Posting Date: May 12, 2023

Request for Proposals Notification

Title: Delaware County Replacement of Bridge #75 located on Shaffer Road @ Killbuck Creek (Des # 2201635) in the Greenfield District.

Response Due Date & Time: June 12, 2023 at 12:00 P.M.

This Request for Proposals (RFP) is official notification of needed professional services. This RFP is being issued to solicit a letter of Interest (LOI) and other documents from firms qualified to perform engineering work on federal aid projects. A submittal does not guarantee the firm will be contracted to perform any services but only serves notice the firm desires to be considered.

Contact for Questions:	Angela Moyer/Project Director
	7700 East Jackson Street
	Muncie, IN 47302
	765-747-7765
	amoyer@co.delaware.in.us

Submittal Requirements:

1. C Letter of Interest – Click here to enter # of Copies. Copies (required content and instructions follow) sent through the U.S. Mail;

OR

• Letter of Interest – submitted electronically (pdf) to **Angela Moyer** at email address **amoyer@co.delaware.in.us**.

AND

2. One (1) signed Affirmative Action Certification and associated required documents for all items if the DBE goal is greater than 0% sent through the U.S. Mail;

OR

One (1) signed Affirmative Action Certification and associated required documents for all items if the DBE goal is greater than 0% sent electronically (pdf) to Angela Moyer at email address amoyer@co.delaware.in.us.

Submit To:

Angela Moyer/Project Director 7700 East Jackson Street Muncie, IN 47302 765-747-7765 amoyer@co.delaware.in.us

Selection Procedures:

Consultants will be selected for work further described herein, based on the evaluation of the Letter of Interest (LOI) and other required documents. The Consultant Selection Rating Form used to evaluate and score the submittals is included for your reference. Final selection ranking will be determined by:

- The weighted score totals with the highest score being the top ranked firm
- C Rank totals with the lowest rank total being the top ranked firm

Requirements for Letters of Interest (LOI)

- A. General instructions for preparing and submitting a Letter of Interest (LOI).
 - 1. Provide the information, as stated in Item B below, in the same order listed and signed by an officer of the firm. Signed and scanned documents, or electronically applied signatures are acceptable. Do not send additional forms, resumes, brochures, or other material unless otherwise noted in the item description.
 - 2. LOI's shall be limited to twelve (12) 8 ¹/₂" x 11" pages that include Identification, Qualifications, Key Staff, and Project Approach.
 - 3. LOI's must be received no later than the "Response Due Date and Time"; as shown in the RFP header above. Responses received after this deadline will not be considered. Submittals must include all required attachments to be considered for selection.
- B. Letter of Interest Content
 - 1. Identification, Qualifications and Key Staff
 - a. Provide the firm name, address of the responsible office from which the work will be performed, and the name and email address of the contact person authorized to negotiate for the associated work.
 - b. List all proposed sub consultants, their DBE status, and the percentage of work to be performed by the prime consultant and each sub consultant. (See Affirmative Action Certification requirements below.) A listing of certified DBE's eligible to be considered for selection as prime consultants or sub-consultants for this RFP can be found at the "Prequalified Consultants" link on the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) Consultants Webpage. (https://www.in.gov/indot/doing-business-with-indot/consultants/consultants-prequalification/).
 - c. List the Project Manager and other key staff members, including key sub consultant staff, and the percent of time the project manager will be committed for the contract, if selected. Include project engineers for important disciplines and staff members responsible for the work. Address the experience of the key staff members on similar projects and the staff qualifications relative to the required item qualifications.

- d. Describe the capacity of consultant staff and their ability to perform the work in a timely manner relative to present workload.
- 2. <u>Project Approach</u>
 - a. Provide a description of your project approach relative to the advertised services. For project specific items confirm the firm has visited the project site. For all items address your firm's technical understanding of the project or services, cost containment practices, innovative ideas and any other relevant information concerning your firm's qualifications for the project.

Requirements for Affirmative Action Certification

A completed Affirmative Action Certification form is required for all items that identify a DBE goal greater than "0", in order to be considered for selection. The consultant must identify the DBE firms with which it intends to subcontract.

On the Affirmative Action Certification, include the contract participation percentage of each DBE and list what the DBE will be subcontracted to perform.

If the consultant does not meet the DBE goal, the consultant must provide documentation in additional pages after the form that evidences that it made good faith efforts to achieve the DBE goal.

All DBE subcontracting goals apply to all prime submitting consultants regardless of the prime's status of DBE.

