EAST CHICAGO WATERWAY MANAGEMENT
DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING
City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building
4444 Railroad Ave.
East Chicago, Indiana
February 17, 2016
Wednesday, 5:00 P.M.

Transcript of the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter, on the 17th day of February, 2016, at 5:00 p.m., at the City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana, before Dawn M. Iseminger, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public for the County of Porter, State of Indiana.

1		APPEARANCES:	
2			
3		MR. JOHN FEKETE, President	
4		MR. KEVIN DOYLE, Vice President	
5		MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ, Secretary	
6		MR. RAY LOPEZ, Treasurer/Financial Officer	
7		MR. JOHN BAKOTA, Board Member	
8		MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ, Board Member	
9		MR. TED ZAGAR, Board Member	
10		MR. FERNANDO TREVINO, FMT Consulting	
11		MS. ELLEN GREGORY, East Chicago Waterway Board of	
12		Directors' Attorney	
13			
14	Also	Present:	
15		JIM WESCOTT, Tetra Tech	
16		TIM ANDERSON, CliftonLarsonAllen	
17		DAVID ALONZO, BMO Harris	
18		NATALIE MILLS, USACE	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			2

I'd like to call to order the MR. FEKETE: 1 East Chicago Waterway Management District Board of 2 3 Directors' meeting. Today's date is February 17, 2016, and the time is 5:00. So we're in pretty 4 5 good shape. Roll call vote. John Bakota? 6 7 MR. BAKOTA: Here. 8 MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez? 9 MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Here. MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez? 10 MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Here 11 12 MR. FEKETE: Ted Zagar? MR. ZAGAR: 13 Here. 14 MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle? 15 MR. DOYLE: Here. 16 MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez? MR. LOPEZ: Present. 1.7 18 MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, here. We have a 19 full board today. The next order of business is the 20 21 consulting engineering report, Mr. Trevino MR. TREVINO: I'd like to start off by going 2.2 2.3 through the contents of your board packet. You have the January 20, 2016, board minutes for 24 25 approval consideration. You have an e-mail to --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

from myself to Beth Admire, and Anne Remek, and Rick Nagle regarding the 2016 budget. And -- well 2016 budget amendment. Also, a spreadsheet with the budget amendment dated February 9th, 2016, and also attached is Attorney Ellen Gregory's 2016 budget, and that has the revision date of February 9, 2016.

You have two IDEM letters related to the 2016 budget. One is dated February 3rd, 2016, approving the original budget, and a subsequent IDEM letter dated February 10, 2016, approving the amended budget. You have an EPA concurrence letter on the 2016 budget, and that has a signature of February 2nd, 2016. have a spreadsheet titled "2016 Trust and Non-trust Transfer Request Calculation" dated February 17, 2016. And accompanied with that is the letter of authorization to First Merchant's Bank requesting the transfer of That letter is dated February 17, 2016, in the amount of \$101,326.92, and a second letter of authorization to BMO Harris Bank also dated February 17, 2016, in the amount of the transfer request in the amount of \$276,950.05. And those are affiliated with the

2016 budget.

2.0

You have a local counsel legal service agreement between the district and Attorney Jim Wieser, and that's on the agenda for approval.

You have resolution 2016-2 regarding the Burgoyne appraisal. And you have the Burgoyne appraisal proposal dated February 12, 2016, and that is on the agenda for approval consideration.

You have an EnviroForensics's proposal dated January 19, 2016, along with the authorization to proceed dated February 15, 2016. And this authorization to proceed supersedes the one that we sent them dated January 19, 2016.

You have the CliftonLarsonAllen financial statement for the month of January 2016. You have the claim docket for approval consideration dated February 17, 2016. You have an agreement for filing return 1099 forms for Board President Fekete's signature. You have First Merchant's financial bank statements for account ending in 608 and 616 for the month of February -- or, I'm sorry, for the month of January 2016.

You have the BMO Harris ECI Facility Trust report dated February 16, 2016. And you have two BMO Harris bank statements for account ending in 761. And one is for the month of December 2015 and the other is for the month of January 2016.

