

IHCDA 2019 CDBG OOR Round

Summary of Changes

Cover Page: Last updated date added.

Pg. 3: Supporting documentation should be submitted as separate PDF documents labeled to indicate the appropriate tab letter and name. Do not send one PDF containing all supporting documentation. **Applicants may receive a Technical Correction if they do not label their supporting documentation in the correct manner.**

Pg. 3: A hard copy of the completed and signed application form does not need to be submitted.

Pg. 3: Instructions on how to use OneDrive, which has replaced Syncplicity.

Pg. 6: Removed limitation on county applicants serving municipalities that have a current OOR grant.

Pg. 10: Clarified that the rehabilitation of out-buildings, such as sheds or detached garages, is not an eligible activities.

Pg. 10: Clarified that the rehabilitation of attached garages is not an eligible activity **except** when required to mitigate lead hazards.

Pg. 18: **Applicants may be issued a Technical Correction for using policies or forms from previous rounds.**

Pg. 18: When identifying a project's targeted area, if the targeted area is an entire county, the specific locations within that county that are being targeted must be identified.

Pg. 19: As a threshold requirement, all applicants must submit a resolution approved by the applicant's city, town, or county council authorizing the submission of an application for funding to IHCDA.

Pg. 20: Added MOU threshold requirement for multiple jurisdictions that are partnering together to rehab homes in more than one municipality.

Pg. 22: Number of total possible points available reduced from 65 to 62.

Pg. 25: Reduced the number of points available in the Client Intake scoring category from 6 to 3.

Pg. 25: Clarified what information should be contained on the Client Intake List.

Pg. 26: Changed Contractor Solicitation requirement from a minimum of five letters sent with at least 20% going to MBE/WBE/etc. contractors to a minimum of five letters sent with at least one letter going to MBE/WBE/etc. contractors.

Pg. 28-29: Created a sliding scale in the Capacity section for applicants with more than one finding. Points will be determined based on how recently the findings were received. The older the findings, the more points the applicant will receive. In order to be eligible for these points, the applicant must submit a narrative describing how the identified findings were addressed and remedied.

Pg. 29: Clarified that for applicants with multiple awards with the same expiration date, ALL awards must meet the requirements to be awarded points in the Timely Expenditure of Funds scoring category.

Pg. 31: Increased maximum points possible in the Additional Program Features scoring category from 3 to 5.

Pg. 31-32: Decreased Bonus category scoring. Applications with zero Technical Corrections will earn 3 points. Applications with one Technical Correction will earn 1 point.