



STATE OF INDIANA

ERIC J. HOLCOMB, GOVERNOR

Indiana Department of Insurance

Amy L. Beard, Commissioner
311 W. Washington Street, Suite 103
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2787
Telephone: 317-232-2385
Fax: 317-232-5251
Website: in.gov/idoi

To: The Honorable Todd Huston, Chairman, and members of the Legislative Council,
The Honorable Martin Carbaugh, Chairman, and members of the House Financial Institutions and Insurance Committee, and
The Honorable Scott Baldwin, Chairman, and members of the Senate Insurance and Financial Institutions Committee

From: Amy L. Beard, Commissioner of the Indiana Department of Insurance

Date: April 18, 2023

RE: Report of Update to the NAIC Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual Incorporated by Reference under Title 27 of the Indiana Code.

The Indiana Department of Insurance submits this report of the changes to the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual in accordance with the reporting requirements under IC §27-1-1.5-1(b).

On March 22, 2023, the NAIC Statutory Accounting Principles Working Group met to discuss and adopt the following changes to the NAIC Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual:

- Ref # Issue Paper No. 167 – SSAP No. 86: Issue Paper No. 167, Derivatives and Hedging – New SAP Concept
- Ref # 2022-15 – SSAP No. 25: Affiliate Reporting Clarification - SAP Clarification
- Ref # 2022-16 – SSAP No. 100R: ASU 2022-03, Fair Value Measurement of Restricted Securities- SAP Clarification
- Ref # 2022-17 – SSAP No. 34: Interest Income Disclosure Update- SAP Clarification (Effective December 31, 2023)
- Ref # 2022-18 – SSAP No. 105R: ASU 2022-04, Disclosure of Supplier Finance Program Obligations- SAP Clarification
- Ref # AG 49-A – Appendix C: Actuarial Guideline XLIX-A—The Application of the Life Illustrations Model Regulation to Policies with Index-Based Interest Sold (On or After December 14, 2020)- Effective May 1, 2023
- Ref # AG 54 – Appendix C: Actuarial Guideline LIV—Nonforfeiture Requirements for Index-Linked Variable Annuity Products- Effective July 1, 2024

The Department submits this document as a reported amendment to the 2023 version of the NAIC Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual, to implement the reported amendments in the regulation of the business of insurance in Indiana.

**Revisions to the
As of March 2023, Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual**

On **March 22, 2023**, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group adopted the following revisions to the *As of March 2023 Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual*. Documents associated with these revisions are linked to the reference items in bold text.

Ref #	SSAP/ Appendix	Title	Summary
Issue Paper No. 167	SSAP No. 86	<i>Issue Paper No. 167, Derivatives and Hedging</i> <i>New SAP Concept</i> Effective Immediately	Adoption of <i>Issue Paper No. 167—Derivatives and Hedging</i> to detail the historical actions of the authoritative guidance resulting in new SAP concepts within <i>SSAP No. 86—Derivatives</i> . As the statutory accounting guidance has already been adopted, the issue paper adoption is for historical documentation and does not change authoritative guidance.
2022-15	SSAP No. 25	SSAP No. 25 – Affiliate Reporting Clarification <i>SAP Clarification</i> Effective Immediately (March 22, 2023)	Revisions clarify that any invested asset held by a reporting entity which is issued by an affiliated entity, or which includes the obligations of an affiliated entity, is an affiliated investment.
2022-16	SSAP No. 100R	<i>ASU 2022-03, Fair Value Measurement of Restricted Securities</i> <i>SAP Clarification</i> Effective Immediately (March 22, 2023)	Revisions adopt with modification the fair value measurement guidance from <i>ASU 2022-03, Fair Value Measurement of Restricted Securities</i> to incorporate updated guidance for restricted securities for statutory accounting. The disclosures from ASU 2022-03 were not adopted.
2022-17	SSAP No. 34	Interest Income Disclosure Update <i>SAP Clarification</i> Effective December 31, 2023	Revisions adopt additional disclosures in SSAP No. 34 to data capture the gross, nonadmitted and admitted amounts of interest income due and accrued, and to reflect the cumulative amount of paid-in-kind interest income included in the current principal balance.

Ref #	SSAP/ Appendix	Title	Summary
2022-18	SSAP No. 105R	<p><i>ASU 2022-04, Disclosure of Supplier Finance Program Obligations</i></p> <p><i>SAP Clarification</i></p> <p>Effective Immediately (March 22, 2023)</p>	Revisions reject <i>ASU 2022-04, Disclosure of Supplier Finance Program Obligations</i> for statutory accounting.
AG 49-A	Appendix C	<p><i>Actuarial Guideline XLIX-A—The Application of the Life Illustrations Model Regulation to Policies with Index-Based Interest Sold (On or After December 14, 2020)</i></p> <p>Effective May 1, 2023</p>	Revisions to AG 49-A intend to improve illustrations for indexed universal life (IUL) products with uncapped volatility-controlled funds and a fixed bonus. The guideline applies to policies sold on or after May 1, 2023.
AG 54	Appendix C	<p><i>Actuarial Guideline LIV—Nonforfeiture Requirements for Index-Linked Variable Annuity Products</i></p> <p>Effective July 1, 2024</p>	The purpose of this new guideline is to specify the conditions under which an Index-Linked Variable Annuity (ILVA) is consistent with the definition of a variable annuity and exempt from Model 805 and specify nonforfeiture requirements consistent with variable annuities. The guideline applies to all contracts (including associated riders, endorsements, or amendments) issued on or after July 1, 2024.

[https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/frsstatutoryaccounting/national meetings/a. national meeting materials/2023/3-22-23 - spring/adoptions/adoptions 03.22.2023 toc.docx](https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/frsstatutoryaccounting/national%20meetings/a.national%20meeting%20materials/2023/3-22-23%20-%20spring/adoptions/adoptions%2003.22.2023%20toc.docx)

Statutory Issue Paper No. 167

Derivatives and Hedging

STATUS

Finalized March 22, 2023

Original SSAP and Current Authoritative Guidance: SSAP No. 86

Type of Issue:

Common Area

SUMMARY OF ISSUE

1. Statutory accounting guidance for derivatives is in *SSAP No. 86—Derivatives*. Although SSAP No. 86 indicates “adoption of the framework” of specific U.S. GAAP guidance, the accounting and reporting guidance for derivatives, particularly with regards to the four U.S. GAAP derivative cornerstones, is distinctly different between SSAP No. 86 and FAS 133/ASC 815. For example, under U.S. GAAP, assessment effectiveness under U.S. GAAP is largely an income statement management tool (to offset variations consistently through net income or other comprehensive income – OCI), but as SAP uses an amortized cost measurement method for a number of hedged items, the criteria for hedge effectiveness and the measurement approach for derivatives must be adjusted accordingly.

2. In August 2017, the FASB issued *ASU 2017-12, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities* to improve the financial reporting of hedging relationships to better portray the economic results of an entity’s risk management activities in its financial statements. In addition, the amendments incorporated certain targeted improvements to simplify the application of the hedge accounting guidance in current U.S. GAAP. ASU 2017-12 included a new concept for a ‘last of layer’ approach to make portfolio fair value hedge accounting more accessible for specific assets. With the issuance of the last-of-layer guidance, a number of questions were received. After considering those questions, *ASU 2022-01 Fair Value Hedging – Portfolio Layer Method* was issued. This ASU expanded the original guidance and provided additional specifications and guidance.

3. The Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group has considered several revisions to SSAP No. 86 in response to the review of ASU 2017-12 and ASU 2022-01. This issue paper has been drafted to detail the revisions incorporated into statutory accounting. These revisions, except for those initially adopted in 2018, are considered new SAP concepts.

DISCUSSION

Topic 1: Hedge Documentation and Initial Assessment Efficiencies (Agenda Item 2018-30)

4. The overall intent of ASU 2017-12 was to reduce cost and complexity of applying hedge accounting by simplifying the way assessments of hedge effectiveness may be performed. It was noted that the efficiencies gained from the revisions in the ASU for U.S. GAAP filers would be lost if corresponding provisions were not considered for statutory accounting. Pursuant to a July 9, 2018, interested parties’ comment letter, three elements were requested to be considered by the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group in a nonsubstantive (SAP clarification) proposal. Interested parties noted that these elements will reduce the costs associated with hedge accounting, while neither changing the underlying accounting, nor creating any additional regulatory risks or concerns:

- a. Allow companies to perform subsequent assessments of hedge effectiveness qualitatively if certain conditions are met.

- b. Allow companies more time to perform the quantitative hedge effectiveness assessment.
- c. Clarify that companies may apply the “critical terms match” method for a group of forecaster transactions if the transactions occur and the derivatives mature within the same 31-day period or fiscal month, and the other requirements for applying the critical match method are satisfied.

5. On August 4, 2018, the Working Group exposed revisions to incorporate hedge documentation and assessment efficiencies from ASU 2017-12. This item was exposed with a shortened comment period to allow for potential revisions and re-exposure if needed, to permit adoption and application prior to year-end 2018. On November 15, 2018, the Working Group adopted the exposed revisions as final. The revisions were adopted with an effective date of January 1, 2019, with early adoption permitted for year-end 2018. U.S. GAAP filers could only early adopt if they had also early adopted ASU 2017-12.

6. Additionally, in ASU 2017-12, in response to comments requesting a more flexible approach to hedging interest rate risk, the FASB decided to amend the guidance for hedging interest rate risk of financial instruments for both fair value and cash flow hedges. With the revisions, the FASB decided to redefine the term interest rate risk and eliminate the benchmark interest rate concept for variable-rate financial instruments. With the changes, the FASB incorporated the SIFMA rate in the list of eligible rates for fixed income instruments and noted that the FASB will add to the list of eligible benchmark rates as necessary. The revisions adopted to SSAP No. 86 are detailed in Exhibit A.

7. With the inclusion of revisions, certain elements from the U.S. GAAP guidance were not duplicated within statutory accounting. The elements were considered part of the prior adoption of the “FAS 133 / technical guidance” originally reflected in SSAP No. 86:

- a. Exceptions from the initial prospective quantitative assessment were not captured in the statutory guidance as they were not necessarily new under ASU 2017-12. The following overview details when an initial prospective quantitative assessment would not be required:
 - i. In a cash flow or fair value hedge, the entity applies the short-cut method.
 - ii. In a cash flow or fair value hedge, the entity determines that the critical terms of the hedging instrument and the hedged item match.
 - iii. In a cash flow hedge, the hedging instrument is an option and it meets specific criteria detailed in the U.S. GAAP guidance
 - iv. In a cash flow hedge, a private company that is not a financial institution applies the simplified hedge accounting approach.
 - v. In a cash flow hedge, the entity assesses hedge effectiveness under the change in variable cash flows method permitted under U.S. GAAP, with all noted conditions being met.
 - vi. In a cash flow hedge, the entity assesses hedge effectiveness under the hypothetical derivative method permitted under U.S. GAAP and all the critical terms of the hypothetical derivative and the hedging instrument are the same.
 - vii. In a net investment hedge, the entity assesses hedge effectiveness using a method based on changes in spot exchange rates, and the conditions noted under U.S. GAAP are met.

Derivatives and Hedging

- viii. In a net investment hedge, the entity assesses hedge effectiveness using a method based on changes in forward exchange rates and the noted condition under U.S. GAAP are met.
- b. The short-cut method and critical terms match method are current method permitted under U.S. GAAP retained under ASU 2017-12. Under these methods, an entity may qualitatively assume, in very limited circumstances, that

8. Ultimately, the revisions incorporated in 2018, effective January 1, 2019, with early application permitted, from ASU 2017-12 were limited to specific provisions, and related transition guidance, pertaining to the documentation and assessment of hedge effectiveness: 1) provisions allowing more time to perform the initial qualitative hedge effectiveness assessment; 2) provisions allowing subsequent assessments of hedge effectiveness to be performed qualitatively if certain conditions are met; and 3) revisions regarding use of the critical terms and short-cut method for assessing hedge effectiveness. With the adoption of the limited provisions, it was identified that the remaining provisions of ASU 2017-12 would be subsequently assessed for statutory accounting and shall not be considered adopted for statutory accounting until that assessment is completed, with a conclusion to adopt the U.S. GAAP guidance.

9. The revisions adopted in November 2018 included revisions to both SSAP No. 86 as well as Exhibit B – Assessment of Hedging Effectiveness. The subsequent revisions adopted in 2022 eliminated Exhibit B as well as incorporated new guidance through the SSAP. Ultimately, the final adopted guidance, as reflected in the AP&P Manual, is the authoritative guidance.

Topic 2: Hedge Effectiveness and Measurement Methods for Excluded Components (Ref #2021-20)

10. In December 2011, consideration began on revisions to facilitate effective hedge assessments consistently between statutory accounting and U.S. GAAP. The Working Group exposed a concept agenda item to solicit comments and directed NAIC staff to work with regulators and industry in developing revisions for consistent hedge effectiveness assessments and with the treatment of excluded components.

11. After working with industry, on April 4, 2022, the Working Group exposed two documents for public comment. The first document proposed revisions in the form of a new exhibit A to SSAP No. 86, which would replace both Exhibit A and Exhibit B. This new exhibit A would adopt with modification U.S. Guidance in determining hedge effectiveness. The second document proposed revised guidance to SSAP No. 86 to update the permitted excluded components to mirror U.S. GAAP but establish statutory-specific measurement methods for the excluded components.

12. The new Exhibit A intends to reflect the position that the assessment of hedge effectiveness for derivatives should be consistent between U.S. GAAP and SAP. In other words, transactions identified to be highly effective hedges under U.S. would be identified as highly effective hedged under statutory accounting. If a hedging instrument results with offsetting changes (or other permitted aspects) to a hedged item pursuant to the guidelines under U.S. GAAP to qualify as a highly effective hedge, the same assessment as a highly effective hedge should occur under SAP.

13. The Exhibit A would adopt, with modification U.S. GAAP guidance pertaining to the criteria for initial and subsequent hedge effectiveness detailed in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) paragraphs 815-20-25-72 through 815-20-35-20, as modified through the issuance of ASU 2017-12. Although the U.S. GAAP guidance for the assessment and determination of hedge effectiveness is proposed to be adopted, statutory modifications are captured to specify that the accounting and reporting of hedging instruments, including excluded components of the instruments, shall follow statutory specific guidance detailed in SSAP No. 86. The intent of this guidance is to clarify that the determination of whether a hedging instrument qualifies as an effective hedge shall converge with U.S. GAAP, but that the measurement method shall continue to follow statutory specific provisions. The adopt from U.S. GAAP only extends to

revisions incorporated through ASU 2017-12, as such, any subsequent U.S. GAAP edits would require statutory accounting consideration before they were considered adopted.

14. In addition to new Exhibit A to SSAP No. 86, the Working Group also exposed proposed revisions to SSAP No. 86, paragraphs 23, 40-41 and Exhibit C, to expand the list of permitted excluded components in assessing derivative effectiveness to match U.S. GAAP and to establish statutory specific measurement requirements for each type of excluded component.

15. The prior SSAP No. 86 guidance reflected the list of permitted excluded components originally adopted from U.S. GAAP. Since the original inclusion in SSAP No. 86, and within ASU 2017-12, U.S. GAAP had expanded the list, and it was noted that the statutory accounting treatment of excluded components related to foreign currency transactions were hindering the ability to engage in those transactions. It was also identified that current measurement guidance within the SSAP was conflicting between the guidance and specific hedge procedures detailed in Exhibit C. Through the discussions with industry, it was identified that different measurement or recognition provisions should be considered to properly reflect the type of excluded component with the financial statements, with specific guidance included in SSAP No. 86 accordingly:

- a. If the excluded component pertains to the difference between a foreign currency spot price and the forward or future price (e.g., a forward spot rate), then this premium/discount shall be amortized into income over the life of the contract or hedged program. (This guidance addresses the excluded component in Exhibit A, paragraph 8.d.)
- b. If the excluded component pertains to a foreign currency swap cross-currency basis spread, the impact of fair value changes shall be reflected as a component of the foreign currency swap's periodic interest accrual. (This guidance addresses the excluded component in Exhibit A, paragraph 8.e.)
- c. For all other excluded components, the excluded component shall be measured and reported at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized as unrealized gains or losses. (This guidance shall be applied to excluded components detailed in Exhibit A, paragraphs 8.a. through 8.c.)

16. On August 10, 2022, after the exposure timeframe, in which interested party comments were received supporting the proposed revisions, the Working Group adopted the exposed revisions. This adoption resulted with both the new Exhibit A that adopts with modification U.S. GAAP guidance in determining hedge effectiveness and the revisions to SSAP No. 86 to incorporate measurement method guidance for excluded components. These revisions were adopted with a January 1, 2023, effective date, with early adoption permitted. With the action to adopt, the Working Group directed a blanks proposal to incorporate Schedule DB reporting fields and templates to capture the new disclosures for excluded components. These disclosure and investment schedule changes will be in effect for year-end 2023. Companies that early adopt the revisions are directly to complete the required disclosures in a narrative format for year-end 2022.

Topic 3: Portfolio Layer Method and Partial Term Hedging (Ref #2022-09)

17. In August 2022, considerations began to expand statutory accounting guidance to incorporate the portfolio layer method detailed in *ASU 2022-01, Fair Value Hedging – Portfolio Layer Method*. The guidance in ASU 2022-01 reflects an expansion of the last-of-layer method detailed in ASU 2017-12.

18. Under the last-of-layer approach captured in ASU 2017-12, for a closed portfolio of prepayable financial assets or one or more beneficial interests secured by a portfolio of prepayable financial instruments, entities were allowed to hedge a stated amount of the asset or assets in the closed portfolio that

is anticipated to be outstanding for the designated hedged period. If the requirements for the last-of-layer method were met, prepayment risk is not incorporated into the measurement of the hedged item. With the application of this guidance, a number of questions were received. After considering those questions, FASB issued *ASU 2022-01, Fair Value Hedging – Portfolio Layer Method*, which expanded the guidance and provided additional specifications for application. Ultimately, for a closed portfolio of financial assets or one of more beneficial interests secured by a portfolio of financial instruments, an entity may designate as the hedged item or items a hedged layer or layers if the following criteria is met:

- a. As part of the initial hedge documentation, an analysis is completed and documented to support the entity's expectation that the hedged item or items (that is, the hedged layer or layers in aggregate) is anticipated to be outstanding for the designated hedge period. That analysis shall incorporate the entity's current expectations of prepayments, defaults, and other factors affecting the timing and amount of cash flows associated with the closed portfolio.
- b. For purposes of its analysis, the entity assumes that as prepayments, defaults, and other factors affecting the timing and amount of cash flows occur, they first will be applied to the portion of the closed portfolio that is not hedged.
- c. The entity applies the partial-term hedging guidance to the assets or beneficial interests used to support the entity's expectation. An asset that matures on a hedged layer's assumed maturity date meets this requirement.

19. Similar to concepts supporting the adoption of prior U.S. GAAP revisions, there is a general assessment that determination of effective hedges shall be consistent between statutory accounting and U.S. GAAP. As such, new SAP concepts revisions to reflect the portfolio layer method in establishing effective hedge dynamics was proposed to be consistent with U.S. GAAP. With the U.S. GAAP guidance limiting the application of this guidance to hedges of recognized financial assets, a consistent scope threshold was established for statutory accounting.

20. The review of the portfolio layer method identified that U.S. GAAP prevents basis adjustments directly to assets hedged in a portfolio and it was considered on whether statutory revisions would be necessary to address similar basis adjustment revisions under statutory accounting. However, after further assessments, it was identified that the fair value measurement method under U.S. GAAP, which results in ongoing basis adjustments from changes in fair value over the derivative term, would not be a prominent issue under statutory accounting, which predominantly uses an amortized cost approach for effective hedges. With the use of amortized cost, basis adjustments do not occur until hedge termination or at designation of the hedge, therefore this was identified as not a key statutory accounting impact.

21. In addition to considering guidance for the portfolio layer method, representatives from interested parties proposed to also capture concepts for partial term hedges from ASU 2017-12. (As detailed in the FASB criteria above in paragraph 18 for portfolio layer method hedges, application of a the partial-term hedging guidance is used to support the entity's expectation.) Prior review of partial term hedge concepts noted concern as how interim adjustments to hedged items, particularly for hedged liabilities, would be reflected in the financial statements. With the statutory accounting guidance to reflect derivative gains or losses as basis adjustments on the hedge item, if a hedge to a recognized liability resulted in a reduction to the presentation of the liability, this could misrepresent the financial statements as the liability itself had not been reduced. In considering these concerns and recognizing that a broader project would likely be needed to address these basis adjustments, representatives from industry recommended incorporated the U.S. GAAP guidance for partial term hedges, with a statutory modification to limit the application to hedges of recognized assets.

22. Although the proposal to limit partial term hedges to recognized assets is a modification from the overarching concept to mirror hedge effectiveness assessments between U.S. GAAP and SAP, it was identified as an approach that would be consistent with the U.S. GAAP scope application for the portfolio layer method and would reflect how industry currently uses partial term hedge transactions. As such, although the modification created a U.S. GAAP and SAP difference, the modification satisfies the current need for statutory guidance and prevents significant concerns on how the guidance could impact the presentation of liabilities. With this discussion, it was identified that subsequent consideration of the limitation to recognized assets could occur, with potential expansion to hedges of recognized liabilities as part of a broader discussion on how derivative gains and losses are recognized as basis adjustments.

23. The proposed revisions exposed to incorporate the portfolio layer method and the partial-term hedging method are summarized as follows:

- a. Revisions to SSAP No. 86, predominantly in paragraph 26.d., 26.f., and 26.g., to detail the ability to hedge recognized assets under the portfolio layer method and partial-term hedge. Also, revisions to paragraph 62 for a new disclosure for portfolio layer derivatives that no longer qualify for hedge accounting and the circumstances that led to the breach, as well as guidance in paragraphs 65.c. and 74.f. to detail relevant U.S. GAAP literature and the effective date.
- b. Revisions to SSAP No. 86 – Exhibit, Exhibit A – Assessment of Hedge Effectiveness, to add a new section on the assessment of portfolio layer method for hedge effectiveness. (Note – This exhibit was the new exhibit adopted in agenda item 2021-20 which replaced the prior Exhibit A and Exhibit B within SSAP No. 86.)
- c. Revisions to SSAP No. 86 – Exhibit C, paragraph 2.d., for which a portfolio layer method is discontinued to detail how the basis adjustment shall be allocated to the remaining individual assets in the closed portfolio. (Note – With the adoption of agenda item 2021-20, this Exhibit was renamed as Exhibit B.)