You have a brokerage account statement from BMO Harris in account ending 534, and one is for the month of December 2015 and the other is for the month of January 2016.

You have a United States Department of Commerce letter regarding a US Census Bureau survey that they're doing; so look into that further. And you have a 1099-INT Form from First Merchant's bank for interest earned in our accounts, and I'll be forwarding that to our accountant, Tim Anderson.

You have Tetra Tech's monthly progress report dated February 4, 2016. You have a Tetra Tech proposal for additional work dated February 8, 2016. And the proposal is -- right now, FYI, my plan is to review this with EPA, and then have a recommendation for the board at the next board meeting.

You have an ARCADIS report dated

February 10, 2016, regarding monthly bird observation monitoring report Number 5.

You have a monthly progress report from Atlantic Richfield Company dated February 10, 2016. You have a letter from an Indiana retirement system dated January 6, 2016. You have a letter from myself to Kim Sagel and Natalie Mills of the Army Corps of Engineers dated January 29th, 2016, regarding the CDF Construction and O&M cost documentation and data:

You have the Army Corps of Engineers monthly progress to the board dated February 17, 2016.

And last but not least, you have Attorney Ellen Gregory's report with the revision date of February 15, 2016.

Just to go over a couple items. Attorney Ellen Gregory and I have a meeting next week with our insurance representative to discuss a few insurance options and, hopefully, we'll have something for the board at the next board meeting.

A few months ago the board approved a resolution to terminate our account with PERF.

Since then we've -- we were informed that terminating the account would have a monetary cost; so we're probably going to recommend that we not terminate our relationship with PERF.

And so we'll have that recommendation at the next board meeting, which will include a resolution.

Board President John Fekete and I met with IDEM and EPA to review the 2016 budget and trust spending. From that meeting, IDEM and EPA recommended that all vendors be contracted directly with the district, and it's our intent to accept the recommendation. So in order to abide by the recommendation, we have a few items on today's agenda, which includes amending the 2016 budget, amending one standing resolution and three contracts. And as I mentioned, those are all part of the board agenda for board approval.

Also on today's agenda is board approval of the trust and non-trust transfers affiliated with the 2016 budget. One is to be signed by Board President Fekete, and the other is to be signed by our treasurer, Mr. Ray Lopez.

The CliftonLarsonAllen monthly financial

statements are on today's agenda, and the affiliate claim docket for board approval.

2.4

User fees: We're working to resolve some software glitches regarding the prior year user fees and we hope to send out that package by the end of next week.

The Great Lakes Legacy Act project: Tetra Tech continues to work towards finalizing the remediation design. I'm working to finalize the local share cost report for the EPA.

We're also working for a remediation design fact sheet. The project team has been working on funding options for the remediation phase of the project. EPA is evaluating internally whether the City of East Chicago combined sewer overflow project would qualify for local share. So we're hoping that is the case.

Tetra Tech's progress report, as I mentioned, is in your board packet for additional information.

Also in your packet is a proposal that I mentioned dated February 8, 2016, from Tetra Tech for additional tasks that are necessary to get us from design to remediation. The

proposal scope and cost is beyond the current approved contract. I plan to review that scope and cost with EPA and get their concurrence. And if everything progresses forward, I plan to have a recommendation at the March board meeting on the proposal.

And also, if we move forward on that, we'd also require a 2016 budget amendment, because that's not part of the current budget.

Jim Wescott of Tetra Tech will provide more detail on the project update.

Project manager, Natalie Mills of the Army Corps, will give an update on the dredging project. And Attorney Ellen Gregory will give an update on various legal matters.

That concludes my report.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions for Mr. Trevino? (No response.)

MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. As you heard, we have a number of items that we have to take action upon based on the meeting that we had; so the first order of business is to approve our board meeting notes for our last meeting, which was January 20, 2016. I will entertain a motion to approve.

