



APPROVED MINUTES

June 15, 2023 Standards Committee Meeting

July 27, 2023

TO: Standards Committee

FROM: Scott Trammell, Secretary

RE: Minutes from the June 15, 2023 Standards Committee Meeting

The Standards Committee meeting was called to order by Mr. Pankow, Chair, at 09:00 a.m. on June 15, 2023, which was held virtually via *Teams* (Microsoft application). The meeting was adjourned at 9:44 a.m.

The following committee members were in attendance:

Gregory Pankow, Chairman, Director, Construction Management
Anne Rearick, Engineering and Asset Management
Dave Boruff, Traffic Engineering
Joseph Novak, Construction Management
Matt Beeson*, Division of Materials and Tests
John Wooden, Division of Contract Administration
Kumar Dave, Pavement Engineering
Kurt Pelz, Construction Technical Support
Mark Orton, Highway Engineering
Mike Koch, District Construction, Fort Wayne District
Peter White, Bridge Engineering

* Proxy for Jim Reilman

Also, the following attendees were present:

Aguirre, Frank, INDOT
Barney, Bruce, INDOT
Bazlamit, Subhi M, INDOT
Blanchard, Jacob, INDOT
Coffin, Tamara (Delaney), INDOT
Couch, Gregory, INDOT
Coulter, Josh, Hoosier Co.
Duncan, Steve, INDOT
Duncan, Thomas, FHWA

Jacobs, David L, INDOT
Lamkin, Sara, INDOT
Laracuente, Luis A, INDOT
Leckie, John, IRMCA
Mouser, Elizabeth, INDOT
Osborn, Dan, Indiana Constructors
Patterson, Patrick, INDOT
Perugu, Kshitija, INDOT
Podorvanova, Lana, INDOT

Feutz, Douglas, INDOT
Fisher, Steve, INDOT
Galetka, Jason, INDOT
Hailat, Mahmoud, INDOT
Harris, Tom, INDOT
Hauser, Derrick, INDOT

Reedy, Joseph, INDOT
Ritter, John, INDOT
Smart, Steve, County Materials Co.
Smutzer, Katherine, INDOT
Thornton, Donald, INDOT
Trammell, Scott, INDOT

The following items were discussed:

A. GENERAL BUSINESS

OLD BUSINESS (No items were listed)

NEW BUSINESS

1. Approval of the Minutes from the [May 18, 2023 meeting](#)

Mr. Pankow requested a motion to approve the Minutes from the May 18, 2023 meeting.

Motion: Mr. Boruff
Second: Mr. Pelz
Ayes: 10
Nays: 0

ACTION: PASSED AS SUBMITTED

B. CONCEPTUAL PROPOSAL

1. Update on Proposed Revisions to 801.10, May 18, 2023 Agenda
item No. 1 (Sponsor: J. Novak) [pg. 4](#)

DISCUSSION:

Mr. Koch mentioned that when we strike language (*obvious defects or visual cracks...*) and reference another section some may believe that we are becoming less restrictive and very few will reference the other manuals. Our standard's discussion in May seemed to indicate investigations were being made. I tend to prefer simple readily available language yet agree the proposed language/concept is sound. ATSSA's "Quality Standards for Temporary Traffic Control Devices" is member based. How will field personnel know what our expectations are? Should we keep the 1st sentence (*Sections that have defects and visual cracks shall not be used.*)? Ms. Smutzer concurred with un-striking that sentence.

C. STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, SPECIAL PROVISIONS, AND STANDARD DRAWINGS PROPOSAL

OLD BUSINESS

[Item No. 2 \(from 05/18/23\)](#) [Mr. Novak](#) [pg. 13](#)

Recurring Special Provisions:

106-C-xxx

E-TICKETING INCENTIVE

ACTION:

PASSED AS SUBMITTED

NEW BUSINESS

[Item No. 1](#) [Mr. White](#) [pg. 17](#)

2024 Standard Specifications:

724.02

Materials

724.03

General Requirements

906.07

Bridge Expansion Joints

ACTION:

PASSED AS SUBMITTED

[Item No. 2](#) [Mr. Reilman](#) [pg. 22](#)

2024 Standard Specifications:

410.03

Materials

410.06

Recycled Materials

ACTION:

PASSED AS SUBMITTED

[Item No. 3](#) [Mr. Reilman](#) [pg. 26](#)

2024 Standard Specifications:

921.02(e)

Pavement Marking Beads

ACTION:

PASSED AS SUBMITTED

[Item No. 4](#) [Mr. Novak](#) [pg. 30](#)

Recurring Special Provisions:

~~1xx-C-xxx~~

~~MOBILIZATION AND DEMOBILIZATION
FOR IDIQ~~

10x-C-xxx

IDIQ WORK ORDERS

ACTION:

PASSED AS REVISED

[Item No. 5](#) [Mr. Novak](#) [pg. 35](#)

Recurring Special Provisions:

XXX-X-xxx

MAINTAINING TRAFFIC FOR IDIQ

ACTION:

PASSED AS SUBMITTED

cc: Committee Members
FHWA
ICI

CONCEPTUAL: Update on Proposed Revisions to 801.10, May 18, 2023 Agenda item No. 1

Mr. Novak
Date: 05/18/23

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, SPECIAL PROVISIONS AND STANDARD DRAWINGS

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

PROPOSAL TO STANDARDS COMMITTEE

PROBLEM(S) ENCOUNTERED: 801.10 has allowed modifications to terminating temporary traffic barrier when field conditions do not allow placement per the construction plans. These modifications to flare rates or termination points without CZ units are being made in the field, many times without approval by the designer. These types of safety modifications should be conservative or reviewed and approved by the designer.

PROPOSED SOLUTION: Eliminate the existing language that allows modification of the flare rate and offset of the termination point of temporary traffic barrier and replace it with more descriptive termination allowances. In addition, clarify what type 1, type 2, and type 3 temporary traffic barrier and CZ units can be used and how they should be placed. To keep language clarifications consistent throughout the section, proposed revisions for RSP 801-T-207 has also been included.

APPLICABLE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS: 801.03 and 801.10

APPLICABLE STANDARD DRAWINGS: 801-TCCB Series

APPLICABLE DESIGN MANUAL SECTION: 503-3.05(04) and (05)

APPLICABLE SECTION OF GIFE: 2.8, 21.2

APPLICABLE RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISIONS: RSP 801-T-207

PAY ITEMS AFFECTED: N/A

APPLICABLE SUB-COMMITTEE ENDORSEMENT: Ad-Hoc Committee: Joe Novak, John Ritter, Elizabeth Mouser, Dan Osborn (ICI), and Katherine Smutzer

IF APPROVED AS RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISION OR PLAN DETAILS, PROPOSED BASIS FOR USE: Required for all contracts with pay item 801-08400 Temporary Traffic Barrier Type 1, 801-08401 Temporary Traffic Barrier Type 2, 801-08402 Temporary Traffic Barrier Type 3, 801-08403 Temporary Traffic Barrier Type 4, 801-08507 Temporary Traffic Barrier Anchored Type 1, 801-08508 Temporary Traffic Barrier Anchored Type 2, 801-08509 Temporary Traffic Barrier Anchored Type 3.

IMPACT ANALYSIS (attach report): yes

Submitted By: Katherine Smutzer

Title: Work Zone Safety Engineer

Division: Traffic Management

E-mail: ksmutzer@indot.in.gov

Date: 4/24/2023

IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT CHECKLIST

Explain the business case as to why this item should be presented to the Standards Committee for approval. Answer the following questions with Yes, No or N/A.

