

Indiana Residents' Perceptions of Woodland Management: "Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (IWM-09)"

A Final Report to:

Jack Seifert & the IN Division of Forestry

**Submitted by:
Dr. Shannon M. Amberg
Assistant Professor, Human Dimensions of Natural Resources
Purdue University**

March 10, 2010

In cooperation with:



D.J. Case & Associates
317 E. Jefferson Blvd.
Mishawaka, IN 46545
574-258-0100 – phone
574-258-0189 – fax

Communication Specialists in Natural Resources Conservation

Daniel J. Witter, Ph.D
dan@djcase.com

David Case
dave@djcase.com

Executive Summary

In August 2009, scientists at Purdue University, with the aid of consultants from D.J. Case, constructed a telephone survey intended to assess the opinions of Indiana residents regarding woodland management in the state. The survey, hereafter referred to as “Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009,” was administered to Indiana residents in October. A total of 1,402 Indiana adults, 18 years and older, completed the survey.

Some highlights in opinions revealed by the survey include the following:

- Forty-eight percent said they were “very concerned” about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana’s woodlands, and 45%, “somewhat concerned.”
- Half (50%) said they were “very interested” in Indiana’s fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors, and 40% indicated they were “somewhat interested.”
- At least 78% of respondents indicated that they or someone in the household participated in selected outdoor activities with the most common activities being “visiting an Indiana state forest” (78%), “fishing or hunting in Indiana woodlands” (72%), and “gathering mushrooms, nuts, or berries from woodlands” (51%).
- Fifteen percent indicated they were “very familiar” with the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, with most others (54%) saying they were “somewhat familiar” with the DNR.
- Many Hoosiers (58%) said they were “not familiar” with the Division of Forestry within the DNR. However, a relatively small group (6%) said that they were “very familiar” with the Division of Forestry; a large majority (74%) of the small group who said they were very familiar rated the Division’s performance as “excellent” or “good.”
- A plurality of Indianans (47%) thought most of the state’s woodlands are held in about equal ownership by “private-corporate owners” and “government,” and 26% thought most woodlands are “government” owned (in fact, roughly 85% of the state’s forestlands are privately owned).
- When informed that “Indiana’s State Forest System makes up about 3% of Indiana’s woodlands,” a majority (55%) thought that the amount was “not enough,” and 39%, “about right.”
- Majority approval was given to:
 - o Removing some trees to protect Indiana woodlands from spread of disease and wildfire (95%),
 - o Harvesting Indiana trees for woodland management if overseen by professional foresters (85%),
 - o Harvesting Indiana trees to improve places for wildlife to live (82%),
 - o Advising Indiana private landowners on how many and what kinds of trees they might harvest and sell (70%), and
 - o Harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use (61%).

- Majority agreement was given to the statements:
 - o “Indiana woodlands should be managed for a balance of wood products that we use, and other benefits like recreation, wildlife, and good water quality” (88%),
 - o “If I hear an Indiana forest is being managed as a certified green forest, I get the idea it’s being wisely managed for future generations” (76%).
- Nearly three-quarters (72%) agreed with the statement, “The amount of forested land in Indiana is shrinking.” Respondents identified “urban sprawl” as the highest-ranking threat to today’s Indiana woodlands.

Table of Contents

Introduction	7
Research Objectives	8
Survey Methodology.....	9
Results and Discussion	15
Background Characteristics	15
Outdoor Interest and Activity.....	18
Familiarity with IN DNR and Division of Forestry	22
Perceptions of Woodlands and Forest Management.....	26
Hoosiers' Sources of Woodland Information.....	39
Summary and Concluding Remarks.....	46
Acknowledgements	47
Bibliography	48
Appendices	
Appendix A: Survey Instrument	50
Appendix B: Weight Table	56
Appendix C: Frequency analyses of all variables (Unweighted data).....	58
Appendix D: Frequency analyses of all variables (Weighted data—sample).....	138
Appendix E: Frequency analyses of all variables by selected classification variables.....	160
Appendix F: Frequency analyses of all variables by geographic region (weighted)	222

List of Figures

Figure 1. Indiana counties, major highways, and forest cover	14
Figure 2. Q18: “Have you or any member of your household...”	20
Figure 3. Indiana State Forest Properties	21
Figure 4. Q5: “What first comes to mind when you think of the Indiana Division of Forestry?” Multiple responses possible.....	24
Figure 5. Q10: “There are a number of ways that woodlands might be managed. After listening to each way, please tell me if you approve or disapprove.”	30
Figure 6. Q13: “For each of the following statements about Indiana woodlands, please tell me if you agree, disagree, or are neutral.”	36
Figure 7. Q12: “If you’ve heard the term ‘certified green forests,’ in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means,” Multiple responses possible.....	37
Figure 8. Q14: “Do you think each of the following represents a high, medium, or low threat to today’s Indiana woodlands.”	39
Figure 9. Q15: “From what sources do you learn about woodland ecosystems or woodland management? Do you learn ‘a lot,’ ‘some,’ or ‘nothing’ from...”	41

List of Tables

Table 1. Comparison of Indiana population and IWM-09 <u>unweighted</u> distributions for gender, metro-/outside-metro residence, age, and education.	11
Table 2. Comparison of Indiana population and IWM-09 <u>weighted</u> (age-education) distributions for gender, metro-/outside-metro residence, age, and education.....	12
Table 3. Comparison of distributions for unweighted and weighted (age-education combination) responses to “How interested are you in Indiana’s fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?”	13
Table 4. Comparison of the Indiana Woodland Monitor sample distribution and actual distribution for Indiana residents living north and south of Interstate Highway 70.....	15
Table 5. Respondents’ background characteristics.....	16
Table 6. Respondents’ background characteristics reduced to dichotomous variables.....	17
Table 7. Q2: “How interested are you in Indiana’s fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors.”	18
Table 8. Q2: “How interested are you in Indiana’s fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors,” by selected background variables.....	19
Table 9. Q2: “How interested are you in Indiana’s fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors,” by geographic region, proximity to State Forest, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (<u>unweighted</u> data).....	19
Table 10. Q18g, Open-ended: “Could you tell me the name of the State Forest you visited?”	22
Table 11. Q3: “How familiar are you with the Indiana Department of Natural Resources,” tabulated by Q4: “How familiar are you with the Indiana Division of Forestry within the Department of Natural Resources?”	23
Table 12. Q3: “How familiar are you with the Indiana Department of Natural Resources,” tabulated by geographic region, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (<u>unweighted</u> data).....	23
Table 13. Q3: “How familiar are you with the Indiana Division of Forestry,” tabulated by geographic region, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (<u>unweighted</u> data).	24
Table 14. Q4: “How familiar are you with the Indiana Division of Forestry within the Department of Natural Resources?” tabulated by Q6: “Please rate the job that the Indiana Division of Forestry is doing in providing services for the state of Indiana.”	25

Table 15. Q6: “Please rate the job that the Indiana Division of Forestry is doing in providing services for the state of Indiana,” tabulated by geographic region (<i>unweighted</i> data).....	25
Table 16. Q9: “Do you think most of Indiana’s woodlands are in government ownership, private and corporate ownership, or about equal government and private ownership?” tabulated by Q11: “Indiana’s State Forest System makes up about 3% of Indiana’s woodlands. Do you think this amount of State-owned forest land is not enough, about right, or too much?”	26
Table 17. Q17: “How many total wooded acres of Indiana land do you own?” Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 distribution compared to distribution of Midwest lot sizes.	27
Table 18. Q7: “How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana’s woodlands?”	27
Table 19. Q7: “How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana’s woodlands,” by selected background variables.	28
Table 20. Q7: “How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana’s woodlands,” tabulated by geographic region. (<i>unweighted</i> data)	29
Table 21. Q10: “There are a number of ways that woodlands might be managed. After listening to each way, please tell me if you approve or disapprove.”	30
Table 22. Q10a: Approval and disapproval of “Harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use,” by selected background variables.	32
Table 23. Q10a: Approval and disapproval of “Harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use,” by geographic region, (<i>unweighted</i> data).	33
Table 24. Q13: “For each of the following statements about Indiana woodlands, please tell me if you agree, disagree, or are neutral.”	34
Table 25. Q13: “For each of the following statements about Indiana woodlands, please tell me if you agree, disagree, or are neutral,” means score analysis.	35
Table 26. Q10a: Approval and disapproval of “Harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use,” by statements about Indiana woodlands.	37
Table 27. Q14: “Do you think each of the following represents a high, medium, or low threat to today’s Indiana woodlands.”	38
Table 28. Q14: “Do you think each of the following represents a high, medium, or low threat to today’s Indiana woodlands,” means score analysis.	38
Table 29. Q14: “How often would you say you hear or read something about Indiana forests, woodlands, or tree-covered areas.”	39
Table 30. Q14: “From what sources do you learn about woodland ecosystems or woodland management.”	40
Table 31. Q14: “From what sources do you learn about woodland ecosystems or woodland management,” means score analysis.	41
Table 32. Q16: “Briefly, what person or experience would you say most influenced your sentiments about woodland management and timber harvest?”	43

Introduction

Researchers and managers have long been interested in public opinions about forest management in the United States. Such insights can provide opportunities for managers to make decisions that integrate public needs and desires into forest management programs. However, public opinions about how forests are managed are complex and lack homogeneity across publics and geographic regions. Even further, what the public values for a forest environment and management can be in opposition to an agency's management priorities for forest health, habitat restoration, and revenue building.

In fact, several studies across the U.S. show that the public values non-commodity benefits of forests more than economic benefits. For instance, residents in Vermont ranked aesthetic, recreational, and ecological values as most important in forest management and economic as one of the least important (Manning et al. 1999). In a study of Southern states, Tarrant et al. (2003) found that people valued aesthetic qualities, such as clean air and scenic beauty, much more than wood production. But research also indicates that people are more supportive of various forest management techniques if the goals are focused on alleviating ecological concerns (Martin et al., 2000). For example, a national study of public opinions revealed that a majority of people favored management strategies that protect old growth forests and wildlife habitats over commodity-based strategies (Shindler et al., 1993).

Indiana supports an impressive forest resource that is valuable both for its ecological benefits and the commodity-based opportunities it provides. While the above trends in public opinion have been established in other areas of the U.S., few efforts at an in-depth, contemporary assessment of Indiana residents' forest values and opinions about forest management have been completed. In particular, such topics as residents' opinions about issues of sustainability, acceptable practices, and trust in state and federal government to manage forests have yet to be investigated in depth.

A number of studies have also attempted to better understand public (specifically consumers) perceptions and attitudes towards certified forest *products* (Ozanne and Vlosky, 2003; Ozanne and Smith, 1998). However, again, these studies do not investigate the public's perceptions on certified forest management. Hubbard and Bowe (2005) found that some forest firms felt that they had gained increased credibility with the public after they had become certified. In addition, in a series of reports about sustainable forest management, Sheppard et al. (2006) have stated that sustainable forest management should also be sustainable in a social sense, and thus incorporate the public's values into management.

It is important to determine if the same holds true in Indiana, because it can help to focus messaging and outreach strategies about forest management.

Research Objectives

Per stated needs and interests of the Indiana State Forester, research efforts at Purdue University resulted in construction of a survey instrument that gathered data on Indiana residents' opinions of various aspects of private and public forest and woodland management. Specifically, the survey assessed:

- Opinions about active forest management techniques in Indiana, on both private and public lands.
- Residents' stated values regarding the existence and use of Indiana forests and woodlands.
- Perceptions of "certified green forests" and acceptance for forest management practices that are considered certified green or sustainable.

Specific objectives included:

- Understanding opinions about active forest management on public and private lands;
- Assessing perceptions of what constitutes "sustainable" or "green" forest management;
- Identifying the importance of different forest values in support for or opposition to forest management in Indiana woodlands (e.g., aesthetics vs. economic);
- Determine residents' awareness and perceptions of "certified green forests" (e.g., are people more accepting of forest management if it is a certified sustainable scheme);
- Assess residents' trust in government regarding forest management on public lands;
- Determine the types of forest management that are socially acceptable;
- Determine environmental values orientations of Indiana residents; and
- Collect socio-demographic information to understand segmentations in the population with regard to specific stated opinions about forest management.

In addition to understanding public opinions, a major goal of this investigation was to develop a survey instrument that can be used to track changes in public opinion over time ("Indiana Woodland Monitor," or IWM). This type of tool, re-administered every three to five years, would allow managers to understand the forest values the public holds and their preferences for management over time, affording opportunity to best incorporate public preferences into management programs and practices, or build educational materials about management actions that are necessary for forest health.

