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CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Good afternoon, everyone. This is the June 24, 2021, meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission, and I'm pleased to call the meeting to order.

Sara, would you please call the roll of the Commission.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Sure,
Mr. Chairman, I'd be happy to.
Chairman McMains.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Present.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Vice Chair Fine. COMMISSIONER FINE: Present.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Commissioner Williams.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Present.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Commissioner Dudich.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Present.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: And Commissioner Cohen.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Present.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you. Let the record reflect that all the Commissioners are present.

Commissioners, have you had a chance to
review the minutes of the previous meeting on May 25, 2021?

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Are there any additions or changes to the minutes as written?

Hearing none, what is your pleasure?
COMMISSIONER FINE: Move for approval.
COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Second.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's been properly moved and seconded to approve the minutes of the May 25, 2021, meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission.

Any further discussion on the motion?
Hearing none, all in favor please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
The motion passes unanimously. Thank you.
Sara, I understand that we have some
presenters today and they've all signed an oath; is that correct?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Yes, Mr. Chair.
Given the technology constraints, we went ahead and got affirmations of oaths for all people who could potentially address the

Commission today, so $I$ will read into the record the names of those individuals: Tom Reeg, Honorable Thomas McDermott, Murray Clark, Greg Gibson, Libby Cierzniak, Gary Stage, Cathy Rossouw, Jahnae Erpenbach, Steve McGlothlin, Jon Lucas, John Eder, Ilkim Hincer, Nate Casiello, Jr., Marie Jones, Greg Hahn, Phil Sicuso and Ali Bartlett.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you. Is there anyone else that is interested and planning to speak before the Commission today that has not signed an affirmation of oaths?

Thank you.
Old business. Is there any old business to come before our meeting today?

Hearing none, new business.
Sara.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Sure. So we are, Mr. Chair, if it's okay with you, going to go to Order 2021-114 regarding the Lucy Luck Gaming license renewal. We will come back to the agenda item regarding the Horseshoe Hammond divestiture, so before the Commission considers that order, we are going to have a presentation.

So I will go ahead and invite Murray Clark,
counsel for Lucy Luck with Faegre Drinker, and then Mr. Jon Lucas of Hard Rock, and Cathy Rossouw of Chapman and Cutler, also counsel for Lucy Luke, are also present, so they will be addressing the Commission with a presentation to start.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: And, Sara, I understand that there are time limitations on presentations today; is that correct?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. My apologies for failing to state that affirmatively. Yes, we have informed the parties that in total they'll be limited to -- to 15 minutes, so, thank you.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: So I think, Murray, if you want to go ahead and begin.

MR. CLARK: Thank you, Madam Director, Mr. Chairman. Can you hear me all right? CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Yes, sir.

MR. CLARK: Thank you very much. For the record, yes, I am Murray Clark with the law firm of Faegre Drinker with Indianapolis offices at 300 North Meridian Street. And I'm here providing additional information about the
license renewal, indeed, for Lucy Luck Gaming and the Terre Haute Rocksino.

Sara mentioned others that are here to address questions. Jon Lucas of Hark Rock I think will help us in our presentation as well.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission, for scheduling this special meeting and for your attention to our materials. Thank you, Director Tait and members of your staff, for the time and attention you've paid to these matters.

We're pleased to present to you this casino project that includes a world-class facility and enjoys the overwhelming support of west central Indiana elected officials, community stakeholders and thought leaders.

Much of the information we have provided and about which you'll hear today will likely sound familiar, of course. The details regarding the economic benefits at the state and local level, the remarkable local and regional support in the well-designed Hard Rock branded casino have not changed since this license was initially approved last year.

With the significant progress of the past
several months, we respectfully submit that we have clearly met all conditions and criteria for renewal of this license and are ready to move forward with this project.

Becky, can you proceed two slides forward, please. Okay. The next slide. There, thank you.

Certainly it took longer than we expected to develop this gaming operation. The pandemic was a huge challenge, and as I mentioned to you at your last meeting, the ability of Mr. Gibson to obtain a financing commitment last year was truly extraordinary.

More on the financing later, but since the original licensure, we have recruited an experienced corporate management team, entered into a management agreement and development agreement with Hard Rock International, identified two experienced gaming professionals to add to the board, and entered into voluntary redemption agreements with most of our minority investors.

Before proceeding further, I do want to point out that in the interest of time, some of the information $I$ will be presenting will also be
applicable to Spectacle Entertainment Group, the parent company of the Gary casino license, and will therefore be relevant as well to your consideration of the license renewal for Hard Rock Northern Indiana.

Next slide, please.
One Eyed Jack Ventures, LLC, holds 83.7 percent of the voting interest in Lucy Luck and 77.2 percent of the voting interest in Spectacle Entertainment Gaming. Under the operating agreements for both companies, this constitutes a supermajority.

One Eyed Jack is controlled by Greg Gibson, who has been found suitable by the Commission and currently holds a Level 1 license. He's a highly respected community leader in Vigo County, as you may know, and, in fact, during his presentation before the Commission earlier this year, Terre Haute Mayor Duke Bennett, while describing the support and excitement in his community for this casino project, extolled Greg for his vision and public spirit, and Terre Haute's State Representative Alan Morrison in this vein called Greg a godsend.

As Mr. Gibson mentioned at the time of his
original licensure, the only reason he got involved in this in the first place was to help his home community realize significant economic development growth and meaningful quality of life improvements.

The design of this beautiful facility has already been approved by the Commission. Prospective revenue to the state includes gaming tax revenue for the first year projected at 26 million, and for the first seven years of 181 million.

We have worked with Hard Rock to develop and implement an affirmative action plan to recruit, train and upgrade minorities. Coupled with the financing proposed, Lucy Luck clearly has adequate capitalization, and as of at the time this license was originally issued, there is no undue economic concentrations.

And we respectfully submit that Lucy Luck has met or exceeded all other standards of this Commission.