Does this item appear in any other specification sections? No

Will approval of this item affect the Qualified Products List (QPL)? No

Will this proposal improve:

Construction costs? No

Construction time? No

Customer satisfaction? Yes

Congestion/travel time? No

Ride quality? No

Will this proposal reduce operational costs or maintenance effort? No

Will this item improve safety:

For motorists? Yes

For construction workers? Yes

Will this proposal improve quality for:

Construction procedures/processes? Yes

Asset preservation? No

Design process? No

Will this change provide the contractor more flexibility? No

Will this proposal provide clarification for the Contractor and field personnel? Yes

Can this item improve/reduce the number of potential change orders? No

Is this proposal needed for compliance with:

Federal or State regulations? No

AASHTO or other design code? No

Is this item editorial? No

Provide any further information as to why this proposal should be placed on the Standards Committee meeting Agenda:

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 801 – TRAFFIC CONTROLS FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS

801.03 General Requirements

801.10 Temporary Traffic Barriers

801.10.1 Construction Zone Energy Absorbing Terminal, CZ

(Note: Previously approved changes shown shaded and are in currently used provision:
[801-T-207 Temporary Traffic Barriers](#)
Basis for Use: Required for all contracts with any temporary traffic barrier pay item.)

The Standard Specifications are revised as follows:

SECTION 801, BEGIN LINE 70, DELETE AS FOLLOWS:

801.03 General Requirements

The applicable requirements of the MUTCD shall apply to the installation and materials for traffic control devices subject to the requirements of 107.08 and 107.12. When the plans do not include a maintenance of traffic plan, the Engineer will provide such a plan to the Contractor. The Contractor shall be responsible for the field layout, placement, operation, maintenance, and removal of temporary traffic control devices.

A worksite traffic supervisor certified by the American Traffic Safety Service Association, ATSSA, or approved equal certifying organization, shall direct all field layout, placement, operation, inspection, maintenance, and removal of temporary traffic control devices. The certified worksite traffic supervisor, CWTS, shall ensure that all traffic control devices, ~~except temporary concrete barrier,~~ meet acceptable standards as outlined in the plans, specifications, and ATSSA's "Quality Standards for Temporary Traffic Control Devices" prior to installation. The CWTS shall also, prior to installation, ensure that all traffic control devices can be installed in accordance with the plans, specifications, and the MUTCD. All problems shall be reported to the Engineer so a resolution can be worked out prior to installation. The field layout will be reviewed and is subject to approval by the Engineer prior to placement of any temporary traffic control devices. The CWTS shall be present for the initial setup and all phase changes during the life of the project. The CWTS may designate responsible Contractor personnel to perform day to day operation, inspection, and maintenance of the temporary traffic control devices. These responsible personnel shall work under the direction of the CWTS and their names shall be given to the Engineer on the project. A copy of the CWTS's certification shall be provided to the Engineer prior to the start of construction or placement of temporary traffic control devices or if the worksite traffic supervisor changes.

SECTION 801, BEGIN LINE 354, DELETE AND INSERT AS FOLLOWS:

801.10 Temporary Traffic Barriers

Temporary traffic barrier shall be one of the following four types as shown on the plans.

The application for each temporary traffic barrier type shall be as follows.

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 801 – TRAFFIC CONTROLS FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS

801.03 General Requirements

801.10 Temporary Traffic Barriers

801.10.1 Construction Zone Energy Absorbing Terminal, CZ

<i>Temporary Traffic Barrier Type Designation</i>	<i>Application</i>
<i>Type 1</i>	<i>Used to separate two-way traffic</i>
<i>Type 2</i>	<i>Used to separate traffic from the work zone</i>
<i>Type 3</i>	<i>Used as Type 1 and remains in place after contract completion.</i>
<i>Type 4</i>	<i>Used to accommodate the closing or shifting of traffic lanes on a daily basis to better facilitate the changing volumes of traffic during the peak hours of a day.</i>

Type 1

~~Type 1 temporary traffic barriers shall be used to separate two-way traffic and Barriers used as Type 1 temporary traffic barrier shall be precast concrete in accordance with applicable requirements of 707 and 602 and as shown on the plans. Type 1 barriers may also be used to separate traffic from the work areas as type 2 barriers as described below.~~

[moved to a separate paragraph] The surfaces of individual precast concrete ~~units~~ barrier segments shall vary no more than 1/4 in. in 10 ft from the specified cross-section, as measured from a longitudinal straightedge. The maximum variation in the vertical and horizontal alignment of ~~adjacent units~~ abutting segments shall be 1/4 in. across the joint, as measured from a 10 ft longitudinal straightedge. Sections that have obvious defects or visual cracks shall not be used. Sections that develop any of these conditions during the contract shall be repaired with concrete or replaced within a reasonable amount of time. ~~Unit~~ Segment condition and maintenance shall be in accordance with 801.03.

~~Type 1~~ Precast concrete barrier units precast prior to ~~shall be manufactured on or after January 1, 2003.~~ shall not be used after January 1, 2012. Units precast after March 1, 2003 ~~Precast concrete barrier segments manufactured prior to March 1, 2003 shall not be used.~~ Each barrier segment shall be clearly marked with the name or trademark of the manufacturer, the year of manufacture, and "INDOT". The markings shall be indented on an end or on the top of each barrier ~~section~~ segment. ~~Units precast~~ Segments manufactured after January 1, 2007 shall be from the QPL of Certified Precast Concrete Producers.

Type 2

~~Type 2 barriers may~~ shall be used to separate traffic from the work area. Type 2 temporary traffic barriers shall meet the appropriate test level 2 or 3 MASH ~~or~~ NCHRP 350 or MASH crash test standards ~~criteria~~ and shall be approved for use by the FHWA. A copy of the MASH or NCHRP 350 crash test FHWA eligibility letter shall be provided to the Engineer prior to placing the unit ~~A copy of the crash test report confirming the product is NCHRP 350 or MASH compliant for the test level specified, or a copy of the FHWA eligibility letter, shall be furnished to the Engineer prior to the installation of the system~~ barrier.

[moved to a separate paragraph] The ~~unit~~ barrier selected shall be appropriate for the location

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 801 – TRAFFIC CONTROLS FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS

801.03 General Requirements

801.10 Temporary Traffic Barriers

801.10.1 Construction Zone Energy Absorbing Terminal, CZ

considering the maximum posted speed limit on the project *prior to construction* and the allowable area for deflection. The ~~unit~~*barrier* shall be installed according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

~~If precast concrete barriers are used as Type 2 barriers, they shall be in accordance with the requirements for Type 1 barriers. Barriers acceptable for use as Type 1 may also be used as Type 2.~~

Type 3

~~Type 3 temporary traffic barriers shall be those Type 1 temporary traffic barriers that are to~~*Barriers used as Type 3 temporary traffic barrier shall be in accordance with the requirements for barrier used as Type 1. They shall be left in place at the completion of the contract and shall become the property of the Department. They shall be in like-new condition at the completion of the contract. All necessary delineation and required anchor systems shall be left in place.*

Type 4

~~Type 4 temporary traffic barriers shall be those types that are intended to be readily moveable to accommodate the shifting of traffic lanes on a daily basis to better facilitate the changing volumes of traffic during the peak hours of a day~~*Barrier used as Type 4 temporary traffic barriers shall be readily moveable and meet the appropriate test level 3 MASH or NCHRP 350 crash test standards criteria and shall be approved for use by the FHWA. A copy of the MASH or NCHRP 350 crash test FHWA eligibility letter shall be provided to the Engineer prior to placing the unit. A copy of the crash test report confirming the product is NCHRP 350 or MASH compliant for the test level specified, or a copy of the FHWA eligibility letter, shall be furnished to the Engineer prior to the installation of the system barrier.*