1	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to approve.
2	MR. FEKETE: Do we have a second?
3	MR. ZAGAR: Second
4	MR. FEKETE: Any questions?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. FEKETE: All in favor signify by saying
7	aye.
8	(All signify aye.)
9	MR. FEKETE: Any opposition? Abstentions?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, the motion is
12	approved.
13	The next order of business is the approval
14	consideration of the 2016 budget amendment. As
15	was explained by Mr. Trevino, as a result of
16	the meeting we had with the state a little over
17	a week ago, basically it's the same dollar
18	amount, it's just a matter of highlighting
19	certain items specifically within the budget.
20	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept.
21	MR. FEKETE: I have a motion. Do I have a
22	second?
23	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Second.
24	MR. FEKETE: We have a second. Are there any
25	questions?

(No response.) 1 We'll have a roll call vote: 2 MR. FEKETE: 3 John Bakota? 4 MR. BAKOTA: Yes. 5 MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez? MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 6 7 MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez? 8 MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Yes. MR. FEKETE: Ted Zagar? 9 MR. ZAGAR: 10 Yes. 11 MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle? 12 MR. DOYLE: Yes. 13 MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez? 14 MR. LOPEZ: Yes. 15 MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes. 16 The next order of business are the 17 transfer of budget moneys from our two separate 18 accounts, and we'll take them one at a time, I 19 That's what we've done in the past. think. 20 The first motion would be to transfer 21 money from our trust account for those items 22 that pertain to the trust, and that is the BMO 23 account; so I'll entertain a motion in that 24 regard. If you'll recall, the amount was for 25 2,000 -- \$276,000 -- let me start over.

1	\$276,950.05 _a
2	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept.
3	MR. BAKOTA: Second.
4	MR. FEKETE: Okay. Any questions?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. FEKETE: Roll call. John Bakota?
7	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
8	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
9	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
10	MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez?
11	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: Ted Zagar?
13	MR. ZAGAR: Yes.
14	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?
15	MR. DOYLE: Yes.
16	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
17	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
18	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes.
19	The next item are the transfer from the
20	First Merchant's non-trust account, in the
21	amount of \$101,326.92. Do I have a motion?
22	MR. BAKOTA: So moved.
23	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion. Do I have a
24	second?
25	MR. DOYLE: Second.
I	

1	MR. FEKETE: We have a second. We'll have a
2	roll call vote. John Bakota?
3	MR. BAKOTA: Yes
4	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
5	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
6	MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez?
7	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Yes
8	MR. FEKETE: Ted Zagar?
9	MR. ZAGAR: Yes.
10	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?
11	MR. DOYLE: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
13	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
14	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes.
15	The next order of business or the next
16	several items all pertain to us contracting
17	directly with services that we had discussed in
18	the past. The first order of business is to
19	approve a consideration for engaging Wieser &
20	Wiley Legal Services. And this is basically to
21	deal with property transfers?
22	MS. GREGORY: Property transfers and
23	basically any real estate transactions.
24	MR. FEKETE: Any real estate transactions,
25	okay. Do I have a motion to accept?

1	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to accept.
2	MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?
3	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Second.
4	MR. FEKETE: Any questions?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. FEKETE: Okay, we'll have a roll call
7	vote
8	John Bakota?
9	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
10	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
11	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez?
13	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
14	MR. FEKETE: Ted Zagar?
15	MR. ZAGAR: Yes.
16	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?
17	MR. DOYLE: Yes.
18	MR. FEKETÉ: Ray Lopez?
19	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
20	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes.
21	The next order of business has to do with
22	the Burgoyne Appraisal Company. We had passed
23	a resolution to deal with this matter in the
24	past; so we basically have to redo the
25	resolution so that we are going to deal
- 1	

1	directly with the Burgoyne Appraisal Company
2	rather than deal with it through Ellen Gregory.
3	So the resolution is dated it was numbered
4	2016-02.
5	Do I have a motion to accept this
6	resolution?
7	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept.
8	MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?
9	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Second.
10	MR. FEKETE: Any questions?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, we'll have a roll
13	call vote.
14	John Bakota?
15	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
16	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
18	MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez?
19	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
20	MR. FEKETE: Ted Zagar?
21	MR. ZAGAR: Yes.
22	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?
23	MR. DOYLE: Yes.
24	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
25	MR. LOPEZ: Yes
	16