24. The proposed revisions reflect adoption of U.S. GAAP for the criteria for the portfolio layer method detailed in ASU 2022-01, criteria to only consider how changes in the benchmark interest rate affect the decision to settle the hedged item before its scheduled maturity date in ASC 815-20-25-6B, adding option in calculating the change in the hedged item's fair value attributed to changes in the benchmark interest rate based on the benchmark rate components of the contractual cash flows detailed in FASB ASC 815-25-35-13, and the partial-term hedging method detailed in FASB ASC 815-25-35-13B. The adoption of the partial term hedging method reflects statutory modifications that limits its use only when the hedged item is a recognized asset. This is different than U.S. GAAP, which permits the partial term method for hedged liabilities. The statutory limitation is established to prevent interim basis adjustments to hedged liabilities that could present a reduction of reported liabilities on the financial statements when the actual liability has not been reduced. Reconsideration of this statutory limitation may occur after a broader project to consider how derivative basis adjustments to hedged liabilities shall be reflected in the financial statements.

25. On December 13, 2022, the Working Group adopted the exposed revisions. This adoption resulted with the revisions identified in paragraph 23 above. These revisions were adopted with a January 1, 2023 effective date, with early adoption permitted. The revisions shall be applied prospectively to qualifying new hedges.

~~25-26.~~ An updated version of this Issue Paper was exposed on December 12, 2022, and adopted on March 22, 2023. The purpose of this Issue Paper is to document the historical actions resulting in new SAP concepts within SSAP No. 86—Derivatives. As issue papers are not represented in the statutory hierarchy, the adoption of this Issue Paper does not change the effective date of the previously adopted authoritative literature.

Exhibit 1 – Revisions adopted to SSAP No. 86 on November 15, 2018 (Agenda Item 2018-30)

38. At inception of the hedge, documentation must include:
- a. A formal documentation of the hedging relationship and the entity's risk management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge, including identification of the hedging instrument, the hedged item, the nature of the risk being hedged, and how the hedging instrument's effectiveness in offsetting the exposure to changes in the hedged item's fair value or variability in cash flows attributable to the hedged risk will be assessed, [including whether an entity will perform subsequent effectiveness assessments on a qualitative basis \(per paragraph 42\) and how it intends to carry out that qualitative assessment](#). There must be a reasonable basis for how the entity plans to assess the hedging instrument's effectiveness;
 - b. An entity's defined risk management strategy for a particular hedging relationship may exclude certain components of a specific hedging derivative's change in fair value, such as time value, from the assessment of hedge effectiveness, as discussed in paragraph 37 and Exhibit B;
 - c. Signature of approval, for each instrument, by person(s) authorized, either by the entity's board of directors or a committee authorized by the board, to approve such transactions; and
 - d. A description of the reporting entity's methodology used to verify that opening transactions do not exceed limitations promulgated by the state of domicile.

[39. At inception, if an entity is required to perform an initial prospective assessment of hedge effectiveness on a quantitative basis \(using information applicable as of the date of hedge inception^{FN}\), the assessment is considered to be performed concurrently at hedge inception if it completed by the earliest of the following: \(815-20-25-3\)](#)

- a. [The first quarterly hedge effectiveness assessment date.](#)
- b. [The date that financial statements that include the hedged transaction are available to be issued.](#)
- c. [The date that the hedging instrument and hedged item no longer qualify for hedge accounting.](#)
- d. [The date of expiration, sale, termination or exercise of the hedging instrument.](#)
- e. [The date of dedesignation of the hedging relationship.](#)
- f. [For a cash flow hedge of a forecasted transaction, the date that the forecasted transaction occurs.](#)

[New Footnote – Entities are required to perform an initial prospective assessment unless qualifying for an exception in accordance with ASU 2017-12, paragraph 815-20-25-3.](#)

40. For all derivatives terminated, expired, or exercised during the year:
- a. Signature of approval, for each instrument, by person(s) authorized, either by the entity's board of directors or a committee authorized by the board, to approve such transactions;

- b. A description, for each instrument, of the nature of the transaction, including:
 - i. The date of the transaction;
 - ii. A complete and accurate description of the specific derivative, including description of the underlying securities, currencies, rates, indices, commodities, derivatives, or other financial market instruments;
 - iii. Number of contracts or notional amount;
 - iv. Date of maturity, expiry or settlement;
 - v. Strike price, rate or index (termination price for futures contracts);
 - vi. Counterparty, or exchange on which the transaction was traded; and
 - vii. Consideration paid or received, if any, on termination.
 - c. Description of the reporting entity's methodology to verify that derivatives were effective hedges; and
 - d. Identification of any derivatives that ceased to be effective as hedges.
41. For derivatives open at quarter-end:
- a. A description of the methodology used to verify the continued effectiveness of hedges, [and whether the entity is using qualitative assessments pursuant to paragraph 42^{FN}](#);
 - b. An identification of any derivatives that have ceased to be effective as hedges;
 - c. A description of the reporting entity's methodology to determine fair values of derivatives;
 - d. Copy of Master Agreements, if any, where indicated on Schedule DB Part D.

[New Footnote: For purposes of this requirement, this statement adopts the guidance for effectiveness assessment after initial designation reflected in ASU 2017-12, including the concepts and restrictions for use of the short-cut method and the critical terms match method.](#)

42. [An entity may subsequently qualitatively assess hedge effectiveness, on a hedge-by-hedge basis, if both the conditions in paragraphs 42.a. and 42.b. were initially met. When an entity performs subsequent qualitative assessments of hedge effectiveness, it shall verify and document whenever financial statements or earnings are reported and at least every three months that the facts and circumstances related to the hedging relationship have not changed such that it can assert qualitatively that the hedging relationship was and continues to be highly effective. An entity may perform a quantitative assessment in any reporting period to validate whether qualitative assessments remain appropriate. When facts and circumstances change such that an entity no longer can assert qualitatively that the hedging relationship continue to be highly effective, then the entity shall begin performing quantitative assessments. \(815-20-35-2A, 2C and 2D abbreviated\)](#)

- a. [An entity performs an initial quantitative test of hedge effectiveness on a prospective basis \(that is, it is not assuming that the hedging relationship is perfectly effective at hedge inception\) and the results of that quantitative test demonstrate highly effective offset.](#)

- b. [At hedge inception, an entity can reasonably support an expectation of high effectiveness on a qualitative basis in subsequent periods.](#)

RELEVANT LITERATURE

~~60~~⁵⁹. This statement adopts the framework established by FAS 133, FASB Statement No. 137, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—Deferral of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 133, An amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (FAS 137) and FASB Statement No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities, An amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (FAS 138), for fair value and cash flow hedges, including its technical guidance to the extent such guidance is consistent with the statutory accounting approach to derivatives utilized in this statement. This statement adopts the provisions of FAS 133 and 138 related to foreign currency hedges. With the exception of guidance specific to foreign currency hedges and amendments specific to refining the hedging of interest rate risk (under FAS 138, the risk of changes in the benchmark interest rate would be a hedged risk), this statement rejects FAS No. 137 and 138 as well as the various related Emerging Issues Task Force interpretations. This statement adopts paragraphs 4 and 25 of FASB Statement No. 149: Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FAS 149) regarding the definition of an underlying and guidance for assessing hedge effectiveness. All other paragraphs in FAS 149 are rejected as not applicable for statutory accounting. This statement adopts FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-5: Disclosures about Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees, An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 and FASB Interpretation No.45 and Clarification of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 161 (FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4) and requires disclosures by sellers of credit derivatives. This statement rejects FSP FIN 39-1, Amendments of FASB Interpretation No. 39, and ASU 2014-03, Derivatives and Hedging – Accounting for Certain Receive-Variable, Pay-Fixed Interest Rate Swaps – Simplified Hedge Accounting Approach.

[61 This statement adopts certain revisions to ASC 815-20 included in ASU 2017-12. This adoption is limited to specific provisions, and related transition guidance, pertaining to the documentation and assessment of hedge effectiveness and only includes: 1\) provisions allowing more time to perform the initial quantitative hedge effectiveness assessment; 2\) provisions allowing subsequent assessments of hedge effectiveness to be performed qualitatively if certain conditions are met; and 3\) revisions regarding use of the critical terms and short-cut methods for assessing hedge effectiveness. The remaining provisions of ASU 2017-12 will be subsequently assessed for statutory accounting and shall not be considered adopted for statutory accounting until that assessment is complete.](#)

~~62~~⁶⁰. This statement adopts with modification revisions to ASC 815 as reflected within ASU 2016-05, Effect of Derivative Contract Novations on Existing Hedge Accounting Relationships. This guidance is modified to require prospective application, as such it is only applicable to future counterparty changes in derivative instruments, and this guidance cannot be used to adjust derivative transactions previously terminated. This statement adopts revisions to ASC 815-20-25-15 as reflected within ASU 2010-08, Technical Corrections to Various Topics. This statement adopts revisions to ASC 815-10-50-4K as reflected within ASU 2010-11, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives, but rejects all other GAAP revisions from ASU 2010-11 and ASU 2014-16, Derivatives and Hedging, Determining Whether the Host Contract in a Hybrid Financial Instrument Issued in the Form of a Share is More Akin to Debt or to Equity and ASU 2016-06, Derivatives and Hedging, Contingent Put and Call Options in Debt Instruments. These GAAP revisions are rejected as embedded derivatives are not separated from the host contract and recognized as derivatives under SSAP No. 86. Revisions are also incorporated to SSAP No. 86 to require disclosures on embedded credit derivatives that expose the holder of a financial instrument to the possibility of being required to make future payments. This disclosure is a modification to the GAAP disclosures specific to statutory accounting as embedded credit derivatives are not separately recognized under statutory accounting. It should be noted that the conclusions reached in this statement are not intended to usurp the rules and regulations put forth by states in their respective investment laws. The contents of this statement are intended to provide accounting

guidance on the use of derivatives as allowed by an insurer's state of domicile. It is not intended to imply that insurers may use derivatives or cash instruments that the insurer's state of domicile does not allow under the state's insurance regulatory requirements, e.g., in replication transactions.

~~6364~~. This statement adopts revisions to ASC 815-20 as reflected within ASU 2013-10, Derivatives and Hedging, Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a benchmark interest rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes. These revisions define a benchmark interest rate, clarify what can be used in the U.S. for a benchmark interest rate, and eliminate the prior restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges.

Effective Date and Transition

~~6765~~. This statement is effective for derivative transaction entered into or modified on or after January 1, 2003. A modification is any revision or change in contractual terms of the derivative. SSAP No. 31 applies to derivative transaction prior to January 1, 2003. Alternatively, an insurer may choose to apply this statement to all derivatives to which the insurer is a party as of January 1, 2003. In either case, the insurer is to disclose the transition approach that is being used. Revisions adopted to paragraph 59 to reject FSP FIN 39-1 is effective January 1, 2013, for companies that have previously reported a position in the balance sheet that was net of counterparty agreements. (Companies that have previously reported derivative instruments and/or related collateral gross shall not be impacted by these revisions.) Revisions adopted in paragraph 15 clarify the reporting for amounts received/paid to adjust variation margin until the derivative contract has ended and are effective January 1, 2018, on a prospective basis, for reporting entities that have previously considered these amounts to reflect settlement or realized gains/losses. (Companies that have previously reported variation margin changes in line with the revisions shall not be impacted by these revisions.) [Revisions to incorporate limited provisions from ASU 2017-12 pertaining to the documentation of hedge effectiveness \(detailed in paragraph 61\) are effective January 1, 2019, with early adoption permitted for year-end 2018. However, if the reporting entity is a U.S. GAAP filer, the reporting entity may only elect early adoption if the entity has also elected early adoption of ASU 2017-12 for year-end 2018.](#)

SSAP NO. 86 - EXHIBIT B – ASSESSMENT OF HEDGING EFFECTIVENESS

The following is based on paragraphs 62-70 of FAS 133 to offer additional guidance on assessing hedging effectiveness. The intent of such is to remain consistent with ~~FAS 133~~[U.S. GAAP](#) with respect to assessing hedge effectiveness, [including guidance in ASU 2017-12 that outlines when an entity may perform subsequent assessments of hedge effectiveness qualitatively.](#)

1. This statement requires that an entity define at the time it designates a hedging relationship the method it will use to assess the hedge's effectiveness in achieving offsetting changes in fair value or offsetting cash flows attributable to the risk being hedged. It also requires that an entity use that defined method consistently throughout the hedge period to assess at inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis whether it expects the hedging relationship to be highly effective in achieving offset. If the entity identifies an improved method and wants to apply that method prospectively, it must discontinue the existing hedging relationship and designate the relationship anew using the improved method. Although this statement suggests a method for assessing whether a hedge is expected to be highly effective or measuring hedge ineffectiveness, the appropriateness of a given method of assessing hedge effectiveness can depend on the nature of the risk being hedged and the type of hedging instrument used. Ordinarily, however, an entity should assess effectiveness for similar hedges in a similar manner; use of different methods for similar hedges should be justified.

2. In defining how hedge effectiveness will be assessed, an entity must specify whether it will include in that assessment all of the gain or loss on a hedging instrument. As discussed in paragraph 33, this statement permits (but does not require) an entity to exclude all or a part of the hedging instrument's time value from the assessment of hedge effectiveness, as follows:

- a. If the effectiveness of a hedge with an option contract is assessed based on changes in the option's intrinsic value, the change in the time value of the contract would be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.
- b. If the effectiveness of a hedge with an option contract is assessed based on changes in the option's minimum value, that is, its intrinsic value plus the effect of discounting, the change in the volatility value of the contract would be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.
- c. If the effectiveness of a hedge with a forward or futures contract is assessed based on changes in fair value attributable to changes in spot prices, the change in the fair value of the contract related to the changes in the difference between the spot price and the forward or futures price would be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

In each circumstance above, changes in the excluded component would be included in unrealized gains or losses. As noted in paragraph 1 of this Exhibit, the effectiveness of similar hedges generally should be assessed similarly; that includes whether a component of the gain or loss on a derivative is excluded in assessing effectiveness. No other components of a gain or loss on the designated hedging instrument may be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

3. In assessing the effectiveness of a cash flow hedge, an entity generally will need to consider the time value of money if significant in the circumstances. Considering the effect of the time value of money is especially important if the hedging instrument involves periodic cash settlements. An example of a situation in which an entity likely would reflect the time value of money is a tailing strategy with futures contracts. When using a tailing strategy, an entity adjusts the size or contract amount of futures contracts used in a hedge so that earnings (or expense) from reinvestment (or funding) of daily settlement gains (or losses) on the futures do not distort the results of the hedge. To assess offset of expected cash flows when a tailing strategy has been used, an entity could reflect the time value of money, perhaps by comparing the present value of the hedged forecasted cash flow with the results of the hedging instrument.

4. ~~Whether a hedging relationship qualifies as highly effective sometimes will be easy to assess.~~ If the critical terms of the hedging instrument and of the ~~entire~~ hedged ~~item~~ ~~asset or liability (as opposed to selected cash flows)~~ or hedged forecasted transaction are the same, the entity could conclude that changes in fair value or cash flows attributable to the risk being hedged are expected to completely offset at inception and on an ongoing basis. For example, an entity may assume that a hedge of a forecasted purchase of a commodity with a forward contract will be ~~highly~~ perfectly effective if:

- a. The forward contract is for purchase of the same quantity of the same commodity at the same time and location as the hedged forecasted purchase.
- b. The fair value of the forward contract at inception is zero.
- c. Either the change in the discount or premium on the forward contract is excluded from the assessment of effectiveness and included directly in unrealized gains and losses pursuant to paragraph 22.B. or the change in expected cash flows on the forecasted transaction is based on the forward price for the commodity.

5. In a cash flow hedge of a group of forecasted transactions, an entity may assume that the timing in which the hedged transactions are expected to occur and the maturity date of the hedging instrument match in accordance with paragraph if those forecasted transactions occur and the derivative matures within the same 31-day period or fiscal month. (815-20-25-844)

~~56.~~ However, assessing hedge effectiveness can be more complex. For example, hedge effectiveness would be reduced by the following circumstances, among others:

- a. A difference between the basis of the hedging instrument and the hedged item or hedged transaction (such as a Deutsche mark-based hedging instrument and Dutch guilder-based hedged item), to the extent that those bases do not move in tandem
- b. Differences in critical terms of the hedging instrument and hedged item or hedged transaction, such as differences in notional amounts, maturities, quantity, location, or delivery dates.

Hedge effectiveness also would be reduced if part of the change in the fair value of a derivative is attributable to a change in the counterparty's creditworthiness.

[67](#). A hedge that meets the effectiveness test specified in paragraphs 19.b. and 20.b. (that is, both at inception and on an ongoing basis, the entity expects the hedge to be highly effective at achieving offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows) also must meet the other hedge accounting criteria to qualify for hedge accounting. If the hedge initially qualifies for hedge accounting, the entity would continue to assess whether the hedge meets the effectiveness test. If the hedge fails the effectiveness test at any time (that is, if the entity does not expect the hedge to be highly effective at achieving offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows), the hedge ceases to qualify for hedge accounting. The discussions of measuring hedge effectiveness in the examples in the remainder of this Exhibit assume that the hedge satisfied all of the criteria for hedge accounting at inception.

Exhibit 2 – Revisions adopted to SSAP No. 86 on August 10, 2021 (Agenda Item 2021-20)**Derivatives Used in Hedging Transactions**

22. Derivative instruments used in hedging transactions that meet the criteria of a highly effective hedge shall be considered an effective hedge and are permitted to be valued and reported in a manner that is consistent with the hedged asset or liability (referred to as hedge accounting). For instance, assume an entity has a financial instrument on which it is currently receiving income at a variable rate but wishes to receive income at a fixed rate and thus enters into a swap agreement to exchange the cash flows. If the transaction qualifies as an effective hedge and a financial instrument on a statutory basis is valued and reported at amortized cost, then the swap would also be valued and reported at amortized cost. Derivative instruments used in hedging transactions that do not meet or no longer meet the criteria of an effective hedge, or that meet the required criteria but the entity has chosen not to apply hedge accounting, shall be accounted for at fair value and the changes in the fair value shall be recorded as unrealized gains or unrealized losses (referred to as fair value accounting)¹.

23. Entities shall not bifurcate the effectiveness of derivatives. A derivative instrument is either classified as an effective hedge or an ineffective hedge. Entities must account for the derivative using fair value accounting if it is deemed to be ineffective or becomes ineffective. [Derivative instruments classified as effective with excluded components in determining hedge effectiveness pursuant to Exhibit A, paragraph 8, shall account for the derivative and excluded components pursuant to the guidance in paragraph 40.](#) Entities may redesignate a derivative in a hedging relationship even though the derivative was used in a previous hedging relationship that proved to be ineffective. A change in the counterparty to a derivative instrument that has been designated as the hedging instrument in an existing hedging relationship would not, in and of itself, be considered a termination of the derivative instrument. An entity shall prospectively discontinue hedge accounting for an existing hedge if any one of the following occurs:

- a. Any criterion in paragraphs 26-38 is no longer met;
- b. The derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised (the effect is recorded as realized gains or losses or, for effective hedges of firm commitments or forecasted transactions, in a manner that is consistent with the hedged transaction – see paragraph 24);
- c. The entity removes the designation of the hedge; or
- d. The derivative is deemed to be impaired in accordance with paragraph 18. A permanent decline in a counterparty's credit quality/rating is one example of impairment required by paragraph 18, for derivatives used in hedging transactions.

Hedge Effectiveness

39. The measurement of hedge effectiveness for a particular hedging relationship shall be consistent with the entity's risk management strategy and the method of assessing hedge effectiveness that was documented at the inception of the hedging relationship, as discussed in paragraph 41.

40. The gain or loss on a derivative designated as a hedge and assessed to be effective is reported consistently with the hedged item. (Therefore, if the hedged item is reported at amortized cost, and the hedging instrument is consistent with that measurement method, fluctuations in fair value would not be recognized as unrealized gains or losses for either the hedging item or hedging instrument.) If an entity's defined risk management strategy for a particular hedging relationship excludes a specific component of the gain or loss, or related cash flows, on the hedging derivative from the assessment of hedge effectiveness

¹ Pursuant to paragraph 19, the gross reported value of a derivative and the determination of unrealized gains or losses shall exclude the impact of financing premiums. Premiums payable or receivable from the acquisition or writing of a derivative shall not be reflected in the gross reporting of derivatives or in determining the fair value change in a derivative.

(as discussed in Exhibit ~~BA~~, paragraph 8), specific accounting treatment shall be followed for the ~~that~~ excluded component: ~~of the gain or loss shall be recognized as an unrealized gain or loss. For example, if the effectiveness of a hedge with an option contract is assessed based on changes in the option's intrinsic value, the changes in the option's time value would be recognized in unrealized gains or losses. Time value is equal to the fair value of the option less its intrinsic value.~~

- a. If the excluded component pertains to the difference between a foreign currency spot price and the forward or future price (e.g., a forward spot rate), then this premium/discount shall be amortized into income over the life of the contract or hedged program. (This guidance addresses the excluded component in Exhibit A, paragraph 8.d.)
- b. If the excluded component pertains to a foreign currency swap cross-currency basis spread, the impact of fair value changes shall be reflected as a component of the foreign currency swap's periodic interest accrual. (This guidance addresses the excluded component in Exhibit A, paragraph 8.e.)
- c. For all other excluded components, the excluded component shall be measured and reported at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized as unrealized gains or losses. (This guidance shall be applied to excluded components detailed in Exhibit A, paragraphs 8.a.-8.c.)

41. Hedging instruments with excluded components shall be identified in the financial statement investment schedule (Schedule DB) and shall be disclosed pursuant to ~~paragraph 41.g.~~

Proposed New Disclosure Paragraph (This is proposed as a new paragraph 41.g. with reordering of subsequent paragraphs.)

- g. For hedging instruments with excluded components for determining hedge effectiveness:
 - i. In the investment schedule, identify hedging instruments with excluded components, and report the current fair value of the excluded component, the fair value of the excluded component that is reflected in the reported BACV for the hedging instrument (this item would not be applicable for foreign-currency forwards and currency swaps where the forward points or cross-currency basis, respectively, are the excluded component), and the change in fair value reported as an unrealized gains/loss. (Note – These items will be proposed in electronic columns to Schedule DB.)
 - ii. In the notes to the financial statements, provide information on the aggregate excluded components by category: Time Value, Intrinsic Value, Forward Points and Cross Currency Basis Spread. The aggregate amounts reported should include the following (as applicable): current fair value, recognized unrealized gain/loss, the fair value reflected in BACV, and for the excluded forward points (e.g., forward spot rates), the aggregate amount owed at maturity, along with current year and remaining amortization. (Note – These items will be captured in a blanks proposal/template.)

