
Indiana Department of Transportation

Traffic Noise Analysis Procedure

2022



Michael Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation

Jermaine R. Hannon, Administrator
Federal Highway Administration
Indiana Division



Table of Contents

Introduction.....	3
Purpose.....	3
Indiana Definitions.....	3
Applicability.....	4
Traffic Noise Prediction.....	5
Ambient Noise Measurements.....	5
Worst Traffic Noise Impact.....	5
Analysis of Traffic Noise Impacts.....	5
Identification of Noise Sensitive Areas and Receptors.....	5
Determining Existing (Current) and Future Noise Levels.....	6
Analysis of Noise Abatement.....	7
Consideration of Noise Abatement Measures.....	7
Policy on use of Absorptive Noise Barriers.....	7
Consideration of Noise Abatement Measures for Residential Receptors.....	7
Consideration of Noise Abatement Measures for Non-Residential Receptors.....	7
Feasibility of Noise Abatement.....	7
Reasonableness of Noise Abatement Measures.....	8
Consideration and Obtaining Views of Residents and Property Owners.....	8
Maximum Square Footage of Abatement per Benefited Receptor.....	8
INDOT Design Goal for Noise Abatement.....	9
Collection and Reporting of Constructed Noise Barrier Data.....	9
Documentation of Noise Analysis Results.....	9
Statement of Likelihood.....	10
Third-Party Funding.....	10
Information for Local Government Officials.....	11
Outreach to Local Government Officials on Noise-Compatible Land Use Planning.....	11
Construction Noise.....	11
Type II Program.....	11
Removal of Noise Barriers.....	11
References.....	12

Introduction

This noise policy is effective January 1, 2023, and supersedes the previous version dated July 1, 2017. The Policy is based on Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) application of Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) standards. For more information on the most-recent federal standards, see http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/regulations_and_guidance/index.cfm.

Purpose

This policy describes INDOT's implementation of 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 772. For situations that fall outside the scope of the policy or represent unusual circumstances, INDOT and the FHWA Indiana Division will coordinate to determine an appropriate resolution. An example of such unusual circumstances would be noise levels which exceed the Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) by a large margin, possibly meriting abatement beyond what would otherwise be considered reasonable.

Indiana Definitions

Approach: Pursuant to 23 CFR 772.11(e), INDOT defines this as to be within 1.0 dB(A) of the Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) for Activity Categories A to E listed in Table 1 to 23 CFR 772.

A-Weighted Sound Level (dB(A)): A measurement of noise energy that models human hearing and frequency sensitivity.

Benefited Receptor: A receptors is considered to be benefited when they receive at a minimum 5.0 dB(A) reduction in the future noise levels.

Common Noise Environment: A group of receptors within the same Activity Category, as defined in Table 1 of 23 CFR 772, that are exposed to similar noise sources and levels; traffic volumes, traffic mix, and speed; and topographic features. Common noise environments generally occur between two secondary noise sources, such as interchanges, intersections, cross-roads.

First Row Receptor: The first parcel that exhibits the qualities of a receptor that is directly adjacent to the roadway; there is no set distance requirement. Undeveloped land that is permitted or has a foundation under construction can be a first-row receptor.

Impacted Receptor: Is a receptor that's predicted noise levels approach or exceed the noise abatement criteria (NAC) or the predicted traffic noise will substantially exceed the existing noise level.

Level of Service: A commonly used indicator of a highway's performance. Levels of service range from A, which indicates unrestricted free flow conditions, to F which indicates high congestion and generally restricted operating speeds.

Maximum Square Footage of Abatement per Benefited Receptor: The allowable maximum square footage per benefited receptor in Indiana (per 23 CFR 772.13(d)(2)(ii)) shall occur when a five decibel (5 dB(A)) reduction in noise levels can be achieved at 1000 square feet per benefited receptor or less and when a majority of the nearby receptors (as defined herein) in a given common noise environment were not constructed prior to the roadway. If a majority of the nearby receptors in a common noise environment were constructed prior to the roadway being constructed, the allowable maximum square footage per benefited receptor shall occur when a five decibel (5 dB(A)) reduction in noise levels can be achieved at 1250 square feet per benefited receptor or less.

Noise-Compatible Planning: Control of development by ordinance or zoning that discourages noise-sensitive development adjacent to known, existing sources of objectionable noise.

