

CCDF FACT SHEET
INDIANA - STATEWIDE SUMMARY
VOUCHER AND CONTRACT CENTERS PROGRAMS
April, 2017

Caseload

23,270 families and 43,826 children were authorized for this period.
734 of the above families and 1,468 of the children had TANF priority.
291 of the above families and 373 of the children had vouchers with CCDF Contract Centers.
2,510 of the above families and 4,054 of the children either had Homeless priority or identified as such.*
461 of the above families and 1,048 of the children had one or more disabled children in the household.*
36 of the above families and 49 of the children were in a household with family members in the military.*
0 of the above families and 0 of the children were part of a household with over \$1,000,000 in assets.*
5,148 families and 8,387 children were on wait lists at the end of the period.

Children

76.9 % of children were served in licensed care.
1.5 % were cared for by relatives.
Infants (under 1 year of age) constitute 3.9 % of all children served.
Toddlers (ages 1 to 2 years) constitute 22.1 % of all children served.
Children ages 3 to 5 years constitute 35.8 % of all children served.
Kindergarten-age children (age 5 years) constitute 0.5 % of all children served.
School-age children (ages 6+ years) constitute 39.8 % of all children served.
32.6 % of children had part-time vouchers.
19.0 % of children had vouchers for shift 2 care.
Children of Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity accounted for 9.7 % of all children served.
Race codes: African American: 61.6 %; White: 45.6 %; American Indian / Alaskan: 0.5 %; Asian: 0.5 %;
Hawaiian / Pacific Islander: 0.3 %; Multiple Races: 8.3 %
There were 164 new TANF-Impact children (88 families) added this period.
An average of 32.4 weekly hours were authorized for school-age children.

Families

94.5 % of families received subsidies because of employment.
34.5 % of families had copayments, at an average of 7.7 % of income.
53.1 % of families had overages, at an average of 10.0 % of income.
The average family size was 3.8 and the average number of children served per family was 1.9.
82.0 % of families were headed by a single parent.
3.2 % of families were marked as TANF recipients and 3.5 % listed TANF as a source of income.
68.9 % of families were below the poverty level. 12.3 % of families were above 127 % of the poverty level.

Expenditures

The average cost of care for a month per child during this period was \$775 (subsidy + copay + overage).
Parents paid 13.0 % of the cost (4.7 % in copayments and 8.3 % in overages) and the program paid 87.0 %.
Average monthly expenditures for children under 1 year of age were \$904.
Average monthly expenditures for school-age children (ages 6+ years) were \$518.
72.0 % of total expenditures were for children under 6 years of age.
Federal Fiscal YTD Expenditures through end of period**: \$155,031,033
Total payments made in period**: \$29,057,883
Total AJS payments made from the TANF draw* in period*: \$257,031
Total Working Families payments made from the TANF draw in period**: \$2,645,338

Providers

Authorized CCDF providers by type***: Licensed Centers: 524; Licensed Homes: 2,471;
Registered Ministries: 373; Exempt Centers: 317; Exempt Homes: 171
Percent of providers participating in CCDF***: Licensed Centers: 81.5 %; Licensed Homes: 92.3 %;
Registered Ministries: 55.8 %

County Stats

Marion County accounted for the largest porportion of families served with 33.4 % of the caseloads.
The highest average cost of care was in Hamilton County at \$1,094 per child.
The lowest average cost of care was in Newton County at \$375 per child.
Blackford County and others had the greatest proportion of children served in licensed care at 100.0 %.
Lagrange County had the greatest proportion of children served in LLEP care at 35.7 %.
Jay County ranks first in relative care statewide with 17.9 % of all children cared for by relatives.
Adams County and others had the highest percentage of families with a service need of employment at 100.0 %.
Newton County had the greatest proportion of TANF recipients, where 33.3 % of families receiving child care subsidies also received TANF income.

Run Date: 05/01/2017

** Figures are from the Additional Questions in the application (self-reported), and will only be reflected on case sequences created post-AIS 5.0 cutover (Feb. 2016).*

*** Note that cost figures are based on totals from CRO as of "Run Date" and are not reconciled with figures from State Auditor.*

**** Figures based on counts as of the last day of the reporting period.*