(a) Placement

Temporary traffic barriers shall be located as shown on the plans or as directed. ~~Each run of temporary traffic barrier shall be installed and maintained such that adjoining units form a smooth continuous plane, except for the start and end of a flared section. Temporary traffic barriers used to close a lane of traffic shall be flared at the rates as shown on the plans for the applicable regulatory speed within the construction zone. If field conditions are such that the required flare rate cannot be utilized, the tapered alignment may be altered, with approval, to a 10:1 flare rate with a 20 ft minimum offset from the edge of the through traffic lane to the approaching end of the flared temporary traffic barrier. If field conditions are such that that the 10:1 flare rate cannot be utilized, the tapered alignment may be further altered, with approval, to a 6:1 flare rate with the 20 ft minimum offset. Flare rates for ends of temporary traffic barriers at locations where a lane of traffic is not being closed to traffic or where the lane has already been closed shall be the same as above, however the minimum offset from the edge of the through traffic lane may be 10 ft. The use of flare rates sharper than those shown on the plans may require additional traffic control devices as directed.~~*temporary traffic barrier shall be extended parallel to traffic to satisfy the length of need and an appropriate end treatment placed in*

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 801 – TRAFFIC CONTROLS FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS

801.03 General Requirements

801.10 Temporary Traffic Barriers

801.10.1 Construction Zone Energy Absorbing Terminal, CZ

~~accordance with 801.10(e).~~ Each run of temporary traffic barrier shall be installed and maintained such that abutting segments form a smooth continuous plane, except for the start and end of a flared section.

~~Type 2 barriers shall not be intermixed with Type 1 or Type 3 barriers in any run. Type 2 barriers from different manufacturers shall not be intermixed in any run.~~

The cross slope or side slope leading to and on which temporary traffic barrier is placed shall be 10:1 or flatter. For roadways other than freeways or interstates, if field conditions are such that the required slopes cannot be utilized, the temporary traffic barrier may be placed on a side slope of no steeper than 4:1, subject to approval prior to placement.

Temporary traffic barrier shall be flared at the rates as shown on the plans for the applicable regulatory speed within the construction zone.

Where temporary traffic barrier is exposed to oncoming traffic and the approaching end is within the construction clear zone distance as shown on the plans, an appropriate end treatment shall be placed in accordance with 801.10(e). Where required slopes and barrier flare rates are satisfied, the barrier may be extended beyond the construction clear zone distance without an end treatment.

Where temporary traffic barrier is placed adjacent to the front face of guardrail or concrete barrier, the approaching end of the temporary traffic barrier, including the end treatment, shall overlap a minimum distance beyond the end of the guardrail or concrete barrier as follows.

1. 15 ft if adjacent guardrail terminated with a crashworthy end treatment,
2. 40 ft if adjacent guardrail terminated with a cable terminal anchor,
3. 100 ft if adjacent concrete barrier or cut guardrail.

Where temporary traffic barrier is placed behind guardrail, no portion of the barrier shall be within 10 ft, measured from the front face of the guardrail. The approaching end of the barrier shall overlap a minimum distance beyond the end of the guardrail as described above. If field conditions are such that the required slopes or flare rate cannot be utilized, the temporary traffic barrier shall be placed adjacent to the front face of guardrail as described in this section.

Precast concrete barriers shall not be intermixed with precast concrete barriers of a different size or shape or with any non-concrete barrier in any run. Non-concrete barriers shall not be intermixed with barriers from different manufacturers in any run.

(b) Connection

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 801 – TRAFFIC CONTROLS FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS

801.03 General Requirements

801.10 Temporary Traffic Barriers

801.10.1 Construction Zone Energy Absorbing Terminal, CZ

Precast concrete barriers used as Type 1, Type 2, and/or Type 3 temporary traffic barriers sections shall be connected as shown on the plans and as follows:

1. Smooth Bar Hooks

- ~~1~~a. The ~~adjacent~~*abutting barrier sections* shall be placed end to end, with sufficient overlapping of the smooth bar hooks to allow placement of the connecting bolt or threaded rod and the top spacer.
- ~~2~~b. The ~~adjacent~~*abutting sections* shall then be moved in opposite directions for a sufficient distance to develop the maximum contact between the smooth bar hooks and the connecting bolt or threaded rod.
- ~~3~~c. The bottom spacer and nut shall then be placed as shown on the plans. The nut shall be sufficiently tightened to eliminate all gaps between the adjacent bolt heads, spacers, nuts, and washers which form the connection.

2. J-J Hook

- a. *The adjacent abutting barrier sections shall be placed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations such that the J-J hooks are engaged.*
- b. *The adjacent abutting barrier sections shall then be moved in opposite directions for a sufficient distance to develop the maximum separation between the barrier sections.*

~~Type 1 and Type 3 precast units which have previously been cast meeting earlier Department standards may be used. The Contractor will be allowed to mix Type 1 and Type 3 units in a run as long as the units are in good condition and the connecting devices are compatible. If units meeting earlier Department standards are used, a 1 in. bolt will be allowed to link the units together. The spacer detail shall, however, be in accordance with the current standard. Units cast after March 1, 2003 shall be linked with the 1 1/4 in. bolt.~~
Precast concrete barrier connecting devices shall not be intermixed.

~~Type 2 temporary traffic barriers~~
Temporary traffic barriers other than precast concrete as described as Type 1 shall be connected as recommended by the barrier manufacturer.

(c) Anchorage

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 801 – TRAFFIC CONTROLS FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS

801.03 General Requirements

801.10 Temporary Traffic Barriers

801.10.1 Construction Zone Energy Absorbing Terminal, CZ

~~Type 1 and Type 3 temporary traffic barriers shall be anchored in accordance with the methods shown on the plans, at the locations described herein. Type 2 barriers shall be anchored as recommended by the barrier manufacturer and at locations described herein. Temporary concrete traffic barriers shall be anchored when located on or within 60 ft of a bridge, and along tapered alignments at the locations shown on the plans. Anchoring at locations in addition to those described herein will shown shall be required when directed. Anchoring shall be in accordance with the NCHRP 350 or MASH crash test. The FHWA eligibility letter shall be provided to the Engineer prior to placing the barrier. Anchoring for precast concrete barriers described as Type 1 shall be as shown on the plans.~~

~~Anchoring all other barriers other than concrete shall be in accordance with the associated NCHRP 350 or MASH crash test. A copy of the associated crash test report or a copy of the FHWA eligibility letter, shall be furnished to the Engineer prior to the installation of the system. A copy of the anchorage installation details shall be furnished to the Engineer prior to installation of the system barrier.~~

Chemical anchor systems with removable bolts, or mechanical anchors may be used to anchor ~~Type 1~~ barriers to bridge decks, concrete pavement, and concrete shoulders. Mechanical anchors may be ferrous or non-ferrous material. ~~All anchors shall have a shear strength of 10,000 lb and an ultimate pullout strength of 6,500 lb.~~

Non-ferrous mechanical anchors shall be installed such that the top end of the sleeve is a minimum of 2 1/2 in. below the final finished concrete surface.

Ferrous mechanical anchors shall be completely removed when no longer required. All damage to the pavement shall be repaired as directed.

Non-ferrous anchor sleeves and the chemical adhesive component of chemical anchor systems may remain in place when no longer required. The holes remaining in the pavement shall be filled with appropriate material as directed.

(d) Delineation

~~Type 1~~ Temporary traffic barriers used to separate two-way traffic shall be delineated with top mounted temporary barrier delineators and with side mounted delineators. The top mounted delineators shall be two-sided, shall be yellow, and shall be placed on every other section of barrier wall. The top mounted delineators shall be mounted perpendicular to the direction of traffic flow. The side mounted delineators shall be yellow and shall be mounted in accordance with 602.03(f).