Noise Reduction Design Goal: The noise reduction design goal for Indiana (per 23 CFR 772.13(d)(2)(iii)) is 7dB(A) for a majority (greater than 50%) of the benefited first row receptors.

Permitted: An area is permitted when there is a definite commitment to develop land with an approved specific design of land use activities (as evidenced by the issuance of a building permit). In areas where no zoning or building permit process is in place, land is considered undeveloped unless foundations for new buildings are in place. Undeveloped lands that are permitted have the appropriate activity category and will be analyzed in the same methods as fully developed lands.

Receptor: A discrete or representative location of a noise sensitive area(s), for any of the land uses listed in Table 1 of 23 CFR 772.

Substantial Noise Increase: Pursuant to 23 CFR 772.11(f), INDOT defines a substantial noise increase to be 15.0 dB(A) over existing noise levels (as predicted by an approved traffic noise modeling program). The substantial noise increase criterion is independent of the absolute noise level.

Unless clarified in the definitions above, 23 CFR 772.5 contains or is applicable to this policy.

Applicability

This policy applies to all Type I Federal highway projects (as defined by 23 CFR 772) in the State of Indiana, which encompasses all Federal or Federal-aid Highway Projects authorized under title 23, United States Code, including: any highway project or multimodal project that requires FHWA approval regardless of funding sources; any Federal-aid projects that are administered by INDOT or Local Public Agencies (LPAs); and any projects on roadways leased from the State of Indiana to the private sector. It is also applicable to Type I projects on roadways that are part of the Interstate System. If this policy is used in any part for a non-Federal action project, it must be used in its entirety. INDOT will reanalyze this policy on a regular basis, not to exceed a period of 5 years. A re-evaluation date will be added on the cover page of this policy when it is reanalyzed.

Type I project.

- (1) The construction of a highway on new location; or,
- (2) The physical alteration of an existing highway where there is either:
 - (i) Substantial Horizontal Alteration. A project that halves the distance between the traffic noise source and the closest [receptor](#) between the existing condition to the future build condition; or,
 - (ii) Substantial Vertical Alteration. A project that removes shielding therefore exposing the line-of-sight between the [receptor](#) and the traffic noise source. This is done by either altering the vertical alignment of the highway or by altering the topography between the highway traffic noise source and the [receptor](#); or,
- (3) The addition of a through-traffic lane(s). This includes the addition of a through-traffic lane that functions as a HOV lane, High-Occupancy Toll (HOT) lane, bus lane, or truck climbing lane; or,
- (4) The addition of an auxiliary lane, except for when the auxiliary lane is a turn lane; or,
- (5) The addition or relocation of interchange lanes or ramps added to a quadrant to complete an existing partial interchange; or,
- (6) Restriping existing pavement for the purpose of adding a through-traffic lane or an auxiliary lane; or,
- (7) The addition of a new or substantial alteration of a weigh station, rest stop, ride-share lot or toll plaza.
- (8) If a project is determined to be a [Type I project](#) under this definition then the entire project area as defined in the environmental document is a [Type I project](#).

Type II project. A Federal or Federal-aid highway project for noise abatement on an existing highway. For a [Type II project](#) to be eligible for Federal-aid funding, the highway agency must develop and implement a Type II program in accordance with [section 772.7\(e\)](#). The state of Indiana does not have a Type II program.

Type III project. A Federal or Federal-aid highway project that does not meet the classifications of a Type I or [Type II project](#). [Type III projects](#) do not require a noise analysis.

Traffic Noise Prediction

All future references to FHWA TNM refer to the program itself. All references to "traffic noise model" refer to the model created for a specific project.

Ambient Noise Measurements

For a general explanation of procedures for measuring ambient noise measurements, please see FHWA's [Noise Measurement Handbook](#). Procedures specific to Indiana are described below.

Ambient noise measurements are not required at each receptor location. Instead, a representative sample of ambient noise measurements can be taken within the project area, with a minimum of one measurement for each common noise environment. During each noise measurement, all major sources of traffic noise in the project area should be considered. The noise measurement duration shall be selected by the greatest anticipated or observed range in the minimum and maximum sound levels occurring at the measurement site during the worst noise hour. Refer to the FHWA Noise Measurement Handbook.

