

# Indiana Department of Correction, Division of Youth Services 2020 Juvenile Detention Inspection - Compliance Report



Marion County Juvenile Detention Center  
2451 N Keystone Avenue  
Indianapolis, In 46218

---

## Auditors

Rachel McCaffrey, Director of Juvenile Detention Inspections, Indiana Department of Correction  
Terrie Decker, DYS Executive Director of Youth Services, Indiana Department of Correction  
Kristin Herrmann, Youth Law T.E.A.M. of Indiana  
Laurie Elliott, Youth Law T.E.A.M. of Indiana

Indiana Department of Correction  
Division of Youth Services  
302 W. Washington St. Rm. E334, Indianapolis, IN 46204

**Indiana Department of Correction, Division of Youth Services  
2020 Juvenile Detention Inspection - Compliance Report**

Facility: Marion County Juvenile Detention Center  
2451 N Keystone Avenue  
Indianapolis, In 46218

Inspection Date: September 10, 2020

Auditors: Rachel McCaffrey  
Director of Juvenile Detention Inspections  
Division of Youth Services, Indiana Department of Correction  
(812) 929-3980, [rmccaffrey@idoc.in.gov](mailto:rmccaffrey@idoc.in.gov)

Kristin Herrmann  
Youth Law T.E.A.M. of Indiana  
(317) 916-0786, [kherrmann@youthlawteam.org](mailto:kherrmann@youthlawteam.org)

## **INTRODUCTION**

The 2020 annual juvenile detention facility evaluations conducted by the IDOC, Division of Youth Services would normally consist of three on-site facility inspections; staff and youth interviews; and an audit of the state detention standards. Due to the covid-19 global pandemic, we conducted one on-site facility inspection, along with staff and youth interviews, an audit of the state detention standards, and conducted testing on the candlelight levels and ventilation in accordance to the standards requirement.

While the proposed Indiana Juvenile Detention Facility Standards are in the process of being promulgated, Detention Facilities had the option to be audited on three hundred one (301) current detention standards or the corresponding two hundred and thirty-seven (237) proposed juvenile detention facility standards.

Marion County Juvenile Detention Center chose to be audited on the two hundred and thirty-seven (237) proposed juvenile detention facility standards in 2020, for their 24<sup>th</sup> annual detention inspection. Of the two hundred and thirty-seven (237) standards audited, sixteen (16) standards require mandatory compliance and the remaining two hundred and twenty-one (221) are recommended standards. Compliance with 100% of the mandatory standards and 90% of the recommended standards is required to attain "Full Compliance." Again, Centers that chose to be audited on the proposed detention standards were only required to meet the expectation of the current detention standards. Marion County Juvenile Detention Center chose to also provide documentation on the extra standards with exclusively new requirements. The breakdown of each audit listed below is only reflective of the current standards.

## FACILITY INFORMATION

<b>Facility county:</b>	Marion
<b>Governing authority:</b>	Marion Superior Court
<b>Name of facility administrator:</b>	James Snider
<b>Detention Director:</b>	
<b>Detention facility's mission:</b>	We at the Marion County Juvenile Detention Center work to establish safety and security as our culture while building competency for youth and staff. It is our goal to increase resident and staff accountability while providing re-entry preparation for all detained youth.
<b>Rated capacity:</b>	144
<b>Population on date of first audit:</b>	31
<b>Average daily population for the last 12 months:</b>	46.08
<b>Average length of stay for the last 12 months:</b>	21.44 days
<b>Minor upgrades since last audit (i.e. painting, flooring, bedding, furnishings):</b>	Replaced 46 handheld radios for staff. Introduced 12 laptops on the units for use by detention officers.
<b>Major upgrades since last audit (i.e. plumbing, electrical, security system):</b>	N/A
<b>De-escalation techniques training:</b>	Safe Crisis Management (SCM)
<b>Physical force techniques training:</b>	Safe Crisis Management (SCM)
<b>Chemical agents permitted:</b>	No
<b>Name of food service provider:</b>	Summit LLC
<b>Name of health care authority individual or agency and license/certification:</b>	Dr. Brian Bullar, Correct Care Solutions (CCS)
<b>Name of mental health care authority individual or agency and license/certification:</b>	Dr. David Ofstein, PhD, LMHC, LCAC
<b>Education Services:</b>	Core 40+. Youth use desktop PC's, READ 180 and Plate. The center offers a remedial reading program and the youth attend education programming 5 days a week/6 hours a day. MCJDC received Title 1 funding in the amount of \$40, 000 for the 2018-2019 school year. Funds are used to pay the Title 1 teacher salary and to support Title 1 activities.

