In The Matter Of: INDIANA ENVIRONMENTAL RULES BOARD

November 13, 2019

WILLIAM F. DANIELS, D/B/A ACCURATE REPORTING OF INDIANA
12922 BRIGHTON AVENUE
CARMEL, INDIANA 46032
317.848.0088
ACCURATEREPORTINGOFINDIANA@HOTMAIL.COM

Original File IERB 11-13-19.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

	_
1	BEFORE THE STATE OF INDIANA
2	ENVIRONMENTAL RULES BOARD
3	
4	
5	PUBLIC MEETING OF NOVEMBER 13, 2019
6	
7	
8	
9	PROCEEDINGS
10	before the Indiana Environmental Rules Board,
11	Beverly Gard, Chairman, taken before me, Lindy L.
12	Meyer, Jr., a Notary Public in and for the State
13	of Indiana, County of Shelby, at the Indiana
14	Government Center South, Conference Center,
15	Room A, 402 West Washington Street, Indianapolis,
16	Indiana, on Wednesday, November 13, 2019 at
17	1:30 o'clock p.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	William F. Daniels, RPR/CP CM d/b/a
22	ACCURATE REPORTING OF INDIANA 12922 Brighton Avenue
23	Carmel, Indiana 46032 (317) 848-0088

	2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	BOARD MEMBERS:
3	Beverly Gard, Chairman Ken Rulon
4	Karen Valiquett Joanne Alexandrovich
5	Angelique Collier R. T. Green
6	William Etzler Chris Horn
7	Michael Schuler Calvin Davidson
8	Chris Smith, Proxy, Department of Natural Resources
9	Mark Wasky, Proxy, Indiana Economic Development Corporation
10	Jeffrey Cummins, Proxy, Lieutenant Governor
11	Bruno Pigott (nonvoting)
12	IDEM STAFF MEMBERS:
13	Karla Kindrick
14	Chris Pedersen
15	Matt Stuckey Krystal Hackney
16	Keelyn Walsh Nancy King
17	Dan Watts Mark Derf
18	Clark Kirkman
19	PUBLIC SPEAKERS:
20	Craig Williams
21	
22	
23	

1	1:30 o'clock p.m.
2	November 13, 2019
3	CHAIRMAN GARD: If I could have
4	everyone's if I could have everyone's
5	attention, it's 1:30. Thank you. It's 1:30, so
6	we'll go ahead and call the November 13th, 2019
7	Indiana Environmental Rules Board meeting to
8	order. A quorum is present. There aren't any
9	changes to the order of the meeting, I don't
LO	think, but you in your folder, you'll notice
L1	you've got some additions and changes from the
L2	packet that was sent to you.
L3	The first order of business today is the
L4	approval of the summary of the August 14th, 2019
L5	Board meeting, and thank you for chairing that.
L6	Are there any additions or corrections to the
L7	summary as presented?
L8	(No response.)
L9	CHAIRMAN GARD: Do I hear a motion to
20	approve?
21	MR. ETZLER: So moved.
22	MR. DAVIDSON: So moved. Second.
23	CHAIRMAN GARD: All in favor, say

```
4
1
    aye.
 2
                MR. HORN: Aye.
 3
                MS. VALIQUETT: Aye.
                MS. ALEXANDROVICH:
 4
                                     Aye.
5
                MR. GREEN: Aye.
 6
                MR. ETZLER: Aye.
7
                MR. SCHULER: Aye.
 8
                MR. CUMMINS:
                               Aye.
9
                MR. RULON: Aye.
10
                MS. COLLIER: Aye.
11
                MR. WASKY: Aye.
12
                MR. DAVIDSON: Aye.
13
                MR. SMITH: Aye.
14
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: Aye.
           Opposed, nay?
15
16
                       (No response.)
17
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: The minutes from
18
    August 14th are approved.
19
           Commissioner, you have the floor.
20
                 COMM. PIGOTT: Thank you, Madam
21
    Chairwoman and members of the Board. First, I'd
22
    just like to introduce Karla.
```

Karla, would you stand up for just a

minute?

Karla is a new administrative assistant for the Environmental Rules Board. Karla's not a new person in our agency. She's worked with the Office of Water Quality for -- how long, Karla? How many years was that?

MS. KINDRICK: Fourteen.

COMM. PIGOTT: Fourteen years, so she's pretty used to an unruly group of people down in the Office of Water Quality, particularly the then Assistant Commissioner, who she had to keep in line, and now she's been tasked with keeping in line all of us here on the Rules Board. She's going to do a great job, and if you ever have any questions or need any assistance, Karla's a great resource for us.

And we're just happy that you've got this position, Karla. So, I just wanted to introduce you to the Rules Board members first.

MS. KINDRICK: Thank you.

COMM. PIGOTT: The second thing I wanted to talk about was an incident that occurred in Northwest Indiana in August of this

year. As you may be aware, ArcelorMittal Burns
Harbor facility is one of the largest integrated
steel mills in the world, and North America for
sure.

On August 11th they had a failure of a pump system in its blast furnace gas closed water recycle system, which required the company to draw in Lake Michigan water. It also ended up ending with a discharge of cyanide into the Little Calumet River, which caused a fish kill of approximately 3,000 fish.

And IDEM and EPA -- IDEM and DNR responded to this incident immediately when we had found out about a fish kill on August, I believe it was, 12th. We had heard that there was some distressed fish on August 11th. We -- our emergency responders went to the scene and discovered a couple of distressed fish, but on the 12th we went back and found a large number of distressed fish. We did an investigation, which led us to the conclusion that there was a discharge of cyanide that caused the death of the fish in that water body.

We've been going through a thorough investigation process, which involved an inspection by several Indiana Department of Environmental Management staff, including our Branch Chief in our Compliance Branch, the Deputy Assistant Commissioner Bob Lugar from our Office of Program Support, as well as wastewater inspectors and emergency responders. So, we had a team of about ten people that responded to the incident and tracked down the cause of this problem.

In addition to doing the investigation, the Department had -- has met with ArcelorMittal, which has acknowledged that the cyanide was the cause of this, and is putting together a plan to help prevent this kind of problem occurring in the future. It's likely that this environmental issue will result in a federal consent decree with ArcelorMittal to ensure that they put in place the upgrades at the facility necessary to ensure that this thing doesn't happen again.

We've, as I mentioned, conducted an inspection. U.S. EPA has also conducted an

inspec -- two inspections, and simultaneously
we've been working with U.S. Midwestern facility,
which is located really on the same water body.

If you take a boat, which I did earlier in the
summer, upstream on the Little Cal toward the
lake, you can see the outfalls for ArcelorMittal,
but also -- the Burns Harbor facility -- but also
U.S. Midwest facility.

And so, we've been working with U.S.

Midwest to talk about upgrades, because they've reported several oil sheens and other discharges from their facility, and I just wanted to give you a brief statement that we've been working on this.

We've issued an inspection report for the ArcelorMittal facility. That inspection report is fully public and on our Web site. You can go to the front page of the IDEM Web site at www.idem.in.gov, and the report, the full report, which is 200 pages, is there, including pictures and data that we collected from the facility to investigate the cause of the problem. And U.S. EPA's also conducted an inspection.

We'll be working through the terms of a consent decree with them, and we're working with U.S. Midwest on improvements to their facility as well so that we don't have incidents occurring from the -- in the Grand Cal.

We've heard from representatives of the National Parks, the City of Portage, other communities, that they wanted increased reporting of these kinds of incidents, and we've talked to the head of the National Parks in Northwest Indiana as well as the former mayor of Portage and assured them that when incidents like this happen, we will contact them and be in touch with them.

And currently, and probably always, the NPDES permits that we issue require that a facility that has an issue like this, especially a spill, report to the agency as well as others in a very short time frame, and that time frame is dependent on several factors, so it could be the extent of the issue, whether it's a permanent exceedence or it's a spill.

And nonetheless, this incident was not

reported to the agency, the agency kind of discovered it. So, we'll be talking to these facilities about improving that performance, and we're committed to ensuring that elected officials, representatives of cities in the area, are well aware when incidents like this happen, so that they can take action necessary to ensure the safety of the folks in their communities as well if there's an incident.

And the reason I say that is that Portage actually has a fire boat, and they were out on the water in the Little Calumet River training firefighters on the fire boat the day after the incident, and they were very concerned that we -- they didn't know about it in time. If they had known, they could have pulled these people out of the water, kept them from going in on that day.

So, we're working with our partners. We are working through enforcement actions in areas, and we fully expect that both U.S. Steel and ArcelorMittal will be cooperative in terms of working through solutions to ensure this sort of thing doesn't happen again.

And I'm happy to answer any questions. 1 CHAIRMAN GARD: Commissioner, you 2 mentioned that there was an unauthorized 3 4 withdrawal from Lake Michigan. Under the terms of the Great Lake Compact, what -- what happens 5 with respect to that? 6 COMM. PIGOTT: Well, it wasn't a 7 discharge outside of the Lake Michigan area, so 8 the discharge occurred right back into the 9 Grand -- Little Cal --10 11 CHAIRMAN GARD: Okay. 12 COMM. PIGOTT: -- which had fed right back into Lake Michigan, so there wasn't an issue 13 14 with withdrawal from the Compact. 15 CHAIRMAN GARD: COMM. PIGOTT: The issue really was 16 17 that typically this system was a noncontact cooling water system, and it literally is not 18 19 supposed to come in contact with any pollutants. 20 And it's recyclable. It is used -- it's recyc --21 or it's treated, and it goes through the system 22 again and again.

And because of an emergency, because of

```
the failure of this blast furnace gas closed
1
    water recycled system, they have had to draw in
2
    water from Lake Michigan, and then they had to
3
                There was a flood, it was a bit of a
4
    discharge.
    chaotic scene at the facility, and they normally
5
    don't need to take in water for those purposes.
6
7
    Of course, they do take in water for other
    purposes at that facility, but that's all within
8
    the terms of the Compact.
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Uh-huh. Okay.
10
                                                 Thank
11
    you.
                COMM. PIGOTT:
12
                               You're welcome.
13
           But that's my report for today.
14
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Okay.
15
           Any -- any questions for the Commissioner?
16
                       (No response.)
17
                COMM. PIGOTT:
                                Thank you.
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Thank you. Uh-huh.
18
           Chris Pedersen for rulemaking.
19
20
                MS. PEDERSEN: Good afternoon.
                                                 I'm
    Chris Pedersen, with the Rules Development
21
    Section of the Office of Legal Counsel.
22
```

At our next meeting we are anticipating

several rules. We're also anticipating the next meeting will be on January 8th of 2020. At that meeting, the Emergency Rule for the Vigo County SO2 Redesignation and the Lake and Porter Counties Ozone Reclassification will probably be brought to you again. It will be presented to you today, but we'll need to bring it to you probably a couple more times, until the regular rulemaking is completed.

And if preliminarily adopted today, the

Indiana Harbor Coke Company and Cokenergy SO2 Rule

might be available -- or might be ready to come

before you for final adoption in January.

And then also at the January meeting, there are two rules that may be ready for preliminary adoption. The first is Coal Cleaning Degreasing. Sources that are operating coal cleaner degreasers must currently use a low vapor pressure solvent for cleaning machine parts, and low solvent -- low pressure solvent can be problematic for them, so this rule will allow for the use of a solvent with a higher vapor pressure in conjunction with an alternative control device

to control emissions instead of the existing requirements. These amendments are consistent with existing EPA rules, so that's one that we would be bringing before you.

Another, the Transfer Station Reporting
Rule. This rule removes an annual reporting
requirement for solid waste transfer stations and
moves the remaining record keeping requirements
into a different section. The annual report is
something that can be viewed by IDEM staff when
they visit the facility, so there was no need to
have them send it in to the Department.

Also, there's a rule under a special rulemaking process in the Indiana Code, it's IC 13-14-9-14, which is scheduled for a presentation. This is the Indianapolis Combined Sewer Overflow, or CSO, Wet-Weather Limited-Use Subcategory Rulemaking. This rule process is specifically available for communities with combined sewer overflows that develop a long-term control plan and a use attainability analysis that is approved by the Department. It establishes a CSO wet weather limited-use

subcategory for the area that's identified in the use attainability analysis.

The CWA Authority, Incorporated,

Indianapolis, has received approval, and this
rulemaking is to establish a CSO wet-weather

limited-use subcategory of the recreational-use
designation for seven stretches of water in

Indianapolis. The rule allows the water quality
based requirements established in the long-term
control plan be used for up to four days after a

CSO discharge.

Are there any questions about rulemaking schedules before I move on to the final topic I have?

15 CHAIRMAN GARD: Any questions from 16 the Board?

17 (No response.)

18 CHAIRMAN GARD: No.

MS. PEDERSEN: If not, in your Board packet that was sent to you, there is an air permitting report that is presented to you once every year. That is usually in November, October or November. Matt Stuckey, the Deputy Assistant

- Commissioner of the Office of Air Quality, is
 here to answer any questions that you may have on
- 3 that document.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GARD: That's particularly
- 5 good. That's a good document.
- 6 MS. PEDERSEN: All right.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GARD: Any questions from
- 8 the Board?
- 9 (No response.)
- MS. PEDERSEN: Thank you.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GARD: Thank you.
- 12 Today we have one emergency rule that the
- 13 Board will be asked to adopt, the Vigo County SO2
- 14 Redesignation and Lake and Porter Counties Ozone
- 15 Reclassification.
- 16 We will have hearings for the following
- 17 Board actions: Final adoption of Portland Cement
- 18 Monitoring; Title 326 CFR Update; and Title 329
- 19 CFR update. We'll have preliminary adoption of
- 20 Indiana Harbor Coke Company and Cokenergy SO2
- 21 Revisions; Emission Reporting; and Waste Tires.
- 22 We will also have also one nonrule policy
- 23 document presentation today on Inspection Right

of Access. And finally, we have a Citizen's Petition for rulemaking that will be presented today.

I'd like to ask you all out there to fill out a comment card if you intend to comment on any of these and give them to Karla at the table if you wish to testify.

Rules that are being considered at today's meeting were included in Board packets and are available for public inspection at the Office of Legal Counsel, 13th Floor of the Indiana Government Center North. The entire Board packet is also available on IDEM's Web site at least one week prior to each Board meting.

A written transcript of today's meeting will be made. The transcript and any legal submissions will be open for public inspection at the Office of Legal Counsel. A copy of the transcript will be posted on the rules page of the agency Web site when it becomes available.

Will the official reporter for the cause please stand, raise your right hand, and state your name?

1 (Reporter sworn.)

2 CHAIRMAN GARD: Thank you.

The Board will now consider adoption of an Emergency Rule to update designations for Lake and Porter Counties for the 2008 Eight-Hour Ozone Standard and Redesignation of two townships in Vigo County to Attainment for the 2010 Primary One-Hour SO2 Standard. This Emergency Rule temporarily incorporates the current federal designations.

I will enter Exhibit A, the draft
Emergency Rule, into the record of the meeting.

Is there someone from the agency to present the rule? Krystal Hackney.

MS. HACKNEY: Good afternoon, members of the Board. My name is Krystal Hackney, and I'm a rule writer in the Rules Development Section within the Office of Legal Counsel.

This rule temporarily revises

326 IAC 1-4-46 and 326 IAC 1-4-65 to reclassify

Lake and Porter Counties for the 2008 eight-hour

ozone standard. Lake and Porter Counties are

currently classified as moderate nonattainment.

- 1 On August 7th, 2019, U.S. EPA reclassified these
- 2 counties, which are part of the Chicago
- 3 nonattainment area, to serious nonattainment.
- 4 This is due to the area's failure to meet the
- 5 2008 eight-hour ozone standard using the
- 6 monitoring data that was collected from 2015
- 7 through 2017 by the attainment date of July 20th,
- 8 2018.
- 9 This rule is also revising 326 IAC 1-4-85
- 10 to update part of Vigo County for the 2010 SO2
- 11 standard. Fayette and Harrison Townships,
- 12 located in Vigo County, were redesignated from
- 13 nonattainment to attainment by U.S. EPA on
- 14 July 8th of 2019.
- 15 State air permits must be issued in
- 16 accordance with either the designations in
- 17 326 IAC 1-4 or an effective emergency rule that
- 18 supersedes the existing state rule. This
- 19 emergency rule will allow affected sources to be
- 20 permitted under the appropriate air permitting
- 21 rule until the formal rulemaking is completed.
- 22 If adopted, this emergency rule will be
- filed and become effective immediately for 90

```
days. IDEM requests that the Board adopt this
1
    emergency rule as presented, and I'm available to
 2
 3
    answer any further questions that you may have.
 4
           Thank you.
5
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: Do Board members have
6
    any questions?
7
                       (No response.)
                MS. HACKNEY:
                               Thank you.
 8
                 CHAIRMAN GARD:
9
                                 Thank you.
10
           Is there any Board discussion?
11
                       (No response.)
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: Do I hear a motion to
12
13
    adopt the emergency rule?
14
                MR. RULON: So moved.
                               Second.
15
                MR. CUMMINS:
16
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: All in favor, say
17
    aye.
18
                MR. HORN: Aye.
19
                MS. VALIQUETT: Aye.
20
                MS. ALEXANDROVICH: Aye.
21
                MR. GREEN: Aye.
22
                MR. ETZLER: Aye.
23
                MR. SCHULER: Aye.
```

```
MR. CUMMINS:
1
                               Aye.
2
                MR. RULON:
                             Aye.
                MS. COLLIER:
3
                               Aye.
4
                MR. WASKY: Aye.
5
                MR. DAVIDSON:
                                Aye.
                MR. SMITH:
6
                           Aye.
7
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Aye.
           All opposed, nay.
8
9
                       (No response.)
                CHAIRMAN GARD: The emergency rule is
10
11
    adopted.
           This is a public hearing before the
12
    Environmental Rules Board of the State of Indiana
13
14
    concerning final adoption of amendments to rules
15
    at 326 IAC 3-5-1 regarding the Continuous Opacity
    Monitoring for Portland Cement Plants.
16
17
           I will now introduce Exhibit B, the rule
    as preliminarily adopted with IDEM's suggested
18
19
    changes, into the record of the hearing.
20
           Keelyn Walsh will present the rule.
21
                MS. WALSH: Good afternoon, members
22
    of the Board. I'm Keelyn Walsh, and I'm here to
23
    present Rule No. 18-364, Portland Cement
```

Monitoring, for your consideration.

This rulemaking updates 326 IAC 3-5 to remove the continuous opacity monitoring requirement in the current state rule, and gives Portland Cement plants the option to use a continuous parametric monitoring system, or CPMS, to be consistent with federal regulations in the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, or NESHAP, at 40 CFR 63, Subpart LLL. These updates will allow Portland Cement plants to operate more efficiently and reduce operating costs by allowing sources to utilize existing equipment to monitor emissions and ensure compliance with the NESHAP.

This is a second final adoption of the final rule that was presented to you at the May Board meeting. This rule was recalled during promulgation by the Attorney General's Office, which identified a deficiency in the rule language at 326 IAC 3-5-1(c) pertaining to IDEM's process for approving sources to use the alternative control method in the proposed rule. The Attorney General stated that the provision

did not include adequate criteria for explaining how the agency would make a decision to approve or deny Portland Cement plants' use of the alternative control method.

Therefore, the rule language has been revised to more clearly state the conditions under which the Department will grant approval for Portland Cement plants to use the alternative CPMS to measure particular -- particulate matter emissions, as indicated in the newly added language at 326 IAC 3-5-1(c)(2).

Additionally, new language that was added in 3-5-1(b)(5) of the original final adopted rule has been removed and included as part of the new subdivision at 3-5-1(c)(2). These new clarifications to the rule language have been reviewed by the Attorney General's Office, which indicated that the changes adequately address their concerns.

In summary, this rulemaking is being presented as a second final adoption of the revisions to 326 IAC 3-5-1 to allow Portland Cement plants the option to use a CPMS to monitor

```
emissions based on the updated federal rule, and
1
    makes further revisions to 326 IAC 3-5-1(b)(5)
2
    and 3-5-1(c)(2), to clarify the rule language
3
4
    after the rule was recalled during promulgation.
           IDEM requests that the Board final adopt
5
    this rule as presented, and program staff and I
6
7
    are available to answer any further questions you
    may have.
8
           Thank you.
9
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Are there any
10
11
    questions from the Board?
12
                       (No response.)
13
                CHAIRMAN GARD:
                                 Thank you.
14
           I don't have any speaker cards turned in.
    Does anybody want to speak to this rule?
15
16
                       (No response.)
17
                CHAIRMAN GARD: With that, the
    hearing is concluded. The Board will now
18
    consider final adoption of amendments to
19
20
    326 IAC 3-5-1, Portland Cement Monitoring.
                                                  Is
    there any Board discussion?
21
22
                       (No response.)
23
                 CHAIRMAN GARD:
                                 Do I hear a motion to
```

```
1
    adopt IDEM's suggested changes?
 2
                MR. RULON: So moved.
 3
                MR. WASKY: Second.
                CHAIRMAN GARD: All in favor, say
 4
5
    aye.
 6
                MR. HORN: Aye.
                MS. VALIQUETT: Aye.
7
                MS. ALEXANDROVICH: Aye.
8
9
                MR. GREEN: Aye.
10
                MR. ETZLER: Aye.
11
                MR. SCHULER: Aye.
12
                MR. CUMMINS:
                               Aye.
13
                MR. RULON: Aye.
14
                MS. COLLIER: Aye.
15
                MR. WASKY: Aye.
16
                MR. DAVIDSON: Aye.
17
                MR. SMITH: Aye.
18
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Aye.
19
           Opposed, nay.
20
                       (No response.)
                CHAIRMAN GARD: The changes are
21
    adopted. We need a motion to final adopt the
22
23
    rules as amended.
```

1	MR. RULON: So moved.
2	MR. DAVIDSON: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN GARD: This is a roll-call
4	vote. Ms. Collier?
5	MS. COLLIER: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Wasky?
7	MR. WASKY: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Rulon?
9	MR. RULON: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Davidson?
11	MR. DAVIDSON: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Cummins?
13	MR. CUMMINS: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Smith?
15	MR. SMITH: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Schuler?
17	MR. SCHULER: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Horn?
19	MR. HORN: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN GARD: Dr. Alexandrovich?
21	DR. ALEXANDROVICH: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Etzler?
23	MR. ETZLER: Yes.

- CHAIRMAN GARD: Ms. Valiquett?

 MS. VALIQUETT: Yes.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Green?
- 4 MR. GREEN: Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

- 5 CHAIRMAN GARD: And the Chair votes 6 aye. The vote is 13 to 0. The final rule is 7 adopted as amended.
 - This is a public hearing before the Environmental Rules Board of the State of Indiana concerning final adoption of amendments to rules at 326 IAC 1 and 12, updating references to the CFR.
- I will now introduce Exhibit C, the draft rules, into the record of the hearing. Keelyn
 Walsh will present the rule.
- MS. WALSH: Hello again. I'm Keelyn
 Walsh, and I'm here to present Rule No. 19-382,
 References to the Code of Federal Regulations,
 for your consideration.
 - This rulemaking updates references to the Code of Federal Regulations for air rules at 326 IAC 1-1-3 to the July 1st, 2018 edition.

 Currently, the latest version of the CFR

new federal regulations have been promulgated since that date that are not reflected in the current version of 326 IAC. Updating the reference date to July 1st, 2018 will ensure that 326 IAC will be consistent with those regulations that the Federal Government promulgated between July 1st, 2015 and June 30th, 2018.

- Additionally, this rulemaking updates the reference to the CFR at 326 IAC 12-1-1 concerning the exception of 40 CFR 60, Subpart TTTT from incorporation by reference. U.S. EPA published a final rule in the Federal Register on October 23rd, 2015 that established standards of emissions for carbon dioxide for new or modified fossil-fuel-fired electric generating units, or EGU's.
- Subsequently, on December 20th, 2018,
 U.S. EPA published a proposed rule in the Federal
 Register containing amendments to the 2015 final
 rule that removed certain requirements of the
 emission standards for new EGU's. Therefore,
 IDEM is proposing to exclude the October 23rd,

- 1 2015 federal rule in the rulemaking since the proposed revisions have not yet been finalized. 2 Updating the CFR to mean the July 1st, 3 2018 edition will have a positive effect on 4 business, local government and citizens by 5 6 ensuring that state and federal rules are consistent. 7 IDEM requests that the Board final adopt this rule as presented, and program staff and I 9 are available to answer any further questions you 10 11 may have. 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN GARD: Any questions from 14 the Board? 15 (No response.) 16 CHAIRMAN GARD: I don't have any 17 speaker cards. Is there anyone that would like
- CHAIRMAN GARD: This hearing is
 concluded. The Board will now consider final
 adoption of amendments to 326 IAC 1 and 12,
 Updating CFR References. Any Board discussion?

(No response.)

to speak to this?

18

DR. ALEXANDROVICH: Madam Chair? 1 CHAIRMAN GARD: Yes. 2 DR. ALEXANDROVICH: I would just like 3 to go on record, again, regarding the updates 4 that it would be better if we could update 5 to 2019 rather than 2018. I know we've heard 6 this before that it's still -- it's slow, and I 7 think it's too slow. So, I just want to put that 8 out there once again. 10 CHAIRMAN GARD: Is there any way that 11 can happen, Nancy. 12 MS. KING: No, there is no way that 13 can happen. 14 (Laughter.) CHAIRMAN GARD: Okay. I better not 15 ask why. 16 17 MS. KING: I'm happy to explain why if you'd like to know. 18 19 CHAIRMAN GARD: Really? 20 (Laughter.) 21 MS. KING: Sure. Why not? Well, you 22 may or may not have noticed that our rulemaking process is longer than evolution in and of 23

itself, so that's part of it, but as these come up when they're adopted, July 1, 2018 is the most recent one that we can put out there.

I don't know if you remember a couple of years ago -- I believe you were on the Board at that point, Dr. Alexandrovich -- we were trying to update, I think it was, something in our Confined Feeding Rules, and we had gone through a process where there had been an update in between preliminary and final adoption, and so, we thought, "Well, we should be able to pick that up. There's no reason that folks aren't notified, because this is what we're talking about, things like that."

We had a real issue with the Attorney

General about that, because what we had noticed

at that point in time was this particular set of

federal regulations. So, there was that

difficulty with them as far as people having the

appropriate notice for adopting something as

large as this, understanding that when we're

updating the references, it's because we have

references dotted throughout our regulations.

And in that situation, within the regs it will say essentially language is -- unless it specifically matches another date within a specific regulation, we're updating everything to this particular version. So, that requires folks to go through and make sure that there aren't specific things in the earlier incorporation in certain aspects of the rules that they want to retain.

So, it's more difficult than just updating every time something new comes out, because we have to review the rules to make sure that we aren't creating an unintentional conflict. So, the timing of when the federal reg is published versus when we update them, the logistical difficulties have to do with the length of time it takes us to do rulemaking, our notice requirements, and making sure that we are updating to the most current version that everyone wants to use within our programs, because we don't always update every single thing every single year. That's not how it works.

So, that's the process that we follow. It

does have to do -- you're absolutely right, it's slow. I wish, and many is the time that I've been asked "Why can't we just say, 'Use the most recent version for everything'?" We can't.

Under Indiana law, we have to do a date certain when we incorporate something by reference, and that way people know what version they're using.

But it does make it difficult when we have older regs that we haven't touched in a while, and they have documents or guidances or whatever that we might be referencing that are quite old, because it makes it difficult for people to get to them.

So, there's that aspect of it that it makes sense from a practical standpoint to say, "Well, you should use the most recent version."

But the reality is you have to know what version you're using -- what version is being enforced and what version you, as a person who is required to follow these rules, what version you are being essentially judged on by whoever regulates you. So, that's part of the reason that it -- it's a little funky. I admit it's funky.

```
1
                DR. ALEXANDROVICH: Well, it's got
    three years in.
 2
 3
                MS. KING: Slow and sure.
 4
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Do we -- do you have
5
    to present updates like this at OMB, too, and get
6
    their approval?
7
                COMM. PIGOTT: Yes.
                MS. KING: Oh, yes.
 8
                COMM. PIGOTT:
                                In fact, all of our
9
    rules go to OMB --
10
                CHAIRMAN GARD: I thought it was
11
    something like that.
12
13
                COMM. PIGOTT: -- for approval, and
14
    that's a slow process as well.
                MS. KING: Yeah, I didn't go there.
15
16
                COMM. PIGOTT: No, you didn't.
17
                        (Laughter.)
18
                MR. STUCKEY: You skipped that
    altogether.
19
20
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Okay. Any further
21
    questions?
22
                       (No response.)
23
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Do I hear a motion to
```

1 final adopt rules -- the rules as presented? 2 MR. DAVIDSON: So moved. 3 MR. SMITH: Second. CHAIRMAN GARD: This is a roll-call 4 5 vote. Ms. Collier? 6 MS. COLLIER: Yes. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Wasky? 9 MR. WASKY: Yes. CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Rulon? 10 MR. RULON: Yes. 11 12 CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Davidson? MR. DAVIDSON: Yes. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Cummins? MR. CUMMINS: Aye. 15 16 CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Smith? 17 MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Schuler? 18 MR. SCHULER: Yes. 19 20 CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Horn? 21 MR. HORN: Yes. CHAIRMAN GARD: Dr. Alexandrovich? 22 23 DR. ALEXANDROVICH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Etzler? 1 MR. ETZLER: 2 Yes. CHAIRMAN GARD: Ms. Valiquett? 3 4 MS. VALIQUETT: Yes. CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Green? 5 MR. GREEN: Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN GARD: And the Chair votes 7 It's 13 - 0. The rule is adopted. 8 This is a public hearing before the 9 Environmental Rules Board of the State of Indiana 10 concerning preliminary adoption of amendments to 11 12 rules at 329 IAC 1-1, 7.1, 10, 11 and 13, 13 updating references to the CFR. 14 I will now introduce Exhibit D, the draft rules, into the record of the hearing. 15 Krystal Hackney will present the rule. 16 17 MS. HACKNEY: Hello again. My name is Krystal Hackney. 18 So, this rule will update certain waste 19 20 rules in Title 329 to the July 1st, 2017 version of the Code of Federal Regulations. 21 This will 22 provide updated federal requirements to regulated 23 entities, with the exception of those printed on

or after July 1st, 2017. This rulemaking revises
the date associated with the CFR citations and
corrects incorporation by reference language as

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

needed.