INDOT DBE Reciprocity Agreement with KYTC

An Agreement between INDOT and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) established reciprocal acceptance of certification of DBE firms in their respective states under the Unified Certification Program (UCP) pursuant to 49 CFR ?26.81(e) and (f).

Copies of the DBE certifications, as issued by INDOT or the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC), are to be included as additional pages after the AAC form for each firm listed on the AAC form. The following are DBE Locator Directories for each State Transportation Agency:

INDOT: https://entapps.indot.in.gov/DBELocator/

KYTC:https://transportation.ky.gov/Civil-Rights-and-Small-Business-Development/Pages/Certified-DBE-Directory.aspx

Information about the Indiana DBE Program is available at: https://www.in.gov/indot/about-indot/equity-initiative-services/.

Information about the KYTC DBE Program is available at: <u>https://transportation.ky.gov/Civil-Rights-and-Small-Business-Development/Pages/default.aspx</u>.

Services

Work item details:

Local Public Agency	: Delaware County		
Project Location:	Shaffer Road @ Killbuck Creek		
Project Description:	Replacement of Delaware County Bridge #75		
INDOT Des #:	2201635		
Phases Included:	PE & RW		
Estimated Constructi	on Amount: \$1,770,000.	00	
Funding:	80/20 for PE & RW		
Term of Contract:	Until Project Completion		
DBE goal:	5%		
Required Prequalification	ation Categories:		
▼ 5.2 Environmental	Document Preparation - CE	✓ 12.1 Project Management for Aquisition	
✓ 6.1 Topographical	Survey Data Collection	✓ 12.2 Title Search	
▼ 8.1 Non-Complex	Roadway Design	✓ 12.4 Appraisal	
▼ 9.1 Level 1 Bridge	Design	✓ 12.5 Appraisal Review	
✓ 11.1 Right of Way	Plan Development	13.1 Construction Inspection	
Additional Categor	ies Listed Below:		
5.6 Waterway Perm5.9 Archaeological 15.10 Historical/Arch7.1 Geotechnical Er	Investigations nitectural Investigations		

- 12.3 Value Analysis 12.6 Negotiation
- 12.7 Closing
- 16.1 Utility Coordination
- 17.4 Bridge Hydraulic Design18.1 Pavement Analysis-Design Services

4

LPA Consultant Selection Rating Sheet

Sample:

RFP Sciection Rating for: Des. No. (City, County, Town) or (Local Public Agency) Image: Consultant Name: Consultant Name: Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Scale Score Weight Past Quality score for similar work from performance database: 6 6 Past Quality score for similar work from performance database: 1 20 Responsiveness score from performance database: 2 1 20 Veriformance Schelule score from the project on fine. 2 20 Responsiveness score from performance database: 1 20 20 Verify of Team to do Adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 Verify of Team to do Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 1 15 Demonstrated Domonstrated outschedung capacity and resources identified 2 15 Project Imatifications or sources: 3 20 20 Project Understanding and Immovation to database capacity in the capacity in result science in the project in the project in the capacity in result science in the schedule. 1 15 Demonstrated outstanding caperison in similar type and c	Sample:					
(City, County, Town) or (Local Public Agency) Services Description: Consultant Name: Eaalnation Criteria to be Raded by Scorers Scale Score Weight Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data. Scale Score Weight Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance database. 6 Performance Category Scoring Criteria Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Performance Capacity of Team's do Merine Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Adquate capacity to meet the schedule. Openometrated outstanding capacity to mee						
Services Description: Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Scale Scoring Criteria Category Scoring Criteria Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Past Performance evaluation score averages from historical perform the project on time. 3 6 Past Responsiveness score from performance database. 1 1 Capacity of Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value 1 20 Work Adquate capacity that results in added value 1 20 Work Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. 0 1 Pendicted ability to manage the project dot schedule approximate level and added value or efficiency 1 15 Qualifications Demonstrated dustanding caperities and resources distingted 1 15 Project Demonstrated dustanding caperities and resources. 3 15 Project Demonstrated dustanding caperities and resources. 3 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cast and/or time savings. 1 15 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cast and/or time savings. 20 15 High	RFP S	lection Rating for:	Des	. No.		
Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Past Performance Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Capacity of Team to do Schedule score from performance database. 1 Capacity of Team to do Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Team to do Adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 Demonstrated 20 20 Work Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 20 Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 15 Operating the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2<		(City, County, Town) or (Local Public Agency)				
Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Past Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data. Scale Score Weight Past Performance Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 3 Capacity of Team to do Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 20 Came to do Adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 Preformance Project Instruction of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Team to do Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 1 20 Brennostrated Demonstrated outstanding capacity to meet the schedule. 1 15 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and cornplexity. 2 20 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and cornplexity. 2 20 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 20 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 20 Demonstrated out						
Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Scale Score Weight Weighte Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 0 6 0 3 0 Past Schedule score from performance database. 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 </td <td>Se</td> <td>rvices Description:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Se	rvices Description:				
Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Scale Score Weight Weight Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Consultant Name				
Category Score Score Weight Weight Past Quality score for similar work from performance data. 6 3 Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 3 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 1 1 Capacity of Team to do Availability of more than adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Work Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 1 20 Capacity of Team to do Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Work Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Work Demonstrated builty of more than adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 1 15 Project Demonstrated builty a relevant added value or efficiency 15 15 Project Manager Demonstrated builty for anange the project. Schedol or experines can alway compression. 3 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. - - 3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings.						
Performance Performance Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 3 3 Capacity of Team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 3 3 Capacity of Team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 3 20 Team's Demonstrated Quality of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 Work Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant adde value or efficiency. 20 Project Demonstrated Demonstrated binds level of expertines in similar type and complexity. 1 Project Demonstrated outstanding expertines in similar type and complexity. 20 Project Expertise: Unique Resources the of experime in size. 3 Marager Project Understanding and Innovation that provide scort and work on metsize and resources identified 1 15 Project High level of understanding of the project. 20 Basic understanding of the project. 20 20 Experience in similar type and complexity. 1 15 Demonstrated fligh level of understanding of the project. 1 15				-		
Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 1 Capacity of Responsiveness score from performance database. 1 Team to do Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 Team to do Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 20 Work Insufficient explaible capacity to meet the schedule. 1 Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 20 Project Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 Qualifications Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 Project Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 Demonstrated bill ity to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 2 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and or time savings. 2 20 Approach to High level of understanding of the project. 3 15 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost andor time savings. 2 15 <td>Category</td> <td>Scoring Criteria Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data</td> <td>Scale</td> <td>Score</td> <td>Weight</td> <td>Weighted</td>	Category	Scoring Criteria Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted
Performance Schedule score from performance database. 3 Responsiveness score from performance database. 1 Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Work Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 Team to do Work Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. 0 Work Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or folicinecy 20 Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 11 15 Qualifications Demonstrated bigh level of experience in size, complexity. 2 15 Project Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 20 Manager Expertise and for times avings. 3 3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 3 3 Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 3 3 It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. 15 It is the responsibility of scorers to m	Dest				6	
Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 Team to do Work Adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 20 Project Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 15 Project Manager Demonstrated bigh level of capacities and resources identified 1 Project Manager Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated ligh level of capacities in similar type and complexity. 1 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. - - Approach to High level of understanding of the project. 1 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. - - It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. -		Schedule score from performance database.			3	
Capacity of Team to do Work Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 Team to do Work Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Team's Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 1 15 Team's Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified 1 15 Qualifications Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity. 1 15 Project Demonstrated outstanding experience in size, complexity. 1 20 Manager Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity. 2 20 Project Demonstrated high level of experience in size, complexity. 2 20 Manager Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 20 Isufficient experiance -3 15 15 15 Approach to Project High level of understanding of the project. 1 15 15 It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. 15 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></td<>					1	
Team to do Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Work Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. -1 -1 Team's Demonstrated outstanding experise and resources identified. 1 15 Demonstrated outstanding experise and resources identified. 1 15 Qualifications Demonstrated outstanding experise and resources. -3 Project Demonstrated outstanding experise and resources. -3 Project Manager Demonstrated outstanding experise and resources. -3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 20 Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 15 Thigh level of understanding of the project. 1 15 It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference.	Canacity of		1			
Work Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. -1 Team's Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that vield a relevant added value or efficiency -1 Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 Qualifications Expertise and resources identified 1 Qualifications Expertise and resources identified 1 Project Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Project Experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings.					20	
Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 15 Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 15 Openonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 15 Openonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 15 Openonstrated with level of expertines and resources identified 15 Project medicated bigh level of expertine in sinilar type and complexity. 20 Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources. 3 Project Manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources. 3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 Broject Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 15 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 2 Project Understanding of the project.	Work					
Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 Demonstrated Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified 1 Qualifications Expertise and resources identified 1 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: expertise and/or resources. -3 Project Demonstrated high level of expertise and complexity. 1 Demonstrated bigh level of expertise and complexity. 1 20 Project Demonstrated bigh level of expertise and complexity. 1 Experience in similar type and complexity. 1 20 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 20 Froject Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 15 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 15 It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. 15			-1			
Qualifications Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources. -3 Project Demonstrated nigh level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Experience in similar type and complexity. -1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 High level of understanding of the project. 1 Basic understanding of the project. 0 Lack of project understanding. -3 It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference.			2			
Insufficient expertise and/or resources. -3 Project Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 1 20 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 10 Insufficient experience. -3 20 Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 15 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1 15 It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. -3					15	
Project Manager Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Project Experience in similar type and complexity. 1 0 Project Manager Experience in similar type and complexity. -1 1 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 -1 1 Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -2 15 It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. -3	Qualifications					
Project Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 20 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. 0 20 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 1 1 Insufficient experience. -3 -3 -3 -1 Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. - - 15 Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 15 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1 0 15 It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference.						
Project Manager Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. 0 20 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 1 1 Insufficient experience. -3 -3 -3 Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings.						
Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings.	-				20	
Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 2 Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1 Basic understanding of the project. 1 Lack of project understanding. -3 Weighted Sub-Total: -3 It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference.	Manager	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 2 Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1 Basic understanding of the project. 1 Lack of project understanding. -3 Weighted Sub-Total: -3 It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference.		La configurate and a				
Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 Image: Second Seco		•	-3			
Approach to Image: Constraint of the constraint of the project. Image: Constraint of the project of the project. Image: Constraint of the project of the project. Image: Constraint of the project. Im			2			
Basic understanding of the project. 0 Basic understanding -3 Weighted Sub-Total: Weighted Sub-Total: It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference.			2		15	
Lack of project understanding -3 Weighted Sub-Total:	Project					
It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables in a timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference.						
timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference.				Weighted	Sub-Total:	
timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference.						
I certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant.	-		roducing	the highe	est delivera	bles in a
I certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant.						
	I certify that	I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant.	-	-	-	
I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgment of this firm's abilities.			e scores	represer	nt my best	judgment
Signature: Print Name:	Signature:	Print Name:	Print Name:			
Title: Date:	Title:	Date:	Date:			
(Form Rev. 1/27/2023)	(Form Rev.	/27/2023)				