For each ambient noise measurement taken, the noise analyst will validate the traffic noise model. To analyze this, the traffic counts from the noise measurement will be converted to an equivalent hourly rate for entry into the model. If this results in a noise output for the relevant receptor that is within 3 dB(A) of what is measured in the field, the noise model is considered valid for that ambient noise measurement. If all of the ambient measurements are within 3 dB(A) of the predicted value, the entire model is considered valid. If a noise measurement is not within 3 dB(A), the analyst will reevaluate the noise inputs to make certain the appropriate noise model components have been inputted. If the discrepancy is determined to not be due to data entry errors, the potential for external noise sources should be evaluated. If an external (non-roadway) noise source is identified, the traffic model should be adjusted based on the best estimate of the noise analyst of the influence of the external noise. This shall be documented in the noise analysis. Validation is not required if there are no dominant roadway noise sources within 800 feet of the ambient measurement location for a new alignment project.

Worst Traffic Noise Impact

For existing roadways, the worst (noisiest) traffic hour will be used for the analysis of existing and future conditions. The noisiest traffic hour will generally be the Design Hourly Volume (DHV). However, if the traffic-flow during the future DHV will not be above a Level of Service (LOS) D, an equivalent traffic volume that would produce a LOS C should be used.

Analysis of Traffic Noise Impacts

Every noise analysis completed will contain information on how traffic noise impacts are identified, the identification of noise sensitive areas and receptors, the identification of impacted receptors, and noise contours for undeveloped areas. The noise analysis will also contain an evaluation of noise abatement measures. The noise analyst will provide recommendations on the feasibility and/or reasonableness of potential noise abatement measures. The recommendations will be presented in the noise analysis and the statement of likelihood will be provided in the NEPA documentation.

Identification of Noise Sensitive Areas and Receptors

If a project is identified as Type I, the next step is to identify the area(s) with potential for noise impacts, the associated land uses in each area, the receptors of noise in each area, and the applicable NAC for each receptor identified. All receptors must be identified within 500 feet from each reasonable alternative (edge of the outside travel lane) identified in the NEPA evaluation. If during the identification of impacted receptors, it is shown that receptors are being impacted at 500 feet, the corridor of study will be extended to 800 feet from each reasonable alternative (edge of the outside travel lane). Noise analysis will not be conducted beyond 800 feet, as the Traffic Noise Model has not been demonstrated to be accurate beyond that distance.

Once identified, receptors are classified by land use and the appropriate Activity Category identified per the NAC (see Table 1 to 23 CFR772). Under most situations, a single structure is considered a single receptor. Structures that contain multiple residential units (e.g. hotels, apartments) are considered to have one receptor per residential unit.

For certain land uses (parks, trails, etc.), a separate algorithm (shown below) is used to translate usage data into an appropriate number of receptors, based on converting total usage to equivalent residential units. The number of average daily users is divided by the average number of people per household in Indiana (2.65 in 2020, the most recent census data available). If there are minor variations to this algorithm, the logic behind the change should be discussed with INDOT and calculation methods/units explained in the noise analysis.

The placement of receptors is dependent on the type of receptors identified. For example, for a single residence the receptor would be located at the outdoor common use area such as a deck or patio. For exterior non-residential receptors, placement of receptors would be at common use areas such as tables, benches, bleachers, pools, and others; for a linear feature such as a trail, receptors would be modeled at equal distances along the trail. For interior non-residential receptors, modifications to the algorithms below would be coordinated with FHWA, receptor placement would likely be distributed evenly throughout rooms within the building.

$$\frac{\text{Daily number of users}}{2.65 \text{ people on average per household}} \times \text{Percentage of property within 500 feet} = \text{Number of receptors (rounded up)}$$

Example 1: A portion of a park is within 500 feet of a Type I project. The total area of the park is 42 acres with 6.3 acres within 500 feet of the project. The park is used by 70 people every day. Using the algorithm above you have the following filled in formula:

$$\frac{70 \text{ users per day}}{2.65 \text{ people on average per family}} \times \frac{15\% \text{ within 500 feet}}{500 \text{ feet}} = 3.96 \text{ receptors (4 when rounded up)}$$