Revisions are made to the CFR references 5 in 329 IAC 1, which is the General Provisions; 6 329 IAC 7.1, which is the Priority Ranking System 7 for Hazardous Substances Response Sites; 8 329 IAC 10, which is the Solid Waste Land Disposal Facilities; 329 IAC 11, which is the 10 Solid Waste Processing Facilities; and 11 329 IAC 13, which is the Used Oil Management, 12 unless a previous edition is identified in a 13 14 specific rule.

In addition, 329 IAC 3.1-2 concerning requests for information under the hazardous waste rules is being repealed because the requirements can be found in statute and this rule is no longer used by the Department. Aside from the repeal in 329 IAC 3.1-2, this rule does not make any changes to the Hazardous Waste Rules in 329 IAC 3-1.

This rule also includes administrative

revisions to ensure compliance with rule drafting 1 guidelines, and for opportunities to streamline, simplify, and clarify existing language. 3 4 IDEM requests that the Board preliminarily 5 adopt this rule as presented. I'm available to 6 answer any further questions that you may have. 7 CHAIRMAN GARD: Any questions from Board members? 8 9 (No response.) CHAIRMAN GARD: I don't have any 10 speaker cards. Anybody wants to speak to the 11 rule? 12 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRMAN GARD: The hearing is The Board will now consider final 15 concluded. adoption of amendments to 329 IAC 1, 7.1, 10, 11 16 17 and 13, Updated CFR References. 18 discussion? 19 (No response.) 20 CHAIRMAN GARD: Motion to adopt the 21 final rule as presented? 22 MR. SMITH: So moved. 23 CHAIRMAN GARD: Is there a second?

1	MR. DAVIDSON: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN GARD: This is a roll-call.
3	Ms. Collier?
4	MS. COLLIER: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Wasky?
6	MR. WASKY: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Rulon?
8	MR. RULON: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Davidson?
10	MR. DAVIDSON: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Cummins?
12	MR. CUMMINS: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Smith?
14	MR. SMITH: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Schuler?
16	MR. SCHULER: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Horn?
18	MR. HORN: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN GARD: Dr. Alexandrovich?
20	MS. ALEXANDROVICH: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN GARD: Mr. Etzler?
22	MR. ETZLER: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN GARD: Ms. Valiquett?

```
MS. VALIQUETT:
1
                                 Yes.
                CHAIRMAN GARD:
                                 Mr. Green?
2
                MR. GREEN:
                             Yes.
3
                CHAIRMAN GARD: The Chair votes aye.
4
5
    The rule is adopted 13 to 0.
           This is a public hearing before the
6
    Environmental Rules Board of the State of Indiana
7
    concerning preliminary adoption of amendments to
8
    rules at 326 IAC 7-4.1 regarding SO2 emission
9
    limitations for coke oven facilities at Indiana
10
    Harbor Coke Company and Cokenergy.
11
12
           I will now present Exhibit E, the draft
13
    rules, into the record of the hearing.
14
           Dan Watts will present the rule.
15
                MR. WATTS: Good afternoon,
    Chairwoman Gard, members of the Board. I'm Dan
16
17
    Watts of the Rules Development Section.
    presenting LSA Document No. 19-388 for
18
    preliminary adoption.
19
20
           This rulemaking revises 326 IAC 7.1 --
    7. -- I'm sorry. The rulemaking revises
21
```

326 IAC 7-4.1 concerning sulphur dioxide

emissions limitations for Indiana Harbor Coke

22

Company and Cokenergy. These revisions address requirements of a federal consent decree that was agreed upon in October 2018 by the affected businesses, the State of Indiana, and at Federal Government. The affected businesses also requested specific revisions to the state rules in letters sent to IDEM in December 2018.

The rulemaking will amend the applicable rule requirements to be consistent with requirements of the consent decree. After the completion of the rulemaking, Indiana will submit requests to U.S. EPA for approval of the revisions into the Indiana State Implementation Plan.

The main amendments in the rulemaking are updates to the annual bypass venting limits for coke oven gases, including when heat recovery steam generated retubing is implemented, and the requirement for a permanent flow monitor at the affected facilities. The rulemaking also includes technical corrections and clarifications to the existing rule language that do not have a substantive effect on the application of the

1 rules. Representative from IDEM are available to 2 3 answer questions you may have for this 4 rulemaking, and the Department respectfully 5 requests that the Board preliminarily adopt this 6 rule so IDEM's rule requirements can be consistent with the requirements of the federal 7 consent decree and to satisfy the requests of the 8 affected businesses. 9 10 Thank you. CHAIRMAN GARD: Any questions for 11 12 Dan? MR. ETZLER: I have one. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GARD: Yes. 15 MR. WATTS: Yes. 16 MR. ETZLER: Dan, I just know -- I noticed that there's an annual limitation on 17 18 discharge --19 MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. 20 MR. ETZLER: -- and then there's a 21 daily. 22 MR. WATTS: Yes. 23 MR. ETZLER: Go ahead. I'll let

```
1
    you --
                MR. WATTS: Yeah, do you want me to
2
    explain the difference between the annual and
3
4
    daily?
                MR. ETZLER:
                              I understand, because I
5
6
    was in the wastewater business, so --
7
                MR. WATTS: Okay.
                MR. ETZLER: -- but I just wanted to
8
9
    make sure that that was correct, because you
    crossed out the annual in that last paragraph --
10
    well, I guess in paragraph (d) --
11
12
                MR. WATTS:
                             Paragraph (b)?
13
                MR. ETZLER: -- 1-8.
14
                MR. WATTS: Yeah.
                                    The --
                MR. ETZLER:
15
                             And I assume that's
    because it's covered in the other section, where
16
17
    you talk about the maximum annual being --
18
                             Yeah.
                                    In paragraph (b),
                MR. WATTS:
    in 7-4.1-8(b)(3), we crossed out the annual
19
20
    limitation because they are described in the
    added (c) and (d). Those are the new annual
21
22
    limitations based on the consent decree, and
23
    those differ from the daily -- daily limitations,
```

- 1 24-hour basis, because it's added together.
- 2 Basically you can vent 19 percent of the coke
- 3 oven gases on a 24-hour basis, but over the
- 4 course of the year, it has to be these annual
- 5 limits.
- 6 MR. ETZLER: And I assume that that
- 7 daily limit is because that may be when they're
- 8 doing work and emissions may be higher rather
- 9 then -- or is it just you can go up to 19 percent
- 10 whether you're working on the updates, upgrades,
- 11 whatever?
- MR. WATTS: That's my assumption as
- 13 well. The details of these facilities -- Mark,
- 14 is it possible, or will you be able to answer?
- MR. DERF: Mark Derf. I'm the
- 16 Section Chief of Technical Support. We had to
- 17 develop some way to model these facilities. So,
- 18 I understand that there's charges within these
- 19 ovens, so there is a variation in the emissions
- 20 that go through that, so I think the daily limit
- 21 will kind of limit those emissions during
- 22 charges.
- MR. ETZLER: Okay. Thank you.

```
MR. DERF: You're welcome.
1
2
                MR. ETZLER: My second questions,
    more directed to you, Commissioner, probably, do
3
4
    we do this for every consent decree that ever
    comes down, or --
5
                COMM. PIGOTT:
6
                               No.
                MR. ETZLER: -- is this specific to
7
    air rules?
8
                COMM. PIGOTT: Well, this is specific
9
    to this facility.
10
                MR. ETZLER: Well, I realize that
11
    it's specific to this facility.
12
13
                COMM. PIGOTT: But not generally in
14
    terms, for example, of water. As you know,
15
    there's not always something so specific in
    rules, but it's my understanding for this
16
    facility, in this case, this was the agreed-upon
17
    course that we would do it. But typically for a
18
    lot of consent decrees, we don't embed consent
19
20
    decree requirement in our rule language.
21
                MR. ETZLER: So --
22
                COMM. PIGOTT: We may extract it,
23
    perhaps.
```

MR. ETZLER: -- if ever the consent 1 decree gets satisfied, we have to go back and 2 change the rule again? 3 4 COMM. PIGOTT: Well, that's a good question, and I'd ask Dan. 5 Do you know whether we'd have to, or can 6 7 we just --MR. WATTS: If the consent decree is satisfied, would we have to change the rule? 9 10 COMM. PIGOTT: Yeah. MR. WATTS: Well, first of all, what 11 12 I can say about this particular consent decree is it has specific language in it requesting updates 13 14 to the state rule sections, so that's one of the 15 reasons we had to go in here and change these particular state rule sections, and I don't think 16 17 we included a copy of the entire consent decree in the Board packet, because so little of the 18 consent decree is actually related to --19 20 MR. ETZLER: I understand that. MR. WATTS: -- the functions of this 21 22 Board and rulemaking, but it's in there, if you

really want to go and look at it.

COMM. PIGOTT: No, but I think the question that Board Member Etzler is asking is whether or not we will -- at the end of some process, whether it's the end of the consent decree or whenever they're done implementing their changes, whether we'll need to go back and do another rulemaking and extract this language. And maybe Matt or Mark can help address that question, because I don't know the answer to that, but it is clear that in this case they wanted this in our rules.

MR. STUCKEY: Matt Stuckey, Deputy
Assistant Commissioner, Office of Air Quality.
Yeah, it -- air is a little bit different, but in
this case, the consent decrees that the Feds are
entering into and IDEM's intervening on, these
have been made permanently enforceable.

So, we already have conditions in our State Implementation Plan that are -- that these rules revise that were originally determined to be permanently enforceable, and they agreed to revise those based on this settlement. So, those now have to be adjusted in order to make them

permanently enforceable moving forward. 1 So, the consent decree, when it's done, when they've satisfied all of the requirements, 3 these conditions will live on. 4 That's the purpose of putting them into the rule, because 5 otherwise, if the consent decree goes away, so, 6 7 too, do the limits. Does that make sense? COMM. PIGOTT: So, what you're saying is that these conditions are permanent 9 conditions, they're not meant to expire --10 MR. STUCKEY: 11 Yes. COMM. PIGOTT: -- while the consent 12 13 decree will expire? 14 MR. STUCKEY: Right. COMM. PIGOTT: Because it's embedded 15 in the rule, they --16 17 MR. STUCKEY: Right. COMM. PIGOTT: -- will be enforced 18 for as long as this rule is --19 20 MR. STUCKEY: Until there's a -- you 21 know, potentially a future settlement, perhaps, 22 where they've modeled and showed that a different 23 method would still satisfy and protect the NAAQS.

MR. ETZLER: So, I'll take it one 1 more step. If I come in and say I want to site a 2 new plant to do exactly what they're doing, is 3 this the rule that I live up to? 4 5 COMM. PIGOTT: No. MR. STUCKEY: No. So, the limits 6 7 that are already in our State Implementation Plan are specific to that source, so any new source 8 would have to go through the new process. 9 MR. ETZLER: Okay. 10 11 MR. STUCKEY: Does that help? 12 MR. ETZLER: Thank you. 13 MR. WATTS: Is there --14 CHAIRMAN GARD: Any other questions? (Discussion off the record.) 15 CHAIRMAN GARD: Okay. I have no 16 17 speaker cards again. Anyone wish to speak to this rule? 18 19 (No response.) 20 CHAIRMAN GARD: The hearing is The Board will now consider 21 concluded. 22 preliminary adoption of amendments to rules at 23 326 IAC 7-4.1 regarding SO2 emission limitations

```
for coke oven facilities at Indiana Harbor Coke
1
    Company and Cokenergy. Any further Board
 2
    discussion?
 3
 4
                       (No response.)
5
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: I need a motion to
6
    preliminarily adopt the rules.
                MR. DAVIDSON: So moved.
7
8
                MR. CUMMINS: Second.
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: All in favor, say
9
10
    aye.
                MR. HORN: Aye.
11
12
                MS. VALIQUETT: Aye.
13
                MS. ALEXANDROVICH: Aye.
14
                MR. GREEN: Aye.
                MR. ETZLER: Aye.
15
                MR. SCHULER: Aye.
16
17
                MR. CUMMINS:
                               Aye.
18
                MR. RULON: Aye.
19
                MS. COLLIER:
                               Aye.
20
                MR. WASKY: Aye.
21
                MR. DAVIDSON: Aye.
22
                MR. SMITH: Aye.
23
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: Aye.
```

Those opposed, nay. 1 2 (No response.) CHAIRMAN GARD: The rules are 3 4 preliminarily adopted. This is a public hearing before the 5 Environmental Rules Board of the State of Indiana 6 7 concerning preliminary adoption of amendments to rules at 326 IAC 2-6-1 regarding Emissions 8 Reporting Requirements for Certain Sources in Clark, Floyd, LaPorte and Dearborn Counties. 10 I will now introduce Exhibit F, the draft 11 rules, into the record of the hearing. 12 13 Keelyn Walsh will present the rule. 14 MS. WALSH: Good afternoon once 15 I'm Keelyn Walsh, and I'm here to present Rule No. 19-409, Emissions Reporting Revisions, 16 17 for your consideration. On June 4th, 2018, U.S. EPA designated 18 Clark and Floyd Counties as nonattainment for the 19 20 2015 eight-hour ozone standard as a portion of

the Louisville, Kentucky-Indiana nonattainment

area. Areas are designated as nonattainment if

the measured concentrations of one or more of the

21

22

six criteria pollutants set by U.S. EPA under the Clean Air Act exceed the National Ambient Air Quality Standards, known as NAAQS, or contribute significant amounts of pollutants to an area that measures air quality that does not meet the NAAQS.

In accordance with Clean Air Act requirements, each state with an ozone nonattainment area must revise its State

Implementation Plan to require sources with volatile organic compounds or nitrogen dioxide emissions greater than 25 tons per year to submit an annual statement of actual emissions to U.S. EPA.

Therefore, this rulemaking will amend 326 IAC 2-6-1 to include Clark and Floyd Counties under this requirement to ensure that state rules are consistent with federal regulations. This rulemaking will also remove LaPorte County and Lawrenceburg Township in Dearborn County from the annual emission statement requirements, as both of these counties have demonstrated attainment of the ozone NAAQS and have been redesignated to

1 attainment. IDEM requests that the Board preliminarily 2 adopt this rule as presented, and program staff 3 and I are available to answer any further 4 5 questions you may have. 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN GARD: Any questions from Board members? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN GARD: I don't have any 10 speaker cards. Anybody want to speak to the 11 rule? 12 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRMAN GARD: If not, this hearing The Board will now consider is concluded. 15 preliminary adoption of amendments to rules at 16 17 326 IAC 2-6-1 regarding Emissions Reporting 18 Requirements at Certain Sources in Clark, Floyd, 19 LaPorte and Dearborn Counties. 20 (Comm. Pigott left the room.) 21 CHAIRMAN GARD: Is there any Board discussion? 22 23 (No response.)

```
CHAIRMAN GARD: I need a motion to
1
2
    preliminarily adopt the rules.
                MR. CUMMINS: So moved.
 3
                MR. DAVIDSON: Second.
 4
5
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: All in favor, say
6
    aye.
                MR. HORN: Aye.
7
8
                MS. VALIQUETT: Aye.
9
                MS. ALEXANDROVICH: Aye.
10
                MR. GREEN: Aye.
11
                MR. ETZLER: Aye.
12
                MR. SCHULER: Aye.
13
                MR. CUMMINS:
                               Aye.
14
                MR. RULON: Aye.
15
                MS. COLLIER: Aye.
16
                MR. WASKY: Aye.
17
                MR. DAVIDSON: Aye.
18
                MR. SMITH: Aye.
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: Aye.
19
20
           Opposed, nay.
21
                       (No response.)
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: The rules are
22
23
    preliminarily adopted.
```

This is a public hearing before the

Environmental Rules Board of the State of Indiana
concerning preliminary adoption of amendments to
rules at 329 IAC 15 regarding Waste Tire

Management.

I will now introduce Exhibit G, the draft
rules, into the record of the hearing.

Dan Watts will present the rule.

MR. WATTS: Good afternoon again.

Dan Watts, and I am presenting LSA

Document 17-279 for preliminary adoption.

TDEM is proposing extensive amendments to the Waste Tire Rules, because the rules have not been significantly revised since they were originally adopted over 20 years ago, and I'll attempt to summarize those revisions. In general, the rulemaking updates the existing waste tire management requirements at 329 IAC 15, and adds new requirements for the legitimate use of waste tires.

The changes to the existing rules include the incorporation of recent statutory changes, updates to reflect current conditions in the

waste tire management industry, enhancements to
the accountability and safety of waste tire
management, the addition of compliance
alternatives, updates to final assurance
requirements, amendments to outdated or
repetitive requirements, and general improvements
to the rule language. The goal of these
revisions is to improve the clarity, accuracy,
organization, flexibility, and administration of
the rules while still ensuring safe management of
waste tires.

The rulemaking also includes the addition of a regulatory framework for the legitimate use of waste tires. These proposed requirements allow the reuse of waste tires in a commercially valuable application, establish regulatory detail and transparency for legitimate use, and allow flexibility for innovative legitimate uses that may arise in the future. And these requirements provide a compliance alternative while still ensuring safe, accountable management of waste tires intended for or used in a legitimate use.

IDEM would also like to inform the Board

about a correction to Section 53 of the draft rule for an administrative error in the original Board packet. The draft rule omitted the section heading for Section 329 IAC 15-5-7 on page 42.

IDEM sent an electric version to the Board members that includes the correct section heading, and a copy of the section with the correct heading is included in your folder today. Because the section heading is for reference purposes and not a part of the draft rule language, this correction has no effect on the draft rule proposed for preliminary adoption.

and while these proposed changes are extensive, IDEM does not anticipate a significant financial impact from these changes because the majority of changes are nonsubstantive in nature and legitimate use is an optional compliance alternative, not mandatory. In fact, some regulated entities may experience cost savings with the proposed compliance alternatives, such as combined registration for stationary and mobile waste tire processors, abbreviated operational requirements for mobile waste tire

1 processors --(Comm. Pigott returned.) 2 MR. WATTS: -- elimination of standby 3 trust funds under certain conditions for certain 4 financial assurance requirements, and legitimate 5 6 use of waste tires rather than disposal of waste tires. 7 Representatives from IDEM are available to 9 answer questions you may have for this rulemaking, and the Department respectfully 10 requests that the Board preliminarily adopt these 11 12 proposed updates and improvements to the waste 13 tire management requirements. 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN GARD: Are there any questions? 16 Yes. 17 MR. DAVIDSON: Thank you, Madam Chair. 18 If I could direct your 19 Dan, thanks. 20 attention to 15-6-3, the legitimate uses. 21 MR. WATTS: 15-6-3? 22 MR. DAVIDSON: So, I'll go ahead 23 while everybody's finding their way there to

generally ask that in, I guess, getting down to 1 the uses for waste -- and I appreciate that we've 2 broadened -- I think we've broadened our ability 3 4 to look at considering that these can be legitimately used --5 MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. 6 7 MR. DAVIDSON: -- but I'm curious, on the second page of that, at the top of page 50 --8 MR. WATTS: Yeah. 9 MR. DAVIDSON: -- nos. (8), (11) 10 and (12), specifically identified as they can be 11 12 used to restrain a tarp or a cover, can be used at the racetrack, and they can be used in bedding 13 14 in dairy freestall barns as long as they have been altered to prevent the accumulation of 15 16 water. 17 I just wonder why those are the only three applicable uses without asking for Departmental 18 approval. There are a lot of other -- we see 19 20 tires used in a lot of places, and so I'll give you an example, and I'll cut to the quick. 21 22 So, in item 2 or 3, I think, you can use

them at the playground, we can crumb these ties

- up and we can use them at the playground, but if
 they have a whole tire at the playground as a
 swing, it's not in compliance, even if it's been
 altered in a way not to accumulate water, because
 if water -- because it's not one of those three,
 unless they come and ask.
- There are a bunch of examples. I quickly
 thought of a lot of places, boat docks, I mean
 there are a lot of places tires get used.
- Wouldn't it be easier to make that broad and just say, "As long as you alter it in a way that it doesn't accumulate water"?
- MR. WATTS: Well, let's see. I

 believe that we have -- there's somewhere in our

 legitimate use requirements that sort of

 specify -- no, it doesn't look like it.
- MR. DAVIDSON: I couldn't find it

 other than you have to come ask, and I know we're

 trying to implement --
- MR. WATTS: I understand what you're saying.
- MR. DAVIDSON: -- broader language,

 but innovation has always outran legislation, I

1 think.

MR. WATTS: Yeah.

MR. DAVIDSON: So, let's take a stab at that, if we could, because I think there's a hole there between the intention of allowing these to be used and a whole bunch of people being all at once out of compliance.

MR. WATTS: Well, one of the concerns we have with these preapproved uses is putting too many, you know, requirements on them and making it too burdensome to do these common preapproved uses, and so that might be why we did not add that caveat regarding the accumulation of water.

You know, we do require that all of these do not pose a threat to human health and the environment, but that really does not specify altering for the accumulation of water, so I can understand when you're coming from there. We can make note of that as something to consider for final adoption of this rulemaking.

MR. DAVIDSON: And if you would, I'd just consider, too, because if you read into it,

it falls back on the folks in that business that are providing the tires to the playgrounds. They might be providing mulch, but if they're also providing a stack of tires for the kids to play on, they could be chased for -- you see what I'm getting -- you know what I'm talking about?

MR. WATTS: Yeah.

MR. DAVIDSON: Because they supplied them, and if it's not a legitimate use, then it's going to come back on them, which is ultimately -- I know you guys aren't in the business of the siting of county parks or anything like that, but that's where we're running and we'll fall.

MR. WATTS: And I think one of the reasons that we specified it for these particular uses is that they are going to focus on using a whole tire rather than a cut-up tire, whereas like playground mulch -- well, you know, I remember playing on tire swings when I was in elementary school, and that's one of the reasons we came to add that phrase for those particular uses, but it's --

```
1
                MR. DAVIDSON:
                                They still have other
    uses, too, not just for old tires.
2
3
                COMM. PIGOTT:
                                Will do.
4
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Okay. Any other
5
    questions?
6
                       (No response.)
7
                CHAIRMAN GARD:
                                 I have no speaker
    cards.
            Is there anybody that wishes to speak to
8
9
    the rule?
10
                       (No response.)
                CHAIRMAN GARD: If not, this hearing
11
    is closed.
                The Board will now consider
12
    preliminary adoption of amendments to the rules
13
14
    at 329 IAC 15 regarding waste tire management.
    Any further Board discussion?
15
16
                       (No response.)
17
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Do I have a motion to
    preliminarily adopt the rules?
18
19
                MR. CUMMINS: So moved.
20
                CHAIRMAN GARD:
                                 Is there a second?
21
                MR. ETZLER: Second.
22
                CHAIRMAN GARD: All in favor, say
23
    aye.
```

```
1
                MR. HORN:
                            Aye.
                MS. VALIQUETT: Aye.
2
3
                MS. ALEXANDROVICH:
                                     Aye.
                MR. GREEN: Aye.
4
5
                MR. ETZLER:
                              Aye.
                MR. SCHULER:
6
                               Aye.
7
                MR. CUMMINS:
                               Aye.
                MR. RULON: Aye.
8
                MS. COLLIER:
9
                               Aye.
10
                MR. WASKY: Aye.
11
                MR. DAVIDSON:
                                Aye.
12
                MR. SMITH:
                             Aye.
13
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: Aye.
14
           Opposed, nay.
15
                       (No response.)
16
                 CHAIRMAN GARD: The rules are
17
    preliminarily adopted.
18
           We will now have a presentation on a
19
    nonrule policy document regarding Inspection
20
    Right of Access. Nancy King will provide the
    rule on the NPD process, and the document will be
21
22
    presented to the Board by Clark Kirkman of IDEM's
23
    Office of Legal Counsel.
```

MS. KING: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Board. I just wanted to give a real brief overview on presentation of a nonrule policy document. They don't happen very often. We have several new Board Members, and I want to give you the opportunity to ask any questions you may have before Clark gets up and presents it.

Basically under Indiana Code 13-14-1-11.5, it speaks to how the agency can use a nonrule policy document. It's a document that interprets, supplements, or implements a statute or a rule. It's not intended to supplant the law and have the force and effect of law. It essentially explains how we are interpreting the law.

And so, what we are required to do is develop that draft nonrule policy document, have it available for public comment for at least 45 days. We publish it on our Web site. We are required to provide to the Board any comments we've received, and I believe we got one comment that you all got in your Board packet.

We are then required to present the

nonrule policy document to the Board in its 1 either original or revised form. We are not 2 required to revise the document based on comments 3 received; however, the point is to improve the 4 document. So, if we do make those changes, we 5 explain those to you. The document itself, once 6 we present it to the appropriate Board, it 7 becomes effective 30 days after that. 8 So, with that, I will ask if you have any questions on the process prior to me introducing 10 Clark to speak to you on the nonrule policy. 11 12 CHAIRMAN GARD: Any questions for 13 Nancy? 14 (No response.) MS. KING: All right. I will ask 15 Clark Kirkman to come up and provide you with the 16 17 policy. 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN GARD: Okay. Clark. 20 MR. KIRKMAN: Good afternoon. Мy name is Clark Kirkman. I'm an attorney in IDEM 21

OLC, and I'm here to talk about the nonrule

policy document regarding Inspection --

22

Inspectors' Right of Access.

You know, IDEM OLC has received pretty frequent questions from IDEM inspectors related to issues that were discussed in the NPD on the scope of their access rights, how to implement their access rights. And then in 2018, OLC actually conducted a training for their inspectors on their access rights.

But following that, we decided to go ahead and produce this nonrule policy document, and in so doing, we got feedback from all of the different IDEM programs in -- you know, in crafting it and making it the best it can be.

The primary purpose of this nonrule policy document is simply to notify the public, to give inspectors something easy to direct skeptical facility operators or anyone who is receiving an IDEM inspector on our rights, and give -- you know, put that out there on the IDEM Web site.

There was a specif -- one specific concern that OLC had heard from other -- from the programs regarding a proliferation of having inspectors requesting to sign no-liability

waivers, which IDEM instructs its inspectors not to do.

And then recently we have seen that a lot of these logs that inspectors are being asked to sign were actually in our electronic, and they would contain these no-liability waivers. And so, whereas back some years ago, IDEM inspectors could cross that language out. When it's in an electronic format, it's not as easy to do.

So, we wanted to beef that up and give facilities across the state an understanding of what IDEM inspectors' rights are and how we're going to -- how we ask them not to ask our inspectors to sign no-liability waivers.

Other purposes that you might have seen in the document included making sure that we're getting access granted by people that have control over the facility and how -- and also just reciting how IDEM will view a denial of access.

As Nancy noted, we did receive one set of comments, and I'll just briefly discuss how we handled those. There were three comments

contained in that set. The first one, we did incorporate the spirit of it by eliminating certain references to the word "inspector" and just calling it a credential, which was actually defined in the document, so that sort of just was almost a typographical error.

The second comment concerned confidentiality, preserving confidentiality on the part of the inspectors. IDEM viewed that comment as beyond the scope of this access NPD. However, I'll note that there's a agency-wide effort -- there's a work group right now -- specifically for developing guidance on preserving confidentiality. So, that work is being done, but for this NPD, we felt it to be beyond the scope.

And for comment three, we did move 6.14 into the list of the other fourth amendment exceptions in 6.13, which did make sense, so we did go ahead and address that comment.

With that, I'll ask if anyone has any questions.

MS. ALEXANDROVICH: My packet came

- with two copies. Is there one I should -- could it be a little bit different?
- MR. KIRKMAN: It might be that you

 have the red line and the clean. There was a

 revised one, and then I created a red line to

 show the changes that were made from the initial
- one that had been posted a few months back, from which we then received the comment.
- 9 DR. ALEXANDROVICH: Okay.
- MR. ETZLER: I have a question, Madam
 Chair.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GARD: Yes.
- MR. ETZLER: Has the agency met with much resistance when inspectors go out on sites?
- 15 COMM. PIGOTT: Well, I think that,
 16 Clark, you mentioned that the issue wasn't
- 17 resistance as much as it was signing the
- 18 liability; is that correct?
- 19 MR. KIRKMAN: Yeah. I mean I'm not
- 20 in the best position to discuss how many denials
- 21 we get, although there are times when denials do
- 22 happen, and those are considered a breach of a
- 23 permit. You know, it's built into the permit

- 1 that you're required to give access.
- 2 MR. ETZLER: Right.
- 3 MR. KIRKMAN: But yet -- but when
- 4 facilities are -- it has been frequent that
- 5 facilities will ask our inspectors to sign
- 6 no-liability waivers, which we -- you know, IDEM
- 7 has a policy against them doing that, for obvious
- 8 reasons.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GARD: What happens if you
- 10 need to access an area where the activity is
- 11 really proprietary information?
- MR. KIRKMAN: Well, I mean there
- 13 certainly -- I mean if there is an inspect -- you
- 14 know, a reason to inspect, then, you know, that
- 15 is built into whatever regulated -- whatever
- 16 they're working with, but I mean they -- I'm
- 17 sorry; what's the question again?
- 18 COMM. PIGOTT: It's about whether or
- 19 not -- if an inspector goes into a facility and
- 20 goes into an area that has some confidential
- 21 business information in it, some -- and doesn't
- 22 maybe take a photo, but understands what is going
- 23 on -- because you mentioned the photo issue.

MR. KIRKMAN: And there -- and again, those policies, which we have, but they are being updated for -- to basically account for new ways that we store information, you know, digital storage, stuff like that, but, you know, facility operators are -- they have the option to request that certain things be made confidential, and there's, you know, statutes to that effect, you know, construction --

COMM. PIGOTT: So, Clark, just for clarity's sake, because I think the question's a really good one, typically a facility doesn't see the inspection report until it's released to the facility. Is it at that time that the facility would make a claim that the inform -- certain information in the inspection report could be confidential business information, and it would be -- would it be at that time that we would then go through or process of making a determination as to whether it really was, and therefore whether it could be extracted from the report?

