In the Matter Of:

East Chicago Waterway Management

DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING

August 18, 2022



Iseminger & Associates, Inc. 877-337-7379

1	
2	EAST CHICAGO WATERWAY MANAGEMENT
3	DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING
4	
5	City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building
6	4444 Railroad Avenue
7	East Chicago, Indiana
8	
9	August 18, 2022
10	5:00 p.m.
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of the East Chicago Waterway Management District Board of Directors' Meeting had in the
15	above-entitled matter on the 18th day of August, 2022, commencing at 5:00 p.m., taken at City of
16	East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana, and via
17	Zoom Video/Telephone conference, before Dawn M. Iseminger, RPR, and Notary Public, within and for
18	the County of Porter, State of Indiana.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES			
2	JOHN FEKETE, President			
3	RAYMOND LOPEZ, SR., Treasurer and Fiscal Officer			
4	HENRY RODRIGUEZ, Secretary			
5	JOHN BAKOTA, Board Member			
6	JAYLAN ROBINSON, Board Member			
7	FERNANDO TREVINO, FMT Consulting			
8	ELLEN GREGORY, Ellen Gregory Law			
9				
10	Also Present:			
11	Vanessa Vargas, CliftonLarsonAllen - appearing via Zoom			
12	Jim Wescott, Tetra Tech - appearing via Zoom			
13	David Alonzo, BMO Bank - appearing via Zoom			
14	Mike Nguyen, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - appearing via			
15	Zoom			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	2			

1	MR. FEKETE: I'd like to call to order the
2	East Chicago Waterway Management District special
3	board of director's
4	MS. GREGORY: Not a "special."
5	MR. FEKETE: Today's date is August 18, 2022.
6	We will take a roll call vote.
7	Jaylan Robinson?
8	MR. ROBINSON: Here.
9	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?
10	MR. BAKOTA: Here.
11	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
12	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Here.
13	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
16	MR. LOPEZ: Here.
17	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, here.
18	We do have a quorum. The next order of
19	business is FMT Consulting report.
20	MR. TREVINO: Yes. Fernando Trevino,
21	executive director with FMT Consulting. I'd like
22	to start off by going over the contents of your
23	board packet. You have a copy of today's agenda,
24	a copy of the public meeting posting for today's
25	meeting, the board minutes for the July 21st board
	3

meeting. You have the CliftonLarsonAllen financial report dated July 31st for the month of July that was emailed earlier today with hard copies distributed to the board at this meeting.

You have a copy of today's claim docket dated August 18 along with purchase orders and the check register. You have a copy of the First Merchant bank statements for the month of July, for accounts ending in 608 and 616, along with a copy of the checks that cleared in the month of July and copy of user fee deposits made in the month of July.

You have a copy of the additional hours approval memo for FMT Consulting for calendar year 2022. You have the district consent memo for Plews to represent FMT Consulting, Inc., during contract negotiations. You have a copy of the BMO trust report through the month of July 2022. You have a copy of Tetra Tech's monthly Great Lakes Legacy Act Grand Cal' River remedial design project report, which is dated August 12th. You have a copy of the Army Corps' report to the board dated August 18, a copy of Attorney Ellen Gregory's report to the board with a revision date of August 16th. You have a copy of ACOM's monthly

invoice, their budget report, and the schedule regarding the Canal Street bridge project. You have a copy of the EPA letter dated July 26, 2022, from John Hoffman of EPA related to the Cleveland Cliffs cost share. You have a copy of Congressman Mrvan's press release dated August 17, 2022, related to the CDF expansion project. That concludes the items in the board packet and items since, and I'd like to go over my report now. Regarding the action items on today's agenda, we have the two traditional action items of the

Regarding the action items on today's agenda, we have the two traditional action items of the board minutes and the monthly claim docket, and we have three additional action items for board consideration. We have the FMT Consulting additional hours approval memo for calendar year 2022. We have the 2022 user fee deadline extension, and a copy of the board consent to have Plews represent FMT Consulting during the contract negotiations.

Regarding user fees, a reminder that the 2022 user fee package went out on June 3rd. As reported last month, we are exploring an appeal request from one of the companies on whether a conservation easement can qualify as a user fee

waiver. We anticipate having a recommendation to the board at the September board meeting. The recommendation may include approving an amended appeal procedure and forum.

To date, we've collected and deposited approximately \$344,000, which is around 75 percent of the total 2022 user fees.

The due date for this year was August 3rd, which was a couple of weeks ago. In prior years the board has agreed to extend the deadline for a couple months to give the district an opportunity to contact the companies and the possibility of them paying user fees before the district pursues legal matters on the unpaid accounts. So if the board approves extending the due date, the new date I'm recommending is October 3 of 2022.

Regarding the Lake George canal east and middle project, the sheet pile wall 90 percent basis of design report was completed and has been transmitted to the project team. AR/BP continues to work towards finalizing the specs and drawings. AR/BP continues to have periodic meetings with the City of East Chicago to make sure they are consistent with the permits and protocols, and they have secured a contractor for the procurement

of the steel and they are now working on the request for proposal for the installation of the wall.

The sheet pile wall is forecasted to be completed by early 2024.

Regarding the Lake George canal east section, phase two dredging is scheduled to recommence after the sheet pile wall project is completed, which as mentioned is supposed to be done in early 2024. The Corps will be managing the dredging project on EPA's behalf, and they're also coordinating additional canal sediment sampling that's scheduled to be done some time in late summer or early fall.

Regarding the Lake George canal middle cap project, the Army Corps of Engineers managing district remediation project and construction activities are ongoing.

Regarding the Grand Cal' River junction reaches remedial design, Tetra Tech remedial design work is ongoing. The project team continues to have periodic meetings to discuss design completion, property access, coordination, permit applications, the junction marsh coordination, cost share documentation, community

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

engagement. And regarding the project agreement with EPA for what we're calling the Grand Cal' River phase one remediation, EPA and the district have been working on that project agreement for some time now. We are getting close. The project agreement is for approximately \$27 million in federal funds. And that scope of work includes the complete remediation of the Grand Cal' River west branch, dredging of the Indiana Harbor Canal branch, and restoration of the junction marsh. We've traded a couple project agreement drafts with EPA and we were hoping to have it done by today's meeting but it's looking more like September or maybe beyond as we're exploring the possibility of doing a cooperative agreement with EPA where the district manages the project. whether it's a project agreement or cooperative agreement, we're probably now a couple months away.

The project team continues to work on cost share calculations affiliated with the PCA and tipping fee agreement amendments, which will be used to leverage funding for this phase one remediation. You might recall that the PCA amendment has been completed and we're now very

close to completing the tipping fee agreement amendment, and we're forecasting to have that at the September board meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We do have good news regarding the Cleveland Cliffs sep project counting as Great Lakes Legacy Act cost share. EPA has told us verbally in the past that their management had reviewed the sep prior year's scope of work and cost, and they believe that it did count and qualify towards financing the \$27 million for the Grand Cal' River phase one remediation. Usually EPA provides cost share approval as the project progresses but because our cost share is kind of unique in that it has already transpired and it's already been expended, we asked EPA to consider sending us a memo that documents that they have reviewed and approved that the sep cost share would count; and they've agreed to provide such a memo; and a copy of that is it your board packet. So I think that gives the district some added comfort that the phase one remediation cost share component is already covered before we even start the project. We're also looking to include a similar statement in the project agreement or cooperation agreement, whichever route we consider going forward with.

Regarding the Great Lakes Legacy Act work in kind reporting, I'll be working on the work in kind report for the first six months of this year. EPA has agreed to extend the deadline to the end of September. Through 2019, EPA has approved approximately \$1.1 million in work in kind, and that doesn't include the Cleveland Cliffs sep project.

Regarding the Lake George canal west remediation, that work is ongoing. And a reminder, that project is between BP and EPA.

Regarding the Canal Street bridge project,
ACOM continues their effort on the dolphin design
and permit application. A project schedule is
included in your packet. The design will be
modified to account for the overhead power lines
that they kind of discovered after the fact. So
they're going to adjust the design to make sure
that it accounts for the overhead power lines
during construction. They're forecasting
completing the bid specs and drawings either in
August or by September, contractor material
procurement during the winter months, and
construction to start in the May/June time frame.