Example 2: A portion of a trail is within 500 feet of a Type I project. The total length of the trail is 15 miles with 1000 feet within the project. The total number of daily users is known for each 3-mile segment. The 3-mile segment within the project limits is used by 685 people per day.

$$\frac{685 \text{ users per day}}{2.65 \text{ people on average per family}} \times \frac{1000 \text{ feet of trail within 500 feet}}{15,840 \text{ length of the three-mile segment}} = 16.32 \text{ receptors (17 when rounded up)}$$

Determining Existing (Current) and Future Noise Levels

Each lane should be modeled as a separate roadway. All identified receptors should be modeled as discussed above. Terrain features, ground zones, building rows, berms, and barriers should be modeled as appropriate to the existing geometry. Trees and other dense vegetation should not be modeled unless the vegetation is evergreen and completely blocks all lines of sight to the roadway. Fences, unless built specifically for noise abatement (structurally capable of absorbing or reflecting noise), should not be modeled. For additional input guidance refer to the FHWA website at [Traffic Noise Model - Noise - Environment - FHWA \(dot.gov\)](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/trafficnoise/) Changes to this methodology must be approved by INDOT Environmental Services Division.

For projects on new alignments, the existing traffic noise is determined from ambient noise measurements. For projects on existing alignments, the existing noise levels are determined from the noise model output of the noise model that shows the current conditions. If the noise from the ambient noise measurements is not completely traffic related, the influence from non-roadway sources (such as railroads, airports, and manufacturing plants) will be included to the traffic noise model output to determine the existing noise levels.

Future traffic noise levels are determined only from a future model using an approved FHWA TNM. Refer to the FHWA website at [Traffic Noise Model - Noise - Environment - FHWA \(dot.gov\)](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/trafficnoise/) for approved TNM versions. Input data should reflect the future roadway alignment and traffic data. The non-roadway inputs from the existing model should remain the same as the existing noise model. Traffic noise impacts (or defined as impacted receptors) occur

when predicted noise levels approach or exceed the NAC or the predicted traffic noise will substantially exceed the existing noise level. The term “approach or exceed” is in reference to future levels that are equal to or greater than 1 dB(A) below the appropriate NAC category. The term “substantially exceeds” applies to predicted traffic noise levels that exceed existing noise levels by 15 dB(A). If traffic noise impacts are identified in the noise analysis, noise abatement measures must be considered.

Analysis of Noise Abatement

Consideration of Noise Abatement Measures

Due to limitations on INDOT’s ability to acquire property for mitigation or to mitigate sites off of State right-of-way, the most common form of abatement is the construction of noise barriers. Other forms of abatement, per 23 CFR 772.15(c) will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. INDOT will choose the most feasible and reasonable form of abatement.

Policy on use of Absorptive Noise Barriers

In most circumstances INDOT requires the usage of noise barriers which, at a minimum, are designed to absorb noise on the roadway-facing side. Reflective noise barriers (non-absorptive) may be allowed on structures, in areas where there are noise barriers on both sides of the road, or in areas where there are no Category A, Category B and Category C receptors on the other side of the roadway from the proposed barrier. If absorptive barriers are planned, the traffic noise model must be evaluated with the noise reduction coefficient (NRC) of 0.70 on the roadway side.

Consideration of Noise Abatement Measures for Residential Receptors

Noise abatement measures are considered for residential receptors. In determining whether noise abatement measures are reasonable, it is the opinion of the property owner that determines the consideration for properties in which the owner is also the occupant of the residence. If the property is a multi-family home, apartment, or rental property the residential occupant(s) would also provide their opinion to determine the consideration of noise abatement measures along with the property owner.

Consideration of Noise Abatement Measures for Non-Residential Receptors

Noise abatement measures are considered for non-residential receptors as well as residences. In determining whether noise abatement measures are reasonable, it is the opinion of the property owner (private or government entity that has jurisdiction) that determines the consideration. If a government entity has jurisdiction, the opinion will be requested from the head of the appropriate department.

Feasibility of Noise Abatement

Acoustic Feasibility: INDOT requires that noise barriers achieve a 5dB(A) reduction at a majority (greater than 50%) of the impacted receptors. If a barrier cannot achieve this acoustic goal, abatement is considered to not be acoustically feasible.