I think that's when that occurs. If it's a photo, if it's a document, if it's a narrative

that explains a process that's confidential, we 1 would extract that provision, if we made the 2 determination that legally it met that 3 4 requirement, from the inspection report before issuing it as a final inspection report; is that 5 6 correct? Well -- and facilities 7 MR. KIRKMAN: actually are entitled to get a preliminary report 8 on the day of the inspection if they -- if 9 someone -- if the owner is there to receive that 10 oral report, and they can make those claims at 11 12 that point. I'd have to go back to the statute 13 to review. Again, that's beyond the scope of 14 this --Yeah. 15 COMM. PIGOTT: 16 MR. KIRKMAN: -- specific NPD --17 COMM. PIGOTT: Yeah. MR. KIRKMAN: -- on the time line of 18 when they would make those requests, but 19 20 certainly before things are made public. COMM. PIGOTT: So, it does have an 21 22 opportunity -- if you're an inspector, you go

into the facility, you do an examination of a

23

facility, typically what we try to do is sit down with the entity, the environmental person at the facility, and say, "Here's what we found." And it's an oral report much of the time. Sometimes our inspectors leave a brief, one-page document.

But then they go back to the office or wherever and comprise the inspection report. At that time, when a supervisor's looking at it, and if claims are made that don't include that in there, then we'll evaluate that and make a determination.

MR. KIRKMAN: Yeah.

typical big issue. There are stor -- legion of stories in our agency about denial of access, about a guy who's saying, "Don't come onto my property or I'll shoot you." You know, that's not a typical situation. You know, most regulated facilities fully understand that there are inspections, but there are these signatures and the liability stuff that comes into the play.

Uh-huh.

Thank you.

Any other questions?

CHAIRMAN GARD:

1 MR. SCHULER: Yes, ma'am.

2 CHAIRMAN GARD: Yes.

MR. SCHULER: Are the inspections -when they originate, is it usually notified, or
is it just -- you know, do they tell them about
it, is it just day of, or is this part of annual
review time? What's the origin of the
inspections?

MR. KIRKMAN: I think that they come in different shapes and sizes, but I don't think typically you get a whole lot of notice, because you don't want to give the facility the opportunity to -- you know, to gussy the place up.

COMM. PIGOTT: So, it's -- and that's correct. There are some circumstances where we do notify in advance if certain programs -- our clean community program and other -- the ESP program, where one of the benefits, because they are going above and beyond the environmental requirements and have a great compliance record, we may notify some of those folks in advance of coming out to do an inspection. But our typical

inspection generally does not provide advance
warning to the facility, so that we see what the
person who's working at the site sees and

nothing's altered.

- MR. SCHULER: Is not signing the
 liability waiver going to put that business in
 any more risk if the inspector -- I mean is there
 just an accident plan or something like that? Is
 that -- what's the --
 - MR. KIRKMAN: Well, I mean, of course, it -- yeah, it would shift the liability. They're -- you know, if our inspector's injured on-site, we would want the liability for that injury to be with the facility and not with the state. That's the purpose of making sure that our inspectors do not sign those no-liability waivers.
- MR. DAVIDSON: Is there a way to do

 that such that if it's the inspector's error that

 caused the --
- MR. KIRKMAN: Well, they'd have to
 have safety barriers while he's there, and if
 it's his error -- well, I think that would go to

- a specific case and the facts of the case.
- 2 MR. DAVIDSON: I think that's why
- 3 some people are looking for that out. They're
- 4 not trying to be -- they're going to end up with
- 5 liability for their operations, but the
- 6 inspector's there, and I know I don't want to
- 7 chase it. It's a document, so it's going to be
- 8 on-site. But that's why some people are chasing
- 9 that today, because the angry widow then sues the
- 10 site instead of the employer regardless of who
- 11 was at fault.
- 12 COMM. PIGOTT: Gotcha. You know,
- 13 we're -- I mean it is a state policy that we are
- 14 not going to give up our -- I mean that we'll
- 15 just sign away liability, but I understand the
- 16 point.

1

- 17 MR. RULON: Just a couple of quick
- 18 concerns. I mean they inspected our CAFO three
- 19 or four times or something, and we're given one
- 20 or two days' notice, and the inspectors are
- 21 there. Our bigger concerns, though, on a
- 22 liability waiver for an error is with the
- 23 infectious disease problems.

```
If an IDEM inspector brings this disease
1
    and destroys your entire industry, I mean -- so,
2
    we can rationally be saying, "No, you can't
3
4
    enter," not because we have anything to hide or
    we're worried about liability, but we don't know
5
    where you've been and we don't know that you're
6
    as educated as like someone else is on that, and
7
    that's why I mentioned in here --
8
                MR. KIRKMAN: No, it's not --
9
                COMM. PIGOTT: But there is -- I
10
11
    mean -- and I'm sorry for interrupting, if you
12
    want to go ahead.
                MR. KIRKMAN: Oh, I just would note
13
14
    that there is a specific nonrule policy document
    related to those biosecurity issues.
15
                MR. RULON: Oh, okay.
16
17
                MR. KIRKMAN: So, that -- that does
    exist.
            And in fact, I've looked at it when I was
18
19
    taking a first crack at this, just to -- you
20
    know --
21
                MR. RULON: Okay.
22
                MR. KIRKMAN: -- there is maybe a
23
    little bit about the language from that NPD in
```

1 this NPD. 2 MR. RULON: Okay. COMM. PIGOTT: That's exactly right, 3 4 so -- and then the inspectors are trained, the 5 CAFO inspectors, so that -- and we're very aware of those issues, because that is an important --6 7 a very important point. MR. RULON: Thank you. 8 Any other questions? 9 CHAIRMAN GARD: 10 MS. COLLIER: I have a question about 11 the --CHAIRMAN GARD: 12 Yes. 13 MS. COLLIER: -- prohibition on 14 photocopying credentials. MR. KIRKMAN: Uh-huh. 15 16 MS. COLLIER: I was just curious 17 about why that is, because, you know, some regulated facilities, they photocopy 18 19 identification for security purposes, for those 20 visitors' security purposes, so that if there's 21 some sort of an emergency or evacuation-type 22 situation, they know for certain who is 23 on-site --

MR. KIRKMAN: Uh-huh.

MS. COLLIER: -- for example, and they find that their handwriting wasn't great or something like that. So, I was just curious about why that prohibition is there.

MR. KIRKMAN: So, that -- that's an agency policy, and I believe that the main purpose behind it is honestly just to prevent counterfeiting, to prevent counterfeiting credentials.

And Comm. Pigott, if you --

right. I think that it's about the misuse of the credential that is presented, and the off-chance that someone decided, "Well, we'll just take that credential and use it and impersonate that inspector." And, of course, we're not opposed to signing in to a facility so that people are aware of us. I don't know -- I don't remember whether there's any -- it's just been a little while since I've read through this. Now, opposed to if they said, "We want to take a photo of the inspector before the inspector goes out," so

that, for security purposes, we know --

MR. KIRKMAN: And that does -- the NPD doesn't speak to that, so I would -- based on the NPD, I would say that would be okay. I mean the main thing is just to -- we don't want the inspectors to be harassed, so we don't -- you know, we definitely don't want them to give them their driver's license, and then we don't want, you know, our credentials to be easily misused, so -- but a picture itself, I don't think, would be a problem.

MS. COLLIER: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GARD: Any other questions?

CHAIRMAN GARD: Okay. That ends that discussion if there's no more questions, and now we'll move on to the Citizen's Petition. Today the Board is being presented with a Citizen's Petition relating to the adoption of the 2012 Federal Recreational Water Quality Criteria.

(No response.)

Nancy King will give an overview of the citizen petition process, after which Mr. Craig Williams, the Wastewater Superintendent from

Angola, will speak to the Board about the purpose of the petition. The Board will then take the petition under advisement and provide a determination on the merit of the petition at the next regularly scheduled Board meeting.

Nancy.

MS. KING: Thank you, Madam Chair.

As you all saw in your Board packet, I provided just a real brief sort of one-pager on the process that we followed in the past for citizen's petitions. Again, because we have several new Board members and these come up somewhat infrequently, I just wanted to give you the opportunity to ask any questions pertaining to the process that you might have before the presentation of it.

As our Chair said, generally what we do is once we receive the petition -- and oftentimes the first time we see it is at a Board meeting.

I believe this is the first time we've received it electronically and were able to get it out to you prior to the Board meeting actually occurring, so this process sort of relates to how

we've done that in the past.

What we're required to do is make sure that it meets the basic minimum requirements of the statute, has the number of signatures, all of those that are duplicates, those kinds of things, and then also -- it's a pretty basic standard -- if we haven't had a hearing on it within the past six months and it's something the Board determines is something that's viable for us to have a hearing on.

Then generally what we've done in the past, we've handled it a few different ways. In certain cases where we had some citizen's petitions related to very specific things -- there was one down in Floyd's Knob several years ago, for example. We had one of our Board members go down there to have, I believe, two hearings actually in that area and report back to the Board, because it was more convenient for folks that were affected by that to have the hearing in that area.

We also have them as part of Board meetings or outside of Board meetings. There are

```
a number of ways that the Board can choose to go
1
    on this if they determine that we want to have a
2
    hearing on a particular topic. So -- and that's
3
4
    not part of the past in statute, but again, I
    just wanted to make sure that if anybody had any
5
    questions about this process, that we were happy
6
7
    to answer prior to it being presented to you,
    with the actual meat about what this particular
8
    petition is about.
9
10
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Any questions?
11
                      (No response.)
                CHAIRMAN GARD:
                                 I think we've had two
12
    petitions in probably the last seven years.
13
14
                MS. KING: Yeah, I was looking.
                                                  We
15
    had two right together in, I believe it was,
    2015, and before that, so we may have had, within
16
17
    the past ten years, possibly maybe four, five,
    something like that, on various different topics,
18
    and they've all been handled slightly differently
19
20
    based on what the subject was, so --
21
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Uh-huh. Okay.
                                                 Thank
22
    you.
```

Let's hear from Mr. Craig Williams,

23

Superintendent from Angola, on the purpose of the petition.

Madam Chair, Board,

MR. WILLIAMS:

Comm. Pigott, on behalf of the citizens of
Angola, Mishawaka, Rensselaer, Columbia City,
New Haven, Peru, Huntington and many others who
cannot be here today, I want to thank you for the
opportunity to present this petition, requesting

the Board direct Comm. Pigott and his staff to develop an Indiana-appropriate version of the 2012 EPA Recreational Water Quality Criteria.

A little history. In 1994, the EPA issued a combined sewer overflow control policy, a policy that would ultimately provide the framework for historic expenditures in communities across the U.S., including those in Indiana.

At the time, EPA staff identified regulatory certainty as one of the primary goals. Communities would develop plans to meet the state's required level of control, the state regulatory agencies would review and approve those plans, and once those plans were completed

and the results were verified by the state agencies, the community could be assured compliance with the CSO policy.

In 1995, Angola city leaders began developing new community plans to remove storm water from the sanitary sewers to comply with this policy. In 2004, IDEM approved Angola's CSO long-term control plan. They defined even more projects that would allow it to meet the required level of control and comply with the CSO policy. By the end of 2019, Angola, a community of about 8500 residents, had spent -- had completed all of the projects in our community, at a cost of more than twelve million dollars.

Post-construction monitoring and a comprehensive audit conducted by IDEM verified that our effort had resulted in our ability to meet the required level of control.

Approximately -- we call it a ten-year one-hour storm, or 1.67 inches of rain falling over the course of an hour. Plan, do, verify and comply, a regulatory certainty.

In 2014, IDEM notified Angola that with

the completion of our long-term control plan and approved post-construction monitoring audit, the terms of our permit were changing. Combined sewer overflows would now be considered prohibitive discharges, and IDEM could use enforcement discretion to determine if we've complied with the CSO policy.

So much for regulatory certainty, from our view. We played by the rules, we demonstrated our ability to meet and substantially exceed the metrics that IDEM and Angola had mutually agreed to in 2004, and now we're faced with what we feel are shifted goalposts. As demonstrated by the number -- the number of communities in support of this petition, we feel that Angola is not alone in this.

We do, however, feel that regulatory certainty is possible. Back in 1994, when the policy was developed, EPA acknowledged that successful implementation included two parts:

One, significant investment by utilities or communities to complete sewage operation, and wastewater treatment improvements. The current

level of expenditures by an Indiana community is difficult to quantify, but the total cost by all Indiana CSO communities was estimated to be around four billion dollars.

Two, modifying the national water quality standards to accommodate the CSO discharges we created we knew would remain. Even from the beginning, EPA and IDEM staff recognized that once communities had completed the CSO long-term control plans, CSO's would still occur during certain wet weather events. Although not specifically developed to address CSO discharges, the 2012 criteria is based on the best science, the latest information, and a concern for public health and safety.

Now, we believe that this criteria is an appropriate and defensible vehicle to provide Indiana CSO communities regulatory certainty. Ohio has already adopted a version of this criteria, and Wisconsin will soon adopt similar criteria. Adopting an appropriate version of the 2012 criteria will provide regulatory certainty to over 100 CSO communities throughout

the state, and ensure that future investments in wastewater construction are not wasted on extraordinarily expensive projects with little to no environmental benefit while ignoring other critical construction needs in our communities.

I want to thank Comm. Pigott and the
Office of Water Quality staff, Paul Higginbotham,
Jerry Dittmer, and a number of others who have
diligently worked with the Indiana Water
Environment Association's Government Affairs
Committee, and a number of others over the last
four years, to find a working solution. Their
efforts have provided the building blocks toward
a revised rule.

But we believe that a lack of impetus on the agency's part has caused this effort to stall. It's not a criticism of Office of Water Quality staff. In fact, it's our understanding that OWQ staff recently attended a national two-day workshop facilitated by EPA in Washington, D.C., focusing on this very issue, regulatory certainty. So, this is not an issue just in Indiana, but nationwide.

Indiana, IDEM, has the tools at hand to provide much needed regulatory certainty to CSO communities. We are confident that much of the groundwork has already been laid by OWQ staff. Our request is that the Board provide a defined direction and time frame to IDEM to drive this effort to completion.

I appreciate your time, and would be happy to answer any questions you have.

CHAIRMAN GARD: Are there questions?

MR. DAVIDSON: I have one.

CHAIRMAN GARD: Yes.

MR. DAVIDSON: Did I understand you to say that your discharge permit, your NPDES permit, which is legally enforceable, now has -- I don't know if we did this or who did it, but now you can -- discretion can be applied about whether or not you're -- is that -- did we do -- is that a question for you, or --

COMM. PIGOTT: So, when you have a permit, any violation of the permit, the agency's action and reaction to a violation is at the agency's discretion. So, for example, if a

facility discharges a pollutant, you know, a very
small amount over what is permitted in the
permit, the agency can look at that and say:
"Well, it's a one-time event, it's not a

repeatable thing."

We're going to talk to the facility. The facility's going to make some corrections or do something, or it's already fixed, and therefore, our discretion is that we're not going to automatically send that entity to enforcement.

What we'll do is make a determination.

And there are certain communities or certain entities that have problems that occur on a regular basis and that throw them into what we call significant noncompliance, and when they fall into significant noncompliance, then we generally work on putting together an agreed-upon approach to resolve the problem that is documented in the agreed order with stipulated penalties to fix the problem.

So, yeah, we operate on a regular basis every day by using our discretion as to whether we will send a community or an entity to

- enforcement over a permit violation, a fairly
 typical part of our process.
- 3 MR. RULON: That was a lot better
- 4 answer than your predecessor.
- 5 COMM. PIGOTT: Oh.
- 6 MR. DAVIDSON: Yeah, I remember you
- 7 and I having a similar issue. It think it felt
- 8 similar, at least, when you talked about finding
- 9 the strike zone.
- 10 COMM. PIGOTT: Yeah.
- MR. DAVIDSON: I seem to remember
- 12 that on another citizen petition.
- 13 COMM. PIGOTT: Yeah, that's right.
- 14 MR. DAVIDSON: So, I just wanted to
- 15 know about "Where's the strike zone?"
- 16 COMM. PIGOTT: Yeah.
- 17 MR. DAVIDSON: And I can appreciate
- 18 that -- it sounds like, if I'm hearing you right,
- 19 a little better definition of where can we live
- 20 safely, because, as you know, discretion can
- 21 change as --
- 22 COMM. PIGOTT: Absolutely.
- 23 MR. RULON: -- leadership may change.

COMM. PIGOTT: Absolutely. 1 So, I hear you from a 2 MR. DAVIDSON: level of comfort, of being able to go home at 3 The second question, if I may, is --4 night. CHAIRMAN GARD: Uh-huh. 5 MR. DAVIDSON: -- and this was 6 mentioned earlier, I think by Chris, about 7 designated some limited use water, which I think 8 goes back to part of your presentation just recently. So, if we get into -- if part of that 10 fallout is limited use, when some of these things 11 12 happen, engaging this limited use that, will we 13 have to do that on each one of them? For the 14 City of Indianapolis, are all of these communities that are CSO communities, when they 15 have these events, are we going to all hear --16 17 COMM. PIGOTT: Mr. Davidson, I think what you mean -- because limited use is a 18 19 specific term in our rules. When we're talking 20 about things is a use attainability analysis, and the CSO wet weather -- you may be referring to 21 22 the wet weather use designation, and as you know, 23 the last time we had a Board meeting, I gave a

1 presentation about that.

2 MR. DAVIDSON: Yes, I remember.

COMM. PIGOTT: Indianapolis has come forward, we've approved their use attainability analysis, which will provide the protection and certainty they need to ensure that they don't go to enforcement when they have a discharge beyond the strict -- the strict requirements of both the permit and the federal consent decree.

So, what we're talking about is two separate approaches to providing that certainty. One is this petition, which would have the agency put in place a 2012 criteria, and the other is the use attainability analysis followed by the wet weather use designation.

And the community would come to us when they felt that they wanted that protection under the use attainability analysis, not every time they have a discharge, and it would be renewed once every five years, just as it is for an NPDES permit to be issued today.

So, there are differences in the approaches. I think both approaches aim to

- provide certainty to the communities that have worked so hard, as Craig's community has, to ensure that they meet the requirements with the plans that they agreed to put in place at the beginning of the process of determining how far they actually had to go.
 - And I'm really proud to point out that
 Angola has done a tremendous job and met the
 terms of their long-term control plan, and
 communities around the state are in the process
 of implementing those plans and have agreed, 99.9
 percent of them, to do fixes that will have a
 dramatic improvement in water quality. And we
 sure appreciate and understand that desire for
 certainty, and we're just talking about different
 approaches to get to that point.
 - MR. DAVIDSON: Thanks for the clarification on terms. Sorry I misspoke.
- 19 COMM. PIGOTT: No, not at all.
- MR. DAVIDSON: You guys are the
- 21 experts about that stuff. But it would be on a
- 22 five-year, you said?

23 COMM. PIGOTT: So, that's with the

use attainability analysis and --1 2 MR. DAVIDSON: Okay. COMM. PIGOTT: -- the CSO wet weather 3 4 use designation process I talked about at the last meeting. 5 MR. DAVIDSON: So, not in every --6 7 COMM. PIGOTT: It's not every time it rains, no. We'd be here every day. 8 MR. DAVIDSON: Well, and your staff 9 would be -- I'm sure they would get to you before 10 we did. 11 12 COMM. PIGOTT: Yes, they would, yes, 13 indeed. 14 MR. RULON: Well, having read this, 15 is the RWQC relaxing the standards from the use attainability analysis standard? Does this 16 17 standard relax -- I just see a really beautiful clinical ad in about 12 months if you loose --18 reduce the standards. And I'm concerned about 19 20 the use -- I can't tell if this reduced them or 21 not. 22 COMM. PIGOTT: This changes the 23 criteria in some communities as a result, and I

argue that they don't have to do as much as they agreed to in -- when they agreed to put in place improvements in their wastewater systems, and there are certain communities that are still working through the -- to achieve what they agreed to through federal consent decrees in other ways to dramatically reduce raw sewage going straight into people rivers and creeks.

CHAIRMAN GARD: Well, that was going to be my question or comment. Those people that have been -- had the process and really gotten in here, and they've pretty much finished theirs, did it under the old -- old statute -- our rules. But we're changing them, we're giving these slower people a break; is that fair to say?

COMM. PIGOTT: Well, one could argue, for example, Indianapolis is going to discharge perhaps two or four times a year and hasn't argued for a change in the criteria, but if they had, maybe they would have been able to take advantage of this and then do less in order to abide by it and be within compliance terms. So, some could argue that, and I don't -- and so, a

change in the standard, depend -- you know, the 1 Devil is in the detail always with these 2 rulemakings. How effective a change in the 3 criteria would be in terms of providing surety to 4 a community depends very much on the details of 5 how those criteria are put in place. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GARD: Uh-huh. COMM. PIGOTT: And that's why the 8 agency's taking time to make -- to consider this, 9 because it's not a matter of changing just a few 10 words and updating criteria. It really does 11 12 depend on "Well, where do you sample? When do you sample?" And depending on how the agency 13 14 came out on these issues could provide a great benefit or could provide no benefit --15 16 CHAIRMAN GARD: Uh-huh. 17 COMM. PIGOTT: -- to a community that was hoping that it would provide protection and 18 19 surety. 20 CHAIRMAN GARD: Uh-huh. 21 COMM. PIGOTT: And certainly there

are those that would like to use a change in

criteria to argue that they wouldn't have to do

22

23

- as much as they agreed to do in the first place.

 CHAIRMAN GARD: Uh-huh.
- MR. WILLIAMS: Chairman Gard, would
- 4 it be okay if I speak to that as well?
- 5 CHAIRMAN GARD: Yes, certainly.
- 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

One of the -- and correct me if you feel
I'm out of line on any of this, Comm. Pigott.
The primary concern with CSO discharges is
related -- generally related to elevated levels
of bacteria, which the 2012 criteria specifically
addresses. And at the risk of overly simplifying
a 70-page EPA document, the 2012 criteria
incorporates two measures. One is a geometric
mean, and the second is a statistical threshold
value in establishing values that protect the

The statistical threshold value provides for a ten-percent exceedence of in-stream criteria, and again, this is based on more recent epidemiological studies, more recent science.

And in our position, this -- in this case, the ten-percent exceedence is not -- would not be

designated uses of primary contact recreation.

applied as a level of control. So, it wouldn't 1 be applied to reduce a community's requirement to 2 complete projects, but instead, a measure of 3 compliance after they had received a fully 4 implemented and approved long-term control plan. 5 It would be a vehicle to address that the 7 community has achieved compliance and now, as long as there's a method, and again, as 8 Comm. Pigott said, the Devil's in the details, I 9 don't know exactly how it would look, but 10 post-compliance, this may provide a vehicle to 11 12 demonstrate compliance, provide that regulatory 13 certainty that communities are looking for. 14 CHAIRMAN GARD: Okay. Any other 15 questions? Yes. MS. COLLIER: It sounds like 16 Yes. 17 there's been discussion between petitioners and IDEM, and I was just wondering: Can we hear 18 IDEM's view on this potential rulemaking and why 19 20 this hasn't happened already? COMM. PIGOTT: Well, in general 21

terms, I think Nancy laid out the process in

terms of with a petition, how the process is

22

23

1 supposed to work.

Do you want to talk a little bit about that again, and then I can --

4 CHAIRMAN GARD: She will.

MS. KING: I will.

COMM. PIGOTT: -- talk a little bit about our discussions.

MS. KING: I would say that this is -- yeah, part of what would happen -- I mean basically this isn't a hearing, but that's not -- that's neither here nor there. Basically, if we were to have a hearing, for example, you know, the agency would explain why we didn't immediately jump on the 2012 when we did, or go into the detail of why the step -- all of this is great, but we also have a statute that says this and that, that that's what started this whole process many years ago, those kinds of things.

So, when we would have a hearing, if the Board determines that a hearing is what you would like to do, then the agency can explain its process or, you know, our thoughts on a particular issue related to it, and -- because

this is something that has -- as pointed out, has been discussed extensively with Office of Water Quality and the affected communities.

You know, one of the things we've done in the past as relates to a citizen's petition is -it was actually for another water rule, the definition of interference, for those of you who may remember that, and it was Bill Beranek that brought that to us -- we had sort of a little work group, where folks from both sides kind of sat down and talked about the issues and put something together to discuss with the Board, and then the Board at that point in time made a determination that gave direction as to what they would like to do.

So, we're not really hemmed in as far as what you can do with these ideas when a citizen's petition comes to you, and you're able to provide some kind of guidance based on the information you'll hear, not just from the agency, but from those affected entities. So, that's one of the ways that we've handled a similar type of situation in the past.

```
MS. COLLIER: Thank you so much for
1
2
    your help.
                CHAIRMAN GARD: Any other questions?
3
4
                MS. ALEXANDROVICH:
                                     I have a few.
           Mr. Williams, can I ask you?
5
                MR. WILLIAMS:
                                Yes.
6
                DR. ALEXANDROVICH: I have lots of
7
    questions on this, so I'll try to keep them
8
9
    short. I tried to understand what you guys were
    asking for. So, one question is: Would you like
10
    the use attainability analysis procedure to go
11
12
    away, or -- or simply change the bacterial
13
    criteria numbers in that use attainability
14
    analysis?
                MR. WILLIAMS: So, Angola -- Angola
15
    is in a different -- sort of in a different
16
17
    category than Indianapolis in the way that we
    approach our CSO long-term control plan.
18
                                               And
    while I think that applying for a use
19
20
    attainability analysis may be possible, it was
21
    never a path that we anticipated going.
22
           In 2004, when we developed our CSO
```

long-term control plan, the goalpost was to fully

23

capture and treat the one-year one-hour storm.

We went the extra mile and we are able to fully capture and treat the ten-year one-hour storm, which is a higher level of control, with the understanding that any wet weather events that exceeded that ten-one would be considered a -- at the time the discussion was force majeure.

And in the interim, EPA has changed its position on force majeure. That's not an acceptable standard to them. And you know, no fault of the Office of Water Quality staff, some of those goalposts were changed above their heads; right?

And so, that's when that language changed to enforce -- prohibited discharge and enforcement discretion. Our feeling is that that doesn't provide the long-term regulatory certainty we're looking for.

Now, I don't have any issue with the position that Comm. Pigott and his staff take on enforcement discretion today, but I don't know in five years or ten years from now who's going to be Governor or who's going to be Commissioner,

and don't know what that means to the community 1 that has spent a lot of time and effort in trying 2 to comply. And so, that's why we're trying to 3 4 look at a different -- as Comm. Pigott mentioned, sort of two different routes. Does that help? 5 DR. ALEXANDROVICH: Yeah, yes, it 7 does, but then maybe I can got a little bit --I've read this carefully, tried to. Was there a 8 benefit-cost analysis done on this to come up with the 71 million dollars or 60 million dollars 10 in savings, and how, by not making these changes, 11 12 the communities are in a competitive disadvantage? 13 14 MR. WILLIAMS: So, with surrounding states -- Ohio and Wisconsin, I think, were 15 specifically identified -- EPA Region V has given 16 17 the states sort of their own arena to work within. Our concern is that because Ohio and 18 Wisconsin are -- they've adopted this criteria --19 20 again, the criteria wasn't developed specifically 21 to address combined sewer overflows, but we 22 believe that it can be a vehicle that can provide 23 that regulatory certainty to communities that

meet a certain level of control.

Our concern is that without that definition in Indiana, Indiana's water quality criteria, that future administrations may decide that that enforcement discretion doesn't provide the protection to a community in Indiana. Now, in the event that in 2025, let's say, that we have -- it doesn't meet scrutiny, and so we're going to have to commit to additional infrastructure improvements.

Cities like Angola, we have -- in 2010, we had met the -- all of the projects that we've stated we were going to do, and began a process to demonstrate compliance. But that didn't stop us from continuing to separate sewers and pull storm water inlets out to find defects that maybe were allowing groundwater in. We haven't stopped our efforts.

Our concern is the specter of having acquired, you know, a defined list of things that the agency requires us to do. Those projects we feel that we're done, and those are in the past.

DR. ALEXANDROVICH: So, the

```
60-million-dollar figure comes from what could be
1
    expended; is that what they're thinking?
2
                MR. WILLIAMS: I would have to
3
    revisit -- is that one of the -- that's for a
4
5
    community. Is that for --
                COMM. PIGOTT: Mishawaka.
6
7
                MS. ALEXANDROVICH:
                                     Mishawaka.
                MR. WILLIAMS: I -- unfortunately, I
8
    am not an expert on Mishawaka's --
9
10
                MS. ALEXANDROVICH: Okay.
11
                MR. WILLIAMS: -- system. We were --
12
    anticipated having a representative from
13
    Mishawaka here today, but unfortunately he was --
14
    he is sick.
15
                MS. ALEXANDROVICH:
                                     Okay.
                                            Then one
    last question. I think I heard what you -- when
16
17
    you were speaking, you said there was some kind
    of draft rule language that was discussed between
18
    IDEM and the various communities and the water
19
20
    trade entities?
21
                MR. WILLIAMS: We have -- we have --
22
    the Indiana Water Environment Association, which
23
    is a state organization comprised of wastewater,
```

municipalities and consulting engineers, and professional agencies who are interested in working with communities on water quality items, have come to the Office of Water Quality to say, "Listen, we feel that this is a vehicle that provides regulatory certainty."

I know that they've been very willing to work with us on trying to develop language that would provide the agency the ability to bring that to EPA for their approval, but also provide the regulatory certainty for communities. But again, I think, because -- as a regulated entity, I have a little bit different outlook, a little bit more desire to get to that point than maybe -- IDEM has a lot of things on their plate. And so, we've talked about some things. I think some draft language has been thrown around, but never anything that was really set in stone.

Is that a fair assessment?