1	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes. Okay,
2	that resolution has been approved.
3	Now we need to approve the engagement
4	letter with the Burgoyne Appraisal Company.
5	I'll have a motion to accept.
6	MR. DOYLE: Motion to accept:
7	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion to accept.
8	MR. BAKOTA: Second.
9	MR. FEKETE: And a second. Any questions?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. FEKETE: We'll have a roll call. John
12	Bakota?
13	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
14	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
16	MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez?
17	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
18	MR. FEKETE: Ted Zagar?
19	MR. ZAGAR: Yes.
20	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?
21	MR. DOYLE: Yes.
22	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
23	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
24	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes.
25	And the final engagement agreement to
	17

1	approve is the one with EnviroForensics, and	
2	this is based on their proposal dated	
3	January 19, 2016, for CDF cost analysis. I	
4	entertain a motion to accept.	
5	MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Motion to accept.	
6	MR. FEKETE: I have a motion to accept.	
7	MR. LOPEZ: Second.	
8	MR. FEKETE: And we have a second. Any	
9	questions?	
10	(No response.)	
11	MR. FEKETE: Roll call vote. John Bakota?	
12	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.	
13	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?	
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	
15	MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez?	
16	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Sure.	
17	MR. FEKETE: Ted Zagar?	
18	MR. ZAGAR: Yes.	
19	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?	
20	MR. DOYLE: Yes.	
21	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?	
22	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.	
23	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes	
24	The next order of business is the	
25	accounting consultant report.	
	=	18

MR. ANDERSON: John, do you need a rest? You sound a little like an auctioneer there.

2.1

Tim Anderson with CliftonLarsonAllen. You have our report in your board packet, and this is as of January 31st of '16. Page 2 is the first page with numbers on it, and you can see that in January all we really did was collect the interest on the cash accounts. The cash disbursements in January are all the December accounts payable; so these were bills that lapped over the end of the year. \$99,920 was paid on the December accounts payable; so we basically decreased our cash accounts by \$99,575. So the cash balances that's the operating account and the user fee accounts at the beginning of January were 2,058,000, and at the end of January were 1,959,000.

Page 3 shows the break out of the two accounts. And the operating account at the end of January is really the unused budget from last year; and so what we -- what you guys passed today was the trust transfer and the non-trust -- the user fee transfer, which those accounts, when added to that operating account from last year, that's going to give us the cash that we need to do the budget that was

passed for this year. So there's \$201,000 in the operating account at the end of January and a million 7 in the user fee account.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The last page, Page 4, is the -- it shows the budget appropriation for '16, which was passed. And we broke out the -- with the new -- with the new items that they wanted you to bust out separately from legal has all been adjusted on this sheet and in the software for the board of accounts. You get to take your budget and add your payables to it to come up with the total appropriation for '16, which is 679,000. And we -- again, we dispersed 99 in January. And then you've got the cash disbursements for February in your packet That's the accounts payable voucher that you have for today for \$41,000. And then the very right most column shows our unused budget after we've dispersed those funds for February. At the end of February we have 538,000 left on our budget.

Do you guys have any questions at all on the financials? We filed 1099s. Anybody who provides services to the board, we file 1099s. There's several: Us, Fernando, Ellen, and some

We sent those all out and we file them 1 others. 2 electronically. That's what that authorization form is in your packet, is to file those forms 3 4 electronically. And so we're all -- we're in 5 compliance with every -- every entity, we'll file a trust return for the trust by 6 7 April 15th, and we'll be in compliance with all 8 the government entities. That's all I got. 9 MR. FEKETE: Any questions? (No response.) 10 11 MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. 12 MR. LOPEZ: Thank you. The next order of business is 13 MR. FEKETE: 14 the approval consideration for the accounts 15 payable voucher register dated February 17, 2016. 16 MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: Motion to approve. 17 MR. FEKETE: We have a motion to approve. Do 18 I hear a second? 19 MR. BAKOTA: Second. 20 MR. FEKETE: We have a second. Any 21 questions? 22 (No response.) 23 MR. FEKETE: We'll have a roll call vote. John Bakota? 2.4 25 MR. BAKOTA: Yes.

