



| 1 | Motion by Mr. Lutz. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Second? |
| 3 | MS. WHITEHEAD: I'll second. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay. |
| 5 | Motion; okay. And we'll do a roll-call vote. |
| 6 | Ms. Hackman? |
| 7 | MS. HACKMAN: Yes. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay. |
| 9 | Mr. Lutz? |
| 10 | MR. LUTZ: Yes. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay. |
| 12 | Guerin? |
| 13 | MR. GUERIN: Yes. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay. |
| 15 | Nunan? |
| 16 | MR. NUNAN: Yes. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay. |
| 18 | MS. Whitehead: Yes. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay. |
| 20 | And then Kelly Weger? |
| 21 | MS. WEGER: Yes. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay. |
| 23 | And Matt Gratz? |

(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Matt Gratz? MR. GRATZ: Yes.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay.

Thank you.
Okay. Motion has been approved and carried to accept the minutes.

Okay. IDEM Update. It looks like we have on screen --

MS. GARNER: Yes.
MR. WODRICH: Can you guys hear me?
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yes, we
can.
MR. WODRICH: Okay. Good morning, everybody. Sorry $I$ can't be there in person this morning.

Yeah, just a few updates from IDEM's perspective. First, we do have a new IDEM Staff Training and Development Director that has been added to our staff. Her name is Amanda Hall, and she reports directly to our Chief of Staff Parvonay Stover. I have met her a couple of times and had some really good conversations. I
know Pat Daniel has already met with her, and most of our other managers in our Office of Program Support.

Really excited to have her on staff. I think she's going to bring a lot of great staff development opportunities and leadership
development opportunities for our staff at the agency that has not been in place before. So, she comes with a wealth of knowledge and experience and leadership training with -through State Department of Corrections, through the Federal Government Corrections, and several other places as well, so really, excited to have her on board.

So, we also are going to have a presence out at the state Fair this year. We haven't had that since pre-CoVID, so we're really excited about that. Pat Daniel, Jessica Irvine, Jennifer Helrigel in our office have done a lot of the heavy lifting on that, and we have a lot of volunteers from our staff across the agency that are going to be manning that booth.

Every day that the fair is open, we will
be inside the DNR's building, so we'll be in the air conditioning, which is good, especially given this weekend. So, yeah, we will have a -- we'll be doing the Cahoots game that kids really seemed to enjoy when we did that last time at the State Fair, so excited to be back out and getting in front of all of those folks that come through the DNR building every day at the State Fair.

Governor's Awards for Environmental
Excellence, our -- we have a new staff person working on that, Caitlin Carroll, she started in May, the very end of May, and she is off and running with picking that up and getting it across the finish line, so to speak.

She's got Review Committee meetings set up, and we are hoping that the Commissioner will make a decision next month on those, and those, as you probably recall, are presented at the Partners for Pollution Prevention Annual Conference in September, which will be on September $20 t h$ this year, again, at the Marriott on the north side of Indianapolis.

And as a reminder to you all, if you are
interested in attending that conference, registration is open, and Pat and Deanna have informed me, and I wasn't aware of this, but they wanted me to also remind you all that, you know, if you wanted to attend that, RMDP could pay for your registration and, you know, daily travel expenses related to that. So, just a reminder on reimbursement, that you guys can take advantage of it as Recycling Market Development Board members.

We also have an e-waste collection event that will be happening this Saturday morning in Sullivan County, at the Sullivan High School, from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. We're going to have about a dozen -- ten or a dozen IDEM staffers volunteering to help with that effort, and we have ERI as our contractor that will be collecting all of the electronics to be recycled. And they're also going to have about -- I think 12 to 16 staff people there, which is really great, because, as you may recall, the one we did in Seymour last fall, in November, had a huge turnout. We recycled a lot of electronics
down there, so we're hoping to have a similar turnout for this event as well.

Recycling Roundtable is scheduled for
August 30th from 2:00 to 3:30. Deanna might have some additional details on that.

And I think my last update is that there were some changes to the Board. The Legislative Council met recently and had a change from Rep. Schaibley, who is being replaced by Rep. Lori Goss-Reaves.

So, I think I hit all of my updates, and I will turn it over to Deanna. I think she has an update on the solid waste infrastructure and recycling grant.

Thank you. Does anybody have any questions?
(No response.)
MS. GARNER: No questions?
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: No?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: All right.
Thank you.
MR. WODRICH: Thanks.