Next slide, please. Is this five?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
MR. CLARK: Okay. The -- Lucy Luck has complied with the statutory requirements for the

Vigo County license. We're partnering with Hard Rock to develop and manage the casino. With 262 facilities in 76 countries, Hard Rock International clearly has the skill, knowledge and experience to adeptly manage the Terre Haute casino and make it a regional destination for gaming and entertainment. We've already witnessed this with the Gary Rocksino.

Hard Rock also has an exemplary culture of compliance and social responsibility that, when combined with our corporate governance policies, will enhance the credibility and integrity of gaming in this state.

The economic and employment benefits of the Terre Haute Rocksino to the region and optimization of state and local tax revenues are well established.

In the interest of time, $I$ won't go through each one, but I'm happy to do so.

The -- the local agreement provides for really transformational funding to the City of Terre Haute, Vigo County, Vigo County School Corporation and West Central 2025. Indeed, \$500,000 a year will go to Vigo County Schools, and approximately $\$ 500,000$ per year for West

Central 2025, which is a regional development entity promoting regional economic development.

3 percent of adjusted gross receipts is earmarked for the Vigo County Community Improvement Foundation, a nonprofit foundation to be formed to provide charitable support for projects identified and described in the visionary 2019 City of Terre Haute and Vigo County Community Plan.

Next slide, please.
Finally, Lucy Luck Gaming has complied with the requirements of the original licensure resolution, including payment of the $\$ 5$ million license fee and a proposed investment well in excess of a hundred million dollars, with more than one-third of this amount dedicated to the development of non-gaming amenities, including three restaurant venues and special event space.

Next slide, please.
We are, of course, also required to comply with the Commission's rules including, importantly, the emergency rules issued by the Commission in 2021.

In this regard, I'd like to mention Spectacle Gary as well. Both Lucy Luck and

Spectacle Gary have indeed complied with your emergency rules, including identification of new independent board members, adoption of comprehensive governance and compliance plans, and revision of their operating agreements for both companies to incorporate and enforce the provisions of the emergency rules.

The next slide, please. As you can see from this slide, both licensees have acted aggressively to seek compliance with the emergency rules on the part of their minority investors. As a whole, this effort presents a rather complex picture, complicated by pending litigation, but as you can see from the next four slides, the efforts of Lucy Luck and Spectacle Gary to seek compliance with emergency rules and directives of the Commission have been vigorous and resolute. Next slide, please.

One Eyed Jack, controlled by Greg Gibson, owns nearly 84 percent of the voting ownership units of Lucy Luck. As you can see in this slide, only five minority investors of Lucy Luck, representing less than 3 percent of the total voting units, have not either accepted redemption
offers or applied for required licensure.
Next slide, please.
This next slide identifies these five minority investors and their very small voting percentage interests.

Next slide, please.
For Spectacle Gary, One Eyed Jack owns more than 77 percent of the voting units. Six minority investors, representing 11.35 percent of the total voting units, have not either accepted redemption offers or applied for required licensure.

Next slide, please.
And as you can see from this slide, the major difference is Windy City H\&C Investors, which owns 7.42 percent of the voting units, and signed a redemption agreement for Lucy Luck, but not for Spectacle Gary.

Next slide, please.
The next three slides reiterate the projected economic benefits of the Terre Haute Rocksino. I won't repeat them, but it's important to note that the projected annual gaming revenue is $\$ 120$ million for the first year and $\$ 840$ million over the course of the first
seven years.
Next slide, please.
Likewise, employee income is projected to 35 million for the first year and 235 million over the first seven years. And gaming tax revenues to the state, 26 million for the first year and 181 million over the next seven years.

Next slide, please.
And as has been presented to you previously, the economic benefits to the local community are transformational, millions of dollars of tax revenue and supplemental tax revenue for local governments and public schools.

Next slide, please.
Before we conclude our presentation, with your indulgence, Mr. Chairman, Jon Lucas, Hard Rock's chief operating officer, would like to say a few words.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you.
Mr. Lucas.
MR. LUCAS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, Commission staff. Thanks for this opportunity to present to you. I will not be long-winded here.

I just wanted to reiterate that we have
entered into a management agreement with Greg Gibson and his organization, which gives us the -- puts us as the exclusive agent to manage and operate the facility. And with that, we will implement our operating manuals, our financial reporting systems, systems to monitor funds and other cash handling systems, implement and police our internal controls, our management standards, Hard Rock systems, procurement policies, compliance standards, regulatory standards. That's not an exhaustive list, but it covers many, many of them. And these are all used at other casinos that we manage throughout the world, basically.

In addition, I know that diversity and MBEs identified for vendors and for employment are important to us, and I'm sure they're important to the state and the community. Our chief diversity and inclusion officer and our EVP of administration and their teams will work closely with the communities to ensure that we're committed to purchasing with minority vendors and with local vendors as much as possible, as well as a diverse workforce.

```
        So we are excited to partner with Greg
```

Gibson and Lucy Luck to get this project going. We know it's important to the state, it's important to the county, as well as the Terre Haute community.

Thank you for the opportunity to present. And if there's any questions, I'll gladly answer them, but Mr. Clark covered a lot of the impact that it'll have from an economic standpoint, from an employment standpoint, so I'm not going to repeat that.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Mr. Lucas.
Sara.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

So, Commissioners, in your materials is staff's confidential renewal report and also the financing analysis.

Among other things, $I$ just wanted to highlight a few items in the staff's report.

Lucy Luck does not have a full executive team. You were given a list of potential candidates, but it's important to note that none have been hired by the company and none are working presently for the company. Staff has had
no opportunity to vet these candidates, and Lucy Luck does not presently have the fundamentals of a gaming company in place.

In addition to the concerns with some of the high-risk aspects of the financing proposal, the submission remains incomplete. This project is not fully funded.

Both of these areas are key to licensure and should not be under development given the amount of time given to Lucy Luck to address its shortcomings.

The Commission's suitability review remains ongoing. There are still important questions that remain unresolved. Matters of which could be derogatory and impact the suitability are outlined in your materials.

There are also material differences between the original application and the emerging scenario before us today. The issues would typically be vetted during an investigation for a licensure and not as part of a company's annual renewal.