Regarding the BP term sheet agreement for the

1	parcels BP is interested in acquiring from the	
2	district, that contract negotiations with BP is	
3	ongoing. Regarding the Army Corps of Engineers	
4	and the CDF, Congressman Mrvan's office invited	
5	the district to a CDF tour and presentation that	
6	he had scheduled for Tuesday of this week; and so	
7	I want to thank Congressman Mrvan and his staff	
8	for the invitation. And I thought the Corps	
9	project manager, Mike Nguyen, did a great job on	
10	his presentation. I asked Mike if he would be	
11	interested in having a similar presentation at our	
12	board meeting; so hopefully we can schedule one	
13	soon. The Corps' project manager, Mike Nguyen,	
14	will be giving a project update later on, on the	
15	agenda.	
16	And that concludes my report to the board.	
17	MR. FEKETE: Okay. Any questions for	
18	Mr. Trevino?	
19	(No response.)	
20	MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. Next order	
21	of business is the approval of the board meeting	
22	notes for July 21, 2022.	
23	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to approve.	
24	MR. LOPEZ: Second.	
25	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion and second.	1.1
		11

1 We'll take a voice vote since all of the members 2 are present. 3 All in favor, signify by saying "aye." (All signify "aye.") 4 MR. FEKETE: 5 Any opposition or abstentions? (No response.) 6 7 MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, the motion is 8 approved. 9 Okay, the accounting consultant report. 10 MS. VARGAS: Good evening. In front of you, you have the July 31, 2022, financial statement on 11 12 a cash basis. If you turn to Page 2, we'll begin 13 with the statement of cash receipts and cash 14 disbursements. There are seven months, ended 15 July 31, 2022. You earned some interest in terms 16 of \$4,137.69, user fees of \$253,399.83, and a 17 trust transfer of \$398,338.19. Bringing your 18 total receipts through July 31st to \$655,875.71. 19 You've had total disbursements through July 20 31st of \$389,305.34, which you can see on the 21 various lines items above. This brings your total 22 cash increase through July 31st to \$266,570.37. 23 You began the year with a cash balance of 24 \$3.9 million. Your cash balance as of July 31st 25 is now \$4,258,978.31.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Turn to Page 3, you'll see the schedule of cash account activity for the seven months ended July 31, 2022. We'll start with the operating account. The operating account began with a cash balance of \$1,409,578.39. You've earned interest of \$241.21. Add cash disbursements through July of \$390,355.34, which includes a voided check of \$1,050. You had a trust transfer of \$398,338.19 and a budget transfer of \$212,225.79, bringing your operating cash balance as of July 31st to \$1,631,078.24. The user fee account began with a cash balance on January 1st of \$1,076,244.74. You've earned interest income of \$1,199.45. User fee income of \$253,399.83. You had a budget transfer of \$212,225.79, as well as a redeemed CD for \$1,509,281.84. Bringing your user fee account as of July 31st to \$2,627,900.07. You began the year with a CD, with a balance of \$1,506,584.81. Earned interest of \$2,697.03, and redeemed that deposit. If you turn to Page 4, you will see a

If you turn to Page 4, you will see a schedule of the 2022 available budget as of August 18, 2022. So the 2022 budget appropriation totaled \$1,919,735. We add in the accounts payable as of 12/31/21 of \$50,407.37. You had a

		$\overline{}$
1	budget amendment at the last board meeting of	
2	\$50,000, which went to the Great Lakes Legacy Act	
3	project non-trust line, which brings your total	
4	appropriation budget for 2022 to \$2,020,142.37.	
5	Through July 31st, 2022, you had cash	
6	disbursements of \$389,305.34. In front of you,	
7	you have a claim docket dated August 18, 2022, for	
8	a total of \$38,165.76, which brings total unused	
9	budget to \$1,592,671.27.	
10	Are there any questions?	
11	(No response.)	
12	MR. LOPEZ: Thank you.	
13	MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, Thank you very	
14	much, Vanessa. Great job.	
15	That brings us to the next order of business,	
16	the accounts payable voucher register dated	
17	August 18, 2022. Do I have a motion to approve?	
18	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Motion to approve.	
19	MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?	
20	MR. ROBINSON: Second.	
21	MR. LOPEZ: Second.	
22	MR. FEKETE: We have a second. And we'll	
23	have a roll call vote.	
24	Jaylan Robinson?	
25	MR. ROBINSON: Yes.	
	14	ł

1	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?				
2	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.				
3	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?				
4	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Yes.				
5	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?				
6	(No response.)				
7	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?				
8	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.				
9	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes. That				
10	motion is approved.				
11	The next order of business is the FMT				
12	Consulting additional hours. Fernando?				
13	MR. TREVINO: So per my contract, there's a				
14	provision that if we get to a point where				
15	additional hours are needed, which in this case				
16	it's a hundred, it would require board approval.				
17	So we are at that point. I had a lot of work				
18	going on this year, so I'm proposing that the				
19	board approve the additional hundred hours.				
20	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to approve.				
21	MR. BAKOTA: Second.				
22	MR. FEKETE: I have a second. I'll have a				
23	roll call vote.				
24	Jaylan Robinson?				
25	MR. ROBINSON: Yes.				

1 MR. FEKETE: John Bakota? 2 MR. BAKOTA: Yes. 3 MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriquez? MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: 4 MR. FEKETE: 5 Kevin Doyle? 6 (No response.) 7 Ray Lopez? MR. FEKETE: 8 MR. LOPEZ: Yes. 9 MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes. The next order of business is the user fee 10 11 deadline extension. Mr. Trevino? 12 MR. TREVINO: So as mentioned in my report, 13 there's approximately 25 percent of the 2022 user fees that are still outstanding. And as we've 14 15 done in prior years, we've extended the deadline a 16 couple months to give the district an opportunity 17 to reach out to the companies and remind them of 18 their user fee obligations before we've pursued 19 legal matters. And the extension has worked very 20 well in the past. You know, up to the last year 21 we've had a hundred percent collection. 22 recommendation is that we again approve the two 23 month extension; and I'll follow up with letters 24 to those outstanding entities. So the new 25 deadline would be October 3rd.

1	MR. FEKETE: Okay. Any questions?				
2	(No response.)				
3	MR. FEKETE: Motion to approve?				
4	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Motion to approve.				
5	MR. ROBINSON: Second.				
6	MR. FEKETE: We'll have a roll call vote.				
7	Jaylan Robinson?				
8	MR. ROBINSON: Yes.				
9	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?				
10	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.				
11	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?				
12	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Yes.				
13	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?				
14	(No response.)				
15	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?				
16	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.				
17	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes.				
18	MR. BAKOTA: Did we do accounts payable?				
19	MR. FEKETE: Yes.				
20	MR. TREVINO: Yes.				
21	MR. BAKOTA: Okay.				
22	MR. TREVINO: Yeah, that's usually my cue.				
23	MR. FEKETE: Okay. The next item is consent				
24	for PSRB, that's the Plew's legal firm we deal				
25	with in Indianapolis, to represent FMT Consulting.				
	17				

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Fernando and I had a conversation on this and I recommended that he get outside help in reviewing his contractual terms. And we talked about it and thought that we could contact Plews, which is a legal firm that's already helping us on a number of matters and has done a great job for They have sent us a letter acknowledging the us. request, and we need to sign it and pass it on to They have assured us that they can maintain a distinction between the work that they do for us on board matters and whatever work they do on behalf of Fernando to keep separate. And so having gotten that, I would recommend that we approve this consideration.