MS. GARNER: Yeah. And just a couple of notes on Carl's updates. Yeah, the next Recycling Roundtable discussion announcement should be out next week, and we're focusing on successful compost operations and organic collections for the next one.

The -- and we hope to -- I did send out a notice to Rep. Lori Goss-Reaves and welcoming her to the Board. It's summer. Sometimes it's hard to get a response back, so $I$ haven't got a response back, but we hope to get her oriented and attending the next meeting.

MS. DANIEL: Ms. Deanna?

MS. GARNER: Yes.

MS. DANIEL: Make sure -- we need to
get a letter to Schaibley for folks to sign from the Board, thanking her for her --

MS. GARNER: Yes, yes, I wanted to do that as well, so we'll definitely thank

Rep. Schaibley for the many years that she was on the Board, and her interest and participation was always appreciated.

The next item is the EPA SWIFR Grant,
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that's Solid Waste Infrastructure for Recycling Grant. We did give an update last meeting that IDEM received five hundred seventy-two thousand dollars and sixty-five -- or not sixty-five cents. Let me start that again -- five hundred seventy-two thousand and sixty-five dollars to implement a state materials management plan.

The last solid waste plan that was done for Indiana was in 1990 , so we are past due, and we're fortunate enough to have enough money there that after we are able to implement the state plan, we have pass-through dollars for local plans to be created that will have built off of a template or guidelines for the state plan, so solid waste management districts, municipalities, counties can apply for funding to do their own plans as well.

The time frame, we did submit the final work plan to IDEM at the end of May, and then we anticipate the grant period beginning in October. It's a three-year grant, so we anticipate roughly a year and a half for the statewide plan. We have to go through an RFP process to get a
contractor to do the plan, so that takes roughly six months at least, and then the second half of the grant will be then the pass-through and funding the local plans.

Do you guys have any questions on that? Yeah, Terry.

MR. GUERIN: Refresh my memory: Do counties have to have a solid waste management plan?

MS. GARNER: They do not. Well -so, with some caveats there. In the 1990 solid waste plan that was created for the state, there is a requirement, as solid waste management districts were created, and I think this is also in statute that they had to -- and you can also tell me -- a plan at that time, and there was very little on -- instruction on when it needed to be updated or anything like that. So, some solid waste districts' plans are still from that first time period of when they were created.

There is some multicounty districts, solid waste districts, that have since separated and become their own county districts, and at that
time of becoming a district, they are also required to have a plan. It's been kind of hard to put teeth into that, though, and really make sure that they have that plan as well, and with little funding to make those, $I$ think it's been a challenge, too.

MR. GUERIN: I was just curious,
because $I$ was talking to a county a couple of weeks ago and asked for their solid waste management plan, and they didn't have one.

MS. GARNER: I think that when we're talking to solid waste districts, too, that as new directors come on board and stuff, if they haven't had a plan since that very first one -CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: They don't. MS. GARNER: -- they -- it's lost, So - -

MS. HACKMAN: We encourage districts to have their own plan just because a plan is a good idea, no matter what you're doing in life. So, some of them do -- have updated their plans, but it's voluntary.

MS. GARNER: Yeah.

MS. HACKMAN: So - -

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Any other questions?
(No response.)
MS. GARNER: Back to me.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yeah, back to you. You're the star, Deanna.
(Laughter.)

MS. GARNER: Next on our agenda is just an update of existing grants. Fiscal Year '22 grants, still have two closed, six that had the one-year amendments.

I do have one grantee plus five that's reached out to me that has greatly expanded the scope of their projects. It's still the same projects, but it's just a much bigger scope and -- in addition to supply chain issues -- is planning to come to our next Board meeting to ask for a second extension.

He's going to have a lot -- and a lot of work has been done on this one, so it's just he -- I told him, I said, "The Board's been burnt on seeing an extension where the work hasn't been
done, so, you know, come in and present and show that this project's going to get done with the additional time frame that you're asking for." So, they will be here at the next Board meeting.

Fiscal Year '23, we already have Rumpke, who has submitted their paperwork for reimbursement and then they'll be closing out, and I've got an update from RecycleForce with their new building. They are behind schedule as well, but they do still hope to have their grant completed by the grant expiration, the one-year time frame.

And now we are going to be awarding Fiscal Year ' 24 proposals at the next Board meeting, so we did receive 23 applications, totaling 5.6 million dollars in requested funding, with a total project cost of over -- well, nearly fifteen million dollars. I've been doing the reviews.

Nineteen of those 23 are still eligible for -- after the initial review, and they are undergoing compliance checks currently. Those compliance checks, as well as our IDEM review,
will hopefully be shared with the Board at the beginning of September, with a scoring deadine of at the end of September, for the October Board meeting.