To date, Lucy Luck has been unable to resolve all of the company's deficiencies and staff has not reached a level of comfort with
their ability to open and operate a casino that complies with our requirements.

Statute was created to protect communities intended to benefit from riverboat gambling, and there's a lack of progress with this project and no timeline for opening.

I'd like to go ahead and turn it over to General Counsel Greg Small, and he is going to outline the criteria established by statute and regulation and summarize the draft orders available for the Commissioners.

So, Greg.
MR. SMALL: Thank you, Commissioners.
Commissioners, before you is Order 2021-114 concerning Lucy Luck Gaming, LLC's, request for the renewal of its casino owner's license for Vigo County.

Lucy Luck has submitted its request and paid the renewal fee.

By Order 2020-2, the Commission granted Lucy Luck a casino owner's license to operate an inland casino in Vigo County, Indiana. The license was valid for a period of one year, from May 15, 2020, through May 14, 2021. Pursuant to Order 2020-2, Lucy Luck submitted its proposed
power of attorney requesting that Mr . Thomas Dingman of Trinity Hill Group serve as its power of attorney, which was approved by the Executive Director. All casinos must request renewal of the Commission's approval of the written power of attorney concurrently with the request for renewal or present the Commission with a new written power of attorney naming a new trustee-in-waiting. Lucy Luck has stated its intent to maintain their existing power of attorney-in-waiting at this time.

Renewal of a casino owner's license is based upon the requirements contained within the Riverboat Gambling Act and Title 68 of the Indiana Administrative Code. Pursuant to Indiana Code 4-33-6-4, the following, among other things, must be considered by the Commission when considering Lucy Luck's request for renewal.

Number one: The character, reputation, experience and financial integrity of the applicant and a person that directly or indirectly controls the applicant, or is directly or indirectly controlled by the applicant or by a person that directly or indirectly controls the applicant.

Two: The facilities or proposed facilities for the conduct of riverboat gambling.

Three: The highest prospective total revenue to be collected by the state from the conduct of riverboat gambling.

Four: The good faith affirmative action plan of each applicant to recruit, train and upgrade minorities in an -- in the employment classifications.

Five: The financial ability of the applicant to purchase and maintain adequate liability and casualty insurance.

Six: If the applicant has adequate capitalization to provide and maintain a riverboat for the duration of the license.

Seven: The impact of any undue economic concentration of the ownership or control of a gaming license.

Eight: The extent to which the applicant exceeds or meets other standards adopted by the Commission.

Further, Indiana Code $4-33-6.7$ specifically addresses the Vigo County casino owner's license and requires the Commission to consider the applicant's financial integrity and the
applicant's ability to operate an inland casino.
Indiana Code 4-33-6.7-4 provides eight additional factors the Commission is to consider regarding the Vigo County license.

Number one: Economic benefits.
Number two: Tax revenue.
Number three: The number of new jobs.
Number four: Whether the applicant plans an investment of at least $\$ 100$ million, with

65 percent of the owner's proposed investment for the development of a casino and 35 percent of the owner's proposed investment for the development of non-gaming amenities.

Number five: Whether the applicant has a resolution of support from the legislative body of the unit in Vigo County where it seeks to relocate.

Number six: The financial stability of the applicant.

Number seven: The applicant's history of community involvement.

And, number eight: Any other factor that the Commission considers appropriate.

Lucy Luck must also comply with all rules and emergency rules of the Commission and has the
ongoing to duty to maintain its suitability, along with its substantial owners and other persons who directly or indirectly control Lucy Luck.

Lastly, Lucy Luck must meet the conditions contained within Order 2020-2.

It is the intention of the Riverboat Gambling Act to maintain the public's confidence and trust only through the strict regulation of persons and associations. A license does not create a property right but is a revocable privilege granted by the state contingent upon continuing suitability for licensure. A casino owner licensee must present the necessary evidence to demonstrate it meets all required standards, qualifications and criteria to hold a license.

And I'll pass this back to Executive Director Tait.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: All right. Thank you, Greg.

So as Greg outlined, the statute with regard to casino renewals is clear and has been in place for nearly three decades, not only setting forth specific criteria, but also establishing that the
time frame for renewals is annual.
During Lucy Luck's presentation, it outlined what it intends to do, but this is a renewal hearing. Lucy Luck was advised by staff through repeated open and clear communication that its renewal was in question. Correspondence was sent nearly two months -- two months ago on April $26 t h$ reiterating the qualifications for renewal.

As a staff, we've done our best to encourage the company to put itself in the best position for success.

So, Commissioners, the question before you is whether the company has met the qualifications for renewal.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Sara. Thank you, Greg.

Commissioners, questions, comments, thoughts?

COMMISSIONER FINE: I have one question, if I may, at this stage. Is Mr. Gibson available? Will he be making a presentation as to what he's done to allow for suitability and continuation of this license?

MR. GIBSON: I'm here, Commissioner. Yes, I'm here, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Would you like to add anything with respect to what's been presented by your counsel and with respect to the Executive Director?

MR. GIBSON: Well, Commissioner Fine, I'd -I'd like to say that we -- we have worked our tails off to get in a position to -- to make this happen for Terre Haute and Vigo County.

We -- we are in a position to hire our team, but at this time we don't have any gaming operations going on. We -- we've signed a management agreement with Hard Rock. We plan to work out an agreement with Hard Rock to manage those operations at Lucy Luck, and we feel like we're in a position to move forward on constructing this facility, which will be a fantastic thing for Terre Haute, Vigo County and the state of Indiana.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Okay, thank you.
COMMISSIONER COHEN: Mr. Chairman, I do have one question for Director Tait, if I may.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER COHEN: Director Tait, did I understand correctly or hear from you correctly that the company currently does not have the
fundamentals of a gaming company in place? EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Yes, that's correct, Commissioner Cohen. You know, general practice of the Commission as a mature regulatory agency is that a company is fully established and vetted prior to licensure. And with this company before us a year -- well, 13 months into licensure, that is not the case, so as Mr. Gibson just indicated, they -- they do not have an executive team that's actively working at this time or other fundamentals of a gaming company. CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Mr. Small, I was listening to your recitation. Did I understand correctly that of those requirements for us to renew this license, that there are a number of requirements, several that have not been made -made by this applicant?