Relevant Literature

64. This statement adopts the framework established by FAS 133, *FASB Statement No. 137, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—Deferral of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 133, An amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (FAS 137) and *FASB Statement No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities, An amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (FAS 138), for fair value and cash flow hedges, including its technical guidance to the

extent such guidance is consistent with the statutory accounting approach to derivatives utilized in this statement. This statement adopts the provisions of FAS 133 and 138 related to foreign currency hedges. With the exception of guidance specific to foreign currency hedges and amendments specific to refining the hedging of interest rate risk (under FAS 138, the risk of changes in the benchmark interest rate would be a hedged risk), this statement rejects FAS No. 137 and 138 as well as the various related Emerging Issues Task Force interpretations. This statement adopts paragraphs 4 and 25 of *FASB Statement No. 149: Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (FAS 149) regarding the definition of an underlying and guidance for assessing hedge effectiveness. [\(The adoption from FAS 149 on the assessment of hedge effectiveness is impacted by the adoption with modification of guidance from ASU 2017-12 as detailed in paragraph 65.b., with the guidance from ASU 2017-12 superseding the prior adoption to the extent applicable.\)](#) All other paragraphs in FAS 149 are rejected as not applicable for statutory accounting. This statement adopts FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-5: *Disclosures about Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees, An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 and FASB Interpretation No. 45 and Clarification of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 161* (FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4) and requires disclosures by sellers of credit derivatives. This statement rejects *FSP FIN 39-1, Amendments of FASB Interpretation No. 39, and ASU 2014-03, Derivatives and Hedging – Accounting for Certain Receive-Variable, Pay-Fixed Interest Rate Swaps – Simplified Hedge Accounting Approach*.

65. This statement adopts, [with modification](#), certain revisions to ASC 815-20 included in ASU 2017-12. [Remaining provisions of ASU 2017-12 will be subsequently assessed for statutory accounting and shall not be considered adopted for statutory accounting until that assessment is complete.](#)

- a. [Revisions effective January 1, 2019, with early adoption permitted, ~~This adoption is~~](#) limited to specific provisions, and related transition guidance, pertaining to the documentation and assessment of hedge effectiveness and only includes: 1) provisions allowing more time to perform the initial quantitative hedge effectiveness assessment; 2) provisions allowing subsequent assessments of hedge effectiveness to be performed qualitatively if certain conditions are met; and 3) revisions regarding use of the critical terms and short-cut methods for assessing hedge effectiveness.
- b. [Revisions effective January 1, 2023, with early adoption permitted, are limited to the criteria for initial and subsequent hedge effectiveness detailed in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification \(ASC\) paragraphs 815-20-25-72 through 815-20-35-20, as modified through the issuance of ASU 2017-12. This adoption reflects statutory modifications to specify that the accounting and reporting of hedging instruments, including excluded components of the instruments, shall follow statutory specific guidance detailed in the statement. The intent of this guidance is to clarify that the determination of whether a hedging instrument qualifies as an effective hedge shall converge with U.S. GAAP, but that the measurement method shall continue to follow statutory specific provisions. The adoption of the referenced ASC paragraphs only extends to revisions incorporated through ASU 2017-12; therefore, any subsequent U.S. GAAP edits would require statutory accounting consideration before considered adopted.](#)
~~The remaining provisions of ASU 2017-12 will be subsequently assessed for statutory accounting and shall not be considered adopted for statutory accounting until that assessment is complete.~~

Effective Date and Transition

~~74.73~~ This statement is effective for derivative transaction entered into or modified on or after January 1, 2003. A modification is any revision or change in contractual terms of the derivative. SSAP No. 31 applies to derivative transaction prior to January 1, 2003. Alternatively, an insurer may choose to apply this statement to all derivatives to which the insurer is a party as of January 1, 2003. In either case, the insurer is to disclose the transition approach that is being used.

- a. Revisions adopted to paragraph 64 to reject FSP FIN 39-1 is effective January 1, 2013, for companies that have previously reported a position in the balance sheet that was net of counterparty agreements. (Companies that have previously reported derivative instruments and/or related collateral gross shall not be impacted by these revisions.)
- b. Revisions adopted in paragraph 16 clarify the reporting for amounts received/paid to adjust variation margin until the derivative contract has ended and are effective January 1, 2018, on a prospective basis, for reporting entities that have previously considered these amounts to reflect settlement or realized gains/losses. (Companies that have previously reported variation margin changes in line with the revisions shall not be impacted by these revisions.)
- c. Revisions to incorporate limited provisions from ASU 2017-12 pertaining to the documentation of hedge effectiveness (detailed in paragraph 65) are effective January 1, 2019, with early adoption permitted for year-end 2018. However, if the reporting entity is a U.S. GAAP filer, the reporting entity may only elect early adoption if the entity has also elected early adoption of ASU 2017-12 for year-end 2018.
- d. Revisions adopted April 2019 to explicitly include structured notes in scope of this statement are effective December 31, 2019. Revisions adopted July 2020 to define “derivative premium,” require gross reporting of derivatives without the impact of financing premiums and require separate recognition of premiums payable and premiums receivable, are effective January 1, 2021.
- e. [Revisions adopted August 2022 that adopt with modification the criteria for initial and subsequent hedge effectiveness detailed in the FASB ASC paragraphs 815-20-25-72 through 815-20-35-20, as modified through the issuance of ASU 2017-12 and that incorporate statutory accounting revisions for the accounting and reporting of excluded components are effective January 1, 2023, with early adoption permitted. These revisions shall be applied prospectively for all new and existing hedges. Entities shall detail the adoption of this guidance as a change in accounting principle pursuant to SSAP No. 3—Accounting Changes and Corrections of Errors](#)

With the adoption of the new Exhibit A as detailed in the subsequent section, Exhibit C will be renamed Exhibit B. Due to the details of Exhibit A (including the FASB ASC paragraphs not duplicated in the SSAP), the following Exhibit B section is included before the new Exhibit A in this issue paper for ease of readability.

EXHIBIT ~~C~~B – SPECIFIC HEDGE ACCOUNTING PROCEDURES FOR DERIVATIVES

Specific hedge accounting procedures for derivative instruments are outlined below.

1. Call and Put Options, Warrants, Caps, and Floors:
 - a. Accounting at Date of Acquisition (purchase) or Issuance (written): The premium paid or received for purchasing or writing a call option, put option, warrant, cap or floor shall either be (i) recorded as an asset (purchase) or liability (written) on the Derivative line on the Assets (or) Liabilities pages or (ii) combined with the hedged item(s) individually or in the aggregate;
 - b. Statement Value:
 - i. Open derivatives hedging items recorded at amortized cost:

Derivatives and Hedging

- (a) Options, warrants, caps, and floors purchased or written shall be valued at amortized cost in a manner consistent with the hedged item. (Components of a hedging instrument excluded from the determination of hedge effectiveness shall be recognized at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized as unrealized gains/losses throughout the duration of the hedging instrument. These components are not captured within the guidance for effective hedges detailed within this section.);
 - (b) The amortization period and methods used shall result in a constant effective yield over the life of the hedged item or program. (For floating rate hedged items, the estimated effective yield shall be based on the current rate so the changes in yields attributable to changes in interest rates will be recognized in the period of change). Specific treatment includes:
 - (1) Holdings in derivatives purchased or written within a year of maturity or expiry need not be amortized;
 - (2) For hedges of forecasted transactions or firm commitments, the derivative may be recorded at cost until the hedged transaction occurs or it is determined that the hedge was not effective (see (d) in this section 1.b.i.);
 - (3) For other derivatives, the amortization period is usually from date of acquisition (issuance) of the derivative to maturity of the hedged item or program.
 - (c) For hedges where the cost of the derivative is combined with the hedged item, the statement value is zero. The fair value of the derivative and hedged item shall be determined and reported separately, either individually or in the aggregate;
 - (d) For hedges of forecasted transactions or firm commitments, the derivative shall be recorded at cost until (1) the hedged transaction occurs or (2) it is determined that the hedge was not effective (when the derivative is valued in accordance with (e) in this section);
 - (e) If during the life of the derivative it or a designated portion of the derivative is no longer effective as a hedge, valuation at amortized cost ceases and the derivative or the designated portion of the derivative shall be valued at its current fair value with gains and losses recognized in unrealized gains or unrealized losses to the extent it ceased to be an effective hedge.
- d. Gain/Loss on Termination of an option, warrant, cap or floor accounted for under hedge accounting (includes closing, exercise, maturity, and expiry):
- i. Exercise of an Option: The remaining book value of the derivative shall become an adjustment to the cost or proceeds of the hedged item(s) received or disposed of individually or in aggregate;
 - ii. Sale, maturity, expiry, or other closing transaction of a derivative which is an effective hedge—Any gain or loss on the transaction, except for excluded components, will adjust the basis (or proceeds) of the hedged item(s) individually or in aggregate. Alternatively, if the item being hedged is subject to IMR, the gain

or loss on the terminated hedging derivative may be realized and shall be subject to IMR upon termination. For hedging instruments with excluded components in determining hedge effectiveness, the unrealized gain/loss from the change in fair value of the excluded component shall be realized upon the closing transaction. This gain/loss shall not be used to adjust the basis or proceeds of the hedged item.;

- iii. Gain/loss on termination of derivatives will be recognized currently in net income (realized gain/loss) to the extent they ceased to be effective hedges.
- iv. Upon the redesignation of a derivative from a currently effective hedging relationship:
 - (a) with an item(s) carried at amortized cost to another effective hedging relationship with an item(s) carried at amortized cost, the derivative shall continue to be recorded at amortized cost and no gain or loss on the derivative shall be recognized.
 - (b) with an item(s) carried at amortized cost or fair value to an effective relationship with an item(s) carried at fair value, the accounting for the derivative shall be consistent with (ii) above.
 - (c) with an item(s) carried at fair value to an effective relationship with an item(s) carried at amortized cost, the accounting for the derivative shall be consistent with (ii) above.

2. Swaps, Collars, and Forwards (see also discussion in Introduction above):

a. Accounting at Date of Opening Position:

- i. Any premium paid or received at date of opening shall either be (a) recorded on the Derivative line on the Assets (or) Liabilities pages or (b) combined with the hedged item(s), individually or in the aggregate;

b. Statement Value:

- i. Open derivatives hedging items recorded at amortized cost:
 - (a) Swaps, collars, and forwards shall be valued at amortized cost in a manner consistent with hedged item. (Components of a hedging instrument excluded from the determination of hedge effectiveness not addressed in 2.b.iii. shall be recognized at fair value, with changes in fair value of the excluded component recognized as unrealized gains/losses throughout the duration of the hedging instrument. These components are not captured within the guidance for effective hedges detailed within this section.);
 - (b) The amortization period and methods used shall result in a constant effective yield over the life of the hedged item or program. (For floating rate hedged items the estimated effective yield shall be based on the current rate so the changes in yields attributable to changes in interest rates will be recognized in the period of change.) Specific treatment includes:
 - (1) Holdings in derivatives purchased or written within a year of maturity or expiry need not be amortized;

Derivatives and Hedging

- (2) For hedges of forecasted transactions or firm commitments, the derivative shall be recorded at cost until (a) the hedged transaction occurs or (b) it is determined that the hedge was not effective (see (5) in this section 2.b.i.);
 - (3) For other derivatives the amortization period is usually from date of acquisition (issuance) of the derivative to maturity of the hedged item or program;
 - (4) For hedges where the cost of the derivative is combined with the hedged item, the statement value is zero. The fair value of the derivative and hedged item shall be determined and reported separately, either individually or in the aggregate;
 - (5) If during the life of the derivative it or a designated portion of the derivative is no longer effective as a hedge, valuation at amortized cost ceases and the derivative or a designated portion of the derivative shall be valued at its current fair value with gains and losses recorded in unrealized gains or unrealized losses to the extent that it ceased to be an effective hedge. Upon redesignation into an effective hedging relationship, the derivative's mark to fair value through unrealized gain or loss shall be reversed.
- ii. Open derivatives hedging items recorded at fair value (where gains and losses on the hedged item are recognized as adjustments to unassigned funds (surplus):
- (a) Swaps, collars, or forwards shall be valued at current fair value with changes in fair value recognized currently consistent with the hedged item; this will result in unrealized gain/loss treatment with adjustment to unassigned funds (surplus);
 - (b) For hedges where the derivative is combined with the hedged item, the fair value of the derivative and hedge item shall be determined and reported separately, either individually or in the aggregate. The cost (book value) basis used to figure gain/loss on the derivative is zero.
- iii. Open foreign currency swap and forward contracts hedging foreign currency exposure on items denominated in a foreign currency and translated into U.S. dollars where fair value accounting is not being used:
- (a) ~~The foreign exchange premium (discount) on the currency contract shall be amortized into income over the life of the contract or hedge program.~~ The foreign exchange premium (discount) is defined as the foreign currency (notional) amount to be received (paid) times the net of the forward rate minus the spot rate at the time the contract was opened. For forward contracts, an excluded component representing a foreign exchange premium (discount) (forward points) on the currency contract shall be amortized into income over the life of the contract or hedge program. Amortization is not required if the contract was entered into within a year of maturity. For foreign currency swaps, an excluded component representing a cross-currency basis spread, is recognized into income through the foreign currency swap's periodic interest accruals.

~~Amortization is not required if the contract was entered into within a year of maturity;~~

- (b) A foreign currency translation adjustment shall be reflected as an unrealized gain/loss (unassigned funds (surplus) adjustment) using the same procedures as done to translate the hedged item;
- (c) The unrealized gain/loss for the period equals the foreign currency (notional) amount to be received (paid) times the net of the current spot rate minus the prior period end spot rate;
- (d) The statement value of the derivative equals the amortized cost plus:
 - 1. For forward contracts, the amortized (premium) discount plus the cumulative unrealized gain/(loss) on the contract.
 - 2. For foreign currency swaps, ~~T~~the cumulative unrealized gain/(loss) on the contract. The cross-currency basis spread is recorded through the Investment Income Due and Accrued or Other Liabilities, as a component of the foreign currency swap's periodic interest accrual.

The cumulative unrealized gain/loss equals the foreign currency (notional) amount to be received (paid) times the net of the current spot rate minus the spot rate at the time the contract was opened;

- (e) Recognition of unrealized gains/losses and amortization of foreign exchange premium/discount on derivatives hedging forecasted transactions or firm commitments shall be deferred until the hedged transaction occurs. These deferred gains/losses will adjust the basis or proceeds of the hedged transaction when it occurs;
- (f) For hedges where the cost of the foreign currency contract is combined with the hedged item, the statement value on Schedule DB is zero. The fair value of the derivative and hedged item shall be determined and reported separately, either individually or in the aggregate;
- (g) ~~If during the life of the currency contract it or a designated portion of the currency contract is not effective as a hedge,~~ The derivative shall be recorded at fair value and valuation at amortized cost shall cease. To the extent it ceased to be an effective hedge, a cumulative unrealized gain/loss (surplus adjustment) ~~will~~ shall be recognized equal to the difference between the carrying value of the derivative on the balance sheet and the fair value of the derivative if either of the following occur:
 - 1. During the life of the currency contract it or a designated portion of the currency contract is not effective as a hedge.
 - 2. The entity decides to terminate the derivative in advance of scheduled maturity.

~~notional amount or designated notional amount times the difference between the forward rate available for the remaining maturity of the~~

~~contract (i.e., the forward rate as of the balance sheet date) and the forward rate at the time it ceased to be an effective hedge.~~

- iv. Open derivatives hedging items recorded at fair value, where gains and losses on the hedged item are recognized currently in earnings: swaps, collars and forwards shall be valued at current fair value with changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings together with the gains and losses on the hedged item.
 - (a) If during the life of the derivative it or a designated portion of the derivative is no longer effective as a hedge, recognition of changes in fair value through earnings ceases. The derivative shall continue to be valued at its current fair value, but thereafter gains or losses shall be recognized in unrealized gains or unrealized losses to the extent it ceased to be an effective hedge.
- c. Cash Flows and Income:
 - i. Where the cost of the derivative is not combined with the hedged item:
 - (a) Amortization of premium paid or received on derivatives is an adjustment to net investment income or another appropriate caption within operating income consistent with the reporting of the hedged item;
 - (b) Periodic cash flows and accruals of income/expense are to be reported in a manner consistent with the hedged item, usually as net investment income or another appropriate caption within operating income.
 - ii. Where the cost of the derivative is combined with the hedged item, the cash flows and income of the derivative on Schedule DB is zero. All related amortization and cash flow accounting shall be reported with the hedged item instead of with the derivative.
- d. Gain/Loss on Termination of a swap, collar or forward accounted for under hedge accounting (includes closing, exercise, maturity, and expiry):
 - i. Exercise—The remaining book value of the derivative shall become an adjustment to the cost or proceeds of the hedged item(s) received or disposed of individually or in aggregate;
 - ii. Sale, maturity, expiry, or other closing transaction of a derivative which is an effective hedge—Any gain or loss on the transaction, except for excluded components, will adjust the basis (or proceeds) of the hedged item(s) individually or in aggregate. Alternatively, if the item being hedged is subject to IMR, the gain or loss on the terminated hedging derivative may be realized and shall be subject to IMR upon termination.‡
 - iii. Gain/loss on termination of derivatives will be recognized currently in net income (realized gain/loss) to the extent they ceased to be effective hedges.
 - iv. Upon the redesignation of a derivative from a currently effective hedging relationship-
 - (a) with an item(s) carried at amortized cost to another effective hedging relationship with an item(s) carried at amortized cost, the derivative shall

continue to be recorded at amortized cost and no gain or loss on the derivative shall be recognized.

- (b) with an item(s) carried at amortized cost or fair value to an effective relationship with an item(s) carried at fair value, the accounting for the derivative shall be consistent with (ii) above.
- (c) with an item(s) carried at fair value to an effective relationship with an item(s) carried at amortized cost, the accounting for the derivative shall be consistent with (ii) above.

The following new Exhibit A replaces both Exhibit A and Exhibit B within the existing SSAP No. 86. This is new guidance within SSAP No. 86, and the tracked changes shown in the section below reflect the modifications from U.S. GAAP. References to the FASB ASC are included in this issue paper for historical reference and will not be duplicated within the SSAP.

EXHIBIT A – DISCUSSION OF HEDGE EFFECTIVENESS

The guidance within this exhibit reflects the adoption, with modification, of *FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 815-20-25-72 through 815-20-35-20*, as revised through the issuance of *ASU 2017-12: Derivatives and Hedging: Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities* (ASU 2017-12) (issued on August 28, 2017). This adoption captures the U.S. GAAP guidance for the assessment and determination of hedge effectiveness, with modification to require the accounting and reporting of hedging instruments, including excluded components of hedging instruments to follow specific statutory accounting guidance in SSAP No. 86. The intent of this guidance is to clarify that the determination of whether a hedging instrument and derivative transaction qualifies as an effective hedge shall converge with U.S. GAAP, but that the measurement and reporting of effective hedge transactions shall follow statutory specific provisions. The adoption only extends to revisions incorporated to the FASB ASC through ASU 2017-12, therefore any subsequent U.S. GAAP edits to the ASC would require statutory accounting adoption before application. The guidance within this Exhibit reflects excerpts from the U.S. GAAP ASC, but do not reflect the full U.S. GAAP guidance referenced in the adopted language. The exclusion of cited guidance is to manage the extent of detail included within SSAP No. 86. Excerpts not duplicated within from the cited U.S. GAAP guidance are considered adopted unless subject to the specific accounting and reporting statutory exclusion. This Exhibit intends to supplement the guidance in SSAP No. 86 on hedge effectiveness. In any event in which this Exhibit could be interpreted as conflicting with the SSAP No. 86 guidance, the guidance in the body of SSAP No. 86 shall be followed.

Hedge Effectiveness Criteria Applicable to Both Fair Value Hedges and Cash Flow Hedges

1. This guidance addresses hedge effectiveness criteria applicable to both fair value hedges and cash flow hedges. (815-20-25-74)
2. To qualify for hedge accounting, the hedging relationship, both at inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, shall be expected to be highly effective in achieving either of the following: (815-20-25-75)
 - a. Offsetting changes in fair value attributable to the hedged risk during the period that the hedge is designated (if a fair value hedge)
 - b. Offsetting cash flows attributable to the hedged risk during the term of the hedge (if a cash flow hedge), unless the hedging instrument is used to modify the contractually specified interest receipts or payments associated with a recognized financial asset liability from one variable rate to another variable rate. ~~except as indicated in paragraph 815-20-25-50~~

3. If the hedging instrument (such as an at-the-money option contract) provides only one-sided offset of the hedged risk, either of the following conditions shall be met: (815-20-25-76)
- a. The increases (or decreases) in the fair value of the hedging instrument are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the decreases (or increases) in the fair value of the hedged item (if a fair value hedge).
 - b. The cash inflows (outflows) from the hedging instrument are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the corresponding change in the cash outflows or inflows of the hedged transaction (if a cash flow hedge).
4. There would be a mismatch between the change in fair value or cash flows of the hedging instrument and the change in fair value or cash flows of the hedged item or hedged transaction in any of the following circumstances, among others: (815-20-25-77)
- a. A difference between the basis of the hedging instrument and the hedged item or hedged transaction, to the extent that those bases do not move in tandem
 - b. Differences in critical terms of the hedging instrument and hedged item or hedged transaction, such as differences in any of the following:
 - i. Notional amounts
 - ii. Maturities
 - iii. Quantity
 - iv. Location (not applicable for hedging relationships in which the variability in cash flows attributable to changes in a contractually specified component is designated as the hedged risk)
 - v. Delivery Dates
5. An entity shall consider hedge effectiveness in two different ways—in prospective considerations and in retrospective evaluations: (815-20-25-79)
- a. Prospective considerations. The entity's expectation that the relationship will be highly effective over future periods in achieving offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows, which is forward looking, must be assessed on a quantitative basis at hedge inception unless one of the exceptions [detailed in ASU 2017-12](#), paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(iv)(01)² is met. Prospective assessments shall be subsequently performed whenever financial statements or earnings are reported and at least every three months. The entity shall elect at hedge inception ~~in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(iv)(03)~~ whether to perform subsequent [retrospective and prospective hedge effectiveness](#) assessments on a quantitative or qualitative basis. ~~See paragraphs 815-20-35-2A through 35-2F for additional guidance on qualitative assessments of hedge effectiveness.~~ A quantitative assessment can be based on regression or other statistical analysis of past changes in fair values or cash flows as well as on other relevant information. The quantitative prospective assessment of hedge effectiveness shall consider all reasonably possible changes in fair value (if a fair value hedge) or in fair value or cash flows (if a cash flow hedge) of the derivative instrument and the hedged items for the period used to assess whether the

² Reference to this ASU 2017-12 guidance is consistent with the guidance in SSAP No. 86, paragraph 42, footnote 5.

requirement for expectation of highly effective offset is satisfied. The quantitative prospective assessment may not be limited only to the likely or expected changes in fair value (if a fair value hedge) or in fair value or cash flows (if a cash flow hedge) of the derivative instrument or the hedged items. Generally, the process of formulating an expectation regarding the effectiveness of a proposed hedging relationship involves a probability-weighted analysis of the possible changes in fair value (if a fair value hedge) or in fair value or cash flows (if a cash flow hedge) of the derivative instrument and the hedged items for the hedge period. Therefore, a probable future change in fair value will be more heavily weighted than a reasonably possible future change. ~~That calculation technique is consistent with the definition of the term expected cash flow in FASB Concepts Statement No. 7, Using Cash Flow Information and Present Value in Accounting Measurements.~~

- b. Retrospective evaluations. An assessment of effectiveness may be performed on a quantitative or qualitative basis on the basis of the entity's election at hedge inception ~~in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(iv)(03).~~ That assessment shall be performed whenever financial statements or earnings are reported, and at least every three months. ~~See paragraphs 815-20-35-2 through 35-4 for further guidance.~~ At inception of the hedge, an entity electing a dollar-offset approach to perform retrospective evaluations on a quantitative basis may choose either a period-by-period approach or a cumulative approach in designating how effectiveness of a fair value hedge or of a cash flow hedge will be assessed retrospectively under that approach, depending on the nature of the hedge initially documented in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-3. ~~For example, an entity may decide that the cumulative approach is generally preferred, yet may wish to use the period-by-period approach in certain circumstances. See paragraphs 815-20-35-5 through 35-6 for further guidance.~~

(ASC paragraph 815-20-25-79A not included in Exhibit A.)