Survey Methodology

Dr. Shannon Amberg, project leader, sub-contracted with D.J. Case & Associates (DJ Case), to help with survey development/administration, data analysis, and report preparation. Dr. Amberg—and Messrs. Dave Case and Dan Witter, of DJ Case—first met in Indianapolis with the Indiana State Forester and Division of Forestry’s senior management team (the *ad hoc* survey planning team), to discuss survey objectives and possible methodologies, including mail and telephone surveys, and focus groups that could provide valuable follow-up insights to survey results.

Following this scoping meeting—and based on agreement that the population of interest at this initial stage of inquiry was Indiana’s general public—a telephone survey was selected as the preferred methodology. Dr. Amberg collaborated with DJ Case to develop a “Table of Specifications & Item Pool” that listed survey objectives, as well as specific question wording (or “items”) that might illuminate each objective. Survey drafts were offered for review to the survey planning team in an iterative process—17 different versions were considered through the refinement process—that resulted in a survey instrument ready for pre-testing.

Though many question and wording nuances were considered in the survey development process, one that warrants special mention was agreement within the survey planning team that the term “woodland” should accompany the word “forest,” and in many cases, replace the term “forest.” “Woodland” has proven its merit as a descriptive term in USDA Forest Service’s “National Woodland Owner Survey” (<http://www.fia.fs.fed.us/nwos/>; Retrieved 11/19/09). The survey team agreed that “woodland” has intuitive meaning and appeal as a term to supplement “forest,” as well as a descriptive stand-alone term.

Delve (St. Louis office)—a market research firm with call centers and focus group facilities in 10 major U.S. cities—administered the telephone pre-test to 25 Indiana residents by random-digit-dialed (RDD) selection. DJ Case listened to a sub-sample of these interviews, counseled with Dr. Amberg on apparent survey modifications needed, as well as survey strengths (such as clear understanding by members of the general public of the term “woodland”)—and the questionnaire was finalized and interviewing began (Appendix A).

Completed telephone interviews totaled 1,402. Respondents were:

- English-speaking Indiana adults,
- 18 years and older,
- 687 males and 715 females.

A total of 20,757 phone numbers (RDD) were necessary to complete 1,402 interviews, with an average interview length of 15 minutes.

The most conservative response rate features 1,402 completed interviews as the numerator and 20,757 phone numbers as the denominator, for a *completion rate* of 7%. However, this rate does *not* exclude the many phone numbers that were, for example, non-working, non-

residential, fax/modem, or unanswered after repeated contact attempts (up to and including 10 attempts).¹

Thus, a truer response rate features 1,402 completed interviews as the numerator, with the denominator comprised of 1,402 completed interviews plus 3,346 “refusals to participate” (denominator total of 4,748), for a *cooperation rate* of 30%.

Of immediate concern is the degree to which the sample appears to represent the target population—in this case, the adult population of Indiana, 18 years and older. Respondents were compared to known Indiana population distributions for:

- gender,
- metro-/outside-metro residence,
- age, and
- education (Table 1).

Survey respondents, as a statewide sample, tended to:

- Represent Indiana statewide gender distribution,
- Represent Indiana statewide metro-versus outside-metro residence,
- Under-represent younger Indiana adults and over-represent older Indiana adults, and
- Under-represent lower education levels and over-represent higher education levels.

Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 15, 2006). Missing values generally are excluded from the narrative. See Addendum A (Questionnaire) for exact question wording and order. Occasionally, shading is provided in tables to accentuate an insight or trend—or simply ease reading. Rounding sometimes results in totals other than 100% (e.g., 99%, 101%). Frequency analysis of all variables (including original response categories and missing values) is provided in Appendix C. Sampling tolerance (95% confidence level) for a sample of 1,402 is $\sim\pm 3\%$, but increases when sub-groups are compared. The alpha level for tests of significance reported in the narrative is .05; that is, the probability of a Type I error (rejecting the null hypothesis when it should be accepted).

¹Five “cell phones” were among the numbers contacted in this study (interviews not conducted). Wireless phone numbers were not included in the RDD sampling frame for this project. A recent study estimated that 13.8% of Indiana households have only wireless phones (<http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr014.htm>; Retrieved, 11/19/09). There are advantages—presumed increase in sample representativeness—and challenges to including cell phones in a sampling design—notably cost and wireless/landline sample integration, but other issues as well, including respondent attentiveness and increasing formal (legal) safety concerns for use of wireless phones in certain situations and settings (“...of course I can talk; I’m only driving”).

Table 1. Comparison of Indiana population and IWM-09 unweighted distributions for gender, metro-/outside-metro residence, age, and education.

		IN statewide ^a	IN statewide sample ^b	
Gender	Male	49%	687	49%
	Female	51%	715	51%
Metro or outside-metro ^c	Metro	73%	1022	76%
	Outside-metro	27%	329	24%
Age	18-24	13%	45	3%
	25-34	18%	102	7%
	35-44	18%	215	15%
	45-64	34%	636	45%
	>64	17%	382	27%
Education	11 yrs or less	15%	55	4%
	12 yrs	35%	410	30%
	1-3 yrs college	29%	370	27%
	4 yrs col or more	21%	551	40%

a. Gender and metro-residence distributions from USDI Fish and Wildlife Service, 2006 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation--Indiana. Age and education distributions from Census Bureau, 2006-2008 American Community Survey.

b. Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009.

c. Based on county of residence (MSA Indiana county versus outside-MSA Indiana county).

A weight was calculated that combined the actual Indiana distributions for age and educational attainment to normalize the dataset for these variables (Table 2).

Table 2. Comparison of Indiana population and IWM-09 *weighted* (age-education) distributions for gender, metro-/outside-metro residence, age, and education.

Weighted data		IN statewide ^a	IN statewide sample ^b	
Gender	Male	49%	683	50%
	Female	51%	689	50%
Metro or outside-metro ^c	Metro	73%	1000	75%
	Outside-metro	27%	336	25%
Age	18-24	13%	175	13%
	25-34	18%	243	18%
	35-44	18%	255	18%
	45-64	34%	636	34%
	>64	17%	382	17%
Education	<College grad	79%	1091	79%
	College grad+	21%	281	21%

a. Gender and metro-residence distributions from USDI Fish and Wildlife Service, 2006 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation--Indiana. Age and education distributions from Census Bureau, 2006-2008 American Community Survey.

b. Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009.

c. Based on county of residence (MSA Indiana county versus outside-MSA Indiana county).

The distribution for unweighted responses to a key, diagnostic question — “How interested are you in Indiana’s fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?” — was compared to the weighted distribution for the same question (Table 3; see Appendix B for weight table). Differences among the unweighted and weighted distributions were negligible. For example, unweighted results indicated that 53% of Indiana adults are “very interested” in the out-of-doors, while results tabulated using the age-education combined weight indicated that 50% of Indiana adults are “very interested.” Nonetheless, the decision was made to report results in the narrative employing post-stratification weights (age-education combination) in those instances where normalization presumably improves estimates (i.e., statewide estimates). Unweighted data were used for most cross-tabulations and all inferential statistics.

Frequency analyses for all questions using unweighted data are presented in Appendix C; frequency analyses applying the age-education weight are presented for all questions in Appendix D.

Table 3. Comparison of distributions for unweighted and weighted (age-education combination) responses to “How interested are you in Indiana’s fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?”

Unweighted data	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not interested	107	8%	8%	8%
Somewhat interested	554	40%	40%	47%
Very interested	741	53%	53%	100%
Total	1402	100%	100%	

Weighted data ^a	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not interested	133	10%	10%	10%
Somewhat interested	553	40%	40%	50%
Very interested	687	50%	50%	100%
Total	1372 ^b	100%	100%	


a. Age-education combination (See Appendix B).

b. 30 respondents refused to provide age or education, thus reducing the dataset to 1372 respondents.

Creation of a geographic variable required the grouping of Indiana counties into “North Indiana” and “South Indiana” counties (Figure 1). Conveniently, a concrete dividing boundary exists; Interstate 70 Highway. I-70’s main appeal as a north-south divider is the predominance of forested landscape south of the road, and more prairie-like and thinly-forested landscape north of I-70 (relative to southern Indiana). (U.S. Highway 40 is another “boundary” road roughly parallel to I-70.) Though not perfect, this north-south classification demarcated by I-70 (and U.S. 40) roughly coincides with what many Hoosiers² would acknowledge as an intuitively appealing north-south Indiana split.

² The term “Hoosiers” is a widely accepted descriptor for residents of Indiana—or more poetically, “anyone who has adopted or inherited Indiana as a state of mind.” Though occasionally used in derogatory manner—usually by non-Indians, some Hoosiers propose—the word is more akin to a term of endearment and pride for Indiana inhabitants, perhaps more than the clinical descriptor, “Indians” (<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hoosier>, Retrieved, 12/7/09).

Figure 1. Indiana counties, major highways, and forest cover (courtesy, Brett Martin, IN DNR).



When the weighted sample distribution (grouped by county) was compared to the actual Indiana population residing north and south of I-70, the distributions were essentially identical, affirming the representativeness of the sample (Table 4).

Table 4. Comparison of the Indiana Woodland Monitor sample distribution and actual distribution for Indiana residents living north and south of Interstate Highway 70 (*weighted data*).

	Frequency	Sample Percent	Adjusted Sample Percent ^b	Actual North/South Population ^c
North IN	950	69%	71%	73%
South IN	391	29%	29%	27%
Total	1342	98%	100%	
Missing ^a	30	2%		
Total	1372	100%		

a. 30 respondents refused to give zip5 (for purposes of this study, county).

b. Adjusted for missing values

c. Source, (includes total Indiana population) http://www.stats.indiana.edu/population/popTotals/2008_cntyest.asp, Retrieved 11/30/09.

Results and Discussion

Results are not presented in the exact order that questions were arranged in the survey, but rather re-organized into a narrative that presents a logical story.

Background Characteristics

Respondents were asked background questions intended to provide insights to their sentiments and experiences related to Indiana woodlands (Table 5). For purposes of inferential analyses and ease of interpretation, these characteristics were reduced to dichotomous variables (Table 6). Cross-tabulations by the original variable values (about 47 banner points) are presented for all questions in Appendix E.

Respondents were primarily White/Caucasian (93%), with the majority reporting they were politically conservative, and 92% possessing at least a high school education. Over 50% of survey respondents had at least some college education, with 40% having a college degree. Just over 60% of respondents described themselves as living in either a suburban or urban setting within Indiana, while nearly 40% reported living in a rural setting. However, based on Indiana County of residence, 75% of respondents could be classified as living in a metropolitan area.

Table 5. Respondents' background characteristics, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (weighted data). N=1372 for all variables except Metro-/Outside-metro (N=1336).

Q1: Gender	Male	50%
	Female	50%
Q24: Age?	18-24	13%
	25-34	18%
	35-44	19%
	45-64	34%
	>64	17%
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	7%
	HS grad	36%
	Some college	36%
	College grad+	20%
Q22: With what race or ethnic group do you identify yourself?	Black/African-American	5%
	White	89%
	Hispanic/Latino	2%
	Asian	1%
	Native American	2%
	Native Hawaiian/Pacific	0%
	Refused	2%
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, how would you describe yourself?	Liberal	19%
	Liberal-leaning	8%
	Conservative-leaning	11%
	Conservative	38%
	None of these	21%
	Refused	2%
Q25: Metro- or outside-metro? (based on Indiana county of residence (MSA))	Metro	75%
	Outside-metro	25%
Q20: Do you consider your place of residence to be: (self-described by respondent)	Urban	26%
	Suburban	35%
	Rural	38%
	Refused	2%
Q19: In the past 5 years, have you purchased any lumber or wood products for home improvement?	Yes	11%
	No	65%
	Don't know	24%

a. Actual 2008 Indiana race/ethnicity distribution: 9% "Black," 83% "White," 5% Hispanic-Latino, 1% Asian, .3% Native American, 1% "2 or more races." Indiana QuickFacts from the US Census, <http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/18000.html>, Retrieved, 11/23/09.

Table 6. Respondents' background characteristics reduced to dichotomous variables, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*unweighted* data).

Q1: Gender	Male	49%
	Female	51%
	Total	1402
Q24: Age?	18-44	26%
	>44	74%
	Total	1380
Q21: Education?	Less than college grad	60%
	College grad+	40%
	Total	1386
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	7%
	White	93%
	Total	1363
Q23: Political stance?	Conservative	68%
	Liberal	32%
	Total	1132
Q25: Metro- or outside-metro?	Metro	76%
	Outside-metro	24%
	Total	1351
Q20: Is your residence...?	Urban	62%
	Rural	38%
	Total	1376
Q19: In the past 5 years, have you purchased any lumber or wood products for home improvement?	Yes	16%
	No	84%
	Total	1063

Interest in Outdoors and Outdoor Activity

Respondents were asked to characterize their personal interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors (Table 7). Half (50%) of Indiana adults indicated they are "very interested" in Indiana's outdoors, and 9 of 10 Indiana adults are at least "somewhat interested" in Indiana's outdoors. Relatively few (10%) are "not interested."

