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CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Good afternoon, everyone. This is a meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission. Today is November 15, 2021.

And, Greg Small, would you please call the roll of the commissioners present.

MR. SMALL: Yes, Chair.
Chairman McMains?
MR. McMAINS: Present.
MR. SMALL: Vice Chair Fine?
MR. FINE: Present.
MR. SMALL: Commissioner Williams?
MS. WILLIAMS: Present.
MR. SMALL: Commissioner Dudich?
MR. DUDICH: Present.
MR. SMALL: Commissioner Housman?
MR. HOUSMAN: Present.
MR. SMALL: We have a quorum.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: We have a quorum. Thank you.

Commissioners, have you had a chance to review the minutes of the previous meeting on September 29th? If so, what is your pleasure? MS. WILLIAMS: Move to approve the minutes.

MR. DUDICH: Jason Dudich, second.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's been properly moved to second and approve the minutes of the September 29th meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission. Is there any discussion on the motion?

Hearing none, all in favor of the motion please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Motion passes unanimously. Thank you.

Executive Director Small, do you have a report for us?

MR. SMALL: Yes, a quick piece here. It's my pleasure to introduce a new face to the Commission. Commissioner Daniel Housman was appointed to the Commission in October of 2021. Commissioner Housman represents the Lake Michigan contiguous area. He resides in St. John, Indiana, and has been an independent sales rep for Payroc of Chicago, a merchant services company, based out of Tinley Park, Illinois, since 2017.

Prior to 2017, Commissioner Housman worked for Ozinger Redi-Mix for 18 years as a driver, heavy equipment operator and plant operator.

Commissioner Housman is the owner of Providence Property Management, a small real estate investment company. Commissioner Housman currently as a small group student ministry leader at Faith Church in Dyer, Indiana. He also spent time as a deacon on the stewardship Team at Faith, and is a high school youth group leader at Faith Church's Hammond/Munster campus. We are happy to have Commissioner Housman aboard and welcome him to the gaming commission. Welcome aboard, Commissioner.

MR. HOUSMAN: Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Next item of business, any old business to be considered for today?

Hearing none, items of new business.
Greg?
MR. SMALL: Yes, I'd like to introduce Dennis Mullen to discuss the matter before you.

MR. MULLEN: Thank you, Commissioners.
As you know, on June 24th, 2021, Commission Order 2021-114 was entered. Under this order Lucy Luck Gaming's casino owner's license was not renewed. On July 12th, pursuant to Indiana Code Article 4-21.568 ISE 7, Lucy Luck Gaming
petitioned the office of the administrative law judges for review of that order, and requested a stay of the nonrenewal pursuant to Indiana Code 4-21.5-3-5 subsection G.

On July 27th, the ALJ entered an order staying the nonrenewal order pending the disposition of Lucy Luck Gaming's appeal.

As an update date to the status of the appeal, Lucy Luck Gaming and the IGC are currently attempting to resolve discovery issues with a hearing set to take place tomorrow. Following the resolution of that issue, the IGC looks forward to moving for an expedited hearing schedule in order to reach a resolution on the appeal as soon as possible so that the Terre Haute project can move forward and begin benefitting the state, the local community, and the residents of Vigo County and the surrounding counties.

With respect to why we called the public meeting today, on November 4, Lucy Luck Gaming sent the Indiana Gaming Commission and the ALJ hearing officer a settlement offer to resolve Lucy Luck Gaming's appeal. The offer was issued under 68 IAC 7-1-15, which provides that the
parties may propose settlement offers to the hearing officer or the Commission at any stage of the proceedings, time, nature of the proceeding, and public interest permit. Such offers may be made at any time prior to the entry of the final order, including prior to the initiation of the proceedings.

IGC staff has provided the settlement offer to you in your commission documents.

The offer would contemplate the following actions: First, the Commission would review Lucy Luck Gaming Casino owner's license.

Second, Lucy Luck Gaming would take all necessary steps within 90 days to dilute Greg Gibson's ownership interest and redeem all minority investors. Further redemption agreements have been provided to the Commission previously.

And, third, an experienced gaming company, Hard Rock, or a similarly-situated gaming company, would then hold the balance of the equity in Lucy Luck Gaming and would develop and operate the casino.