6. All assessments of effectiveness shall be consistent with the originally documented risk management strategy for that particular hedging relationship. An entity shall use the quantitative effectiveness assessment method defined at hedge inception consistently for the periods that the entity either elects or is required to assess hedge effectiveness on a quantitative basis. *(815-20-25-80)*

7. This Subtopic guidance does not specify a single method for assessing whether a hedge is expected to be highly effective. The method of assessing effectiveness shall be reasonable. The appropriateness of a given method of assessing hedge effectiveness depends on the nature of the risk being hedged and the type of hedging instrument used. Ordinarily, an entity shall assess effectiveness for similar hedges in a similar manner, including whether a component of the gain or loss on a derivative instrument is excluded in assessing effectiveness for similar hedges. Use of different methods for similar hedges shall be justified. The mechanics of isolating the change in time value of an option discussed beginning in paragraph 13 815-20-25-98 also shall be applied consistently. *(815-20-25-81)*

8. In defining how hedge effectiveness will be assessed, an entity shall specify whether it will include in that assessment all of the gain or loss on a hedging instrument. An entity may exclude all or a part of the hedging instrument's time value from the assessment of hedge effectiveness, as follows: *(815-20-25-82)*

- a. If the effectiveness of a hedge with an option is assessed based on changes in the option's intrinsic value, the change in the time value of the option would be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

Derivatives and Hedging

- b. If the effectiveness of a hedge with an option is assessed based on changes in the option's minimum value, that is, its intrinsic value plus the effect of discounting, the change in the volatility value of the contract shall be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.
- c. An entity may exclude any of the following components of the change in an option's time value from the assessment of hedge effectiveness:
 - i. The portion of the change in time value attributable to the passage of time (theta)
 - ii. The portion of the change in time value attributable to changes due to volatility (vega)
 - iii. The portion of the change in time value attributable to changes due to interest rates (rho).
- d. If the effectiveness of a hedge with a forward contract or futures contract is assessed based on changes in fair value attributable to changes in spot prices, the change in the fair value of the contract related to the changes in the difference between the spot price and the forward or futures price shall be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.
- e. An entity may exclude the portion of the change in fair value of a currency swap attributable to a cross-currency basis spread.

9. No other components of a gain or loss on the designated hedging instrument shall be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness nor shall an entity exclude any aspect of a change in an option's value from the assessment of hedge effectiveness that is not one of the permissible components of the change in an option's time value. For example, an entity shall not exclude from the assessment of hedge effectiveness the portion of the change in time value attributable to changes in other market variables (that is, other than rho and vega). (815-20-25-83)

Note – The following ASC Paragraphs 815-20-25-83A and 83B are not adopted within SSAP No. 86 as they address measurement and recognition. Measurement and recognition guidance shall follow the provisions detailed in SSAP No. 86.

For fair value and cash flow hedges, the initial value of the component excluded from the assessment of effectiveness shall be recognized in earnings using a systematic and rational method over the life of the hedging instrument. Any difference between the change in fair value of the excluded component and amounts recognized in earnings under that systematic and rational method shall be recognized in other comprehensive income. Example 31 beginning in paragraph 815-20-55-235 illustrates this approach for a cash flow hedge in which the hedging instrument is an option and the entire time value is excluded from the assessment of effectiveness. (815-20-25-83A)

For fair value and cash flow hedges, an entity alternatively may elect to record changes in the fair value of the excluded component currently in earnings. This election shall be applied consistently to similar hedges in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-81 and shall be disclosed in accordance with paragraph 815-10-50-4EEEE. (815-20-25-83B)

10. If the critical terms of the hedging instrument and of the hedged item or hedged forecasted transaction are the same, the entity could conclude that changes in fair value or cash flows attributable to the risk being hedged are expected to completely offset at inception and on an ongoing basis. For example, an entity may assume that a hedge of a forecasted purchase of a commodity with a forward contract will be perfectly effective if all of the following criteria are met:

- a. The forward contract is for purchase of the same quantity of the same commodity at the same time and location as the hedged forecasted purchase. Location differences do not need to be considered if an entity designates the variability in cash flows attributable to changes in a contractually specified component as the hedged risk and the requirements in paragraphs 815-20-25-22A through 25-22B of the FASB Codification are met. (815-20-25-84)
- b. The fair value of the forward contract at inception is zero.
- c. Either of the following criteria is met:
 - i. The change in the discount or premium on the forward contract is excluded from the assessment of effectiveness pursuant to paragraphs 7-9~~815-20-25-81 through 25-83.~~
 - ii. The change in expected cash flows on the forecasted transaction is based on the forward price for the commodity.

11. In a cash flow hedge of a group of forecasted transactions in accordance with paragraph 28.a. of the SSAP guidance~~815-20-25-15(a)(2),~~ an entity may assume that the timing in which the hedged transactions are expected to occur and the maturity date of the hedging instrument match in accordance with paragraph 10.a. ~~815-20-25-84(a)~~ if those forecasted transactions occur and the derivative matures within the same 31-day period or fiscal month. (815-20-25-84A)

12. If all of the criteria in paragraphs 10-11 ~~815-20-25-84 through 25-84A~~ are met, an entity shall still perform and document an assessment of hedge effectiveness at the inception of the hedging relationship and, ~~as discussed beginning in paragraph 815-20-35-9,~~ on an ongoing basis throughout the hedge period. No quantitative effectiveness assessment is required at hedge inception if the criteria in paragraphs 10-11 ~~815-20-25-84 through 25-84A~~ are met ~~(see paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(iv)(01)).~~ (815-20-25-85)

(ASC paragraphs 815-20-25-86 to 815-20-25-97 not included in Exhibit A.)

Computing Changes in an Option's Time Value

13. In computing the changes in an option's time value that would be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness, an entity shall use a technique that appropriately isolates those aspects of the change in time value. Generally, to allocate the total change in an option's time value to its different aspects—the passage of time and the market variables—the change in time value attributable to the first aspect to be isolated is determined by holding all other aspects constant as of the beginning of the period. Each remaining aspect of the change in time value is then determined in turn in a specified order based on the ending values of the previously isolated aspects. (815-20-25-98)

14. Based on that general methodology, if only one aspect of the change in time value is excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness (for example, theta), that aspect shall be the first aspect for which the change in time value is computed and would be determined by holding all other parameters constant for the period used for assessing hedge effectiveness. However, if more than one aspect of the change in time value is excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness (for example, theta and vega), an entity shall determine the amount of that change in time value by isolating each of those two aspects in turn in a prespecified order (one first, the other second). The second aspect to be isolated would be based on the ending value of the first isolated aspect and the beginning values of the remaining aspects. The portion of the change in time value that is included in the assessment of effectiveness shall be determined

by deducting from the total change in time value the portion of the change in time value attributable to excluded components. (815-20-25-99)

(ASC paragraphs 815-20-25-100 and 815-20-25-101 not included in Exhibit A.)

Assuming Perfect Hedge Effectiveness in a Hedge with an Interest Rate Swap

15. The conditions for the shortcut method do not determine which hedging relationships qualify for hedge accounting; rather, those conditions determine which hedging relationships qualify for a shortcut version of hedge accounting that assumes perfect hedge effectiveness. If all of the applicable conditions in the list in paragraph ~~17.815-20-25-104~~ are met, an entity may assume perfect effectiveness in a hedging relationship of interest rate risk involving a recognized interest-bearing asset or liability (or a firm commitment arising on the trade [pricing] date to purchase or issue an interest-bearing asset or liability) and an interest rate swap (or a compound hedging instrument composed of an interest rate swap and a mirror-image call or put option as discussed in paragraph ~~17.c.815-20-25-104(e)~~) provided that, in the case of a firm commitment, the trade date of the asset or liability differs from its settlement date due to generally established conventions in the marketplace in which the transaction is executed. The shortcut method's application shall be limited to hedging relationships that meet each and every applicable condition. That is, all the conditions applicable to fair value hedges shall be met to apply the shortcut method to a fair value hedge, and all the conditions applicable to cash flow hedges shall be met to apply the shortcut method to a cash flow hedge. A hedging relationship cannot qualify for application of the shortcut method based on an assumption of perfect effectiveness justified by applying other criteria. The verb *match* is used in the specified conditions in the list to mean *exactly the same* or *correspond exactly*. (815-20-25-102)

16. Implicit in the conditions for the shortcut method is the requirement that a basis exist for concluding on an ongoing basis that the hedging relationship is expected to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows. In applying the shortcut method, an entity shall consider the likelihood of the counterparty's compliance with the contractual terms of the hedging derivative that require the counterparty to make payments to the entity. (815-20-25-103)

17. All of the following conditions apply to both fair value hedges and cash flow hedges: (815-20-25-104)

- a. The notional amount of the interest rate swap matches the principal amount of the interest-bearing asset or liability being hedged.
- b. If the hedging instrument is solely an interest rate swap, the fair value of that interest rate swap at the inception of the hedging relationship must be zero, with one exception. The fair value of the swap may be other than zero at the inception of the hedging relationship only if the swap was entered into at the relationship's inception, the transaction price of the swap was zero in the entity's principal market (or most advantageous market), and the difference between transaction price and fair value is attributable solely to differing prices within the bid-ask spread between the entry transaction and a hypothetical exit transaction. The guidance in the preceding sentence is applicable only to transactions considered *at market* (that is, transaction price is zero exclusive of commissions and other transaction costs, ~~as discussed in paragraph 820-10-35-9B~~). If the hedging instrument is solely an interest rate swap that at the inception of the hedging relationship has a positive or negative fair value, but does not meet the one exception specified in this paragraph, the shortcut method shall not be used even if all the other conditions are met.
- c. If the hedging instrument is a compound derivative composed of an interest rate swap and mirror-image call or put option as discussed in (e), the premium for the mirror-image call

or put option shall be paid or received in the same manner as the premium on the call or put option embedded in the hedged item based on the following:

- i. If the implicit premium for the call or put option embedded in the hedged item is being paid principally over the life of the hedged item (through an adjustment of the interest rate), the fair value of the hedging instrument at the inception of the hedging relationship shall be zero (except as discussed previously in (b) regarding differing prices due to the existence of a bid-ask spread).
 - ii. If the implicit premium for the call or put option embedded in the hedged item was principally paid at inception-acquisition (through an original issue discount or premium), the fair value of the hedging instrument at the inception of the hedging relationship shall be equal to the fair value of the mirror-image call or put option.
- d. The formula for computing net settlements under the interest rate swap is the same for each net settlement. That is, both of the following conditions are met:
- i. The fixed rate is the same throughout the term.
 - ii. The variable rate is based on the same index and includes the same constant adjustment or no adjustment. The existence of a stub period and stub rate is not a violation of the criterion in (d) that would preclude application of the shortcut method if the stub rate is the variable rate that corresponds to the length of the stub period.
- e. The interest-bearing asset or liability is not prepayable, that is, able to be settled by either party before its scheduled maturity, or the assumed maturity date if the hedged item is measured [as a partial-term hedge of interest rate risk in which the assumed maturity of the hedged items occur on the date in which the last hedged cash flow is due and payable](#), ~~in accordance with paragraph 815-25-35-13B~~, with the following qualifications:
- i. This criterion does not apply to an interest-bearing asset or liability that is prepayable solely due to an embedded call option (put option) if the hedging instrument is a compound derivative composed of an interest rate swap and a mirror-image call option (put option).
 - ii. The call option embedded in the interest rate swap is considered a mirror image of the call option embedded in the hedged item if all of the following conditions are met:
 - (a) The terms of the two call options match exactly, including all of the following:
 - (1) Maturities
 - (2) Strike price (that is, the actual amount for which the debt instrument could be called) and there is no termination payment equal to the deferred debt issuance costs that remain unamortized on the date the debt is called
 - (3) Related notional amounts

Derivatives and Hedging

- (4) Timing and frequency of payments
 - (5) Dates on which the instruments may be called.
- (b) The entity is the writer of one call option and the holder (purchaser) of the other call option.
- f. Any other terms in the interest-bearing financial instruments or interest rate swaps meet both of the following conditions:
- i. The terms are typical of those instruments.
 - ii. The terms do not invalidate the assumption of perfect effectiveness.
18. All of the following incremental conditions apply to fair value hedges only: (815-20-25-105)
- a. The expiration date of the interest rate swap matches the maturity date of the interest-bearing asset or liability or the assumed maturity date if the hedged item is measured [as a partial-term hedge of interest rate risk in which the assumed maturity of the hedged items occur on the date in which the last hedged cash flow is due and payable](#)~~in accordance with paragraph 815-25-35-13B.~~
 - b. There is no floor or cap on the variable interest rate of the interest rate swap.
 - c. The interval between repricings of the variable interest rate in the interest rate swap is frequent enough to justify an assumption that the variable payment or receipt is at a market rate (generally three to six months or less).
 - d. For fair value hedges of a proportion of the principal amount of the interest-bearing asset or liability, the notional amount of the interest rate swap designated as the hedging instrument ~~(see (a) in paragraph 815-20-25-104)~~ matches the portion of the asset or liability being hedged.
 - e. For fair value hedges of portfolios (or proportions thereof) of similar interest-bearing assets or liabilities, both of the following criteria are met:
 - i. The notional amount of the interest rate swap designated as the hedging instrument matches the aggregate notional amount of the hedged item (whether it is all or a proportion of the total portfolio).
 - ii. The remaining criteria for the shortcut method are met with respect to the interest rate swap and the individual assets or liabilities in the portfolio.
 - f. The index on which the variable leg of the interest rate swap is based matches the benchmark interest rate designated as the interest rate risk being hedged for that hedging relationship.
19. All of the following incremental conditions apply to cash flow hedges only: (815-20-25-106)
- a. All interest receipts or payments on the variable-rate asset or liability during the term of the interest rate swap are designated as hedged.

- b. No interest payments beyond the term of the interest rate swap are designated as hedged.
- c. Either of the following conditions is met:
 - i. There is no floor or cap on the variable interest rate of the interest rate swap.
 - ii. The variable-rate asset or liability has a floor or cap and the interest rate swap has a floor or cap on the variable interest rate that is comparable to the floor or cap on the variable-rate asset or liability. For purposes of this paragraph, comparable does not necessarily mean equal. For example, if an interest rate swap's variable rate is based on LIBOR and an asset's variable rate is LIBOR plus 2 percent, a 10 percent cap on the interest rate swap would be comparable to a 12 percent cap on the asset.
- d. The repricing dates of the variable-rate asset or liability and the hedging instrument must occur on the same dates and be calculated the same way (that is, both shall be either prospective or retrospective). If the repricing dates of the hedged item occur on the same dates as the repricing dates of the hedging instrument but the repricing calculation for the hedged item is prospective whereas the repricing calculation for the hedging instrument is retrospective, those repricing dates do not match.
- e. For cash flow hedges of the interest payments on only a portion of the principal amount of the interest-bearing asset or liability, the notional amount of the interest rate swap designated as the hedging instrument (~~see paragraph 815-20-25-104(a)~~) matches the principal amount of the portion of the asset or liability on which the hedged interest payments are based.
- f. For a cash flow hedge in which the hedged forecasted transaction is a group of individual transactions (as permitted by [paragraph 28.a. of the SSAP guidance](#) ~~paragraph 815-20-25-15(a)~~), if both of the following criteria are met:
 - i. The notional amount of the interest rate swap designated as the hedging instrument (~~see paragraph (a)~~) matches the notional amount of the aggregate group of hedged transactions.
 - ii. The remaining criteria for the shortcut method are met with respect to the interest rate swap and the individual transactions that make up the group. For example, the interest rate repricing dates for the variable-rate assets or liabilities whose interest payments are included in the group of forecasted transactions shall match (that is, be exactly the same as) the reset dates for the interest rate swap.
- g. The index on which the variable leg of the interest rate swap is based matches the contractually specified interest rate designated as the interest rate being hedged for that hedging relationship.

20. The shortcut method may be applied to a hedging relationship that involves the use of an interest rate swap-in-arrears provided all of the applicable conditions are met. (815-20-25-107)

21. Any discount or premium in the hedged debt's carrying amount (including any related deferred issuance costs) is irrelevant to and has no direct impact on the determination of whether an interest rate swap contains a mirror-image call option under paragraph [17.e.i.\(e\)](#). Typically, the call price is greater than the par or face amount of the debt instrument. The carrying amount of the debt is economically unrelated

to the amount the issuer would be required to pay to exercise the call embedded in the debt. (815-20-25-108)

22. The fixed interest rate on a hedged item need not exactly match the fixed interest rate on an interest rate swap designated as a fair value hedge. Nor does the variable interest rate on an interest-bearing asset or liability need to be the same as the variable interest rate on an interest rate swap designated as a cash flow hedge. An interest rate swap's fair value comes from its net settlements. The fixed and variable interest rates on an interest rate swap can be changed without affecting the net settlement if both are changed by the same amount. That is, an interest rate swap with a payment based on LIBOR and a receipt based on a fixed rate of 5 percent has the same net settlements and fair value as an interest rate swap with a payment based on LIBOR plus 1 percent and a receipt based on a fixed rate of 6 percent. (815-20-25-109)

23. Comparable credit risk at inception is not a condition for assuming perfect effectiveness even though actually achieving perfect offset would require that the same discount rate be used to determine the fair value of the swap and of the hedged item or hedged transaction. To justify using the same discount rate, the credit risk related to both parties to the swap as well as to the debtor on the hedged interest-bearing asset (in a fair value hedge) or the variable-rate asset on which the interest payments are hedged (in a cash flow hedge) would have to be the same. However, because that complication is caused by the interaction of interest rate risk and credit risk, which are not easily separable, comparable creditworthiness is not considered a necessary condition for assuming perfect effectiveness in a hedge of interest rate risk. (815-20-25-111)

(ASC paragraphs 815-20-25-112 through 815-20-25-143 not included in Exhibit A.)

Hedge Effectiveness – After Designation

24. If a fair value hedge or cash flow hedge initially qualifies for hedge accounting, the entity would continue to assess whether the hedge meets the effectiveness test on either a quantitative basis (using either a dollar-offset test or a statistical method such as regression analysis) or a qualitative basis. ~~See paragraphs 815-20-35-2A through 35-2F for additional guidance on qualitative assessments of effectiveness.~~ If the hedge fails the effectiveness test at any time (that is, if the entity does not expect the hedge to be highly effective at achieving offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows), the hedge ceases to qualify for hedge accounting. At least quarterly, the hedging entity shall determine whether the hedging relationship has been highly effective in having achieved offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows through the date of the periodic assessment.) (815-20-35-2)

Effectiveness Assessment on a Qualitative Basis

25. An entity may qualitatively assess hedge effectiveness if both of the following criteria are met: (815-20-35-2A)

- a. An entity performs an initial quantitative test of hedge effectiveness on a prospective basis (that is, it is not assuming that the hedging relationship is perfectly effective at hedge inception ~~as described in paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(iv)(01)(A) through (H)~~), and the results of that quantitative test demonstrate highly effective offset.
- b. At hedge inception, an entity can reasonably support an expectation of high effectiveness on a qualitative basis in subsequent periods.

26. An entity may elect to qualitatively assess hedge effectiveness in accordance with [paragraph 25 815-20-35-2A](#) on a hedge-by-hedge basis. If an entity makes this qualitative assessment election, only the

quantitative method specified in an entity's initial hedge documentation must comply with ~~paragraph 7815-20-25-81~~. (815-20-35-2B)

27. When an entity performs qualitative assessments of hedge effectiveness, it shall verify and document whenever financial statements or earnings are reported and at least every three months that the facts and circumstances related to the hedging relationship have not changed such that it can assert qualitatively that the hedging relationship was and continues to be highly effective. While not all-inclusive, the following is a list of indicators that may, individually or in the aggregate, allow an entity to continue to assert qualitatively that the hedging relationship is highly effective: (815-20-35-2C)

- a. An assessment of the factors that enabled the entity to reasonably support an expectation of high effectiveness on a qualitative basis has not changed such that the entity can continue to assert qualitatively that the hedging relationship was and continues to be highly effective. ~~This shall include an assessment of the guidance in paragraph 815-20-25-100 when applicable.~~
- b. There have been no adverse developments regarding the risk of counterparty default.

28. If an entity elects to assess hedge effectiveness on a qualitative basis and then facts and circumstances change such that the entity no longer can assert qualitatively that the hedging relationship was and continues to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows, the entity shall assess effectiveness of that hedging relationship on a quantitative basis in subsequent periods. In addition, an entity may perform a quantitative assessment of hedge effectiveness in any reporting period to validate whether qualitative assessments of hedge effectiveness remain appropriate. In both cases, the entity shall apply the quantitative method that it identified in its initial hedge documentation ~~in accordance with paragraph (b)(2)(iv)(03)~~. (815-20-35-2D)

29. When an entity determines that facts and circumstances have changed and it no longer can assert qualitatively that the hedging relationship was and continues to be highly effective, the entity shall begin performing subsequent quantitative assessments of hedge effectiveness as of the period that the facts and circumstances changed. If there is no identifiable event that led to the change in the facts and circumstances of the hedging relationship, the entity may begin performing quantitative assessments of effectiveness in the current period. (815-20-35-2E)

30. After performing a quantitative assessment of hedge effectiveness for one or more reporting periods as discussed in ~~paragraphs 28-29 815-20-35-2D through 35-2E~~, an entity may revert to qualitative assessments of hedge effectiveness if it can reasonably support an expectation of high effectiveness on a qualitative basis for subsequent periods. ~~See paragraphs 815-20-55-79G through 55-79N for implementation guidance on factors to consider when determining whether qualitative assessments of effectiveness can be performed after hedge inception.~~ (815-20-35-2F)

Quantitative Hedge Effectiveness Assessments After Hedge Designation

31. Quantitative assessments can be based on regression or other statistical analysis of past changes in fair values or cash flows as well as on other relevant information. (815-20-35-2G)

32. If an entity elects at the inception of a hedging relationship to use the same regression analysis approach for both prospective considerations and retrospective evaluations of assessing effectiveness, then during the term of that hedging relationship both of the following conditions shall be met: (815-20-35-3)

- a. Those regression analysis calculations shall generally incorporate the same number of data points.