MR. FEKETE: And Ellen did review the --

MS. GREGORY: I'll make a really quick statement. Basically, if a lawyer is representing one entity and then considers representing another entity that has some relationship, there's a potential conflict of interest. That doesn't mean that there's an actual conflict of interest in this case. But when there's a potential conflict of interest, both parties have to sign a waiver of the potential conflict of interest, which is what this letter is. It's a requirement under the

1	professional ethical rules that Plews has to have				
2	it signed, and Fernando has to sign one, that says				
3	we understand that there's a potential conflict of				
4	interest but we think Plews can handle it and not				
5	be biased.				
6	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to approve.				
7	MR. LOPEZ: Second.				
8	MR. FEKETE: I have a second.				
9	Jaylan Robinson?				
10	MR. ROBINSON: Yes.				
11	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?				
12	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.				
13	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?				
14	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Yes.				
15	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?				
16	(No response.)				
17	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?				
18	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.				
19	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes. That				
20	motion is approved.				
21	BMO trust report. Mr. Alonzo?				
22	MR. ALONZO: Good afternoon. It's been a				
23	while. I want to go through that trust report.				
24	So I'll go through the trust report as far as the				
25	valuations and the distributions that had happened				

this year. So the total value of trust as of July 31st is \$4,137,013.95. That was interest earned of \$175.68 from the past meeting. As far as the total trust, everything is sitting in that money market, and that's sort of how we wanted to do it until so we saw the rates start to move.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as withdrawals year to date, we have one distribution of \$398,338.19 from the trust. So on the positive side, we've seen some rate movement in the last couple weeks, which we haven't seen in quite some time and they were pretty big jumps from nothing to something. So currently, right now we've got a 13-month CD for two percent, which is substantially higher than what the money market is at. So what I would suggest is between the next board meeting, that we get together, maybe have a conversation about shifting some of these funds around, to take a look at what CDs are available at that time, and maybe make some movements. Now would be an opportune time to start doing that. So right now the long-term rates aren't any better than the short-term rates. So really it's advisable to stay short-term at this point until we see the rate movement happening. I would say short-term

1 or until I see BMO's two or three-year CD start to 2 go higher. That 13-month is looking pretty good. 3 So any questions on anything? What was the 13-month rate? 4 MR. FEKETE: MR. ALONZO: 2 percent. 5 Wow. You're right, we haven't 6 MR. FEKETE: 7 seen numbers like that that in a long time. 8 That's why I asked you to repeat it. Yeah. 9 MR. ALONZO: It went up like a half 10 percent to two over night, and that was maybe a 11 week ago or so or a week and a half ago, if that. 12 So it's been quite big in a short period of time. 13 So as far as timing wise, it's probably difficult 14 to do anything prior to this meeting, but we'll 15 definitely have some time to discuss this prior to 16 our next meeting and maybe come back with those 17 recommendations. Thank you very much, 18 MR. FEKETE: Okav. 19 David. Are there any questions for Mr. Alonzo? 20 (No response.) 21 MR. LOPEZ: Thanks. 22 MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. 23 MR. ALONZO: Thank you. Have a good evening. 24 MR. FEKETE: Well get back to you probably 25 between now and the next meeting.

1 MR. ALONZO: Sounds good.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FEKETE: All right. Good.

Next order of business is the GLLA, Great Lakes Legacy Act, and the Grand Cal' junction reach remedial design project update. I believe that's Mr. Wescott.

MR. WESCOTT: Good evening, everybody. continue to work forward. The design drawings, the final should be all final by the end of this week, early next week. I got comments back from Fernando as well as the other project partners. So they should be done. We have applied for two of the permits for the project. The 404 permit and the 401. The 404 is administered by the Corps of Engineers and the 401 is an IDEM permit. we should apply for a MPDS discharge permit, a temporary to discharge treated water back into the Grand Cal' River in the next week or next couple weeks. EPA is reviewing that. And the construction and floodway permit, they also should finish reviewing shortly. And then that will leave a couple things left, the TSCA work plan because of the PCBs and a storm water permit, a rule 5 permit.

The specifications are almost done but

because of the -- a lot of the language in the specifications are referring to US EPA and the contractor, so I think right now I'll put those to the side because it depends on how the cooperative agreement, project agreement, turns out. The contractor might be reporting to someone other than EPA. So hopefully that's resolved here in the next 30 days. But the specifications are almost done. We need to tweak them depending on how some of the management issues go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Otherwise, things look good. There's a couple of issues with the City of East Chicago, but I think they're going to work their issues out with the site that's adjacent to the river. schedule is still fine. I think the expectation was that EPA would be bidding the project out next That's obviously not going to happen. month. the construction would start April 1st and be done in October, at least the river work. So the way things are kind of evolving with the project agreement or the cooperative agreement -- and it's still possible the contractor is out there March, April; but even if there's a delay because of other things, there's enough room on the back end that they could do their work all the way up until

basically the early -- right before Christmas. So we should be able to fit everything in next year. The worse case is they do part of it next year and then they come back in the spring. But I think there's still enough wiggle room with all of the moving parts that's going on to kind of make the project a 2023 project.

MR. TREVINO: Jim, as you know, we're exploring the concept of the possibility of a cooperation agreement where the district manages the work and the money directly and versus the traditional project agreement path. And part of exploring that concept is I've had a conversation with you on whether Tetra Tech would be able to manage that engineering and construction. I know you have the capability, but from a manpower availability standpoint what's your -- I know that was a week or two ago, but I don't know if you had a chance to explore that further, and what's your thoughts on that?

MR. WESCOTT: We do. I mean, obviously,
Tetra Tech, locally we have plenty of personnel
and we do this kind of oversight construction
management for both private sector and other
government agencies, whether it be federal like

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Corps of Engineers we manage projects for, as well as EPA, and State of Illinois. So we have a number of personnel that could assist the district in managing a project of this size. I mean, that's what we did on all the other projects that we managed. Typically, we'll have one or two people full time at the site, and then we'll have a couple other people, like myself, who are kind of supportive from Chicago and probably come to the site once a week. And so someone like Fernando is sort of managing -- or someone with the district managing it here, we can support that person. So even though it's \$30 million-plus, you know, the contractor has a lot of assets, so the oversight needs to be two to four people. You don't want to have too many because then they're standing around with their hands in their pockets. We do have the resources to do it. We have the experience to do it.

MR. FEKETE: As presented to us, this is a little outside of the ordinary with regard to EPA, so we're exploring some new territory, so to speak, and so we want to move carefully. And I posed the word "cautiously" but we need to move carefully to make sure everybody is comfortable in

accepting this, if we so choose, and so it's important that we maintain close contact. And if you have any other suggestions or ideas along the way, certainly make them known so that we can advance them again in a careful matter.

MR. WESCOTT: Right. I think as the discussion is going on and I'm still trying to gather myself what EPA is trying to do -- I have a big picture what they're trying to do but even me, in the nuts and bolts level --

MR. FEKETE: Definitely is in the details, yeah.

MR. WESCOTT: But when we used to do this for EPA under a different contract mechanism, what they're proposing, we kind of did exactly the same thing. It was pretty seemless. I think one of the advantages that we do have is one of the construction contractors that the district would potentially bid to, which is the ones that EPA currently has, kind of, prequalified, we know all of them already. So it would be very easy for us to kind of -- whoever wins, we already have relationships with them. Good working relations. So it would be easy to kind of get going as opposed to selecting a firm that we don't know or

1 they don't know us. So I'm pretty comfortable 2 that it's going to work out fine. It's just some 3 of the details about how money moves around and 4 the requirements. And like right now, all the 5 permit applications, EPA is the permit applicant. MR. FEKETE: Right. 6 7 MR. WESCOTT: That should be okay because 8 they're going to still be a project partner in 9 some capacity. You know, it's their money. 10 assuming that in the details they would probably 11 stay the permit applicant. But if we need to 12 amend the permits and make the district the 13 applicant, that should be okay. But those are the 14 little things I'm not quite sure how they want to 15 proceed. But I think in the bigger just comfort 16 level, you know, we've done this from 2009 to 17 2018, and all of those projects went very well. I 18 don't really expect there to be any difference on 19 this one. I mean, the means and methods are 20 basically the same. We know the contractors. We 21 know you guys. We know the people in the City of 22 East Chicago. We know all the regulators. 23 MR. FEKETE: You've done all the basic 24 preliminary investigations and --

MR. WESCOTT: Right. It's just, like I said,

27

1 it's just getting -- I think the hardest part is 2 getting from A to B, right? B to Z is easy. 3 just kind of getting the thing turned around so that you guys are in charge of getting that --4 once that gets going, I think we'll be okay. It's 5 turning the ship around is where all the struggle 6 7 is going to be in the first month or so. But once 8 we get going, it's going to be fine. 9 MR. FEKETE: When I use the words "we want to move carefully," it's that very reason, it's just 10 11 kind of unusual. Instead of us just being a 12 stakeholder or a copartner, we're actually taking 13 a higher level on this. 14 MR. WESCOTT: Riaht. But Fernando has an 15 engineering background. We've done it before. 16 I'm pretty comfortable that it will be fine. 17 Does Tetra Tech use a bidding MR. BAKOTA: 18 process when choosing contractors? 19 MR. WESCOTT: We have in the past. I mean, I would think the district would do the same thing. 20 21 And I don't want to get in the weeds, but EPA already has three teams that they -- if they did 22 23 it themselves they would pick from the three 24 teams, and the three teams are all pre qualified. 25 I know all the teams. They specialize in sediment