Temporary traffic barriers in locations other than separating two-way traffic shall be delineated with either Type C construction warning lights or top mounted temporary barrier delineators and with side mounted barrier delineators. The Type C lights or the top mounted

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 801 – TRAFFIC CONTROLS FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS

801.03 General Requirements

801.10 Temporary Traffic Barriers

801.10.1 Construction Zone Energy Absorbing Terminal, CZ

barrier delineators shall be spaced at the number of feet equal to the number of miles per hour in the ~~posted~~ *regulatory* speed limit with a minimum spacing of 20 ft. Bi-directional lenses will be required on the warning lights when the barrier is adjacent to a lane that is carrying alternating one-way traffic. The color of the barrier delineators shall be white when located on the right side of the traffic lane, and yellow when located on the left side of the traffic lane. The color of the barrier delineators shall be white when located adjacent to a lane that is carrying alternating one-way traffic.

Where the temporary traffic barrier is located along a tapered alignment and is located behind drums or other reflective delineation devices, the Type C construction warning lights and barrier delineators shall not be used.

(e) End Treatment

Where possible, the ends of temporary traffic barriers shall be ~~flared~~ in accordance with 801.10(a). Where conditions do not allow the temporary traffic barrier to be ~~flared~~ in accordance with 801.10(a), appropriate end treatments shall be ~~incorporated to protect vehicles from the ends of the barriers installed.~~ *All end treatments shall be installed parallel to traffic and the first ~~unit~~ segment of temporary traffic barrier immediately downstream shall be parallel to the end treatment.* The end treatments shall ~~have re-direct capability and shall meet the appropriate test level 2 or 3 NCHRP 350 crash test standards and be approved for use by the FHWA~~ *be in accordance with 801.10.1.*

(f) Storage

No barrier ~~segments~~ *units* shall be stored on the right-of-way unless written permission is given by the Department. Requests for permission to store traffic barrier ~~segments~~ *units* on the right-of-way will not be accepted until after the contract has been awarded.

801.10.1 Construction Zone Energy Absorbing Terminal, CZ

The construction zone energy absorbing terminal, CZ, shall ~~have passed NCHRP 350 level 3 crash test~~ *meet the test level 3 NCHRP 350 or MASH crash test criteria* for all Interstate and other construction sites having a ~~construction zone~~ *regulatory* speed limit *prior to construction* in excess of 45 mph. ~~and level 2~~ *The CZ shall meet test level 2* for non-Interstate construction sites having a *regulatory speed limit prior to construction zone speed limit* of 45 mph or less. ~~All energy absorbing terminal, CZ, shall have redirect capabilities and shall be approved by the FHWA.~~

All energy absorbing terminal, CZ, shall have redirect capabilities. A copy of the crash test report confirming the product is NCHRP 350 or MASH compliant for the test level specified, or a copy of the FHWA eligibility letter, shall be furnished to the Engineer prior to the installation of the unit.

PROPOSAL TO STANDARDS COMMITTEE

PROBLEM(S) ENCOUNTERED: The industry is beginning to implement electronic ticketing (e-ticketing) as a result of the 2022 RSP allowing e-ticketing in place of paper and a 2022 memo describing the implementation. However, greater opportunities for e-ticketing adoption exist. Especially with HMA, Concrete Ready Mix, and Aggregate e-ticketing which forms the bulk of highway construction material jobsite delivery. CM Dept is interested in increasing the total number of suppliers and contractors participating in e-ticket material delivery. CM Dept is also interested in increasing the total number of contracts utilizing e-ticketing even for those suppliers and contractors that have already started e-ticketing.

PROPOSED SOLUTION: Implement an e-ticket Incentive RSP for a period of time to encourage adoption and supplement the technology costs associated with implementing and maintaining e-ticketing systems. A new pay item "e-ticket incentive" will be applied on a per ticket basis for all e-tickets furnished and marked delivered in the INDOT e-ticket portal. This incentive is expected to increase the number of suppliers and contractors adopting e-ticketing technology while also increasing the scale of adoption of e-ticketing by suppliers and contractors that have already started e-ticketing with the Department.

APPLICABLE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS: 106.01(b),

APPLICABLE STANDARD DRAWINGS: N/A

APPLICABLE DESIGN MANUAL SECTION: N/A

APPLICABLE SECTION OF GIFE: 13.19

APPLICABLE RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISIONS: N/A

PAY ITEMS AFFECTED: N/A

APPLICABLE SUB-COMMITTEE ENDORSEMENT: The potential for an e-ticket incentive has been shared with ICI and APAI.

IF APPROVED AS RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISION OR PLAN DETAILS, PROPOSED BASIS FOR USE:
Required for all contracts except LPA sponsored contracts.

IMPACT ANALYSIS (attach report):

Submitted By: Joe Novak
Title: State Construction Engineer
Organization: INDOT
Phone Number: 317-501-7805
Date:

IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT CHECKLIST

Explain the business case as to why this item should be presented to the Standards Committee for approval. Answer the following questions with Yes, No or N/A.

Does this item appear in any other specification sections? No

Will approval of this item affect the Approved Materials List? No

Will this proposal improve:

Construction costs? No

Construction time? Yes

Customer satisfaction? Yes

Congestion/travel time? No

Ride quality? No

Will this proposal reduce operational costs or maintenance effort? No

Will this item improve safety:

For motorists? No

For construction workers? Yes

Will this proposal improve quality for:

Construction procedures/processes? Yes

Asset preservation? No

Design process? No

Will this change provide the contractor more flexibility? Yes

Will this proposal provide clarification for the Contractor and field personnel? Yes

Can this item improve/reduce the number of potential change orders? No

Is this proposal needed for compliance with:

Federal or State regulations? No

AASHTO or other design code? No

Is this item editorial? No

Provide any further information as to why this proposal should be placed on the Standards Committee meeting Agenda: N/A

REVISION TO SPECIAL PROVISIONS

PROPOSED NEW: 106-C-xxx E-TICKETING INCENTIVE

(Discussion on this Item from May 18, 2023 meeting)

106-C-xxx E-TICKETING INCENTIVE

(Adopted xx-xx-23)

Description

This work shall consist of furnishing an electronic material delivery ticket, e-ticket, and delivering the e-ticket to the Department's e-ticketing portal, MyDOTportal, in accordance with 105.03.

Construction Requirements

The Department will pay an incentive for each e-ticket which is delivered to the Department's e-ticketing portal. The incentive will only apply to e-tickets which are:

- (a) The latter of submitted after June 30, 2023 or the date this provision was added to the contract,
- (b) One of the following material types: Asphalt, Concrete, or Aggregate,
- (c) Marked "Delivered" by Department personnel in MyDOTportal, and
- (d) Utilized as the basis of payment or source document in the final construction record.

The e-ticket furnished and delivered to the Department's e-ticketing portal shall be in accordance with 106.01(b)1. The information provided on each e-ticket furnished shall be in accordance with 106.01(b)2.

Method of Measurement

The e-ticket incentive will be measured by the number of tickets, furnished and delivered to the Department's e-ticketing portal. The Department will provide a report to the Contractor showing the number of delivered tickets per supplier.

Basis of Payment

The e-ticket incentive will be paid for at the contract unit price per each ticket, furnished and delivered to the Department's e-ticketing portal. The unit price for this incentive will be \$2.00 per ticket. The incentive will be applied as a one-time payment on the partial pay estimate after the contract is substantially complete.

Payment will be made under:

Pay Item	Pay Unit Symbol
E-Ticket Incentive.....	EACH

The incentive will not apply to alternate e-ticket systems selected by the Contractor or Supplier that do not furnish and deliver e-tickets to the Department's e-ticketing portal.

COMMENTS AND ACTION

106-C-xxx E-TICKETING INCENTIVE

DISCUSSION:

Mr. Novak, introduced and presented this item, assisted by Mr. Blanchard, stating that the industry is beginning to implement electronic ticketing (e-ticketing) as a result of the 2022 RSP allowing e-ticketing in place of paper and a 2022 memo describing the implementation. However, greater opportunities for e-ticketing adoption exist. Especially with HMA, Concrete Ready Mix, and Aggregate e-ticketing which forms the bulk of highway construction material jobsite delivery. The Construction Management Division is interested in increasing the total number of suppliers and contractors participating in e-ticket material delivery and the total number of contracts utilizing e-ticketing, even for those suppliers and contractors that have already started e-ticketing.