Those will be shared via Teams again. I still plan on using that platform. I know that we have had some problems with access. It is kind of a -- can be glitchy, people have been removed randomly.

MS. WHITEHEAD: You, too, Tara.
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: No, no, I'm not, but we have an issue, too, across the road. MS. GARNER: So, go in -- my
recommendation is just $g o$ in early or go in now, please. Make sure that you have access to Teams, and let me know now if you don't. We can come up with, you know, e-mailing the things or whatnot, an alternative, if we don't get it figured out, but it sometimes does take a couple of weeks of troubleshooting.

With you, it's a couple of months. MS. WHITEHEAD: Months.
(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Months.
MS. GARNER: Months.
MS. WHITEHEAD: I'm finally back in.
MS. GARNER: And it was weird,
because, like in Sandy's case, she was there listed as a member, and so, I didn't know what else to do to get her access. They said it was fine, and so, we were at a standstill and a stall, and I looked again this week, and she wasn't listed as a member anymore. So, it was a matter of just adding her back in.

MS. WHITEHEAD: Magic.
MS. GARNER: Yeah.
(Laughter.)

MS. GARNER: And then I just did want
to share that -- this is kind of an internal
decision on the back end of the administration of the grants, but with a lot of the current supply-chain issues, time frames for grants that go through these Fiscal Year '24 grants, we are going to move probably to an 18 -month grant agreement versus a 12-month.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yeah.

MS. GARNER: So -- and we did -- the received proposals were -- they give us time frames in those, and those are all 12-month time frames, because we did have that in the guidelines, but this will give us just that kind of flex so that we're not necessarily having to do so many amendments, because most of our amendments are usually for time extension.

The last update is on -- going back to our last existing Fiscal Year '20 grant that we had with Growing Green. If you recall from our last meeting, Stephanie had asked to be here again today. I have talked to her, and she does have an update on the process. I included the letter in your Teams Board packet, as well as she sent us, regarding the progress, but I asked her to go ahead and speak with you guys today.

If you want to come to the front of the room --

MS. NUTTER: Oh, yeah.
MS. GARNER: -- Stephanie. Just --
we don't have a podium this time.
MS. NUTTER: Okay.

Well, $I$ wanted to say, first, I wasn't looking forward to this, and -- but I thought it was necessary. I felt like the last time, the last Board meeting, I owe you guys an apology. I felt like $I$ brought forward my thoughts on the vision and the direction to go with cash match, and $I$ realized that $I$ did so coming from a place with a project where we weren't able to meet our cash match, and so, $I$ came in on a high horse that $I$ didn't own, and $I$ just owed you all an apology for that.

$$
\text { And so, this time I thought }-- \text { and I'm }
$$

sorry. This time $I$ thought $I$ would come in more from a place of gratitude, and $I$ wanted to let you know -- I thought maybe that would be a nice place to start, and $I$ wanted to let you know we did come into this without a cash match. And the Board -- and some of you $I$ don't recognize, some of you I do, and you were very -- you supported us in a time when we really needed that, and so, it's a great thanks that we owe you as well.
When -- so, I thought maybe I'd share just
how this -- it's not quantifiable in terms of
cash, but it was nonetheless important to us.
So, when you guys -- the day that you guys
approved that award for us, before $I$ even got to the car in the parking lot, $I$ had the Board on the phone, and you could hear -- I'm surprised you guys couldn't hear them, just the excitement that somebody had taken a chance on the project, and we were so thankful.

And so, before $I$ even got to Martinsville then, I don't think my car touched the pavement, and $I$ went straight to Sen. Bray's office, and he wasn't there, so I told his dad, "We got this, we got it, we got it." And then I went and introduced ourselves, myself and our project and our board then, to the Chamber of Commerce President, and she loved it.

And so, the momentum that was -- that you guys gave us from accepting us was just incredible, an incredible push forward. And then from that meeting with the Chamber President, she said, "Oh, my gosh, we need to tell the community about this," and we were like, "Yes, absolutely." And so, we did, and that's kind of where we
spiraled into -- ended up in a little bit of an issue.

And so, one thing $I$ would share from this experience is: When you have a project -- or if you guys get another project like this, or a big one, there's a couple of different ways that people can go to accomplish this. One of them is to go straight to the public and get their support first.

The second is the route we took, which, in hindsight, $I$ don't know is the best. We thought that by getting all of our architectural drawings, all of the renderings done, we could show people what the vision was. And so, before we introduced it to our community, our local community, we had -- we had the renderings completed, the design for the facility completed, we had pictures to show what we were talking about.