MR. SMALL: That is correct.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Mr. Chair, if I
may, I think it's also important, I stated this, but wanted to reiterate that, you know, I know the financing proposal is next on the agenda, but it's important to highlight to you all right now when you're considering the license renewal that the financing is incomplete. Despite staff's
requests for information, it was not received, so the project is not fully funded as well.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Mr. Chairman, if I may.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Sara, remind me again, when did we grant the initial license to Lucy Luck?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: It was granted in May, last May, May of 2020.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: So just so I'm mindful of time frames here, even prior to May of 2020, there's a process, there is a -- there is time even before that that that license has to be submitted, understanding what that license requires, the expectations of the State and the Commission of an operator in Indiana, so even if we say it's been 15 months, would it be fair to say that it's been more than that, because obviously you just don't submit a license? You have a lot of planning and a lot of discussion that goes into that, when you submit a license to the Gaming Commission, when an operator requests that, and then from the time period of when that license was submitted to now, it has been 15
months, but -- and you don't have to answer this, maybe this is just more me saying this, it's been more than 15 months. It could have -- it's -because, really, the submission of that initial license, there's a lot of work that goes into that prior to it, working with the Commission, working with the staff, reading the regulations, the requirements of an operator, so I would make the argument it's been more than 15 months that this operator has been thinking about, putting together, planning, and working to meet the requirements of a license, but still we have not gotten there, and as you noted, does not meet the qualifications for renewal, so more than 15 months to put this together, get things up and running, find the right individuals, find the right structure, and we're still not there in the eyes of Commission staff.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Yeah, I think more importantly than Commission staff would be in the eyes of -- of the criteria established in statute.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Which every operator in the state of Indiana understands, knows, abides by, etc.; correct?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Correct.
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, I don't want to -to violate protocol, but are we allowed to rebut at all or respond?

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Let me make sure that all the Commissioners have an opportunity to ask their questions, then I'll come back to you, sir. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Mr. Chairman, if I may ask Director Tait one more question, if that's okay.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Certainly.
COMMISSIONER COHEN: Director Tait, based on
your professional experience, is it more common for a company to apply for a gaming license and then get the fundamentals of a gaming company in place, or to do the reverse, to get the fundamentals of a gaming company in place and then apply for licensure, if you're able to answer that?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Yeah, so, Commissioner Cohen, you know, specific financings often come, you know, after, but I would say that
it's our experience, you know, over the last three decades that, you know, key executives in a casino company is licensed, vetted and established before the license is issued.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman -- I'm sorry, are you finished, Commissioner Cohen? COMMISSIONER COHEN: Yes. COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: I am really stuck on the fact that we've got a company with no experience that has failed to hire an executive team with people of experience, and when we hear that that can't happen because there's no gaming going on, it makes me -- it just highlights a lack of experience.

This is basically a start-up company, and you want to bring your talent to the table, bring everybody to the table. The fact that there's an inability to hire an executive team makes me wonder if the industry in general does not have confidence in this applicant, but the confidence level from my point of view of a start-up company that can't hire an executive team is very low. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Thank you. Commissioners, any other questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Not from me.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Mr. Clark.
MR. CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
There's a lot to respond to. I would start with the executive team. And maybe even go back farther than that. This is the first new license that's been granted by the state of Indiana for, how long, 20 years, 25 years. This is a unique situation here.

They -- we have identified an executive management team, and $I$ believe that that has all been presented to Commission staff. That includes, of course, the CEO, who's licensed. Vice president of human resources, directors of communication and publication relations, director of risk management and loss prevention, director of compliance, CFO and CEO are all in place.

I think what Greg was saying -- and
Mr. Lucas I think was going to mention the timeline here, but construction -- there has not even been a shovel in the dirt yet. Construction of this facility will take over a year, if not closer to 14 or 15 months, so hiring them now would -- would -- and paying them now -- and, of course, we haven't had financing approved either,

I mean, that's -- that's the unusual part of this.

And as to financing --
COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Excuse me for interrupting, but $I$ used to manage construction for the State of Indiana, and we had -- we were in the room long before the shovels. I mean, this is critical in terms of the design and construction. You've got to have a team in place to get yourself ready to put the shovel in the ground.

MR. CLARK: Well, thank you. At this
point -- but understand that Hard Rock will -will manage the design and construction much like it did in Gary, so that piece is in place.

And then as to financing, I don't know -we're not fully funded now because we haven't closed on the financing because we don't have financing approval. Other than that, I'm not -I'm not clear on why -- the statement that we're not fully funded.

Last month when the issue of financing was tabled, the issue of PIK notes was brought up. We spent a fair amount of time since that day providing materials on PIK note financing and so
forth.
We have -- we have a commitment letter for financing from a consortium of five Indiana banks led by Old National, and there's a executive vice president of the Old National Bank I think who is on the line here, so we have that financing. We have the financing proposal. And that financing I think was filed in January with the Commission, so I think we do have a financing proposal -- by the way, I thought -- I didn't present anything on the financing because $I$ thought that was a different resolution. I'm happy to talk in more detail about that.

So I think we have complied with the statutory requirements, including the statute that deals with the Vigo County permit specifically.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Mr. Chair, did you want staff to respond quickly?

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Please do, Sara.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Sure. Just -just really quickly. You know, it's just not accurate that the executive team members are -are in place. Not one has filed a PD1 application or been vetted by staff.

For one individual, staff has already told Lucy Luck that we have concerns that they're not qualified to be a Level 1 licensee based on their experience and training. In addition, we've interviewed another member that's been proposed to be on the board as well as a key executive, and that person has told us that they're not interested in coming to work for the company, so that's just -- it's just inaccurate.

And, lastly, with respect to the financing, staff repeatedly on calls and in writing requested that there was an identification of who would make up specifically the $\$ 57$ million in PIK note holders. It is critical that the Commission have information about who is funding precisely a financing, and the company declined to provide it to us, so that makes up 33 percent of their financing, and $I$ would say in staff's position that it's incomplete.