1 And I think the expectation is the district 2 would basically, instead of EPA getting costs and 3 proposals from those three teams, it would just be the district going to the same three teams that 4 5 EPA has already vetted that would work. that's probably the easiest path. So you would 6 7 get a cost. And then we'd also get like a work 8 plan, like how they would do the work, just to 9 make sure somebody isn't proposing something that isn't permitted or wouldn't fly. But it will be a 10 11 best -- I would suggest it be best value, where 12 they provide a cost and then an approach, and then 13 you look at the two and pick the one that works 14 best for you. There's an engineering cost 15 MR. BAKOTA: 16 prior to that, right? 17 The engineering cost is kind of MR. WESCOTT: 18 the design. I mean, there would be a cost to 19 assist the district with kind of putting the 20 paperwork together and reviewing the proposals. 21 And then --22 MR. TREVINO: And construction oversight? 23 MR. WESCOTT: Yes. And then after you select 24 somebody, there would be the construction 25 oversight, which goes back to -- it would probably

1 just be one or two people full time and then one 2 or two people. It wouldn't be a big -- over an 3 18-month period, it's not a small amount of money 4 but it isn't a large team of people. But you project a cost in the 5 MR. BAKOTA: project for the contractor or what you're 6 7 engineering study would be for the project? 8 MR. WESCOTT: Well, typically we already have 9 a proposed engineer estimate for the project. 10 It's about \$28 million. And I think EPA, my 11 understanding is they secured a little over 12 \$30 million overall. Because originally, if EPA 13 had done it, they were going to, I believe, have 14 the Corps of Engineers do the oversight. So EPA 15 would have paid the Corps of Engineers to go out 16 and watch it. In this case, it will be more than 17 likely the district is paying, kind of using EPA's 18 money. So instead of the Corps, it's us, right? 19 The oversight -- I'd have to work out the 20 details, but a rule of thumb is about five percent 21 in construction. So if it's \$28 million, you 22 figure the oversight is probably \$1.4 million. 23 And that's for the people and the analytical --24 because we are doing air monitoring and doing some 25 confirmatory sampling, and it's every day.

1 Because the contractor is probably going to work 2 12 how days Monday through Friday. So they're out 3 there 60 hours a week, and the oversight has to be out there 60 hours a week. I don't know if that 4 5 answered your question. MR. BAKOTA: 6 Yeah. 7 So I'm comfortable with it. MR. WESCOTT: 8 Like I said, it's going to be -- the next 60 days 9 are going to be more difficult than the next 12 10 months. 11 MR. TREVINO: That's a good point. That's 12 the upfront planning and coordinating something 13 that we've never done before and they've never 14 done before. 15 Right. We've done a lot of MR. WESCOTT: 16 this, too. So there are ways we can assist. It's 17 going to be the next two to three months is where 18 most of the effort -- and then it should get easy. 19 Once you pick somebody, the contractors know what 20 they're doing, we know what we are doing, it 21 should be easy after that. 22 Any more questions for MR. FEKETE: 23 Mr. Wescott? 24 He always answers the questions. MR. LOPEZ: 25 MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much.

1	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers board report.	
2	Mr. Nguyen?	
3	MR. NGUYEN: Yes. Mike Nguyen with the Army	
4	Corps of Engineers. This will be a quick report.	
5	For the dredging contract, we're still preparing	
6	the close out. I think we still need to send it	
7	to our chief of contracting to sign off. And at	
8	that point, then the project will be fiscally	
9	closed.	
10	For clean harbor we're still waiting for the	
11	contractor to mobilize their water treatment	
12	plants. I think the latest is probably by the end	
13	of this month if not the beginning of September.	
14	And for the dike raise construction, the	
15	construction is ongoing. Nothing to report on the	
16	oil coordination. The LERRD credit, we finalized	
17	the LERRD credit in July and we're working to	
18	close out the original cost share agreement with	
19	the LERRD credits based on the amended PCA. And	
20	that's all I have.	
21	MR. FEKETE: Okay. Any questions?	
22	(No response.)	
23	MR. FEKETE: Thank you, Mr. Nguyen. Welcome	
24	back. We missed you last month.	
25	MR. NGUYEN: Thanks.	2.0
		32

The next order of business is 1 MR. FEKETE: 2 the Attorney Ellen Gregory report. 3 MS. GREGORY: Ellen Gregory, with Ellen 4 Gregory Law. The items that I've been working on in terms 5 of the legal matters include the property transfer 6 7 with BP. We have an in-person meeting scheduled 8 for September 1st to go through the draft 9 settlement agreement. We've gone through a couple 10 versus already and hope to make a lot of progress 11 on the agreement after the in-person meeting which 12 will be here in East Chicago. 13 The Grand Cal' River Great Lakes Legacy Act 14 project, I've been working with Fernando on the 15 legal aspects of the EPA versus cooperation 16 It's been discussed earlier in the agreement. 17 meeting. I am working with Fernando on the user 18 There's been one appeal, which there will 19 be reporting on next month. And then the tipping 20 fee agreement amendment, which is just about 21 finished -- probably by the next board meeting 22 we'll have a final document. 23 And that's it in terms of the legal matters. 24 MR. FEKETE: Any questions? 25 (No response.) 33

	·	_
1	MR. FEKETE: Thank you. Any other new	
2	business to come before the board?	
3	(No response.)	
4	MR. FEKETE: Any public comments?	
5	(No response.)	
6	MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, the next board	
7	meeting will be September 15, 2022, at 5:00 p.m.	
8	at this facility.	
9	This meeting is now adjourned. The time is	
10	5:53 p.m.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	34	
	3-	.

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Dawn M. Iseminger, Registered Professional Reporter (RPR), and Notary Public within and for
3	the County of Porter, State of Indiana, do hereby certify that I appeared on the aforementioned
4	date, time, and location, for the East Chicago Waterway Management District Board of Directors'
5	Meeting.
6	I further certify that I then and there reported in machine shorthand the proceedings of
7	said Special Meeting, and that the testimony was then reduced to typewriting from my original
8	shorthand notes, and the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.
9	I further certify that I am not related by
10	blood or marriage to any of the parties, nor am I an employee of any of the parties or of their
11	attorneys or agents, nor am I interested in any way, financially or otherwise, in the outcome of
12	said litigation.
13	Dated this 14th day of September, 2022
14	Daven M. Seminger
15	Dawn M. Iseminger, RPR () My Commission expires: 9/22/2025
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	