And right before we got those, about two weeks before we got those, we, in conjunction with the President of the Chamber of Commerce, thought it was a great idea to give a
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presentation locally. And so, we didn't quite have our pictures ready, and we gave the presentation, and it started a whirlwind of panic in Martinsville that it was going to be a garbage dump.

And I think, you know, we probably all
have those aunts and uncles in our counties, at some facilities that might operate, and they're not -- you know, they don't look the best. And so, I think that's what our local community envisioned, and we didn't have the images. It was about two to three weeks before we had the actual images from the companies we were working with to do this for us. And so, a headwind started in front of us of that this was going to be a garbage dump, it was going to be an eyesore on the landscape, and we kind of hit that, and momentum just started kind of coming off.

So, if $I$ had it to do over again, $I$ think I would have waited until we had the renderings completed so that we could show the community members when we introduced the project to them what we were looking at.

Then there was a couple -- there were a couple of other things behind the scenes. There was an individual that was running for County Council, and this we had no idea, but they had already earmarked that property for a truck stop, and we didn't know that, and we got in their way. And so, they were kind of instrumental also in putting out there that we were going to be a garbage dump.

And I met with him individually, and I told him over and -- I said, "You guys, we're not -- here's what we've got." And he said, "Oh, I know. I know you're not going to be a dump, but they don't know it." And so -- and I said, "Well, would you say publicly that you know that we're not going to be a dump?" And he said "No," then he -- you know, he wanted to scare them. And so, the citizens out there don't know that they rallied against an eco park with conservation space and a recycling facility and really neat technology for a truck stop. They still don't know that, that that's potentially on the horizon, but that's -- we'll let them deal
with that when it comes up.
All of that to say that when we hit those kinds of headwinds, we had to pivot our project, and the pivot that we took moved from a solid stream to an e-waste, and so, to -- you know the old saying about eating an elephant. We learned to kind of set our goals a little bit lower so that they were more attainable.

So, at the same time, a friend of ours reached out with a local organization, and he's a specialist in the e-waste field and we're very excited, and $I$ think the next direction for us is to get an e-waste processing stream going in his facility that will help us generate income for Growing Greens so that we can move forward with where we see this project going in smaller increments.

However, that move and change towards e-waste takes us out of the scope of the original grant that we asked for. So, we're giving that back, but we wanted to say that we're extremely grateful to all of you for the hope and positivity that you injected, you know, to really
keep us going. We wouldn't actually be where we are now had it not been for that.

So, even though we're not able to utilize the funding right now, we've got a good direction because you took a chance on us. So, we're very thankful. So, I -- yes. So, I owe you our gratitude, and we're looking forward to continuing and keeping you guys updated even though we're not -- you know, we won't be with you, but we'll keep you updated on our progress. CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Thank you. MS. GARNER: So, just briefly -- I meant to give the background for the Board members that weren't part of the Board when we did award this. The original grant was for a Machinex PET Optical Sorter for -- our part was 249,175, and it was awarded back in October of 2019, with an executed date of March of 2020 . So, that's just to give you the background so that you can see how the scope has changed over the time as well.

MR. GUERIN: I'm not quite sure how to say this, but the word "dump," the solid waste
industry for years has tried to educate the general public against using the word "dump," because "dump" has a different connotation as - and especially with landfills -- than what it really is.

And so, we've always tried to use the
words "sanitary landfill" or some other terminology, other than the word "dump." So, if you come through this again, you might try to figure some terminology to describe what you're trying to do that educates the public against the word "dump" as just the connotation.

MS. NUTTER: That's a great idea.
MS. HACKMAN: But maybe what she was
describing, though, was a dump, it wasn't a sanitary landfill. People were visualizing - MR. GUERIN: No, I'm just saying -MS. HACKMAN: Yeah, but, you know, the people that thought that this was going to be a dump really thought it was going to be a pile of junk. They didn't -- they weren't thinking about a sanitary landfill.

MS. NUTTER: Yeah, even when we used
the term "recycling facility," I mean that's --
that was the next step that all of our local citizens made was "it's going to be a garbage dump." And I -- we didn't know how they -- how that connection was -- we didn't know the back end that was going on, but we didn't know how that connection was made between a recycling facility and a garbage dump. We -- you know, we were a bit blindsided by that.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: It's like
in Connersville right now. We have a recycling facility coming to Connersville, and it is a hot topic. Everyone said, "It's going to be a dump," and a lot of it refers to the fire we had in Richmond, because we got that smoke coming down to us, and that is a hot topic right now because they're afraid it's going to turn into exactly what happened in Richmond.