COMM. PIGOTT: Yeah, I would say that there's not draft -- typically when you see a rule and there's draft language, there's a whole rule worked out, but -- certainly there's 2012

```
criteria that EPA has out there, but there hasn't
1
    been pen to paper, and specifically about a rule
2
    that's put forward. And typically that happens
3
4
    at second notice of rulemaking.
           So, I wouldn't say that we have a real
5
    draft set of language. And there -- I think from
6
7
    the agency's perspective, there would be a lot of
    things that would need to be thought through
8
    before real solid language could be put together.
9
10
                DR. ALEXANDROVICH: Oh, I guess I
11
    have one last question. You know, I used to
12
    think the air rules were complicated, so when I
    go through some of these water rules -- so, I'm
13
14
    not -- I'm not sure where this discretion is in
    the rule, so --
15
                COMM. PIGOTT: Well, first of all, I
16
17
    think that's --
                MS. ALEXANDROVICH: -- that's what --
18
    where we would be working on?
19
20
                COMM. PIGOTT: I just want to say
    thank you for saying that.
21
22
                        (Laughter.)
```

COMM. PIGOTT:

It validates all of

```
the water people in the room, and it's too bad
1
    Matt Stuckey and Keith aren't here to hear that
2
    water rules are pretty tough to understand.
3
4
                MR. WILLIAMS:
                               Try being a Great
5
    Lakes discharger.
                COMM. PIGOTT: Yeah, that's right.
6
    There's a lot -- a myriad of complicated rules
7
    out there. Certainly this is -- the whole kit
8
    and caboodle's part of it.
           Enforcement discretion is something the
10
    agency exercises in air, land and water, on a
11
    regular basis. I don't know if it's set out in
12
    statute, but --
13
14
                MS. KING:
                           It's statutory.
15
                COMM. PIGOTT: -- it must be
    somewhere.
16
17
                MS. KING:
                            It's statutory.
                                It's statutory.
                COMM. PIGOTT:
18
19
                MS. KING: "The agency may."
```

21 Commissioner has the ability to say, "Look, we're 22 not going to enforce because you didn't submit 23 your DMR this year, because guess what? You

Yeah. So, the

COMM. PIGOTT:

- 1 don't have a facility." It would be ridiculous.
- 2 And the agency needs that kind of wiggle room to
- 3 provide some common-sense solutions to
- 4 situations. So, the agency has long held the
- 5 ability to say, "You know what? It might be a
- 6 violation, but I'm not going to do an enforcement
- 7 action over that."
- 8 And oftentimes facilities like Craig's, if
- 9 he ever had a problem in Angola, I just know that
- 10 tomorrow he'd fix it. Before we got to an
- 11 inspection report that was completed, he would be
- 12 all over it and would have it done. So, it's
- 13 really necessary for the agency to be able to
- 14 exercise that kind of discretion.
- 15 DR. ALEXANDROVICH: I guess I'm just
- 16 confused that the discretion occurs in other
- 17 places, other than enforcement of the bacterial
- 18 standards.
- 19 COMM. PIGOTT: Oh, yes, it does. It
- 20 occurs --
- DR. ALEXANDROVICH: So -- and that's
- 22 what you're talking about also?
- MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.

```
COMM. PIGOTT: Yeah, it occurs in
1
    the -- and really, it is the communities, that
2
    "Hey, they're exercising their discretion today,"
3
4
    but tomorrow, you know, an inspector comes and
    looks and says, "Oh, Craig, man, that's a
5
    violation. You're going straight to
6
7
    enforcement," and that's the last thing that they
    want to have happen when the last inspector, you
8
    know, said, "I get it," and Craig said, "We're
    going to fix it today," and right in front of the
10
    guy he corrects it and it's done. Now, that's
11
    the kind of enforcement discretion that's really
12
    vital.
13
14
                MR. WILLIAMS:
                               And --
                               You're looking for
15
                COMM. PIGOTT:
    certainty is all you're looking for.
16
17
                MR. WILLIAMS: And the -- as it
    stands, there's a lot of subjective evaluation of
18
    that, because when we're talking about wet
19
    weather, when we're talking about combined sewer
20
    overflows, when we're talking things like
21
22
    antecedent conditions, "Did it rain in the
23
    past 72 hours, and how hard did it rain?" some of
```

those things are relatively easy to quantify.

But what about when the snow melted?

Well, that actual -- that creates a flow going to our facility. In March, when there's two and a half feet of snow on the ground and it's drizzling and the snow is melting, the flows to our facility increase. How do we quantify the 1.67 inch per hour in that event? We can't, because we don't know how fast the snow's melting. We don't even really know exactly how much water is in that three foot of snow that's been plowed to the side of the curb over the last two months.

And again, Office of Water Quality staff have been fantastic in working with us on that, and they understand that situation. Our concern is that ten years from now, I don't have any way to know that somebody who sits in Comm. Pigott's chair is going to share that same view.

MR. SMITH: And I'd just say that's kind of the Catch 22 of being a regulator is that you want to have that flexibility, but also at the very same time --

MR. WILLIAMS: Understood.

MR. SMITH: -- people want certainty. So, not all nails need to be hammered. You know, that's the hard part of it is trying to have it as specific as possible, but leaving the ability to not have to put the hammer down on somebody who made an inadvertent error or a minor infraction. So, it's something we all deal with.

COMM. PIGOTT: And I will say the agency has long recognized the desire for certainty on the part of communities, and has worked with communities to try to come to this kind of result where there is certainty at the end, which is why in 2005 Nancy and other people worked to get legislation passed that allowed for the agency to create a wet weather water quality standard.

You know, when a community has completed, as Craig's has, the work that they promised to do under enforceable terms, they have an opportunity to apply for this standard, which acknowledges that, you know, there are just some times when it rains so hard that you're going to have

discharges from these pipes. And that standard is in place today, and any community can apply to have coverage for that standard for a certain time period after a rain event.

And the agency has been willing to process these -- the requests for the standard to be put in place. Unfortunately, EPA hasn't been all that thrilled with the use attainability analysis and this wet weather water quality standard that I talked about at the last meeting, in the past.

Today, EPA is much more willing to entertain the allowance for the agency to pass rules that allow for establishing a wet weather water quality standard for communities that have done what they've promised to do, and signed on the dotted line, what they promised to do under their long-term control plans.

And so, we have a way to accomplish that surety today. It's in place. We're going through it. You'll be voting on the first use attainability analysis in January for the City of Indianapolis. What this is is an alternative approach to that standard.

So, what we're doing is saying, "There are two different ways to accomplish this goal of surety," and the agency is all on board, because we recognize that the communities around the state, all 109 of them, have done a yeoman's job of putting together the money to make improvements in their wastewater systems.

We just want to make sure then that once they're done, they have an option for moving forward with surety, and that it's in place in the CSO wet weather use subcategory. There's certainly this other approach, but I think there are a lot of questions that need to be considered before that rulemaking could take place. I mean they're very detailed, and so, that's the reason it's taking some time for us to move forward.

CHAIRMAN GARD: Well, this -- this is going to be somewhat of a lengthy process. We're not going to get this done overnight.

MR. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN GARD: And the decision -just to kind of recap, the next step we have in
January is to make a determination if this

petition has merit or is devoid of merit. And if 1 we decide it has merit, then there's public 2 hearings scheduled. We also make the 3 4 determination if we want a working group, we bring stakeholders together, and through a 5 recommendation to bring back -- there's several 6 7 ways we can do it. So, it's going to take some work, and that legislation in 2005 was probably the most 9 difficult that I had in all of those years. 10 Ιt was very difficult. You know, you eventually 11 12 reach the point of diminishing returns in what 13 amount of money you invest, and gosh, we talked 14 about that for two months before we figured it out. And so, this -- this is going to be 15 interesting, I think. So, you all have a little 16 17 homework to do between now and January to really build into this and see what you think about it. 18 Any other questions? 19 20 (No response.) 21 CHAIRMAN GARD: Well, thank you for 22 making the trip down here.

MR. WILLIAMS:

Thank you for hearing

1 us. Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN GARD: Okay. This is an Open Forum. Is there 3 4 anyone that wishes to address the Board today on 5 anything? 6 (No response.) Well, the next 7 CHAIRMAN GARD: meeting of the Environmental Rules Board is 8 tentatively set for January the 8th, 2020 at 1:30 9 10 in Conference Room A, Government Center South. Hopefully we won't have a snowstorm as we did 11 this time. The meeting date is tentative and 12 13 subject to change, particularly in lieu of the 14 weather. So, we'll keep you advised about that. So, is there a motion to adjourn? 15 16 MR. CUMMINS: So moved. 17 CHAIRMAN GARD: Is there a second? 18 MS. COLLIER: Second. 19 CHAIRMAN GARD: All in favor, say 20 aye. 21 MR. HORN: Aye. 22 MS. VALIQUETT: Aye. 23 MS. ALEXANDROVICH: Aye.

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Lindy L. Meyer, Jr., the undersigned
3	Court Reporter and Notary Public residing in the
4	City of Shelbyville, Shelby County, Indiana, do
5	hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me
7	on Wednesday, November 13, 2019 in this matter
8	and transcribed by me.
9	
10	
11	Lindy L. Meyer, Jr.,
12	Notary Public in and
13	for the State of Indiana.
14	
15	My Commission expires August 26, 2024.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

A	activity (1) 71:10 actual (3)	13:13,16;16:17,19; 18:3;21:14;22:15; 23:21:24:10:27:10:	3:6;42:23;58:22; 67:9;69:20;78:12	14:2;21:14;24:19; 27:10;28:20;29:22; 36:11:38:16:40:8;
	52:13;84:8;113:3	23:21;24:19;27:10; 29:22;31:10;36:11;	aim (1) 94:23	36:11;38:16;40:8; 41:15;49:22;51:7;
abbreviated (1)	actually (10)	38:16;40:8,19;49:22;	air (15)	53:16;55:3,12;56:5;
57:22 abide (1)	10:11;46:19;67:7;	51:7;53:16;55:3,11;	15:20;16:1;19:15,	63:13
97:22	68:5;69:4;73:8;	57:12;61:21;63:13;	20;22:8;27:21;45:8;	America (1)
ability (7)	82:22;83:18;95:6;	81:19	47:13,14;52:2,2,5,7;	6:3
59:3;86:17;87:10;	102:6	advance (3)	109:12;110:11	amount (2)
108:9;110:21;111:5;	ad (1)	75:17,22;76:1	ALEXANDROVICH (30)	91:2;117:13
114:5	96:18	advantage (1)	4:4;20:20;25:8;	amounts (1)
able (8)	add (2)	97:21	26:20,21;30:1,3;	52:4
31:11;44:14;82:21;	61:13;62:22	advised (1)	31:6;34:1;35:22,23;	analysis (14)
93:3;97:20;102:18;	added (4)	118:14	39:19,20;50:13;54:9;	14:21;15:2;93:20;
104:2;111:13	23:10,12;43:21;	advisement (1)	64:3;69:23;70:9;	94:5,14,18;96:1,16;
above (2)	44:1	82:3 Affairs (1)	103:4,7;105:6;	103:11,14,20;105:9; 115:8,21
75:20;104:12	addition (4) 7:12;37:15;56:3,12	89:10	106:23;107:7,10,15; 109:10,18;111:15,21;	*
absolutely (3)	additional (1)	affected (8)	118:23	82:1;85:1,5;86:4,
33:1;92:22;93:1	106:9	19:19;41:3,5,20;	allow (8)	11,23;87:11,15;95:8;
acceptable (1)	Additionally (2)	42:9;83:20;102:3,21	13:21;19:19;22:10;	103:15,15;106:11;
104:10	23:12;28:9	afternoon (7)	23:22;56:15,17;86:9;	111:9
Access (12) 17:1;64:20;67:1,5,	additions (2)	12:20;18:15;21:21;	115:13	Angola's (1)
6,8;68:17,20;69:10;	3:11,16	40:15;51:14;55:9;	allowance (1)	86:7
71:1,10;74:15	address (8)	66:20	115:12	angry (1)
accident (1)	23:18;41:1;47:8;	again (24)	allowed (1)	77:9
76:8	69:20;88:12;100:6;	7:21;10:23;11:22,	114:15	annual (13)
accommodate (1)	105:21;118:4	22;13:6;27:16;30:4,	allowing (3)	14:6,9;41:16;
88:6	addresses (1)	9;36:17;46:3;49:17;	22:12;61:5;106:17	42:17;43:3,10,17,19,
accomplish (2)	99:12	51:15;55:9;71:17;	allows (1)	21;44:4;52:13,21;
115:18;116:2	adds (1)	72:1;73:13;82:11;	15:8	75:6
accordance (2)	55:19	84:4;99:20;100:8;	almost (1)	antecedent (1)
19:16;52:7	adequate (1)	101:3;105:20;	69:6	112:22
account (1)	23:1 adequately (1)	108:12;113:14 against (1)	alone (1) 87:15	anticipate (1) 57:14
72:3	23:18	71:7	alter (1)	anticipated (2)
accountability (1)	adjourn (1)	agencies (3)	60:11	103:21;107:12
56:2	118:15	85:22;86:2;108:2	altered (3)	anticipating (2)
accountable (1) 56:21	adjusted (1)	agency (29)	59:15;60:4;76:4	12:23;13:1
accumulate (2)	47:23	5:4;9:18;10:1,1;	altering (1)	applicable (2)
60:4,12	administration (1)	17:20;18:13;23:2;	61:18	41:8;59:18
accumulation (3)	56:9	65:9;70:13;74:15;	alternative (7)	application (2)
59:15;61:13,18	administrations (1)	80:7;91:3;94:12;	13:23;22:22;23:4,	41:23;56:16
accuracy (1)	106:4	98:13;101:13,21;	8;56:20;57:18;	applied (3)
56:8	administrative (3)	102:20;106:21;	115:22	90:17;100:1,2
achieve (1)	5:2;37:23;57:2	108:9;110:11,19;	alternatives (2)	apply (2)
97:5	admit (1)	111:2,4,13;114:10,	56:4;57:20	114:21;115:2
achieved (1)	33:23	16;115:5,12;116:3	although (2)	applying (1) 103:19
100:7	adopt (17) 16:13;20:1,13;	agency's (5) 89:16;90:21,23;	70:21;88:11 altogether (1)	appreciate (4)
acknowledged (2)	24:5;25:1,22;29:8;	98:9;109:7	34:19	59:2;90:8;92:17;
7:14;87:19	35:1;38:5,20;42:5;	agency-wide (1)	always (5)	95:14
acknowledges (1)	50:6;53:3;54:2;	69:11	9:15;32:21;45:15;	approach (4)
114:21	58:11;63:18;88:20	ago (5)	60:23;98:2	91:18;103:18;
acquired (1) 106:20	adopted (16)	31:5;55:15;68:7;	Ambient (1)	115:23;116:12
106:20 across (2)	13:10;19:22;21:11,	83:16;101:18	52:2	approaches (4)
68:11;85:16	18;23:13;25:22;27:7;	agreed (10)	amend (2)	94:11,23,23;95:16
Act (2)	31:2;36:8;40:5;51:4;	41:3;47:21;87:11;	41:8;52:15	appropriate (5)
52:2,7	54:23;55:15;64:17;	91:19;95:4,11;97:2,2,	amended (2)	19:20;31:20;66:7;
action (3)	88:19;105:19	6;99:1	25:23;27:7	88:17,21
10:7;90:22;111:7	adopting (2)	agreed-upon (2)	amendment (1)	approval (8)
actions (2)	31:20;88:21	45:17;91:17	69:18	3:14;15:4;23:7;
10:19;16:17	adoption (25)	ahead (6)	amendments (17)	34:6,13;41:12;59:19;
10.17,10.17				

108:10	21	barns (1)	108:13,14	built (2)
	Attainment (5)	59:14	blast (2)	70:23;71:15
3:20;23:2;85:22	18:7;19:7,13;	barriers (1)	6:6;12:1	bunch (2)
approved (6)	52:22;53:1	76:22	blocks (1)	60:7;61:6
	attempt (1)	based (10)	89:13	burdensome (1)
87:2;94:4;100:5	55:16	15:9;24:1;43:22;	Board (88)	61:11
	attended (1)	47:22;66:3;81:3;	3:7,15;4:21;5:3,14,	Burns (2)
22:21	89:19	84:20;88:13;99:20;	19;15:16,19;16:8,13,	6:1;8:7
	attention (2)	102:19	17;17:9,12,14;18:3,	business (8)
6:11;86:19	3:5;58:20	basic (2)	16;20:1,5,10;21:13,	3:13;29:5;43:6;
	Attorney (5)	83:3,6	22;22:17;24:5,11,18,	62:1,12;71:21;72:17;
6:1;7:13,19;8:6,16;	22:18,23;23:17;	Basically (5)	21;27:9;29:8,14,21,	76:6
10:21	31:15;66:21	44:2;65:8;72:3;	23;31:5;36:10;38:4,	businesses (3)
	audit (2)	101:10,11	8,15,17;40:7,16;42:5;	41:4,5;42:9
10:5;11:8;15:1;	86:16;87:2	basis (5)	46:18,22;47:2;49:21;	bypass (1)
	August (7)	44:1,3;91:14,21;	50:2;51:6;53:2,8,15,	41:16
71:10,20;83:18,21	3:14;4:18;5:23;6:5,	110:12 beautiful (1)	21;55:2;56:23;57:3, 5;58:11;63:12,15;	C
areas (2) 10:19;51:22	14,16;19:1 Authority (1)	96:17	64:22;65:2,5,20,22;	<u> </u>
area's (1)	15:3	become (1)	66:1,7;81:18;82:1,2,	caboodle's (1)
	automatically (1)	19:23	5,8,12,19,22;83:8,16,	110:9
arena (1)	91:10	becomes (2)	19,22,23;84:1;85:3,9;	CAFO (2)
	available (13)	17:20;66:8	90:5;93:23;101:20;	77:18;79:5
argue (4)	13:12;14:19;17:10,	bedding (1)	102:12,13;116:3;	Cal (3)
97:1,16,23;98:23	13,20;20:2;24:7;	59:13	118:4,8	8:5;9:5;11:10
argued (1)	29:10;38:5;42:2;	beef (1)	boat (4)	call (3)
97:19	53:4;58:8;65:18	68:10	8:4;10:11,13;60:8	3:6;86:19;91:15
	aware (4)	began (2)	Bob (1)	calling (1)
56:19	6:1;10:6;79:5;	86:4;106:13	7:6	69:4
around (4)	80:18	beginning (2)	body (2)	Calumet (2)
	away (3)	88:8;95:5	6:23;8:3	6:10;10:12
116:4	48:6;77:15;103:12	behalf (1)	both (5)	came (3)
Aside (1)	aye (94)	85:4	10:20;52:21;94:8,	62:22;69:23;98:14
37:19	4:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,	behind (1)	23;102:10	can (45)
aspect (1)	10,11,12,13,14;	80:8	Branch (2)	8:6,17;10:7;13:20;
33:14	20:17,18,19,20,21,22,	benefit (3)	7:5,5	14:10;30:11,13;31:3;
aspects (1)	23;21:1,2,3,4,5,6,7;	89:4;98:15,15	breach (1)	37:18;42:6;44:2,9;
32:8	25:5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,	benefit-cost (1)	70:22	46:6,12;47:8;59:4,11,
assessment (1)	13,14,15,16,17,18;	105:9	break (1)	12,13,22,23;60:1;
108:19	26:13;27:6;35:15;	benefits (1)	97:15	61:18,19;65:9;67:13;
assistance (1)	36:8;39:12;40:4;	75:19	brief (4)	73:11;78:3;84:1;
5:15	50:10,11,12,13,14,15,	Beranek (1)	8:13;65:3;74:5;	90:17,17;91:3;92:17,
assistant (5)	16,17,18,19,20,21,22, 23;54:6,7,8,9,10,11,	102:8	82:9	19,20;100:18;101:3,
5:2,11;7:6;15:23; 47:13	12,13,14,15,16,17,18,	best (3) 67:13;70:20;88:13	briefly (1) 68:22	21;102:17;103:5; 105:7,22,22;115:2;
associated (1)	19;63:23;64:1,2,3,4,	better (4)	bring (4)	117:7
37:2	5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13;	30:5,15;92:3,19	13:7;108:9;117:5,6	capture (2)
Association (1)	118:20,21,22,23	beyond (5)	bringing (1)	104:1,3
107:22	110.20,21,22,23	69:10,16;73:13;	14:4	carbon (1)
Association's (1)	В	75:20;94:7	brings (1)	28:15
89:10		big (1)	78:1	card (1)
	back (15)	74:14	broad (1)	17:5
43:15;44:6	6:19;11:9,13;46:2;	bigger (1)	60:10	cards (6)
assumption (1)	47:6;62:1,10;68:7;	77:21	broadened (2)	24:14;29:17;38:11;
44:12	70:7;73:12;74:6;	Bill (1)	59:3,3	49:17;53:11;63:8
assurance (2)	83:18;87:18;93:9;	102:8	broader (1)	carefully (1)
56:4;58:5	117:6	billion (1)	60:22	105:8
		88:4	brought (2)	case (6)
*	bacteria (1)			, ,
*	bacteria (1) 99:11	biosecurity (1)	13:5;102:9	45:17;47:10,15;
assured (2) 9:12;86:2			13:5;102:9 build (1)	45:17;47:10,15; 77:1,1;99:22
assured (2) 9:12;86:2	99:11	biosecurity (1)		
assured (2) 9:12;86:2 attainability (13) 14:21;15:2;93:20;	99:11 bacterial (2)	biosecurity (1) 78:15	build (1)	77:1,1;99:22

				November 13, 2019
113:21	23;51:3;53:7,10,14,	claim (1)	93:3	95:2;98:5,17;100:7;
category (1)	21;54:1,5,19,22;	72:15	coming (2)	105:1;106:6;107:5;
103:17	58:15;63:4,7,11,17,	claims (2)	61:19;75:23	114:18;115:2
cause (4)	20,22;64:13,16;	73:11;74:9	COMM (82)	community's (1)
7:10,15;8:22;17:21	66:12,19;70:12;71:9;	clarification (1)	4:20;5:8,21;11:7,	100:2
caused (4)	74:22;75:2;79:9,12;	95:18	12,16;12:12,17;34:7,	Compact (3)
6:10,22;76:20;	81:13,15;84:10,12,	clarifications (2)	9,13,16;45:6,9,13,22;	11:5,14;12:9
89:16	21;90:10,12;93:5;	23:16;41:21	46:4,10;47:1;48:8,12,	company (6)
caveat (1)	97:9;98:7,16,20;99:2,	clarify (2)	15,18;49:5;53:20;	6:7;13:11;16:20;
61:13	3,5;100:14;101:4;	24:3;38:3	58:2;63:3;70:15;	40:11;41:1;50:2
Cement (9)	103:3;116:17,21;	clarity (1)	71:18;72:10;73:15,	competitive (1)
16:17;21:16,23;	117:21;118:2,7,17,19	56:8	17,21;74:13;75:15;	105:12
22:5,10;23:3,8,23;	Chairwoman (2)	clarity's (1)	77:12;78:10;79:3;	complete (2)
24:20	4:21;40:16	72:11	80:11,12;85:4,9;	87:22;100:3
Center (2)	change (11)	Clark (12)	89:6;90:20;92:5,10,	completed (7)
17:12;118:10	46:3,9,15;92:21,	51:10,19;52:16;	13,16,22;93:1,17;	13:9;19:21;85:23;
certain (20)	23;97:19;98:1,3,22;	53:18;64:22;65:7;	94:3;95:19,23;96:3,7,	86:12;88:9;111:11;
28:21;32:8;33:5;	103:12;118:13	66:11,16,19,21;	12,22;97:16;98:8,17,	114:18
36:19;51:9;53:18;	changed (3)	70:16;72:10	21;99:8;100:9,21;	completion (3)
58:4,4;69:3;72:7,15;	104:8,12,14	classified (1)	101:6;104:20;105:4;	41:11;87:1;90:7
75:17;79:22;83:13;	changes (17)	18:23	107:6;108:20;	Compliance (16)
88:11;91:12,13;97:4;	3:9,11;21:19;	Clean (4)	109:16,20,23;110:6,	7:5;22:14;38:1;
106:1;115:3	23:18;25:1,21;37:21;	52:2,7;70:4;75:18	15,18,20;111:19;	56:3,20;57:17,20;
certainly (7)	47:6;55:21,22;57:13,	cleaner (1)	112:1,15;113:18;	60:3;61:7;75:21;
71:13;73:20;98:21;	15,16;66:5;70:6;	13:18	114:9	86:3;97:22;100:4,7,
99:5;108:23;110:8;	96:22;105:11	Cleaning (2)	comment (10)	12;106:14
116:12	changing (3)	13:16,19	17:5,5;65:18,21;	complicated (2)
certainty (21)	87:3;97:14;98:10	clear (1)	69:7,10,17,20;70:8;	109:12;110:7
85:19;86:22;87:8,	chaotic (1)	47:10	97:10	complied (1)
18;88:18,23;89:22;	12:5	clearly (1)	comments (4)	87:7
90:2;94:6,11;95:1,	charges (2)	23:6	65:20;66:3;68:22,	comply (4)
15;100:13;104:18;	44:18,22	clinical (1)	23	86:6,10,21;105:3
105:23;108:6,11;	chase (1)	96:18	commercially (1)	compounds (1)
112:16;114:2,11,13	77:7	closed (3)	56:15	52:11
CFR (13)	chased (1)	6:6;12:1;63:12	Commissioner (10)	comprehensive (1)
16:18,19;22:9;	62:5	Coal (2)	4:19;5:11;7:6;	86:16
27:12,23;28:10,11;	chasing (1)	13:16,17	11:2;12:15;16:1;	comprise (1)
29:3,23;36:13;37:2,	77:8	Code (5)	45:3;47:13;104:23;	74:7
5;38:17	Chicago (1)	14:14;27:18,21;	110:21	comprised (1)
Chair (11)	19:2	36:21;65:8	commit (1)	107:23
27:5;30:1;36:7;	Chief (2)	Coke (9)	106:9	concentrations (1)
40:4;58:18;65:1;	7:5;44:16	13:11;16:20;40:10,	committed (1)	51:23
70:11;82:7,17;85:3;	choose (1)	11,23;41:17;44:2;	10:4	concern (7)
113:19	84:1	50:1,1	Committee (1)	67:20;88:14;99:9;
chairing (1)	Chris (3)	Cokenergy (5)	89:11	105:18;106:2,19;
3:15	12:19,21;93:7	13:11;16:20;40:11;	common (1)	113:16
CHAIRMAN (144)	circumstances (1)	41:1;50:2	61:11	concerned (3)
3:3,19,23;4:14,17;	75:16	collected (2)	common-sense (1)	10:14;69:7;96:19
11:2,11,15;12:10,14,	citations (1)	8:21;19:6	111:3	concerning (9)
18;15:15,18;16:4,7,	37:2	COLLIER (20)	communities (32)	21:14;27:10;28:10;
11;18:2;20:5,9,12,16;	cities (2)	4:10;21:3;25:14;	9:8;10:8;14:19;	36:11;37:15;40:8,22;
21:7,10;24:10,13,17,	10:5;106:11	26:4,5;35:6,7;39:3,4;	85:16,20;87:14,22;	51:7:55:3
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23;25:4,18,21;26:3,6,	citizen (2)	50:19;54:15;64:9;	88:3,9,18,23;89:5;	concerns (4)
8,10,12,14,16,18,20,	81:22;92:12	79:10,13,16;80:2;	90:3;91:12;93:15,15;	23:19;61:8;77:18,
22;27:1,3,5;29:13,16,	citizens (2)	81:12;100:16;103:1;	95:1,10;96:23;97:4;	21
20;30:2,10,15,19;	29:5;85:4	118:18	100:13;102:3;	concluded (5)
*/1:// 1 1 //1 / 3:35:// V	Citizen's (7)	Columbia (1) 85:5	105:12,23;107:19;	24:18;29:21;38:15;
34:4,11,20,23;35:4,8,	17.1.01.17.10	× > . >	108:3,11;112:2;	49:21;53:15
10,12,14,16,18,20,22;	17:1;81:17,18;		114 11 10 117 14	1 • /4\
10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10,	82:11;83:13;102:5,	Combined (7)	114:11,12;115:14;	conclusion (1)
10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10, 14,20,23;39:2,5,7,9,	82:11;83:13;102:5, 17	Combined (7) 14:16,20;57:21;	116:4	6:21
10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10, 14,20,23;39:2,5,7,9, 11,13,15,17,19,21,23;	82:11;83:13;102:5, 17 City (5)	Combined (7) 14:16,20;57:21; 85:13;87:3;105:21;	116:4 community (17)	6:21 conditions (8)
10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10, 14,20,23;39:2,5,7,9,	82:11;83:13;102:5, 17	Combined (7) 14:16,20;57:21;	116:4	6:21