District Board of Directors' Meeting 08/18/2022 Index: \$1,0..answ

•	\$50,407.37 13:25	3rd 5:22 6:8 16:25	acquiring 11:1
\$ \$4.050,40.0	\$655,875.71 12:18	4	Act 4:20 9:6 10:1 14:2 22:4 33:13
\$1,050 13:8	1	4 40.04	action 5:11,12,14
\$1,076,244.74 13:12	49.04.0.0	4 13:21	activities 7:18
\$1,199.45 13:13	12 31:2,9	401 22:14,15	activity 13:2
\$1,409,578.39 13:5	12/31/21 13:25	404 22:13,14	actual 18:21
\$1,506,584.81 13:18	12th 4:21	5	add 13:6,24
\$1,509,281.84 13:16	13-month 20:13 21:2,4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	added 9:20
\$1,592,671.27 14:9	15 34:7	5 22:24	additional 4:13 5:14,1
\$1,631,078.24 13:11	16th 4:25	5:00 34:7	7:12 15:12,15,19
\$1,919,735 13:24	17 5:6	5:53 34:10	adjacent 23:14
\$1.1 10:6	18 3:5 4:6,23 13:23 14:7,17		adjourned 34:9
\$1.4 30:22	18-month 30:3	6	adjust 10:18
\$175.68 20:3	1st 13:12 23:18 33:8	60 31:3,4,8	administered 22:14
\$2,020,142.37 14:4	131 13.12 23.10 33.0	608 4:9	advance 26:5
\$2,627,900.07 13:17	2	616 4:9	advantages 26:17
\$2,697.03 13:19			advisable 20:23
\$212,225.79 13:9,15	2 12:12 21:5	7	affiliated 8:21
\$241.21 13:6	2009 27:16	75 6:6	afternoon 19:22
\$253,399.83 12:16	2018 27:17		agencies 24:25
13:14	2019 10:5	9	agenda 3:23 5:11
\$266,570.37 12:22	2022 3:5 4:15,18 5:3,6, 17,21 6:7,16 11:22	90 6:18	11:15
\$27 8:6 9:10	12:11,15 13:3,22,23	30 6.16	agreed 6:10 9:18 10:4
\$28 30:10,21	14:4,5,7,17 16:13 34:7	Α	agreement 8:1,4,6,11
\$3.9 12:24	2023 24:7		15,17,18,22 9:1,24 10:25 23:5,21 24:10,12
\$30 25:13 30:12	2024 7:5,10	abstentions 12:5	32:18 33:9,11,16,20
\$344,000 6:6	21 11:22	accepting 26:1	air 30:24
\$38,165.76 14:8	21st 3:25	access 7:23	Alonzo 19:21,22 21:5,
\$389,305.34 12:20 14:6	25 16:13	account 10:16 13:2,4, 11,16	9,19,23 22:1
\$390,355.34 13:7	26 5:3	accounting 12:9	amend 27:12
•		accounts 4:9 6:14	amended 6:3 32:19
\$398,338.19 12:17 13:8 20:8	3	10:19 13:24 14:16 17:18	amendment 8:25 9:2 14:1 33:20
\$4,137,013.95 20:2	3 6:16 13:1	acknowledging 18:7	amendments 8:22
\$4,137.69 12:16	30 23:8	ACOM 10:13	amount 30:3
\$4,258,978.31 12:25	31 12:11,15 13:3	ACOM's 4:25	analytical 30:23
\$50,000 14:2	31st 4:2 12:18,20,22,24 13:10,17 14:5 20:2	7.20 F.20	answers 31:24

District Board of Directors' Meeting 08/18/2022 Index: anti..cons

	06/16	<u> </u>	ilidex. alicicom	
anticipate 6:1	background 28:15		cleared 4:10	
appeal 5:23 6:4 33:18	Bakota 3:9,10 11:23	C	Cleveland 5:4 9:4 10:7	
applicant 27:5,11,13	15:1,2,21 16:1,2 17:9, 10,18,21 19:6,11,12	Cal' 4:20 7:19 8:2,8	Cliffs 5:5 9:5 10:7	
application 10:14	28:17 29:15 30:5 31:6	9:10 22:4,18 33:13	Cliftonlarsonallen 4:1	
applications 7:24 27:5	balance 12:23,24 13:5,	calculations 8:21	close 8:5 9:1 26:2 32:6,	
applied 22:12	10,12,18	calendar 4:14 5:16	18	
apply 22:16	bank 4:8	call 3:1,6 14:23 15:23 17:6	closed 32:9	
approach 29:12	based 32:19		collected 6:5	
appropriation 13:23	basic 27:23	calling 8:2	collection 16:21	
14:4	basically 18:17 24:1 27:20 29:2	canal 5:2 6:17 7:6,12, 15 8:9 10:9,12	comfort 9:20 27:15	
approval 4:14 5:16 9:12 11:21 15:16	basis 6:19 12:12	cap 7:15	comfortable 25:25 27:1 28:16 31:7	
approve 11:23 14:17,	began 12:23 13:4,11,17	capability 24:16	comments 22:10 34:4	
18 15:19,20 16:22 17:3, 4 18:14 19:6	begin 12:12	capacity 27:9	community 7:25	
approved 9:17 10:5	beginning 32:13	careful 26:5	companies 5:24 6:12	
12:8 15:10 19:20	behalf 7:11 18:12	carefully 25:23,25 28:10	16:17	
approves 6:15	biased 19:5	case 15:15 18:22 24:3	complete 8:8	
approving 6:3	bid 10:21 26:19	30:16	completed 6:19 7:5,8 8:25	
approximately 6:6 8:6	bidding 23:16 28:17	cash 12:12,13,22,23,24	completing 9:1 10:21	
10:6 16:13	big 20:12 21:12 26:9	13:2,4,6,10,12 14:5	completion 7:23	
April 23:18,23	30:2	cautiously 25:24 component 9:21		
AR/BP 6:20,22	bigger 27:15	CD 13:15,18 20:13 21:1	concept 24:9,13	
Army 4:22 7:16 11:3 32:1,3	BMO 4:17 19:21	CDF 5:7 11:4,5	concludes 5:8 11:16	
aspects 33:15	BMO's 21:1	CDS 20:19	confirmatory 30:25	
assets 25:14	board 3:3,23,25 4:4,22, 24 5:8,13,14,18 6:2,10,	chance 24:19	conflict 18:20,21,22,2	
assist 25:3 29:19 31:16	15 9:3,19 11:12,16,21	charge 28:4	19:3	
assuming 27:10	14:1 15:16,19 18:11 20:16 32:1 33:21 34:2,6	check 4:6 13:7	Congressman 5:5	
assured 18:9	bolts 26:10	checks 4:10	11:4,7	
Attorney 4:23 33:2	BP 10:11,25 11:1,2 33:7	Chicago 3:2 6:23 23:12 25:9 27:22 33:12	consent 4:15 5:18 17:23	
August 3:5 4:6,21,23,	branch 8:9,10	chief 32:7	conservation 5:25	
25 5:6 6:8 10:22 13:23 14:7,17	bridge 5:2 10:12	choose 26:1	consideration 5:15	
availability 24:17	bringing 12:17 13:9,16	choosing 28:18	18:14	
aye 12:3,4	brings 12:21 14:3,8,15	Christmas 24:1	considers 18:18	
	budget 5:1 13:9,14,22,	City 6:23 23:12 27:21	consistent 6:24	
В	23 14:1,4,9	claim 4:5 5:13 14:7	construction 7:17 10:20,24 22:20 23:18	
back 21:16,24 22:10,17 23:24 24:4 29:25 32:24	business 3:19 11:21 14:15 15:11 16:10 22:3 33:1 34:2	clean 32:10	24:15,23 26:18 29:22, 24 30:21 32:14,15	