And they're concerned about it, because it's going into an old Ford company place, and it's going to be sharing a place with a cabinet maker as well, but it's going to be a recycling facility just for plastics, and it is an uproar
right now in Connersville, where I live. It's a huge uproar.

MS. NUTTER: You know, I -- I mean I don't know if this -- we made a lot of connections with the architectural designs of the facility itself, and we're willing to share that with anybody who's putting in a recycling facility, I mean neat technologies that are inexpensive but currently not really being utilized here in the U.S.

Solar glass is one of them. You know, it's not just solar panels, but solar glass. We made contact with a company -- you can put in -the windows of your facility can help offset your operating costs. We've got the contacts of the guys that designed the Disney -- well, there's McDonald's and Disney that runs completely off of solar glass.

So, if you guys have any recycling facilities -- not garbage dumps, recycling facilities --
(Laughter.)
MS. NUTTER: -- we'd be happy to
share with them any of the information that we've gotten, any of the contacts that we've made, and it might help. Maybe don't say our name, but -(Laughter.)

MS. NUTTER: -- it might help them in
their struggle, because it really is a real thing when you try to establish a facility. It's like yeah, there's a public misconception.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: It's coming no matter what, so they don't have a choice to the matter. It's already been sold, it's already been taken care of.

MS. NUTTER: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Then they
did the release of the announcement, so --
MS. GARNER: Yeah, there's been big
press on that.
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Uh-huh, yeah.

MS. NUTTER: Thank you guys.
MR. GUERIN: Thank you.
MS. WHITEHEAD: Thank you.
MS. NUTTER: Have a good day.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Thank you, too.

MS. NUTTER: Have a good rest of your meeting.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yes, Terry. MR. GUERIN: Is the facility you're talking about in Connersville the old Ford --

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Uh-huh, it is.

MR. GUERIN: $\quad-\quad$ plant?
MS. WHITEHEAD: Ford Delco.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yep.
MR. GUERIN: And what are they
planning on for that?
MS. GARNER: Nova Chemicals --

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yeah.

MS. GARNER: -- is -- Novolex is --

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yeah.

MS. GARNER: -- doing a plastic film.
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yeah. I
think about 124 jobs will come into it, and then part of that -- because it's like -- there's 40 acres under cover, under roof there, and then

```
part of that, there's already a cabinet-making
facility there, so they're going to share the
space.
    MS. WHITEHEAD: My parents worked
there back in the'50's.
    CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Oh, really?
    MS. WHITEHEAD: Yeah.
    MR. GUERIN: My family did, too, and
```

I --
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Our little
Detroit area.
MS. WHITEHEAD: Yeah.
(Laughter.)
MR. GUERIN: I have the original
badges of my dad from Rex. You can see the
little Rex plants --
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yep.
MR. GUERIN: -- all of the way
through the Ford building, so --
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Oh, yeah.
MR. GUERIN: They've had a hard time
finding the right fit for that building.
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: We have had
a very hard time finding the right fit, yes.
MS. WHITEHEAD: Is it Wayzata that is still there, $W$ a $y ~ z ~ a ~ t ~ a ~--~$

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Uh-huh.
MS. WHITEHEAD: -- the cabinet
company?
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yeah, yeah, they're still there, and they will stay there.

MS. WHITEHEAD: Yeah.

MS. HACKMAN: Is it the same facility
that Novolex has in North Vernon?

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: That's what I'm comparing it to, yeah. So, I did some research to see about the company, yeah. Fine with me.

Okay. Moving on with the agenda -- thank you, everyone, for your feedback -- let's move on to House Bill 1512. And do we have someone here, Deanna, to talk about that?

MS. GARNER: It does not look like we do.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: In your
packets you should have seen the letter that was
submitted about the House Bill. I don't know if you had a chance to look that over. Thank you for putting that together, Deanna and Bruce, and then we should have someone to represent.

MS. GARNER: Yeah. So, in that
letter, yeah, we asked those authors to kind of come. Our legal team didn't really want to provide that interpretation that the authors could provide, so that's why we went that route, and Bruce was, yeah, a very big help in sending that out.

But $I$ believe -- and $I$ don't know if he's able to respond, but that -- he did say that he thought someone was going to be able to speak on it, but $I$ don't -- I don't see anybody on our Zoom or in the room, so we can kind of just keep speaking of it, and if someone wants to speak up, we can do it.