112-22					140vember 13, 2017
conducted (5) 7-22,23,823,67-7; 8616 Conference (1) 118:10 confidential (1) 118:10 confidential (3) 712,072,71,7731 confidential (4) 712,072,71,7731 confidential (3) 6-88,8,14 Confidential (3) 6-88,8,14 Confidential (4) 712,072,71,7731 correction (3) 6-88,8,14 Confidential (4) 712,072,71,7731 correction (2) 13:33 confidential (4) 712,072,71,7731 correction (3) 6-88,8,14 Confidential (4) 712,072,71,7731 correction (2) 13:33 confidential (4) 712,072,71,7731 correction (2) 13:33 confidential (4) 712,072,71,7731 correction (3) 6-88,8,14 Confidential (4) 712,072,71,7731 correction (3) 6-88,8,14 Confidential (4) 712,072,71,7731 correction (2) 13:21 correction (3) 23:13 correction (4) 23:13 correction (5) 23:13 correction (5) 23:13 correction (6) 23:13 correction (7) 23:13 correctio	112:22	95:9:100:1.5:103:18.	creates (1)	daily (6)	69:5:86:8:90:5:
7.222.38.23.67.7;					
8616 conserient (1) 118:10 cooling (1) coo					
Conference (1) 118:10 condident (1) 11:18 conject (1) 11:16 conject (1)					
118.10 confige (t) croederaties (3) 79:14:80:108.119 90:3 croek (t) 79:14:80:108.119 97:8 croek (t) 10:21 confidential (4) 71:20:72:7,17:73:1 confidential (4) 70:1 confidential (5) 70:1 confidential (5) 70:1 confidential (6) 70:1 confidential (7) 70:1 confidential (7) confi					
condident (I) 11:18 credentials (3) 40:14.16-32:12.16 degreasers (I) degreasers (I) 90:3 confidential (4) 10:21 79:14:80:10:81:9 defc.55:58.10;81:9 13:2 degreasers (I) 10:21 cereks (I) 97:8 defc.55:58.10;81:9 13:2 degreasers (I) 10:21 cereks (I) 97:14:07:27.17:73:1 defc.55:58.10;81:9 13:2 demonstrate (I) 13:16:41:21:91:7 23:15:21:81:20. 23:15:21:81:20. 33:5:37:21:18:12 demonstrate (3) 33:5:37:21:18:12 demonstrate (3) 32:21:30:91:13 32:13:20:10:13 32:12:10:13 33:5:37:21:18:12 demonstrate (3) 32:12:12:13:39:19:10:13 demonstrate (3) 97:19:98:46:11.23:3 33:5:37:21:18:12 demonstrate (3) 97:19:98:46:11.23:3 32:12:12:13:39:19:10:13 demonstrate (3) 97:19:98:46:11.23:3 32:12:12:13:39:19:10:19:13 demonstrate (3) 97:19:98:46:11.23:3 32:12:12:13:13:12:13 32:12:12:13:13:12:13 demonstrate (3) 97:19:98:46:11.23:13 32:16:41:21:13:13 32:16:41:21:13:13 32:16:41:21:13:13 32:16:41:21:13:13 32:16:41:21:13:13 32:16:41:21:13:13 32:16:41:21:13:13 32:16:41:21:13:13 32:16:41:21:					
90.3 confidential (4) 71/20/72-7,17/73:1 confidential (4) 71/20/72-7,17/73:1 confidential (4) 71/20/72-7,17/73:1 confidential (4) 70:1 confidential (4) 70:1 confidential (5) 698.8,14 Confident (1) 32:13 conflict (1) 32:13 conflict (1) 32:13 conflict (1) 32:13 conflict (1) 33:16:41/2,191:7 considential (5) 33:16:41/2,191:7 considential (6) 42:8,43:22-445:41.90 24:8,43:22-445:41.90 24:8,43:22-445:41.90 24:8,43:22-445:41.90 24:8,43:22-454:41.90 24:8,43:22-454:41.90 24:8,43:22-454:41.90 24:8,43:22-454:41.90 24:8,43:22-454:41.90 24:8,43:22-454:41.90 24:8,43:22-454:41.90 24:10-45-11.80 consider (10) 18:3:2-41-12.90 18:3:1-3:3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-41-12.90 18:3:1-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3					
confidential (4) 71:2072:7.173:1 confidentiality (3) 69:8,814 (69:8,814 (70:1) 70:1 (70:1)					
Trigonometric confidentiality (3)					
confided (d) 70:1 criteria (24) date (7) demonstrate (2) demonstrate (2) demonstrate (2) demonstrate (3)					
Confined (1) 31:8 conflict (1) 32:13 correction (2) 57:1.11 corrections (3) 32:13 correction (3) 31:64:12:191:7 doi:10.12:10.11:16 corrects (2) 37:3.112:11 doi:10.12:10.13:23 consumet (21) doi:10.12:10.13:23 doi:10.13:23 doi:				,	
Source Continue					
31:8					
conflict (1) 57:1.11 97:19:98:4.6.11.23; 32:23:41:22:15; denial (2) confused (1) 31:6:41:21:91:7 97:19:98:11.3,20:103:14; 25:19:20:106:4; 25:12:13:39:1,91.0; denial (2) conjunction (1) 37:3:11:211 corrects (2) 109:1 58:7 25:15:44:17; 58:17:22:99:7.10; denial (2) 70:20:21 70:20:21 70:20:21 denial (2) 70:20:21 70:20:21 70:20:21 denial (2) 70:20:21 70:20:21 denial (2) 70:20:21 70:20:21 70:20:21 denial (2) 70:20:21 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>					
32:13					
confused (1) 111:16 3:16;41:21:91:7 corrects (2) 10:19:20:106:4; 35:2,12,13:39:19,10; corr20:20:1 deny (1) 23:3 consent (2) 7:18:92:41:2,10; costs (3) 89:5 60:17;22:61:3,22; beauting (1) 22:12 cross (1) 62:863:164:11; 7:33:3;41:41:2,22; cross (1) 7:48:48:48:26,62; 94:99:7:6 consider (4) 18:22:41:11,18; consider (10) 18:32:41:92:92:1; 38:15;49:21:53:15; consider (10) 18:32:41:92:92:1; 38:15;49:21:53:15; consider (10) 18:32:41:92:92:1; 20:104:61:161:13 consider (3) 22:12:19:29:51:10,19; 65:18.33:41:14:18:5, 12:12; 12:19:25:110,19; 66:8 days (4) 15:10:20:165:19; 66:8 days (4) 15:10:20:165:19; 66:8 days (1) 16:41:18:18:33:16:13:18:7; 10:46:116:13 considering (1) considering (1) considering (1) considering (1) considering (1) considering (1) consider (3) 7:29:89:2.5 consulting (1) consider (3) 28:1:99:1 consider (4) consider (3) 28:1:99:1 consider (4) consider (3) 29:1:31:11:19:99:17 consider (3) 28:1:99:1 consider (4) consider (3) 29:1:31:11:19:99:17 consider (3) 29:1:31:11:19:99:17 consider (3) 28:1:99:1 consider (4) consider (3) 29:1:31:11:19:99:17 consider (4) consider (4) consider (5) 18:3:3:4:12:3 59:7:91:68:04 consider (1) consider (3) 28:1:99:1 consider (4) consider (4) consider (5) 18:3:2:3:3:5:2:3:3:3:5:2:3:3:3:2:3:3:5:2:3:3:3:5:2:3:3:3:3					
111:16					
conjunction (1) 37:3;112:11 critical (1) 89:5 60:17,22:613,22; 23:3					
13:23					
consent (21) 7:18;9:2;41:2,10; costs (1) 89:17 62:8:63:1;64:11; 7:61:8;77:2;90:11,13; 7:3,13;14:12,22; 7:3,13;14:12,22; 7:3,13;14:12,22; 7:3,13;14:12,22; 7:3,13;14:12,22; 7:3,13;14:12,22; 7:3,13;14:12,22; 7:3,13;14:12,22; 7:3,13;14:12,22; 23:73:7;19;42:4; 23:73:7;19;42:4; 7:3,13;14:12,22; 23:73:7;19;42:4; 24:73:73:7;19;42:4; 24:73:73:7;19;42:4; 24:73:73:7;19;42:4; 24:73:73:7;19;42:4;					
7:18:9:2:41:2,10; 42:843:22;45:4,19, 19;46:18,12,17,19; 22:12 counsel (5) 68:8 17:94:2:95:17.00; 47:4,15;48:2,6,12; 94:9:97:6 consider (10) 18:3:24:19;29:21; 80:9.9 Counties (11) 13:4;16:14:18:5, 61:20,23:63:12:98:9 considered (5) Counties (1) 13:4;16:14:18:5, 10:4;6:116:13 considering (1) 59:4 considering (1) 59:1 10:2:5:19:20; 6:11;8:11:9:9:17 considering (1) 59:1 10:8:11 59:12 considering (1) 59:12 13:11:19:99:17 considering (1) considering (1) 59:12 13:11:19:99:17 considering (1) considering (1) considering (1) considering (1) considering (1) 59:12 13:11:19:99:17 considering (1) considering (1) considering (1) considering (1) considering (1) considering (1) 59:12 13:11:19:99:17 considering (1) consi					
42:843:22:454.19, 19:461.8.12.17.19; Counset (5) 68:8 17:942:951.7.20; 58:10 Departmental (1) 10:13.17.73:9; day (6)					
19.46;1.8,1.2,17.19; 474,15;48;2,6 12; 949;97:6 18:18,64:23 counterfeiting (2) 18:3;24:19;29:21; 38:15;49:21;53:15; 61:20,23;63:12;98:9 consider (10) 13:4;16:14;18:5; 21:22;127:19;51:17 considered (5) 17:8;70:22;87:4; 104:6;116:13 59:14 consistent (7) 14:2;22.7;28:6; 29.7;41:9;42.7;52:18 constuting (1) consistent (7) 14:2;22.7;28:6; 29.7;41:9;42.7;52:18 constuting (1) consistent (7) 16:18;13:8;31:4; 77:19 20:19;20:19;30:11;19;99:17 course (6) 29.7;41:9;42.7;52:18 construction (3) 72:9;89:2,5 constuting (1) constact (3) 9:13;11:19;99:17 course (6) 43:16 43:16 43:16 43:16 43:16 43:16 43:16 20:19;21:4;44:45:18; 60:22 20:19;11:19;11:19;90:17 contain (1) 68:6 43:16 43:16 43:16 20:19;22:4;28:4; 20:10;21:19;22:3,6 20:10;23:19 20:10;23:16;21:19;22:3,6 20:10;23:16;					
474,4,1548;2,6,12; 94:997.6 consider (10) 18:3;24:19:29:21; 36:15; 61:20,23;63:12;98:9 consideration (3) 22:1;27:19:51:17 considered (5) 17:8;70:22;87:4; 104:6;116:13 considering (1) 59:4 considering (1) 59:10 considering (1) 59:12 considering (1) 108:1 construction (3) 72:9;89:2.5 construction (4) 11:13:12 consulting (1) 108:1 contain (1) continuous (3) 21:15:22:3.6 contribute (1) 52:3 Continuous (3) 22:12:11:8;114:19 continuous (3) 21:15:22:3.6 contribute (1) 52:3 Contribute (1) 52:4 Contrib					
18:18:64:23					
consider (10) counterleiting (2) 80.9.9 crumb (1) 10.13,17;73:9; depend (2) 98:1,12 dependent (1) 10:13,17;73:9; 75:6;91:22;96:8 days (4) dependent (1) 98:1,12 48:1,18 98:1,12 48:1,18 48:1,18 98:1,12 48:2,18 98:1,12 48:2,18 48:1,18 98:1,12 48:2,18 48:2,18 114:8 98:1,12 48:2,18				, ,	
18:324:19:29:21; 38:15;49:21;53:15; 61:20:23;63:12;98:9					
38:15;49:21;53:15; 61:20;23;63:1298:9					
61:20,23;63:12,98:9 consideration (3) 22:1;27:19;51:17 considered (5) 17:87;0:22;87:4; 10:4;6;116:13 considering (1) 59:4 considering (7) 14:2;22:7;28:6; 29:7;41:9;42:7;52:18 construction (3) 72:9;89:2,5 consulting (1) 10:8:1 consulting (1) 10:8:1 consulting (1) 10:8:1 consulting (1) 29:13:11:19;99:17 considered (5) 29:13:11:19;99:17 considering (1) 68:8:6 contained (2) 28:16;9:1 containing (1) 28:20 continuous (3) 21:12;84:23;112:5, continuous (3) 21:15;22:3,6 contribute (1) 52:3 contribute (1) 52:3 contribute (1) 52:3 control(22) 13:23;44:1,21; 15:10;22:2;23:4; contain (2) Craig (4) 21:15;22:3,6 control(22) 13:23;14:1,21; 15:10;22:2;23:4; 66:8 days'(1) 77:20 77:20 Deputy (3) 77:20 Deputy (3) 77:20 Deputy (3) 77:17 CSO's (1) 88:10 CUMMINS (17) 10:18,82:0:15;21:1; 25:12;26:12,13; 35:14,15;21:1; 25:12;26:12,13; 35:14,15;39:11,12; 50:8,17;54:3,13; 66:8 days'(1) 77:20 Deputy (3) 44:15,15;45:1 death (1) 48:20:15;21:1; 25:12;26:12,13; 35:14,15;39:11,12; 50:8,17;54:3,13; 66:8 days'(1) 77:20 Deputy (3) 77:20 Deputy (3) 44:15,15;45:1 death (1) 43:20 depends (1) 98:13 depends (1) 10:318,22;116:11 CSO's (1) 88:10 CUMMINS (17) 113:18;29:14;21;21;21;25;42:4;24;31;21;25;42:4;24;24;24;24;24;24;24;24;24;24;24;24;24					
consideration (3) 22:1;27:19;51:17 22:1;27:19;51:17 86:3,7,10;87:7;88:3, days' (1) 66:8 designation (1) depending (1) 98:13 98:13 depending (1) 4ays' (1) depending (1) 4ays' (1) depending (1) 98:13 depending (1) 4ays' (1) (1) <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
22:1;27:19;51:17 considered (5) 17:8;70;22:87:4; 104:6;116:13 19:10,12;52:19,20; 59:4 considering (1) 14:2;22:7;28:6; 29:7;41:9;42:7;52:18 construction (3) 72:9;89:2,5 constulting (1) 108:1 contain (1) contain (2) 28:1,69:1 28:10 28:10 28:20 continuing (1) 28:20 28:19 28:18 28:18 29:11 28:21 29:19 28:15:22:34:12:1 20:11:4:8 Deer (1) 21:14:8 Deer (3) 44:15,15;43:1 20 20:20:4eath (1) 43:20 20 20:18:84:17 20 20:20:4eath (1) 20:20 20:18:84:17 20:20:4eignation (4) 20:20 20:18:40 20:20 20:18:41:21:4 20:20:4eath (1) 44:20:20:53:19 20:18:41:7:19 46:22 46eide (2) 46eided (2) 46eignation (4) 41:19:0 46eignation (4) 41:10 46eignation (4) 42:8;43:22:45:4,20; 46:9:11:10:21 46ecide (2) 46:9:17:4 48:20:15:21:1; 46ecide (2) 46eignation (4) 41:10 46ecide (2) 46:9:11:10:21 46ecide (2) 46:9:11:10:21 46ecide (2) 46:9:11:10:21 46ecide (2) 46:9:21:16:11 46ecide (2) 46:9:21 46ecide (2) 46:9:21 46:9:21 46:9:21					
considered (5) County (8) 93:15,21;96:3;99:9; 103:18,22;116:11 77:20 depends (1) 98:5 17:8;70:22;87:4; 104:6;116:13 19:10,12;52:19,20; 62:12 CSO's (1) 88:10 DC (1) 98:5 Deputy (3) 98:5 Deputy (3) 7:5;15:23;47:12 Deputy (3) 4:1:15,15;45:1 deal (1) 4:1:15,15;45:1 deal (1) 4:1:15,15;45:1 death (1) 4:1:15,15;45:1 death (1) 4:1:15,15;45:1 death (1) 4:2:0 deescribed (1) 4:2:0 deescribed (1) 4:3:0 decide (2) 5:1:18,22;93:8; 99:17 decide (2)					
17:8;70:22;87:4;					
104:6;116:13					
considering (1) 62:12 88:10 deal (1) 7:5;15:23;47:12 consistent (7) 6:18;13:8;31:4; 4:8;20:15;21:1; Dearborn (3) 4:14:8 construction (3) 12:7;44:4;45:18; 25:12;26:12,13; 35:14,15;39:11,12; bearborn (3) 44:15,15;45:1 construction (3) 12:7;44:4;45:18; 50:8,17;54:3,13; 63:19;64:7;118:16 bearborn (3) 43:20 consulting (1) cover (1) cover (1) cover (1) cover (1) cover (1) 25:18,23;93:8; contain (1) 59:12 113:12 decide (2) designation (4) contain (1) covered (1) current (6) 18:9;22:4;28:4; decide (2) 96:4 containing (1) 22:6;23:9,23 currently (4) 23:21;15:23;24;28:4; decree (18) 18:4,10;19:16 continuing (1) crack (1) 9:15;13:18;18:23; 7:18;9:2;41:2,10; desire (3) 28:20 78:19 27:23 48:2,6,13;94:9 decree (18) 114:10 contribute (1) 9 Craig (4) 62:18 45:19;47:15;97:6 detailed (1)					
59:4 consistent (7) couple (4) CUMMINS (17) 114:8 Derf (3) 44:15,15;45:1 14:2;22:7;28:6; 77:17 77:17 25:12;26:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 25:12;62:12;13; 26:12 Dearborn (3) 44:15,15;45:1 4escribed (1) 44:15,15;45:1 4escribed (1) 44:15,15;45:1 4escribed (1) 44:15,15;45:1 described (1) 43:20 described (1) 43:20 designated (4) 59:18,229 51:18,22;93:8; 28:18;41:7 99:17 decide (2) designation (4) 15:7;93:22;93:8; 23 15:7;93:22;93:8; 23 decided (2) 46:24:17;12:2 46:24:17;12:2 46:24:17;12:2 46:24:17;13:16:21 46:24:17;13:16:21 46:31:16:21 46:31:16:21 46:31:16:21 46:31:16:21 46:31:16:21 46:31:16:21 46:31:16:21 46:31:16:21 46:31:16:21 46:31:16:21 46:31:16:21 46:31:16:21 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
consistent (7) 6:18;13:8;31:4; 4:8;20:15;21:1; Dearborn (3) 44:15,15;45:1 described (1) 43:20 29:7;41:9;42:7;52:18 course (6) 35:14,15;39:11,12; 50:8,17;54:3,13; 6:22 described (1) 43:20 construction (3) 12:7;44:4;45:18; 50:8,17;54:3,13; 6:22 designated (4) 50:8,17;54:3,13; 50:8,17;54:3,13; 6:22 designated (4) 50:8,17;54:3,13; 6:22 designated (4) 6:22 designated (4) 6:22 designated (4) 6:22 designation (4) 6:22 designation (4) 6:22 designation (4) 6:22 designation (4) 15:18,22;93:8; 99:17 designation (4) 15:7:93:22;94:15; 99:17 designation (4) 15:7:93:22;94:15; 15:7:93:22;94:15; 15:7:93:22;94:15; 15:7:93:22;94:15; 46:31 46:31 46:31 46:31 46:31 46:31 46:31 46:31 46:31 46:31 46:31 46:31 4					1 1
14:2;22:7;28:6; 29:7;41:9;42:7;52:18 course (6) 29:7;41:9;42:7;52:18 course (6) 12:7;44:4;45:18; 76:11;80:17;86:21 63:19;64:7;118:16 62:2 designated (4) 43:20 designation (4) 13:11 13:12 decide (2) 43:14 13:12 decide (2) designation (4) 15:7;93:22;94:15; decided (2) 67:9;80:15 decided (2) 67:9;80:15 decided (2) 67:9;80:15 decision (2) 43:16 18:9;22:4;28:4; decision (2) 23:2;116:21 decide (2) 67:9;80:15 decision (2) 23:2;116:21 decide (3) 43:16 18:9;22:4;28:4; decided (2) 78:19 27:23 decree (18) 42:8;43:22;45:4;20; decree (18) 43:16 67:13 59:21 42:8;43:22;45:4;20; decree (18) 43:10 decree (3) 43:16 decree (3) decree (4) decree (3)					
29:7;41:9;42:7;52:18					
construction (3) 12:7;44:4;45:18; 50:8,17;54:3,13; 6:22 designated (4) 72:9;89:2,5 76:11;80:17;86:21 63:19;64:7;118:16 December (2) 51:18,22;93:8; consulting (1) 59:12 113:12 decide (2) designation (4) contact (3) coverage (1) curious (3) 106:4;117:2 designation (4) p:13;11:19;99:17 115:3 59:7;79:16;80:4 decide (2) designation (4) contain (1) covered (1) current (6) 68:6 43:16 18:9;22:4;28:4; decided (2) 96:4 contained (2) CPMS (3) 32:19;55:23;87:23 23:2;116:21 decision (2) 18:4,10;19:16 containing (1) crack (1) 9:15;13:18;18:23; 23:2;116:21 decide (18) 95:14;108:14; continuing (1) crafting (1) cut (1) 46:2,8,12,17,19;47:5; 46:28,12,17,19;47:5; 46:28,12,17,19;47:5; 48:2,6,13;94:9 decrees (3) 48:1,93;41:0; 78:2 detailed (1) 78:2 detailed (1) 116:15 detailed (1) 78:2 detailed (1) 16:15 detai					
72:9;89:2,5 consulting (1) 76:11;80:17;86:21 cover (1) 63:19;64:7;118:16 curb (1) December (2) 51:18,22;33:8; 99:17 decide (2) contact (3) contact (3) coverage (1) curious (3) 28:18;41:7 decide (2) designation (4) contain (1) covered (1) current (6) 67:9;80:15 decided (2) designations (3) contain (1) covered (1) 115:3 59:7;79:16;80:4 decided (2) decided (2) 96:4 designation (4) contain (1) 43:16 18:9;22:4;28:4; decided (2) decided (2) 18:4,10;19:16 desire (3) containing (1) 22:6;23:9,23 currently (4) 22:6;23:9,23 currently (4) 23:2;116:21 decided (2) designations (3) 28:20 78:19 27:23 42:8;43:22;45:4,20; decided (2) desire (3) 95:14;108:14; 114:10 Continuous (3) Crafting (1) cut (1) 46:2,8,12,17,19;47:5; 76:6 detail (3) destroys (1) Contribute (1) 9 Craig's (3) 45:19;47:15;97:6 defects (1) 116:15 52:3 Craig's (3) 95:2;111:8;114:19 create (1) 69:9,22;7:14 88:17 detailed (1) 44:13;98:5;100:9 15:10;22:2;					
consulting (1) cover (1) curb (1) 28:18;41:7 99:17 108:1 59:12 113:12 decide (2) designation (4) contact (3) coverage (1) curious (3) 106:4;117:2 15:7;93:22;94:15; 9:13;11:19;99:17 115:3 59:7;79:16;80:4 decided (2) 96:4 contain (1) covered (1) current (6) 67:9;80:15 designations (3) 68:6 43:16 18:9;22:4;28:4; decision (2) 18:4,10;19:16 contained (2) CPMS (3) 32:19;55:23;87:23 23:2;116:21 designations (3) 28:1;69:1 22:6;23:9,23 currently (4) 7:18;9:2;41:2,10; 95:14;108:14; 28:20 78:19 27:23 42:8;43:22;45:4,20; destroys (1) continuous (3) Craig (4) cut (1) 46:2,8,12,17,19;47:5; 78:2 Continuous (3) Craig (4) cut-up (1) decrees (3) 56:16;98:2;101:15 contribute (1) 9 52:18 45:19;47:15;97:6 detaile (1) 52:3 Craig's (3) cyanide (3)					
108:1		cover (1)			
contact (3) coverage (1) curious (3) 106:4;117:2 15:7;93:22;94:15; 9:13;11:19;99:17 115:3 59:7;79:16;80:4 decided (2) 96:4 contain (1) covered (1) current (6) 67:9;80:15 designations (3) 68:6 43:16 18:9;22:4;28:4; decision (2) 18:4,10;19:16 contained (2) 22:6;23:9,23 currently (4) 23:2;116:21 desire (3) 28:1;69:1 22:6;23:9,23 currently (4) 7:18;9:2;41:2,10; 114:10 28:20 78:19 27:23 42:8;43:22;45:4,20; destroys (1) continuing (1) crafting (1) cut (1) 46:2,8,12,17,19;47:5; 78:2 Continuous (3) Craig (4) 59:21 48:2,6,13;94:9 detail (3) 21:15;22:3,6 81:22;84:23;112:5, 62:18 45:19;47:15;97:6 detailed (1) 52:3 Contribute (1) 9 CWA (1) defects (1) 116:15 52:3 Contribute (2) 95:2;111:8;114:19 cyanide (3) defensible (1) 44:13;98:5;100:9 15:1					designation (4)
9:13;11:19;99:17 contain (1) 68:6 Contained (2) 28:1;69:1 Containing (1) 28:20 Continuing (1) Continuing (1) Continuing (1) Continuing (1) Continuous (3) 21:15;22:3,6 Continuous (3) 21:15;22:3,6 Contribute (1) 52:3 Control (22) 13:23;14:1,21; 15:10;22:22;23:4; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, Contain (1) Contain (1) Contain (2) Continuing (1) Continuing (2) Continuing (3) Control (22) 13:23;14:1,21; 15:10;22:22;23:4; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, Control (2) D	contact (3)	coverage (1)	curious (3)		15:7;93:22;94:15;
contain (1) covered (1) current (6) 67:9;80:15 designations (3) 68:6 43:16 18:9;22:4;28:4; decision (2) 18:4,10;19:16 contained (2) CPMS (3) 32:19;55:23;87:23 23:2;116:21 desire (3) 28:1;69:1 22:6;23:9,23 currently (4) decree (18) 95:14;108:14; containing (1) crack (1) 9:15;13:18;18:23; 7:18;9:2;41:2,10; 114:10 28:20 78:19 27:23 42:8;43:22;45:4,20; destroys (1) continuing (1) crafting (1) cut (1) 46:2,8,12,17,19;47:5; 78:2 detail (3) 56:16;98:2;101:15 detail (3) 56:16;98:2;101:15 contribuous (3) Craig (4) cut-up (1) decrees (3) 56:16;98:2;101:15 contribute (1) 9 CWA (1) defects (1) 116:15 52:3 Craig's (3) 15:3 106:16 details (3) control (22) 95:2;111:8;114:19 create (1) 88:17 determination (8) 15:10;22:22;2;23:4; 14:16 72:19;73:3;74:11;	9:13;11:19;99:17				
68:6					
contained (2) CPMS (3) 32:19;55:23;87:23 23:2;116:21 desire (3) 28:1;69:1 22:6;23:9,23 currently (4) 9:15;13:18;18:23; 7:18;9:2;41:2,10; 114:10 28:20 78:19 27:23 42:8;43:22;45:4,20; destroys (1) continuing (1) crafting (1) cut (1) 46:2,8,12,17,19;47:5; 78:2 continuous (3) Craig (4) cut-up (1) decrees (3) 56:16;98:2;101:15 21:15;22:3,6 81:22;84:23;112:5, 62:18 45:19;47:15;97:6 detailed (1) 52:3 Craig's (3) 15:3 106:16 details (3) control (22) 95:2;111:8;114:19 6:9,22;7:14 88:17 determination (8) 15:10;22:22;23:4; 114:16 deficiency (1) 72:19;73:3;74:11; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, Created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;					
28:1;69:1 22:6;23:9,23 currently (4) decree (18) 95:14;108:14; containing (1) crack (1) 9:15;13:18;18:23; 7:18;9:2;41:2,10; 114:10 28:20 78:19 27:23 42:8;43:22;45:4,20; destroys (1) continuing (1) crafting (1) cut (1) 46:2,8,12,17,19;47:5; 78:2 106:15 67:13 59:21 48:2,6,13;94:9 detail (3) Continuous (3) Craig (4) cut-up (1) decrees (3) 56:16;98:2;101:15 21:15;22:3,6 81:22;84:23;112:5, 62:18 45:19;47:15;97:6 detailed (1) contribute (1) 9 CWA (1) defects (1) 116:15 52:3 Craig's (3) 15:3 106:16 details (3) control (22) 95:2;111:8;114:19 6:9,22;7:14 88:17 determination (8) 15:10;22:22;23:4; 14:16 6:9,22;7:14 deficiency (1) 72:19;73:3;74:11; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;	contained (2)	CPMS (3)	32:19;55:23;87:23	23:2;116:21	
28:20	28:1;69:1	22:6;23:9,23	currently (4)	decree (18)	95:14;108:14;
continuing (1) crafting (1) cut (1) 46:2,8,12,17,19;47:5; 78:2 106:15 67:13 59:21 48:2,6,13;94:9 detail (3) Continuous (3) Craig (4) cut-up (1) decrees (3) 56:16;98:2;101:15 21:15;22:3,6 81:22;84:23;112:5, 62:18 45:19;47:15;97:6 detailed (1) contribute (1) 9 CWA (1) defects (1) 116:15 52:3 Craig's (3) 15:3 106:16 details (3) control (22) 95:2;111:8;114:19 cyanide (3) defensible (1) 44:13;98:5;100:9 13:23;14:1,21; create (1) 6:9,22;7:14 88:17 determination (8) 15:10;22:22;23:4; 114:16 deficiency (1) 72:19;73:3;74:11; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;	containing (1)	crack (1)	9:15;13:18;18:23;	7:18;9:2;41:2,10;	114:10
106:15 67:13 59:21 48:2,6,13;94:9 detail (3) Continuous (3) Craig (4) cut-up (1) decrees (3) 56:16;98:2;101:15 21:15;22:3,6 81:22;84:23;112:5, 62:18 45:19;47:15;97:6 detailed (1) contribute (1) 9 CWA (1) defects (1) 116:15 52:3 Craig's (3) 15:3 106:16 details (3) control (22) 95:2;111:8;114:19 cyanide (3) defensible (1) 44:13;98:5;100:9 13:23;14:1,21; create (1) 6:9,22;7:14 88:17 determination (8) 15:10;22:22;23:4; 114:16 deficiency (1) 72:19;73:3;74:11; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;	28:20	78:19	27:23	42:8;43:22;45:4,20;	destroys (1)
Continuous (3) Craig (4) cut-up (1) decrees (3) 56:16;98:2;101:15 contribute (1) 9 CWA (1) defects (1) 116:15 52:3 Craig's (3) 15:3 106:16 detailed (1) control (22) 95:2;111:8;114:19 cyanide (3) defensible (1) 44:13;98:5;100:9 13:23;14:1,21; create (1) 6:9,22;7:14 88:17 determination (8) 15:10;22:22;23:4; 114:16 deficiency (1) 72:19;73:3;74:11; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;	continuing (1)	crafting (1)	cut (1)	46:2,8,12,17,19;47:5;	78:2
21:15;22:3,6 81:22;84:23;112:5, 62:18 45:19;47:15;97:6 detailed (1) contribute (1) 9 CWA (1) 16:15 52:3 Craig's (3) 15:3 106:16 details (3) control (22) 95:2;111:8;114:19 cyanide (3) defensible (1) 44:13;98:5;100:9 13:23;14:1,21; create (1) 6:9,22;7:14 88:17 determination (8) 15:10;22:22;23:4; 14:16 deficiency (1) 72:19;73:3;74:11; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;	106:15	67:13	59:21	48:2,6,13;94:9	detail (3)
contribute (1) 9 CWA (1) defects (1) 116:15 52:3 Craig's (3) 15:3 106:16 details (3) control (22) 95:2;111:8;114:19 cyanide (3) defensible (1) 44:13;98:5;100:9 13:23;14:1,21; create (1) 6:9,22;7:14 88:17 determination (8) 15:10;22:22;23:4; 114:16 deficiency (1) 72:19;73:3;74:11; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;	Continuous (3)		cut-up (1)	decrees (3)	56:16;98:2;101:15
52:3 Craig's (3) 15:3 106:16 details (3) control (22) 95:2;111:8;114:19 cyanide (3) defensible (1) 44:13;98:5;100:9 13:23;14:1,21; create (1) 6:9,22;7:14 88:17 determination (8) 15:10;22:22;23:4; 14:16 deficiency (1) 72:19;73:3;74:11; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;		81:22;84:23;112:5,	62:18	45:19;47:15;97:6	detailed (1)
control (22) 95:2;111:8;114:19 cyanide (3) defensible (1) 44:13;98:5;100:9 13:23;14:1,21; create (1) 6:9,22;7:14 88:17 determination (8) 15:10;22:22;23:4; 114:16 deficiency (1) 72:19;73:3;74:11; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;					
13:23;14:1,21; create (1) 6:9,22;7:14 88:17 deficiency (1) 72:19;73:3;74:11; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;					details (3)
13:23;14:1,21; create (1) 6:9,22;7:14 88:17 deficiency (1) 72:19;73:3;74:11; 68:18;85:13,21;86:8, created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;					
68:18;85:13,21;86:8, created (2) D 22:19 82:4;91:11;102:14;	13:23;14:1,21;	create (1)	6:9,22;7:14		
10,18;87:1;88:10; 70:5;88:7 defined (4) 116:23;117:4			D		
	10,18;87:1;88:10;	70:5;88:7		defined (4)	116:23;117:4