District Board of Directors' Meeting 08/18/2022 Index: cons..exte

	00/10	,	index. consexce	
consultant 12:9	counting 9:5	discharge 22:16,17	easy 26:21,24 28:2	
Consulting 3:19,21	couple 6:9,11 8:11,18	discovered 10:17	31:18,21	
4:14,16 5:15,19 15:12 17:25	16:16 20:10 22:18,22 23:12 25:8 33:9	discuss 7:22 21:15	effort 10:13 31:18	
contact 6:12 18:4 26:2	covered 9:22	discussed 33:16	Ellen 4:23 18:15 33:2,3	
contents 3:22	credit 32:16,17	discussion 26:7	emailed 4:3	
continue 22:8	credits 32:19	distinction 18:10	end 10:4 22:9 23:24 32:12	
continues 6:20,22 7:22	cue 17:22	distributed 4:4	ended 12:14 13:2	
8:20 10:13		distribution 20:8	ending 4:9	
contract 4:17 5:19 11:2	D	distributions 19:25	engagement 8:1	
15:13 26:14 32:5 contracting 32:7	date 3:5 4:24 6:5,8,15, 16 20:7	district 3:2 4:15 6:11, 13 7:17 8:3,16 9:20	engineer 30:9	
contractor 6:25 10:22 23:3,6,22 25:14 30:6	dated 4:2,5,21,23 5:3,6	11:2,5 16:16 24:10 25:3,12 26:18 27:12 28:20 29:1,4,19 30:17	engineering 24:15 28:15 29:15,17 30:7	
31:1 32:11	14:7,16	docket 4:5 5:13 14:7	Engineers 7:16 11:3 22:15 25:1 30:14,15	
contractors 26:18 27:20 28:18 31:19	David 21:19	document 33:22	32:1,4	
contractual 18:3	day 30:25	documentation 7:25	entities 16:24	
conversation 18:1	days 23:8 31:2,8 deadline 5:17 6:10	documents 9:16	entity 18:18,19	
20:17 24:13	10:4 16:11,15,25	dolphin 10:13	EPA 5:3,4 8:2,3,12,16	
cooperation 9:24	deal 17:24	Doyle 3:13 15:5 16:5	9:6,11,15 10:4,5,11 22:19 23:2,7,16 25:2,21	
24:10 33:15 cooperative 8:15,17 23:4,21	delay 23:23	17:13 19:15	26:8,14,19 27:5 28:21	
	depending 23:9	draft 33:8	29:2,5 30:10,12,14 33:15	
coordinating 7:12	depends 23:4	drafts 8:11	EPA's 7:11 30:17	
31:12	deposit 13:20	drawings 6:21 10:21	estimate 30:9	
coordination 7:23,25	deposited 6:5	dredging 7:7,10 8:9	ethical 19:1	
32:16	deposits 4:11		evening 12:10 21:23	
copartner 28:12	design 4:20 6:19 7:20,	due 6:8,15	22:7	
copies 4:4	21,23 10:13,15,18 22:5, 8 29:18		evolving 23:20	
copy 3:23,24 4:5,7,9, 11,13,17,19,22,23,25	details 26:11 27:3,10	E	executive 3:21	
5:3,5,18 9:18	30:20	earlier 4:3 33:16	expansion 5:7	
Corps 7:10,16 11:3,8 22:14 25:1 30:14,15,18	difference 27:18	early 7:5,9,14 22:10	expect 27:18	
32:1,4	difficult 21:13 31:9	24:1	expectation 23:15 29:1	
Corps' 4:22 11:13	dike 32:14	earned 12:15 13:5,13, 19 20:3	expended 9:15	
cost 5:5 7:25 8:20 9:6, 8,11,13,17,21 29:7,12,	director 2:31	easement 5:25	experience 25:19	
15,17,18 30:5 32:18	director 3:21 director's 3:3	easiest 29:6	explore 24:19	
costs 29:2 count 9:9,17	disbursements 12:14,	east 3:2 6:17,23 7:6 23:12 27:22 33:12	exploring 5:23 8:14 24:9,13 25:22	
3.0,17	19 13:6 14:6		extend 6:10 10:4	

District Board of Directors' Meeting 08/18/2022 Index: exte..Lake

extending 6:15 extension 5:18 16:11, 19,23 F facility 34:8 fact 10:17 fall 7:14 favor 12:3 federal 8:7 24:25 fee 4:11 5:17,22,25 8:22	floodway 22:20 fly 29:10 FMT 3:19,21 4:14,16 5:15,19 15:11 17:25 follow 16:23 forecasted 7:4 forecasting 9:2 10:20 forum 6:4 forward 9:25 22:8 frame 10:24 Friday 31:2 front 12:10 14:6 full 25:7 30:1	handle 19:4 hands 25:17 happen 23:17 happened 19:25 happening 20:25 harbor 8:9 32:10 hard 4:3 hardest 28:1 Hearing 12:7 14:13 34:6 helping 18:5 Henry 3:11,12 14:18	installation 7:2 interest 12:15 13:5,13, 19 18:20,21,23,24 19:4 20:2 interested 11:1,11 investigations 27:24 invitation 11:8 invited 11:4 invoice 5:1 issues 23:10,12,13 item 17:23 items 5:8,9,11,12,14	
extension 5:18 16:11, 19,23 F facility 34:8 fact 10:17 fall 7:14 favor 12:3 federal 8:7 24:25 fee 4:11 5:17,22,25 8:22	FMT 3:19,21 4:14,16 5:15,19 15:11 17:25 follow 16:23 forecasted 7:4 forecasting 9:2 10:20 forum 6:4 forward 9:25 22:8 frame 10:24 Friday 31:2 front 12:10 14:6	happen 23:17 happened 19:25 happening 20:25 harbor 8:9 32:10 hard 4:3 hardest 28:1 Hearing 12:7 14:13 34:6 helping 18:5 Henry 3:11,12 14:18	19 18:20,21,23,24 19:4 20:2 interested 11:1,11 investigations 27:24 invitation 11:8 invited 11:4 invoice 5:1 issues 23:10,12,13 item 17:23 items 5:8,9,11,12,14	
19,23 F facility 34:8 fact 10:17 fall 7:14 favor 12:3 federal 8:7 24:25 fee 4:11 5:17,22,25 8:22	5:15,19 15:11 17:25 follow 16:23 forecasted 7:4 forecasting 9:2 10:20 forum 6:4 forward 9:25 22:8 frame 10:24 Friday 31:2 front 12:10 14:6	happened 19:25 happening 20:25 harbor 8:9 32:10 hard 4:3 hardest 28:1 Hearing 12:7 14:13	interested 11:1,11 investigations 27:24 invitation 11:8 invited 11:4 invoice 5:1 issues 23:10,12,13 item 17:23 items 5:8,9,11,12,14	
F facility 34:8 fact 10:17 fall 7:14 favor 12:3 federal 8:7 24:25 fee 4:11 5:17,22,25 8:22	follow 16:23 forecasted 7:4 forecasting 9:2 10:20 forum 6:4 forward 9:25 22:8 frame 10:24 Friday 31:2 front 12:10 14:6	happening 20:25 harbor 8:9 32:10 hard 4:3 hardest 28:1 Hearing 12:7 14:13 34:6 helping 18:5 Henry 3:11,12 14:18	interested 11:1,11 investigations 27:24 invitation 11:8 invited 11:4 invoice 5:1 issues 23:10,12,13 item 17:23 items 5:8,9,11,12,14	
facility 34:8 fact 10:17 fall 7:14 favor 12:3 federal 8:7 24:25 fee 4:11 5:17,22,25 8:22	forecasted 7:4 forecasting 9:2 10:20 forum 6:4 forward 9:25 22:8 frame 10:24 Friday 31:2 front 12:10 14:6	harbor 8:9 32:10 hard 4:3 hardest 28:1 Hearing 12:7 14:13 34:6 helping 18:5 Henry 3:11,12 14:18	investigations 27:24 invitation 11:8 invited 11:4 invoice 5:1 issues 23:10,12,13 item 17:23 items 5:8,9,11,12,14	
facility 34:8 fact 10:17 fall 7:14 favor 12:3 federal 8:7 24:25 fee 4:11 5:17,22,25 8:22	forecasting 9:2 10:20 forum 6:4 forward 9:25 22:8 frame 10:24 Friday 31:2 front 12:10 14:6	hard 4:3 hardest 28:1 Hearing 12:7 14:13 34:6 helping 18:5 Henry 3:11,12 14:18	invitation 11:8 invited 11:4 invoice 5:1 issues 23:10,12,13 item 17:23 items 5:8,9,11,12,14	
fact 10:17 fall 7:14 favor 12:3 federal 8:7 24:25 fee 4:11 5:17,22,25 8:22	forum 6:4 forward 9:25 22:8 frame 10:24 Friday 31:2 front 12:10 14:6	hardest 28:1 Hearing 12:7 14:13 34:6 helping 18:5 Henry 3:11,12 14:18	invited 11:4 invoice 5:1 issues 23:10,12,13 item 17:23 items 5:8,9,11,12,14	
fall 7:14 favor 12:3 federal 8:7 24:25 fee 4:11 5:17,22,25 8:22	forward 9:25 22:8 frame 10:24 Friday 31:2 front 12:10 14:6	Hearing 12:7 14:13 34:6 helping 18:5 Henry 3:11,12 14:18	invoice 5:1 issues 23:10,12,13 item 17:23 items 5:8,9,11,12,14	
favor 12:3 federal 8:7 24:25 fee 4:11 5:17,22,25 8:22	frame 10:24 Friday 31:2 front 12:10 14:6	34:6 helping 18:5 Henry 3:11,12 14:18	issues 23:10,12,13 item 17:23 items 5:8,9,11,12,14	
federal 8:7 24:25 fee 4:11 5:17,22,25 8:22	Friday 31:2 front 12:10 14:6	helping 18:5 Henry 3:11,12 14:18	item 17:23 items 5:8,9,11,12,14	
fee 4:11 5:17,22,25 8:22	front 12:10 14:6	Henry 3:11,12 14:18	items 5:8,9,11,12,14	
0:4 40:44 44 40 40:40				
9:1 13:11,14,16 16:10,	full 25:7 30:1	15.2 / 16.2 / 17./ 11	12:21 33:5	
18 33:20		15:3,4 16:3,4 17:4,11, 12 19:13,14		
fees 5:21 6:7,13 12:16	funding 8:23	higher 20:14 21:2	J	
	funds 8:7 20:18	28:13	January 13:12	
Fekete 3:1,5,9,11,13,		Hoffman 5:4	Jaylan 3:7 14:24 15:24	
15,17 11:17,20,25 12:5, 7 14:13,19,22 15:1,3,5,		hope 33:10	17:7 19:9	
7,9,22 16:1,3,5,7,9	gather 26:8	hoping 8:12	Jim 24:8	
17:1,3,6,9,11,13,15,17, 19,23 18:15 19:8,11,13,	George 6:17 7:6,15	hours 4:13 5:16 15:12,	job 11:9 14:14 18:6	
15,17,19 21:4,6,18,22,	10:9	15,19 31:3,4	John 3:9,17 5:4 15:1,9	
27:6.23 28:9 31:22.25	give 6:11 16:16	hundred 15:16,19 16:21	16:1,9 17:9,17 19:11,19	
32:21,23 33:1,24 34:1,	giving 11:14		July 3:25 4:2,3,8,10,12, 18 5:3 11:22 12:11,15,	
	GLLA 22:3	I	18,19,22,24 13:3,6,10,	
Fernando 3:20 15:12 18:1,12 19:2 22:11	good 9:4 12:10 19:22 21:2,23 22:1,2,7 23:11 26:23 31:11	ideas 26:3	17 14:5 20:2 32:17	
25:11 28:14 33:14,17		IDEM 22:15	jumps 20:12	
figure 30:22	government 24:25	Illinois 25:2	junction 7:19,24 8:10 22:4	
final 22:9 33:22	Grand 4:20 7:19 8:2,8	important 26:2	June 5:22	
finalized 32:16	9:10 22:4,18 33:13	in-person 33:7,11		
finalizing 6:21	great 4:19 9:5 10:1 11:9 14:2,14 18:6 22:3 33:13	include 6:3 9:23 10:7	K	
financial 4:2 12:11	Gregory 3:4 18:16	33:6	Varia 0.40.45.5.40.5	
financing 9:10	33:2,3,4	included 10:15	Kevin 3:13 15:5 16:5 17:13 19:15	
fine 23:15 27:2 28:8,16	Gregory's 4:24	includes 8:7 13:7	kind 9:13 10:2,3,6,17	
finish 22:21	guys 27:21 28:4	income 13:13,14	23:20 24:6,23 25:8	
finished 33:21		increase 12:22	26:15,20,22,24 28:3,11 29:17,19 30:17	
firm 17:24 18:5 26:25	Н	Indiana 8:9		
fiscally 32:8	half 21:9,11	Indianapolis 17:25	L	
fit 24:2		•	Lake 6:17 7:6,15 10:9	