Table 7. Q2: "How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors," Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (weighted data).

Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?	Not interested	10%
	Somewhat interested	40%
	Very interested	50%
	Total	1372

The degree of Hoosiers' outdoor interest varied somewhat by selected background variables (Table 8). The odds of respondents saying they were "very interested" in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and out-of-doors (versus "somewhat/not interested") were:³

- Larger by a factor of 1.7 for that relatively small group who purchased lumber or wood products for home improvement in the last 5 years than those who did not,
- Larger by a factor of 1.7 for white than non-white Indianans,
- Larger by a factor of 1.5 for respondents describing their residences as "rural" than those describing their residences as "urban,"
- Larger by a factor of 1.4 for those older than 44 years than those 44 years and younger,
- Larger by a factor of 1.3 for men than women, and
- Larger by a factor of 1.3 for southern Indiana residents than for northern Indiana residents.

Hoosiers' outdoor interest was also analyzed by different geographic regions of interest across the state of Indiana, whether or not respondents live in a county that has a State Forest, and if they reside in a metropolitan area or not (Table 9). Interest in fish, forests, wildlife and the outdoors was fairly consistent across these geographic and demographic characteristics with the exception of respondents living in southern Indiana counties, other than around Louisville and Evansville, indicating being "very interested" slightly more often than respondents from other regions (ranging from 6-12% difference among other regions).

³ The odds ratio is the ratio of the odds of an "event/condition" occurring in 1 group to the odds of it occurring in another group. An odds ratio of 1 indicates that the condition is equally likely in both groups; an odds ratio >1 indicates that the condition is more likely in the first group, and an odds ratio <1 indicates the condition is less likely in the first group (Norusis, 2005). See Appendix G for supporting test statistics. Odds ratios are only reported in those instances where the likelihood ratio chi-square statistic was significant.

Table 8. Q2: “How interested are you in Indiana’s fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors,” by selected background variables, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*unweighted data*).


Unweighted data		Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?			
		Not interested	Somewhat interested	Very interested	Total
Q1: Gender	Male	7%	37%	56%	687
	Female	9%	42%	50%	715
Q24: Age?	18-44	9%	44%	47%	362
	>44	7%	38%	55%	1018
Q21: Education?	Less than college grad	9%	39%	51%	835
	College grad+	5%	40%	55%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	15%	45%	41%	96
	White	7%	39%	54%	1267
Q23: Political stance?	Conservative	7%	41%	52%	769
	Liberal	9%	39%	52%	363
Q25: Metro- or outside-metro?	Metro	8%	39%	53%	1022
	Outside-metro	6%	40%	54%	329
Q20: Is your residence...?	Urban	8%	42%	49%	857
	Rural	6%	35%	59%	519
Q25: Is your residence...?	North IN	8%	41%	51%	938
	South IN	6%	36%	58%	416
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood product for home improvement in last 5 years	Yes	6%	30%	64%	170
	No	8%	41%	51%	893

Table 9. Q2: “How interested are you in Indiana’s fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors,” by geographic region, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*unweighted data*).

		Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?			
		Not interested	Somewhat interested	Very interested	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	8%	44%	48%	144
	Ft. Wayne	3%	43%	54%	146
	Evansville	7%	40%	53%	88
	Louisville	4%	41%	54%	68
	Indianapolis	10%	37%	53%	127
	Other South IN	7%	33%	60%	260
	Other North IN	9%	40%	51%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	8%	36%	56%	159
	No	7%	40%	53%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	8%	39%	53%	261
	Other-metro	8%	39%	53%	761
	Outside-metro	6%	40%	54%	329

Respondents were asked whether they or any members of their households participated in selected outdoor-related activities (Figure 2). Household outdoor activities mentioned by majorities of respondents were “visiting an Indiana state forest” (78%), “fishing or hunting in Indiana woodlands” (72%), and “gathering mushrooms, nuts, or berries from woodlands” (51%). Fully 43% said that they or household members had “cut/collected trees for firewood,” and about 1 in 10 respondents said s/he or a household member actually had “worked in the wood products industry”⁴. An analysis of these survey items by different geographic regions of interest across the state of Indiana, whether or not respondents live in a county that has a State Forest, and if they reside in a metropolitan area or not can be found in Appendix F (pp. 240-243). Overall, responses to these items across geographic regions were relatively consistent with only minor variations.

Figure 2. Q18: “Have you or any member of your household...”, (weighted data)



Indiana has 14 “State Forest Properties” (Figure 3). However, note that two of the State Forest properties are formally named “State Recreation Areas” (Starve-Hollow in Jackson County and Deam Lake in Clark County). Moreover, Indiana Department of Natural Resources (DNR) also manages 25 state parks, 22 Fish and Wildlife Areas, 8 Fish Hatcheries, 9 reservoirs, 6 inns, and a golf resort/conference center—with multiple facilities in some counties, and some facilities with similar names. Of special interest to the Division of Forestry is whether survey respondents, who indicated that they visited sites the agency manages (state forests), were truly visiting Division of Forestry properties (versus visiting state parks, national forest, etc.). To verify this,

⁴ “The Indiana forest products industry is the sixth largest manufacturing industry in the state, employing over 56,000 people. Forest-based manufacturing provides \$3.5 billion in value-added and \$7.9 billion in value of shipments to Indiana’s economy each year. It ranks first nationwide in the production of wood office furniture, wood kitchen cabinets, and hardwood veneer, along with several other products. As small family-owned businesses, wood products companies average less than 50 employees and play an important role in rural communities.” http://www.state.in.us/dnr/dnr_forest/index.html, Retrieved 11/30/09.

those survey respondents who indicated they had visited state-managed forests were asked to identify which of these they had visited.

Figure 3. Indiana State Forest Properties (<http://in.gov/dnr/3631.htm>, Retrieved 11/30/09).



Indiana State Forest properties are:

1. Salamonie River State Forest (Wabash County);
2. Owen-Putnam State Forest (Owen and Putnam Counties);
3. Morgan-Monroe State Forest (Morgan and Monroe Counties);
4. Yellowwood State Forest (Brown County);
5. Greene-Sullivan State Forest (Greene and Sullivan Counties);
6. Selmier State Forest (Jennings County);
7. Starve-Hollow State Recreation Area (Jackson County);
8. Jackson-Washington State Forest (Jack and Washington Counties);
9. Martin State Forest (Martin County);
10. Pike State Forest (Pike County);
11. Ferdinand State Forest (Dubois County);
12. Harrison-Crawford State Forest (Harrison and Crawford Counties);
13. Clark State Forest (Clark County); and
14. Deam Lake State Recreation Area (Clark County).

All “Indiana State Forests” mentioned by respondents are listed in Appendix C—and most were not Indiana State Forests managed by the Division of Forestry. In fact, a majority of respondents (60%) offered the names of non-Forestry properties (Table 10). Granted, many of these *are* managed by the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, such as Indiana State Parks (witness the oft-mentioned “Brown County” (not to be confused with the Yellowwood State Forest in Brown County)). Others (9%) offered some version of “Hoosier” (the Hoosier National Forest). Yet, some did indeed list at least one or more Indiana State Forests (including “Clark County Forest,” presumably referencing Deam Lake Recreation Area). Specifically, about 1 in 10 respondents (14%) correctly offered the name of at least one Division of Forestry property in response to the question, “Could you tell me the name of the State Forest you visited?”

Table 10. Q18g, Open-ended: “Could you tell me the name of the State Forest you visited?” Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*weighted data*).

Properties identified by respondents as "Indiana State Forests."	Indiana State Forest	14% ^a
	Hoosier National Forest	9%
	Other ^b	60%
	Can't remember	17%
	Total	1044

- a. Respondents were grouped into mutually exclusive categories; first priority was given mention of a formal "State Forest." For example several respondents mentioned both a formal State Forest and "Hoosier," but these were grouped into the "State Forest" category.
- b. Some of these areas could certainly include place names used by locals to describe Indiana State Forests. However, only those names that clearly described a State Forest were tallied in the "State Forest" category.

Familiarity with IN DNR and Division of Forestry

Respondents were asked how familiar they were with both the DNR and Indiana Division of Forestry (Table 11). Approximately one-third of respondents (31%) were not familiar with the DNR, while a majority (54%) said they were “somewhat familiar.” A majority of respondents (58%) said they were “not familiar” with the Division of Forestry, while just over one-third were “somewhat familiar.” Interestingly, only 15% of respondents were “very familiar” with the DNR, and only a small percentage of respondents (5%) indicated being “very familiar” with the Division of Forestry.

Table 11. Q3: “How familiar are you with the Indiana Department of Natural Resources,” tabulated by Q4: “How familiar are you with the Indiana Division of Forestry within the Department of Natural Resources?” Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*weighted* data).

Q3: How familiar are you with the Indiana Dept of Natural Resources?	Q4: How familiar are you with the Indiana Division of Forestry within the Dept of Natural Resources?				
	Not familiar	Somewhat familiar	Very familiar	Total	
Not familiar	92%	8%	0%	426	31%
Somewhat familiar	50%	49%	1%	735	54%
Very familiar	14%	55%	31%	203	15%
Total	58%	37%	5%	1364	100%

Hoosiers’ familiarity with the Indiana Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) and the Indiana Division of Forestry (IDoF) was also assessed by geographic regions of interest across the state (Tables 12 & 13). Familiarity with the IDNR was relatively consistent across regions, counties with State Forests, and metro and non-metro areas with the majority of respondents being “somewhat familiar” (Table 12). The Region had the highest percentage of respondents who are unfamiliar with IDNR.

Table 12. Q3: “How familiar are you with the Indiana Department of Natural Resources,” tabulated by geographic region, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*unweighted* data).


		Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?			
		Not familiar	Somewhat familiar	Very familiar	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	38%	44%	18%	144
	Ft. Wayne	23%	59%	18%	146
	Evansville	31%	55%	15%	88
	Louisville	26%	53%	21%	68
	Indianapolis	26%	57%	17%	127
	Other South IN	21%	63%	17%	258
	Other North IN	27%	56%	16%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	23%	60%	17%	157
	No	27%	56%	17%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	24%	58%	18%	261
	Other-metro	28%	55%	17%	760
	Outside-metro	26%	57%	16%	328

Far fewer respondents across all regions were familiar with the Indiana Division of Forestry with the majority of respondents across all regions, proximity to State Forests, and metro/non-metro area residence stating they were “not familiar” with IDoF (Table 13). Those respondents residing in counties that have a State Forest seem to be slightly more familiar than those who do not.

Table 13. Q3: “How familiar are you with the Indiana Division of Forestry,” tabulated by geographic region, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*unweighted* data).

		Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?			
		Not familiar	Somewhat familiar	Very familiar	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	64%	33%	3%	143
	Ft. Wayne	56%	38%	6%	146
	Evansville	52%	41%	7%	88
	Louisville	56%	28%	16%	68
	Indianapolis	57%	39%	4%	127
	Other South IN	44%	46%	10%	260
	Other North IN	56%	37%	7%	513
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	43%	44%	13%	159
	No	56%	37%	6%	1187
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	56%	36%	8%	261
	Other-metro	56%	37%	7%	759
	Outside-metro	50%	43%	8%	326

When asked “What first comes to mind when you think of the Indiana Division of Forestry” (without any prompt), 80% of respondents were able or willing to offer an answer. The most frequently mentioned responsibility was “forest management,” – the most obvious of answers – yet only 29% of respondents offered this option (Figure 4). Eighteen percent mentioned “parks,” and 16% said “wildlife management.” Some respondents mentioned Division of Forestry responsibilities other than the 17 specific categories into which responses were grouped. These are listed in Appendix C.

Figure 4. Q5: “What first comes to mind when you think of the Indiana Division of Forestry?” Multiple responses possible, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*weighted* data).

Essentially, Indiana’s adult population has a very low awareness of what it is that the Division of Forestry does—to the point that respondents generally refrained from offering the most obvious of answers—*forestry* or *forest management*. That said, it is very likely that most citizens would be unable to describe the responsibilities of other Indiana state government agencies or major divisions, most of which have much larger budgets than Indiana DNR and its Division of Forestry.

Respondents were asked to rate the job that the Indiana Division of Forestry is doing in providing services for the state of Indiana. In total, 57% responded either “excellent” or “good” (Table 14). Importantly, a large majority (74%) of that relatively small group that said they were “very familiar” with the Division of Forestry—presumably, the group “in the know”—rate the Division’s performance as “excellent” or “good.” Across the different regions of Indiana, most respondents seem to feel the IDoF is doing at least a “fair” job or better, with the majority of respondents indicating the IDoF is doing a “good” job and a small percentage rating the agency’s efforts as “excellent” (Table 15). It should be noted that anywhere from 9-22% of respondents indicated they didn’t know enough to rate the agency’s efforts.