Mr. Novak proposed to implement an e-ticket Incentive RSP for a period of time to encourage adoption and supplement the technology costs associated with implementing and maintaining e-ticketing systems. A new pay item "e-ticket incentive" will be applied on a per ticket basis for all e-tickets furnished and marked delivered in the INDOT e-ticket portal. This incentive is expected to increase the number of suppliers and contractors adopting e-ticketing technology while also increasing the scale of adoption of e-ticketing by suppliers and contractors that have already started e-ticketing with the Department.

Mr. Koch asked if this would require a construction memo. Mr. Blanchard responded that yes, it will.

There was no further discussion and this item passed as submitted.

Motion: Mr. Novak Second: Mr. Pelz Ayes: 10 Nays: 0 FHWA Approval: <u>YES</u>	<u>Action:</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Passed as Submitted <input type="checkbox"/> Passed as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn
2024 Standard Specifications Sections referenced and/or affected: 106.01(b) pg 64.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2026 Standard Specifications <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revise Pay Items List <input type="checkbox"/> Notification to Designers if change is <u>not</u> addressed by RSP
Recurring Special Provisions or Plan Details: proposed new	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Create RSP (No. <u>106-C-280</u>) Effective: <u>December 1, 2023</u>
Standard Drawing affected: NONE	<input type="checkbox"/> Revise RSP (No. <u> </u>) Effective:
Design Manual Sections affected: NONE	<input type="checkbox"/> Standard Drawing Effective:
GIFE Sections cross-references: 13.19	<input type="checkbox"/> Create RPD (No. <u> </u>) Effective:
	<input type="checkbox"/> GIFE Update <input type="checkbox"/> Frequency Manual Update <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SiteManager Update

PROPOSAL TO STANDARDS COMMITTEE

PROBLEM(S) ENCOUNTERED: Bridge Expansion Joints, Types SS and M utilize steel components to hold the strip seals in place and to secure the joints to the concrete bridge deck. The strip seals will eventually break down and leak over time, but can be replaced if the steel components are in satisfactory condition. The current specifications only require the steel components to be shop primed, which doesn't provide a long-term protection against corrosion. This can lead to a reduced service life and can make replacement of the strip seal unfeasible.

PROPOSED SOLUTION: The proposed changes require the steel components to be galvanized, which will increase the service life of the joint and will facilitate future strip seal replacement.

APPLICABLE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS: 724.02, 724.03, 906.07

APPLICABLE STANDARD DRAWINGS: E 724-BSSJ (no changes required)

APPLICABLE DESIGN MANUAL SECTION: 404-2.06(03) (no changes required)

APPLICABLE SECTION OF GIFE: 5.20 (no changes required)

APPLICABLE RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISIONS: N/A (no changes required to 724-B-086)

PAY ITEMS AFFECTED: N/A

APPLICABLE SUB-COMMITTEE ENDORSEMENT: Ad hoc committee including the INDOT Bridge Asset Engineers, David Christmas, and Jim Reilman.

IF APPROVED AS RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISION OR PLAN DETAILS, PROPOSED BASIS FOR USE: Pay Items 724-12771 BRIDGE EXPANSION JOINT, SS, 724-12772 BRIDGE EXPANSION JOINT, M, 724-12774 BRIDGE EXPANSION JOINT, SS, REPLACE, 724-12775 BRIDGE EXPANSION JOINT, M, REPLACE

IMPACT ANALYSIS (attach report):

Submitted By: Pete White, PE
Title: Design Manager
Division: INDOT Bridge Engineering
E-mail: pewwhite@indot.in.gov

Date: May 15, 2023

IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT CHECKLIST

Explain the business case as to why this item should be presented to the Standards Committee for approval. Answer the following questions with Yes, No or N/A.

Does this item appear in any other specification sections? No

Will approval of this item affect the Qualified Products List (QPL)? No

Will this proposal improve:

Construction costs? No

Construction time? No

Customer satisfaction? No

Congestion/travel time? No

Ride quality? No

Will this proposal reduce operational costs or maintenance effort? Yes

Will this item improve safety:

For motorists? No

For construction workers? No

Will this proposal improve quality for:

Construction procedures/processes? No

Asset preservation? Yes

Design process? No

Will this change provide the contractor more flexibility? No

Will this proposal provide clarification for the Contractor and field personnel? Yes

Can this item improve/reduce the number of potential change orders? No

Is this proposal needed for compliance with:

Federal or State regulations? No

AASHTO or other design code? No

Is this item editorial? No

Provide any further information as to why this proposal should be placed on the Standards Committee meeting Agenda: The proposed changes will increase the service life of Type SS and Type M Bridge Expansion Joints, which can also extend the service life of bridges.

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 724 – BRIDGE EXPANSION JOINTS

724.02 Materials

724.03 General Requirements

SECTION 906 – JOINT MATERIALS

906.07 Bridge Expansion Joints

The Standard Specifications are revised as follows:

SECTION 724, BEGIN LINE 19, DELETE AS FOLLOWS:

724.02 Materials

Materials shall be in accordance with the following:

Bridge Deck Patching Concrete	722
Bridge Expansion Joint Type M	906.07(b)
Bridge Expansion Joint Type PCF	906.07(c)
Bridge Expansion Joint Type SS.....	906.07(a)
Concrete, Class C	702
Inorganic Zinc Primer	909.02(a)1
Rapid Set Patching Materials	901.07
Structural Steel	910.02

SECTION 724, BEGIN LINE 62, INSERT AS FOLLOWS:

724.03 General Requirements

All welding shall be in accordance with 711.32. All splice welds shall develop full strength. All welds which come in contact with the strip seals shall be ground smooth. *Fins or other isolated areas of galvanized coating that interfere or would be expected to interfere with the strip seal making a watertight seal with the steel extrusion shall be removed or ground smooth prior to installation of the strip seal. Any portions of the galvanized coating that are damaged due to welding or other activities shall be repaired in accordance with ASTM A780.* All metal surfaces in direct contact with the strip seal shall be cleaned and properly treated in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations to provide a high strength bond between the strip seal and mating metal surfaces. Lubricants and adhesives shall be used in accordance with the joint manufacturer's recommendations. All excess lubricant and adhesive shall be removed before it has set. The strip seals shall be clean and free of foreign materials.

SECTION 906, BEGIN LINE 127, DELETE AND INSERT AS FOLLOWS:

906.07 Bridge Expansion Joints

Type SS and Type M joints, including anchor assemblies, shall be shop fabricated, delivered, and installed as a continuous unit for lengths up to 46 ft. Joints longer than 46 ft shall be furnished in continuous units or in appropriate shorter sections as shown on the working drawings and approved by the Engineer. Joints used in stage construction shall be furnished in sections appropriate to accommodate the work. All steel joints furnished in sections shall be spliced with welds, with ends prepared for welding in the shop. All welds shall be in accordance with 711.32.

The profile of the joint in the roadway area shall conform to the roadway cross-section. Where changes in direction are required, such as at curbs or concrete rails, the sections shall be cut to the bevel required to produce the same cross-section on each piece being joined. Slider

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 724 – BRIDGE EXPANSION JOINTS

724.02 Materials

724.03 General Requirements

SECTION 906 – JOINT MATERIALS

906.07 Bridge Expansion Joints

plates shall be provided at curbs, walkways, and concrete rails as part of the completed joint assembly. The slider plate shall be the same material as the extrusion and shall be galvanized in accordance with ASTM A123.

All exposed structural steel surfaces, except stainless steel or polytetrafluoroethylene coated, shall be ~~shop painted in accordance with 619~~ galvanized in accordance with ASTM A123.