But in that letter, I wanted to make a note that -- so, you guys, the Board, at the last meeting had basically three questions for clarification: Who the applicant was; the time frame of the next round, second round; and then
the third was the --
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Cash match? MS. GARNER: -- cash or -- well, the total amount, the total award amount. And the first question about eligibility, I did also print out a copy of the statute for you guys to look at. When I looked at it after the 2023 IC Codes went out, they added a. 05 with a
definition of "applicant," so it says applicant -- it states: As used in this chapter,
"applicant" means a person or company from the private sector.

So, that was laid out pretty clearly and boldly for us, so the second round will be, unless -- unless we see changes to this bill in the next legislative session, this will be restricted to the private sector.

MR. GUERIN: Deanna?

MS. GARNER: Yes.

MR. GUERIN: I did check with the
industry lobbyist, and he was involved in monitoring this all of the way through, and I called him yesterday, and he did say the same
thing you just said, that it was clear, the intent in that statute was to restrict this to the private sector.

MS. GARNER: Which --
MR. GUERIN: So, that's clear.
MS. GARNER: Yeah, yeah.
So, the other question that we were kind of looking into was like the time frame, because at one point, it says one year from the first round of awards, which would mean February, right, of 2023 -- or 2024 for the next round, and then -- then it lays out that the -- July lst is when IDEM will make the applications available, and they will accept -- it says very plainly in the Code that IDEM will accept applications through October of 2024 , providing recommendations to the Board by December 1st. CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Uh-huh. MS. GARNER: So, without further clarification, really that -- I think that those are the ones that are laid out in statute with specific dates. We can start working on guidelines and lay a little bit of the groundwork
starting next February, but $I$ think we need to
stick to the time frame that's laid out, with the July, October, December for that, yeah.

MR. GUERIN: But we still don't know
whether the money left over from last time is carried over.

MS. GARNER: And that's the final --
MR. LUTZ: That's --
MR. GUERIN: That's huge, yeah.
MS. GARNER: That's the final
clarification that we still don't have a good --
MR. GUERIN: What --
MS. GARNER: -- and then
ultimately -- I know the Board doesn't want to hear this, probably, but it's up to you guys, I think. You're the ones that have to interpret what is in State Statute and in Code, and carry out what is there.

MR. GUERIN: I don't know if Bruce can respond to this or not, but did Rep. Speedy respond at all? I mean this is -- this is in his ballpark. I mean they're the ones that did this, and this is the second time this stuff's come out

1
of the legislature not clear. We had a problem last time because of the word "pilot project" - MR. NUNAN: Uh-huh.

MR. GUERIN: -- you know, and now we get something from the legislature again that's not clear, and $I$ think it's his responsibility to inform us as to how much money we actually have to work with.

MR. LUTZ: I would hate to go into
this and assume we have more, and then we go after it and we go -- because it's -- this is a lot of money, and it's a lot of money to -- and it's a lot of time and effort behind the scenes to put these applications together and do these studies and put these programs together.

And I would hate to go into this thinking that we have more in the kitty or in the bank that is not there, and then we have to go back and say, "Oh, yeah. By the way, you don't get it," and then they had -- they started to do the wheels changing and the wheels going, and then it kind of -- something implodes that we didn't know. So, I would think that myself, as the

Board, without knowing that we have -- the hope is -- well, I'm probably going to go -unfortunately fall to the lowest amount --

MS. WHITEHEAD: Uh-huh.
MR. LUTZ: -- right?

MS. GARNER: Yes.
MR. LUTZ: And unfortunately, if we do have more and we don't, you know, we could not be giving somebody that could really help the goals and the aspirations that, you know, we all want to see for the -- to meet the 50 percent goal of the state, so --

MR. BURROW: Deanna?

MS. GARNER: Yes.

MR. BURROW: This is Bruce.
MS. GARNER: Hi, Bruce. We hear you.
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: We hear
you.
MR. BURROW: Okay. I just wanted to clarify. I'm sorry $I$ was not able to go back and find the e-mails back from the legislative aides or whatnot, but $I$ think it's imperative that we continue to try to get some type of definitive
answer from Rep. Speedy in this regard --
MS. GARNER: Yes.
MR. BURROW: -- for both answers that you outlined so eloquently in your letter that you drafted. I do apologize to the Board for not being able to obtain those answers. And I do believe I was not delirious. I believe there was a commitment for someone to participate in our meeting today, but they may have thought it was at the Government Center. Who knows? I can't make excuses. All $I$ know is $I$ know what $I$ saw in return e-mails that $I$ received.