(Rev. 06/27/18)

Des. #: Click here to enter text.

Affirmative Action Certification (AAC) for Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE)

I hereby certify that my company intends to affirmatively seek out and consider Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBEs) certified by the State of Indiana's DBE Program and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) DBE Program to participate as part of this proposal. An Agreement between INDOT and KYTC established reciprocal acceptance of certification of DBE firms in their respective states under the Unified Certification Program (UCP) pursuant to 49 CFR §26.81(e) and (f).

I acknowledge that this certification is to be made an integral part of this proposal. I understand and agree that the submission of a blank certification may cause the proposal to be rejected. I certify that I have consulted the following DBE websites to confirm that the firms listed below are currently certified DBEs:

INDOT: https://entapps.indot.in.gov/DBELocator/

KYTC: https://transportation.ky.gov/Civil-Rights-and-Small-Business-Development/Pages/Certified-DBE-Directory.aspx

I certify that I have contacted the certified DBE's listed below, and if my company becomes the CONSULTANT, these DBEs have tentatively agreed to perform the services as indicated. I understand that neither my company nor I will be penalized for DBE utilization that exceeds the goal. After contract award, any change to the firms listed in this Affirmative Action Certification to be applied toward the DBE goal must have prior approval by INDOT's Economic Opportunity Division.

I. DBE Subconsultants to be applied toward DBE goal for the RFP item:

Certified DBE Name	Service Planned	Estimated Percentage to be Paid*
		%
		%
		%
		%

II. DBE Subconsultants to be utilized beyond the advertised DBE goal for the RFP item:

Certified DBE Name	Service Planned	Estimated Percentage to be Paid*
		%
		%
		%
		%

Estimated Total Percentage Credited toward DBE Goal:

Estimated Percentage of Voluntary DBE Work Anticipated over DBE Goal:

Company Name:

Signature: _____ Date:

* It is understood that these individual firm percentages are estimates only and that percentages paid may be greater or less as a result of negotiation of contract scope of work. My firm will use good faith efforts to meet the overall DBE goal through the use of these or other certified and approved DBE firms.