Type PCF joints shall be fabricated, delivered, and installed in lengths no less than 6 ft. Sections of joint shall be field spliced using silicone sealant in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. Joints shall be furnished with the fewest number of splices possible, and sections less than 6 ft in length shall not be used unless required to complete the remaining length at the ends of a joint or construction phase.

APPROVED MINUTES

COMMENTS AND ACTION

- 724.02 Materials
- 724.03 General Requirements
- 906.07 Bridge Expansion Joints

DISCUSSION:

This item was introduced and presented by Mr. White who explained that Bridge Expansion Joints, Types SS and M utilize steel components to hold the strip seals in place and to secure the joints to the concrete bridge deck. The strip seals will eventually break down and leak over time, but can be replaced if the steel components are in satisfactory condition. The current specifications only require the steel components to be shop primed, which doesn't provide any long-term protection against corrosion. This can lead to a reduced service life and can make replacement of the strip seal unfeasible.

Mr. White proposed the above changes to require the steel components to be galvanized, which will increase the service life of the joint and will facilitate future strip seal replacement.

There was no further discussion and this item passed as submitted.

	Action:	
Motion: Mr. White Second: Mr. Novak Ayes: 10 Nays: 0 FHWA Approval: <u>YES</u>	<u>X</u> — —	Passed as Submitted Passed as Revised Withdrawn
2024 Standard Specifications Sections referenced and/or affected: 724.02, 724.03, 906.07	<u>X</u> — —	2026 Standard Specifications Revise Pay Items List Notification to Designers if change is <u>not</u> addressed by RSP
Recurring Special Provisions or Plan Details: N/A (no changes required to 724-B-086)	<u>X</u> —	Create RSP (No. <u>724-B-320</u>) Effective: <u>December 1, 2023</u>
Standard Drawing affected: E 724-BSSJ (no changes required)	— —	Revise RSP (No. <u> </u>) Effective: Standard Drawing Effective:
Design Manual Sections affected: 404-2.06(03) (no changes required)	— —	Create RPD (No. <u> </u>) Effective:
GIFE Sections cross-references: 5.20 (no changes required)	— <u>X</u> <u>X</u>	GIFE Update Frequency Manual Update SiteManager Update

PROPOSAL TO STANDARDS COMMITTEE

PROBLEM(S) ENCOUNTERED: Occasional performance issues with SMA mixtures have presented themselves, namely draindown and bleeding/flushing.

PROPOSED SOLUTION: Improve our SMA stabilizing additive requirements.

APPLICABLE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS: 410

APPLICABLE STANDARD DRAWINGS: NA

APPLICABLE DESIGN MANUAL SECTION: NA

APPLICABLE SECTION OF GIFE: NA

APPLICABLE RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISIONS: NA

PAY ITEMS AFFECTED: NA

APPLICABLE SUB-COMMITTEE ENDORSEMENT: Materials and Construction Committee

IF APPROVED AS RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISION OR PLAN DETAILS, PROPOSED BASIS FOR USE:
Required for all contracts with a 410 pay item.

IMPACT ANALYSIS (attach report):

Submitted By: Jim Reilman

Title: State Materials Engineer

Organization: INDOT Materials and Tests

Phone Number: 317-522-9692

Date: 5/22/23

IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT CHECKLIST

Explain the business case as to why this item should be presented to the Standards Committee for approval. Answer the following questions with Yes, No or N/A.

Does this item appear in any other specification sections? N

Will approval of this item affect the Approved Materials List? N

Will this proposal improve:

Construction costs? N

Construction time? N

Customer satisfaction? Y

Congestion/travel time? N

Ride quality? Y

Will this proposal reduce operational costs or maintenance effort? Y

Will this item improve safety:

For motorists? Y

For construction workers? N

Will this proposal improve quality for:

Construction procedures/processes? Y

Asset preservation? Y

Design process? N

Will this change provide the contractor more flexibility? N

Will this proposal provide clarification for the Contractor and field personnel? Y

Can this item improve/reduce the number of potential change orders? Y

Is this proposal needed for compliance with:

Federal or State regulations? N

AASHTO or other design code? N

Is this item editorial? N

Provide any further information as to why this proposal should be placed on the Standards Committee meeting Agenda: Requiring virgin fibers/cellulose will improve SMA mixture performance. Per Industry guidance, requirements for virgin fibers may make the SMA too stiff in conjunction with RAS. Fibers will increase VMA. If used in conjunction with RAS, it may require changes to the mix (finer) that we are not in favor of.

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 410 – QC/QA HMA – SMA PAVEMENT

410.03 Materials

410.06 Recycled Materials

The Standard Specifications are revised as follows:

SECTION 410, BEGIN LINE 21, INSERT AS FOLLOWS:

410.03 Materials

Materials shall be in accordance with the following:

Asphalt Materials

PG Binder, PG 76-22, PG 70-22 902.01(a)

Coarse Aggregates, Class AS 904.03

Fine Aggregates (sand, mineral filler) 904.02

Stabilizing Additives* AASHTO M 325

**The stabilizer shall be virgin cellulose or virgin mineral fiber.*

SECTION 410, BEGIN LINE 110, INSERT AS FOLLOWS:

410.06 Recycled Materials

Recycled materials shall be in accordance with 401.06 for dense graded mixtures except *RAS shall not be used and non-SMA RAP material for use in the SMA mixture shall be 100% passing the 3/8 in. (9.5 mm) sieve and 95 to 100% passing the No. 4 (4.75 mm) sieve.*

APPROVED MINUTES

COMMENTS AND ACTION

410.03 Materials
410.06 Recycled Materials

DISCUSSION:

This item was introduced and presented by Mr. Beeson, sitting in as proxy for Mr. Reilman, who stated that occasional performance issues with SMA mixtures have presented themselves, namely draindown and bleeding/flushing.

Mr. Beeson proposed the above shown revisions to improve our SMA stabilizing additive requirements.

There was no further discussion and this item passed as submitted.

	<u>Action:</u>
Motion: Mr. Beeson Second: Mr. Dave Ayes: 10 Nays: 0 FHWA Approval: <u>YES</u>	<u>X</u> Passed as Submitted — Passed as Revised — Withdrawn
2024 Standard Specifications Sections referenced and/or affected: 410.03 and 410.06 pg. 351 and 354.	<u>X</u> 2026 Standard Specifications — Revise Pay Items List — Notification to Designers if change is <u>not</u> addressed by RSP
Recurring Special Provisions or Plan Details: 410-R-418 SMA SPRAY PAVER AND EMULSION (refer. 410.02)	<u>X</u> Create RSP (No. <u>410-R-759</u>) Effective: <u>December 1, 2023</u>
Standard Drawing affected: NONE	— Revise RSP (No. __) Effective:
Design Manual Sections affected: NONE	— Standard Drawing Effective:
GIFE Sections cross-references: NONE	— Create RPD (No. __) Effective:
	— GIFE Update — Frequency Manual Update — SiteManager Update

PROPOSAL TO STANDARDS COMMITTEE

PROBLEM(S) ENCOUNTERED: materials for pavement marking beads were required to be from the QPL as well as have a type C certification. This duplication should be corrected.

PROPOSED SOLUTION: delete the requirement for certification since the material is required to come from a QPL

APPLICABLE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS: 921.02

APPLICABLE STANDARD DRAWINGS: None

APPLICABLE DESIGN MANUAL SECTION: None

APPLICABLE SECTION OF GIFE:

APPLICABLE RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISIONS: create new 808 RSP

PAY ITEMS AFFECTED:

APPLICABLE SUB-COMMITTEE ENDORSEMENT: Ad hoc: Dave Boruff, Joe Bruno, Dave Jacobs, Jim Reilman

IF APPROVED AS RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISION OR PLAN DETAILS, PROPOSED BASIS FOR USE: same BFU as 808-T-233

IMPACT ANALYSIS (attach report):

Submitted By: Jim Reilman for David Jacobs

Title: State Materials Engineer

Organization: INDOT

Phone Number: (317) 522-9692

Date: 5/22/23

IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT CHECKLIST

Explain the business case as to why this item should be presented to the Standards Committee for approval. Answer the following questions with Yes, No or N/A.