determine (2)	discharge (12)	115:15;116:5,9,19	69:12;86:17;89:16;	enforcement (13)
84:2;87:6	6:9,22;11:8,9;12:4;	dotted (2)	90:7;105:2	10:19;87:6;91:10;
determined (1)	15:11;42:18;90:14;	31:23;115:16	efforts (2)	92:1;94:7;104:16,21;
47:20	94:7,19;97:17;	down (10)	89:13;106:18	106:5;110:10;111:6,
determines (2)	104:15	5:10;7:10;45:5;	EGU's (2)	17;112:7,12
83:9;101:20	discharger (1)	59:1;74:1;83:15,17;	28:17,22	engaging (1)
determining (1)	110:5	102:11;114:6;117:22	Eight-Hour (4)	93:12
95:5	discharges (7)	Dr (16)	18:5,21;19:5;51:20	engineers (1)
develop (6)	8:11;87:5;88:6,12;	26:20,21;30:1,3;	either (2)	108:1
14:20;44:17;65:17;	91:1;99:9;115:1	31:6;34:1;35:22,23;	19:16;66:2	enhancements (1)
85:10,20;108:8	discovered (2)	39:19;70:9;103:7;	elected (1)	56:1
developed (4)	6:18;10:2	105:6;106:23;	10:4	ensure (11)
87:19;88:12;	discretion (15)	109:10;111:15,21	electric (2)	7:19,21;10:7,22;
103:22;105:20	87:6;90:17,23;	draft (16)	28:16;57:5	22:13;28:5;38:1;
developing (2)	91:9,22;92:20;	18:11;27:13;36:14;	electronic (2)	52:17;89:1;94:6;95:3
69:13;86:5	104:16,21;106:5;	40:12;51:11;55:6;	68:5,9	ensuring (4)
Development (3)	109:14;110:10;	57:1,3,10,12;65:17;	electronically (1)	10:4;29:6;56:10,21
12:21;18:17;40:17	111:14,16;112:3,12	107:18;108:17,21,22;	82:21	enter (2)
device (1)	discuss (3)	109:6	elementary (1)	18:11;78:4
13:23	68:22;70:20;	drafting (1)	62:21	entering (1)
Devil (1)	102:12	38:1	elevated (1)	47:16
98:2	discussed (3)	dramatic (1)	99:10	entertain (1)
Devil's (1)	67:4;102:2;107:18	95:13	eliminating (1)	115:12
100:9	discussion (11) 20:10;24:21;29:23;	dramatically (1) 97:7	69:2 elimination (1)	entire (3) 17:12;46:17;78:2
devoid (1) 117:1	38:18;49:15;50:3;	draw (2)	58:3	
differ (1)	53:22;63:15;81:16;	6:8;12:2	else (1)	entities (5) 36:23;57:19;91:13;
43:23	100:17;104:7	drive (1)	78:7	102:21;107:20
difference (1)	discussions (1)	90:6	embed (1)	entitled (1)
43:3	101:7	driver's (1)	45:19	73:8
differences (1)	disease (2)	81:8	embedded (1)	entity (4)
94:22	77:23;78:1	drizzling (1)	48:15	74:2;91:10,23;
different (15)	Disposal (2)	113:6	emergency (15)	108:12
14:9;47:14;48:22;	37:10;58:6	due (1)	6:17;7:8;11:23;	environment (3)
67:12;70:2;75:10;	distressed (3)	19:4	13:3;16:12;18:4,8,	61:17;89:10;
83:12;84:18;95:15;	6:16,18,20	duplicates (1)	12;19:17,19,22;20:2,	107:22
103:16,16;105:4,5;	Dittmer (1)	83:5	13;21:10;79:21	Environmental (14)
108:13;116:2	89:8	during (4)	Emission (6)	3:7;5:3;7:4,17;
differently (1)	DMR (1)	22:17;24:4;44:21;	16:21;22:8;28:22;	21:13;27:9;36:10;
84:19	110:23	88:10	40:9;49:23;52:21	40:7;51:6;55:2;74:2;
difficult (6)	DNR (1)	_	emissions (14)	75:20;89:4;118:8
32:10;33:8,12;	6:12	E	14:1;22:13;23:10;	EPA (24)
88:2;117:10,11	docks (1)	/	24:1;28:15;40:23;	6:12;7:23;14:3;
difficulties (1)	60:8	earlier (3)	44:8,19,21;51:8,16;	19:1,13;28:12,19;
32:16	document (25)	8:4;32:7;93:7	52:12,13;53:17	41:12;51:18;52:1,14;
difficulty (1)	16:3,5,23;40:18;	easier (1)	employer (1)	85:11,12,18;87:19;
31:19	55:11;64:19,21;65:4,	60:10	77:10	88:8;89:20;99:13;
digital (1) 72:4	10,10,17;66:1,3,5,6, 23;67:10,15;68:16;	easily (1)	end (5) 47:3,4;77:4;86:11;	104:8;105:16; 108:10;109:1;115:7,
diligently (1)	69:5;72:23;74:5;	81:9 easy (3)	47:3,4;77:4;80:11; 114:14	108:10;109:1;115:7,
89:9	77:7;78:14;99:13	67:16;68:9;113:1	ended (1)	EPA's (1)
diminishing (1)	documented (1)	edition (3)	6:8	8:23
117:12	91:19	27:22;29:4;37:13	ending (1)	epidemiological (1)
dioxide (3)	documents (1)	educated (1)	6:9	99:21
28:15;40:22;52:11	33:10	78:7	ends (1)	equipment (1)
direct (3)	dollars (4)	effect (5)	81:15	22:13
58:19;67:16;85:9	86:14;88:4;105:10,	29:4;41:23;57:11;	enforce (2)	error (6)
directed (1)	10	65:13;72:8	104:15;110:22	57:2;69:6;76:19,
45:3	done (15)	effective (4)	enforceable (5)	23;77:22;114:7
direction (2)	47:5;48:2;69:15;	19:17,23;66:8;98:3	47:17,21;48:1;	ESP (1)
90:6;102:14	83:1,11;95:8;102:4;	efficiently (1)	90:15;114:20	75:18
disadvantage (1)	105:9;106:22;	22:11	enforced (2)	especially (1)
105:13	111:12;112:11;	effort (5)	33:18;48:18	9:17
	1	1	1	<u> </u>

				1,0000111001 13, 201
essentially (3)	exceedence (3)		24:1;27:18,21;28:2,7,	20,23
32:2;33:21;65:14	9:22;99:19,23	\mathbf{F}	13,19;29:1,6;31:18;	five (3)
establish (2)	exception (2)	•	32:14;36:21,22;41:2,	84:17;94:20;
15:5;56:16	28:11;36:23	faced (1)	4;42:7;52:18;81:20;	104:22
established (2)	exceptions (1)	87:12	94:9;97:6	five-year (1)
15:9;28:14	69:19	facilitated (1)	Feds (1)	95:22
establishes (1)	exclude (1)	89:20	47:15	fix (3)
14:23	28:23	facilities (15)	feedback (1)	91:20;111:10;
establishing (2)	exercise (1)	10:3;37:10,11;	67:11	112:10
99:16;115:13	111:14	40:10;41:20;44:13,	Feeding (1)	fixed (1)
estimated (1)	exercises (1)	17;50:1;68:11;71:4,	31:8	91:8
88:3	110:11	5;73:7;74:19;79:18;	feel (6)	fixes (1)
ETZLER (37)	exercising (1)	111:8	87:12,15,17;99:7;	95:12
3:21;4:6;20:22;	112:3	facility (35)	106:22;108:5	flexibility (3)
25:10;26:22,23;36:1,	Exhibit (7)	6:2;7:20;8:2,7,8,	feeling (1)	56:9,18;113:22
2;39:21,22;42:13,16,	18:11;21:17;27:13;	12,16,21;9:3,17;12:5,	104:16	flood (1) 12:4
20,23;43:5,8,13,15; 44:6,23;45:2,7,11,21;	36:14;40:12;51:11; 55:6	8;14:11;45:10,12,17;	feet (1) 113:5	floor (2)
46:1,20;47:2;49:1,10,	exist (1)	67:17;68:18;71:19;	felt (3)	4:19;17:11
12;50:15;54:11;	78:18	72:5,12,14,14;73:23;	69:15;92:7;94:17	flow (2)
63:21;64:5;70:10,13;	existing (8)	74:1,3;75:12;76:2,	few (4)	41:19;113:3
71:2	14:1,3;19:18;	14;80:18;91:1,6;	70:7;83:12;98:10;	flows (1)
evacuation-type (1)	22:12;38:3;41:22;	111:1;113:4,7	103:4	113:6
79:21	55:17,21	facility's (1) 91:7	figure (1)	Floyd (4)
evaluate (1)	expect (1)	fact (4)	107:1	51:10,19;52:16;
74:10	10:20	34:9;57:18;78:18;	figured (1)	53:18
evaluation (1)	expended (1)	89:18	117:14	Floyd's (1)
112:18	107:2	factors (1)	filed (1)	83:15
even (4)	expenditures (2)	9:20	19:23	focus (1)
60:3;86:8;88:7;	85:15;88:1	facts (1)	fill (1)	62:17
113:10	expensive (1)	77:1	17:4	focusing (1)
event (4)	89:3	failure (3)	final (24)	89:21
91:4;106:7;113:8;	experience (1)	6:5;12:1;19:4	13:13;15:13;16:17;	folder (2)
115:4	57:19	fair (2)	21:14;22:15,16;	3:10;57:8
events (3)	expert (1)	97:15;108:19	23:13,21;24:5,19;	folks (7)
88:11;93:16;104:5	107:9	fairly (1)	25:22;27:6,10;28:13,	10:8;31:12;32:5;
eventually (1)	experts (1)	92:1	20;29:8,21;31:10;	62:1;75:22;83:20; 102:10
117:11 everybody's (1)	95:21 expire (2)	fall (2)	35:1;38:15,21;56:4; 61:21;73:5	follow (2)
58:23	48:10,13	62:14;91:16	finalized (1)	32:23;33:20
everyone (1)	explain (5)	falling (1)	29:2	followed (2)
32:20	30:17;43:3;66:6;	86:20	finally (1)	82:10;94:14
everyone's (2)	101:13,21	fallout (1)	17:1	following (2)
3:4,4	explaining (1)	93:11 follo (1)	financial (2)	16:16;67:9
everything' (1)	23:1	falls (1) 62:1	57:15;58:5	foot (1)
33:4	explains (2)	fantastic (1)	find (4)	113:11
evolution (1)	65:14;73:1	113:15	60:17;80:3;89:12;	force (3)
30:23	extensive (2)	far (3)	106:16	65:13;104:7,9
exactly (4)	55:12;57:14	31:19;95:5;102:16	finding (2)	form (1)
49:3;79:3;100:10;	extensively (1)	fast (1)	58:23;92:8	66:2
113:10	102:2	113:9	finished (1)	formal (1)
examination (1)	extent (1)	fault (2)	97:12	19:21
73:23	9:21	77:11;104:11	fire (2)	format (1)
example (7)	extra (1)	favor (7)	10:11,13	68:9
45:14;59:21;80:2;	104:2	3:23;20:16;25:4;	firefighters (1)	former (1)
83:16;90:23;97:17;	extract (3)	50:9;54:5;63:22;	10:13	9:11 Forum (1)
101:12	45:22;47:7;73:2	118:19	first (12)	Forum (1)
examples (1) 60:7	extracted (1) 72:21	Fayette (1)	3:13;4:21;5:19;	118:3
exceed (2)		19:11	13:16;46:11;69:1; 78:19;82:19,20;99:1;	forward (5) 48:1;94:4;109:3;
52:2;87:10	extraordinarily (1) 89:3	fed (1)	109:16;115:20	48:1;94:4;109:3;
exceeded (1)	07.5	11:12	fish (7)	fossil-fuel-fired (1)
104:6		federal (23)	6:10,11,14,16,18,	28:16
		7:18;18:9;22:7;	3.10,11,17,10,10,	20.10

		T.	T	11010111501 10, 201
found (4)	66:12,19;70:12;71:9;	9:5;11:10	100:20	Higginbotham (1)
6:13,19;37:18;74:3	74:22;75:2;79:9,12;	grant (1)	happens (3)	89:7
four (6)	81:13,15;84:10,12,	23:7	11:5;71:9;109:3	higher (3)
15:10;77:19;84:17;	21;90:10,12;93:5;	granted (1)	happy (5)	13:22;44:8;104:4
88:4;89:12;97:18	97:9;98:7,16,20;99:2,	68:17	5:17;11:1;30:17;	historic (1)
Fourteen (2)	3,5;100:14;101:4;	great (8)	84:6;90:8	85:15
5:7,8	103:3;116:17,21;	5:14,16;11:5;	harassed (1)	history (1)
fourth (1)	117:21;118:2,7,17,19	75:21;80:3;98:14;	81:6	85:12
69:18	gas (2)	101:16;110:4	Harbor (7)	hole (1)
frame (3)	6:6;12:1	greater (1)	6:2;8:7;13:11;	61:5
9:19,19;90:6	gases (2)	52:12	16:20;40:11,23;50:1	home (1)
framework (2)	41:17;44:3	GREEN (12)	hard (4)	93:3
56:13;85:15	gave (2)	4:5;20:21;25:9;	95:2;112:23;114:4,	homework (1)
freestall (1)	93:23;102:14	27:3,4;36:5,6;40:2,3;	23	117:17
59:14	General (6)	50:14;54:10;64:4	Harrison (1)	honestly (1)
frequent (2)	22:23;31:16;37:6;	ground (1)	19:11	80:8
67:3;71:4	55:17;56:6;100:21	113:5	Haven (1)	Hopefully (1)
front (2)	generally (7)	groundwater (1)	85:6	118:11
8:18;112:10	45:13;59:1;76:1;	106:17	Hazardous (4)	hoping (1)
full (1)	82:17;83:11;91:17;	groundwork (1)	22:8;37:8,16,21	98:18
8:19	99:10	90:4	head (1)	HORN (13)
fully (6)	General's (2)	group (4)	9:10	4:2;20:18;25:6;
8:17;10:20;74:19;	22:18;23:17	5:9;69:12;102:10;	heading (4)	
				26:18,19;35:20,21;
100:4;103:23;104:2	generated (1)	117:4	57:4,7,8,9	39:17,18;50:11;54:7;
functions (1)	41:18	guess (5)	heads (1)	64:1;118:21
46:21	generating (1)	43:11;59:1;109:10;	104:13	hour (2)
funds (1)	28:16	110:23;111:15	health (2)	86:21;113:8
58:4	geometric (1)	guidance (2)	61:16;88:15	hours (1)
funky (2)	99:14	69:13;102:19	hear (10)	112:23
33:23,23	gets (2)	guidances (1)	3:19;20:12;24:23;	human (1)
furnace (2)	46:2;65:7	33:10	34:23;84:23;93:2,16;	61:16
6:6;12:1	given (2)	guidelines (1)	100:18;102:20;110:2	Huntington (1)
further (9)	77:19;105:16	38:2	heard (5)	85:6
20:3;24:2,7;29:10;	gives (1)	gussy (1)	6:15;9:6;30:6;	
34:20;38:6;50:2;	22:4	75:13	67:21;107:16	I
53:4;63:15	giving (1)	guy (2)	hearing (28)	
future (5)	97:14	74:16;112:11	21:12,19;24:18;	IAC (38)
7:17;48:21;56:19;	goal (2)	guys (3)	27:8,14;29:20;36:9,	18:20,20;19:9,17;
89:1;106:4	56:7;116:2	62:11;95:20;103:9	15;38:14;40:6,13;	21:15;22:2,20;23:11
•	goalpost (1)	, ,	49:20;51:5,12;53:14;	22;24:2,20;27:11,22;
G	103:23	H	55:1,7;63:11;83:7,10,	28:4,6,10;29:22;
	goalposts (2)		21;84:3;92:18;	36:12;37:6,7,9,10,12
GARD (145)	87:13;104:12	Hackney (7)	101:10,12,19,20;	15,20,22;38:16;40:9
3:3,19,23;4:14,17;	goals (1)	18:14,15,16;20:8;	117:23	20,22;49:23;51:8;
11:2,11,15;12:10,14,	85:19	36:16,17,18	hearings (3)	52:16;53:17;55:4,18:
18;15:15,18;16:4,7,	goes (6)	half (1)	16:16;83:18;117:3	57:4;63:14
11;18:2;20:5,9,12,16;	11:21;48:6;71:19,	113:5	heat (1)	IC (1)
21:7,10;24:10,13,17,	20;80:23;93:9	hammer (1)	41:17	14:15
		* *		
23;25:4,18,21;26:3,6,	Good (11)	114:6	held (1)	ideas (1)
8,10,12,14,16,18,20,	12:20;16:5,5;	hammered (1)	111:4	102:17
22;27:1,3,5;29:13,16,	18:15;21:21;40:15;	114:3	Hello (2)	IDEM (41)
20;30:2,10,15,19;	46:4;51:14;55:9;	hand (2)	27:16;36:17	6:12,12;8:18;
		17:22;90:1	help (5)	14:10;20:1;24:5;
34:4,11,20,23;35:4,8,	66:20;72:12		T 1 5 1 T 0 10 11	
34:4,11,20,23;35:4,8, 10,12,14,16,18,20,22;	gosh (1)	handled (4)	7:16;47:8;49:11;	28:23;29:8;38:4;
34:4,11,20,23;35:4,8, 10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10,	gosh (1) 117:13	handled (4) 68:23;83:12;84:19;	103:2;105:5	41:7;42:2;53:2;
34:4,11,20,23;35:4,8, 10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10, 14,20,23;39:2,5,7,9,	gosh (1) 117:13 Gotcha (1)	handled (4) 68:23;83:12;84:19; 102:22	103:2;105:5 hemmed (1)	41:7;42:2;53:2; 55:12;56:23;57:5,14
34:4,11,20,23;35:4,8, 10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10, 14,20,23;39:2,5,7,9, 11,13,15,17,19,21,23;	gosh (1) 117:13 Gotcha (1) 77:12	handled (4) 68:23;83:12;84:19; 102:22 handwriting (1)	103:2;105:5 hemmed (1) 102:16	41:7;42:2;53:2; 55:12;56:23;57:5,14 58:8;66:21;67:2,3,12
34:4,11,20,23;35:4,8, 10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10, 14,20,23;39:2,5,7,9, 11,13,15,17,19,21,23; 40:2,4,16;42:11,14;	gosh (1) 117:13 Gotcha (1) 77:12 Government (6)	handled (4) 68:23;83:12;84:19; 102:22 handwriting (1) 80:3	103:2;105:5 hemmed (1) 102:16 Here's (1)	41:7;42:2;53:2; 55:12;56:23;57:5,14 58:8;66:21;67:2,3,12 18,19;68:1,7,12,19;
34:4,11,20,23;35:4,8, 10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10, 14,20,23;39:2,5,7,9, 11,13,15,17,19,21,23; 40:2,4,16;42:11,14; 49:14,16,20;50:5,9,	gosh (1) 117:13 Gotcha (1) 77:12 Government (6) 17:12;28:7;29:5;	handled (4) 68:23;83:12;84:19; 102:22 handwriting (1) 80:3 happen (11)	103:2;105:5 hemmed (1) 102:16 Here's (1) 74:3	41:7;42:2;53:2; 55:12;56:23;57:5,14 58:8;66:21;67:2,3,12 18,19;68:1,7,12,19; 69:9;71:6;78:1;86:7,
34:4,11,20,23;35:4,8, 10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10, 14,20,23;39:2,5,7,9, 11,13,15,17,19,21,23; 40:2,4,16;42:11,14;	gosh (1) 117:13 Gotcha (1) 77:12 Government (6) 17:12;28:7;29:5; 41:5;89:10;118:10	handled (4) 68:23;83:12;84:19; 102:22 handwriting (1) 80:3	103:2;105:5 hemmed (1) 102:16 Here's (1)	41:7;42:2;53:2; 55:12;56:23;57:5,14 58:8;66:21;67:2,3,12 18,19;68:1,7,12,19;
34:4,11,20,23;35:4,8, 10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10, 14,20,23;39:2,5,7,9, 11,13,15,17,19,21,23; 40:2,4,16;42:11,14; 49:14,16,20;50:5,9,	gosh (1) 117:13 Gotcha (1) 77:12 Government (6) 17:12;28:7;29:5;	handled (4) 68:23;83:12;84:19; 102:22 handwriting (1) 80:3 happen (11)	103:2;105:5 hemmed (1) 102:16 Here's (1) 74:3	41:7;42:2;53:2; 55:12;56:23;57:5,14; 58:8;66:21;67:2,3,12, 18,19;68:1,7,12,19; 69:9;71:6;78:1;86:7, 16,23;87:5,11;88:8; 90:1,6;100:18;
34:4,11,20,23;35:4,8, 10,12,14,16,18,20,22; 36:1,3,5,7;38:7,10, 14,20,23;39:2,5,7,9, 11,13,15,17,19,21,23; 40:2,4,16;42:11,14; 49:14,16,20;50:5,9, 23;51:3;53:7,10,14,	gosh (1) 117:13 Gotcha (1) 77:12 Government (6) 17:12;28:7;29:5; 41:5;89:10;118:10	handled (4) 68:23;83:12;84:19; 102:22 handwriting (1) 80:3 happen (11) 7:21;9:13;10:6,23;	103:2;105:5 hemmed (1) 102:16 Here's (1) 74:3 Hey (1)	41:7;42:2;53:2; 55:12;56:23;57:5,14: 58:8;66:21;67:2,3,12: 18,19;68:1,7,12,19; 69:9;71:6;78:1;86:7, 16,23;87:5,11;88:8;

				140vember 13, 2017
17:13;21:18;22:20;	85:16	56:18	47:16;48:5;51:12;	
25:1;42:6;47:16;	incorporate (2)	inspec (1)	55:7;61:23;69:18;	T/
64:22;100:19	33:6;69:2	8:1	70:23;71:15,19,20;	K
	*			
identification (1)	Incorporated (1)	inspect (2)	73:23;74:21;91:14,	Karla (6)
79:19	15:3	71:13,14	16;93:10;97:8;	4:22,23;5:2,5,18;
identified (6)	incorporates (2)	inspected (1)	101:15;117:18	17:6
15:1;22:19;37:13;	18:9;99:14	77:18	introduce (7)	Karla's (2)
59:11;85:18;105:16	incorporation (4)	inspection (19)	4:22;5:18;21:17;	5:3,16
ignoring (1)	28:12;32:7;37:3;	7:3,23;8:15,16,23;	27:13;36:14;51:11;	Keelyn (6)
89:4	55:22	16:23;17:10,17;	55:6	21:20,22;27:14,16;
immediately (3)	increase (1)	64:19;66:23;72:13,	introducing (1)	51:13,15
6:13;19:23;101:14	113:7	16;73:4,5,9;74:7;	66:10	keep (3)
impact (1)	increased (1)	75:23;76:1;111:11	invest (1)	5:12;103:8;118:14
57:15	9:8	inspections (4)	117:13	keeping (2)
impersonate (1)	indeed (1)	8:1;74:20;75:3,8	investigate (1)	5:13;14:8
80:16	96:13	inspector (11)	8:22	Keith (1)
impetus (1)	Indiana (32)	67:18;69:3;71:19;	investigation (3)	
89:15	3:7;5:23;7:3;9:11;	73:22;76:7;78:1;	6:20;7:2,12	110:2
implement (2)	13:11;14:14;16:20;	80:17,23,23;112:4,8	investment (1)	Kentucky-Indiana (1)
60:19;67:5	17:11;21:13;27:9;	inspectors (18)	87:21	51:21
Implementation (5)	33:5;36:10;40:7,10,	7:8;67:3,8,16,23;	investments (1)	kept (1)
41:13;47:19;49:7;	23;41:4,11,13;50:1;	68:1,4,7,14;69:9;	89:1	10:17
52:10;87:20		70:14;71:5;74:5;	involved (1)	kids (1)
	51:6;55:2;65:8;			62:4
implemented (2)	85:17;88:1,3,18;89:9,	76:16;77:20;79:4,5; 81:6	7:2	kill (2)
41:18;100:5	23;90:1;106:3,6;		issue (15)	6:10,14
implementing (2)	107:22	Inspectors' (2)	7:18;9:16,17,21;	kind (12)
47:5;95:11	Indiana-appropriate (1)	67:1;68:12	11:13,16;31:15;	7:16;10:1;44:21;
implements (1)	85:10	inspector's (3)	70:16;71:23;74:14;	102:10,19;107:17;
65:11	Indianapolis (8)	76:12,19;77:6	89:21,22;92:7;	111:2,14;112:12;
important (2)	14:16;15:4,8;	instead (3)	101:23;104:19	113:21;114:13;
79:6,7	93:14;94:3;97:17;	14:1;77:10;100:3	issued (4)	116:22
improve (2)	103:17;115:22	in-stream (1)	8:15;19:15;85:12;	KINDRICK (2)
56:8;66:4	Indiana's (1)	99:19	94:21	5:7,20
improvement (1)	106:3	instructs (1)	issues (5)	kinds (3)
95:13	indicated (2)	68:1	67:4;78:15;79:6;	9:9;83:5;101:18
improvements (7)	23:10,18	integrated (1)	98:14;102:11	KING (17)
9:3;56:6;58:12;	industry (2)	6:2	issuing (1)	30:12,17,21;34:3,8,
87:23;97:3;106:10;	56:1;78:2	intend (1)	73:5	15;64:20;65:1;66:15;
116:7	infectious (1)	17:5	item (1)	81:21;82:7;84:14;
improving (1)	77:23	intended (2)	59:22	101:5,8;110:14,17,19
10:3	inform (2)	56:22;65:12	items (1)	Kirkman (24)
inadvertent (1)	56:23;72:15	intention (1)	108:3	64:22;66:16,20,21;
114:7	information (8)	61:5	_	70:3,19;71:3,12;
inch (1)	37:16;71:11,21;	interested (1)	J	72:1;73:7,16,18;
113:8	72:4,16,17;88:14;	108:2		74:12;75:9;76:10,21;
inches (1)	102:19	interesting (1)	January (7)	78:9,13,17,22;79:15;
86:20	infraction (1)	117:16	13:2,13,14;115:21;	80:1,6;81:2
incident (6)	114:8	interference (1)	116:23;117:17;118:9	kit (1)
5:22;6:13;7:10;	infrastructure (1)	102:7	Jerry (1)	110:8
9:23;10:9,14	106:10	interim (1)	89:8	knew (1)
incidents (4)	infrequently (1)	104:8	job (3)	88:7
9:4,9,12;10:6	82:13	interpreting (1)	5:14;95:8;116:5	Knob (1)
include (4)	initial (1)	65:14	judged (1)	83:15
23:1;52:16;55:21;	70:6	interprets (1)	33:21	known (2)
74:9	injured (1)	65:11	July (10)	10:16;52:3
included (6)	76:12	interrupting (1)	19:7,14;27:22;	
17:9;23:14;46:17;	injury (1)	78:11	28:1,5,8;29:3;31:2;	Krystal (4)
57:8;68:16;87:20	76:14	intervening (1)	36:20;37:1	18:14,16;36:16,18
includes (4)	inlets (1)	47:16	jump (1)	L
37:23;41:21;56:12;	106:16	into (28)	101:14	L
57:6	innovation (1)	6:9;11:9,13;14:9;	June (2)	look (1)
including (4)	60:23	18:12;21:19;27:14;	28:8;51:18	lack (1)
7:4;8:20;41:17;	innovative (1)	36:15;40:13;41:13;	20.0,21.10	89:15
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		30.13, 10.13, 11.13,		laid (2)

