A variety of industries use solvents that can be hazardous to human health and therefore are regulated as environmental contaminants. Many create cancer risk, and some are toxic to the nervous system, liver, kidneys or lungs, or can cause respiratory problems or skin conditions. Many are volatile organic compounds, which contribute to the formation of ground-level ozone pollution. Completely eliminating the use and generation of hazardous solvents is ideal, from a human health, environmental and cost perspective because it prevents pollution and reduces associated costs.

Fortunately, it is often possible to substitute toxic solvents with safer, effective alternatives, including aqueous-based solvents. For more information about safer alternatives, see https://www.epa.gov/saferchoice/products and http://www.turi.org. Solvent-users should consider safer solvents to help protect the health of their workers and their community, and also to help reduce their costs of complying with environmental regulations, including those issued under the Clean Air Act and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.

**Clean Air Act Compliance:** Industrial solvent users can be subject to a variety of federal and state air emissions regulations that control emissions of VOCs in order to limit ground level ozone pollution, or that control emissions of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs). Compliance with these clean air regulations creates costs for industry, both by requiring companies to install emissions controls to capture and destroy VOC pollution and by requiring companies to obtain air emissions permits and to monitor and report compliance. By substituting VOC-based solvents that contain HAPs with water-based solvents or with VOC-based solvents that do not contain HAPs, facilities can avoid significant costs associated with air regulatory compliance. Eliminating or reducing the use of VOC-based solvents might allow facilities to operate without VOC control devices while staying in compliance with VOC emissions limits, and might reduce the time and resources associated with compliance (e.g. fewer air inspections, fewer reports) if the change allows the facility to switch to another type of permit.

Some facilities may be able to avoid air regulatory requirements entirely by avoiding the use of chemicals that contain VOCs and HAPs. The ability of a facility to avoid regulatory requirements through product substitution will depend on which specific standards it is subject to and on whether it is able to completely or only partially eliminate the use of a regulated substance. Generally, EPA policy will not allow a facility that is subject to a federal hazardous air pollutant standard to avoid being an affected source by reducing use of a regulated pollutant below an applicability threshold; however, permanent elimination of regulated pollutants can allow a regulated source to avoid being subject to a regulation. If such a source had no other air emissions regulatory requirements, it would no longer be required to obtain an air permit. Even if the facility was still subject to other air emissions regulatory requirements, the change might make the facility eligible to switch to a permit with a lower burden of compliance such as a Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit (FESOP) or registration permit.

A regulated facility considering product substitution should evaluate the potential beneficial impact of such substitutions on its regulatory costs, and should contact their permitting authority to determine how such a change could affect their facility specifically. Before ceasing any reporting or other compliance obligations under any regulation or permit, a facility should confer with their permitting and compliance authorities.
**Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Compliance:** Solvents can become hazardous wastes when they can no longer be used for their intended purpose and are discarded. A hazardous waste must be managed safely from its point of generation through recycling or disposal. When solvents can no longer be used, it is the responsibility of the generator (user) to determine whether it is a listed or characteristic (ignitable, corrosive, reactive, or toxic) hazardous waste (or both).

The reduction in the use of hazardous solvents and the use of non-hazardous solvents (i.e. certain aqueous based solvents) in the place of hazardous solvents, allows a facility to be subject to less stringent RCRA regulations for the management of the hazardous waste solvent, because the applicable regulations vary according to the volume and toxicity of hazardous waste solvent generated.

Hazardous waste categories include:

- **Large Quantity Generators (LQG)** must comply with the full set of hazardous waste regulations because they generate more than 2,200 lbs (100 kg) of hazardous waste or more than 2.2 lbs (1 kg) of acute hazardous waste per calendar month;
- **Small Quantity Generators (SQGs)** have reduced hazardous waste regulations because they generate between 220 and 2,200 lbs (100 and 1,000 kg) per month of hazardo us waste;
- **Very Small Quantity Generators (VSQGs)** generate up to 220 lbs (100kg) per month of hazardous waste and are exempt from hazardous waste management regulations, if they comply with 3 basic requirements – 1) identify all hazardous waste generated, 2) do not store more than 2200 pounds of hazardous waste on-site, at any one time prior to disposal, 3) ensure delivery of hazardous waste to an appropriate off-site treatment or disposal facility.


Most state hazardous waste regulations are based on the federal requirements. However it is important to note some states have developed regulations that are more stringent than the federal requirements or broader in scope. For state regulations, contact your state’s environmental regulatory agency or department or visit EPA’s State Programs Web page at [https://www.epa.gov/hwgenerators/links-hazardous-waste-programs-and-us-state-environmental-agencies](https://www.epa.gov/hwgenerators/links-hazardous-waste-programs-and-us-state-environmental-agencies).

**Example - TCE Reductions Reported to the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI):** The quantity of TCE releases reported to TRI by the fabricated metals sector decreased by 79% between 2001 and 2012. The sector’s on- and off-site releases fell from 3 million pounds to 0.6 million pounds, and its total production-related waste managed (which includes quantities recycled, used for energy recovery, treated, and released) fell from more than 80 million pounds to less than 30 million pounds reported annually. The number of fabricated metal facilities reporting TCE to TRI decreased by 69% over this time period (from 141 to 44), indicating that many facilities eliminated TCE use entirely or reduced use below the 10,000 pound reporting threshold. This decrease appears to be the result of P2 activities rather than facility closures, given that the overall number of TRI-reporting facilities in this sector fell by only 20% during the same timeframe.

EPA compiled this fact sheet as a supplement to “Pollution Prevention (P2) Factsheet Safer Alternatives for Solvent Degreasing Applications,” [https://www.epa.gov/p2/case-studies-safer-alternatives-solvent-degreasing-applications](https://www.epa.gov/p2/case-studies-safer-alternatives-solvent-degreasing-applications), which provides a number of case studies that highlight the P2 approach of switching to aqueous and less toxic metal cleaners to reduce health risks and manufacturing costs.