- b. That entity must periodically update its regression analysis (or other statistical analysis).
33. Electing to use a regression or other statistical analysis approach instead of a dollar-offset approach to perform retrospective evaluations of assessing hedge effectiveness may affect whether an entity can apply hedge accounting for the current assessment period. (815-20-35-4)
34. In periodically (that is, at least quarterly) assessing retrospectively the effectiveness of a fair value hedge (or a cash flow hedge) in having achieved offsetting changes in fair values (or cash flows) under a dollar-offset approach, an entity shall use either a period-by-period approach or a cumulative approach on individual fair value hedges (or cash flow hedges): (815-20-35-5)
- a. Period-by-period approach. The period-by-period approach involves comparing the changes in the hedging instrument's fair values (or cash flows) that have occurred during the period being assessed to the changes in the hedged item's fair value (or hedged transaction's cash flows) attributable to the risk hedged that have occurred during the same period. If an entity elects to base its comparison of changes in fair value (or cash flows) on a period-by-period approach, the period cannot exceed three months. Fair value (or cash flow) patterns of the hedging instrument or the hedged item (or hedged transaction) in periods before the period being assessed are not relevant.
- b. Cumulative approach. The cumulative approach involves comparing the cumulative changes (to date from inception of the hedge) in the hedging instrument's fair values (or cash flows) to the cumulative changes in the hedged item's fair value (or hedged transaction's cash flows) attributable to the risk hedged.
35. If an entity elects at inception of a hedging relationship to base its comparison of changes in fair value (or cash flows) on a cumulative approach, then that entity must abide by the results of that methodology as long as that hedging relationship remains designated. Electing to utilize a period-by-period approach instead of a cumulative approach (or vice versa) to perform retrospective evaluations of assessing hedge effectiveness under the dollar-offset method may affect whether an entity can apply hedge accounting for the current assessment period. (815-20-35-6)

Assessing Effectiveness Based on Whether the Critical Terms of the Hedging Instrument and the Hedged Items Match

36. If, at inception, the critical terms of the hedging instrument and the hedged forecasted transaction are the same (see paragraphs ~~10-11815-20-25-84 through 25-84A~~), the entity can conclude that changes in cash flows attributable to the risk being hedged are expected to be completely offset by the hedging derivative. Therefore, subsequent assessments can be performed by verifying and documenting whether the critical terms of the hedging instrument and the forecasted transaction have changed during the period in review. (815-20-35-9)
37. Because the assessment of hedge effectiveness in a cash flow hedge involves assessing the likelihood of the counterparty's compliance with the contractual terms of the derivative instrument designated as the hedging instrument, the entity must also assess whether there have been adverse developments regarding the risk of counterparty default, particularly if the entity planned to obtain its cash flows by liquidating the derivative instrument at its fair value. (815-20-35-10)
38. If there are no such changes in the critical terms or adverse developments regarding counterparty default, the entity may conclude that the hedging relationship is perfectly effective. In that case, the change

in fair value of the derivative instrument can be viewed as a proxy for the present value of the change in cash flows attributable to the risk being hedged. (815-20-35-11)

39. However, the entity must assess whether the hedging relationship is expected to continue to be highly effective using a quantitative assessment method (either a dollar-offset test or a statistical method such as regression analysis) if any of the following conditions exist: (815-20-35-12)

- a. The critical terms of the hedging instrument or the hedged forecasted transaction have changed.
- b. There have been adverse developments regarding the risk of counterparty default.

Possibility of Default by the Counterparty to Hedging Derivative

40. For an entity to conclude on an ongoing basis that the hedging relationship is expected to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in cash flows, the entity shall not ignore whether it will collect the payments it would be owed under the contractual provisions of the derivative instrument. In complying with the requirements of paragraph [2.b.815-20-25-75\(b\)](#), the entity shall assess the possibility of whether the counterparty to the derivative instrument will default by failing to make any contractually required payments to the entity as scheduled in the derivative instrument. In making that assessment, the entity shall also consider the effect of any related collateralization or financial guarantees. The entity shall be aware of the counterparty's creditworthiness (and changes therein) in determining the fair value of the derivative instrument. Although a change in the counterparty's creditworthiness would not necessarily indicate that the counterparty would default on its obligations, such a change shall warrant further evaluation. (815-20-35-14)

41. If the likelihood that the counterparty will not default ceases to be probable, an entity would be unable to conclude that the hedging relationship in a cash flow hedge is expected to be highly effective in achieving offsetting cash flows. (815-20-35-15)

42. In contrast, a change in the creditworthiness of the derivative instrument's counterparty in a fair value hedge would have an immediate effect because that change in creditworthiness would affect the change in the derivative instrument's fair value, which would immediately affect both of the following: (815-20-35-16)

- a. The assessment of whether the relationship qualifies for hedge accounting
- b. The amount of mismatch between the change in the fair value of the hedging instrument and the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk recognized in earnings under fair value hedge accounting.

43. Paragraph [16815-20-25-103](#) states that, in applying the shortcut method, an entity shall consider the likelihood of the counterparty's compliance with the contractual terms of the hedging derivative that require the counterparty to make payments to the entity. That paragraph explains that implicit in the criteria for the shortcut method is the requirement that a basis exist for concluding on an ongoing basis that the hedging relationship is expected to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows. (815-20-35-18)

Change in Hedge Effectiveness Method When Hedge Effectiveness is Assessed on a Quantitative Basis

44. If the entity identifies an improved method of assessing hedge effectiveness in accordance with the guidance in paragraph ~~6815-20-25-80~~ and wants to apply that method prospectively, it shall do both of the following: (815-20-35-19)

- a. Discontinue the existing hedging relationship
- b. Designate the relationship anew using the improved method.

45. The new method of assessing hedge effectiveness shall be applied prospectively and shall also be applied to similar hedges unless the use of a different method for similar hedges is justified. A change in the method of assessing hedge effectiveness by an entity shall not be considered a change in accounting principle as defined in ~~Topic 250~~ [SSAP No. 3—Accounting Changes and Corrections of Errors](#). (815-20-35-20)

U.S. GAAP ASC Excerpts Excluded from Exhibit A

This information is included to illustrate the guidance within the adopted ASC references that are not captured in Exhibit A. The guidance within these paragraphs is considered part of the statutory adoption unless they include specific accounting and reporting guidance.

815-20-25-79A See paragraphs 815-20-25-139 through 25-142 about the timing of hedge effectiveness assessments required by paragraph 815-20-25-79 for a private company that is not a financial institution or a not-for-profit entity (except for a not-for-profit entity that has issued, or is a conduit bond obligor for, securities that are traded, listed, or quoted on an exchange or an over-the-counter market).

815-20-25-86 The remainder of this guidance on hedge effectiveness criteria applicable to both fair value hedges and cash flow hedges is organized as follows:

- a. Hedge effectiveness when the hedging instrument is an option or combination of options
- b. Hedge effectiveness when hedged exposure is more limited than hedging instrument
- c. Hedge effectiveness during designated hedge period
- d. Assuming perfect effectiveness in a hedge with an interest rate swap (the shortcut method).

Hedge Effectiveness When the Hedging Instrument Is an Option or Combination of Options

815-20-25-87 The hedge effectiveness criteria applicable to options and combinations of options are organized as follows:

- a. Determining whether a combination of options is net written
- b. Hedge effectiveness of written options
- c. Hedge effectiveness of options in general.

Determining Whether a Combination of Options Is Net Written

815-20-25-88 This guidance addresses how an entity shall determine whether a combination of options is considered a net written option subject to the requirements of paragraph 815-20-25-94. A combination of options (for example, an interest rate collar) entered into contemporaneously shall be considered a written option if either at inception or over the life of the contracts a net premium is received in cash or as a favorable rate or other term. Furthermore, a derivative instrument that results from combining a written option and any other non-option derivative instrument shall be considered a written option. The determination of whether a combination of options is considered a net written option depends in part on whether strike prices and notional amounts of the options remain constant.

Strike Prices and Notional Amounts Remain Constant

815-20-25-89 For a combination of options in which the strike price and the notional amount in both the written option component and the purchased option component remain constant over the life of the respective component, that combination of options would be considered a net purchased option or a zero cost collar (that is, the combination shall not be considered a net written option subject to the requirements of paragraph 815-20-25-94) provided all of the following conditions are met:

- a. No net premium is received.

- b. The components of the combination of options are based on the same underlying.
- c. The components of the combination of options have the same maturity date.
- d. The notional amount of the written option component is not greater than the notional amount of the purchased option component.

815-20-25-90 If the combination of options does not meet all of those conditions, it shall be subject to the test in paragraph 815-20-25-94. For example, a combination of options having different underlying indexes, such as a collar containing a written floor based on three-month U.S. Treasury rates and a purchased cap based on three-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), shall not be considered a net purchased option or a zero cost collar even though those rates may be highly correlated.

Strike Prices and Notional Amounts Do Not Remain Constant

815-20-25-91 If either the written option component or the purchased option component for a combination of options has either strike prices or notional amounts that do not remain constant over the life of the respective component, the assessment to determine whether that combination of options can be considered not to be a written option under paragraph 815-20-25-88 shall be evaluated with respect to each date that either the strike prices or the notional amounts change within the contractual term from inception to maturity.

815-20-25-92 Even though that assessment is made on the date that a combination of options is designated as a hedging instrument (to determine the applicability of paragraph 815-20-25-94), it shall consider the receipt of a net premium (in cash or as a favorable rate or other term) from that combination of options at each point in time that either the strike prices or the notional amounts change, such as either of the following circumstances:

- a. If strike prices fluctuate over the life of a combination of options and no net premium is received at inception, a net premium will typically be received as a favorable term in one or more reporting periods within the contractual term from inception to maturity.
- b. If notional amounts fluctuate over the life of a combination of options and no net premium is received at inception, a net premium or a favorable term will typically be received in one or more periods within the contractual term from inception to maturity.

815-20-25-93 In addition, a combination of options in which either the written option component or the purchased option component has either strike prices or notional amounts that do not remain constant over the life of the respective component shall satisfy all of the conditions in paragraph 815-20-25-89 to be considered not to be a written option (that is, to be considered to be a net purchased option or zero cost collar) under paragraph 815-20-25-88. For example, if the notional amount of the written option component is greater than the notional amount of the purchased option component at any date that the notional amount changes within the contractual term from inception to maturity, the combination of options shall be considered to be a written option under paragraph 815-20-25-88 and, thus, subject to the criteria in the following paragraph.

Hedge Effectiveness of Written Options

815-20-25-94 If a written option is designated as hedging a recognized asset or liability or an unrecognized firm commitment (if a fair value hedge) or the variability in cash flows for a recognized asset or liability or an unrecognized firm commitment (if a cash flow hedge), the combination of the hedged item and the written option provides either of the following:

- a. At least as much potential for gains as a result of a favorable change in the fair value of the combined instruments (that is, the written option and the hedged item, such as an embedded purchased option) as exposure to losses from an unfavorable change in their combined fair value (if a fair value hedge)
- b. At least as much potential for favorable cash flows as exposure to unfavorable cash flows (if a cash flow hedge).

815-20-25-95 The written-option test in the preceding paragraph shall be applied only at inception of the hedging relationship and is met if all possible percentage favorable changes in the underlying (from zero percent to 100 percent) would provide either of the following:

- a. At least as much gain as the loss that would be incurred from an unfavorable change in the underlying of the same percentage (if a fair value hedge)
- b. At least as much favorable cash flows as the unfavorable cash flows that would be incurred from an unfavorable change in the underlying of the same percentage (if a cash flow hedge).

815-20-25-96 The time value of a written option (or net written option) may be excluded from the written-option test if, in defining how hedge effectiveness will be assessed, the entity specifies that it will base that assessment on only changes in the option's intrinsic value. In that circumstance, the change in the time value of the options would be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-82(a).

815-20-25-97 When applying the written-option test to determine whether there is symmetry of the gain and loss potential of the combined hedged position for all possible percentage changes in the underlying, an entity is permitted to measure the change in the intrinsic value of the written option (or net written option) combined with the change in fair value of the hedged item.

Hedge Effectiveness When Hedged Exposure Is More Limited Than Hedging Instrument

815-20-25-100 An entity may designate as the hedging instrument in a fair value hedge or cash flow hedge a derivative instrument that does not have a limited exposure comparable to the limited exposure of the hedged item to the risk being hedged. However, to make that designation, in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-75, the entity shall establish that the hedging relationship is expected to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows attributable to the hedged risk during the period that the hedge is designated. See paragraph 815-20-25-79(a) for additional guidance on prospective considerations of hedge effectiveness in this circumstance.

Hedge Effectiveness during Designated Hedge Period

815-20-25-101 It is inappropriate under this Subtopic for an entity to designate a derivative instrument as the hedging instrument if the entity expects that the derivative instrument will not be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows attributable to the hedged risk during the period that the hedge is designated, unless the entity has documented undertaking a dynamic hedging strategy in which it has committed itself to an ongoing repositioning strategy for its hedging relationship.

>>>> Application of Prepayable Criterion

815-20-25-112 An interest-bearing asset or liability shall be considered prepayable under the provisions of paragraph 815-20-25-104(e) if one party to the contract has the right to cause the payment of principal before the scheduled payment dates unless either of the following conditions is met:

- a. The debtor has the right to cause settlement of the entire contract before its stated maturity at an amount that is always greater than the then fair value of the contract absent that right.
- b. The creditor has the right to cause settlement of the entire contract before its stated maturity at an amount that is always less than the then fair value of the contract absent that right.

815-20-25-113 However, none of the following shall be considered a prepayment provision:

- a. Any term, clause, or other provision in a debt instrument that gives the debtor or creditor the right to cause prepayment of the debt contingent upon the occurrence of a specific event related to the debtor's credit deterioration or other change in the debtor's credit risk, such as any of the following:
 - 1. The debtor's failure to make timely payment, thus making it delinquent
 - 2. The debtor's failure to meet specific covenant ratios
 - 3. The debtor's disposition of specific significant assets (such as a factory)
 - 4. A declaration of cross-default
 - 5. A restructuring by the debtor.
- b. Any term, clause, or other provision in a debt instrument that gives the debtor or creditor the right to cause prepayment of the debt contingent upon the occurrence of a specific event that meets all of the following conditions:
 - 1. It is not probable at the time of debt issuance.
 - 2. It is unrelated to changes in benchmark interest rates, contractually specified interest rates, or any other market variable.
 - 3. It is related either to the debtor's or creditor's death or to regulatory actions, legislative actions, or other similar events that are beyond the control of the debtor or creditor.
- c. Contingent acceleration clauses that permit the debtor to accelerate the maturity of an outstanding note only upon the occurrence of a specified event that meets all of the following conditions:
 - 1. It is not probable at the time of debt issuance.
 - 2. It is unrelated to changes in benchmark interest rates, contractually specified interest rates, or any other market variable.
 - 3. It is related to regulatory actions, legislative actions, or other similar events that are beyond the control of the debtor or creditor.

815-20-25-114 Furthermore, a right to cause a contract to be prepaid at its then fair value would not cause the interest-bearing asset or liability to be considered prepayable because that right would have a fair value of zero at all times and essentially would provide only liquidity to the holder.

815-20-25-115 Application of this guidance to specific debt instruments is illustrated in paragraph 815-20-55-75.

Application of the Shortcut Method to a Portfolio of Hedged Items

815-20-25-116 Portfolio hedging cannot be used to circumvent the application of the shortcut method criteria beginning in paragraph 815-20-25-102 to a fair value hedge of an individual interest-bearing asset or liability. A portfolio of interest-bearing assets or interest-bearing liabilities cannot qualify for the shortcut method if it contains an interest-bearing asset or liability that individually cannot qualify for the shortcut method.

815-20-25-117 The fair value hedge requirements of paragraph 815-20-25-12(b)(1) ensure that the individual items in a portfolio share the same risk exposure and have fair value changes attributable to the hedged risk that are expected to respond in a generally proportionate manner to the overall fair value changes of the entire portfolio. That requirement restricts the types of portfolios that can qualify for portfolio hedging; however, it also permits the existence of a mismatch between the change in the fair value of the individual hedged items and the change in the fair value of the hedged portfolio attributable to the hedged risk in portfolios that do qualify. As a result, the assumption of perfect effectiveness required for the shortcut method generally is inappropriate for portfolio hedges of similar assets or liabilities that are not also nearly identical (except for their notional amounts). Application of the shortcut method to portfolios that meet the requirements of paragraph 815-20-25-12(b)(1) is appropriate only if the assets or liabilities in the portfolio meet the same stringent criteria in paragraphs 815-20-25-104(e), 815-20-25-104(g), and 815-20-25-105(a) as required for hedges of individual assets and liabilities.

Application of Whether the Shortcut Method Was Not or No Longer Is Appropriate

815-20-25-117A In the period in which an entity determines that use of the shortcut method was not or no longer is appropriate, the entity may use a quantitative method to assess hedge effectiveness and measure hedge results without dedesignating the hedging relationship if both of the following criteria are met:

- a. The entity documented at hedge inception in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(iv)(04) which quantitative method it would use to assess hedge effectiveness and measure hedge results if the shortcut method was not or no longer is appropriate during the life of the hedging relationship.
- b. The hedging relationship was highly effective on a prospective and retrospective basis in achieving offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows attributable to the hedged risk for the periods in which the shortcut method criteria were not met.

815-20-25-117B If the criterion in paragraph 815-20-25-117A(a) is not met, the hedging relationship shall be considered invalid in the period in which the criteria for the shortcut method were not met and in all subsequent periods. If the criterion in paragraph 815-20-25-117A(a) is met, the hedging relationship shall be considered invalid in all periods in which the criterion in paragraph 815-20-25-117A(b) is not met.

815-20-25-117C If an entity cannot identify the date on which the shortcut criteria ceased to be met, the entity shall perform the quantitative assessment of effectiveness documented at hedge inception for all periods since hedge inception.

815-20-25-117D The terms of the hedged item and hedging instrument used to assess effectiveness, in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-117A(b), shall be those existing as of the date that the shortcut criteria ceased to be met. For cash flow hedges, if the hypothetical derivative method is used as a proxy for the hedged item, the value of the hypothetical derivative shall be set to zero as of hedge inception.

Hedge Effectiveness Criterion Applicable to Fair Value Hedges Only—Effectiveness Horizon

815-20-25-118 In documenting its risk management strategy for a fair value hedge, an entity may specify an intent to consider the possible changes (that is, not limited to the likely or expected changes) in value of the hedging derivative instrument and the hedged item only over a shorter period than the derivative instrument's remaining life in formulating its expectation that the hedging relationship will be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair value for the risk being hedged. The entity does not need to contemplate the offsetting effect for the entire term of the hedging instrument.

Consideration of Prepayment Risk Using the Last-of-Layer Method

815-20-25-118A In a fair value hedge of interest rate risk designated under the last-of-layer method in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-12A, an entity may exclude prepayment risk when measuring the change in fair value of the hedged item attributable to interest rate risk.

Hedge Effectiveness Criteria Applicable to Cash Flow Hedges Only

815-20-25-119 The hedge effectiveness criteria applicable to cash flow hedges only are organized as follows:

- a. Consideration of the time value of money
- b. Consideration of counterparty credit risk
- c. Additional considerations for options in cash flow hedges
- d. Assuming perfect hedge effectiveness in a cash flow hedge of a variable-rate borrowing with a receive-variable, pay-fixed interest rate swap recorded under the simplified hedge accounting approach.

Consideration of the Time Value of Money

815-20-25-120 In assessing the effectiveness of a cash flow hedge, an entity generally shall consider the time value of money, especially if the hedging instrument involves periodic cash settlements.

815-20-25-121 An example of a situation in which an entity likely would reflect the time value of money is a tailing strategy with futures contracts. When using a tailing strategy, an entity adjusts the size or contract amount of futures contracts used in a hedge so that earnings (or expense) from reinvestment (or funding) of daily settlement gains (or losses) on the futures do not distort the results of the hedge. To assess offset of expected cash flows when a tailing strategy has been used, an entity could reflect the time value of money, perhaps by comparing the present value of the hedged forecasted cash flow with the results of the hedging instrument.

Consideration of Counterparty Credit Risk

815-20-25-122 For a cash flow hedge, an entity shall consider the likelihood of the counterparty's compliance with the contractual terms of the hedging derivative instrument that require the counterparty to make payments to the entity. Paragraph 815-20-35-14 states that, for an entity to conclude on an ongoing basis that a cash flow hedging relationship is expected to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in cash flows, the entity shall not ignore whether it will collect the payments it would be owed under the contractual provisions of the derivative instrument. See paragraphs 815-20-35-14 through 35-18 for further guidance.

Additional Considerations for Options in Cash Flow Hedges

815-20-25-123 When an entity has documented that the effectiveness of a cash flow hedge will be assessed based on changes in the hedging option's intrinsic value pursuant to paragraph 815-20-25-82(a), that assessment (and the related cash flow hedge accounting) shall be performed for all changes in intrinsic value—that is, for all periods of time when the option has an intrinsic value, such as when the underlying is above the strike price of the call option.

815-20-25-124 When a purchased option is designated as a hedging instrument in a cash flow hedge, an entity shall not define only limited parameters for the risk exposure designated as being hedged that would include the time value component of that option. An entity cannot arbitrarily exclude some portion of an option's intrinsic value from the hedge effectiveness assessment simply through an articulation of the risk exposure definition. It is inappropriate to assert that only limited risk exposures are being hedged (for example, exposures related only to currency-exchange-rate changes above \$1.65 per pound sterling as illustrated in Example 26 [see paragraph 815-20-55-205]).

815-20-25-125 If an option is designated as the hedging instrument in a cash flow hedge, an entity may assess hedge effectiveness based on a measure of the difference, as of the end of the period used for assessing hedge effectiveness, between the strike price and forward price of the underlying, undiscounted. Although assessment of cash flow hedge effectiveness with respect to an option designated as the hedging instrument in a cash flow hedge shall be performed by comparing the changes in present value of the expected future cash flows of the forecasted transaction to the change in fair value of the derivative instrument (aside from any excluded component under paragraph 815-20-25-82), that measure of changes in the expected future cash flows of the forecasted transaction based on forward rates, undiscounted, is not prohibited. With respect to an option designated as the hedging instrument in a cash flow hedge, assessing hedge effectiveness based on a similar measure with respect to the hedging instrument eliminates any difference that the effect of discounting may have on the hedging instrument and the hedged transaction. Pursuant to paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(iv), entities shall document the measure of intrinsic value that will be used in the assessment of hedge effectiveness. As discussed in paragraph 815-20-25-80, that measure must be used consistently for each period following designation of the hedging relationship.