So -- but I think it's important that we have a clear definition prior to moving forward with any awards beyond what's available in the bill, and particularly when we consider that past amount --

MR. LUTZ: Uh-huh.

MR. BURROW: -- and we don't want to give that away if we're not certain we have it available.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Thank you.
MR. BURROW: Thank you. I apologize

```
for not being there.
```

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: No
apologies needed, Bruce. Thank you for your diligence on this and all of your help.

MS. GARNER: Yeah. And so, the good news is we have -- we do have time still to get these questions answered. We can -- and speaking to what Bruce is saying, in getting an answer from Rep. Speedy, maybe we can try to see if he'll meet one on one, facilitate a meeting that way. I think he'll kind of --

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Again, I'm not like a lawyer or anything, but $I$ do read that second page, it says, "The Indiana recycling market development board may do the following...use funds allocated but not used in a previous round of grants to award grants to applicants in a subsequent round."

MS. GARNER: Right.
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: So, if they say no, I question that statement in there -MR. LUTZ: Right.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: -- why it's

```
in there.
```

MS. HACKMAN: That seems clear to me.

MS. GARNER: It's very contradictory to the statement later that says up -- or no more than --

MS. WHITEHEAD: Yeah.
MS. GARNER: -- two million.
MS. WHITEHEAD: Yeah, it also says that.

MS. GARNER: Yeah.

REP. ERRINGTON: This is Sue.

MS. GARNER: Hi, Sue.
REP. ERRINGTON: This is Sue.
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yeah.

REP. ERRINGTON: My colleague is
Rep. Speedy. I'd be happy to reach out to him personally to see if we can get a better clarification than what we have now.

MS. GARNER: I think it's --

REP. ERRINGTON: And maybe ask him to speak with Bruce or --

MS. GARNER: Yeah.
REP. ERRINGTON: -- or with Deanna.

MS. GARNER: That would be perfect.
Yeah, we would really appreciate that.
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: A gentle
nudge.
MR. GUERIN: Thank you.
MS. GARNER: So, with that being
said, yeah, the last part about House Bill 1512 that $I$ did want to establish today was it does ask the Board to create a cash match -- or set a cash match, and I think that would be -- this is a good meeting, since we have a little less on our agenda, to go ahead and have that discussion and determine what cash match we would like to see for the next round of Central Indiana waste diversion projects.

MS. HACKMAN: I like 50 percent.
MS. WHITEHEAD: That's what it
usually is; right? I know CRGP grants are little bit less, but they're smaller.

MS. HACKMAN: Uh-huh. I like for them to have some skin in the game.

MR. LUTZ: Uh-huh. I don't disagree
with any of that comment. The only question is:

As a 50 -percent match, is that going to lower the playing field, lower the applicants? If somebody goes after the full two million dollars, they have to have two million dollars that they're going to match up, which, in this industry, we know it's not cheap to process, run and sort, equipment, things of that nature, facilities, land. Yeah, that would be my only thing at a 50, half and half is -- we want to make sure we've got players that come to the -- that submit to -you know, for us to consider, too.

MS. GARNER: I guess, still thinking
through this, but you mentioned facilities and lands as part of the project.

MR. LUTZ: I could -- yeah, well, I'm
just saying -- yeah.
MS. GARNER: Yeah, yeah, but -MR. LUTZ: That's probably -- I don't think that --

MS. GARNER: We need to also make it clear that the 50 -percent cash match is just cash match --

MR. LUTZ: Cash.

| 1 | MS. GARNER: -- you know, line item |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | by line item, or if you wanted other -- I mean I |
| 3 | think it says in the statute, now that I am |
| 4 | talking out loud, that it's a cash match. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: It says |
| 6 | "cash, " yeah. |
| 7 | MR. LUTZ: Yeah. |
| 8 | MS. GARNER: That would be -- |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: What's the |
| 10 | wishes of the Board? |
| 11 | MR. NUNAN: I think we move forward |
| 12 | with 50 percent. I mean I look at it, because I |
| 13 | know that from the ERI standpoint, the Board, |
| 14 | before $I$ came on the Board, had given us money, |
| 15 | and we've matched. |
| 16 | MR. LUTZ: Yeah. |
| 17 | MR. NUNAN: And I know this is a |
| 18 | different -- you know, different round and a |
| 19 | different set of criteria, but I think it's |
| 20 | important that the local companies, whether big |
| 21 | or small, medium, whatever it is, they've got |
| 22 | to -- and Debbie put it -- a little skin in the |
| 23 | game from that standpoint of it's an investment, |

but it's also -- the state's also making the investment. So --

MR. LUTZ: Uh-huh.
MR. NUNAN: -- I think 50 percent is
a safe number, because it doesn't matter if you're the mom and pop that needs a hundred grand, you can come up with that other piece of it, or if it's a Republic or somewhere, or an ERI, saying, "Hey, we need a million," it depends on the size of your company. You should be able, if you're really wanting to expand, to have that money out there. So, I think the 50 percent is a safe number, and would say consistent, because the other ones are 50 percent, too; correct Deanna?