1	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
3	MR. FEKETE: Patrick Rodriguez?
4	MR. PATRICK RODRIGUEZ: Yes
5	MR. FEKETE: Ted Zagar?
6	MR. ZAGAR: Yeah.
7	MR. FEKETE: Kevin Doyle?
8	MR. DOYLE: (No response.)
9	MR. FEKETE: Oh, he stepped out Ray Lopez?
10	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
11	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote yes. Motion
12	is approved.
13	BMO Harris Bank trust, Mr. Alonzo.
14	MR. ALONZO: David Alonzo, BMO Harris. So
15	I'm going to run through our report here. Of
16	course the first page always goes through the
17	historical numbers from '97 up until now.
18	Page 2, the current value of the trust as
19	of February 16th was \$5,803,593. That was a
20	positive gain of \$15,092 from our last meeting.
21	Of course no disbursements yet but it looks
22	like those are coming up here soon for the
23	year.
24	Going to Page 3. This just shows, on the
25	green column, where the interest was paid on
	1

some of these accounts. Really all the CDs had kicked out interest last month. The only error on this report was in the far right-hand column. The next interest credit date, I forgot to update that prior to me sending it to Fernando. Those CDs should kick out interest in April; so that will be reported in the May report, because it is every three months.

Really, we've got one CD coming due here at the end of October. But beyond that, we're pretty much locked in on most of the accounts.

Any questions at all on rates or the trust account?

(No response.)

MR. ZAGAR: Good.

MR. FEKETE: Thank you. Great Lakes Legacy Act project update, Mr. Wescott.

MR. WESCOTT: Jim Wescott, Tetra Tech. Hi, guys

So the design is essentially done.

Fernando -- everything is pretty much loaded on the website, and then I'll provide a CD to Fernando. I meant to bring one tonight but we still have -- the only thing remaining is we need to file three permit applications. Two

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will probably go in tomorrow, and the other one might be Friday or Monday. I'm waiting on a check. So there will be four major permits. One has already been applied for. And those should all be based on the routine review Should be ready by the fall, which is -- if things come together financially should dovetail with having EPA go forward with the procurement for the construction contractor. So all the drawings and the specifications and permit applications and draft access agreements are all in place. the design covers all the areas that we've discussed in the public meetings: On the Grand Calumet, the Indiana Harbor Canal, and all of the Lake George Canal that are outside the navigation channel.

As we've talked in the past, the concept at least for the next couple years is only do a portion of that because of financing, but at least the rest will have a design done; so it'll be easier from a board perspective to kind of know where you're going, and then it will be easy to implement as long as the money tracks behind it.

Are there any questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. BAKOTA: Yeah. Do the permits have time limits on them?

MR. WESCOTT: They typically do. Two to five So there shouldn't be any issue -- like, the shortest one will be the MPDS discharge permit, and that's a one-year permit. And that is supposed to start in June of 2017, which should give the contractor plenty of time to dredge and get rid of the material by May 31, 2018. Because he should -- if everything works out from a schedule standpoint, the dredging should start June 1st, thereabouts. And they should be done by Thanksgiving of 2017 with the dredging that's planned. And then the material will be loaded to a landfill, and the MPDS discharge permit will still be used as rain falls on the material and it goes into a -- through the water treatment system. So the MPDS is a one-year permit, and then the others are longer. So the permits should all -there shouldn't be an issue as long as the money and the scheduled work permits won't hurt -- it shouldn't affect anything. And since we don't -the construction won't really start until the spring of 2017. They should be in place by the

fall of 2016, but we've got some leeway if for 1 2 some reason there's -- the review cycle takes 3 longer. On the last projects, we didn't get the 4 permits until the contractor was already selected; so it's not unusual to have that happen. 5 6 MR. BAKOTA: Yeah. 7 MR. WESCOTT: But the plan this time is to 8

MR. WESCOTT: But the plan this time is to have everything in place so that when EPA goes out to bid, the contractors know exactly what they're walking into. And the same teams have essentially bid before; so my expectation is they kind of all know what they're getting into.