Assessing Hedge Effectiveness Based on an Option's Terminal Value

815-20-25-126 The guidance in paragraph 815-20-25-129 addresses a cash flow hedge that meets all of the following conditions:

- a. The hedging instrument is a purchased option or a combination of only options that comprise either a net purchased option or a zero-cost collar.
- b. The exposure being hedged is the variability in expected future cash flows attributed to a particular rate or price beyond (or within) a specified level (or levels).
- c. The assessment of effectiveness is documented as being based on total changes in the option's cash flows (that is, the assessment will include the hedging instrument's entire change in fair value, not just changes in intrinsic value).

815-20-25-127 This guidance has no effect on the accounting for fair value hedging relationships. In addition, in determining the accounting for seemingly similar cash flow hedging relationships, it would be inappropriate to analogize to this guidance.

815-20-25-128 For a hedging relationship that meets all of the conditions in paragraph 815-20-25-126, an entity may focus on the hedging instrument's terminal value (that is, its expected future pay-off amount at

its maturity date) in determining whether the hedging relationship is expected to be highly effective in achieving offsetting cash flows attributable to the hedged risk during the term of the hedge. An entity's focus on the hedging instrument's terminal value is not an impediment to the entity's subsequently deciding to dedesignate that cash flow hedge before the occurrence of the hedged transaction. If the hedging instrument is a purchased cap consisting of a series of purchased caplets that are each hedging an individual hedged transaction in a series of hedged transactions (such as caplets hedging a series of hedged interest payments at different monthly or quarterly dates), the entity may focus on the terminal value of each caplet (that is, the expected future pay-off amount at the maturity date of each caplet) in determining whether each of those hedging relationships is expected to be highly effective in achieving offsetting cash flows. The guidance in this paragraph applies to a purchased option regardless of whether at the inception of the cash flow hedging relationship it is at the money, in the money, or out of the money.

815-20-25-129 A hedging relationship that meets all of the conditions in paragraph 815-20-25-126 may be considered to be perfectly effective if all of the following conditions are met:

- a. The critical terms of the hedging instrument (such as its notional amount, underlying, maturity date, and so forth) completely match the related terms of the hedged forecasted transaction (such as the notional amount, the variable that determines the variability in cash flows, the expected date of the hedged transaction, and so forth).
- b. The strike price (or prices) of the hedging option (or combination of options) matches the specified level (or levels) beyond (or within) which the entity's exposure is being hedged.
- c. The hedging instrument's inflows (outflows) at its maturity date completely offset the change in the hedged transaction's cash flows for the risk being hedged.
- d. The hedging instrument can be exercised only on a single date—its contractual maturity date.

The condition in (d) is consistent with the entity's focus on the hedging instrument's terminal value. If the holder of the option chooses to pay for the ability to exercise the option at dates before the maturity date (for example, by acquiring an American-style option), the hedging relationship would not be perfectly effective.

815-20-25-129A In a hedge of a group of forecasted transactions in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-15(a)(2), an entity may assume that the timing in which the hedged transactions are expected to occur and the maturity date of the hedging instrument match in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-129(a) if those forecasted transactions occur and the derivative matures within the same 31-day period or fiscal month.

Hedge Effectiveness of a Net-Purchased Combination of Options

815-20-25-130 The guidance in the following paragraph addresses a cash flow hedging relationship that meets both of the following conditions:

- a. A combination of options (deemed to be a net purchased option) is designated as the hedging instrument.
- b. The effectiveness of the hedge is assessed based only on changes in intrinsic value of the hedging instrument (the combination of options).

815-20-25-131 The assessment of effectiveness of a cash flow hedging relationship meeting the conditions in the preceding paragraph may be based only on changes in the underlying that cause a change in the

intrinsic value of the hedging instrument (the combination of options). Thus, the assessment can exclude ranges of changes in the underlying for which there is no change in the hedging instrument's intrinsic value.

Hedge Accounting Provisions Applicable to Certain Private Companies

Assuming Perfect Hedge Effectiveness in a Cash Flow Hedge of a Variable-Rate Borrowing with a Receive-Variable, Pay-Fixed Interest Rate Swap Recorded under the Simplified Hedge Accounting Approach

815-20-25-133 Paragraphs 815-10-35-1A through 35-1C, 815-10-50-3, 815-20-25-3A, 815-20-25-119, 815-20-25-134 through 25-138, 815-20-55-79A through 55-79B, 825-10-50-3, and 825-10-50-8 provide guidance for an entity electing the simplified hedge accounting approach. See paragraph 815-10-65-6 for transition guidance on applying the simplified hedge accounting approach.

815-20-25-134 The conditions for the simplified hedge accounting approach determine which cash flow hedging relationships qualify for a simplified version of hedge accounting. If all of the conditions in paragraphs 815-20-25-135 and 815-20-25-137 are met, an entity may assume perfect effectiveness in a cash flow hedging relationship involving a variable-rate borrowing and a receive-variable, pay-fixed interest rate swap.

815-20-25-135 Provided all of the conditions in paragraph 815-20-25-137 are met, the simplified hedge accounting approach may be applied by a **private company** except for a financial institution as described in paragraph 942-320-50-1. An entity may elect the simplified hedge accounting approach for any receive-variable, pay-fixed interest rate swap, provided that all of the conditions for applying the simplified hedge accounting approach specified in paragraph 815-20-25-137 are met. Implementation guidance on the conditions set forth in paragraph 815-20-25-137 is provided in paragraphs 815-20-55-79A through 55-79B.

815-20-25-136 In applying the simplified hedge accounting approach, the documentation required by paragraph 815-20-25-3 to qualify for hedge accounting must be completed by the date on which the first annual **financial statements are available to be issued** after hedge inception rather than concurrently at hedge inception.

815-20-25-137 An eligible entity under paragraph 815-20-25-135 must meet all of the following conditions to apply the simplified hedge accounting approach to a cash flow hedge of a variable-rate borrowing with a receive-variable, pay-fixed interest rate swap:

- a. Both the variable rate on the swap and the borrowing are based on the same index and reset period (for example, both the swap and borrowing are based on one-month London Interbank Offered Rate [LIBOR] or both the swap and borrowing are based on three-month LIBOR).
- b. The terms of the swap are typical (in other words, the swap is what is generally considered to be a “plain-vanilla” swap), and there is no floor or cap on the variable interest rate of the swap unless the borrowing has a comparable floor or cap.
- c. The repricing and settlement dates for the swap and the borrowing match or differ by no more than a few days.
- d. The swap's fair value at inception (that is, at the time the derivative was executed to hedge the interest rate risk of the borrowing) is at or near zero.

- e. The notional amount of the swap matches the principal amount of the borrowing being hedged. In complying with this condition, the amount of the borrowing being hedged may be less than the total principal amount of the borrowing.
- f. All interest payments occurring on the borrowing during the term of the swap (or the effective term of the swap underlying the forward starting swap) are designated as hedged whether in total or in proportion to the principal amount of the borrowing being hedged.

815-20-25-138 A cash flow hedge established through the use of a forward starting receive-variable, pay-fixed interest rate swap may be permitted in applying the simplified hedge accounting approach only if the occurrence of forecasted interest payments to be swapped is probable. When forecasted interest payments are no longer probable of occurring, a cash flow hedging relationship will no longer qualify for the simplified hedge accounting approach and the General Subsections of this Topic shall apply at the date of change and on a prospective basis.

Timing of Hedge Documentation for Certain Private Companies If Simplified Hedge Accounting Approach Is Not Applied

Concurrent Hedge Documentation

815-20-25-139 Concurrent with hedge inception, a **private company** that is not a financial institution as described in paragraph 942-320-50-1 shall document the following:

- a. The hedging relationship in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(1)
- b. The hedging instrument in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(i)
- c. The hedged item in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(ii), including (if applicable) firm commitments or the analysis supporting a last-of-layer designation in paragraph 815-20-25-3(c), or forecasted transactions in paragraph 815-20-25-3(d)
- d. The nature of the risk being hedged in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(iii).

815-20-25-140 A private company that is not a financial institution is not required to perform or document the following items concurrent with hedge inception but rather is required to perform or document them within the time periods discussed in paragraph 815-20-25-142:

- a. The method of assessing hedge effectiveness at inception and on an ongoing basis in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(iv) and (vi)
- b. Initial hedge effectiveness assessments in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-3(b)(2)(iv)(01) through (04).

815-20-25-141 Example 1A beginning in paragraph 815-20-55-80A illustrates hedge documentation when the critical terms of the hedging instrument and hedged forecasted transaction match. Although that Example illustrates the documentation of the method of assessing hedge effectiveness, private companies that are not financial institutions may complete hedge documentation requirements in accordance with paragraphs 815-20-25-139 through 25-140.

Hedge Effectiveness Assessments

815-20-25-142 For a private company that is not a financial institution, the performance and documentation of the items listed in paragraph 815-20-25-140, as well as required subsequent quarterly hedge effectiveness

assessments, may be completed before the date on which the next interim (if applicable) or annual financial statements are available to be issued. Even though the completion of the initial and ongoing assessments of effectiveness may be deferred to the date on which financial statements are available to be issued the assessments shall be completed using information applicable as of hedge inception and each subsequent quarterly assessment date when completing this documentation on a deferred basis. Therefore, the assessment should be performed to determine whether the hedge was highly effective at achieving offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows at inception and in each subsequent quarterly assessment period up to the reporting date.

Hedge Accounting Provisions Applicable to Certain Not-for-Profit Entities

815-20-25-143 Not-for-profit entities (except for not-for-profit entities that have issued, or are a conduit bond obligor for, securities that are traded, listed, or quoted on an exchange or an over-the-counter market) may apply the guidance on the timing of hedge documentation and hedge effectiveness assessments in paragraphs 815-20-25-139 through 25-142. Specifically, those entities shall document the items listed in paragraph 815-20-25-139 concurrent with hedge inception, but they may perform and document the items listed in paragraph 815-20-25-140 and perform the required subsequent quarterly hedge effectiveness assessments in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-142 within the time periods discussed in paragraph 815-20-25-142.

Exhibit 3 – Revisions adopted to SSAP No. 86 on December 12, 2022 (Agenda Item 2022-09)

Fair Value Hedges (Note – Paragraphs 26.a. through 26.c. are not affected and are omitted for brevity.)

26. Fair value hedges qualify for hedge accounting if all of the following criteria are met:
- d. The hedged item is specifically identified as either all, ~~or~~ a specific portion, or the partial term of a recognized asset, or all or a specific portion of ~~or~~ a recognized liability or of an unrecognized firm commitment. The hedged item is a single asset or liability (or a specific portion or partial term thereof) or is a portfolio of similar assets or a portfolio of similar liabilities (or a specific portion thereof) or a closed portfolio of assets (pursuant to paragraph 26.f. and Exhibit A, paragraph 46) where assumed layer or layers is anticipated to be outstanding (or a specific portion thereof)³. For a partial term hedge of one or more consecutive selected contractual cash flows where the hedged item begins when the first hedge cash flow begins to accrue and ends at the end of the designation hedge period, the assumed maturity of the hedged item occurs at the end of the designated hedge period; (ASC 815-25-35-13B Partial Term Hedging.)
 - e. If similar assets or similar liabilities are aggregated and hedged as a portfolio, the individual assets or individual liabilities must share the risk exposure for which they are designated as being hedged. The change in fair value attributable to the hedged risk for each individual item in a hedged portfolio must be expected to respond in a generally proportionate manner to the overall change in fair value of the aggregate portfolio attributable to the hedged risk; and
 - f. For a closed portfolio of financial assets or one or more beneficial interests secured by a portfolio of financial instruments, an entity may designate as the hedged item or items a hedged layer or layers (this designation is referred to throughout as the “portfolio layer method” (detailed in Exhibit A). (ASC 815-20-25-12A Portfolio Layer Method)
 - ~~f.g.~~ If the hedged item is a financial asset or liability, a recognized loan servicing right, or a nonfinancial firm commitment with financial components, the designated risk being hedged is:
 - i. The risk of changes in the overall fair value of the entire hedged item;
 - ii. The risk of changes in its fair value attributable to changes in benchmark interest rate;
 - iii. The risk of changes in its fair value attributable to changes in the related foreign currency exchange rates; or
 - iv. The risk of changes in its fair value attributable to both changes in the obligor’s creditworthiness and changes in the spread over the benchmark interest rate with respect to the related financial asset’s or liability’s credit sector at inception of the hedge (referred to as credit risk).

³ For clarity, partial-term hedges and portfolio hedges addressed in paragraph 26.f. are limited to the situations in which the hedged item(s) is a recognized asset or a closed portfolio of financial assets. These hedging accounting methods are not permitted to hedge liabilities.

If the risk designated as being hedged is not the risk in paragraph 26.f.i., two or more of the other risks (benchmark interest rate risk, foreign currency exchange risk, and credit risk) may simultaneously be designated as being hedged.

The benchmark interest rate being hedged in a hedge of interest rate risk must be specifically identified as part of the designation and documentation at the inception of the hedging relationship. In calculating the change in the hedged item's fair value attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate, the estimated coupon cash flows used in calculating fair value shall must be based on either all of the full contractual cash flows of the entire hedged item or the benchmark rate component of the contractual coupon cash flows of the hedged item determined at hedge inception. An entity may designate a fair value hedge of interest rate risk in which the hedged item is a prepayment instrument. The entity may consider only how changes in the benchmark interest rate affect the decision to settle the hedged item before its scheduled maturity (for example, an entity may consider only how change in the benchmark interest rate affect an obligor's decision to call a debt instrument when it has the right to do so.) The entity need not consider other factors that would affect this decision (for example, credit risk) when assessing hedge effectiveness. (ASU 815-25-35-13 & 815-20-25-6B) Excluding some of the hedged item's contractual cash flows (for example, the portion of the interest coupon in excess of the benchmark interest rate) from the calculation is not permitted.⁴ An entity may not simply designate prepayment risk as the risk being hedged for a financial asset. However, it can designate the option component of a prepayable instrument as the hedged item in a fair value hedge of the entity's exposure to changes in the fair value of that "prepayment" option, perhaps thereby achieving the objective of its desire to hedge prepayment risk. The effect of an embedded derivative of the same risk class must be considered in designating a hedge of an individual risk. For example, the effect of an embedded prepayment option must be considered in designating a hedge of benchmark interest rate risk.

Disclosure Requirements

62. Reporting entities shall disclose the following for all derivative contracts used:
- a. General disclosures:
 - vii. The net gain or loss recognized in unrealized gains or losses during the perioding period resulting from derivatives that no longer qualify for hedge accounting. For portfolio layer method hedges, disclose circumstances that led to the breach. (ASC 815-10-50-5C.)

Relevant Literature

64. This statement adopts the framework established by FAS 133, *FASB Statement No. 137, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—Deferral of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 133, An amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (FAS 137) and *FASB Statement No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities, An amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (FAS 138), for fair value and cash flow hedges, including its technical guidance to the extent such guidance is consistent with the statutory accounting approach to derivatives utilized in this statement. This statement adopts the provisions of FAS 133 and 138 related to foreign currency hedges. With the exception of guidance specific to foreign currency hedges and amendments specific to refining the hedging of interest rate risk (under FAS 138, the risk of changes in the benchmark interest rate would be a hedged risk), this statement rejects FAS No. 137 and 138 as well as the various related Emerging Issues

⁴ ~~The first sentence of paragraph 26.d. that specifically permits the hedged item to be identified as either all or a specific portion of a recognized asset or liability or of an unrecognized firm commitment is not affected by the provisions in this subparagraph.~~

Task Force interpretations. This statement adopts paragraphs 4 and 25 of *FASB Statement No. 149: Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (FAS 149) regarding the definition of an underlying and guidance for assessing hedge effectiveness. (The adoption from FAS 149 on the assessment of hedge effectiveness is impacted by the adoption with modification of guidance from ASU 2017-12 as detailed in paragraph 65.b., with the guidance from ASU 2017-12 superseding the prior adoption to the extent applicable.) All other paragraphs in FAS 149 are rejected as not applicable for statutory accounting. This statement adopts FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-5: *Disclosures about Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees, An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 and FASB Interpretation No.45 and Clarification of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 161* (FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4) and requires disclosures by sellers of credit derivatives. This statement rejects *FSP FIN 39-1, Amendments of FASB Interpretation No. 39, and ASU 2014-03, Derivatives and Hedging – Accounting for Certain Receive-Variable, Pay-Fixed Interest Rate Swaps – Simplified Hedge Accounting Approach*.

65. This statement adopts, with modification, certain revisions to ASC 815-20 included in ASU 2017-12. Remaining provisions of ASU 2017-12 will be subsequently assessed for statutory accounting and shall not be considered adopted for statutory accounting until that assessment is complete.
- a. Revisions effective January 1, 2019 with early adoption permitted, are limited to specific provisions, and related transition guidance, pertaining to the documentation and assessment of hedge effectiveness and only includes: 1) provisions allowing more time to perform the initial quantitative hedge effectiveness assessment; 2) provisions allowing subsequent assessments of hedge effectiveness to be performed qualitatively if certain conditions are met; and 3) revisions regarding use of the critical terms and short-cut methods for assessing hedge effectiveness.
 - b. Revisions effective January 1, 2023, with early adoption permitted, are limited to the criteria for initial and subsequent hedge effectiveness detailed in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) paragraphs 815-20-25-72 through 815-20-35-20, as modified through the issuance of ASU 2017-12. This adoption reflects statutory modifications to specify that the accounting and reporting of hedging instruments, including excluded components of the instruments, shall follow statutory specific guidance detailed in the statement. The intent of this guidance is to clarify that the determination of whether a hedging instrument qualifies as an effective hedge shall converge with U.S. GAAP, but that the measurement method shall continue to follow statutory specific provisions. The adoption of the referenced ASC paragraphs only extends to revisions incorporated through ASU 2017-12; therefore, any subsequent U.S. GAAP edits would require statutory accounting consideration before considered adopted.
 - c. Revisions effective January 1, 2022, with early adoption permitted, are limited to the criteria for the portfolio layer method detailed in ASU 2022-01, criteria to only consider how changes in the benchmark interest rate affect the decision to settle the hedged item before its scheduled maturity date in 815-20-25-6B, adding option in calculating the change in the hedged item's fair value attributed to changes in the benchmark interest rate based on the benchmark rate components of the contractual cash flows detailed in FASB ASC 815-25-35-13, and the partial-term hedging method detailed in FASB ASC 815-25-35-13B. The adoption of the partial term hedging method reflects statutory modifications that limits its use only when the hedged item is a recognized asset. This is different than U.S. GAAP, which permits the partial term method for hedged liabilities. The statutory limitation is established to prevent interim basis adjustments to hedged liabilities that could present a reduction of reported liabilities on the financial statements when the actual liability has not been reduced. Reconsideration of this statutory limitation may occur after a broader project to consider how derivative basis adjustments to hedged liabilities shall be reflected in the financial statements.

Effective Date and Transition

74.73 This statement is effective for derivative transaction entered into or modified on or after January 1, 2003. A modification is any revision or change in contractual terms of the derivative. SSAP No. 31 applies to derivative transaction prior to January 1, 2003. Alternatively, an insurer may choose to apply this statement to all derivatives to which the insurer is a party as of January 1, 2003. In either case, the insurer is to disclose the transition approach that is being used.

- a. Revisions adopted to paragraph 64 to reject FSP FIN 39-1 is effective January 1, 2013, for companies that have previously reported a position in the balance sheet that was net of counterparty agreements. (Companies that have previously reported derivative instruments and/or related collateral gross shall not be impacted by these revisions.)
- b. Revisions adopted in paragraph 16 clarify the reporting for amounts received/paid to adjust variation margin until the derivative contract has ended and are effective January 1, 2018, on a prospective basis, for reporting entities that have previously considered these amounts to reflect settlement or realized gains/losses. (Companies that have previously reported variation margin changes in line with the revisions shall not be impacted by these revisions.)
- c. Revisions to incorporate limited provisions from ASU 2017-12 pertaining to the documentation of hedge effectiveness (detailed in paragraph 65) are effective January 1, 2019, with early adoption permitted for year-end 2018. However, if the reporting entity is a U.S. GAAP filer, the reporting entity may only elect early adoption if the entity has also elected early adoption of ASU 2017-12 for year-end 2018.
- d. Revisions adopted April 2019 to explicitly include structured notes in scope of this statement are effective December 31, 2019. Revisions adopted July 2020 to define “derivative premium,” require gross reporting of derivatives without the impact of financing premiums and require separate recognition of premiums payable and premiums receivable, are effective January 1, 2021.
- e. Revisions adopted August 2022 that adopt with modification the criteria for initial and subsequent hedge effectiveness detailed in the FASB ASC paragraphs 815-20-25-72 through 815-20-35-20, as modified through the issuance of ASU 2017-12 and that incorporate statutory accounting revisions for the accounting and reporting of excluded components are effective January 1, 2023, with early adoption permitted. These revisions shall be applied prospectively for all new and existing hedges. Entities shall detail the adoption of this guidance as a change in accounting principle pursuant to *SSAP No. 3—Accounting Changes and Corrections of Errors*.
- f. Revisions adopted December 12, 2022 that adopt U.S. GAAP guidance for the portfolio layer method, U.S. GAAP guidance to only consider how changes in the benchmark interest rate affect the decision to settle the hedged item before its scheduled maturity, U.S. GAAP guidance adding option in calculating the change in the hedged item’s fair value attributed to changes in the benchmark interest rate based on the benchmark rate component of the contractual coupon cash flows, that and adopt with modification U.S. GAAP guidance for partial term hedging are effective January 1, 2023, with early adoption permitted. These revisions shall be applied prospectively to qualifying new hedges.

Edits to New Exhibit A – Discussion of Hedge Effectiveness

17. All of the following conditions apply to both fair value hedges and cash flow hedges: (815-20-25-104)
- e. The interest-bearing asset or liability is not prepayable, that is, able to be settled by either party before its scheduled maturity, or the assumed maturity date if the hedged item is measured as a partial-term hedge of interest rate risk in which the assumed maturity of the hedged items occur on the date in which the last hedged cash flow is due and payable ends at the end of the designated hedge period, in accordance with paragraph 815-25-35-13B, with the following qualifications:
 - i. This criterion does not apply to an interest-bearing asset or liability that is prepayable solely due to an embedded call option (put option) if the hedging instrument is a compound derivative composed of an interest rate swap and a mirror-image call option (put option).
 - ii. The call option embedded in the interest rate swap is considered a mirror image of the call option embedded in the hedged item if all of the following conditions are met:
18. All of the following incremental conditions apply to fair value hedges only: (815-20-25-105 & 815-25-35-13B)
- a. The expiration date of the interest rate swap matches the maturity date of the interest-bearing asset or liability or the assumed maturity date if the hedged item is measured as a partial-term hedge of interest rate risk in which the assumed maturity of the hedged items ends at the end of the designated hedge period ~~occur on the date in which the last hedged cash flow is due and payable~~ in accordance with paragraph 815-25-35-13B.