District Board of Directors' Meeting 08/18/2022 Index: Lake..path

	00/±0	7/2022	mack. bakepac	
Lakes 4:19 9:5 10:1 14:2 22:4 33:13	manager 11:9,13	month 4:2,8,10,11,18 5:23 16:23 23:17 28:7	oil 32:16	
language 23:1	manages 8:16 24:10	32:13,24 33:19	ongoing 7:18,21 10:10 11:3 32:15	
large 30:4	managing 7:10,16 25:4,11,12	monthly 4:19,25 5:13	operating 13:3,4,10	
late 7:13	manpower 24:16	months 6:11 8:18 10:3, 23 12:14 13:2 16:16	opportune 20:21	
latest 32:12	March 23:22	31:10,17	opportunity 6:11	
Law 33:4	market 20:5,15	motion 11:23,25 12:7	16:16	
lawyer 18:17	marsh 7:24 8:10	14:17,18 15:10,20 17:3, 4 19:6,20	opposed 26:25	
leave 22:22	material 10:22	move 20:6 25:23,24	opposition 12:5	
left 22:22	matter 26:5	28:10	order 3:1,18 11:20 14:15 15:11 16:10 22:3	
Legacy 4:20 9:5 10:1			33:1	
14:2 22:4 33:13	18:6,11 33:6,23	movements 20:20	orders 4:6	
legal 6:14 16:19 17:24 18:5 33:6,15,23	May/june 10:24	moves 27:3	ordinary 25:21	
LERRD 32:16,17,19	means 27:19	moving 24:6	original 32:18	
letter 5:3 18:7,25	mechanism 26:14	MPDS 22:16	originally 30:12	
letters 16:23	meeting 3:24,25 4:1,4 6:2 8:13 9:3 11:12,21	Mrvan 11:7	outstanding 16:14,24	
level 26:10 27:16 28:13	14:1 20:3,16 21:14,16,	Mrvan's 5:6 11:4	overhead 10:16,19	
leverage 8:23	25 33:7,11,17,21 34:7,9 meetings 6:22 7:22	N	oversight 24:23 25:15 29:22,25 30:14,19,22	
lines 10:16,19 12:21	members 12:1		31:3	
locally 24:22	memo 4:14,15 5:16	needed 15:15		
long 21:7	9:16,18	negotiations 4:17 5:20 11:2	Р	
long-term 20:22	mentioned 7:9 16:12	news 9:4	p.m. 34:7,10	
Lopez 3:15,16 11:24	Merchant 4:7	Nguyen 11:9,13 32:2,3,	package 5:22	
14:12,21 15:7,8,20 16:7,8 17:15,16 19:7,	methods 27:19	23,25	packet 3:23 5:8 9:19	
17,18 21:21 31:24	middle 6:18 7:15	night 21:10	10:15	
lot 15:17 23:1 25:14	Mike 11:9,10,13 32:3	non-trust 14:3	paid 30:15	
31:15 33:10	million 8:6 9:10 10:6	notes 11:22	paperwork 29:20	
M	12:24 30:10,12,21,22	number 18:6 25:3	parcels 11:1	
	million-plus 25:13 minutes 3:25 5:13	numbers 21:7	part 24:3,12 28:1	
made 4:11	missed 32:24	nuts 26:10	parties 18:23	
maintain 18:9 26:2	mobilize 32:11		partner 27:8	
make 6:23 10:18 18:16 20:20 24:6 25:25 26:4	modified 10:16	<u> </u>	partners 22:11	
27:12 29:9 33:10	Monday 31:2	obligations 16:18	parts 24:6	
manage 24:15 25:1	money 20:5,15 24:11	October 6:16 16:25	pass 18:8	
managed 25:6	27:3,9 30:3,18	23:19	past 9:7 16:20 20:3 28:19	
management 3:2 9:7 23:10 24:24	monitoring 30:24	office 11:4	path 24:12 29:6	