MR. CLARK: As to the PIK notes, we provided information about possible investors for the PIK notes. That is confidential information and prefer not to present it again here, and I think you probably have seen that in our materials.

As is the case with, you know, Gary, the PIK
notes in that financing were put on the open market, but in the end, it was all individuals or entities that had equity in the Gary project that ended up being the PIK noters. I think that you could probably expect something like that again.

We recognize that -- that on the PIK note side, that those are akin to preferred equity. We've recognized that they need to be licensed. We -- we understand that. It is possible that some of those holders of those PIK notes are already licensed. I suspect that would be the case given our experience in Gary. We have -to -- we have provided potential investors those PIK notes. There are not commitments. They haven't been sold. They haven't been put on the open market. We've been trying with our financing proposal to get a format for financing here, and with the -- with the conventional financing on the construction side and the fact that these were Indiana banks and they -- they -because of the financing that was worked out with them, we indeed had to have a greater percentage of subordinate, unsecured financing secondary. We recognize that. We don't know who those people are. The financing -- the conventional
financing has not been approved or closed as well, but we would expect the experience to be like we -- we saw in Gary.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Mr. Clark. Sara, do you have any comments?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: No, I don't. I mean, $I$ think at this point it's not productive to go back and forth, so staff -- staff has included all of our information in your materials and just would remind the Commission that this is an annual renewal, and as Commissioner Dudich pointed out, you know, we've just -- we're, you know, a year and a half into this process and still, you know, talking about things that are prospective in nature, so.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Commissioners, any other questions or comments?

Hearing none, Commissioners, what is your pleasure?

COMMISSIONER FINE: I'd like to make a motion that we do not grant the request for the annual renewal.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: I'll second that motion.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's been properly moved
and seconded that the Indiana Gaming Commission not grant the request for the renewal of the Lucy Luck Gaming license.

Is there discussion on the motion?
COMMISSIONER FINE: I have one question $I$ just want to ask Greg. I want to make sure I'm making the motion in the proper form since it is, I guess, sort of a negative motion. I'll look to counsel to tell me I'm -- I'm on par here.

MR. SMALL: Commissioner Fine, I think you got that exactly right. It is to not grant renewal, which is the motion that you did make, so you had that correct.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Hearing no further discussion on the motion, all in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Opposed.
Motion carries unanimously.
Sara.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Greg, would you like to talk about the next order on the agenda, please.

MR. SMALL: Yes. Commissioners, the next
agenda item was the Lucy Luck Gaming financing request. However, in light of the nonrenewal, IC 4-33-4-21 prohibits a licensed owner or any other person from leasing, hypothecating or borrowing or loaning money against a casino owner's license.

Here, as a result of the nonrenewal, Lucy Luck does not possess a casino owner's license. Therefore, the financing request is moot at this time and does not require Commission action. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Thank you, Mr. Small. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: So, Commissioners, the next item, and then we'll circle back to the top, would be the Order $2021-116$ regarding the Hard Rock Northern Indiana license renewal. You know, with respect to the -- to the Gary license, there are no immediate operational concerns here because it is up and it's operational and it is working presently to benefit the state of Indiana and the local community. There are additional layers of oversight and accountability.

That license is currently operating pursuant to a standard interim renewal. Renewals are typically, as you know, considered at the next
business meeting immediately following, so that's why it's on the agenda here today.

You know, however, the deficiencies and certain aspects associated with the parent company, Lucy Luck, that we just identified in regards to the discussion with the Vigo County license are also present with Spectacle Entertainment Group as they are sister companies. So there is some overlap here.

However, there is the mitigating factor that the -- the facility is up and running without operational concerns, so, Chairman, I can kick it to Greg to present the draft order if there's a motion from the Commission, at your -- at your pleasure, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Sara, I think you ought to recite again to us, since we're on a different matter here, what you believe some of the operational deficiencies of Spectacle may be.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Sure. So, you know, as I stated, Lucy Luck, the Terre Haute parent company, and Spectacle Entertainment Group are sister companies, so they -- they share leadership, ownership, etc.

The difference in Gary is that there is a
company beneath Lucy Luck that, you know, also has, you know, Hard Rock management and equity from Hard Rock involved, so Spectacle Entertainment Group, similarly to Lucy Luck, also does not have the full executive team. They also, you know, don't have the PD1s, they haven't been fully vetted. There are the suitability matters that are ongoing regarding the derogatory -- potential derogatory information that could impact their suitability, etc., so those -- those fundamental, you know, basics of a casino gaming company are also not present with Spectacle Entertainment Group, the parent company, with respect to -- to the Gary license, so.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you. Commissioners, any questions or comments for staff regarding the Gary license? COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Mr. Chairman, if I may.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: So just to be clear, Sara, you know, the operations, the relationship with Hard Rock, positive, we're just seeing the same discussion that we saw with Terre Haute kind
of rolling into here.
I'm thinking that, you know, there are still -- we still want to remedy deficiencies that were noted in the earlier conversation as mentioned here, but $I$ don't think what I'm hearing from you is that it's -- there's more deficiencies beyond the corporate structure of Spectacle Entertainment Group that wouldn't warrant any further concern than that, if I'm understanding that correctly. We're -- as a Commission staff, we're very happy and confident about the operations side. We just want, again, that corporate structure and that executive management to be more formalized and put in place that would give us more comfort just in the general discussion about the Gary location. CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Jenny.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR RESKE: Yeah, there are those concerns, but like Sara said, there are also continuing issues that have not yet been resolved, important suitability questions that actually do impact the parent company, too, so it is the executive management piece, but it's also the statutory requirement that we determine that the company meets our standards of suitability.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Like every other operator in the state of Indiana.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR RESKE: Absolutely, yes.
And we can only do that through full investigation and vetting. And this new information with the nonrenewal I think is something that probably should be factored into the decision as well and, you know, perhaps could take some time, you know, to consider what exactly, you know, the impact should or -- is or should be on the Gary operation.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Thank you, Jenny. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, sir. Thank you, Jenny.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Mr. Chair, if you're inclined, $I$ just got a note that $I$ think some representatives from Hard Rock would like to address the Commission. I think we've been over the 15 minutes, but it's, you know, at your discretion if you'd like to grant that request. CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Certainly. Go right ahead.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: So, Jon.
MR. LUCAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank
you, Sara.
We had a one-page PowerPoint regarding Gary that was in the license renewal update deck that Murray Clark provided, so I'm not sure who's driving that, but if we could just put that up real quick, that would be very helpful.