MR. BAKOTA: Thank you.

MR. WESCOTT: Any questions on the current design?

(No response.)

MR. FEKETE: No.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WESCOTT: No? And then on the proposal, just to go through it quickly, that basically takes from the end of design all the way through the procurement process with EPA, because the current scope of work basically ended at the design. And it's a much smaller amount just to kind of -- and it's broken into some more finite tasks but still some flexibility. Some of the

major areas is -- one of the tasks is to help EPA with the procurement process, because we'll have to -- depending on the amount of money available, we'll have to revise the cost estimate, as an example, because we're doing less work. of the costs actually will be a little bit more expensive on a unit rate standpoint, and then we'll help them do the -- put their procurement package together and help with the reviews; so that's one task. Another thing that is in that proposal is to do a little bit more on the high PCB area in the Indiana Harbor Canal, because right now EPA -- the Glenpo people are talking to the people that handle the high PCB material stuff internally; so there may be some additional requirements that come out of those discussions that we have to incorporate into the drawings or the specifications that we didn't anticipate. then there's a task to help kind of continue to meet with the other non-federal partners or perspective non-federal partners, the East Chicago Sanitary District -- other entities that we'll probably continue to need to have meetings with. And I'll talk to Fernando between now and the next meeting, if he's got any questions about the

1 proposal

MR. LOPEZ: So this can go up or down?

MR. WESCOTT: I would hope it doesn't go up. And so that's a good question. I've made as good a guess as I think what it would cost. If anything, it will go down, because I won't have as many meetings. And if for some reason, it -- you know, in the fall, depending on, let's say, who gets elected, suddenly there's no money anymore, then the project ends, and so some of that money in that proposal won't be spent because the project suddenly doesn't happen.

MR. LOPEZ: You stick with Fernando on that.

MR. WESCOTT: Right. So the plan is to spend no more than that amount that's in the additional proposal. And that should get you up all the way through the end of this calendar year; so at that point, the construction contractor is already selected. And at that point, we're ready to move into the field and start construction.

MR. LOPEZ: Thank you.

MR. WESCOTT: Anything else?

MR. FEKETE: Any questions?

(No response.)

MR. WESCOTT: Thank you very much.

MR. FEKETE: US Army Corps report. Natalie Mills.

MS. MILLS: Good evening.

MR. FEKETE: You don't have your helper today?

MS. MILLS: No. Natalie Mills, US Army Corps of Engineers. Kokosing and O'Brien & Gere contract, they're shut down right now. They'll return in the spring of 2016 for water treatment. The dredging is scheduled -- the dredge schedule is dependent on the removal of the water from the CDF. Right now we're tracking that they will initiate dredging in August of 2016. Certainly, if they can move water earlier or faster than we anticipate, they'll attempt to move -- they'll begin dredging earlier. But right now, based on the water levels at the site right now, we can't receive dredge material until at least August.

As far as the permanent well installation contract, they're still doing their final submittals. There's no more site work for them; so they're doing as-built drawings and finishing up with the documentation.

The equipment storage facility contract, they're still scheduled to start in the spring.

I've heard March; I've heard April; so some 1 time into that time frame. And that contract 2 3 is scheduled to be complete by August of this 4 year. 5 CDF documentation, I think I reported before that we received a response to our draft 6 7 TSCA permit conditions from US EPA and IDEM on the 13th of January. We met internally on the 8

the 13th of January. We met internally on the 2nd of February to discuss the comments. Right now, we're preparing a letter in response to the draft conditions that were provided to us:

So certainly you all will receive a copy of the

letter once it's finalized.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Oil boom coordination, I don't have any update for that at this time.

The PCA revisions, we've been working hard trying to schedule a date. Right now I think it's Wednesday -- is that the 24th?

