

Challenge Type	Description	Example Evidence Types
<b>Enforceable Commitment</b>	The challenger has knowledge that broadband will be deployed at this location by the date established in the deployment obligation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authorization letter</li> <li>• Legally binding agreement</li> </ul>
<b>Not Part of Enforceable Commitment</b>	The location was incorrectly removed from eligibility based on being part of an enforceable commitment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Declaration by service provider subject to the enforceable commitment</li> </ul>
<b>Planned service</b>	The challenger has knowledge that broadband will be deployed at this location by June 30, 2024, without an enforceable commitment or a provider is building out broadband offering performance beyond the requirements of an enforceable commitment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Construction contracts or similar evidence of on-going deployment</li> <li>• Contracts or a similar binding agreement between the State of Indiana and the provider committing that planned service will meet the BEAD definition and requirements of reliable and qualifying broadband even if not required by its funding source</li> </ul>
<b>Location is a CAI</b>	The location should be classified as a Community Anchor Institution.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any evidence that the location falls within the IBO’s definition of a CAI (see Volume 1 of Initial Proposal)</li> </ul>
<b>Location is not a CAI</b>	The location was incorrectly labelled as a Community Anchor Institution but is not.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any evidence that the location does not fall within the IBO’s definition of a CAI.</li> </ul>