Table 14. Q4: Familiarity with the Indiana Division of Forestry tabulated by Q6: Rating of the Indiana Division of Forestry’s effort, (weighted data).

Q4: How familiar are you with the Indiana Division of Forestry within the Dept of Natural Resources?	Q6: Please rate the job the Indiana Division of Forestry is doing in providing services for the state of Indiana.					
	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Don't know	Total ^a
Not familiar	4%	24%	43%	7%	23%	668 55%
Somewhat familiar	4%	24%	50%	15%	7%	477 39%
Very familiar	6%	18%	49%	25%	2%	69 6%
Total	4%	23%	46%	11%	15%	1214 100%

a. The column totals vary from Q4 (Familiarity with Division of Forestry) in Table 9; respondents unable to give an answer to Q5 were skipped for Q6, on the rationale that if a respondent was unable to offer any answer (or even guess) on Division of Forestry responsibilities, s/he should be excluded from evaluating the Division's performance.

Table 15. Q6: “Please rate the job that the Indiana Division of Forestry is doing in providing services for the state of Indiana,” tabulated by geographic region (unweighted data).

		Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.					
		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	5%	28%	37%	9%	22%	129
	Ft. Wayne	0%	19%	52%	13%	15%	134
	Evansville	4%	24%	52%	12%	9%	85
	Louisville	0%	13%	51%	16%	20%	61
	Indianapolis	6%	17%	50%	10%	17%	115
	Other South IN	3%	23%	53%	10%	12%	235
	Other North IN	4%	19%	46%	10%	22%	465
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	4%	21%	54%	9%	12%	148
	No	3%	20%	47%	11%	18%	1077
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	5%	20%	44%	11%	21%	243
	Other-metro	3%	22%	48%	10%	17%	683
	Outside-metro	2%	18%	52%	11%	17%	299

Perceptions of Woodlands and Forest Management

Of obvious interest is whether Indianans know who owns the state's woodlands. A plurality (47%) thought most of Indiana's woodlands are held in about equal ownership by private/corporate owners and government; 26% responded "government," and 18%, "private." In fact, roughly 85% of Indiana's forestlands are privately owned, and the balance, in federal (12%) and state ownership (3%) ([http://www.sbcouncil.org/ Publications/Cooperative-Forest-Management-Programs](http://www.sbcouncil.org/Publications/Cooperative-Forest-Management-Programs), Retrieved, 11/30/09).

Similarly interesting is whether Hoosiers think that the state owns *enough* forested land. When informed that "Indiana's State Forest System makes up about 3% of Indiana's woodlands," a majority (55%) thought that the amount was "not enough," with 39% indicating the amount was "about right" (Table 16).

A variable that presumably would exert some influence on an individual's awareness of woodlands and forest management is whether the person actually owns wooded acres in Indiana. Respondents were asked about the number of acres of wooded land they own. Notable insight is gained in comparing survey responses to this question to the distribution of "known" lot sizes in the Midwest (of which Indiana is a member, in formal Census geography) (Table 17). For "less than 1 acre or none," the difference in the distributions slightly exceeded sampling tolerance. However, it is possible that these differences are real on the Indiana landscape, compared to the Midwest distribution. The similarity in these distributions should not be overlooked as a validation of the representativeness of this dataset.

An analysis of Hoosier perceptions of woodland ownership and opinions about the amount of woodlands that should be under State management by different geographic regions of interest across the state of Indiana, whether or not respondents live in a county that has a State Forest, and if they reside in a metropolitan area or not can be found in Appendix F (pp. 227, 231 & 240). Overall, responses to these items across geographic regions were relatively consistent with only minor variations. The majority of respondents think most of Indiana's woodlands are equally owned by government and private industry, with one-quarter to one-third of respondents believing most woodland areas are owned by the government. Most respondents (54-62%) feel that the 3% of wooded lands owned by the State of Indiana is "not enough", while one-third to 42% feel it is "about the right amount."

Table 16. Q9: "Do you think most of Indiana's woodlands are in government ownership, private and corporate ownership, or about equal government and private ownership?" tabulated by Q11: "Indiana's State Forest System makes up about 3% of Indiana's woodlands. Do you think this amount of State-owned forest land is not enough, about right, or too much?" (weighted data).

Q9: Do you think most of Indiana's woodlands are in govt ownership, private-corp. ownership, or about equal government & private?	Q11: Indiana's State Forest System makes up about 3% of Indiana's woodlands. Do you think this amount of State-owned land is...						
	Too much	About right	Not enough	Don't know	Total		
Government	2%	43%	52%	3%	351	26%	
Private & Corporate ownership	2%	34%	61%	2%	251	18%	
Equal government & private ownership	3%	41%	54%	2%	643	47%	
Don't know	2%	29%	52%	17%	127	9%	
Total	3%	39%	55%	4%	1372	100	

Table 17. Q17: “How many total wooded acres of Indiana land do you own?” 2009 dataset distribution compared to distribution of Midwest lot sizes (*weighted data*).

Q17: Wooded acres owned? ^a	IN Woodland Monitor, 2009 ^b	Midwest Lot Sizes ^c
<1 acre or none	64%	73%
1-9 acres	24%	20%
10 or more acres ^d	12%	7% ^e
Total	100%	100%

- a. Assumption is that respondent was answering for household ownership, and the respondent perceived that the presence of any tree/s on his/her lot constituted "wooded acres."
- b. n=1,365
- c. n=19,456,000, Source: Statistical Abstract of the United States, 2009 (U.S. Census Bureau), Table 948, Housing Units-Size of Units and Lot: 2005, p. 603.
- d. Only 54 respondents indicated wooded ownerships of 50 acres or more, a rather small group upon which to base any conclusions regarding Indiana's private non-industrial forest landowners--and indeed, some of these larger ownerships could be commodity farms. Thus, the survey group that might be most akin to non-industrial woodland owners is the group defined by "10 or more acres."
- e. Differences between IWM09 sample and Midwest Lot Sizes in the "1-9 ac" and "10 or more ac" categories may be attributable to landowners not residing on larger "lots"/tracts they own.

Respondents were asked how concerned they are about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands (Table 18).⁵ A large majority of respondents indicated they were at least "somewhat concerned" about the long-term health of Indiana's woodlands and 48% of respondents indicated they were "very concerned." The degree of respondents' concern for Indiana's woodlands varied somewhat by selected demographic variables (Table 19).

Table 18. Q7: “How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands?” Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*weighted data*).

Q7: How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands?	Not concerned	7%
	Somewhat concerned	45%
	Very concerned	48%
	Total	1215

⁵136 respondents were unable to offer an answer to Q5 ("What first comes to mind when you think of the Indiana Division of Forestry?"), and though these 136 were then appropriately skipped over Q6 ("...rate the job of IN Div of Forestry..."), they were also inadvertently skipped over Q7 and Q8, (1) resulting in ~n of 1,260 for Q7 and Q8, (2) reducing the sampling tolerance for Q7 and Q8 from 2.6% to 2.7%, and (3) conceivably affecting the representativeness of the sample for these 2 questions, given that the 136 eliminated from Q7 and Q8 were unwilling to even guess at the Division of Forestry's responsibilities. This limitation applies only to Q7 and Q8.

Table 19. Q7: “How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana’s woodlands,” by selected background variables. (unweighted data).

Unweighted data		Q7: How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana’s woodlands?			
		Not concerned	Somewhat concerned	Very concerned	Total
Q1: Gender	Male	8%	40%	51%	617
	Female	6%	45%	50%	643
Q24: Age?	18-44	7%	47%	46%	323
	>44	7%	41%	52%	919
Q21: Education?	Less than college grad	7%	45%	49%	740
	College grad+	7%	39%	54%	507
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	12%	44%	43%	81
	White	6%	42%	51%	1142
Q23: Political stance?	Conservative	8%	44%	48%	702
	Liberal	6%	39%	55%	322
Q25: Metro- or outside-metro?	Metro	7%	41%	52%	920
	Outside-metro	7%	45%	48%	299
Q20: Is your residence...?	Urban	8%	44%	49%	758
	Rural	5%	41%	54%	484
Q25: North or South Indiana?	North IN	7%	44%	49%	841
	South IN	7%	38%	55%	380
Q19: Purchased any lumber or wood products for home improvement in the last 5 years?	Yes	8%	33%	59%	158
	No	7%	44%	49%	801
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	None	8%	47%	45%	750
	1 or more acres	6%	36%	58%	505

Not surprisingly, those respondents who live in southern Indiana, reside in rural settings, and who own wooded acreage, were more likely to report being very concerned about the health of Indiana’s woodlands. Also, those respondents with more education were more likely to report being very concerned or somewhat concerned. Those respondents who considered themselves to be politically liberal also reported being very concerned about Indiana woodlands more frequently than those who considered themselves of different political orientation. The odds of respondents saying they were “very concerned” about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana’s woodlands (versus “somewhat/not concerned”) were:

- Larger by a factor of 1.7 for those owning wooded acreage than those who do not,
- Larger by a factor of 1.5 for that group who purchased lumber or wood products for home improvement in the last 5 years than those who did not,
- Larger by a factor of 1.3 for residents of southern Indiana than northern Indiana,
- Larger by a factor of 1.3 for those 44 years and older versus those younger than 44, and
- Larger by a factor of 1.3 for respondents who say they are liberal-leaning/liberal than those who are conservative/conservative-leaning.

Hoosiers’ concern about the long-term health and productivity of woodlands was also analyzed by geographic region, proximity to State Forests, and residence in metro/non-metro areas

(Table 20). The majority of respondents across all geographic regions indicated they were “very concerned” (ranging from 49-60%). Those respondents living in southern regions of Indiana expressed this concern more frequently than those living in northern or central regions of the state. Those living in close proximity to a State Forest indicated being very concerned more frequently than those who do not live near a State Forest. Overall, across all regions, most respondents expressed at least some concern for forest health and productivity.

Table 20. Q7: “How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana’s woodlands,” tabulated by geographic region. (*unweighted data*).

		Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?			
		Not concerned	Somewhat concerned	Very concerned	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	9%	40%	50%	129
	Ft. Wayne	9%	43%	48%	132
	Evansville	9%	36%	54%	85
	Louisville	5%	35%	60%	60
	Indianapolis	7%	43%	50%	115
	Other South IN	6%	40%	54%	235
	Other North IN	6%	45%	49%	462
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	5%	37%	57%	147
	No	7%	43%	50%	1072
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	7%	45%	48%	243
	Other-metro	7%	40%	53%	677
	Outside-metro	7%	45%	48%	299

Survey participants were also presented a list of ways that woodlands might be managed, and asked to indicate their approval or disapproval (Table 21 and Figure 5). Near-unanimity (95%) existed for removal of some trees to protect Indiana woodlands from disease and wildfire.

Majority support also was given to:

- Harvesting Indiana trees if overseen by a professional foresters (85%);
- Harvesting trees to improve wildlife habitat (82%);
- Advising private landowners on number and species of trees to harvest/sell (70%); and
- Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products we use (61%).


Respondents seemed ambivalent about the idea of “importing woods products from other states and countries instead of harvesting Indiana trees,” with 48% approval. It is possible that mixed feelings, as a group, are attributable to lack of consideration about where wood products come from — Indiana or elsewhere. There was low approval (18%) of “cutting Indiana trees to make room for new homes” (Figure 5). An analysis of these survey items by different geographic regions of interest across the state of Indiana, whether or not respondents live in a county that has a State Forest, and if they reside in a metropolitan area or not can be found in Appendix F

(pp. 227-230). Overall, responses to these items across geographic regions were relatively consistent with only minor variations.

Table 21. Q10: “There are a number of ways that woodlands might be managed. After listening to each way, please tell me if you approve or disapprove.” (weighted data).

Woodland Management Activity	Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q10e: Removing some trees to protect Indiana woodlands from spread of disease & wildfire?	95%	5%	1%	1372
Q10f: Harvesting Indiana trees for woodland management if overseen by professional foresters?	85%	13%	1%	1372
Q10b: Harvesting Indiana trees to improve places for wildlife to live?	82%	15%	3%	1372
Q10d: Advising Indiana private landowners on how many and what kinds of trees they might harvest & sell?	70%	27%	2%	1372
Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use	61%	35%	4%	1372
Q10g: Importing wood products we use from other states and countries instead of harvesting Indiana trees?	48%	46%	6%	1372
Q10c: Cutting Indiana trees to make room for new homes?	18%	79%	3%	1372

Figure 5. Q10: “There are a number of ways that woodlands might be managed. After listening to each way, please tell me if you approve or disapprove,” (weighted data). See Table 21 for exact question wording.