Lucy Luck Gaming provided the Commission until 5:00 p.m. today, November 15th, to
consider the offer that $I$ just described, which is why today's meeting is noticed and being conducted. I'll be happy to answer any questions the commissioners have about the offer. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Commissioners?
MR. FINE: This is Marc Fine. I just
had a -- perhaps you could update us on the status of Lucy Luck Gaming. Tell us where they are and, I guess, their good standing with the Commission.

MR. MULLEN: Sure. Vice Chair Fine, 68
IAC $7-1-16$ states that an applicant whose license has not been renewed and who has requested a hearing under the rule shall still be considered an applicant for purposes of compliance with applicable statutory provisions and Commission rules. Lucy Luck, therefore, is required to comply with all statute rules and emergency rules of the Commission during the pendency of this appeal.

Statutes and administrative rules established, among other things, reporting requirements and a continuing duty to provide updates to information previously submitted to
the Commission. Licensees have a continuing duty to maintain and proactively demonstrate suitability for licensure.

Since the last update to commissioners from staff in June, Lucy Luck has not provided required reports, or taken other actions which would demonstrate its ability and willingness to fulfill its suitability to hold a casino owner's license. There has been little to no contact from Lucy Luck after the nonrenewal.

Regarding corporate structure, Lucy Luck is not operating in accordance with its approved corporate organizational chart. Of the 11 positions submitted to the Commission as comprising the corporate staff and board of managers, Mr. Gibson is the only individual currently under the jurisdiction of the Commission, as he is licensed in connection with his ownership interest in the Hard Rock northern Indiana casino.

One board of managers candidate field a PD1, which remains pending. The other individuals either voluntarily separated from the company through from consideration or were never hired by Lucy Luck. As far as the Commission staff is
aware, Mr. Gibson is the only individual currently associated with Lucy Luck.

Lucy Luck also remains noncompliant with casino licensing practice. Equity holders that were to be redeemed ended up not being redeemed after Lucy Luck's nonrenewal. The agent still holds an equity interest in Lucy Luck now. None of those individuals or companies have required to be licensed by the Commission have applied for licensure, despite being directed to do so in April. Without license applications and the requisite background investigations, the Commission is unable to determine the suitability of those individuals or the company.

Lucy Luck has also not remedied deficiencies that led to the nonrenewal order in June. Lucy Luck is, in fact, in worse shape today especially on the corporate staffing side. Compliance with Commission statute and rules is the responsibility of the license holder. It's not a responsibility of the regulatory agency to continually ask licensee what they have done in order to comply with Commission rules.

And at this point, you know, there's just
been a lack of communication with the licensees. So in terms of what outstanding compliance issues may be out there, you know, we're certainly unaware at this time. However, in terms of, you know, addressing the deficiencies identified in June, we have not seen evidence of that.

MR. FINE: Thank you.
MS. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman -- this is Susan. Given the response to Marc's question it's -- I feel, that maybe it's appropriate to make a motion now, if you find that in order. And I would be happy to do so.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Certainly.
MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. Mr. Chairman, in light of the report from our executive director, I would make a motion to decline the offer on the table.

MR. FINE: This is Marc Fine. I'll second the motion.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's been properly moved and seconded to decline the offer from Lucy Luck to settle the matter on the table. Is there any discussion on the motion? Hearing none, all in favor of the motion please
signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Motion carries unanimously. Thank you.

MR. SMALL: Chairman McMains, for clarity of the record, I should note that Commissioner Housman is abstaining from vote today. So he did not vote on that particular motion.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you,
Mr. Small.
Would you recite for the record then the commissioners who voted in the affirmative for the motion?

MR. SMALL: Yes, I will. Chairman McMains, Vice Chair Fines, Commissioner Williams, and Commission Dudich all voted in the affirmative.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: The motion carries by four votes; correct?

MR. SMALL: Correct.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you.
Commissioners, what other thoughts do you have regarding this matter?

MR. FINE: This is Marc Fine. As we
sort of find ourselves in the position that we're in, I'm wondering if this is hardly the greatest atmosphere to have settlement discussions. But, again, so be it, selected or not.