Does this item appear in any other specification sections? No

Will approval of this item affect the Approved Materials List? No

Will this proposal improve:

Construction costs? N/A

Construction time? N/A

Customer satisfaction? Yes

Congestion/travel time? N/A

Ride quality? N/A

Will this proposal reduce operational costs or maintenance effort? N/A

Will this item improve safety:

For motorists? N/A

For construction workers? N/A

Will this proposal improve quality for:

Construction procedures/processes? Yes

Asset preservation? N/A

Design process? N/A

Will this change provide the contractor more flexibility? N/A

Will this proposal provide clarification for the Contractor and field personnel? Yes

Can this item improve/reduce the number of potential change orders? N/A

Is this proposal needed for compliance with:

Federal or State regulations? No

AASHTO or other design code? No

Is this item editorial? No

Provide any further information as to why this proposal should be placed on the Standards Committee meeting Agenda:

REVISION TO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 921 – PAVEMENT MARKING MATERIALS

921.02(e) Pavement Marking Beads

The Standard Specifications are revised as follows:

SECTION 921, BEGIN LINE 104, INSERT AND DELETE AS FOLLOWS:

(e) Pavement Marking Beads

~~A Type C certification in accordance with 916 shall be provided for the pavement marking beads.~~ *Pavement marking beads and supplemental elements shall be selected from the QPL of Pavement Marking Beads.*

1. Standard Beads

Beads shall be glass in accordance with AASHTO M 247, Type 1. The beads shall have a moisture resistant coating.

2. Modified Standard Beads

The modified standard beads shall be glass in accordance with AASHTO M 247, Type 2. These beads shall have a moisture resistant coating and may have an adhesion promoting coating.

3. Supplemental Beads

The supplemental beads shall be glass in accordance with AASHTO M 247, Type 4 except the beads shall have a minimum roundness of 80% by weight.

These beads shall ~~a~~ have a moisture resistant coating and may have an adhesion promoting coating.

4. Supplemental Elements

These shall be for wet weather retro-reflectivity and shall be used for thermoplastic and multi-component longitudinal line markings but shall not exhibit a characteristic of toxicity referenced in AASHTO M 247. ~~The supplemental elements shall be selected from the QPL of Pavement Marking Beads.~~

~~A Type C certification in accordance with 916 shall be furnished for the supplemental elements.~~

COMMENTS AND ACTION

921.02(e) Pavement Marking Beads

DISCUSSION:

Mr. Beeson, sitting in as proxy for Mr. Reilman, introduced and presented this item stating that materials for pavement marking beads were required to be from the QPL as well as have a type C certification. This duplication should be corrected.

Mr. Beeson proposed to delete the requirement for certification since the material is required to come from a QPL.

Mr. Jacobs asked if Mr. Boruff is ready to shift all bead type approvals to the QPL. Mr. Boruff said that yes, it can happen rather quickly.

There was no further discussion and this item passed as submitted.

Motion: Mr. Beeson Second: Mr. Boruff Ayes: 10 Nays: 0 FHWA Approval: <u>YES</u>	<u>Action:</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Passed as Submitted <input type="checkbox"/> Passed as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn
2024 Standard Specifications Sections referenced and/or affected: 921.02 pg. 1161 - 1164	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	2026 Standard Specifications Revise Pay Items List Notification to Designers if change is <u>not</u> addressed by RSP
Recurring Special Provisions or Plan Details: NONE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Create RSP (No. <u>921-M-067</u>) Effective: <u>December 1, 2023</u>
Standard Drawing affected: NONE	<input type="checkbox"/>	Revise RSP (No. <u> </u>) Effective:
Design Manual Sections affected: NONE	<input type="checkbox"/>	Standard Drawing Effective:
GIFE Sections cross-references: TBD	<input type="checkbox"/>	Create RPD (No. <u> </u>) Effective:
	<input type="checkbox"/>	GIFE Update
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequency Manual Update
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SiteManager Update

PROPOSAL TO STANDARDS COMMITTEE

PROBLEM(S) ENCOUNTERED: IDIQ contracts have IDIQ specific special provisions, and they are currently being administered at USPs. This results in significant time resources to submit them through the USP approval process.

PROPOSED SOLUTION: Turn the IDIQ specific Mobilization and Demobilization USP into an RSP to increase statewide consistency and reduce approval time.

APPLICABLE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS: 110

APPLICABLE STANDARD DRAWINGS: N/A

APPLICABLE DESIGN MANUAL SECTION: N/A

APPLICABLE SECTION OF GIFE: N/A

APPLICABLE RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISIONS: N/A

PAY ITEMS AFFECTED: N/A

APPLICABLE SUB-COMMITTEE ENDORSEMENT: N/A

IF APPROVED AS RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISION OR PLAN DETAILS, PROPOSED BASIS FOR USE: All IDIQ contracts.

IMPACT ANALYSIS (attach report):

Submitted By: Patrick Patterson via Joe Novak

Title: Field Engineer

Division: Construction Management

E-mail: ppatterson1@indot.in.gov

Date: 5/30/23

IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT CHECKLIST

Explain the business case as to why this item should be presented to the Standards Committee for approval. Answer the following questions with Yes, No or N/A.

Does this item appear in any other specification sections? N/A

Will approval of this item affect the Qualified Products List (QPL)? No

Will this proposal improve:

Construction costs? No

Construction time? No

Customer satisfaction? No

Congestion/travel time? No

Ride quality? No

Will this proposal reduce operational costs or maintenance effort? No

Will this item improve safety:

For motorists? No

For construction workers? No

Will this proposal improve quality for:

Construction procedures/processes? No

Asset preservation? No

Design process? Yes

Will this change provide the contractor more flexibility? No

Will this proposal provide clarification for the Contractor and field personnel? Yes

Can this item improve/reduce the number of potential change orders? No

Is this proposal needed for compliance with:

Federal or State regulations? No

AASHTO or other design code? No

Is this item editorial? No

Provide any further information as to why this proposal should be placed on the Standards Committee meeting Agenda:

REVISION TO SPECIAL PROVISIONS

~~1xx-C-xxx MOBILIZATION AND DEMOBILIZATION FOR IDIQ (PROPOSED NEW)
100-C-xxx IDIQ WORK ORDERS~~

~~1xx-C-xxx MOBILIZATION AND DEMOBILIZATION FOR IDIQ~~

~~(Adopted xx-xx-23)~~

~~**Description**~~

~~This work shall consist of mobilization and demobilization for completing the scope of work set forth in the Work Order in accordance with 105.03.~~

~~**Construction Requirements**~~

~~Mobilization and demobilization shall be in accordance with 110.01.~~

~~**Method of Measurement**~~

~~Mobilization and demobilization will not be measured.~~

~~**Basis of Payment**~~

~~Payment for mobilization and demobilization will be calculated based on the needs to complete the scope of work determined for the work order at the time of the joint scoping meeting. Mobilization and Demobilization shall be included in the Work Order Price Proposal as part of the Work Order Request Form completed by the Contractor.~~

~~Payment will be made under:~~

Pay Item	Pay Unit Symbol
Mobilization and Demobilization, IDIQ.	DOL

~~The costs of all materials, equipment, tools, labor, transportation, operations, and incidentals required for mobilization and demobilization shall be included in the cost of this work.~~

~~(Note: Only affected section shown. This RSP was approved by the Standards Committee on May 18, 2023 meeting.)~~

~~10x-C-xxx IDIQ WORK ORDERS~~

~~(Adopted 05-18-23)~~

~~The Standard Specifications are revised as follows:~~

~~[-----]~~

~~SECTION 110, BEGIN LINE 23, DELETE AS FOLLOWS:~~

~~**110.04 Basis of Payment**~~

~~This work will be paid for at the contract lump sum price for mobilization and demobilization. Payment for mobilization and demobilization will be calculated based on the needs to complete the scope of work determined for the work order at the time of the joint scoping meeting. Mobilization and Demobilization shall be included in the Work Order Price Proposal as part of the Work Order Request Form completed by the Contractor.~~

REVISION TO SPECIAL PROVISIONS

~~1xx-C-xxx MOBILIZATION AND DEMOBILIZATION FOR IDIQ (PROPOSED NEW)~~
100-C-xxx IDIQ WORK ORDERS

Payment will be made under:

Pay Item

Pay Unit Symbol

Mobilization and Demobilization, IDIQ..... LSDOL

The cost of all materials, equipment, tools, labor, transportation, operations, and incidentals required for mobilization and demobilization shall be included in the cost of this work.