Arguably, one of the more important questions in the survey from the standpoint of contemporary forest management and wood products in Indiana is the public's sentiment toward "harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use." A majority of respondents (61%) approved of harvesting Indiana trees to make consumable wood products. However, groups can be identified who are particularly supportive of tree harvest for wood products. A number of independent variables were examined for possible associations with this dependent variable (sentiment toward harvesting trees for wood products) (Table 22). The odds of respondents saying they "approved" of harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use" (versus "disapproved") were:

- Larger by a factor of 3.1 for those who purchased lumber or wood products for home improvement in the last 5 years than those who did not,
- Larger by a factor of 1.9 for people that cut/collected firewood than those that did not,
- Larger by a factor of 1.7 for households with a member who worked in the wood products industry,
- Larger by a factor of 1.7 for men than women,
- Larger by a factor of 1.5 for people residing outside metro counties than those residing inside metro counties,
- Larger by a factor of 1.4 for Indianans describing themselves as "conservative or conservative-leaning" than those describing themselves as "liberal/liberal-leaning,"
- Larger by a factor of 1.4 for people residing in "rural" versus "urban" settings,
- Larger by a factor of 1.4 for people owning wooded acres than those who do not, and
- Larger by a factor of 1.3 for residents of southern Indiana than northern Indiana.

Table 22. Q10a: Approval and disapproval of “Harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use,” by selected background variables, (*unweighted data*).

Unweighted data		Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?		
		Approve	Disapprove	Total
Q1: Gender	Male	71%	29%	658
	Female	59%	41%	677
Q24: Age?	18-44	61%	39%	350
	>44	66%	34%	965
Q21: Education?	Less than college grad	65%	35%	796
	College grad+	65%	35%	524
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	58%	42%	92
	White	66%	34%	1206
Q23: Political stance?	Conservative	69%	31%	737
	Liberal	61%	39%	340
Q25: Metro- or outside-metro?	Metro	63%	37%	976
	Outside-metro	72%	28%	313
Q20: Is your residence...?	Urban	62%	38%	815
	Rural	70%	30%	497
Q25: North or South Indiana?	North IN	63%	37%	886
	South IN	69%	31%	405
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement last 5 yrs?	Yes	82%	18%	163
	No	60%	40%	853
Q17: Wood acres owned?	1 or more acres	69%	31%	526
	None	62%	38%	803
Q18a: Gone fishing or hunting in Indiana woodlands?	Yes	65%	35%	945
	No	64%	36%	383
Q18b: Cut/collected trees for firewood?	Yes	73%	27%	568
	No	59%	41%	764
Q18c: Requested info about trees from IDNR or Division of Forestry?	Yes	65%	35%	373
	No	65%	35%	944
Q18d: Gathered mushrooms, nuts, or berries from woodlands?	Yes	67%	33%	681
	No	62%	38%	649
Q18e: Requested tree info from a private nursery or conservation group?	Yes	65%	35%	556
	No	65%	35%	770
Q18f: Worked in the wood products industry?	Yes	75%	25%	157
	No	64%	36%	1172
Q18g: Visited an Indiana State Forest?	Yes	64%	36%	1015
	No	67%	33%	278

Public sentiment toward “harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use” was also analyzed by geographic region (Table 23). The majority of respondents across all regions in Indiana approve of using trees to make lumber or other wood products (54-71% of respondents). Roughly one-third to nearly 40% disapprove of this activity, with urban centers of Indianapolis and The Region having the most respondents who disapprove of this activity (39% and 37% respectively).

Table 23. Q10a: Approval and disapproval of “Harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use,” by geographic region, (*unweighted data*).

		Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	57%	37%	6%	144
	Ft. Wayne	65%	31%	4%	146
	Evansville	65%	32%	3%	88
	Louisville	71%	28%	1%	68
	Indianapolis	54%	39%	7%	127
	Other South IN	67%	30%	3%	260
	Other North IN	61%	34%	5%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	64%	34%	2%	159
	No	62%	33%	5%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	57%	38%	5%	261
	Other-metro	61%	35%	4%	761
	Outside-metro	68%	27%	5%	329

Respondents were presented seven statements about the importance of Indiana woodlands and management of these woodlands. Respondents were asked to express their agreement or disagreement to each of these statements (Table 24). A majority of respondents (74%) disagreed that “Indiana woodlands are valuable only if they produce jobs and income for people.”

A majority of respondents (88%) agreed that “Indiana woodlands should be managed for a balance of wood products that we use, and other benefits like recreation, wildlife, and good water quality.” Further, nearly three-quarters of respondents believe that the amount of forested land in Indiana is shrinking. Interestingly, 76% of respondents agreed with the statement “If I hear an Indiana forest is being managed as a certified green forest, I get the idea it’s being wisely managed for future generations.”

As a group, respondents generally were divided about several of the statements regarding Indiana woodlands. Nearly half of respondents (49%) disagreed with the statement that Indiana woodlands should be left untouched by humans; however, nearly over one-third agreed with this statement (17% were neutral). Similarly, one-third of respondents disagreed that the ways in which Indiana woodlands are managed will hurt the environment in the long run, while nearly

40% of people agreed with this statement (approximately one-quarter were neutral). Lastly, approximately 40% of respondents disagree that our future wood needs will be met because we are growing more than enough trees to replace those that are harvested, while nearly one-third of people agree with this statement (approximately 22% were neutral). When a mean score is calculated, respondents' opinions are interpreted as neutral (see Table 25). However, analysis of frequencies as presented in Table 24 suggests distinct segmentation of beliefs and values with the population regarding certain forest issues.

An analysis of these survey items by different geographic regions of interest across the state of Indiana, whether or not respondents live in a county that has a State Forest, and if they reside in a metropolitan area or not can be found in Appendix F (pp. 231-232). Overall, across geographic regions, there were relatively few differences in agreement or disagreement for these survey items. The exception to this is the metropolitan areas of The Region and Indianapolis in which respondents expressed greater *agreement* than other regions that woodlands should be left untouched by humans, greater *disagreement* than other regions that future wood needs will be met because we're growing more than enough trees, and greater *agreement* than other regions that the ways Indiana woodlands are managed today will hurt the environment in the long run (Evansville is another exception to this survey item with 41% agreement that management will hurt the environment, but the small sample for this region (n=88) should be noted – see p. 232 in Appendix F).

Table 24. Q13: “For each of the following statements about Indiana woodlands, please tell me if you agree, disagree, or are neutral.” Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (weighted data).

“...agree, disagree, or are neutral.”	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q13a: Indiana woodlands are valuable only if they produce jobs and income for people.	74%	10%	15%	1%	1372
Q13b: Indiana woodlands should be left untouched by humans?	49%	17%	33%	1%	1372
Q13c: The ways we manage Indiana woodlands today usually hurt the environment in the long-run.	33%	24%	36%	6%	1372
Q13d: In Indiana, our future wood needs will be met because we're growing more than enough trees to replace the ones we're harvesting.	42%	22%	27%	9%	1372
Q13e: Indiana woodlands should be managed for a balance of wood products we use, and other benefits like recreation, wildlife, and good water quality.	4%	8%	88%	1%	1372
Q13f: The amount of forested land in Indiana is shrinking.	8%	13%	72%	6%	1372
Q13g: If I hear an Indiana forest is being managed as a certified green forest, I get the idea it's being wisely managed for future generations.	6%	13%	76%	4%	1372

These findings also can be portrayed using “mean score analysis” (Table 25; see Figure 6 for graphic representation). In this case, mean score analysis confirmed that respondents...


- Agreed that:
 - o Indiana woodlands should be managed for a balance,
 - o “Certified green forest” gives the idea of forests for the future,
 - o The amount of Indiana forested land is shrinking;
- Were Neutral on the statements that:
 - o Woodland management today usually hurts the environment,
 - o Indiana woodlands should be untouched by humans,
 - o Indiana is growing enough trees to replace those harvested;
- Disagreed that:
 - o Indiana woodlands are valuable only for jobs and incomes.

Table 25. Q13: “For each of the following statements about Indiana woodlands, please tell me if you agree, disagree, or are neutral,” where -1=“disagree,” 0=“neutral,” and +1=“agree,” “don’t know” eliminated for purposes of this analysis. (*weighted data*).

“...agree, disagree, or are neutral.”	Valid N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Word anchor ^a
Q13e: IN woodlands--manage for a balance	1363	.84	.46	Agree
Q13g: “Certified” means managed for the future	1313	.74	.56	Agree
Q13f: Amount of IN forested land is shrinking	1286	.69	.63	Agree
Q13c: Woodlands mngt today usually hurts environment	1287	.03	.86	Neutral
Q13b: IN woodlands--leave untouched by humans	1360	-.15	.90	Neutral
Q13d: IN is growing enough trees to replace IN harvest	1254	-.17	.85	Neutral
Q13a: Woodlands valuable only for jobs & income	1364	-.60	.74	Disagree

a. Agree range = .50 to 1.00; Neutral range = -.49 to +.49; Disagree range = -.50 to -1.00

Figure 6. Q13: “For each of the following statements about Indiana woodlands, please tell me if you agree, disagree, or are neutral.” (weighted data), where -1=“disagree,” 0=“neutral,” and +1=“agree.” Agree range = .50 to 1.00; Neutral range = -.49 to +.49; Disagree range = -.50 to -1.00.




Responses to the above statements about Indiana woodlands were examined by approval and disapproval of “harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use” (Table 26). Consistently, respondents who tended to favor the existence/worth of woodlands were less supportive of tree harvest for wood products than respondents more supportive of utilitarian forest values. That said, of the group that agreed “Indiana woodlands should be left untouched by humans,” 46% approved of “harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use.” In other words, many of those who might prefer to see woodlands untouched apparently reconcile that desire with their approval of lumber and wood products.

The topic of “certified green forests” was pursued at some length with respondents. They were asked, “If you’ve heard the term ‘certified green forests,’ in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means?” Though the term “certified green forests” appealed to respondents, most (68%) were unable to offer a meaning of the phrase (Figure 7). Of the few able to provide an answer, most frequently mentioned was the idea that such forests are managed for “green” or environmentally-friendly products (14%), or more simply that the forests are wisely- or well-managed (7%). Some respondents mentioned definitions of “certified green forests” other than the nine specific categories into which responses were grouped (listed in Appendix C).

Table 26. Q10a: Approval and disapproval of “Harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use,” by statements about Indiana woodlands, (*weighted* data).

Weighted data ("Neutral" category excluded for purposes of this analysis)		Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?		
		Approve	Disapprove	Total
Q13a: Indiana woodlands are valuable only if they produce jobs and income for people.	Disagree	59%	41%	985
	Agree	72%	28%	199
Q13b: Indiana woodlands should be left untouched by humans?	Disagree	76%	24%	637
	Agree	46%	54%	443
Q13c: The ways we manage Indiana woodlands today usually hurt the environment in the long-run.	Disagree	75%	25%	435
	Agree	53%	47%	478
Q13d: In Indiana, our future wood needs will be met because we're growing more than enough trees to replace the ones we're harvesting.	Disagree	53%	47%	561
	Agree	78%	22%	361
Q13e: Indiana woodlands should be managed for a balance of wood products we use, and other benefits like recreation, wildlife, and good water quality.	Disagree	39%	61%	54
	Agree	66%	34%	1157
Q13f: The amount of forested land in Indiana is shrinking.	Disagree	86%	14%	110
	Agree	60%	40%	955
Q13g: If I hear an Indiana forest is being managed as a certified green forest, I get the idea it's being wisely managed for future generations.	Disagree	67%	33%	79
	Agree	63%	37%	1012

Figure 7. Q12: “If you’ve heard the term ‘certified green forests,’ in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means,” Multiple responses possible, (*weighted* data).

Respondents were asked their opinions of the severity of each of nine possible threats to Indiana woodlands (Table 27). Examination of the frequency distributions would suggest that “air and water pollution” and “urban sprawl” were the most severe threats identified by respondents. Mean score analysis revealed that “urban sprawl” and “air and water pollution” were indeed the two highest-rated threats—followed closely by “insects or plant diseases”—though on average, none of these qualified in the minds of respondents as a “high threat” to Indiana woodlands, but rather, “medium threats” (Table 28). Indeed, all nine possible threats (on average) fell in the “medium” range. The bottom of the list was shared by “unplanned forest fires” and “planned or prescribed fires” (Figure 8). Interestingly, “climate change” was near the bottom of the threat list. The degree to which climate change remains a relatively low-ranking threat in the minds of the general public will be an especially intriguing trend to follow in the next five to ten years. An analysis of perceived threats by different geographic regions of interest across the state of Indiana, whether or not respondents live in a county that has a State Forest, and if they reside in a metropolitan area or not can be found in Appendix F (pp. 233-236).

Table 27. Q14: “Do you think each of the following represents a high, medium, or low threat to today’s Indiana woodlands,” Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*weighted data*).