There you go.
I just wanted to do a real quick one-page summary of Hard Rock Northern Indiana. And we appreciate the -- those kind words about operating, sara, thank you.

We are employing 1,400 team members. It's 900 new hires and 500 that came over from Majestic Star. Our first 18 days generated 20 million in gross gaming revenue; actually, a little bit over that. We continued strong gross gaming revenues through the first half of June. Over a hundred thousand food and beverage covers since opening. Significant new loyalty card sign-ups. On site -- we did an on-site COVID-19 vaccination for our team members. We launched a COVID-19 vaccination incentive program for our team members. We've contributed over a hundred thousand dollars to local charities and nonprofits since opening. We plan to open the

Sportsbook early August. And we will begin the Hard Rock live entertainment in late August into September, provided we can find the entertainment.

I think that in the short period of time, we have demonstrated our ability to successfully operate and comply with all regulatory and compliance issues and collaborate in a very successful way with the Commission staff, and we would -- we feel that this is going in the right direction.

As Sara pointed out, I think this is a little bit different in that we -- different than Terre Haute in that we wear multiple hats here. We are the managing partner, but we're also a minority partner invested in this project as well.

Recognizing that you've identified some things that Spectacle has not put together, we might suggest that we table -- ask to table this until the next meeting, and we will work diligently to try to assist Spectacle in getting into compliance.

We've also talked about a potential different structure which would give Hard Rock
some corporate oversight as well.
And I'm glad to answer any questions if the Commission or staff has any.

Thank you for this brief opportunity. CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Mr. Lucas. Commissioners, any questions or comments? COMMISSIONER FINE: I'd like to ask Mr. Lucas, if I may, from a timing -- how much time, how responsive can you be?

MR. LUCAS: It's -- it's my understanding that the next meeting is not until September; is that accurate?

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: We've not determined that for sure. In fact, my -- my sense is that we don't want to wait that long to see these deficiencies remedied.

MR. LUCAS: Understood. I don't know -obviously I have to work -- we have to work with Spectacle, but, you know, we'll work as quickly and diligently as you request to get a resolution on that side.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER COHEN: Mr. Chair, if I may, one question for Director Tait. Can you talk a little bit about what staff has done, what

Commission staff has done to this point to notify Spectacle of existing deficiencies and try to tell them what it would take to remedy those deficiencies, what efforts have been taken in that regard?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Yeah. I mean, as I -- as I referenced, these are -- these are sister companies, so, you know, all of the discussions that we have been having with -- with Spectacle or Lucy Luck, you know, co-mingle, if you will, so, yeah, $I$ mean staff has made great efforts to have open, clear communications. We've always made ourselves available, so we've been in constant dialogue with -- with these parties, you know, since -- since, frankly, the matters associated with the Eastern District of Virginia filings came out in January of 2020. There's been open, clear communication, consistent. Probably the most significant communication was the April 26th, you know, communication that highlighted very clearly, you know, the shortcomings and deficiencies, so, yeah, none of -- none of this here today should be a surprise to anybody.

COMMISSIONER COHEN: So in your professional
opinion, they're fully aware of those deficiencies and have been given time to address them?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Yes, absolutely. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Commissioner Williams, I think your microphone might be turned off.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Okay, I think I got it.

The -- the presentation by Mr. Lucas was very compelling, and they're obviously doing an outstanding job and doing exactly what they're supposed to be doing, and -- and at the same time they're going to be focusing on trying to remedy the situation so they can go about their day-to-day and running a successful operation. And I just $--I$ just wanted to say to Mr. Lucas that we appreciate what you've accomplished up there in spite of the hardships Of a -- of an ailing partner, and what we're trying to do is get this partner well because we can't -- we can't give a gaming license to a company that's -- that's -- that's not able or experienced to gain our confidence, and so on the one hand, congratulations, and on the other hand, I think we're -- we're sorry that you all have to
go through this.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: On -- on that note, Commissioner Williams, I'm persuaded by Mr. Lucas's suggestion that we -- we table this matter for now and perhaps we also in doing so should -- should direct that these deficiencies by the parent company be resolved within 30 days. COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Mr. Chairman, I would -- I would agree with that. I also would want to add that, you know, again, we're thinking about timing, we're thinking about this process and how it's laid out for all of our operators. I think getting some type of remedy to those deficiencies identified within 30 days to the Commission staff is important.

I also want to make sure that if that is not met, that we make it clear that the expectation to receive a renewal is grossly limited if we cannot have those materials and those deficiencies addressed within those 30 days, so I would extend upon your comment, Mr. Chairman, and just say that if it's not provided within that time period, I think we need to make sure it's made clear that the expectation of receiving a renewal is -- is -- is diminished pretty
dramatically.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Commissioner Dudich.

To second your comment, it seems like, you know, we're not able to act on this renewal today, but if we were forced to react today and act on this matter, $I$ don't think it would be a good outcome based on what we've -- we've heard from staff and the comments from the Commissioners.

Ladies and gentlemen, is there -- is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER COHEN: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that we table Order 2021-16.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Second.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's been properly moved and seconded to table proposed Order 2021-16.

Any further discussion on the motion?
COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, should we amend the motion to include the -- the -- the will of the Commission that the remedies to this -- to the deficiency be in the hands of the staff in 30 days? Or would you like that to be a second motion?

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Either one.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Well, I'd like to amend the motion to the wording that the remedy to the deficiencies outlined by the staff be in the hands of the staff within 30 days of today. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Chair seconds the motion. Is there any discussion on the amendment to the motion as stated?

Mr. Small, are we okay from a procedural perspective?