I'm wondering if there's not a way that we can just resolve our differences, agree to disagree, and perhaps consider a resolution that would be -- I guess, I'll call it two-part in -multiple parts actually, but two primary parts. One being that the litigation that is pending with respect to the nonrenewal and potential future litigation, that we could have that all put behind us, with prejudice, I might add.

And then, secondarily, to refund to Lucy Luck the fees that they've paid for their license, provided that we are in receipt of a license fee from someone in the future for the same license.

It seems that to continue to go down this path of fast meetings and adversarial proceedings doesn't do the State of Indiana any good, doesn't do the City of Terre Haute any good, or the citizens, frankly. And I'm wondering if there's not a more prompt way that
we can find a resolution.
CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Thank you,
Commissioner Fine.
MR. HOUSMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I would echo Commissioner Fine's comments. I'm a little concerned that, while not being a lawyer, have been involved in a lot of situations where some legal differences can certainly be dragged out over time. And as Commissioner Fine noted this is impactful to Terre Haute, Vigo County, and the State of Indiana. I like and would concur with the idea if there are other avenues with those conditions that Commissioner Fine noted that we can remedy this issue quickly so that the State and the County and the City can move forward with the development of a facility in Terre Haute would probably be the best for all parties engaged. And I would agree with Commissioner Fine. It would be nice to find a way to work through these differences quickly between the parties in a way that can benefit all parties and get things back on track.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Ms. Williams, what
are your thoughts?

MS. WILLIAMS: Well, I think that if, in fact, our approach is taken seriously then we will be in a position to move on. Failing that, and if it is not accepted that the fee be returned and we move on, then I would feel very strongly that if we're going to spend any more time on this, and we've spent way too much time on it already, that rather than going back and forth, that the Commission ought to investigate seriously the possibility of revocation. That way we know our time will be well spent because when it's finished it's finished. And so I am willing to support Commissioner Fine's concept. I'm sure we've got to get it in good wording. And once we have finished with that I would like to discuss the procedures for a backup plan dealing with revocation.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Greg, it sounds like unless you have a strong opinion about how we ought to proceed here, do you want a motion on this? How would you like to proceed?

MR. SMALL: I think we can take this as a directive. There is a resolution that does give me authority as executive director to explore settlement negotiations. I've taken it
from commissioners that you would like to see that. So we are happy to work with our outside counsel under the broad terms that Vice Chair Fine has set forth. And we can pursue that. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Personally, I agree with Vice Chair Fine's comments. I think those contingencies are important in any settlement discussion you may have. And I also agree with Commissioner Williams.

Who are the individuals that are responsible for keeping and bringing Lucy Luck up to snuff in complying with Indiana law? We have individual licensees also that are failing to do their job?

MR. SMALL: Probably only one at this juncture.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Well, Commissioner Williams' point, how much time would you need to prepare proposed orders, or revocation orders, for us to consider if this doesn't get worked out? Is a week or two enough time for the staff to do that?

MR. SMALL: Yeah. Obviously we need to get beyond Wednesday, which is a very busy day for us. But $I$ think if we can get maybe 15 days
after that we can put our heads together and come up with some -- you know, some thoughts.

MS. WILLIAMS: And what does that process involve? Since we've never -- or at least as long as I've been on the Commission it's never been entertained.

MR. SMALL: It would be similar to the nonrenewal process that we went through. We will do the investigation. We will determine where items of noncompliance are. We will list those in a complaint by each one showing which statue or regulation has been violated by the action or omission. And we would bring it to you for approval.

MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. Well, here's hoping that Commissioner Fine's concept is met with approval on the other side, and we can move on. I think that it behooves us to move most expeditiously so we can get Terre Haute out of the ground.

MR. SMALL: Message delivered.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Greg, is there anything else that needs to be brought before the Commission today?

MR. SMALL: No. This was it. It was,
obviously, a very limited agenda. And we appreciate everyone's time, especially on such short notice.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Commissioners, any other comments? Or are we good?

Hearing none, is there a motion to adjourn?
MR. DUDICH: This is Jason. So moved.
MS. WILLIAMS: Seconded.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's been properly moved and seconded to adjourn this meeting. All in favor signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, everyone.
(At 3:00 p.m., this meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission was adjourned.)
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