Weighted data	Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q14a: Insects or plant diseases?	11%	40%	46%	2%	1372
Q14b: Urban sprawl?	11%	31%	50%	8%	1372
Q14c: Using Indiana trees to make wood products?	20%	51%	27%	2%	1372
Q14d: Unplanned forest fires?	39%	27%	32%	2%	1372
Q14e: Cutting or harvesting trees in Indiana?	17%	48%	32%	2%	1372
Q14f: Climate change?	30%	36%	31%	3%	1372
Q14g: Use of planned or prescribed fires?	40%	42%	15%	3%	1372
Q14h: Air & water pollution?	14%	33%	51%	2%	1372
Q14i: Spread of non-native plants & animals?	22%	38%	36%	4%	1372

Table 28. Q14: “Do you think each of the following represents a high, medium, or low threat to today’s Indiana woodlands,” where 1=“low threat,” 2=“medium threat,” and 3=“high threat,” “don’t know” eliminated for purposes of this analysis. (*weighted data*).

“...high, medium, or low threat...”	Valid N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Word anchor ^a
Q14b: Urban sprawl?	1257	2.43	.69	Medium threat
Q14h: Air & water pollution?	1346	2.38	.72	Medium threat
Q14a: Insects or plant diseases?	1343	2.36	.68	Medium threat
Q14e: Cutting or harvesting trees in Indiana?	1342	2.15	.70	Medium threat
Q14i: Spread of non-native plants & animals?	1320	2.14	.76	Medium threat
Q14c: Using Indiana trees to make wood products?	1342	2.07	.69	Medium threat
Q14f: Climate change?	1337	2.01	.79	Medium threat
Q14d: Unplanned forest fires?	1347	1.93	.85	Medium threat
Q14g: Use of planned or prescribed fires?	1330	1.74	.71	Medium threat

a. High threat range = 2.50 to 3.00; Medium threat range = 1.50 to 2.49; Low threat range =<1.49

Figure 8. Q14: “Do you think each of the following represents a high, medium, or low threat to today’s Indiana woodlands,” where 1=“low threat,” 2=“medium threat,” and 3=“high threat,” “don’t know” eliminated for purposes of this analysis; High threat range=2.50 to 3.00, Medium threat range=1.50 to 2.49, Low threat range=<1.49, (*weighted data*).



Hoosiers' Sources of Information about Woodlands

Respondents were asked how often they hear or read something about Indiana forests, woodlands, or tree-covered areas. Only 14% indicated that they “often” hear or read something about Indiana forests, and 47% said “occasionally” (Table 29). This suggests that forest and woodland management in the state has relatively low salience for the Indiana citizenry.

Table 29. Q14: “How often would you say you hear or read something about Indiana forests, woodlands, or tree-covered areas,” Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*weighted data*).

Q8: How often would you say you hear or read something about Indiana forests, woodlands, or tree-covered areas?	Almost never	38%
	Occasionally	47%
	Often	14%
	Total	1220

When asked about information sources they “learn about woodland ecosystems or woodland management,” the two most frequent sources of information appeared to be “TV shows and documentaries,” and “news media, such as newspapers, radio, or TV news” (Table 30). An analysis of perceived threats by different geographic regions of interest across the state of Indiana, whether or not respondents live in a county that has a State Forest, and if they reside in a metropolitan area or not can be found in Appendix F (pp. 236-240).

Table 30. Q14: “From what sources do you learn about woodland ecosystems or woodland management,” Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (weighted data).

Weighted data	Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q15a: TV shows & documentaries?	16%	53%	31%	1372
Q15b: Internet or Web?	43%	36%	21%	1372
Q15c: Indiana DNR?	39%	48%	12%	1372
Q15d: Friends & family?	37%	47%	16%	1372
Q15e: News media (newspapers, radio, or TV news)?	19%	57%	24%	1372
Q15f: Environmental & preservation groups?	39%	45%	16%	1372
Q15g: Sportsmen's & conservation groups?	39%	45%	17%	1372
Q15h: Magazines?	33%	49%	18%	1372
Q15i: University experts?	51%	37%	12%	1372
Q15j: Companies that make wood products?	40%	46%	14%	1372
Q15k: U.S. Forest Service?	37%	43%	20%	1372


Mean scores confirmed the relative importance of TV shows-documentaries, and news media, though no information source provided respondents “a lot” of information (Table 31). Ranking last as a woodland information source was “university experts”—perhaps not unexpected—yet somewhat surprising was the fact that university experts as a source of information ranked just below the Indiana Department of Natural Resources. That said, it is important to note that the Indiana DNR has citizen outreach through TV shows and news media, so perhaps it is through these sources that the DNR communicates with the public and is better known (Figure 9).

Table 31. Q14: “From what sources do you learn about woodland ecosystems or woodland management,” where 0=“nothing,” 1=“some,” and 2=“a lot, (weighted data).

Weighted data	Valid N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Word anchor ^a
Q15a: TV shows & documentaries?	1372	1.15	.67	Some
Q15e: News media (newspapers, radio, or TV news)?	1372	1.05	.65	Some
Q15h: Magazines?	1372	.84	.70	Some
Q15k: U.S. Forest Service?	1372	.84	.74	Some
Q15d: Friends & family?	1372	.79	.70	Some
Q15g: Sportsmen's & conservation groups?	1372	.78	.71	Some
Q15b: Internet or Web?	1372	.77	.77	Some
Q15f: Environmental & preservation groups?	1372	.77	.70	Some
Q15j: Companies that make wood products?	1372	.74	.69	Some
Q15c: Indiana DNR?	1372	.73	.66	Some
Q15i: University experts?	1372	.61	.69	Some

a. "A lot" range = 1.50 to 2.00; "Some" range=.50 to 1.49; "Nothing" range=<.49

Figure 9. Q15: “From what sources do you learn about woodland ecosystems or woodland management? Do you learn ‘a lot,’ ‘some,’ or ‘nothing’ from...” where 0=“nothing,” 1=“some,” and 2=“a lot;” “A lot” range=1.50 to 2.00, “Some” range=.50 to 1.49, “Nothing” range=<.49, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (weighted data).



Perhaps one of the most interesting questions in the survey was the one that asked about the person or experience that most influenced their sentiments about woodland management and timber harvest. A total of 1,402 unique answers were collected from respondents. This type of data always presents an analytical challenge for survey researchers, as the statements are diverse in context and reduction or categorization of them can result in a loss of depth and richness of the responses. Verbatim responses are presented in Appendix C—fully 32 pages of responses. However, for purposes of data reduction and summarization, each response was read individually and grouped into one of ten prominent categories highlighted below (Table 32).

“My Lifestyle”

Thirty-one percent of respondents implied or stated that their woodland values are rooted in their lifestyles; essentially, values virtually inseparable or indistinguishable from their personal identities and how they think as individuals. These values generally grew from early life-experiences and training (family activities, memories at a specific outdoor place, hiking, camping, scouting, fishing, burning fuel wood), though some respondents referred to more recent influences or epiphanies of sorts. Likely, these outdoor-oriented ways of thinking pervade respondents’ interests, activities, relationships—even where they have chosen to live. For example:

- I’ve just been a nature lover all my life,
- I grew up in the mountains,
- I’ve been an outdoors-person most of my life,
- Enjoyed the parks as a youngster,
- I enjoy the outdoors and woods and would like it to be there in future generations,
- I live by a lake and have 100 trees on my property,
- Probably the fact that I go to the woods every day and enjoy it,
- I live on a farm and have land in Colorado,
- Living in the middle of the forest for 15 years in Brown County,
- Ten years ago I bought a house with some woods and forest on it, and I started to like the forest,
- I grew up in the forest and I just love it,
- We have a motor home and have traveled extensively and we have seen state and national timberlands up close,
- The Lord; he was all for wood and that is why I say it. He was a carpenter, and so am I,
- I’ve just always loved the outdoors. We always went camping and I have my own little woods in my backyard; it’s our little piece of heaven,
- My family has gone camping, boating, and hiking since I was a young child, and I still do it with my kids.

Table 32. Q16: “Briefly, what person or experience would you say most influenced your sentiments about woodland management and timber harvest?” Categorization of open-ended responses, Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (*weighted data*).

Q16: Briefly, what person or experience would you say most influenced your sentiments about woodland management & timber harvest?	My lifestyle	432	31%
	"Resource Professionals"	238	17%
	Family member	210	15%
	News media	152	11%
	Can't answer	151	11%
	Travel/witnessing good & bad	81	6%
	Self-taught	66	5%
	Conservation-Environmental groups	37	3%
	Friend/neighbor	32	2%
	Classified forests	3	trace
	Total	1402	100%

“Resource Professionals”

A somewhat surprising 17% of respondents were influenced by a resource professional. That is, they are themselves in natural resource management, they were influenced by a relative or acquaintance in park and resource disciplines, they schooled in natural resources, they were mentored in resource studies by teachers or professors, they knew farmers or loggers/mill operators who made their livings on the land. Additionally, they reported being exposed to formal professional outreach, such as university extension, DNR publications, soil and water district contacts, political contacts, national guard training, or even Smokey Bear. For example:

- From my family; dad was a farmer,
- My occupation; I own a lumberyard. It has most influenced my opinions,
- Conservation officer from the past,
- I get most of my information from DNR,
- I'm running a saw mill now; it was passed down to me from my dad,
- From a forester that came out and walked through our woods with us,
- University professor,
- Forest service employees, both federal and state,
- A son and his wife are landscapers who are both college graduates,
- I know a Department of Natural Resources person,
- Used to work for the Department of Natural Resources,
- From my father; he was a conservation officer for a while,
- My brother, because he's in politics,
- My family; my cousin is a forester.
- Smokey (the) Bear.

“Family Member”

Fifteen percent made simple but eloquent reference to the influence of a family member. For example:

- My dad,
- My mother,
- My son,
- My parents,
- My husband is very smart,
- My grandparents,
- My granddaughter,
- My uncle,
- My family,
- My dad and my stepfather,
- The men in my family,
- My brother,
- My grandmother.

“News Media”

Eleven percent said they were most influenced by a variety of media and media personalities. For example:

- TV,
- Documentaries,
- Internet,
- Newspapers,
- Books,
- National Geographic,
- Nova,
- Scientific magazines,
- Rush Limbaugh,
- Sarah Palin,
- Al Gore,
- Mr. Rogers.

Several respondents specifically mentioned “John Muir,” perhaps influenced by the relatively recent (2009) Public Broadcast Service’s presentation of the Ken Burns’ film, “The National Parks: America’s Best Idea,” which prominently featured Muir’s actions and philosophy in several episodes.

“Travel/Witnessing Good and Bad”

Six percent attributed their sentiments to observations they’d made while traveling or in the course of daily living and activity, witnessing what they described as good and bad cases. For example:

- When I was out in Montana and saw clearcuts; that influenced me the most,
- I saw Dutch Elm Disease, and deforestation has left the land barren in Britain,
- Watching the fires in California on TV,
- Where we live is in a middle of a bunch of loggers, and they’re about the money,
- Every time I go someplace, trees are down; they cut down trees for the properties to sell,

- Seeing the damage done by loggers when I lived in New Mexico and California,
- Probably just what I have seen in my visits to the different conservation areas,
- Taking woods to build houses.

“Self-Taught”

Five percent referred to insights gained through self-study and personal research. For example:

- My own experience; watching people go into poverty and towns dry up because they weren't allowed to harvest in a logging town,
- Just what I read; no person in particular,
- Personal experience in the woods,
- Just being in nature,
- Just what I hear,
- My own thoughts and opinions.

“Conservation and Environmental Groups”

Three percent mentioned the influence of conservation and environmental groups. For example:

- Organizations that I belong to,
- We used to belong to the Sierra Club...,
- Letters and stuff from environmental groups,
- Environmental groups and Sycamore Land Trust,
- Activities as an environmental activist.

“Friend/Neighbor”

Two percent responded that their sentiments were influenced by a friend or neighbor. For example,

- Friends that I talk with,
- A good friend of mine,
- Watching my neighbors and listening to people talk about what's going on,
- Good childhood mentor and friend.

“Classified Forest”

Though a rare event, several respondents referenced their involvement in the formal “Classified Forests” program, or tree farming. For example,

- Got into the Classified Forest,
- Our family has 2 properties in the Classified Forest program,
- My dad's farm is in the program to grow trees.

Finally, 11% of respondents were unable to offer an answer.

Summary and Concluding Remarks

Objectives of the Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 were to assess Hoosiers’...