Portfolio Layer Method (New paragraphs at the end of Exhibit A.)

46. For a closed portfolio of financial assets or one or more beneficial interests secured by a portfolio of financial instruments, an entity may designate as the hedged item or items a hedged layer or layers (this designation is referred to throughout as the “portfolio layer method.”) (ASU 815-20-25-12A)
- a. As part of the initial hedge documentation, an analysis is completed and documented to support the entity’s expectation that the hedged item or items (that is, the hedged layer or layers in aggregate) is anticipated to be outstanding for the designated hedge period. That analysis shall incorporate the entity’s current expectations of prepayments, defaults, and other factors affecting the timing and amount of cash flows associated with the closed portfolio.
 - b. For purposes of its analysis in paragraph 46.a., the entity assumes that as prepayments, defaults, and other factors affecting the timing and amount of cash flows occur, they first will be applied to the portion of the closed portfolio that is not hedged; and
 - c. The entity applies the partial-term hedging guidance to the assets or beneficial interest used to support the entity’s expectation in paragraph 46.a. An asset that matures on a hedged layer’s assumed maturity date meets this requirement.
47. After a closed portfolio is established in accordance with paragraph 46, an entity may designate new hedging relationships associated with the closed portfolio without dedesignating any existing hedging

relationships associated with the closed portfolio if the criteria of paragraph 46 are met for those newly designated hedging relationships. (ASU 815-20-25-12B)

48. For the portfolio layer method if both of the following conditions exist, the quantitative test described for similar assets (shared risk exposure) may be performed qualitatively on a hedge-by-hedge basis and only at hedge inception:

- a. The hedged item is a hedged layer in a portfolio layer hedge and designated in accordance with paragraph 26.f. of SSAP No. 86.
- b. An entity measures the change in fair value of the hedged item based on the benchmark rate component of the contractual coupon cash flows.

Using the benchmark rate component of the contractual coupon cash flows when all assets have the same assumed maturity date and prepayment risk does not affect the measurement of the hedged item results in all hedged items having the same benchmark rate component coupon cash flows. (ASU 815-20-55-14A)

49. For one or more hedging relationships designated under the portfolio layer method, an entity shall discontinue (or partially discontinue) hedge accounting in the following circumstances: (ASU 815-25-40-8)

- a. If the entity cannot support on a subsequent testing date that the hedged layer or layers are anticipated to be outstanding for the designated hedge (that is, a breach is anticipated), it shall discontinue (or partially discontinue) hedge accounting for one or more hedging relationships for the portion of the hedged item that is no longer anticipated to be outstanding for the designated hedge period.
- b. If on a subsequent testing date the outstanding amount of the closed portfolio of financial assets or one or more beneficial interests is less than the hedged layer or layers (that is, a breach has occurred), the entity shall discontinue (or partially discontinue) hedge accounting for one or more hedging relationships for the portion of the hedged item that is no longer outstanding.

50. In the event of either an anticipated breach (as described in paragraph 49.a.) or a breach that has occurred (as described in paragraph 49.b.) for portfolio layer method, if multiple hedged layers are associated with a closed portfolio, an entity shall determine which hedge or hedges to discontinue (or partially discontinue) in accordance with an accounting policy election. That accounting policy election shall specify a systematic and rational approach to determining which hedge or hedges to discontinue (or partially discontinue). An entity shall establish its accounting policy no later than when it first anticipates a breach or when a breach has occurred (whichever comes first). After an entity establishes its accounting policy, it shall consistently apply its accounting policy to all portfolio layer method breaches (anticipated and occurred). (ASU 815-25-40-8A)

U.S. GAAP references not pulled into Exhibit will also be updated as follows:

Consideration of Prepayment Risk Using the ~~Last-of-Layer~~ Portfolio Layer Method

815-20-25-118A In a fair value hedge of interest rate risk designated under the portfolio layer ~~last-of-layer~~ method in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-12A, an entity may exclude prepayment risk when measuring the change in fair value of the hedged item attributable to interest rate risk.

Edits to Exhibit ~~C~~B – Specific Hedge Accounting Procedures for Derivatives

2. Swaps, Collars, and Forwards (see also discussion in Introduction above):
 - d. Gain/Loss on Termination of a swap, collar or forward accounted for under hedge accounting (includes closing, exercise, maturity, and expiry):
 - i. Exercise—The remaining book value of the derivative shall become an adjustment to the cost or proceeds of the hedged item(s) received or disposed of individually or in aggregate;
 - ii. Sale, maturity, expiry, or other closing transaction of a derivative which is an effective hedge—Any gain or loss on the transaction, except for excluded components, will adjust the basis (or proceeds) of the hedged item(s) individually or in aggregate. If a portfolio layer method hedging relationship is discontinued (or partially discontinued) in a voluntary dedesignation or in anticipation of a breach, the basis adjustment associated with the dedesignated amount as of the discontinuation date shall be allocated to the remaining individual assets in the closed portfolio that supported the dedesignated hedged layer using a systematic and rational method. Alternatively, if the item being hedged is subject to IMR, the gain or loss on the terminated hedging derivative may be realized and shall be subject to IMR upon termination. (ASU 815-25-40-9)
 - iii. Gain/loss on termination of derivatives will be recognized currently in net income (realized gain/loss) to the extent they ceased to be effective hedges.
 - iv. Upon the redesignation of a derivative from a currently effective hedging relationship,
 - (a) with an item(s) carried at amortized cost to another effective hedging relationship with an item(s) carried at amortized cost, the derivative shall continue to be recorded at amortized cost and no gain or loss on the derivative shall be recognized.
 - (b) with an item(s) carried at amortized cost or fair value to an effective relationship with an item(s) carried at fair value, the accounting for the derivative shall be consistent with (ii) above.
 - (c) with an item(s) carried at fair value to an effective relationship with an item(s) carried at amortized cost, the accounting for the derivative shall be consistent with (ii.) above.

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/3-22-23-Spring/Adoptions/17-33-IPDerivatives-2022.docx>

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: SSAP No. 25 – Affiliate Reporting Clarification

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue:

At its May 24, 2022, meeting, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group adopted agenda item 2021-21: Related Party Reporting, which included revisions to clarify application of the existing affiliate definition as well as to incorporate new disclosure requirements for investments acquired through, or in, related parties, regardless of if they meet the affiliate definition. During the meeting discussion, it was suggested that there needs to be a clarification of when an investment is considered to be and affiliated investment and reported on the affiliated line in the investment schedules. When agenda item 2021-21 was adopted, it included a recommendation that NAIC staff look to further clarify when investments should be classified as affiliated in the reporting schedules. This agenda item intends to clarify that an investment held from an affiliate is considered an affiliated investment.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

The *Insurance Holding Company System Regulatory Act* (Model #440) establishes the laws for holding company structures. The Act also establishes the concept of an affiliate in Section 1A, and this definition is used for statutory accounting purposes.

A. "Affiliate." An "affiliate" of, or person "affiliated" with, a specific person, is a person that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls, or is controlled by, or is under common control with, the person specified.

SSAP No. 25—Affiliates and Other Related Parties establishes statutory accounting principles for affiliates and related parties. This definition is the language that is used to help define when an investment is affiliated or nonaffiliated for reporting in the various investment schedules.

5. An affiliate is defined as an entity that is within the holding company system or a party that, directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the reporting entity. An affiliate includes a parent or subsidiary and may also include partnerships, joint ventures, and limited liability companies as defined in *SSAP No. 48—Joint Ventures, Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies*. Those entities are accounted for under the guidance provided in *SSAP No. 48*, which requires an equity method for all such investments. An affiliate is any person that is directly or indirectly, owned or controlled by the same person or by the same group of persons, that, directly or indirectly, own or control the reporting entity.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):

In March 2021, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group adopted revisions to *SSAP No. 25* pursuant to agenda item 2019-34: Related Parties, Disclaimers of Affiliation and Variable Interest Entities. Additionally, a new reporting Schedule Y, Part 3 was adopted by the Blanks (E) Working Group in proposal 2020-37BWG, with an initial effective date of Dec. 31, 2021, to capture information on all entities with ownership greater than 10%, the ultimate controlling parties of those owners and other entities that the ultimate controlling party controls.

On May 24, 2022, the Working Group adopted revisions to SSAP No. 25 and *SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities*, to clarify application of the existing affiliate definition as well as to incorporate new disclosure requirements for investments acquired through, or in, related parties, regardless of if they meet the affiliate definition, and included a new disclosure that was adopted by the Blanks (E) Working Group in proposal 2021-22BWG, which adds a new electronic-only column for the investment schedules and the related instructions which describes the nature of any related party relationship that exists related to the investment.

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:
None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): None

Staff Recommendation: NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to SSAP No. 25 to clarify that any invested asset held by a reporting entity which is issued by an affiliated entity, or which includes the obligations of an affiliated entity is an affiliated investment. Staff also recommend that Working Group direct the Blanks (E) Working Group to modify the Annual Statement Instructions as illustrated below.

Proposed edits to SSAP No. 25:

5. An affiliate is defined as an entity that is within the holding company system or a party that, directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the reporting entity. An affiliate includes a parent or subsidiary and may also include partnerships, joint ventures, and limited liability companies as defined in *SSAP No. 48—Joint Ventures, Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies*. Those entities are accounted for under the guidance provided in SSAP No. 48, which requires an equity method for all such investments. An affiliate is any person that is directly or indirectly, owned or controlled by the same person or by the same group of persons, that, directly or indirectly, own or control the reporting entity. [Any invested asset held by a reporting entity which is issued by an affiliated entity, or which includes the obligations of an affiliated entity is an affiliated investment.](#)

Proposed Annual Statement Reporting Changes: (These will be captured in a blanks proposal.)

This will be included in the Investment Schedules General Instructions in several places covering several different types of investment, and this revision is proposed to be included in each place under the header “Parent, Subsidiaries and Affiliates.”

Parent, Subsidiaries and Affiliates:

Defined by *SSAP No. 97—Investments in Subsidiary, Controlled and Affiliated Entities*. [Any invested asset held by a reporting entity which is issued by an affiliated entity, or which includes the obligations of an affiliated entity is an affiliated investment.](#)

Staff Review Completed by: Jake Stultz—NAIC Staff, November 2022

Status:

On December 13, 2022, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group moved this agenda item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and exposed revisions to SSAP No. 25 to clarify that any invested asset held by a reporting entity which is issued by an affiliated entity, or which includes the obligations of an affiliated entity is an affiliated investment.

On March 22, 2023, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group adopted, as final, the exposed revisions illustrated above to SSAP No. 25 which clarify that any invested asset held by a reporting entity which is issued by an affiliated entity, or which includes the obligations of an affiliated entity is an affiliated investment.

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/3-22-23-Spring/Adoptions/22-15-AffiliateReporting.docx>

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: *ASU 2022-03, Fair Value Measurement of Equity Securities Subject to Contractual Sale Restrictions*

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue:

In June 2022, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued *ASU 2022-03, Fair Value Measurement of Equity Securities Subject to Contractual Sale Restrictions* to 1) clarify the guidance in Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement, when measuring the fair value of an equity security subject to contractual restrictions that prohibit the sale of an equity security, 2) amend a related illustrative example, and 3) add a new disclosure of the fair value of equity securities subject to contractual sale restrictions, nature and remaining duration of the restrictions, and circumstances that could cause a lapse in the restrictions, in accordance with Topic 820.

These amendments do not change the principles of fair value measurement. They provide clarity in situations involving equity securities that have restrictions related to the sale of the asset. This ASU provides updated guidance for two specific scenarios, one where the restriction is based on the entity holding the equity security and one where the restriction is a characteristic of the equity security.

- First, it clarifies situations where an equity security cannot be sold on the measurement date because of a contractual sale restriction where the entity is not allowed to sell an asset. An example of this would be lock-up periods, where the assets cannot be sold for a set period but can be readily priced based on a public security exchange.
- Second, it provides guidance for situations where the restriction is based on characteristics of the asset that limits if it can be sold in regular markets. An example would be an equity security issued through a private placement and not SEC registered and are legally restricted from being sold on a national securities exchange or an over-the-counter market. These assets would be available to be sold on an existing market (not on the public exchange) but would have a fair value based on the market price of the similar unrestricted equity security adjusted to reflect the effect of the restriction.

Guidance for restricted assets is in *SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets*, and additional guidance specific to securities in ASU 2022-03 are included in *SSAP No. 30R—Unaffiliated Common Stock*, *SSAP No. 32R—Preferred Stock*, and *SSAP No. 48—Joint Ventures, Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies*. Under these SSAPs, restricted securities are generally considered to be admitted assets to the extent that they can be used to cover policyholder obligations.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

The primary guidance for fair value is in *SSAP No. 100R—Fair Value*. *SSAP No. 30R—Unaffiliated Common Stock* and *SSAP No. 32R—Preferred Stock*, include some guidance on restricted investments involving common and preferred stock, but neither goes into detail on the specific guidance discussed in ASU 2022-03. Additionally, *SSAP No. 1—Accounting Policies, Risks & Uncertainties, and Other Disclosures* and *SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets* include references to restricted assets, primarily related to disclosures.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups): None
Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:

None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): None

Staff Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to *SSAP No. 100R—Fair Value* to adopt *ASU 2022-03, Fair Value Measurement of Equity Securities Subject to Contractual Sale Restrictions* with modification to be consistent with statutory language in the respective statutory accounting statements. Proposed revisions are illustrated below.

Proposed edits to SSAP No. 100R:

Equity Securities Subject to Contractual Sale Restrictions

15. An equity security that an entity cannot sell on the measurement date because of a contractual sale restriction shall be measured at fair value on the basis of the price in the principal (or most advantageous) market^{FN}. A contractual sale restriction does not change the market in which that equity security would be sold. A discount applied to the price of an equity security because of a contractual sale restriction is not a characteristic of the equity security. A contractual sale restriction is a characteristic of the reporting entity holding the equity security rather than a characteristic of the asset and, therefore, is not considered in measuring the fair value of an equity security. A contractual sale restriction prohibiting the sale of an equity security is a characteristic of the reporting entity holding the equity security and shall not be separately recognized as its own unit of account.

16. The effect on a fair value measurement arising from a restriction on the sale or use of an asset by a reporting entity will differ depending on whether the restriction would be taken into account by market participants when pricing the asset. When the restriction is a characteristic of the asset, the restriction ~~is a characteristic of the asset and~~ should be considered in measuring the fair value of the asset. For example, an equity security issued through a private placement is not registered and is legally restricted from being sold on a national securities exchange or an over-the-counter market until the shares are registered or the conditions necessary for an exemption from registration have been satisfied. A market participant would sell the private placement equity securities in a different market than the market used for registered equity securities on the measurement date. Because that restriction would be a characteristic of the equity security, a market participant would consider the inability to resell the security on a national securities exchange or an over-the-counter market when pricing the equity security; therefore, the reporting entity that holds the Class A shares acquired through a private placement transaction would consider that restriction a characteristic of the asset, and the reporting entity should measure the fair value of the equity security on the basis of the market price of the similar unrestricted equity security adjusted to reflect the effect of the restriction^{FN}.

FN—Refer to *SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets* for admissibility guidance for restricted equity securities.

60. For equity securities that are subject to contractual sales, disclose the fair value of equity securities subject to contractual sale restrictions.

65. This standard adopts *ASU 2022-03, Fair Value Measurement of Equity Securities Subject to Contractual Sale Restrictions*, with modification to be consistent with statutory language in the respective statutory accounting statements.

Staff Review Completed by: Jake Stultz– NAIC Staff, November 2022

Status:

On December 13, 2022, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group moved this agenda item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and exposed revisions to SSAP No. 100R to adopt *ASU 2022-03*,

Fair Value Measurement of Equity Securities Subject to Contractual Sale Restrictions with modification to be consistent with statutory language in the respective statutory accounting statements, as illustrated above. Note that this agenda item does not recommend incorporating the new proposed GAAP disclosures on sales restrictions, but identifies that items restricted as to sale would be captured as restricted assets per SSAP No. 1 and subject to admittance considerations under SSAP No. 4.

On March 22, 2023, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group adopted, as final, the exposed revisions, as illustrated above, to SSAP No. 100R to adopt ASU 2022-03 with modification to be consistent with statutory language in the respective statutory accounting statements. The adoption does not incorporate the new GAAP disclosures on sales restrictions, as items restricted as to sale would be captured as restricted assets per SSAP No. 1 and subject to admittance considerations under SSAP No. 4.

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/3-22-23-Spring/Adoptions/22-16-ASU2022-03-FV.docx>

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: Interest Income Disclosure Update

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue:

This agenda item is the result of comments received from interested parties from the Principles-Based Bond Project. In the Oct. 7, 2022, comment letter, which provided comments on the Aug. 10 exposure by the Working Group, interested parties suggested some revisions to further enhance reporting of interest income on Schedule D-1-1 Bonds, and recommended that NAIC staff look further at if this should be added to any of the other reporting schedules where interest income is reported in accordance with *SSAP No. 34—Investment Income Due and Accrued*.

There were two distinct items noted in the interested parties’ comments that are addressed by this agenda item. First, they suggested data capturing the gross, nonadmitted and admitted amounts for interest income due and accrued. Second, they suggested that a data element that is included in the bond proposal project be changed to reflect the cumulative amount of paid-in-kind (PIK) interest included in the current principal balance.

With this agenda item, the Working Group will sponsor a proposal at the Blanks (E) Working Group to expand disclosures, with data capturing, to include gross, nonadmitted and admitted amounts for interest income due and accrued. The blanks proposal will also include cumulative amounts of paid-in-kind (PIK) interest included in the current principal balances.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

The guidance for disclosure of interest income is included in *SSAP No. 34—Investment Income Due and Accrued*.

Disclosures

7. The following disclosures shall be made for investment income due and accrued in the financial statements. (SSAP No. 37 captures disclosures for mortgage loans on nonaccrual status pursuant to paragraph 6.)
 - a. The bases by category of investment income for excluding (nonadmitting) any investment income due and accrued;
 - b. Disclose total amount excluded.
8. Refer to the Preamble for further discussion regarding disclosure requirements.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):

As noted above, this agenda item comes from a suggestion from interested parties, which was included in their Oct. 7, 2022, comment letter.

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:

None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): None

Staff Recommendation: NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to *SSAP No. 34—Investment Income Due and Accrued* to add additional disclosures to data capture the gross, nonadmitted and admitted amounts for interest income due and to add disclosure of the cumulative amount of paid-in-kind (PIK) interest included in the current principal balance. Adoption of this agenda item will also signify support for a corresponding Blanks (E) Working Group proposal to add these disclosures to Note 7 of the annual statement blanks.

Proposed edits to SSAP No. 34:**Disclosures**

7. The following disclosures shall be made for investment income due and accrued in the financial statements. (SSAP No. 37 captures disclosures for mortgage loans on nonaccrual status pursuant to paragraph 6.)
- a. The bases by category of investment income for excluding (nonadmitting) any investment income due and accrued;
 - b. Disclose total amount excluded;
 - c. Disclose the gross, nonadmitted and admitted amounts for interest income due and accrued.
 - d. Disclose aggregate deferred interest and cumulative amounts of paid-in-kind (PIK) interest included in the current principal balance.
8. Refer to the Preamble for further discussion regarding disclosure requirements.

Staff Review Completed by: Jake Stultz—NAIC Staff, November 2022

Status:

On December 13, 2022, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group moved this agenda item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and exposed revisions to SSAP No. 34, to add additional disclosures, as illustrated above, and to data-capture the disclosures.

On March 22, 2023, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group adopted, as final, the exposed revisions, with minor edits as illustrated below, to SSAP No. 34 to add additional disclosures to data capture the gross, nonadmitted and admitted amounts for interest income due and to add disclosure of the cumulative amount of paid-in-kind (PIK) interest included in the current principal balance. These disclosures are effective for year-end 2023 reporting.

Disclosures

7. The following disclosures shall be made for investment income due and accrued in the financial statements. (SSAP No. 37 captures disclosures for mortgage loans on nonaccrual status pursuant to paragraph 6.)
- a. The bases by category of investment income for excluding (nonadmitting) any investment income due and accrued;
 - b. Disclose total amount excluded;

- c. Disclose the gross, nonadmitted and admitted amounts for interest income due and accrued;
- d. Disclose aggregate deferred interest;
- e. Disclose cumulative amounts of paid-in-kind (PIK) interest included in the current principal balance.

[https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/National Meetings/A. National Meeting Materials/2023/3-22-23 - Spring/Adoptions/22-17 - Interest Income.docx](https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/3-22-23-Spring/Adoptions/22-17-InterestIncome.docx)

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: ASU 2022-04, Disclosure of Supplier Finance Program Obligations

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue:

In September 2022, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued *Accounting Standards Update 2022-04, Liabilities—Supplier Finance Programs (Subtopic 405-50) Disclosure of Supplier Finance Program Obligations*. The Board issued ASU 2022-04 to enhance the transparency of supplier finance programs. ASU 2022-04 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2022.

The amendments in ASU 2022-04 apply to all entities that use supplier finance programs in connection with the purchase of goods and services (described as buyer parties). Supplier finance programs, which also may be referred to as reverse factoring, payables finance, or structured payables arrangements, allow a buyer to offer its suppliers the option to access payment in advance of an invoice due date through a third-party finance provider or intermediary on the basis of invoices that the buyer has confirmed as valid.

Typically, a buyer in a program 1) enters into an agreement with a finance provider or an intermediary to establish the program, 2) purchases goods and services from suppliers with a promise to pay at a later date, and 3) notifies the finance provider or intermediary of the supplier invoices that it has confirmed as valid. Suppliers may then request early payment from the finance provider or intermediary for those confirmed invoices. Suppliers generally agree to accept an amount less than owed to receive payment from the intermediary timelier than the invoice due date. The full amount owed by the buyer is then paid to the intermediary, resulting in a spread income to the financing intermediary.