MR. SMALL: Yes, but just to be clear for the record, we have an amended motion before us, and the motion is to table Order 2021-116 and that the parties within 30 days, which would be July 23, 2021, provide to staff materials to resolve these deficiencies; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Yes.
Any further discussion on the motion to amend?

Hearing none, first we'll vote on the motion to amend the motion.

All in favor of the motion to amend as recited by Mr. Small and Commissioner Williams, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Motion to amend carries unanimously.

Now we'll vote on the motion as amended. Any further discussion on the motion as amended?

Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Motion carries unanimously.
Thank you, Commissioners.
One other related item -- related item, it seems that we ought to instruct staff to prepare the next steps to open up the licensure for the Terre Haute community, the Vigo County license.

Can you give us some counsel on that, staff?
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Sure, Mr. Chair. So I think it's important to note that with the -- with the action of the Commission to not renew the license, that in the event, you know, Lucy Luck can remedy, you know, its shortcomings, that they would be eligible to renew for the license as well, so, you know, generally, you know, we obviously want to expedite this process because, you know, the legislature created this very important transformational economic development tool for Vigo County, you know, we expedited our initial process to get up and
running, and it's -- we're disappointed that we're here today starting the process over.

So I think staff's position would be even expediting, I think maybe a 90-day process to solicit applications. The statute indicates that there is to be posted on our website, which we can -- we can put together those materials and post and make available for the public to -- to look at, so if that's the will of the Commission. Obviously issuing a license and getting construction underway will continue to remain the top priority of the Commission and staff. We've been working hard at this for, as Jason -- as Commissioner Dudich said, over a year and a half and we'll continue to do so because we want Terre Haute to have the very best project possible, but the community needs to have confidence that the Commission will only approve of a plan that has the greatest prospects of success, so if it's the will of the Commission, staff can go ahead and, you know, kind of begin that $90-$ day time frame.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Commissioners, I'd move that we direct staff to open up a new application timeline and post it as required on their website
for the Vigo County license.
COMMISSIONER COHEN: I'll second that.
CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: It's been properly moved and seconded.

Do we have any discussion on the motion?
Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Opposed.
Motion carries unanimously.
Thank you.
Sara.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Sure. So,
Mr. Chairman, we'll go back to Order 2021-113. Unfortunately I believe that we were unable to have the Honorable Thomas McDermott, the mayor of Hammond, join us. He's presently occupied doing other very important business on behalf of the city, so we appreciate that he submitted a letter to the Commission, which has been provided in your materials, a letter of support and request of -- Caesars' request of you all, so since he's unavailable, I'll go ahead and ask Mr. Tom Reeg, he's the CEO of Caesars Entertainment, to briefly address the Commission, and then Greg will
present Order 113 for your review.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Mr. Reeg, are you available, sir?

MR. REEG: I am. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Thank you.

MR. REEG: Commissioners, thank you for letting me appear before you today. We are in front of you asking for you to reconsider the order to sell the Horseshoe Hammond asset which was put into place during the Caesars Entertainment merger about a year ago. We've submitted numerous materials over that time to you on progress, but to sum up quickly, we've worked closely with Director Tait and staff to comply with the order.

We were able to sell both the Tropicana Evansville asset, which has already sold, and the Caesars Southern Indiana asset that I think you're considering likely in the fall to new operators to the state that, you know, we think are certainly in the best interests of the state in terms of quality and acumen.

And in the case of Southern Indiana, we even moved to a licensing agreement for the -- the name and the rewards program that the property is
attached to, which we think is important to preserve the business at that property, the buyer agrees with us, and that's something that we've -- we've never done before and really would only do for an entity where -- who we have great confidence in.

We petitioned to you last year -- the original order was by the end of last year we would sell three. We initiated a process for the sale of Hammond. At the time there was a great deal of uncertainty surrounding what happens in Illinois, in particular, Chicago south suburbs, the Terre Haute casino that we've heard a bit about earlier, all really dampened demand, in addition to the COVID environment, and we petitioned for a delay of a year in order to let that clear.

And as we sit here today, the reason we're before you today is $I$ believe your next meeting is in September. Prior to then, in order to meet the current deadline, we would start our sales process likely in the next 30 days or so, and unfortunately the situation surrounding the uncertainty in northern Indiana in the Chicago market has not gotten any clearer. Illinois
continues to delay in terms of plans of rewarding Chicago and the south suburbs. In addition, we had the South Bend compact entered into, which was not on the -- on the table during the last go-around, and, really most importantly, this -we know that this all comes back to what's in the best interests of the state. And the Commissioners had concern over economic concentration within Caesars post the merger. I clearly did a poor job of answering those concerns about a year ago.

But that has changed substantially with the sale of the two assets where, you know, we were talking about ownership of 60 percent of the gaming revenue in -- in the state. You know, we're now down to the low 40 s, and we would expect given all the competitive openings that would expect to hit northern Indiana, that that would eventually settle in the low 30s, which is frankly much more in line with what you see in other jurisdictions and where Indiana has been in the past.

We -- when you -- when you do an acquisition the size of Caesars, you find a lot of good and a lot of bad, and, you know, Horseshoe Hammond is
without question, you know, one of the jewels of Caesars. It's an extraordinary asset. It has a wonderful relationship with the community, as I know Mayor McDermott wrote to you in his letter. We have employees that have been with -- about half the employees at Horseshoe Hammond have been with us for more than ten years, about a quarter for more than twenty years, and we really think that this -- keeping this in the Caesars family is the best outcome for the state.

If you look at how we have performed since the closing of the transaction, we have grown revenue across our portfolio despite all of the COVID restrictions, which has grown tax revenue for the state.

You just heard Mr. Lucas talk about what Spectacle has done -- I'm sorry, Hard Rock has done in Gary since its opening. Despite, you know, that impressive performance, we have grown revenue at Horseshoe Hammond over the same time frame, so that, you know, from our standpoint is incremental revenue to the state.

And, you know, we have started a $\$ 34$ million capital project at Indiana Grand. We've funded 25 million of improvements for racing, and we
expect to follow with $\$ 30$ million investment similar to Grand at Hoosier Park, so that within the first 24 months post transaction, we would expect to have invested approaching a hundred million dollars in the state of Indiana in new investment.