~~If no pay item for mobilization and demobilization is shown in the Schedule of Pay Items, the cost of the work described above shall be included in the total cost of the contract, with no direct payment for the work.~~

APPROVED MINUTES

COMMENTS AND ACTION

1xx-C-xxx MOBILIZATION AND DEMOBILIZATION FOR IDIQ

DISCUSSION:

This item was introduced and presented by Mr. Novak, assisted by Mr. Patterson, who explained that IDIQ contracts have IDIQ specific special provisions, and they are currently being administered as USPs. This results in significant time resources to submit them through the USP approval process.

Mr. Novak proposed to turn the IDIQ specific Mobilization and Demobilization USP into an RSP to increase statewide consistency and reduce approval time. Mr. Novak explained the revisions to this proposal and how it makes more sense to include this into the previously approved RSP.

There was no further discussion and this item passed as revised.

	<u>Action:</u>
Motion: Mr. Novak	<input type="checkbox"/>
Second: Mr. Pelz	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ayes: 10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Nays: 0	<input type="checkbox"/>
FHWA Approval: <u>YES</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2024 Standard Specifications Sections referenced and/or affected: 110 pg. 125-126	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Recurring Special Provisions or Plan Details: NONE	<input type="checkbox"/>
Standard Drawing affected: NONE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Design Manual Sections affected: NONE	<input type="checkbox"/>
GIFE Sections cross-references: NONE	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
	Passed as Submitted
	Passed as Revised
	Withdrawn
	2026 Standard Specifications
	Revise Pay Items List
	Notification to Designers if change is <u>not</u> addressed by RSP
	Create RSP (No. _____) Effective:
	Revise RSP (No. <u>109-C-279</u>) Effective: <u>December 1, 2023</u>
	Standard Drawing Effective:
	Create RPD (No. __) Effective:
	GIFE Update
	Frequency Manual Update
	SiteManager Update

PROPOSAL TO STANDARDS COMMITTEE

PROBLEM(S) ENCOUNTERED: IDIQ contracts have IDIQ specific special provisions, and they are currently being administered at USPs. This results in significant time resources to submit them through the USP approval process.

PROPOSED SOLUTION: Turn the IDIQ specific Maintaining Traffic USP into an RSP to increase statewide consistency and reduce approval time.

APPLICABLE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS: 801

APPLICABLE STANDARD DRAWINGS: N/A

APPLICABLE DESIGN MANUAL SECTION: N/A

APPLICABLE SECTION OF GIFE: N/A

APPLICABLE RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISIONS: N/A

PAY ITEMS AFFECTED: N/A

APPLICABLE SUB-COMMITTEE ENDORSEMENT: N/A

IF APPROVED AS RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISION OR PLAN DETAILS, PROPOSED BASIS FOR USE: All IDIQ contracts.

IMPACT ANALYSIS (attach report):

Submitted By: Patrick Patterson via Joe Novak

Title: Field Engineer

Division: Construction Management

E-mail: ppatterson1@indot.in.gov

Date: 5/30/23

IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT CHECKLIST

Explain the business case as to why this item should be presented to the Standards Committee for approval. Answer the following questions with Yes, No or N/A.

Does this item appear in any other specification sections? N/A

Will approval of this item affect the Qualified Products List (QPL)? No

Will this proposal improve:

Construction costs? No

Construction time? No

Customer satisfaction? No

Congestion/travel time? No

Ride quality? No

Will this proposal reduce operational costs or maintenance effort? No

Will this item improve safety:

For motorists? No

For construction workers? No

Will this proposal improve quality for:

Construction procedures/processes? No

Asset preservation? No

Design process? Yes

Will this change provide the contractor more flexibility? No

Will this proposal provide clarification for the Contractor and field personnel? Yes

Can this item improve/reduce the number of potential change orders? No

Is this proposal needed for compliance with:

Federal or State regulations? No

AASHTO or other design code? No

Is this item editorial? No

Provide any further information as to why this proposal should be placed on the Standards Committee meeting Agenda:

REVISION TO SPECIAL PROVISIONS

xxx-x-xxx MAINTAINING TRAFFIC FOR IDIQ (PROPOSED NEW)

xxx-x-xxx MAINTAINING TRAFFIC FOR IDIQ

(Adopted xx-xx-23)

Description

This work shall consist of maintaining traffic for completing the scope of work set forth in the Work Order in accordance with 105.03 and 801.

Materials

Materials shall be in accordance with 801.02.

Construction Requirements

At the time of the Joint Scoping Meeting and discussion of the work order, the Engineer and the Contractor will determine the needs for maintaining traffic to complete the work order scope of work. This shall include, but not be limited to, drums, set up, adjustment and tear down of drums or other traffic devices, and shift differentials to accommodate limitations on when the work shall occur as needed.

Maintenance of traffic shall be in accordance with the applicable portions of 801.

Method of Measurement

Maintenance of traffic will be measured in accordance with 801.17.

Basis of Payment

Payment for maintaining traffic will be calculated based on the needs to complete the scope of work for the work order at the time of the joint scoping meeting and when the Work Order Request Form has been completed by the Contractor.

Payment will be made under:

Pay Item	Pay Unit Symbol
Maintaining Traffic, IDIQ	DOL

The costs of material, transportation, placement, and all incidentals shall be included in the cost of the pay item.

COMMENTS AND ACTION

XXX-X-xxx MAINTAINING TRAFFIC FOR IDIQ

DISCUSSION:

This item was introduced and presented by Mr. Novak who explained that IDIQ contracts have IDIQ specific special provisions, and they are currently being administered at USPs. This results in significant time resources to submit them through the USP approval process.

Mr. Novak proposed to turn the IDIQ specific Maintaining Traffic USP into an RSP to increase statewide consistency and reduce approval time.

There was no further discussion and this item passed as submitted.

	<u>Action:</u>
Motion: Mr. Novak Second: Mr. Pelz Ayes: 10 Nays: 0 FHWA Approval: <u>YES</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Passed as Submitted <input type="checkbox"/> Passed as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn
2024 Standard Specifications Sections referenced and/or affected: 801 begin pg. 867	<input type="checkbox"/> 2026 Standard Specifications <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revise Pay Items List <input type="checkbox"/> Notification to Designers if change is <u>not</u> addressed by RSP
Recurring Special Provisions or Plan Details: NONE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Create RSP (No. <u>801-T-235</u>) Effective: <u>December 1, 2023</u>
Standard Drawing affected: NONE	<input type="checkbox"/> Revise RSP (No.) Effective:
Design Manual Sections affected: NONE	<input type="checkbox"/> Standard Drawing Effective:
GIFE Sections cross-references: NONE	<input type="checkbox"/> Create RPD (No. ___) Effective:
	<input type="checkbox"/> GIFE Update <input type="checkbox"/> Frequency Manual Update <input type="checkbox"/> SiteManager Update