The ASU amendments require that a buyer in a supplier finance program disclose sufficient information about the program to allow a user of financial statements to understand the program's nature, activity during the period, changes from period to period, and potential magnitude. These disclosures were supported as buyers who utilize these programs are getting a form of financing, but the amounts owed to the financial intermediaries have been reported differently, with some entities reporting as trade payables and others reporting as debt. As such, users of the financial statements do not have clear information on the use of these financing structures. ASU 2022-04 requires the buyer to make the following annual disclosures of qualitative and quantitative information about its supplier finance programs:

1. The key terms of the program, including a description of the payment terms (including payment timing and basis for its determination) and assets pledged as security or other forms of guarantees provided for the committed payment to the finance provider or intermediary
2. For the obligations that the buyer has confirmed as valid to the finance provider or intermediary:
 - a. The amount outstanding that remains unpaid by the buyer as of the end of the annual period (the outstanding confirmed amount)
 - b. A description of where those obligations are presented in the balance sheet
 - c. A rollforward of those obligations during the annual period, including the amount of obligations confirmed and the amount of obligations subsequently paid.

In each interim reporting period, the buyer should disclose the amount of obligations outstanding that the buyer has confirmed as valid to the finance provider or intermediary as of the end of the interim period.

SSAP No. 105R—Working Capital Finance Investments addresses programs similar to some of the ones described in ASU 2022-04, however it addresses such programs from the perspective of evaluating investments in such programs for admissibility for the **investor** in such programs. That is, the insurers tend to act as a finance provider or an investor in the supplier chain finance program, not the “buyer.” Insurers are not typically “buyers” in such programs as they are described in ASU 2022-04. The guidance in SSAP No. 105R would describe the “buyer” in the ASU 2022-04 as an obligor of the working capital finance program. Therefore, since the disclosures in ASU 2022-04 are for buyers/obligors of supplier finance programs, not for providers of liquidity – the investors, the disclosures do not seem relevant to require of the investors in such programs for statutory accounting.

Note that if an insurer were to sell its premium receivables, existing guidance in *SSAP No. 42—Sale of Premium Receivables* and *SSAP No. 103R—Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities* provide guidance which distinguishes sales from financing transactions. Therefore, the new GAAP disclosures in ASU 2022-04 are not recommended for incorporation into statutory accounting.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

SSAP No. 105R—Working Capital Finance Investments

1. This statement establishes statutory accounting principles for working capital finance investments held by reporting entities. This statement amends *SSAP No. 20—Nonadmitted Assets* (SSAP No. 20) to allow working capital finance investments as admitted assets to the extent they conform to the requirements of this statement.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):

The Working Group most recently updated SSAP No. 105R with substantive revisions which were effective June 30, 2020. Revisions to SSAP No. 105R were from agenda item 2019-25: Working Capital Finance Notes which also resulted in *Issue Paper No. 163—Working Capital Finance Investment Updates*. In agenda item 2019-25 the Working Group reviewed ten industry requests and incorporated 7 out of 10 revisions to SSAP No. 105R.

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:

None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): None

Staff Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to SSAP No. 105R to reject ASU 2022-04 as illustrated below. As insurance reporting entities are not the buyers (obligors) of supplier chain finance programs, the disclosures in ASU 2022-04 are not relevant. Reporting entities that invest in working capital finance programs are the providers of capital (investors) not the buyers (obligors) of such programs. Revisions to SSAP No. 105R:

[33. ASU 2022-04, Disclosure of Supplier Finance Program Obligations is rejected.](#)

Staff Review Completed by: Robin Marcotte– NAIC Staff, November 2022

Status:

On December 13, 2022, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group moved this agenda item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and exposed revisions to SSAP No. 105R to reject ASU 2022-04 for statutory accounting as the disclosures are not relevant for insurance entity preparers.

On March 22, 2023, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group adopted, as final, the exposed revisions to SSAP No. 105R, as illustrated above, to reject ASU 2022-04 for statutory accounting.

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/3-22-23-Spring/Adoptions/22-18ASU2022-04supplychain.docx>

Actuarial Guideline XLIX-A

THE APPLICATION OF THE LIFE ILLUSTRATIONS MODEL REGULATION TO POLICIES WITH INDEX-BASED INTEREST SOLD (On or After December 14, 2020)

Background

The *Life Insurance Illustrations Model Regulation* (#582) was adopted by the NAIC in 1995. Since that time there has been continued evolution in product design, including the introduction of benefits that are tied to an index or indices. Although these policies are subject to Model #582, not all of their features are explicitly referenced in the model, resulting in a lack of uniform practice in its implementation. In the absence of uniform guidance, two illustrations that use the same index and crediting method often illustrated different credited rates. The lack of uniformity can be confusing to potential buyers and can cause uncertainty among illustration actuaries when certifying compliance with Model #582.

~~In 2019, the NAIC decided that illustrations of products with multipliers, cap buy ups, and other enhancements that are linked to an index or indices should not illustrate better than products without such features. This new requirement is intended to apply to illustrations on policies sold on or after the effective date of this guideline while the existing requirements continue to apply for in-force illustrations on policies sold before the effective date of this guideline.~~

This guideline provides uniform guidance for policies with index-based interest. In particular, this guideline:

- (1) Provides guidance in determining the maximum crediting rate for the illustrated scale and the earned interest rate for the disciplined current scale.
- (2) Limits the policy loan leverage shown in an illustration.
- (3) Requires additional consumer information (side-by-side illustration and additional disclosures) that will aid in consumer understanding.

Text

1. Effective Date

This Actuarial Guideline shall be effective for all new business and in force illustrations on policies sold on or after December 14, 2020.

2. Scope

This Actuarial Guideline shall apply to any life insurance illustration that meets both (i) and (ii), below:

- i. The policy is subject to Model #582.
- ii. The policy offers Indexed Credits.

3. Definitions

- A. Alternate Scale: A scale of non-guaranteed elements currently being illustrated such that:

- i. The Annual Rate of Indexed Credits for each Index Account does not exceed the lesser of the maximum Annual Rate of Indexed Credits for the illustrated scale less 100 basis points and the credited rate for the Fixed Account. If the insurer does not offer a Fixed Account with the illustrated policy, the Annual Rate of Indexed Credits for each Index Account shall not exceed the average of the maximum Annual Rate of Indexed Credits for the illustrated scale and the guaranteed Annual Rate of Indexed Credits for that account. However, the Annual Rate of Indexed Credits for each Index Account shall never be less than the guaranteed Annual Rate of Indexed Credits for that account.
 - ii. If the illustration includes a loan, the illustrated Policy Loan Interest Credited Rate shall not exceed the illustrated Policy Loan Interest Rate. For example, if the illustrated Policy Loan Interest Rate is 4%, the Policy Loan Interest Credited Rate shall not exceed 4%.
 - iii. All other non-guaranteed elements are equal to the non-guaranteed elements for the illustrated scale.
- B. Annual Net Investment Earnings Rate: Gross portfolio annual earnings rate of the general account assets (excluding hedge assets for Indexed Credits), less provisions for investment expenses and default cost, allocated to support the policy. Charges of any kind cannot be used to increase the Annual Net Investment Earnings Rate.
- C. Annual Rate of Indexed Credits: The total annualized Indexed Credits expressed as a percentage of the account value used to determine the Indexed Credits.
- D. Benchmark Index Account: An Index Account with the following features:
- i. The interest calculation is based on the percent change in S&P 500[®] Index value only, over a one-year period using only the beginning and ending index values. (S&P 500[®] Index ticker: SPX)
 - ii. An annual cap is used in the interest calculation.
 - iii. The annual floor used in the interest calculation shall be 0%.
 - iv. The participation rate used in the interest calculation shall be 100%.
 - v. Interest is credited once per year.
 - vi. The Hedge Budget used to determine the cap in 3 (D) (ii) does not exceed the Annual Net Investment Earnings Rate. Charges of any kind cannot be used to increase the annual cap.
 - vii. There are no enhancements or similar features that provide additional Indexed Credits in excess of the interest provided by 3 (D) (i) through 3 (D) (v), including but not limited to experience refunds, multipliers, or bonuses.
 - viii. There are no limitations on the portion of account value allocated to the account.
 - ix. A single Benchmark Index Account will be determined for each policy. This can be either an Index Account offered with the illustrated policy or determined according to Section 4 (A) (ii) for purposes of complying with this guideline. A policy shall have no more than one Benchmark Index Account.

- E. Fixed Account: An account where there are no Indexed Credits.
- F. Hedge Budget: For each Index Account, the total annualized amount assumed to be used to generate the Indexed Credits of the account, expressed as a percent of the account value in the Index Account. This total annualized amount should be consistent with the hedging program of the company.
- G. Index Account: An account where some or all of the amounts credited are Indexed Credits.
- H. Indexed Credits: Any interest credit, multiplier, factor, bonus, charge reduction, or other enhancement to policy values that is linked to an index or indices. Amounts credited to the policy resulting from a floor greater than zero on an account with any interest credit, multiplier, factor, bonus, charge reduction, or other enhancement to policy values that is linked to an index or indices are included.
- I. Loan Balance: Any outstanding policy loan and loan interest, as defined in the policy.
- J. Policy Loan Interest Rate: The current annual interest rate as defined in the policy that is charged on any Loan Balance. This does not include any other policy charges.
- K. Policy Loan Interest Credited Rate: The annualized interest rate credited that applies to the portion of the account value backing the Loan Balance:
 - i. For the portion of the account value in the Fixed Account that is backing the Loan Balance, the Policy Loan Interest Credited Rate is the applicable annual interest crediting rate.
 - ii. For the portion of the account value in an Index Account that is backing the Loan Balance, the Policy Loan Interest Credited Rate is the Annual Rate of Indexed Credits, net of any applicable Supplemental Hedge Budget, for that account.
- L. Supplemental Hedge Budget: For each Index Account, the Hedge Budget minus the minimum of the Annual Net Investment Earnings Rate and the Hedge Budget that is used in the determination of the Benchmark Index Account. The Supplemental Hedge Budget will never be less than zero. This amount should be consistent with the hedging program of the company.

4. Illustrated Scale

The total Annual Rate of Indexed Credits for the illustrated scale for each Index Account shall be limited as follows:

- A. Calculate the geometric average annual credited rate for the Benchmark Index Account for the 25-year period starting on 12/31 of the calendar year that is 66 years prior to the current calendar year (e.g., 12/31/1949 for 2015 illustrations) and for each 25-year period starting on each subsequent trading day thereafter, ending with the 25- year period that ends on 12/31 of the prior calendar year.
 - i. If the insurer offers a Benchmark Index Account with the illustrated policy, the illustration actuary shall use the current annual cap for the Benchmark Index Account in 4 (A).
 - ii. If the insurer does not offer a Benchmark Index Account with the illustrated policy, the illustration actuary shall use actuarial judgment to determine a hypothetical, supportable current annual cap for a hypothetical, supportable Index Account that meets the definition

of the Benchmark Index Account, and shall use that cap in 4 (A).

- B. For the Benchmark Index Account the Annual Rate of Indexed Credits shall not exceed the minimum of (i) and (ii):
- i. The arithmetic mean of the geometric average annual credited rates calculated in 4 (A).
 - ii. 145% of the Annual Net Investment Earnings Rate.
- C. For any other Index Account that is not the Benchmark Index Account in 3 (D), the Annual Rate of Indexed Credits illustrated as a percentage of the account value in the Index Account prior to the deduction of any charges used to fund a Supplemental Hedge Budget shall not exceed the minimum of (i) and (ii) for policies sold prior to May 1, 2023, and shall not exceed the minimum of (i), (ii), and (iii) for policies sold on or after May 1, 2023:
- i. The Annual Rate of Indexed Credits for the Benchmark Index Account calculated in 4 (B) plus the Supplemental Hedge Budget for the Index Account.
 - ii. The Annual Rate of Indexed Credits reflecting the fundamental characteristics of the Index Account and the appropriate relationship to the expected risk and return of the Benchmark Index Account. The illustration actuary shall use actuarial judgment to determine this value using lookback methodology consistent with 4 (A) and 4 (B) (i) where appropriate.
 - iii. The lesser of (1) and (2) multiplied by the Annual Rate of Index Credits for the Benchmark Index Account, calculated in 4 (B), divided by (2); plus, the Supplemental Hedge Budget for the Index Account:
 1. The Hedge Budget of the Index Account
 2. Hedge Budget of the Benchmark Index Account.
- D. For the purposes of compliance with Section 6 (C) of Model #582, the Supplemental Hedge Budget is subtracted from the Annual Rate of Indexed Credits before comparing to the earned interest rate underlying the disciplined current scale.

At the beginning of each calendar year, the insurer shall be allowed up to three (3) months to update the credited rate for each Index Account in accordance with 4 (B) and 4 (C).

5. Disciplined Current Scale

The earned interest rate for the disciplined current scale shall be limited as follows:

- A. If an insurer engages in a hedging program for Indexed Credits in an account, the assumed earned interest rate underlying the disciplined current scale for that account, inclusive of all general account assets, both hedge and non-hedge assets, that support the policy, net of default costs and investment expenses (including the amount spent to generate the Indexed Credits of the policy) shall not exceed the lesser of (i) and (ii):
- i. The Annual Net Investment Earnings Rate, plus 45% of the lesser of (1) and (2):
 1. Hedge Budget minus any annual floor, to the extent that the floor is supported by the Hedge Budget.

2. The minimum of the Annual Net Investment Earnings Rate and the Hedge Budget that is used in the determination of the Benchmark Index Account.
- ii. The Annual Rate of Indexed Credits plus the Annual Net Investment Earnings Rate minus the Hedge Budget.

These rates should be adjusted for timing differences in the hedge cash flows to ensure that fixed interest is not earned on the Hedge Budget minus any annual floor, to the extent that the floor is supported by the Hedge Budget.

Guidance Note: The above approach does not stipulate any required methodology as long as it produces a consistent limit on the assumed earned interest rate underlying the disciplined current scale.

For a policy with multiple Index Accounts, a maximum rate in 5 (A) should be calculated for each account. All accounts, fixed and indexed, within a policy can be tested in aggregate.

- B. If an insurer does not engage in a hedging program for Indexed Credits, the assumed earned interest rate underlying the disciplined current scale shall not exceed the Annual Net Investment Earnings Rate.
- C. These experience limitations shall be included when testing for self-support and lapse-support under Model #582, accounting for all illustrated benefits including any illustrated benefits and bonuses that impact the policy's account value.

6. Policy Loans

If the illustration includes a loan, the illustrated Policy Loan Interest Credited Rate shall not exceed the illustrated Policy Loan Interest Rate by more than 50 basis points. For example, if the illustrated Policy Loan Interest Rate is 4.00%, the Policy Loan Interest Credited Rate shall not exceed 4.50%.

7. Additional Standards

The basic illustration shall also include the following:

- A. A ledger using the Alternate Scale shall be shown alongside the ledger using the illustrated scale with equal prominence.
- B. A table showing the minimum and maximum of the geometric average annual credited rates calculated in 4 (A).
- C. For each Index Account illustrated, a table showing actual historical index changes and corresponding hypothetical Indexed Credits using current index parameters for the most recent 20-year period.

Adopted by the Life Actuarial (A) Task Force – Dec. 11, 2022

Adopted by the Life Insurance and Annuities (A) Committee – Feb. 24, 2023

Adopted by the Executive (EX) Committee and Plenary – March 25, 2023

Actuarial Guideline LIV

Nonforfeiture Requirements for Index-Linked Variable Annuity Products

Background

The purpose of this guideline is to specify the conditions under which an Index-Linked Variable Annuity (ILVA) is consistent with the definition of a variable annuity and exempt from Model 805 and specify nonforfeiture requirements consistent with variable annuities.

A number of insurers have developed and are issuing annuity products with credits based on the performance of an index with caps on returns, participation rates, spreads or margins, or other crediting elements, that include a risk of negative index returns subject to limitations on the loss, such as a floor or a buffer. These products are not unitized and do not invest directly in the assets whose performance forms the basis for the credits.

There is no established terminology for these annuity products. These products go by several names, including structured annuities, registered index-linked annuities (RILA), or index-linked variable annuities, among others. This guideline refers to these products as index-linked variable annuities (ILVA).

Variable annuities are exempted from the scope of NAIC Model 805, *Standard Nonforfeiture Law for Individual Deferred Annuities*; however, NAIC Model 805 does not define the term "variable annuity".

NAIC Model 250, *Variable Annuity Model Regulation*, defines variable annuities as "contracts that provide for annuity benefits that vary according to the investment experience of a separate account." Section 7B of NAIC Model 250 provides that "to the extent that a variable annuity contract provides benefits that do not vary in accordance with the investment performance of a separate account" the contract shall satisfy the requirements of the NAIC Model 805.

The application of the NAIC Model 250 to a traditional variable annuity with unitized values is straightforward. The unitized feature provides an automatic linkage between annuity values and the investment experience of a separate account. Daily values (market values of the separate account assets) are the basis of all the benefits, including surrender values.

The fact that ILVA accounts are not unitized means they do not have values determined directly by the market prices of the underlying assets. Therefore, this guideline sets forth principles and requirements for determining values, including death benefit, withdrawal amount, annuitization amount or surrender values, such that an ILVA is considered a variable annuity and thereby exempt from Model 805. An ILVA that does not comply with the principles and requirements of this guideline is not considered a variable annuity and therefore is subject to Model 805.

Drafting Note: This guideline interprets the term "variable annuity" for purposes of exemption from Model 805. It is not intended to modify the definition of a variable annuity under Model 250 or other Model Regulations.

Scope

This guideline applies to any index-linked annuity exempt from the NAIC Model 805 on the basis that it is a variable annuity and includes index-linked crediting features that are built into policies or contracts (with or without unitized subaccounts) or added to such by rider, endorsement, or amendment.

Principles

This guideline is based on the following principles:

1. Interim Values defined in the contract provide equity between the contract holder and the insurance company.
2. Interim Values are consistent with the value of the Hypothetical Portfolio over the Index Strategy Term.

Definitions

“Derivative Asset Proxy” means a package of hypothetical derivative assets established at the beginning of an Index Strategy Term that is designed to replicate credits provided by an Index Strategy at the end of an Index Strategy Term.

“Fixed Income Asset Proxy” is a hypothetical fixed income asset.

“Hypothetical Portfolio” means a hypothetical portfolio composed of a Fixed Income Asset Proxy and a Derivative Asset Proxy.

“Index” means a benchmark designed to track the performance of a defined portfolio of securities.

“Index Strategy” means a method used to determine index credits with specified index or indices and cap, buffer, participation rate, spread, margin or other index crediting elements.

“Index Strategy Base” means the notional amount used to determine index credits that does not change throughout the Index Strategy Term except for withdrawals, transfers, deposits, loans, and any explicit charges.

“Index Strategy Term” means the period of time from the term start date to the term end date over which an index changes and the index credit is determined.

“Interim Value” means the Strategy Value at any time other than the start date and end date of an Index Strategy Term.

“Strategy Value” means the value, attributable to an Index Strategy, used in determining values including death benefit, withdrawal amount, annuitization amount or surrender values.

“Trading Cost” means the additional cost of liquidating the derivative assets in the Derivative Asset Proxy or actual derivative assets supporting the Index Strategy that is not accounted for in the Derivative Asset Proxy calculation.

Text

The Index Strategy Base must equal the Strategy Value at the Index Strategy Term start date.

The Fixed Income Asset Proxy is assumed to be a hypothetical fixed income asset with a yield that results in

- i. At the beginning of the Index Strategy Term, the book value of the Fixed Income Asset Proxy equal to the Index Strategy Base less the Derivative Asset Proxy value; and
- ii. At the end of the Index Strategy Term, the book value of the Fixed Income Asset Proxy, assuming no change in yield, projected to equal the Index Strategy Base.

Drafting Note: The guideline defines the conditions under which an index-linked variable annuity is exempt from Model 805 on the basis that it is a variable annuity. A variable annuity provides daily values (analogous to Interim Values in this guideline) based on the market value of separate account assets. In order to more closely align an ILVA to a variable annuity Interim Values should be consistent with the market value of hypothetical assets supporting the ILVA (i.e. Hypothetical Portfolio). The market value of the assets may be determined by a fair value methodology or by applying an MVA to the book value. A state may want to consider whether including or

excluding an MVA is appropriate. In making a determination regarding whether including or excluding an MVA is appropriate and, if applicable, what an acceptable MVA formula is, the state should consider whether the Interim Values provide reasonable equity between the contract holder and the insurance company.

The value of the package of derivative assets is determinable daily. Assumptions used to determine the market value of the Derivative Asset Proxy including implied volatilities, risk-free rates, and dividend yields must be consistent with the observable market prices of derivative assets, whenever possible.

Interim Values must be materially consistent with the value of the Hypothetical Portfolio over the Index Strategy Term less a provision for the cost attributable to reasonably expected or actual Trading Costs at the time the Interim Value is calculated.

If a contract provides Interim Values determined using a methodology other than a Hypothetical Portfolio methodology as described in this guideline, the company must demonstrate that the contractually defined Interim Values will be materially consistent over the Index Strategy Term with the Interim Values that would be produced using the Hypothetical Portfolio methodology for each combination of Index Strategy and Index Strategy Term under a reasonable number of realistic economic scenarios that include index changes that test crediting constraints and recognize initial option pricing market conditions.

The company must provide an actuarial memorandum with each ILVA product filing that includes the following:

1. Actuarial certifications must be included with each ILVA product filing and must include the following:
 - a. Interim Values defined in the contract provide equity between the contract holder and the insurance company;
 - b. The assumptions used to determine the market value of the Derivative Asset Proxy including implied volatilities, risk-free rates, dividend yields, and other parameters required to value the derivatives are consistent with the observable market prices of derivative assets over the Index Strategy Term, whenever possible. Valuation techniques include the standard Black-Scholes method, Monte-Carlo Simulation techniques, and other market consistent option valuation techniques for more complex options;
 - c. The contractually defined Interim Values are materially consistent with the Interim Values that would be produced using the Hypothetical Portfolio methodology for each combination of Index Strategy and Index Strategy Term over the Index Strategy Term less a provision for the Trading Costs at the time the Interim Value is calculated; and
 - d. Any Trading Costs represent reasonably expected or actual costs at the time the Interim Value is calculated.
2. If the Interim Values are determined using a methodology other than the Hypothetical Portfolio methodology described in this guideline, the actuary shall describe the testing performed to verify that the values are materially consistent with the Hypothetical Portfolio methodology. The actuary should define any parameters or assumptions used in determining material consistency and provide a summary of the results of the testing.
3. Descriptions of
 - a. The value of the Fixed Income Asset Proxy;
 - b. The market value adjustment formula, if any;
 - c. The market value of the Derivative Asset Proxy including any Trading Costs; and
 - d. All formulas, methodologies and assumptions used to calculate these values for each Index Strategy and Index Strategy Term as well as the sources for all assumptions.

ILVA nonforfeiture benefits for Index Strategies subject to this guideline must comply with Section 7 of Model 250 not including Section 7.B with net investment return consistent with the requirements for determining Interim Values in this guideline.

Effective Date

The Guideline applies to all contracts (including associated riders, endorsements, or amendments) issued on or after July 1, 2024.