Engineering Feasibility: INDOT requires noise abatement measures to be based on sound engineering practices and standards and requires that any measures be evaluated at the optimum location. For instances in which the roadway is located on fill and is at a higher location than nearby receptors, a barrier will be evaluated near the shoulder. For instances in which the roadway is located below the nearby receptors, a barrier will be evaluated near the edge of the right-of-way near the receptors. In addition, noise barriers require long, uninterrupted segments of barrier to be feasible. If there are existing access points and/or driveways, it is typically not feasible to construct effective noise barriers for the roadway due to sight distance and access. However, in some cases, changing access points in project design may improve safety and decrease conflicts resulting in noise abatement being feasible.

Engineering feasibility also considers topography, drainage, safety, barrier height, utilities, and access/maintenance needs (which may include right-of-way considerations, gaps in noise barriers, etc.). In situations where engineering considerations make noise barriers not feasible, the noise analysis will explicitly state the reasons (topography, drainage, safety, etc.).

Reasonableness of Noise Abatement Measures

Consideration and Obtaining Views of Residents and Property Owners

All communication with the public regarding the potential for noise abatement must be coordinated with the INDOT Project Manager, INDOT Capital Program Management, and INDOT Communications. INDOT Environmental Services Division should be also included in this coordination. All materials, public hearings, and surveys should be consistent with INDOT's Project Development Public Involvement Plan, including the project public involvement plan (PIP). For projects with an environmental justice community engagement plan, the materials, public hearings, and surveys should be consistent with that plan.

If a public hearing is required per the *INDOT Project Development Public Involvement Procedures Manual* at <https://www.in.gov/indot/public-involvement/public-involvement/>, the following steps will be taken:

A survey will be mailed to each benefited resident. If the property owner is different from the current resident, both the resident and the property owners are surveyed. The concerns and opinions of the property owner and the unit occupants will be balanced with other considerations such as a design change, natural, historic, and human impacts, in determining whether a barrier is appropriate for a given location.

This survey will include a pre-stamped, self-addressed return postcard, a brief project description of the project and barrier locations under consideration. It will also include a pamphlet on the basics of traffic noise. The decision-making process (described below) and pertinent information on the upcoming public hearing will be provided. The survey can be returned via mail or returned in person at the public hearing. All responses expressing opinions for or against barriers must be expressed in writing to INDOT, by letter, email or the response postcard. Extra surveys will be available at the hearing if any are lost or misplaced. Surveys and accommodations for visually impaired, low literacy and/or Limited English Proficiency (LEP) populations will be consistent with INDOT's Project Development Public Involvement Procedural Manual, including the Project Involvement Plan (PIP) and project Environmental Justice Acommunity engagement plan.

If a public hearing is not required per the *INDOT Project Development Public Involvement Procedures Manual*, a survey will be mailed as described above. It will include a set deadline for return of the survey. If the total respondents to the survey do not total a majority (more than 50%) of the benefited receptors and affected property owners, then a second survey will be mailed out to solicit the views of those who did not respond. If a majority of benefited receptors still do not respond, no third survey is required. FHWA and INDOT Project Management will discuss the results of the surveys and determine the next course of action if a majority of benefited receptors do not respond. This may include applying elements of the project's Environmental Justice Community Engagement Plan if present. Failure to respond to the survey by the benefited receptor will not be assumed to count either for or against noise abatement.

Consideration of noise barriers can cause conflicts in mixed-use developments, as noise barriers to protect residences may block line of sight to adjacent businesses. If a barrier is proposed directly adjacent to the property line of a business, the business will be coordinated with to determine whether they have any concerns about line of sight. If a mutually satisfactory compromise cannot be reached between business(es) and residences, the noise barrier shall proceed as proposed. These conflicts can be minimized by noise-compatible planning (see coordination with local government officials). Additionally sensitive receptors, such as National Register eligible properties, may require consideration of effects that noise abatement may have on the property that may affect the feasibility and reasonableness of the noise barrier.

Maximum Square Footage of Abatement per Benefited Receptor

For a noise abatement measure to be reasonable the required barrier area (in square feet) per benefited receptor must be less than or equal to the allowable barrier area per benefited receptor for that noise abatement location.

