program. The presentation included information summarizing the federal aid funding process for local communities. Information presented included type of eligible projects; the application process; actions required after the application process and additional information.

## **III. Pedestrian and Bicycle Connectivity**

Jim Earl (INDOT Project Manager) presented Section 6 proposed pedestrian and bicycle connectivity criteria along Section 6. This included guidance requirements from INDOT using the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) criteria. The presentation included types of pedestrian connectivity (multi-use path, sidewalk, paved shoulder, shared streets); locations where each type of pedestrian connectivity will be utilized and typical cross sections.

Question: What criteria does INDOT use to assess safety?

Response: Considerations regarding the type of pedestrian connectivity are largely based on traffic forecasts.

Question: Were the locations of bicycle and pedestrian crossings determined by INDOT?

Response: Bicycle and pedestrian crossings locations were based existing conditions or approved local plans.

## **IV. Kitchen Table Meetings**

Dave Pluckebaum (Corradino Group) provided information regarding Kitchen Table Meetings (KTM) for Section 6. These meetings are one on one discussions with property owners who are directly impacted by the project. The purpose of the KTM is to discuss the project with the property owner in a one-on-one environment and explain how the project will affect their property. In addition, the property owner can provide specific information about their property that can be shared with the designer for consideration in final design. Examples of information that property owners provide include septic tank locations, unknown property features, etc. INDOT anticipates conducting up to 800 KTMs as part of their proactive public involvement program. Dave provided contact information and encouraged meeting attendees to contact him with questions.



Question: Do you reach out to property owners or do they contact you?

Response: We contact property owners requesting an opportunity to meet. It is often difficult to obtain telephone numbers. Neighbors often contact us to provide information about individuals INDOT should meet with.

## **V. Draft EIS Comment Summary**

Sarah Rubin provided an update regarding comments received on Section 6's Draft EIS. This included a breakdown of the types of comments and an explanation regarding how they will be addressed in Section 6's Final EIS.

## **VI. Project Status and Funding Update**

Sarah Rubin provided an update regarding activities that have been completed since the last CAC/SWG meeting. She also discussed the overall project schedule for completion of Section 6's Final EIS / Record of Decision. This included activities related to the construction of the project. The project is still on schedule to obtain a Final Environmental Impact Statement/ Record of Decision in the first quarter of 2018.

Question: When will a decision be made regarding Southport Road?

Response: INDOT is evaluating feedback from comments regarding Southport Road. A decision is anticipated by the next CAC meeting.

Andy Dietrick (INDOT Communications) provided an update regarding project funding. INDOT anticipates identifying a funding source later this summer.

Question: Has a procurement method been identified for Section 6?

Response: No, a delivery method or procurement method has not been identified for Section 6.

Question: Will the priority ranking factor into the construction of the project?

Response: No, the priority of other INDOT projects will not factor into identifying how the project will be delivered.

Question: Will the project be segmented into two projects for construction?

Response: A determination regarding project segmentation has not been made yet. A potential schedule will be available later this summer.

## **VII. Topics to Discuss During the Next CAC/SWG Meeting**

CAC/SWG members would like to discuss noise impacts and signage at the next meeting.



## VIII. Adjourn

*Details discussed in this meeting are subject to change. This summary is a reflection of the status of these items at the close of the meeting.*

*These meeting minutes represent the understanding of the events that occurred. Please forward any comments or revisions to the attention of Sarah Rubin at [srubin@indot.in.gov](mailto:srubin@indot.in.gov)*

**Note: This meeting summary documents ongoing, internal agency deliberations. Accordingly, the information contained in this summary is considered to be pre-decisional and deliberative.**