- Opinions on active forest management on public and private lands, and trust in government regarding forest management.
 - *Finding:* Indianans are generally unknowledgeable about who owns the state’s woodlands, with almost half thinking that Indiana forests are in equal public and private ownership. However, roughly half say they are “very concerned” (and most others, “somewhat concerned”) about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana’s woodlands. A large majority would support efforts to advise private landowners on how many and what kinds of trees they might harvest and sell. A majority think that the 3% of Indiana’s woodlands in State Forest management is not enough, even though most say they are “not familiar” with the Indiana Division of Forestry, and when asked what first comes to mind when they think of the Division of Forestry, apparently few think to volunteer that the agency has something to do with looking after trees.
- Perceptions of what constitutes “good” forest management, and importance of different forest values in forest management (e.g., aesthetics vs. economic);
 - *Finding:* “Good” forest management in the minds of Hoosiers appears to mean balancing forest benefits. A large majority supports harvesting Indiana trees for woodland management if overseen by professional foresters, but fully one-third would prefer that the state’s woodlands be untouched by human hands...Hoosiers essentially imploring, “Do what you need to do—including harvesting Indiana trees for lumber or other wood products that we use—but do it professionally with an eye toward forests for the future.”
- Awareness and perceptions of “certified green forests” (e.g., are people more accepting of forest management if it is a certified sustainable);
 - *Finding:* The phrase “certified green forest” gives Indianans the idea that the forest is being managed for future generations—so whatever “certified green forest” is, they’re reassured. However, other than this vague impression, Hoosiers generally don’t have a clear understanding of the phrase. Moreover, Indianans think that the amount of forested land in the state is shrinking, and many perceive that not enough trees are being grown to replace trees harvested.
- Types of forest management that are socially acceptable;
 - *Finding:* Majorities of Indianans support tree harvest to protect Indiana woodlands from spread of disease and wildfire, to improve places for wildlife to live, and for lumber and wood products. The citizenry is divided on the idea of importing wood products from other states and countries instead of harvesting Indiana trees, but Hoosiers roundly reject the thought of cutting Indiana trees to make room for new homes, describing the greatest threat to Indiana

woodlands as “urban sprawl.” Near the bottom of Indianans’ list of woodland threats is “climate change.”

- Environmental values and orientations.
 - *Finding:* As a citizenry, Hoosiers’ acknowledge the utilitarian values of the state’s woodlands, while expecting to see the all-important balance between woods products and other benefits, such as recreation, wildlife, and good water quality. Without doubt, the Indiana public places importance and relevance on what the Division of Forestry does—simply, as a citizenry, they lack a well-defined idea of who does it.

Acknowledgements

Thanks are extended to:

- The Indiana adults who made this project possible by taking the time to participate in the telephone survey;
- Delve Research, for the firm’s commitment to exemplary and value-added customer service;
- Staff of Indiana Department of Natural Resources and Division of Forestry who originated the idea of an Indiana Woodland Monitor, and made themselves available throughout survey instrument development;
- Brett Martin, GIS Coordinator, Indiana Division of Forestry, who provided immediate and exemplary help with a project map request;
- Sarah Sanders of DJ Case for insightful editing;
- And to Dr. Shannon Amberg, not only for her encouragement and counsel, but for her extraordinary insights and expertise in the human dimensions of natural resource management.

Bibliography

- Brunson, M. 1993. "Socially acceptable" forestry: What does it imply for ecosystem management? *Western Journal of Applied Forestry*. 8(4): 116-119.
- Hubbard, S. and S. Bowe. 2005. Environmentally friendly wood products: Perspectives and experiences of primary wood manufacturers in Wisconsin. *Forest Products Journal* 55(1): 33-40.
- Kearney, A. and G. Bradley. 1998. Human dimensions of forest management: An empirical study of stakeholder perspectives. *Urban Ecosystems* 2: 5-16.
- Kimmins, J.P. 1995. Sustainable development in Canadian forestry in the face of changing paradigms. *The Forestry Chronicle* 71(1): 33-40.
- Manning, R., Valliere, W., and B. Minter. 1999. Values, ethics, and attitudes toward national forest management: An empirical study. *Society & Natural Resources* 12: 421-436.
- McFarlane, B. and P. Boxall. 2000. Factors influencing forest values and attitudes of two stakeholder groups: The case of the Foothills Model Forest, Alberta, Canada. *Society & Natural Resources* 13: 649-661.
- Missouri Department of Conservation. 1993. Public attitudes towards forest resources. Missouri Conservation Commission, Public Profile 1-93, 18pp.
- Norusis, M.J. 2005. SPSS statistical procedures companion. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 603pp.
- Oregon Department of Forestry. 2006. Oregon residents' and state forest stakeholders' knowledge of, values regarding, and attitudes toward natural resource management in Oregon State Forests. Conducted for Oregon Department of Forestry by Responsive Management, 176pp.
- Ozanne, L. K. and P. M. Smith. 1998. Segmenting the market for environmentally certified wood products. *Forest Science* 44(3): 379-389.
- Ozanne, L. K. and R. Vlosky. 2003. Certification from the US consumer perspective: A comparison from 1995 and 2000. *Forest Products Journal* 53(3): 13-22.
- Schaaf, K. and S. Broussard. 2006. Private forest policy tools: A national survey exploring the American public's perceptions and support. *Forest Policy and Economics* 9: 316-334.
- Schaaf, K., Ross-Davis, A., and S. Broussard. 2006. Exploring the dimensionality and social bases of the public's timber harvesting attitudes. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 78(1-2): 135-146.
- Shindler, B., List, P., and B. Steel. 1993. Managing federal forests: Public attitudes in Oregon and nationwide. *Journal of Forestry* 91(7): 36-42.

Tarrant, M., Cordell, H. K., and G. Green. 2003. PVF: A scale to measure public values of forests. *Journal of Forestry* 101(6): 24-30.

Vlosky, R., Ozanne, L., and R. Fontenot. 1999. A conceptual model of US consumer willingness-to-pay for environmentally certified wood products. *Journal of Consumer Marketing* 16(2): 122-136.

Wagner, R., Flynn, J., Gregory, R., Mertz, C. and P. Slovic. 1998. Acceptable practices in Ontario's forests: Differences between the public and forestry professionals. *New Forests* 16: 139-154.

Winter, G., Vogt, C., and S. McCaffrey. 2004. Examining social trust in fuels management strategies. *Journal of Forestry* 102: 8-15.

**APPENDIX A:
Telephone Survey**

**Indiana Residents' Perceptions of Forests and Woodland
Management:
Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009**

**ITEMS for a Phone Survey: Version 17 (10/13/09)
FINAL FIELDIED BY DELVE**

Dr. Shannon Amberg, Dept. of Forestry and Natural Resources, Purdue University

Sample is 1,400 adult (18 and older) Indiana residents (English-speaking), 51% female, 49% male
Access by Random Digit Dial (RDD)

SELECTION SEQUENCE (proposed): Hello, my name is _____, and I'm calling on behalf of researchers at Purdue University who are studying public opinions about forests and woodland management in Indiana. We are not selling anything or requesting donations. Your answers will remain anonymous. Can we have about 10 minutes of your time to answer some questions that will help us better manage Indiana woodlands?

VOICE MESSAGE: Hello, my name is _____, and I'm calling on behalf of researchers at Purdue University who are studying public opinions about forests and woodland management in Indiana. We are not selling anything or requesting donations. I will try to call you again in the near future.

I need to speak with the adult living in your household over the age of 18 who had the most recent birthday and is currently at home. Are you that person?

[REINTRODUCE AS NECESSARY, READING INITIAL GREETING TO SPEAK TO NEEDED
RESPONDENT]

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| Q1 | [Interviewer indicate gender of respondent] | ☐ <i>Male</i>
☐ <i>Female</i> |
| Q2 | How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors? [READ LIST] | ☐ <i>Not interested</i>
☐ <i>Somewhat interested, or</i>
☐ <i>Very interested</i> |
| Q3 | How familiar are you with the Indiana Department of Natural Resources? Would you say...[READ LIST] | ☐ <i>Not familiar</i>

☐ <i>Somewhat familiar, or</i>
☐ <i>Very familiar</i>
☐ <i>Don't know [DON'T READ]</i> |
| Q4 | How familiar are you with the Indiana Division of Forestry within the Department of Natural Resources? Would you say...[READ LIST] | ☐ <i>Not familiar</i>

☐ <i>Somewhat familiar, or</i>
☐ <i>Very familiar</i> |

- Q5** What first comes to mind when you think of the Indiana Division of Forestry?
[DO NOT READ LIST; check all mentioned]
- ☐ *Don't know [DON'T READ]*
 - ☐ *camping*
 - ☐ *conservation*
 - ☐ *cutting trees/timber/timber harvest*
 - ☐ *fishing (fish)*
 - ☐ *forest fires (fighting forest fires)*
 - ☐ *forest management/forests*
 - ☐ *helping forest/private landowners*
 - ☐ *hunting (game/game management)*
 - ☐ *natural resources*
 - ☐ *outdoor recreation/recreation*
 - ☐ *parks*
 - ☐ *planting trees*
 - ☐ *Smokey Bear*
 - ☐ *streams-lakes*
 - ☐ *water quality*
 - ☐ *wildlife/wildlife management*
 - ☐ *wildlife viewing (bird-watching)*
 - ☐ *I DON'T KNOW [SKIP TO Q9]*
 - ☐ *other?*
- IF RESPONDENTS' ANSWER QUALIFIES AS "OTHER,"
please fill-in short answer _____
-
- Q6** Please rate the job that the Indiana Division of Forestry is doing in providing services for the state of Indiana [READ LIST].
- ☐ *Excellent*
 - ☐ *Good*
 - ☐ *Fair, or*
 - ☐ *Poor*
 - ☐ *Don't know [DON'T READ]*
- Q7** How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands? [READ LIST]
- ☐ *Not concerned*
 - ☐ *Somewhat concerned, or*
 - ☐ *Very concerned*
 - ☐ *Don't know [DON'T READ]*
- Q8** How often would you say you hear or read something about Indiana forests, woodlands, or tree-covered areas? [READ LIST]
- ☐ *Often*
 - ☐ *Occasionally, or*
 - ☐ *Almost never*
- Q9** Do you think most of Indiana's woodlands are in government ownership, private and corporate ownership, or about equal government and private ownership? [READ LIST]
- ☐ *Government ownership,*
 - ☐ *Private and corporate ownership, or*
 - ☐ *Equal government and private ownership*
 - ☐ *Don't know [DON'T READ]*

Q10 There are a number of ways that woodlands might be managed. After listening to each way, please tell me if you approve or disapprove [RANDOMIZE].

	<i>Approve</i>	<i>Disapprove</i>	<i>Don't know [DON'T READ]</i>
a. Harvesting Indiana trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use	☐	☐	☐
b. Harvesting Indiana trees to improve places for wildlife to live	☐	☐	☐
c. Cutting Indiana trees to make room for new homes	☐	☐	☐
d. Advising Indiana private landowners on how many and what kinds of trees they might harvest and sell	☐	☐	☐
e. Removing some trees to protect Indiana woodlands from spread of disease and wildfire	☐	☐	☐
f. Harvesting Indiana trees for woodland management if overseen by professional foresters	☐	☐	☐
g. Importing wood products we use from other states and countries instead of harvesting Indiana trees	☐	☐	☐

Q11 Indiana's State Forest System makes up about 3% of Indiana's woodlands. Do you think this amount of State-owned forest land is not enough, about right, or too much?

- ☐ *Not enough*
- ☐ *About right, or*
- ☐ *Too much*
- ☐ *Don't know [DON'T READ]*

Q12 If you've heard the term "certified green forests," in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means

[DO NOT READ OR PROMPT; "Don't know"/"Haven't heard term" may be offered immediately--check all mentioned]

- ☐ *Classified forests*
- ☐ *Forests managed for "green" (environmentally-friendly) products*
- ☐ *Forests managed to look like "parks"*
- ☐ *Forests that produce lots of benefits (timber, recreation)*
- ☐ *No "clear-cutting!"*
- ☐ *No "rain-forest" timber products can be harvested*
- ☐ *No trees at all can be cut*
- ☐ *Special agencies/organizations oversee forest management*
- ☐ *Wisely-managed/well-managed forests*
- ☐ *DON'T KNOW/HAVEN'T HEARD OF THE TERM*
- ☐ *Other*

Q13 For each of the following statements about Indiana woodlands, please tell me if you agree, disagree, or are neutral [RANDOMIZE].