And so, you know, we -- we come before you today to ask if you would reconsider your requirement to -- for us to divest the Horseshoe Hammond.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Mr. Reeg. Commissioners, any questions or comments for Mr. Reeg or any thoughts?

COMMISSIONER FINE: Mr. Chairman, if I may, when the original merger was done, my instinct is it was done without regard for the state of Indiana. When they step into the state with the market share that they have, it wasn't blind to the concept that their market was too great. And when I think about -- I mean, this problem was -if it wasn't thought about, it should have been thought about. And if it was thought about, I don't think it was with regard to the consequences in the state of Indiana.

```
If -- if our charge is to act in the best
```

interests of the state and maintain a competitive environment, $I$ think the action that we previously took reflects that step, that vision. We didn't create this issue. We made an educated decision at the time we did.

Even now when I hear numbers of 60 percent to the $40 s$ to maybe in the $30 s$, those -- those don't comfort me a whole lot. I know we're well beyond the two-vote rule, but when I see numbers that start with 3, I still think that's a large concentration.

I'm pretty comfortable with where we -- what we decided last time. This issue was placed in our -- in our lap. I think we acted appropriately. The -- this is an excellent operator. They will do well. They will grow their market.

If we don't act now, what we're really doing is setting ourselves up for more concentration than we want in the future.

Maybe more importantly, and just on the heels of the final statement that was placed, we're not -- I don't -- they're a great operator. I would love to see Caesars stay in Hammond. I think it would be awesome. We didn't select the
properties for divestiture. These were selected by -- by Caesars, so if they are -- truly want to stay in Hammond, they should stay in Hammond. They have other licenses in other properties that they can consider. It's not -- I didn't make any of these choices. We're just playing the hand that we were dealt. And I think the way we played it last time is the right way.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Commissioner Fine.

Any other comments or questions from the Commissioners?

Staff, any comments?
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: No, Chairman. Greg has a presentation. Like I said, there are in addition to -- I don't know if Greg's going to say this, but in addition to the support from the mayor, there's also a independent financial analysis from Dan Roberts, our outside analyst, in there as well.

So, Greg, if you would like to present the order.

MR. SMALL: Sure.
Commissioners, before you is Order 2021-113 concerning Caesars Entertainment, Inc.'s, request
to amend Commission Order 2020-87 regarding divestiture of casino gaming licenses.

Specifically Caesars is requesting that it be able to retain the casino owner's license located in Hammond, Indiana.

As you will recall, Order 2020-87 required Caesars to divest of three Indiana casino licenses. Caesars has acted diligently and in good faith to meet requirements of that order.

The transfer of ownership for Tropicana Evansville to Bally's Corporation was approved at the May 25, 2021, meeting, and an agreement has been reached to sell Caesars Southern Indiana to the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians, which is expected to be completed by the third quarter of 2021.

Order 2020-167 extended the deadline for divestiture of Horseshoe Hammond to December 31, 2021 .

The Horseshoe Hammond Casino property presents unique challenges, as discussed by Mr. Reeg, including increased regional competition. Furthermore, there are concerns in the local community regarding having a less experienced gaming operator begin to manage the
casino, and Caesars has the support of the local community as set forth in your materials.

Also, licensed supplier VICI Properties, which owns the Horseshoe Hammond real property, supports allowing Caesars to maintain the license.

Finally, in your confidential materials is the updated report from DR Financial Consulting, LLC, evaluating the undue economic concentration factor with the divestitures of Tropicana Evansville and Caesars Southern Indiana, as well as the new competition to Caesars' properties both in Indiana and the greater Chicagoland area.

Granting this request would permit Caesars to retain the Horseshoe Hammond Casino in Hammond, Indiana. No other term or condition of Order 2020-87 will be affected.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Mr. Small.
Commissioners, any questions or comments for
Counselor Small?
Hearing none, what is your pleasure?
The Chair moves to approve Order 2021-113.
Is that the correct number, Greg?
MR. SMALL: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Second.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's been properly moved and seconded to approve proposed Order 2021-113. Is there any further discussion on the motion?

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Mr. Chairman, I just have one comment. I think -- I appreciate Commissioner Fine's comments. We made it very clear a while back the expectations.

I would just say that $I$ think it's important for the Commission and the Commission staff to really continue to monitor the concentration issue and determine the impact over time. I think there's a lot of factors that with the pandemic, with Chicago, with other things that could change those concentration factors, so I would encourage -- I'm not asking for a motion, but $I$ would encourage, based on this discussion, that the Commission and the Commission staff continue to monitor concentration levels and review actions that have been taken that can change that and have a dialogue with the Commission members regarding that concentration level and any concerns that the Commission staff might be seeing as we go through getting out of
the pandemic, getting back to normal operations, as well as other factors that would impact gaming operations within Indiana, so just a comment for the fellow Commission members and understanding Mr. Fine's position and being also a Commission member that voted on this not too long ago, I think it's important we continue to monitor those concentration levels and get reports as much as we can to monitor them over time.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Thank you, Commissioner.
Any other questions or comments concerning the motion?

Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Opposed.
(Aye.)
Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. I count that the motion carries with four yea votes and one nay.

Does that sound right, everybody?
COMMISSIONER COHEN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Thank you.

MR. REEG: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Is there any other old business to come before the meeting today?

Hearing none, $I$ would just like to make a closing comment. Service on this Commission is a privilege, and it's also incredibly important that our Commissioners and our executive staff operate with absolute integrity. And I'm -- I'm sincerely deeply proud to work with all of you, and I think you're the finest bunch of public servants I've ever worked with. Thank you very much. I know we had a tough decision today, but we -- we did what the legislature has asked us to do.

With that said, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting?

COMMISSIONER COHEN: I move to adjourn. COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Second.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's been moved. Second? COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Second.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's been properly moved and seconded.

All in favor of adjournment, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)

```
            Thank you. Motion to adjourn.
            (At 2:55 p.m., June 24, 2021, this meeting
of the Indiana Gaming Commission was adjourned.)
```
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