90:4;100:22	55:19;56:13,17,18,	22:9	104:7,9	measures (2)
Lake (12)	22;57:17;58:5,20;	local (1)	majority (1)	52:5;99:14
6:8;8:6;11:4,5,8,	60:15;62:9	29:5	57:16	*
				meat (1)
13;12:3;13:4;16:14;	legitimately (1)	located (2)	makes (3)	84:8
18:4,21,22	59:5	8:3;19:12	24:2;33:12,15	meet (9)
Lakes (1)	length (1)	logistical (1)	making (8)	19:4;52:5;85:20;
110:5	32:16	32:15	32:18;61:11;67:13;	86:9,18;87:10;95:3;
Land (2)	lengthy (1)	logs (1)	68:16;72:19;76:15;	106:1,8
37:9;110:11	116:18	68:4	105:11;117:22	meeting (19)
language (25)	less (1)	long (7)	man (1)	3:7,9,15;12:23;
22:20;23:5,11,12,	97:21	5:5;48:19;59:14;	112:5	13:2,3,14;17:9,15;
16;24:3;32:2;37:3;	letters (1)	60:11;100:8;111:4;	Management (10)	18:12;22:17;82:5,19,
38:3;41:22;45:20;	41:7	114:10	7:4;37:12;55:5,18;	22;93:23;96:5;
46:13;47:7;56:7;	level (8)	longer (2)	56:1,3,10,21;58:13;	115:10;118:8,12
57:11;60:22;68:8;	85:21;86:10,18;	30:23;37:19	63:14	meetings (2)
				83:23,23
78:23;104:14;	88:1;93:3;100:1;	long-term (11)	mandatory (1)	,
107:18;108:8,17,22;	104:4;106:1	14:20;15:9;86:8;	57:18	meets (1)
109:6,9	levels (1)	87:1;88:9;95:9;	many (6)	83:3
LaPorte (3)	99:10	100:5;103:18,23;	5:6;33:2;61:10;	melted (1)
51:10;52:19;53:19	liability (9)	104:17;115:17	70:20;85:6;101:18	113:2
large (2)	70:18;74:21;76:6,	look (7)	March (1)	melting (2)
6:19;31:21	11,13;77:5,15,22;	46:23;59:4;60:16;	113:4	113:6,10
largest (1)	78:5	91:3;100:10;105:4;	Mark (3)	Member (1)
6:2	license (1)	110:21	44:13,15;47:8	47:2
last (11)	81:8	looked (1)	matches (1)	members (13)
43:10;84:13;89:11;	lieu (1)	78:18	32:3	4:21;5:19;18:15;
93:23;96:5;107:16;	118:13	looking (7)	Matt (4)	20:5;21:21;38:8;
109:11;112:7,8;	likely (1)	74:8;77:3;84:14;	15:23;47:8,12;	40:16;53:8;57:6;
113:12;115:10	7:17		110:2	65:2,5;82:12;83:17
		100:13;104:18;		
latest (2)	limit (3)	112:15,16	matter (2)	mentioned (7)
27:23;88:14	44:7,20,21	looks (1)	23:9;98:10	7:22;11:3;70:16;
Laughter (4)	limitation (2)	112:5	maximum (1)	71:23;78:8;93:7;
30:14,20;34:17;	42:17;43:20	loose (1)	43:17	105:4
109:22	limitations (5)	96:18	may (29)	merit (4)
law (4)	40:10,23;43:22,23;	lot (14)	6:1;13:15;16:2;	82:4;117:1,1,2
33:5;65:12,13,15	49:23	45:19;59:19,20;	20:3;22:16;24:8;	met (5)
Lawrenceburg (1)	limited (4)	60:8,9;68:3;75:11;	29:11;30:22,22;38:6;	7:13;70:13;73:3;
52:20	93:8,11,12,18	92:3;105:2;108:15;	42:3;44:7,8;45:22;	95:8;106:12
leaders (1)	Limited-Use (3)	109:7;110:7;112:18;	53:5;56:19;57:19;	method (4)
86:4	14:17,23;15:6	116:13	58:9;65:7;75:22;	22:22;23:4;48:23;
leadership (1)	limits (4)	lots (1)	84:16;92:23;93:4,21;	100:8
92:23	41:16;44:5;48:7;	103:7	100:11;102:8;	meting (1)
least (3)	49:6	Louisville (1)	103:20;106:4;110:19	
		Louisville (1)		
1 / 1 1 / 1 6 h - 1 W - 1 V 1 - W		51.21		17:14
17:13;65:18;92:8	line (7)	51:21	maybe (8)	metrics (1)
leave (1)	5:12,13;70:4,5;	low (3)	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22;	metrics (1) 87:11
leave (1) 74:5	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16	low (3) 13:18,20,20	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7;	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5)
leave (1)	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2)	low (3)	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22;	metrics (1) 87:11
leave (1) 74:5	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16	low (3) 13:18,20,20	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7;	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5)
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1)	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3)
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1)	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1)	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1)	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18)	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1)
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1)	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1)	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19;	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1)	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10;	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9)
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6)	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20)	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11;	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11;
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10;	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9)
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6)	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20)	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11;	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11;
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6) 12:22;17:11,16,18; 18:18;64:23	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20) 6:10;8:5;10:12; 11:10;33:23;46:18;	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6 M ma'am (1) 75:1	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11; 81:4;93:18;99:15; 101:9;116:14	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11; 61:12;62:3;68:15; 70:3;82:15;111:5
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6) 12:22;17:11,16,18; 18:18;64:23 legally (2)	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20) 6:10;8:5;10:12; 11:10;33:23;46:18; 47:14;70:2;78:23;	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6 M ma'am (1) 75:1 machine (1)	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11; 81:4;93:18;99:15; 101:9;116:14 means (1)	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11; 61:12;62:3;68:15; 70:3;82:15;111:5 mile (1)
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6) 12:22;17:11,16,18; 18:18;64:23 legally (2) 73:3;90:15	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20) 6:10;8:5;10:12; 11:10;33:23;46:18; 47:14;70:2;78:23; 80:20;85:12;89:3;	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6 M ma'am (1) 75:1 machine (1) 13:19	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11; 81:4;93:18;99:15; 101:9;116:14 means (1) 105:1	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11; 61:12;62:3;68:15; 70:3;82:15;111:5 mile (1) 104:2
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6) 12:22;17:11,16,18; 18:18;64:23 legally (2) 73:3;90:15 legion (1)	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20) 6:10;8:5;10:12; 11:10;33:23;46:18; 47:14;70:2;78:23; 80:20;85:12;89:3; 92:19;101:2,6;102:9;	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6 M ma'am (1) 75:1 machine (1) 13:19 Madam (7)	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11; 81:4;93:18;99:15; 101:9;116:14 means (1) 105:1 meant (1)	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11; 61:12;62:3;68:15; 70:3;82:15;111:5 mile (1) 104:2 million (3)
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6) 12:22;17:11,16,18; 18:18;64:23 legally (2) 73:3;90:15 legion (1) 74:14	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20) 6:10;8:5;10:12; 11:10;33:23;46:18; 47:14;70:2;78:23; 80:20;85:12;89:3; 92:19;101:2,6;102:9; 105:7;108:13,13;	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6 M ma'am (1) 75:1 machine (1) 13:19 Madam (7) 4:20;30:1;58:17;	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11; 81:4;93:18;99:15; 101:9;116:14 means (1) 105:1 meant (1) 48:10	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11; 61:12;62:3;68:15; 70:3;82:15;111:5 mile (1) 104:2 million (3) 86:14;105:10,10
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6) 12:22;17:11,16,18; 18:18;64:23 legally (2) 73:3;90:15 legion (1) 74:14 legislation (3)	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20) 6:10;8:5;10:12; 11:10;33:23;46:18; 47:14;70:2;78:23; 80:20;85:12;89:3; 92:19;101:2,6;102:9; 105:7;108:13,13; 117:16	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6 M ma'am (1) 75:1 machine (1) 13:19 Madam (7) 4:20;30:1;58:17; 65:1;70:10;82:7;85:3	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11; 81:4;93:18;99:15; 101:9;116:14 means (1) 105:1 meant (1) 48:10 measure (2)	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11; 61:12;62:3;68:15; 70:3;82:15;111:5 mile (1) 104:2 million (3) 86:14;105:10,10 mills (1)
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6) 12:22;17:11,16,18; 18:18;64:23 legally (2) 73:3;90:15 legion (1) 74:14 legislation (3) 60:23;114:15;	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20) 6:10;8:5;10:12; 11:10;33:23;46:18; 47:14;70:2;78:23; 80:20;85:12;89:3; 92:19;101:2,6;102:9; 105:7;108:13,13;	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6 M ma'am (1) 75:1 machine (1) 13:19 Madam (7) 4:20;30:1;58:17;	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11; 81:4;93:18;99:15; 101:9;116:14 means (1) 105:1 meant (1) 48:10	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11; 61:12;62:3;68:15; 70:3;82:15;111:5 mile (1) 104:2 million (3) 86:14;105:10,10 mills (1) 6:3
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6) 12:22;17:11,16,18; 18:18;64:23 legally (2) 73:3;90:15 legion (1) 74:14 legislation (3)	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20) 6:10;8:5;10:12; 11:10;33:23;46:18; 47:14;70:2;78:23; 80:20;85:12;89:3; 92:19;101:2,6;102:9; 105:7;108:13,13; 117:16	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6 M ma'am (1) 75:1 machine (1) 13:19 Madam (7) 4:20;30:1;58:17; 65:1;70:10;82:7;85:3	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11; 81:4;93:18;99:15; 101:9;116:14 means (1) 105:1 meant (1) 48:10 measure (2)	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11; 61:12;62:3;68:15; 70:3;82:15;111:5 mile (1) 104:2 million (3) 86:14;105:10,10 mills (1)
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6) 12:22;17:11,16,18; 18:18;64:23 legally (2) 73:3;90:15 legion (1) 74:14 legislation (3) 60:23;114:15; 117:9	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20) 6:10;8:5;10:12; 11:10;33:23;46:18; 47:14;70:2;78:23; 80:20;85:12;89:3; 92:19;101:2,6;102:9; 105:7;108:13,13; 117:16 live (3) 48:4;49:4;92:19	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6 M ma'am (1) 75:1 machine (1) 13:19 Madam (7) 4:20;30:1;58:17; 65:1;70:10;82:7;85:3 main (3) 41:15;80:7;81:5	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11; 81:4;93:18;99:15; 101:9;116:14 means (1) 105:1 meant (1) 48:10 measure (2) 23:9;100:3 measured (1)	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11; 61:12;62:3;68:15; 70:3;82:15;111:5 mile (1) 104:2 million (3) 86:14;105:10,10 mills (1) 6:3 minimum (1)
leave (1) 74:5 leaving (1) 114:5 led (1) 6:21 left (1) 53:20 Legal (6) 12:22;17:11,16,18; 18:18;64:23 legally (2) 73:3;90:15 legion (1) 74:14 legislation (3) 60:23;114:15;	5:12,13;70:4,5; 73:18;99:8;115:16 list (2) 69:18;106:20 Listen (1) 108:5 literally (1) 11:18 Little (20) 6:10;8:5;10:12; 11:10;33:23;46:18; 47:14;70:2;78:23; 80:20;85:12;89:3; 92:19;101:2,6;102:9; 105:7;108:13,13; 117:16 live (3)	low (3) 13:18,20,20 LSA (2) 40:18;55:10 Lugar (1) 7:6 M ma'am (1) 75:1 machine (1) 13:19 Madam (7) 4:20;30:1;58:17; 65:1;70:10;82:7;85:3 main (3)	maybe (8) 47:8;71:22;78:22; 84:17;97:20;105:7; 106:16;108:15 mayor (1) 9:11 mean (18) 29:3;60:8;70:19; 71:12,13,16;76:7,10; 77:13,14,18;78:2,11; 81:4;93:18;99:15; 101:9;116:14 means (1) 105:1 meant (1) 48:10 measure (2) 23:9;100:3	metrics (1) 87:11 Michigan (5) 6:8;11:4,8,13;12:3 Midwest (3) 8:8,10;9:3 Midwestern (1) 8:2 might (9) 13:12,12;33:11; 61:12;62:3;68:15; 70:3;82:15;111:5 mile (1) 104:2 million (3) 86:14;105:10,10 mills (1) 6:3

				l .
minor (1)	moving (2)	43:21;49:3,8,9;	number (7)	one (40)
114:7	48:1;116:9	55:19;65:5;72:3;	6:19;83:4;84:1;	6:2;14:3;16:12,22;
minute (1)	much (13)	82:12;85:6;86:5	87:14,14;89:8,11	17:13;31:3;42:13;
5:1	70:14,17;74:4;	newly (1)	numbers (1)	46:14;49:1;51:23;
minutes (1)	87:8;90:2,3;97:1,12;	23:10	103:13	60:5;61:8;62:15,21;
4:17	98:5;99:1;103:1;	next (5)		65:21;67:20;68:21;
Mishawaka (4)	113:11;115:11	12:23;13:1;82:5;	O	69:1;70:1,5,7;72:12;
85:5;107:6,7,13	mulch (2)	116:22;118:7		75:19;77:19;83:15,
Mishawaka's (1)	62:3,19	night (1)	obvious (1)	16;85:19;87:21;
107:9	municipalities (1)	93:4	71:7	90:11;93:13;94:12;
misspoke (1)	108:1	nitrogen (1)	occur (2)	97:16;99:7,14;102:4,
95:18	must (4)	52:11	88:10;91:13	21;103:10;107:4,15;
misuse (1)	13:18;19:15;52:9;	no-liability (5)	occurred (2)	109:11
80:13	110:15	67:23;68:6,14;	5:23;11:9	One-Hour (4)
misused (1)	mutually (1)	71:6;76:16	occurring (3)	18:8;86:19;104:1,3
81:9	87:11	nonattainment (8)	7:16;9:4;82:23	one-page (1)
mobile (2)	myriad (1)	18:23;19:3,3,13;	occurs (4)	74:5
57:22,23	110:7	51:19,21,22;52:9	72:22;111:16,20;	one-pager (1)
model (1)		noncompliance (2)	112:1	82:9
44:17	N	91:15,16	o'clock (1)	one-time (1)
modeled (1)		noncontact (1)	3:1	91:4
48:22	NAAQS (4)	11:17	October (4)	one-year (1)
moderate (1)	48:23;52:3,6,23	nonetheless (1)	15:22;28:14,23;	104:1
18:23	nails (1)	9:23	41:3	only (1)
modified (1)	114:3	nonrule (11)	off (1)	59:17
28:15	name (4)	16:22;64:19;65:3,	49:15	on-site (3)
modifying (1)	17:23;18:16;36:17;	9,17;66:1,11,22;	off-chance (1)	76:13;77:8;79:23
88:5	66:21	67:10,14;78:14	80:14	onto (1)
money (2)	Nancy (8)	nonsubstantive (1)	Office (19)	74:16
116:6;117:13	30:11;64:20;66:13;	57:16	5:5,10;7:6;12:22;	Opacity (2)
monitor (3)	68:21;81:21;82:6;	nor (1)	16:1;17:10,18;18:18;	21:15;22:3
22:13;23:23;41:19	100:22;114:14	101:11	22:18;23:17;47:13;	open (2)
Monitoring (9)	narrative (1)	normally (1)	64:23;74:6;89:7,17;	17:17;118:3
16:18;19:6;21:16;	72:23	12:5	102:2;104:11;108:4;	operate (2)
22:1,3,6;24:20;	National (6)	North (2)	113:14	22:11;91:21
86:15;87:2	9:7,10;22:8;52:2;	6:3;17:12	official (1)	operating (2)
months (5)	88:5;89:19	Northwest (2)	17:21	13:17;22:11
70:7;83:8;96:18;	nationwide (1)	5:23;9:10	officials (1)	operation (1)
113:13;117:14	89:23	nos (1)	10:5	87:22
more (16)	nature (1)	59:10	often (1)	operational (1)
13:8;22:11;23:6;	57:16	note (3)	65:4	57:23
32:10;45:3;49:2;	nay (6)	61:20;69:11;78:13	oftentimes (2)	operations (1)
51:23;76:7;81:16;	4:15;21:8;25:19;	noted (1)	82:18;111:8	77:5
83:19;86:8,13;99:20,	51:1;54:20;64:14	68:21	Ohio (3)	operators (2)
21;108:14;115:11	necessary (3)	nothing's (1)	88:19;105:15,18	67:17;72:6
most (6)	7:20;10:7;111:13	76:4	oil (2)	opportunities (1)
31:2;32:19;33:3,	need (13)	notice (6)	8:11;37:12	38:2
16;74:18;117:9	5:15;12:6;13:7;	3:10;31:20;32:17;	OLC (4)	opportunity (6)
motion (10) 3:19;20:12;24:23;	14:11;25:22;47:6;	75:11;77:20;109:4 noticed (3)	66:22;67:2,6,21	65:6;73:22;75:13; 82:14;85:8;114:20
	50:5;54:1;71:10;	30:22;31:16;42:17	old (4)	, ,
25:22;34:23;38:20; 50:5;54:1;63:17;	94:6;109:8;114:3; 116:13	notified (3)	33:11;63:2;97:13, 13	Opposed (8) 4:15;21:8;25:19;
118:15	needed (2)	31:13;75:4;86:23	older (1)	51:1;54:20;64:14;
move (4)	37:4;90:2	notify (3)	33:9	80:17,21
15:13;69:17;81:17;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	67:15;75:17,22	OMB (2)	option (4)
15:15;69:17;81:17;	needs (2) 89:5;111:2	November (4)	34:5,10	22:5;23:23;72:6;
moved (11)	neither (1)	3:1.5,6;15:22,23	omitted (1)	116:9
3:21,22;20:14;	101:11	NPD (9)	57:3	optional (1)
25:2;26:1;35:2;	NESHAP (2)	64:21;67:4;69:10,	once (10)	57:17
38:22;50:7;54:3;	22:9,14	15;73:16;78:23;79:1;	15:21;30:9;51:14;	oral (2)
63:19;118:16	new (19)	81:3,4	61:7;66:6;82:18;	73:11;74:4
moves (1)	5:2,4;23:12,14,15;	NPDES (3)	85:23;88:9;94:20;	order (6)
14:8	28:2,15,22;32:11;	9:16;90:14;94:20	116:8	3:8,9,13;47:23;
14.0	20.2,13,22,32.11,	7.10,70.14,74.20	110.0	5.0,5,15,47.25,
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , . , ,

	T.	T.	T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
91:19;97:21	OWQ (2)	pen (1)	31:11	62:2
organic (1)	89:19;90:4	109:2	picture (1)	playing (1)
52:11	Ozone (8)	penalties (1)	81:10	62:20
organization (2)	13:5;16:14;18:5,	91:20	pictures (1)	please (1)
56:9;107:23	22;19:5;51:20;52:8,	people (17)	8:20	17:22
origin (1)	23	5:9;7:9;10:16;	PIGOTT (81)	plowed (1)
75:7	P	31:19;33:7,12;61:6;	4:20;5:8,21;11:7,	113:12
original (3)	r	68:17;77:3,8;80:18;	12,16;12:12,17;34:7,	pm (1)
23:13;57:2;66:2 originally (2)	packet (8)	97:8,10,15;110:1; 114:2,14	9,13,16;45:6,9,13,22; 46:4,10;47:1;48:8,12,	3:1 point (11)
47:20;55:15	3:12;15:20;17:12;	per (2)	15,18;49:5;53:20;	31:6,17;66:4;
originate (1)	46:18;57:3;65:22;	52:12;113:8	58:2;63:3;70:15;	73:12;77:16;79:7;
75:4	69:23;82:8	percent (3)	71:18;72:10;73:15,	95:7,16;102:13;
others (4)	packets (1)	44:2,9;95:12	17,21;74:13;75:15;	108:14;117:12
9:18;85:6;89:8,11	17:9	performance (1)	77:12;78:10;79:3;	pointed (1)
otherwise (1)	page (5)	10:3	80:11,12;85:4,9;	102:1
48:6	8:18;17:19;57:4;	perhaps (3)	89:6;90:20;92:5,10,	policies (1)
out (29)	59:8,8	45:23;48:21;97:18	13,16,22;93:1,17;	72:2
6:14;10:11,16;	pages (1)	period (1)	94:3;95:19,23;96:3,7,	policy (22)
17:4,5;30:9;31:3;	8:20	115:4	12,22;97:16;98:8,17,	16:22;64:19;65:4,
32:11;43:10,19;61:7;	paper (1)	permanent (3)	21;99:8;100:9,21;	10,17;66:1,11,17,23;
67:19;68:8;70:14; 75:23;77:3;80:23;	109:2 paragraph (4)	9:21;41:19;48:9 permanently (3)	101:6;104:20;105:4; 107:6;108:20;	67:10,14;71:7;77:13; 78:14;80:7;85:13,14;
82:21;95:7;98:14;	43:10,11,12,18	47:17,21;48:1	107.0,108.20, 109:16,20,23;110:6,	86:3,7,10;87:7,19
99:8;100:22;102:1;	parametric (1)	permit (11)	15,18,20;111:19;	pollutant (1)
106:16;108:23;	22:6	70:23,23;87:3;	112:1,15;114:9	91:1
109:1;110:8,12;	Parks (3)	90:14,15,21,21;91:3;	Pigott's (1)	pollutants (4)
117:15	9:7,10;62:12	92:1;94:9,21	113:18	11:19;22:9;52:1,4
outdated (1)	part (18)	permits (2)	pipes (1)	Portage (3)
56:5	19:2,10;23:14;	9:16;19:15	115:1	9:7,11;10:10
outfalls (1)	31:1;33:22;57:10;	permitted (2)	place (12)	Porter (5)
8:6	69:9;75:6;83:22;	19:20;91:2	7:20;75:13;94:13;	13:4;16:14;18:5, 21,22
outlook (1) 108:13	84:4;89:16;92:2; 93:9,10;101:9;110:9;	permitting (2) 15:21;19:20	95:4;97:2;98:6;99:1; 115:2,7,19;116:10,14	portion (1)
outran (1)	114:4,11	person (4)	places (4)	51:20
60:23	particular (10)	5:4;33:19;74:2;	59:20;60:8,9;	Portland (9)
outside (2)	23:9;31:17;32:5;	76:3	111:17	16:17;21:16,23;
11:8;83:23	46:12,16;62:16,22;	perspective (1)	plan (15)	22:5,10;23:3,8,22;
oven (4)	84:3,8;101:23	109:7	7:15;14:21;15:10;	24:20
40:10;41:17;44:3;	particularly (3)	pertaining (2)	41:14;47:19;49:7;	pose (1)
50:1	5:10;16:4;118:13	22:20;82:14	52:10;76:8;86:8,21;	61:16
ovens (1)	particulate (1)	Peru (1)	87:1;95:9;100:5;	position (5)
44:19 over (11)	23:9 partners (1)	85:6 Petition (18)	103:18,23 plans (8)	5:18;70:20;99:22; 104:9,20
44:3;55:15;68:18;	10:18	17:2;81:17,19,22;	85:20,23,23;86:5;	positive (1)
86:20;88:23;89:11;	parts (2)	82:2,3,4,18;84:9;	88:10;95:4,11;	29:4
91:2;92:1;111:7,12;	13:19;87:20	85:2,8;87:15;92:12;	115:17	possible (4)
113:12	pass (1)	94:12;100:23;102:5,	plant (1)	44:14;87:18;
Overflow (2)	115:12	18;117:1	49:3	103:20;114:5
14:17;85:13	passed (1)	petitioners (1)	Plants (5)	possibly (1)
overflows (4)	114:15	100:17	21:16;22:5,10;	84:17
14:20;87:4;105:21;	past (11)	petitions (3)	23:8,23	post-compliance (1)
112:21	82:10;83:1,7,12;	82:11;83:14;84:13	plants' (1)	100:11
overly (1)	84:4,17;102:5,23;	photo (4)	23:3	Post-construction (2)
99:12 overnight (1)	106:22;112:23; 115:10	71:22,23;72:23; 80:22	plate (1) 108:15	86:15;87:2 posted (2)
116:19	path (1)	photocopy (1)	play (2)	17:19;70:7
overview (2)	103:21	79:18	62:4;74:21	potential (1)
65:3;81:21	Paul (1)	photocopying (1)	played (1)	100:19
own (1)	89:7	79:14	87:9	potentially (1)
105:17	Pedersen (6)	phrase (1)	playground (4)	48:21
owner (1)	12:19,20,21;15:19;	62:22	59:23;60:1,2;62:19	practical (1)
73:10	16:6,10	pick (1)	playgrounds (1)	33:15
-	1	1	<u> </u>	1

preapproved (2)	13:21	95:7	quantify (3)	recalled (2)
61:9,12	problems (2)	provide (26)	88:2;113:1,7	22:17;24:4
predecessor (1)	77:23;91:13	36:22;56:20;64:20;	question's (1)	recap (1)
92:4	procedure (1)	65:20;66:16;76:1;	72:11	116:22
preliminarily (12)	103:11	82:3;85:14;88:17,22;	quick (2)	receive (3)
13:10;21:18;38:4;	process (31)	90:2,5;94:5;95:1;	59:21;77:17	68:21;73:10;82:18
42:5;50:6;51:4;53:2;	7:2;14:14,18;	98:14,15,18;100:11,	quickly (1)	received (7)
54:2,23;58:11;63:18;	22:21;30:23;31:9;	12;102:18;104:17;	60:7	15:4;65:21;66:4;
64:17	32:23;34:14;47:4;	105:22;106:5;108:9,	quite (1)	67:2;70:8;82:20;
preliminary (14)	49:9;64:21;66:10;	10;111:3	33:11	100:4
13:16;16:19;31:10;	72:19;73:1;81:22;	provided (2)	quorum (1)	receiving (1)
36:11;40:8,19;49:22;	82:10,15,23;84:6;	82:9;89:13	3:8	67:17
51:7;53:16;55:3,11;	92:2;95:5,10;96:4;	provides (2)	-	recent (6)
57:12;63:13;73:8	97:11;100:22,23;	99:18;108:6	R	31:3;33:4,16;
present (16)	101:18,22;106:13;	providing (5)		55:22;99:20,21
3:8;18:14;21:20,	115:5;116:18	62:2,3,4;94:11;	racetrack (1)	recently (3)
23;27:15,17;34:5;	Processing (1)	98:4	59:13	68:3;89:19;93:10
36:16;40:12,14;	37:11	provision (2)	rain (4)	reciting (1)
51:13,15;55:8;65:23;	processors (2)	22:23;73:2	86:20;112:22,23;	68:19
66:7;85:8	57:22;58:1	Provisions (1)	115:4	Reclassification (2)
presentation (7)	produce (1)	37:6	rains (2)	13:5;16:15
14:16;16:23;64:18; 65:3;82:16;93:9;94:1	67:10 professional (1)	public (14) 8:17;17:10,17;	96:8;114:23	reclassified (1) 19:1
	108:2	21:12;27:8;36:9;	raise (1) 17:22	
presented (17) 3:17;13:6;15:21;	Program (6)	40:6;51:5;55:1;	Ranking (1)	reclassify (1) 18:20
17:2;20:2;22:16;	7:7;24:6;29:9;	65:18;67:15;73:20;	37:7	recognize (1)
23:21;24:6;29:9;	53:3;75:18,19	88:14;117:2	rather (4)	116:4
25.21,24.0,29.9, 35:1;38:5,21;53:3;	programs (4)	publish (1)	30:6;44:8;58:6;	recognized (2)
64:22;80:14;81:18;	32:20;67:12,22;	65:19	62:18	88:8;114:10
84:7	75:17	published (3)	rationally (1)	recommendation (1)
presenting (2)	prohibited (1)	28:12,19;32:14	78:3	117:6
40:18;55:10	104:15	pull (1)	raw (1)	record (11)
presents (1)	prohibition (2)	106:15	97:7	14:8;18:12;21:19;
65:7	79:13;80:5	pulled (1)	reach (1)	27:14;30:4;36:15;
preserving (2)	prohibitive (1)	10:16	117:12	40:13;49:15;51:12;
69:8,14	87:5	pump (1)	reaction (1)	55:7;75:21
pressure (3)	projects (6)	6:6	90:22	recovery (1)
13:19,20,22	86:9,13;89:3;	purpose (6)	read (4)	41:17
pretty (5)	100:3;106:12,21	48:5;67:14;76:15;	61:23;80:21;96:14;	recreation (1)
5:9;67:2;83:6;	proliferation (1)	80:8;82:1;85:1	105:8	99:17
97:12;110:3	67:22	purposes (7)	ready (2)	Recreational (2)
prevent (4)	promised (3)	12:6,8;57:10;	13:12,15	81:20;85:11
7:16;59:15;80:8,9	114:19;115:15,16	68:15;79:19,20;81:1	real (5)	recreational-use (1)
previous (1)	promulgated (2)	put (14)	31:15;65:3;82:9;	15:6
37:13	28:2,7	7:19;30:8;31:3;	109:5,9	recyc (1)
Primary (5)	promulgation (2)	67:19;76:6;94:13;	reality (1)	11:20
18:7;67:14;85:19;	22:18;24:4	95:4;97:2;98:6;	33:17	recyclable (1)
99:9,17	property (1)	102:11;109:3,9;	realize (1)	11:20
printed (1)	74:17	114:6;115:6	45:11	recycle (1)
36:23	proposed (8)	putting (5)	really (19)	6:7
prior (4)	22:22;28:19;29:2;	7:15;48:5;61:9;	8:3;11:16;30:19;	recycled (1)
17:14;66:10;82:22;	56:14;57:12,13,20;	91:17;116:6	46:23;61:17;71:11;	12:2
84:7	58:12	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$	72:12,20;95:7;96:17;	red (2)
Priority (1)	proposing (2)	Q	97:11;98:11;102:16;	70:4,5
37:7 probably (6)	28:23;55:12 proprietary (1)	Quality (22)	108:18;111:13;	redesignated (2) 19:12;52:23
9:15;13:5,7;45:3;	proprietary (1) 71:11	Quality (22) 5:5,10;15:8;16:1;	112:2,12;113:10; 117:17	Redesignation (3)
9:15;13:5,7;45:3; 84:13;117:9	protect (2)	5:5,10;15:8;16:1; 47:13;52:3,5;81:20;		13:4;16:14;18:6
problem (7)	48:23;99:16	85:11;88:5;89:7,18;	reason (5) 10:10;31:12;33:22;	reduce (4)
7:11,16;8:22;	protection (4)	95:13;102:3;104:11;	71:14;116:15	22:11;96:19;97:7;
81:11;91:18,20;	94:5,17;98:18;	106:3;108:3,4;	reasons (4)	100:2
111:9	106:6	113:14;114:16;	46:15;62:16,21;	reduced (1)
problematic (1)	proud (1)	115:14,114.10,	71:8	96:20
F- 30111111 (1)	F- 200 (2)	110.2,1	, 2.0	20.20