MS. GARNER: Correct.
MR. NUNAN: Okay. So, I mean that way, as a Board, we kind of set -- okay. These are the guidelines no matter what kind of grant we set up, 50 percent, 50 percent. It makes it easier for us to manage from that standpoint. And understand, that's just my feelings right now.


| 1 | MR. LUTZ: Yes. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay. |
| 3 | Guerin? |
| 4 | MR. GUERIN: Yes. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Mr. Nunan? |
| 6 | MR. NUNAN: Yes. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay. |
| 8 | Ms. Whitehead? |
| 9 | MS. WHITEHEAD: Yes. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: And then we |
| 11 | have Kelly Weger? |
| 12 | MS. WEGER: Yes. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: And then |
| 14 | Gratz? |
| 15 | MS. GARNER: He unmuted, but we |
| 16 | didn't hear you, Matt. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Matt, can |
| 18 | you hear us? |
| 19 | MR. GUERIN: Coffee break. |
| 20 | MS. GARNER: He just unmuted himself, |
| 21 | so that might be a connection problem. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yeah. |
| 23 | Okay. Well, the motion still -- |

MS. GARNER: We do -- yeah.
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: -- yeah,
carried, so --

MS. GARNER: It passes.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Thank you, everyone.

And remaining schedule, next on the agenda, 2023 schedule, next on October 26 th. That will be going over the applications, and before we have any comments from the audience - -

MS. GARNER: I was going to --
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: -- anything
else?

MS. GARNER: Yeah. I was going to
mention that the next meeting, it's going to -be ready to be there the full time, probably, if we have this full 19 grants to award, but we will also have a representative from the Office of Energy. There is a statute still in place from when the Board was created that funding opportunities or grants that the Office of Energy wants to do has to get Board approval. So, they'll be there to speak on that and get our
approval.
And then, as $I$ mentioned, Plus Five will be here for their amendment request. So, we're going to have a full meeting just that next meeting, so $I$ just wanted to bring that up. And let me know as soon as possible if you have conflicts and can't be there, because obviously that's the one we like to see everybody be able to attend.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Yeah. I
will probably be virtual. That's fall break week, and also, we're in the midst of harvest at that --

MS. GARNER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: -- time, so
I will try to be virtual the best $I$ can.
MS. HACKMAN: A busy week.
MS. WEGER: Yeah, similar, Deanna --
this is Kelly -- I've got a conference that's on
my calendar. I haven't posted anything yet, but it's that entire week, so there might be a conflict or, at the very least, $I$ might be virtual.

```
                    MS. GARNER: Yeah.
                            So, the rest of the Board, let me know,
and if we don't have a quorum, we can look into
another date right around there as well. So,
just keep me updated on that.
                            CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Board
members, anything else you want to say?
Ms. Hackman?
    MS. HACKMAN: I'm good.
                            CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay.
                            MR. LUTZ: Just Bruce, prayers to you
for getting well and health, and get better.
                            CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Listen to
your doctors.
```

                    (Laughter.)
                    CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Mr. Guerin?
                    MR. BURROW: Thank you all very much.
                    MR. GUERIN: No, I'm fine. Thanks.
                    CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Mr. Nunan?
                            MR. NUNAN: No, thank you.
                            CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Kelly, do
    you have anything to add?
MS. WEGER: No, just the same, Bruce,

```
get better soon.
```

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Mr. Gratz,
do you have any additions?
MR. GRATZ: No. Sorry I lost you
guys earlier. I had a dead spot, but $I$ have nothing else to add.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Okay.
Anyone else in the audience?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: I need a motion to adjourn.

MR. LUTZ: I make a motion to adjourn.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Second?

MR. NUNAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: All right.
All those in favor?
(Board members responded, "Aye.")
CHAIRMAN WESSELER-HENRY: Thanks,
everyone. Thanks for joining us.

Thereupon, the proceedings of July 27, 2023 were concluded at 9:57 o'clock a.m.
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