The allowable maximum square footage per benefited receptor in Indiana is 1000 square feet per benefited receptor or less if a majority of the nearby receptors in a given common noise environment were not constructed prior to the roadway. If a majority of the nearby receptors in a common noise environment were constructed prior to the

roadway being constructed, the allowable maximum square footage per benefited receptor is 1250 square feet per benefited receptor or less.

Placing noise barriers on structures creates additional challenges, since reinforcement of the structure may be necessary to support the increased load or Zone of Intrusion (ZOI) concerns. In these situations, other options should be assessed to determine whether the maximum square footage of abatement can be provided without requiring complicated and expensive structural modifications. These could include lighter-weight barriers, shorter barriers, or other considerations. Any variations will be evaluated in coordination between the FHWA division office and INDOT's Divisions of Structural Services, Environmental Services and Construction Management.

For project planning purposes to determine the overall cost of the noise barrier, contact the INDOT noise specialist for current average cost per square foot.

INDOT Design Goal for Noise Abatement

INDOT's goal for substantial noise reduction is to provide at least a 7.0 dB(A) reduction for benefited first row receptors in the design year. However, conflicts with adjacent lands may make it impossible to achieve substantial noise reduction at all benefited first row receptors. Therefore, the noise reduction design goal for Indiana is 7dB(A) for a majority (greater than 50%) of the benefited first row receptors.

Collection and Reporting of Constructed Noise Barrier Data

A spreadsheet of noise barriers that have been constructed in Indiana can be found on the FHWA Webpage at https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/noise_barriers/inventory/

Documentation of Noise Analysis Results

The environmental document will identify locations where noise impacts are predicted to occur, where noise abatement is feasible and reasonable, and locations with impacts that have no feasible or reasonable noise abatement alternatives. Additionally, the environmental document will document future noise levels on undeveloped land presented as contours and/or by typical noise level cross-section. This information will be complete to the extent that design information on the alternative(s) (under study in the environmental document) is available at the time the study is completed. Projects may eventually have a narrower scope, updated survey information, or another project change that affects the future noise environment. As such, noise abatement during the NEPA stage is not an absolute commitment by INDOT. All Type I projects will undertake a re-evaluation of the noise analysis and noise models once design of the roadway project has progressed to a near final stage to determine if noise abatement still meets the feasibility and reasonableness standards set forth in this policy. Additional public involvement will be completed, as necessary.

Statement of Likelihood

Type I projects for which no noise impacts are identified will include the following statement in the NEPA document and in the conclusion of the noise analysis.

Based on the studies completed to date, the [Project Sponsor] has identified no impacted receptors. As a result, noise abatement was not evaluated. This noise analysis was based on preliminary design criteria. A reevaluation of the noise analysis will occur during final design. If during final design it has been determined that conditions have changed and noise impacts are identified, noise abatement will be evaluated at that time as to whether it is feasible and reasonable.

Type I projects for which abatement is proposed will include the following statement in the NEPA document and in the conclusion of the noise analysis. Information in italics must be provided for each common noise environment.

Based on the studies completed to date, the [Project Sponsor] has identified [number] impacted receptors and has determined that noise abatement is likely, but not guaranteed, at [number] locations. *(Each noise barrier's preliminary location and physical description should be provided. If multiple locations, a table can be generated to provide the necessary information in accordance with 23 CFR 772.13 (g)(3)).*

	Noise Barrier Name	Preliminary General Location	GIS Location Start/End (Lat./Long.)	Average Height (Feet)	Length (Feet)	Area (Square Ft.)	Material (Construction Material, Surface Texture, Foundation)
<i>Example</i>	<i>Noise Barrier 1</i>	<i>(I-70 Between German Church Road to Grassy Creek, Eastbound)</i>	<i>Start 39°48'09.83" N, 85°58'19.04" W End 39°48'09.03" N, 85°58'32.20" W</i>	<i>14.7</i>	<i>1,050</i>	<i>15,455</i>	<i>Precast Concrete, Absorptive, Ground Mounted</i>

Noise abatement at these locations is based upon preliminary design criteria. Noise abatement in these locations at this time has been estimated to reduce the noise level by a minimum of 7 dB(A) at a majority of the identified impacted receptors. A reevaluation of the noise analysis will occur during final design. If during final design it has been determined that conditions have changed such that noise abatement is not feasible and reasonable, the abatement measures might not be provided. The final decision on the installation of any abatement measure(s) will be made upon the completion of the project's final design and the public involvement processes. The viewpoints of the benefited residents and property owners were sought and were considered in determining the reasonableness of highway traffic noise abatement measures for proposed highway construction projects. [Project Sponsor] will incorporate highway traffic noise consideration in on-going activities for public involvement in the highway program.