	1	1	1	110101111111111111111111111111111111111
reference (6)	81:19	9:16;52:10;61:15	reuse (1)	48:5,16,19;49:4,18;
28:5,10,12;33:6;	relatively (1)	required (11)	56:15	51:13,16;53:3,12;
37:3;57:9	113:1	6:7;33:19;65:16,	review (4)	55:8;56:7;57:2,3,10,
references (10)	relax (1)	20,23;66:3;71:1;	32:12;73:13;75:7;	12;63:9;64:21;65:12;
27:11,18,20;29:23;	96:17	83:2;85:21;86:9,18	85:22	89:14;102:6;107:18;
31:22,23;36:13;37:5;	relaxing (1)	requirement (7)	reviewed (1)	108:22,23;109:2,15
38:17;69:3	96:15	14:7;22:4;41:19;	23:17	rulemaking (34)
referencing (1)	released (1)	45:20;52:17;73:4;	revise (4)	12:19;13:8;14:14,
33:11	72:13	100:2	47:20,22;52:9;66:3	18;15:5,12;17:2;
referring (1)	remain (1)	requirements (32)	revised (5)	19:21;22:2;23:20;
93:21	88:7	14:2,8;15:9;28:21;	23:6;55:14;66:2;	27:20;28:9;29:1;
reflect (1)	remaining (1)	32:18;36:22;37:18;	70:5;89:14	30:22;32:17;37:1;
55:23	14:8	41:2,9,10;42:6,7;	revises (4)	40:20,21;41:8,11,15,
reflected (1)	remember (7)	48:3;51:9;52:8,21;	18:19;37:1;40:20,	20;42:4;46:22;47:7;
28:3	31:4;62:20;80:19;	53:18;55:18,19;56:5,	21	52:15,19;55:17;
reg (1)	92:6,11;94:2;102:8	6,14,19;57:23;58:5,	revising (1)	56:12;58:10;61:21;
32:14	remove (3)	13;60:15;61:10;	19:9	100:19;109:4;116:14
regarding (12)	22:3;52:19;86:5	75:21;83:3;94:8;95:3	Revisions (12)	rulemakings (1)
21:15;30:4;40:9;	removed (2)	requires (2)	16:21;23:22;24:2;	98:3
49:23;51:8;53:17;	23:14;28:21	32:5;106:21	29:2;37:5;38:1;41:1,	Rules (71)
55:4;61:13;63:14;	removes (1)	residents (1)	6,13;51:16;55:16;	3:7;5:3,13,19;
64:19;66:23;67:22	14:6	86:12	56:8	
		resistance (2)	revisit (1)	12:21;13:1,15;14:3;
regardless (1)	renewed (1)		107:4	17:8,19;18:17;21:13,
77:10	94:19	70:14,17		14;25:23;27:9,10,14,
Region (1)	Rensselaer (1)	resolve (1)	ridiculous (1)	21;29:6;31:8;32:8,
105:16	85:5	91:18	111:1	12;33:20;34:10;35:1,
Register (2)	repeal (1)	resource (1)	right (21)	1;36:10,12,15,20;
28:13,20	37:20	5:16	11:9,12;16:6,23;	37:17,21;40:7,9,13,
registration (1)	repealed (1)	respect (1)	17:22;33:1;48:14,17;	17;41:6;42:1;45:8,
57:21	37:17	11:6	64:20;66:15;67:1;	16;47:11,20;49:22;
regs (2)	repeatable (1)	respectfully (2)	69:12;71:2;79:3;	50:6;51:3,6,8,12;
32:1;33:9	91:5	42:4;58:10	80:13;84:15;92:13,	52:17;53:16;54:2,22;
regular (4)	repetitive (1)	responded (2)	18;104:13;110:6;	55:2,4,7,13,13,21;
13:8;91:14,21;	56:6	6:12;7:9	112:10	56:10;63:13,18;
110:12	report (19)	responders (2)	rights (5)	64:16;87:9;93:19;
regularly (1)	8:15,16,19,19;	6:17;7:8	67:5,6,8,18;68:12	97:13;109:12,13;
82:5	9:18;12:13;14:9;	response (35)	risk (2)	110:3,7;115:13;
regulated (6)	15:21;72:13,16,21;	3:18;4:16;12:16;	76:7;99:12	118:8
36:22;57:19;71:15;	73:4,5,8,11;74:4,7;	15:17;16:9;20:7,11;	River (2)	RULON (23)
74:19;79:18;108:12	83:18;111:11	21:9;24:12,16,22;	6:10;10:12	4:9;20:14;21:2;
regulates (1)	reported (2)	25:20;29:15,19;	rivers (1)	25:2,13;26:1,8,9;
33:21	8:11;10:1	34:22;37:8;38:9,13,	97:8	35:10,11;39:7,8;
regulation (1)	reporter (2)	19;49:19;50:4;51:2;	roll-call (3)	50:18;54:14;64:8;
32:4	17:21;18:1	53:9,13,23;54:21;	26:3;35:4;39:2	77:17;78:16,21;79:2,
regulations (9)	reporting (7)	63:6,10,16;64:15;	room (4)	8;92:3,23;96:14
22:7;27:18,21;	9:8;14:5,6;16:21;	66:14;81:14;84:11;	53:20;110:1;111:2;	running (1)
28:2,6;31:18,23;	51:9,16;53:17	117:20;118:6	118:10	62:14
36:21;52:18	Representative (2)	restrain (1)	routes (1)	RWQC (1)
regulator (1)	42:2;107:12	59:12	105:5	96:15
113:21	representatives (3)	result (3)	Rule (95)	7 01 00
regulatory (16)	9:6;10:5;58:8	7:18;96:23;114:13	13:3,11,21;14:6,6,	S
56:13,16;85:19,22;	request (2)	resulted (1)	13,18;15:8;16:12;	5
86:22;87:8,17;88:18,	72:6;90:5	86:17	18:4,8,12,14,17,19;	safe (2)
22;89:22;90:2;	requested (1)	results (1)	19:9,17,18,19,21,22;	56:10,21
100:12;104:17;	41:6	86:1	20:2,13;21:10,17,20,	safely (1)
105:23;108:6,11	requesting (3)	retain (1)	23;22:4,16,17,19,22;	92:20
related (7)	46:13;67:23;85:8	32:9	23:5,13,16;24:1,3,4,	safety (4)
46:19;67:3;78:15;				
83:14;99:10,10;	requests (12)	retubing (1) 41:18	6,15;27:6,15,17;	10:8;56:2;76:22;
0.5.14.99.10.10.	20:1;24:5;29:8;		28:13,19,21;29:1,9;	88:15
		noturnod (1)		
101:23	37:16;38:4;41:12;	returned (1)	36:8,16,19;37:14,19,	sake (1)
101:23 relates (2)	37:16;38:4;41:12; 42:5,8;53:2;58:11;	58:2	20,23;38:1,5,12,21;	72:11
101:23	37:16;38:4;41:12;	, ,		

				,
sample (2)	3:12;15:20;41:7;	similar (4)	solid (4)	62:16
98:12,13	57:5	88:20;92:7,8;	14:7;37:9,11;109:9	specify (2)
sanitary (1)	separate (2)	102:22	solution (1)	60:16;61:17
86:6	94:11;106:15	simplify (1)	89:12	specter (1)
sat (1)	serious (1)	38:3	solutions (2)	106:19
102:11	19:3	simplifying (1)	10:22;111:3	spent (2)
satisfied (3)	set (8)	99:12	solvent (4)	86:12;105:2
46:2,9;48:3	31:17;52:1;68:21;	simply (2)	13:19,20,20,22	spill (2)
satisfy (2)	69:1;108:18;109:6;	67:15;103:12	somebody (2)	9:18,22
42:8;48:23	110:12;118:9	simultaneously (1)	113:18;114:6	spirit (1)
savings (2)	settlement (2)	8:1	someone (4)	69:2
57:19;105:11	47:22;48:21	single (2)	18:13;73:10;78:7;	stab (1)
saw (1)	seven (2)	32:21,22	80:15	61:3
82:8	15:7;84:13	sit (1)	Sometimes (1)	stack (1)
saying (6)	several (9)	74:1	74:4	62:4
48:8;60:21;74:16;	7:3;8:11;9:20;	site (9)	somewhat (2)	staff (16)
78:3;109:21;116:1	13:1;28:1;65:5;	8:17,18;17:13,20;	82:13;116:18	7:4;14:10;24:6;
scene (2)	82:12;83:15;117:6	49:2;65:19;67:19;	somewhere (2)	29:9;53:3;85:9,18;
6:17;12:5	sewage (2)	76:3;77:10	60:14;110:16	88:8;89:7,18,19;
scheduled (3)	87:22;97:7	Sites (2)	soon (1)	90:4;96:9;104:11,20;
14:15;82:5;117:3	Sewer (6)	37:8;70:14	88:20	113:14
schedules (1)	14:17,20;85:13;	siting (1)	sorry (4)	stakeholders (1)
15:13	87:4;105:21;112:20	62:12	40:21;71:17;78:11;	117:5
school (1)	sewers (2)	sits (1)	95:18	stall (1)
62:21	86:6;106:15	113:18	sort (10)	89:17
SCHULER (15)	shapes (1)	situation (5)	10:22;60:15;69:5;	stand (2)
4:7;20:23;25:11;	75:10	32:1;74:18;79:22;	79:21;82:9,23;102:9;	4:23;17:22
26:16,17;35:18,19;	share (1)	102:23;113:16	103:16;105:5,17	Standard (19)
39:15,16;50:16;	113:19	situations (1)	sounds (2)	18:6,8,22;19:5,11;
54:12;64:6;75:1,3;	sheens (1)	111:4	92:18;100:16	51:20;83:6;96:16,17;
76:5	8:11	six (2)	source (2)	98:1;104:10;114:17,
science (2)	shift (1)	52:1;83:8	49:8,8	21;115:1,3,6,9,14,23
88:13;99:21	76:11	sizes (1)	Sources (7)	Standards (8)
scope (4)	shifted (1)	75:10	13:17;19:19;22:12,	22:8;28:14,22;
67:5;69:10,16;	87:13	skeptical (1)	21;51:9;52:10;53:18	52:3;88:6;96:15,19;
73:13	shoot (1)	67:16	South (1)	111:18
scrutiny (1)	74:17	skipped (1)	118:10	standby (1)
106:8	short (2)	34:18	speak (10)	58:3
Second (22)	9:19;103:9	slightly (1)	24:15;29:18;38:11;	standpoint (1)
3:22;5:21;20:15;	show (1)	84:19	49:17;53:11;63:8;	33:15
22:15;23:21;25:3;	70:6	slow (5)	66:11;81:3;82:1;99:4	
26:2;35:3;38:23;	showed (1)	30:7,8;33:2;34:3,	speaker (6)	112:18
39:1;45:2;50:8;54:4;	48:22	14	24:14;29:17;38:11;	started (1)
59:8;63:20,21;69:7;	sick (1)	slower (1)	49:17;53:11;63:7	101:17
93:4;99:15;109:4;	107:14	97:15	speaking (1)	state (31)
118:17,18	side (1)	small (1)	107:17	17:22;19:15,18;
Section (12)	113:12	91:2	speaks (1)	21:13;22:4;23:6;
12:22;14:9;18:18;	sides (1)	SMITH (16)	65:9	27:9;29:6;36:10;
40:17;43:16;44:16;	102:10	4:13;21:6;25:17;	special (1)	40:7;41:4,6,13;46:14,
57:1,3,4,6,7,9	sign (6)	26:14,15;35:3,16,17;	14:13	16;47:19;49:7;51:6;
sections (2)	67:23;68:5,14;	38:22;39:13,14;	specif (1)	52:8,9,17;55:2;
46:14,16	71:5;76:16;77:15	50:22;54:18;64:12;	67:20	68:11;76:15;77:13;
security (3)	signatures (2)	113:20;114:2	specific (17)	85:21;86:1;89:1;
79:19,20;81:1	74:20;83:4	snow (4)	32:4,7;37:14;41:6;	95:10;107:23;116:5
seem (1)	signed (1)	113:2,5,6,11	45:7,9,12,15;46:13;	stated (2)
92:11	115:15	snow's (1)	49:8;67:20;73:16;	22:23;106:13
sees (1)	significant (5)	113:9	77:1;78:14;83:14;	statement (3)
76:3	52:4;57:14;87:21;	snowstorm (1)	93:19;114:5	8:13;52:13,21
send (3)	91:15,16	118:11	specifically (9)	states (2)
14:12;91:10,23	significantly (1)	SO2 (8)	14:19;32:3;59:11;	105:15,17
sense (3)	55:14	13:4,11;16:13,20;	69:13;88:12;99:11;	state's (1)
33:15;48:7;69:19	signing (3)	18:8;19:10;40:9;	105:16,20;109:2	85:21
sent (4)	70:17;76:5;80:18	49:23	specified (1)	Station (1)
/	, ,		1 (-)	

14:5	Subcategory (4)	surrounding (1)	58:19;95:17	116:6;117:5
stationary (1)	14:18;15:1,6;	105:14	theirs (1)	tomorrow (2)
57:21	116:11	swing (1)	97:12	111:10;112:4
stations (1)	subdivision (1)	60:3	Therefore (5)	tons (1)
14:7	23:15	swings (1)	23:5;28:22;52:15;	52:12
statistical (2)	subject (2)	62:20	72:20;91:8	tools (1)
99:15,18	84:20;118:13	sworn (1)	thinking (1)	90:1
statute (8)	subjective (1)	18:1	107:2	top (1)
	112:18			59:8
37:18;65:11;73:12;		system (9)	thorough (1)	
83:4;84:4;97:13;	submissions (1)	6:6,7;11:17,18,21;	7:1	topic (2)
101:16;110:13	17:17	12:2;22:6;37:7;	though (1)	15:13;84:3
statutes (1)	submit (3)	107:11	77:21	topics (1)
72:8	41:11;52:12;	systems (2)	thought (4)	84:18
statutory (4)	110:22	97:3;116:7	31:11;34:11;60:8;	total (1)
55:22;110:14,17,	Subpart (2)		109:8	88:2
18	22:9;28:11	T	thoughts (1)	touch (1)
steam (1)	Subsequently (1)		101:22	9:13
41:18	28:18	table (1)	threat (1)	touched (1)
steel (2)	Substances (1)	17:6	61:16	33:9
6:3;10:20	37:8	talk (7)	three (7)	tough (1)
step (3)	substantially (1)	5:22;8:10;43:17;	34:2;59:17;60:5;	110:3
49:2;101:15;	87:10	66:22;91:6;101:2,6	68:23;69:17;77:18;	toward (2)
116:22	substantive (1)	talked (7)	113:11	8:5;89:13
still (7)	41:23	9:9;92:8;96:4;	threshold (2)	Township (1)
30:7;48:23;56:10,	successful (1)	102:11;108:16;	99:15,18	52:20
20;63:1;88:10;97:4	87:20	115:10;117:13	thrilled (1)	townships (2)
stipulated (1)	sues (1)	talking (10)	115:8	18:6;19:11
91:19	77:9	10:2;31:13;62:6;	throughout (2)	tracked (1)
stone (1)	suggested (2)	93:19;94:10;95:15;	31:23;88:23	7:10
108:18	21:18;25:1	111:22;112:19,20,21	throw (1)	trade (1)
stop (1)	sulphur (1)	tarp (1)	91:14	107:20
106:14	40:22	59:12	thrown (1)	trained (1)
stopped (1)	summarize (1)		108:17	79:4
106:17	55:16	tasked (1) 5:12	ties (1)	training (2)
			59:23	0 , ,
stor (1)	summary (3)	team (1)		10:12;67:7
74:14	3:14,17;23:20	7:9	times (5)	transcript (3)
storage (1)	summer (1)	technical (2)	13:8;70:21;77:19;	17:15,16,19
72:5	8:5	41:21;44:16	97:18;114:22	Transfer (2)
store (1)	Superintendent (2)	temporarily (2)	timing (1)	14:5,7
72:4	81:23;85:1	18:9,19	32:14	transparency (1)
stories (1)	supersedes (1)	ten (4)	Tire (13)	56:17
74:15	19:18	7:9;84:17;104:22;	55:4,13,18;56:1,2;	treat (2)
storm (5)	supervisor's (1)	113:17	57:22,23;58:13;60:2;	104:1,3
86:5,20;104:1,3;	74:8	ten-one (1)	62:18,18,20;63:14	treated (1)
106:16	supplant (1)	104:6	Tires (13)	11:21
straight (2)	65:12	ten-percent (2)	16:21;55:20;56:11,	treatment (1)
97:8;112:6	supplements (1)	99:19,23	14,15,22;58:6,7;	87:23
streamline (1)	65:11	tentative (1)	59:20;60:9;62:2,4;	tremendous (1)
38:2	supplied (1)	118:12	63:2	95:8
stretches (1)	62:8	tentatively (1)	Title (3)	tried (2)
15:7	Support (3)	118:9	16:18,18;36:20	103:9;105:8
strict (2)	7:7;44:16;87:14	ten-year (2)	today (20)	trip (1)
94:8,8	supposed (2)	86:19;104:3	3:13;12:13;13:6,	117:22
strike (2)	11:19;101:1	term (1)	10;16:12,23;17:3;	trust (1)
92:9,15	sure (15)	93:19	57:8;77:9;81:17;	58:4
Stuckey (11)	6:4;30:21;32:6,12,	terms (13)	85:7;94:21;104:21;	try (4)
15:23;34:18;47:12,	18;34:3;43:9;68:16;	9:1;10:21;11:4;	107:13;112:3,10;	74:1;103:8;110:4;
12;48:11,14,17,20;	76:15;83:2;84:5;	12:9;45:14;87:3;	115:2,11,19;118:4	114:12
49:6,11;110:2	95:14;96:10;109:14;	95:9,18;97:22;98:4;	today's (2)	trying (7)
studies (1)	116:8	100:22,23;114:20	17:8,15	31:6;60:19;77:4;
99:21	surety (5)	testify (1)	together (8)	105:2,3;108:8;114:4
stuff (3)	98:4,19;115:19;	17:7	7:15;44:1;84:15;	TTTT (1)
72:5;74:21;95:21	116:3,10	thanks (2)	91:17;102:12;109:9;	28:11
14.3,14.41,73.41	110.5,10	mains (2)	71.11,104.14,107.9,	20.11
-	1			-

turned (1)	72:3	13:18,22	warning (1)	wet (12)
24:14	updates (13)	variation (1)	76:2	14:23;88:11;93:21,
twelve (1)	22:2,10;27:20;	44:19	Washington (1)	22;94:15;96:3;104:5
86:14	28:9;30:4;34:5;	various (2)	89:21	112:19;114:16;
two (18)	41:16;44:10;46:13;	84:18;107:19	WASKY (13)	115:9,13;116:11
8:1;13:15;18:6;	55:17,23;56:4;58:12	vehicle (5)	4:11;21:4;25:3,15;	Wet-Weather (2)
70:1;77:20;83:17;	updating (10)	88:17;100:6,11;	26:6,7;35:8,9;39:5,6;	14:17;15:5
84:12,15;87:20;88:5;	27:11;28:4;29:3,	105:22;108:5	50:20;54:16;64:10	what's (3)
94:10;97:18;99:14;	23;31:22;32:4,10,19;	vent (1)	waste (24)	71:17;75:7;76:9
105:5;113:4,13;	36:13;98:11	44:2	14:7;16:21;36:19;	whenever (1)
116:2;117:14	upgrades (3)	venting (1)	37:9,11,17,21;55:4,	47:5
wo-day (1)	7:20;8:10;44:10	41:16	13,18,20;56:1,2,11,	whereas (2)
89:20	upon (1)	verified (2)	14,15,21;57:22,23;	62:18;68:7
ype (1)	41:3	86:1,16	58:6,6,12;59:2;63:14	Where's (1)
102:22	upstream (1)	verify (1)	wasted (1)	92:15
ypical (4)	8:5	86:21	89:2	wherever (1)
74:14,18;75:23;	use (46)	version (16)	wastewater (8)	74:7
92:2	13:18,22;14:21;	27:23;28:4;32:5,	7:7;43:6;81:23;	whole (7)
ypically (7)	15:16,22,14.21, 15:2;22:5,21;23:3,8,	19;33:4,7,16,17,18,	87:23;89:2;97:3;	60:2;61:6;62:18;
11:17;45:18;72:12;	23;32:20;33:3,16;	19,20;36:20;57:5;	107:23;116:7	75:11;101:17;
74:1;75:11;108:21;	55:19;56:13,17,22;	85:10;88:19,21	Water (49)	108:22;110:8
109:3	57:17;58:6;59:22;	versus (1)	5:5,10;6:6,8,23;	who's (4)
ypographical (1)	60:1,15;62:9;65:9;	32:15	8:3;10:12,17;11:18;	74:16;76:3;104:22,
69:6	80:16;87:5;93:8,11,	viable (1)	12:2,3,6,7;15:7,8;	23
	12,18,20,22;94:4,14,	83:9	45:14;59:16;60:4,5,	widow (1)
\mathbf{U}	15,18;96:1,4,15,20;	view (4)	12;61:14,18;81:20;	77:9
	98:22;103:11,13,19;	68:19;87:9;100:19;	85:11;86:6;88:5;	wiggle (1)
ultimately (2)	115:8,20;116:11	113:19	89:7,9,17;93:8;	111:2
62:11;85:14	used (14)	viewed (2)	95:13;102:2,6;	Williams (20)
unauthorized (1)	5:9;11:20;15:10;	14:10;69:9	104:11;106:3,16;	81:23;84:23;85:3;
11:3	37:12,19;56:22;59:5,	Vigo (5)	107:19,22;108:3,4;	99:3,6;103:5,6,15;
Under (15)	12,12,13,20;60:9;	13:3;16:13;18:7;	109:13;110:1,3,11;	105:14;107:3,8,11,
11:4;14:13;19:20;	61:6;109:11	19:10,12	113:11,14;114:16;	21;110:4;111:23;
23:7;33:5;37:16;	uses (10)	violation (5)	115:9,14	112:14,17;114:1;
52:1,17;58:4;65:8;	56:18;58:20;59:2,	90:21,22;92:1;	Watts (29)	116:20;117:23
82:3;94:17;97:13;	18;61:9,12;62:17,23;	111:6;112:6	40:14,15,17;42:15,	willing (3)
114:20;115:16	63:2;99:17	visit (1)	19,22;43:2,7,12,14,	108:7;115:5,11
understands (1)	using (5)	14:11	18;44:12;46:8,11,21;	Wisconsin (3)
71:22	19:5;33:7,18;	visitors' (1)	49:13;55:8,9,10;58:3,	88:20;105:15,19
Understood (1)	62:17;91:22	79:20	21;59:6,9;60:13,20;	wish (3)
114:1	usually (2)	vital (1)	61:2,8;62:7,15	17:7;33:2;49:17
unfortunately (3)	15:22;75:4	112:13	way (11)	wishes (2)
107:8,13;115:7	utilities (1)	volatile (1)	30:10,12;33:7;	63:8;118:4
unintentional (1)	87:21	52:11	44:17;58:23;60:4,11;	withdrawal (2)
32:13	utilize (1)	vote (3)	76:18;103:17;	11:4,14
units (1)	22:12	26:4;27:6;35:5	113:17;115:18	within (10)
28:16	22.12	votes (3)		12:8;18:18;32:1,3,
28:10 unless (3)	\mathbf{V}	27:5;36:7;40:4	ways (7) 72:3;83:12;84:1;	20;44:18;83:7;84:16
	V			
32:2;37:13;60:6	11.1 (4)	voting (1)	97:7;102:22;116:2;	97:22;105:18
inruly (1)	validates (1)	115:20	117:7	without (2)
5:9	109:23	***	weather (13)	59:18;106:2
ıp (16)	VALIQUETT (13)	\mathbf{W}	14:23;88:11;93:21,	wonder (1)
4:23;6:8;15:10;	4:3;20:19;25:7;		22;94:15;96:3;104:5;	59:17
31:2,12;44:9;49:4;	27:1,2;36:3,4;39:23;	waiver (2)	112:20;114:16;	wondering (1)
60:1;65:7;66:16;	40:1;50:12;54:8;	76:6;77:22	115:9,13;116:11;	100:18
68:10;75:14;77:4,14;	64:2;118:22	waivers (5)	118:14	word (1)
82:12;105:9	valuable (1)	68:1,6,14;71:6;	Web (6)	69:3
Update (10)	56:16	76:17	8:17,18;17:13,20;	words (1)
16:18,19;18:4;	value (2)	Walsh (9)	65:19;67:19	98:11
		21:20,21,22;27:15,	week (1)	work (10)
19:10;30:5;31:7,9;	99:16,18		, ,	
32:15,21;36:19	values (1)	16,17;51:13,14,15	17:14	44:8;69:12,14;
updated (4)	99:16	wants (2)	welcome (2)	91:17;101:1;102:10
24:1;36:22;38:17;	vapor (2)	32:20;38:11	12:12;45:1	105:17;108:8;

				November 13, 2019
114:19;117:8	88:23	27:22;28:1,5,8;	66:8	40:21
worked (6)	109 (1)	29:3;36:20;37:1	30th (1)	7.1 (4)
5:4;89:9;95:2;	116:5	27.3,30.20,37.1	28:8	36:12;37:7;38:16;
108:23;114:12,15	11 (4)	2	3-1 (1)	40:20
	36:12;37:10;38:16;		37:22	
working (17)		2 (1)		70-page (1)
8:2,9,13;9:1,2;	59:10	2(1)	326 (25)	99:13
10:18,19,22;44:10;	1-1 (1)	59:22	16:18;18:20,20;	71 (1)
71:16;76:3;89:12;	36:12	20 (1)	19:9,17;21:15;22:2,	105:10
97:5;108:3;109:19;	1-1-3 (2)	55:15	20;23:11,22;24:2,20;	72 (1)
113:15;117:4	27:22;28:1	200 (1)	27:11,22;28:4,6,10;	112:23
works (1)	11th (2)	8:20	29:22;40:9,20,22;	7-4.1 (3)
32:22	6:5,16	2004 (3)	49:23;51:8;52:16;	40:9,22;49:23
workshop (1)	12 (4)	86:7;87:12;103:22	53:17	7-4.1-8b3 (1)
89:20	27:11;29:22;59:11;	2005 (2)	329 (16)	43:19
world (1)	96:18	114:14;117:9	16:18;36:12,20;	7th (1)
6:3	12-1-1 (1)	2008 (3)	37:6,7,9,10,12,15,20,	19:1
worried (1)	28:10	18:5,21;19:5	22;38:16;55:4,18;	17:1
78:5	12th (2)	2010 (3)	57:4;63:14	8
				0
writer (1)	6:15,19	18:7;19:10;106:11	3-5 (1)	0 (1)
18:17	13 (7)	2012 (9)	22:2	8 (1)
written (1)	3:1.5;27:6;36:8,12;	81:19;85:11;88:13,	3-5-1 (3)	59:10
17:15	37:12;38:17;40:5	22;94:13;99:11,13;	21:15;23:22;24:20	8500 (1)
wwwidemingov (1)	13-14-1-11.5 (1)	101:14;108:23	3-5-1b5 (2)	86:12
8:19	65:8	2014 (1)	23:13;24:2	8th (3)
	13-14-9-14 (1)	86:23	3-5-1c (1)	13:2;19:14;118:9
${f Y}$	14:15	2015 (8)	22:20	
	13th (2)	19:6;28:1,8,14,20;	3-5-1c2 (3)	9
year (7)	3:6;17:11	29:1;51:20;84:16	23:11,15;24:3	
6:1;15:22;32:22;	1-4 (1)	2017 (3)	23.11,13,24.3	90 (1)
44:4;52:12;97:18;	19:17	19:7;36:20;37:1	4	, ,
			7	19:23
110:23	1-4-46 (1)	2018 (12)	40 (2)	99.9 (1)
years (16)	18:20	19:8;27:22;28:5,8,	40 (2)	95:11
5:6,8;31:5;34:2;	1-4-65 (1)	18;29:4;30:6;31:2;	22:9;28:11	
55:15;68:7;83:15;	18:20	41:3,7;51:18;67:6	42 (1)	
84:13,17;89:12;	1-4-85 (1)	2019 (7)	57:4	
94:20;101:18;	19:9	3:1.5,6,14;19:1,14;	45 (1)	
104:22,22;113:17;	14th (2)	30:6;86:11	65:18	
117:10	3:14;4:18	2020 (2)	4th (1)	
yeoman's (1)	15 (3)	13:2;118:9	51:18	
116:5	55:4,18;63:14	2025 (1)		-
	15-5-7 (1)	106:7	5	
${f Z}$	57:4	20th (2)		4
<i>_</i>	15-6-3 (2)	19:7;28:18	50 (1)	
(2)	` '	*		
zone (2)	58:20,21	22 (1)	59:8	
92:9,15	17-279 (1)	113:21	53 (1)	
	55:11	23rd (2)	57:1	
0	1-8 (1)	28:14,23	_	
	43:13	24-hour (2)	6	
0(3)	18-364 (1)	44:1,3		-
27:6;36:8;40:5	21:23	25 (1)	6.13 (1)	
	19 (2)	52:12	69:19	
1	44:2,9	2-6-1 (3)	6.14 (1)	
_	19-382 (1)	51:8;52:16;53:17	69:17	
1 (5)	27:17	2110,62110,66117	60 (2)	
27:11;29:22;31:2;	19-388 (1)	3	28:11;105:10	
	40:18		60-million-dollar (1)	
37:6;38:16	19-409 (1)	2 (1)		
1 (7 (2)		3 (1)	107:1	
1.67 (2)	, ,	50.22		
86:20;113:8	51:16	59:22	63 (1)	
86:20;113:8 1:30 (4)	51:16 1994 (2)	3,000 (1)	22:9	
86:20;113:8 1:30 (4) 3:1,5,5;118:9	51:16 1994 (2) 85:12;87:18	3,000 (1) 6:11	22:9	
86:20;113:8 1:30 (4) 3:1,5,5;118:9 10 (3)	51:16 1994 (2) 85:12;87:18 1995 (1)	3,000 (1) 6:11 3.1-2 (2)	* *	
86:20;113:8 1:30 (4) 3:1,5,5;118:9	51:16 1994 (2) 85:12;87:18 1995 (1) 86:4	3,000 (1) 6:11 3.1-2 (2) 37:15,20	22:9 7	-
86:20;113:8 1:30 (4) 3:1,5,5;118:9 10 (3)	51:16 1994 (2) 85:12;87:18 1995 (1)	3,000 (1) 6:11 3.1-2 (2)	22:9	