District Board of Directors' Meeting 08/18/2022 Index: paya..rest

	007±0	-, 2022	mack. payaesc
payable 13:25 14:16	19:3	protocols 6:24	recommended 18:2
17:18	potentially 26:19	provide 9:18 29:12	recommending 6:16
paying 6:13 30:17	power 10:16,19	provision 15:14	redeemed 13:15,19
PCA 8:21,24 32:19	pre 28:24	PSRB 17:24	referring 23:2
PCBS 22:23	preliminary 27:24	public 3:24 34:4	regard 25:21
people 25:7,8,15 27:21 30:1,2,4,23	preparing 32:5	purchase 4:6	register 4:7 14:16
percent 6:6,18 16:13,	prequalified 26:20	pursued 16:18	regulators 27:22
21 20:14 21:5,10 30:20	present 12:2	pursues 6:13	related 5:4,7
period 21:12 30:3	presentation 11:5,10,	put 23:3	relations 26:23
periodic 6:22 7:22	11	putting 29:19	relationship 18:19
permit 7:24 10:14	presented 25:20		relationships 26:23
22:13,15,16,20,23,24 27:5,11	press 5:6	Q	release 5:6
permits 6:24 22:13	pretty 20:12 21:2 26:16 27:1 28:16	qualified 28:24	remedial 4:20 7:20 22:5
27:12	prior 6:9 9:8 16:15	qualify 5:25 9:9	remediation 7:17 8:3,
permitted 29:10	21:14,15 29:16	question 31:5	8,24 9:11,21 10:10
person 25:13	private 24:24	questions 11:17 14:10 17:1 21:3,19 31:22,24	remind 16:17
personnel 24:22 25:3	procedure 6:4	32:21 33:24	reminder 5:21 10:11
phase 7:7 8:3,23 9:11, 21	proceed 27:15	quick 18:16 32:4	repeat 21:8
pick 28:23 29:13 31:19	process 28:18	quorum 3:18	report 3:19 4:2,18,21,
picture 26:9	procurement 6:25 10:23		22,24 5:1,9 6:19 10:3 11:16 12:9 16:12 19:21,
pile 6:18 7:4,8	professional 19:1	R	23,24 32:1,4,15 33:2
plan 22:22 29:8	progress 33:10	raise 32:14	reported 5:23
planning 31:12	progresses 9:12	rate 20:9,25 21:4	reporting 10:2 23:6 33:19
plants 32:12	project 4:21 5:2,7 6:18,	rates 20:6,22,23	represent 4:16 5:19
plenty 24:22	20 7:8,11,16,17,21 8:1, 4,5,11,16,17,20 9:5,12,	Ray 3:15 15:7 16:7 17:15 19:17	17:25
Plew's 17:24	22,24 10:8,11,12,14	reach 16:17 22:5	representing 18:17,18
Plews 4:16 5:19 18:4 19:1,4	11:9,13,14 14:3 22:5, 11,13 23:5,16,20 24:7,	reaches 7:20	request 5:24 7:2 18:8
·	12 25:4 27:8 30:5,6,7,9 32:8 33:14	reason 28:10	require 15:16
pockets 25:17 point 15:14,17 20:24	projects 25:1,5 27:17	recall 8:24	requirement 18:25
31:11 32:8	property 7:23 33:6	receipts 12:13,18	requirements 27:4
posed 25:24	proposal 7:2	recommence 7:7	resolved 23:7
positive 20:9	proposals 29:3,20	recommend 18:13	resources 25:18
possibility 6:12 8:15	proposed 30:9	recommendation 6:1,	response 3:14 11:19 12:6 14:11 15:6 16:6
24:9	proposing 15:18 26:15	3 16:22	17:2,14 19:16 21:20
posting 3:24	29:9	recommendations 21:17	32:22 33:25 34:3,5 restoration 8:10
potential 18:20,22,24		Z1.1 <i>1</i>	restoration 8:10

District Board of Directors' Meeting 08/18/2022 Index: revi..typi

review 18:15	September 6:2 8:14	statement 9:23 12:11,	thoughts 24:20
reviewed 9:7,16	9:3 10:5,22 32:13 33:8 34:7	13 18:17	three-year 21:1
reviewing 18:3 22:19,	settlement 33:9	statements 4:8	thumb 30:20
21 29:20	share 5:5 7:25 8:21 9:6,	stay 20:24 27:11	time 7:13 8:5 10:24
revision 4:24	12,13,17,21 32:18	steel 7:1	20:11,19,21 21:7,12,15 25:7 30:1 34:9
river 4:20 7:19 8:3,8 9:10 22:18 23:14,19	sheet 6:18 7:4,8 10:25	storm 22:23	timing 21:13
33:13	shifting 20:18	Street 5:2 10:12	tipping 8:22 9:1 33:19
Robinson 3:7,8 14:20,	ship 28:6	struggle 28:6	today 4:3
24,25 15:24,25 17:5,7,8 19:9,10	short 21:12	study 30:7	today's 3:5,23,24 4:5
Rodriguez 3:11,12	short-term 20:23,24,	substantially 20:14	5:11 8:13
14:18 15:3,4 16:3,4	25	suggest 20:16 29:11	told 9:6
17:4,11,12 19:13,14	shortly 22:21	suggestions 26:3	total 6:7 12:18,19,21
roll 3:6 14:23 15:23 17:6	side 20:9 23:4	summer 7:14	14:3,8 20:1,4
room 23:24 24:5	sign 18:8,23 19:2 32:7	support 25:12	totaled 13:24
route 9:25	signed 19:2	supportive 25:9	tour 11:5
rule 22:24 30:20	signify 12:3,4	supposed 7:9	traded 8:11
	similar 9:23 11:11		traditional 5:12 24:12
rules 19:1	site 23:14 25:7,10	T	transfer 12:17 13:8,9,
S	sitting 20:4	taking 28:12	15 33:6
	size 25:4	talked 18:3	transmitted 6:20
sampling 7:12 30:25	small 30:3	team 6:20 7:21 8:20	transpired 9:14
schedule 5:1 10:14 11:12 13:1,22 23:15	sort 20:5 25:11	30:4	treated 22:17
scheduled 7:7,13 11:6	Sounds 22:1	teams 28:22,24,25 29:3,4	treatment 32:11
33:7	speak 25:23	Tech 7:20 24:14,22	Trevino 3:20 11:18 15:13 16:11,12 17:20,
scope 8:7 9:8	special 3:2,4	28:17	22 24:8 29:22 31:11
section 7:6	specialize 28:25	Tech's 4:19	trust 4:18 12:17 13:8
sector 24:24	specifications 22:25	temporary 22:17	19:21,23,24 20:1,4,8
secured 6:25 30:11	23:2,8	term 10:25	TSCA 22:22
sediment 7:12 28:25	specs 6:21 10:21	terms 12:15 18:3 33:5,	Tuesday 11:6
seemless 26:16	spring 24:4	23	turn 12:12 13:1,21
select 29:23	staff 11:7	territory 25:22	turned 28:3
selecting 26:25	stakeholder 28:12	Tetra 4:19 7:20 24:14,	turning 28:6
send 32:6	standing 25:17	22 28:17	turns 23:5
sending 9:15	standpoint 24:17	thing 26:16 28:3,20	tweak 23:9
sep 9:5,7,17 10:7	start 3:22 9:22 10:24 13:3 20:6,21 21:1 23:18	things 22:22 23:11,20, 24 27:14	typically 25:6 30:8
separate 18:12	State 25:2	thought 11:8 18:4	
	Claic 20.2	-	

District Board of Directors' Meeting 08/18/2022 Index: U.S...year

	08/18/2022	maex.	U.Syea
	ways 31:16		
U	weeds 28:21		
U.S. 32:1	week 11:6 21:11 22:10,		
understand 19:3	18 24:18 25:10 31:3,4		
understanding 30:11	weeks 6:9 20:10 22:19		
unique 9:13	Wescott 22:6,7 24:21 26:6,13 27:7,25 28:14,		
unpaid 6:14	19 29:17,23 30:8 31:7,		
unused 14:8	15,23 west 8:9 10:9		
unusual 28:11			
update 11:14 22:5	whichever 9:25		
upfront 31:12	wiggle 24:5		
user 4:11 5:17,21,22,25	wins 26:22		
6:7,13 12:16 13:11,13, 16 16:10,13,18 33:17	winter 10:23		
	wise 21:13		
V	withdrawals 20:7		
valuations 19:25	word 25:24 words 28:9		
Vanessa 14:14	work 6:21 7:21 8:7,20		
VARGAS 12:10	9:8 10:1,2,6,10 15:17		
verbally 9:6	18:10,11 22:8,22 23:13, 19,25 24:11 27:2 29:1,		
versus 24:11 33:10,15	5,7,8 30:19 31:1		
vetted 29:5	worked 16:19		
voice 12:1	working 7:1 8:4 10:2 26:23 32:17 33:5,14,17		
voided 13:7	works 29:13		
vote 3:6 12:1 14:23	worse 24:3		
15:9,23 16:9 17:6,17 19:19	Wow 21:6		
voucher 14:16			
	Y		
W	year 4:14 5:16 6:8 10:3		
waiting 32:10	12:23 13:18 15:18		
waiver 6:1 18:23	16:20 20:1,7 24:2,3		
wall 6:18 7:3,4,8	year's 9:8		
wanted 20:5	years 6:9 16:15		
watch 30:16			
water 22:17,23 32:11			
,			