## Marion County 2020 Audit

<b>Standards:</b>	<b><u>All Mandatory Standards, Security Standards, and Training Plan (8-3-52)</u></b>
<b>On-site Visit Conducted: September 10, 2020</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Fifty-One Total Standards Audited</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>16 Mandatory and 35 Recommended</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>1 Administration Standard, 7 Safety Standards, 23 Security Standards, 3 Food Service and Hygiene Standards, 15 Medical Standards, and 2 Mental Health Standards</u></b></li> <li>• Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0</li> <li>• Number of Mandatory Standards in Compliance: 16</li> <li>• Number of Recommended Standards in Compliance: 32</li> </ul>
<b>Auditors:</b>	Rachel McCaffrey, Terrie Decker, and Kristin Herrmann (Inspection) Kristin Herrmann (Files)
<b>Facility Tour:</b>	The facility tour was conducted by Mary Dozier. This inspection focused on mandatory standards. Areas such as medical, janitorial closet, kitchen, visitation rooms, search areas, private interview rooms, youth rooms, youth living areas, personal and property areas, mechanical and safety areas were inspected. It was ensured that staff had access to the Emergency Manual.
<b>Youth Interviews:</b>	Two youth were interviewed, one male and one female.
<b>Youth Responses:</b>	One youth said that they felt safe and that the facility was cold at night but otherwise fine. One youth had issues with a fellow youth and felt uncomfortable with them, but it was being handled by staff. They then said that their room was dirty and only the day room gets cleaned. Both youths said that fire drills had been conducted. Neither had any suggestions for the facility.
<b>Staff Interviews:</b>	Two line staff were interviewed with one having control experience.
<b>Staff Responses:</b>	Both staff described what to do during an emergency evacuation. Control will open the doors and the kids will be lined up and taken outside. They said that there are mechanical restraints, but they are used last. Physical restraint is used before mechanical, but each staff can cuff because of training they received. They said they both received medical and mental health training and receive it annually. When dealing with a youth detoxification they said the youth is kept away from the general population until medically cleared.
<b>Non-compliant Standards:</b>	8-3-52, 8-9-5, and 8-9-9
<b>Recommended Action:</b>	These standards were found in non-compliance because the documentation presented did not support the standard.

<b>Standards:</b>	<b>Safety, Justice &amp; Order, and Education Standards</b>
<b>Desk Audit Conducted</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>70 Total Recommended Standards Audited</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>27 Safety Standards, 37 Justice &amp; Order Standards, and 6 Education Standards</u></b></li> <li>• Number of Standards Not Applicable: 2</li> <li>• Number of Recommended Standards in Compliance: 61</li> </ul>
<b>Auditor:</b>	Kristin Herrmann
<b>Youth Interviews:</b>	Two youth were interviewed, one male and one female.
<b>Youth Responses:</b>	Both youths said that they had received the facility handbook and understood the facility rules and punishments. One said that they did not necessarily agree with them all. They said that when they had contact with their probation officer, attorney, or court official that the meeting was kept private. Neither had any complaints about the education program and that it wasn't that bad.
<b>Non-compliant Standards:</b>	8-6-3, 8-6-6, 8-6-13, 8-6-14, 8-6-16, 8-6-20, and 8-6-21
<b>Recommended Action:</b>	This standards were found in non-compliance because there was no supporting documentation or the procedures lacked standard language.

<b>Standards:</b>	<b><u>Food Service &amp; Hygiene, Medical, Mental Health, and Program Standards</u></b>
<b>Desk Audit Conducted</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Fifty-Three Total Standards Audited</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>16 Food Service &amp; Hygiene Standards, 13 Medical Standards, 4 Mental Health Standards, and 20 Programs &amp; Services Standards</u></b></li> <li>• Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0</li> <li>• Number of Recommended Standards in Compliance: 53</li> </ul>
<b>Auditor:</b>	Rachel McCaffrey
<b>Youth Interviews:</b>	Two youth were interviewed, one male and one female.
<b>Youth Responses:</b>	Both youths said that the food was okay sometimes, but that they do received three, regularly scheduled meals a day with two of them being hot. They both confirmed that they received all the necessary items during intake and that they received clean bedding once a week and clean clothing every day. Neither had any concerns about medical and felt that they were taken seriously and kept confidential.

<b>Non-compliant Standards:</b>	None
<b>Recommended Action:</b>	None

<b>Standards:</b>	<b><u>Administration Standards</u></b>
<b>File Review Only</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Sixty-One Total Standards Audited</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>61 Administration Standards</u></b></li> <li>• Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0</li> <li>• Number of Recommended Standards in Compliance: 61</li> </ul>
<b>Auditor:</b>	Rachel McCaffrey
<b>Non-compliant Standards:</b>	None
<b>Recommended Action:</b>	None

Was the facility required to implement a corrective action plan as a result of the audit? **NO**/YES

**CONCLUSION**

Marion County Juvenile Detention Center chose to be audited on the proposed standards, which corresponds with the current (301) detention standards and received a score of 100% compliance with the mandatory standards and 94.93% of the recommended standards.

**The facility received a separate scoresheet indicating their level of compliance with the new proposed detention standards.**

Therefore, Marion County Juvenile Detention Center is in compliance with the 2020 Indiana Detention Center Standards Audit performed by the Indiana Department of Correction, Division of Youth Services.

A certificate of compliance will accompany this report, which becomes public information ten (10) days from the date of mailing

Please contact me at (812) 929-3980 should you have any questions concerning this report.

Respectfully submitted,

**Rachel McCaffrey**

Director of Juvenile Detention Inspections  
Indiana Department of Correction/Division of Youth Services

cc: Terrie Decker, DYS, Executive Director of Youth Services  
Honorable Clark Rogers, Superior Court  
James Snider, Superintendent of Quality  
pursuant to 210 IAC 8-1-5(f)  
File