MS. GREGORY: Uh-huh.

MS. MILLS: Tentatively, I'll say, is the next meeting.

The new contracts, we have the new dredging contract that we're evaluating right now for FY16 to 21. We received the proposals the 25th of January, and we're doing an

evaluation of the proposals now, and so we're still on track for an award on or around the first of April.

Lastly, I've put the annual dredging public meeting in June of 2016. We don't have a date established yet. We're working with the contractor to see when we might want to have that meeting. Usually, we have it a little earlier because we start dredging earlier. But since we're starting dredging in August, we didn't want to have the meeting, say, in March and we're not going to start dredging until August. So we're having it later this time. That's all I have right now.

MR. BAKOTA: Didn't we -- in the past didn't we start dredging earlier in the season?

MS. MILLS: Yeah. We started May, is when we typically started. But, again, with the water levels being what they are, we just can't take any more dredge material and water at this time.

MR. BAKOTA: They have enough time to complete their allotment of the cubic --

MS. MILLS: Uh-huh. Yeah. They're looking for more. Yes.

MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ: The water levels in the

CDF is what you're concerned about? 1 MS. MILLS: Right. So you know how we're 2 3 doing the dredging; we dredge and then we put the material in the barge and then we slurry it. So 4 5 we mix it with water and we put it into the facility. So right now with the water that's just 6 there from all the dredging -- there's 900 cubic 7 yards of dredge material. So all the water 8 associated with that is still there. 9 10 MR. BAKOTA: Also, the rain and snow that 11 we've had during that period. 12 MS. MILLS: Exactly. Correct. Certainly, there was some evaporation but there's still a lot 13 14 of water. 15 MR. BAKOTA: More rain than evaporation. 16 MS. MILLS: Exactly. 17 MR. BAKOTA: Thank you. 18 MR. FEKETE: Any other questions? 19 (No response.) 20 MR. FEKETE: Thank you. Ellen Gregory 21 report. 22 MS. GREGORY: Ellen Gregory with Ellen 23 Gregory Law. I just have a few items. The consent decree, we continue to have 24 25 biweekly calls with the group. Not much

progress on the consent decree; although, the work for the AOC south and west tank farm fieldwork has been completed, and BP's contractor is basically just reviewing data and putting together reports, which are supposed to be finalized within the next 60 days.

The Feddeler appraisal: The revised

The Feddeler appraisal: The revised appraisal is supposed to be completed within the next couple of weeks. We expect that from David Burgoyne before the next board meeting. Fernando and I have a call with the Army Corps lawyer on Friday to discuss the transfer of the BP interest in the Feddeler parcel, and then we will proceed with the appraisal and, hopefully, discussing with the Corps approaching Feddeler with resolving his claim.

And as Natalie mentioned on the PCA call, we've been trying to schedule calls. The last few we've had to reschedule for various reasons. The next call is scheduled for next Wednesday on February 24th. And that's all I have.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions? (No response.)

MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. Any new

1	business to come before the board?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. FEKETE: Any other business?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. FEKETE: Any public comments?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. FEKETE: Our next board meeting will be
8	March 16, 2016, at 5:00 in this same facility.
9	I'll entertain a motion for adjournment.
10	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to adjourn.
11	MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?
12	MR. LOPEZ: Second.
13	MR. FEKETE: All in favor signify by saying
14	aye.
15	(All signify aye.)
16	WHEREUPON THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	34

1 CERTIFICATE

I, Dawn M. Iseminger, Registered Professional Reporter (RPR), and Notary Public within and for the County of Porter, State of Indiana, do hereby certify that I appeared at The City of East Chicago, Engineering/Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana, on the 17th day of February, 2016, to report the proceedings had of the District Board of Directors' Meeting.

I further certify that I then and there reported in machine shorthand the proceedings given at said time and place, and that the testimony was then reduced to typewriting from my original shorthand notes, and the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of said proceedings had.

Dated this 13th day of March, 2016.

Dawn M. Iseminger, RER

My Commission expires: 7/22/20

1.8