For Type I projects where noise impacts have been identified but noise abatement is not proposed, the following text should be included in the NEPA document and the noise analysis.

Based on the studies thus far accomplished, the [Project Sponsor] has not identified any locations where noise abatement is likely. Noise abatement at these locations is based upon preliminary design criteria. Noise abatement has not been found to be [feasible or reasonable] based on [insert reason]. A reevaluation of the noise analysis will occur during final design. If during final design it has been determined that conditions have changed such that noise abatement is feasible and reasonable, the abatement measures might be provided. The final decision on the installation of any abatement measure(s) will be made upon the completion of the project's final design and the public involvement processes.

Third-Party Funding

When desired, third-party entities (such as local government entities or neighborhood associations) may contribute towards the cost of noise barriers if special aesthetic treatments or functional enhancements are desired beyond

the basic textures or colors, or features offered by INDOT. All third-party funding must be directed through the local government entities. Third-party funding cannot be used to determine feasibility and reasonableness of noise barriers.

Information for Local Government Officials

INDOT will include the best estimate of future noise levels on undeveloped land in the noise study as well as the environmental document. Upon completion of the environmental document phase, the noise study will be provided directly to the county's planning unit by the environmental preparer and/or member of the project team. If the project is in a municipality that has a planning unit, a noise study will also be provided to the municipality's planning unit. INDOT Environmental Services Division shall be copied on this correspondence.

Outreach to Local Government Officials on Noise-Compatible Land Use Planning

INDOT understands that it is in a unique position to provide outreach to local government and county planning units. INDOT also understands that it is the local government or county that has the power to regulate land development. INDOT is willing to help the local government by providing expert guidance on noise-related issues. This can include recommendations on setbacks, how to interpret noise studies that have been provided for FHWA projects, and other general noise concerns so that noise impacts are minimized for areas that are being developed.

Construction Noise

In addition to noise from traffic, construction activities themselves can produce increased noise of a temporary nature. INDOT will be sensitive to local needs and may adjust work practices in order to reduce inconvenience to the public. Please refer to the FHWA Construction Noise Handbook at https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/construction_noise/handbook/ as this provides best practices.

Type II Program

Per 23 CFR 772.7(d), the development and implementation of Type II projects are not mandatory requirements of section 109(i) of title 23 United States Code. INDOT as a highway agency has not chosen to implement a Type II program to construct noise barriers independently of added-capacity projects.

Removal of Noise Barriers

If a party wishes to have an existing noise barrier removed (or partially removed), they must demonstrate that protection of receptors will not be compromised by removal of the barrier or barrier segment(s). This demonstration may either be through conducting a noise analysis (coordinated with INDOT, at the requestor's cost) or by demonstrating that noise-sensitive receptors are no longer present in the area that is being protected. Removal of any barriers will also be at the cost of the requestor. If barriers are to be removed, then INDOT and the affected party must coordinate to ensure that removal is conducted in a safe manner.

References

- 23 CFR 772 (2010). "Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise." Accessed March 4, 2022. <http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2010/pdf/2010-15848.pdf>
- "Construction Noise Handbook" U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration. August 2006. Accessed March 4, 2022. https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/construction_noise/handbook/
- "Highway Traffic Noise Analysis and Abatement Guidance." U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration. Revised December 1, 2011. Accessed March 4, 2022. https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/regulations_and_guidance/analysis_and_abatement_guidance/revguidance.pdf
- "Noise Measurement Handbook" U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration. June 2018. Accessed March 4, 2022. [FHWA-HEP-18-065 - Measurement - Noise - Environment - FHWA \(dot.gov\)](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/measurement/noise_measurement_handbook/)
- "Noise Measurement Field Guide" U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration. June 2018. Accessed March 4, 2022. [FHWA-HEP-18-066 - Measurement - Noise - Environment - FHWA \(dot.gov\)](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/measurement/noise_measurement_field_guide/)