	<i>Agree</i>	<i>Neutral</i>	<i>Disagree</i>	<i>Don't know [DON'T READ]</i>
a. Indiana woodlands are valuable only if they produce jobs and income for people	☐	☐	☐	☐
b. Indiana woodlands should be left untouched by humans	☐	☐	☐	☐
c. The ways we manage Indiana woodlands today usually hurt the environment in the long-run	☐	☐	☐	☐
d. In Indiana, our future wood needs will be met because we're growing more than enough trees to replace the ones we're harvesting	☐	☐	☐	☐
e. Indiana woodlands should be managed <i>for a balance</i> of wood products we use, and other benefits like recreation, wildlife, and good water quality.	☐	☐	☐	☐
f. The amount of forested land in Indiana is shrinking	☐	☐	☐	☐
g. If I hear an Indiana forest is being managed as a certified green forest, I get the idea it's being wisely managed for future generations.	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q14 Do you think each of the following represents a high, medium, or low threat to today's Indiana woodlands? [RANDOMIZE]

	<i>High threat</i>	<i>Medium threat</i>	<i>Low threat</i>	<i>Don't know [DON'T READ]</i>
a. Insects or plant diseases	☐	☐	☐	☐
b. Urban sprawl	☐	☐	☐	☐
c. Using Indiana trees to make wood products	☐	☐	☐	☐
d. Unplanned forest fires	☐	☐	☐	☐
e. Cutting or harvesting trees in Indiana	☐	☐	☐	☐
f. Climate change	☐	☐	☐	☐
g. Use of planned or prescribed fires	☐	☐	☐	☐
h. Air and water pollution	☐	☐	☐	☐
i. Spread of non-native plants and animals	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q15 From what sources do you learn about woodland ecosystems or woodland management? Do you learn "a lot," "some," or "nothing" from: [RANDOMIZE]

	<i>A lot</i>	<i>Some</i>	<i>Nothing</i>
a. TV shows and documentaries	☐	☐	☐
b. Internet or Web	☐	☐	☐
c. Indiana Department of Natural Resources	☐	☐	☐
d. Friends and family	☐	☐	☐
e. News media, such newspapers, radio, or TV news	☐	☐	☐
f. Environmental and preservation groups	☐	☐	☐
g. Sportsmen's and conservation groups	☐	☐	☐
h. Magazines	☐	☐	☐
i. University experts	☐	☐	☐
j. Companies that make wood products	☐	☐	☐
k. U.S. Forest Service	☐	☐	☐

Q16 Briefly, what person or experience would you say most influenced your sentiments about woodland management and timber harvest?

Q17 How many total wooded acres of Indiana land do you own?" [OPEN-ENDED RESPONSE--THEN CATEGORIZE]

- ☐ *None*
- ☐ *1-9 acres*
- ☐ *10 to 49 acres*
- ☐ *50 to 99 acres*
- ☐ *100 to 199 acres*
- ☐ *200 to 299 acres*
- ☐ *300 to 499 acres*
- ☐ *500 or more acres*
- ☐ *Refused [DON'T READ]*

Q18 Have you or any member of your household,

	<i>YES</i>	<i>NO</i>	<i>Don't know [DON'T READ]</i>
a. Gone fishing or hunting in Indiana woodlands	☐	☐	☐
b. Cut or collected trees for firewood	☐	☐	☐
c. Requested information about trees from Indiana Department of Natural Resources or Division of Forestry	☐	☐	☐
d. Gathered mushrooms, nuts, or berries from woodlands	☐	☐	☐
e. Requested information about trees from a private nursery or conservation group	☐	☐	☐
f. Worked in the wood products industry	☐	☐	☐
g. Visited an Indiana State Forest	☐	☐	☐

IF YES, "Could you tell me the name of the State Forest you visited?"

- Q19** In the last 5 years, have you purchased any lumber or wood products for home improvement?
- ☐ *No*
- ☐ *Yes*
- Q20** We're just about through. Do you consider your place of residence to be [READ LIST]...?
- ☐ *Urban,*
- ☐ *Suburban, or*
- ☐ *Rural*
- ☐ *Refused [DON'T READ]*
- Q21** What is the highest level of education you've completed? [CATEGORIZE RESPONSE]
- ☐ *Less than high school*
- ☐ *High school graduate*
- ☐ *Some college*
- ☐ *College graduate*
- ☐ *Advanced degree (MD, Lawyer, Doctorate, Graduate school)*
- ☐ *Refused [DO NOT READ]*
- Q22** With which race or ethnic group do you most closely identify yourself? [READ LIST--Respondent will likely interrupt with answer after first 3 categories]
- ☐ *Black or African American*
- ☐ *White*
- ☐ *Hispanic or Latino*
- ☐ *Asian*
- ☐ *Native American*
- ☐ *Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander*
- ☐ *Refused [DO NOT READ]*
- Q23** Regarding social and environmental issues, how would you describe yourself? [READ LIST]
- ☐ *Liberal*
- ☐ *Liberal-leaning*
- ☐ *Conservative-leaning*
- ☐ *Conservative, or*
- ☐ *None of these*
- ☐ *Refused [DO NOT READ]*
- Q24** May I ask your age? [CATEGORIZE RESPONSE]
- ☐ *18 to 24*
- ☐ *25 to 34*
- ☐ *35 to 44*
- ☐ *45 to 54*
- ☐ *55 to 64*
- ☐ *65 or older*
- ☐ *Refused [DO NOT READ]*
- Q25** What is the 5-digit zipcode of your residence?

That's all my questions. Thank you very much.

APPENDIX B: Weight Table

Summarized from “Using Weights in the Analysis of Survey Data”

David R. Johnson, Dept. of Sociology, Population Research Institute, Penn State, 2009

Weighting—assigning a value to each case in the data file—typically is used to make statistics computed from a dataset more representative of the target population. Post-stratification or “non-response” weighting is used to compensate for the fact that persons with certain characteristics may not be as likely to respond to, say, a telephone survey, as others in the target population. In the case of the Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009, it appeared that the response group tended to under-represent younger Indiana adults and over-represent older Indiana adults, and under-represent Indiana adults with lower educational attainment and over-represent Indiana adults with higher educational attainment. These under- and over-representations were identified by comparing the response group (sample) distributions with “known” and trusted population distributions, such as those presented in the 2006 National Survey of Wildlife-Associated Recreation, Indiana,” a survey conducted by the Census Bureau for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; and the American Community Survey, 2006-2008, also by the Census Bureau. It’s almost always better to have a “self-weighted” dataset for analysis purposes, because there are some issues with weighting. For example, weights primarily adjust means and proportions, and are appropriate for descriptive data. Weights, however, may adversely affect inferential data and standard errors, almost always increasing standard errors of estimates. But producing a truly “self-weighted” dataset of, say, 1402 Indiana adults across even a small number of variables—such as gender, metro-/outside-metro residence, age, and education—is neither efficient nor cost effective. So, weights can be employed in an attempt adjust the dataset to better mirror the target population.

Two weights were calculated for the IWM-09 (see Table B1). The first (“age-education weight” in Table B1) normalizes the sample response by the actual proportions of the Indiana population for the defined age and education categories.

The second weight (“Expansion weight” in Table B1) expands the sample response for the defined age and education categories to the actual age and education frequencies estimated in the Indiana population—again, essentially weighting by age and education, but in this case, projecting sample estimates to the Indiana adult population, 18 years and older.

Table B1. Weight table for Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009.

Age & Education ^a	Actual Indiana age-education frequency	Proportion	Survey response	Adjusted survey frequency ^c	Age-education weight ^d	Expansion weight ^e
18-24 <College	559024	.1176187	39	161.372845	4.1377653	14333.949
18-24 College+	47951	.0100889	5 ^b	13.841963	2.7683925	9590.200
25-34 <College	624943	.1314881	42	180.401611	4.2952764	14879.595
25-34 College+	218435	.0459587	59	63.055392	1.0687355	3702.288
35-44 <College	667224	.1403840	123	192.606821	1.5659091	5424.585
35-44 College+	217689	.0458018	91	62.840045	.6905499	2392.187
45-64 <College	1247965	.2625719	370	360.248689	.9736451	3372.878
45-64 College+	372769	.0784306	264	107.606819	.4076016	1412.004
>64 <College	681307	.1433470	253	196.672145	.7773603	2692.913
>64 College+	115543	.0243103	126	33.353671	.2647117	917.008
Total	4752850	1.00	1372	1372		

a. Age-weight data from American Community Survey, 2006-2008, Census Bureau, http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/STTable?_bm=y&-context=st&-qr_name=ACS_2008_3YR_G00_S1501&-ds_name=ACS_2008_3YR_G00_&-tree_id=3308&-redoLog=false&-_caller=geoselect&-geo_id=04000US18&-format=&-_lang=en
Retrieved: 11/23/09.

b. The small number of respondents in this cell (18-24 year olds with college degree or higher) highlights the challenge of simultaneously weighting a dataset by more than 2 variables. Even with a relatively large sample of 1402, the number of respondents in some of the lower-response categories can quickly grow unacceptably small. Other weighting approaches are useful for weighting by 3 or more variables, such as "sequential weighting," and "raking," but each of these with its own issues.

c. (Proportion*1372).

d. (Adjusted survey frequency)/(Survey response); note that 30 respondents refused to give their ages, educational attainment, or both, thus reducing the dataset to 1372.

e. (Actual Indiana age-education frequency)/(Survey response).

APPENDIX C:
Frequency Analysis of All Variables
Unweighted data

(See Questionnaire, Appendix A, for exact question wording)

Q1: Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	687	49.0	49.0	49.0
	Female	715	51.0	51.0	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not interested	107	7.6	7.6	7.6
	Somewhat interested	554	39.5	39.5	47.1
	Very interested	741	52.9	52.9	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very interested	741	52.9	52.9	52.9
	Somewhat/not interested	661	47.1	47.1	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q3: How familiar are you with the Indiana Dept of Natural Resources?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not familiar	380	27.1	27.1	27.1
	Somewhat familiar	786	56.1	56.1	83.2
	Very familiar	234	16.7	16.7	99.9
	Don't know	2	.1	.1	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q4: How familiar are you with the Indiana Division of Forestry within the Dept of Natural Resources?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not familiar	771	55.0	55.0	55.0
	Somewhat familiar	524	37.4	37.4	92.4
	Very familiar	101	7.2	7.2	99.6
	Don't know	6	.4	.4	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q5a: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (1st mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Camping	24	1.7	1.7	1.7
	Conservation	107	7.6	7.6	9.3
	Cutting trees/timber/timber harvest	96	6.8	6.8	16.2
	Fishing (fish)	22	1.6	1.6	17.8
	Forest fires (fighting fire)	16	1.1	1.1	18.9
	Forest mngt/forests	336	24.0	24.0	42.9
	Helping forest/private landowners	16	1.1	1.1	44.0
	Hunting (game/game mngt)	22	1.6	1.6	45.6
	Natural resources	30	2.1	2.1	47.7
	Outdoor recreation	31	2.2	2.2	49.9
	Parks	219	15.6	15.6	65.5
	Planting trees	55	3.9	3.9	69.5
	Smokey Bear	8	.6	.6	70.0
	Streams/lakes	10	.7	.7	70.8
	Water quality	11	.8	.8	71.5
	Wildlife/wildlife mngt	101	7.2	7.2	78.7
	Wildlife viewing/birdwatching	33	2.4	2.4	81.1
	Other	129	9.2	9.2	90.3
	Don't know	136	9.7	9.7	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q5b: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (2nd mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Camping	11	.8	3.1	3.1
	Conservation	23	1.6	6.5	9.6
	Cutting trees/timber/timber harvest	30	2.1	8.5	18.0
	Fishing (fish)	12	.9	3.4	21.4
	Forest fires (fighing fire)	10	.7	2.8	24.2
	Forest mngt/forests	59	4.2	16.6	40.8
	Helping forest/private landowners	7	.5	2.0	42.8
	Hunting (game/game mngt)	16	1.1	4.5	47.3
	Natural resources	14	1.0	3.9	51.3
	Outdoor recreation	19	1.4	5.4	56.6
	Parks	23	1.6	6.5	63.1
	Planting trees	26	1.9	7.3	70.4
	Smokey Bear	1	.1	.3	70.7
	Streams/lakes	16	1.1	4.5	75.2
	Water quality	7	.5	2.0	77.2
	Wildlife/wildlife mngt	52	3.7	14.6	91.8
	Wildlife viewing/birdwatching	12	.9	3.4	95.2
	Other	17	1.2	4.8	100.0
	Total	355	25.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1047	74.7		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q5c: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (3rdt mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Camping	1	.1	.8	.8
	Conservation	11	.8	9.3	10.2
	Cutting trees/timber/timber harvest	13	.9	11.0	21.2
	Fishing (fish)	6	.4	5.1	26.3
	Forest fires (fighing fire)	2	.1	1.7	28.0
	Forest mngt/forests	13	.9	11.0	39.0
	Helping forest/private landowners	5	.4	4.2	43.2
	Hunting (game/game mngt)	3	.2	2.5	45.8
	Natural resources	5	.4	4.2	50.0
	Outdoor recreation	6	.4	5.1	55.1
	Parks	7	.5	5.9	61.0
	Planting trees	5	.4	4.2	65.3
	Smokey Bear	1	.1	.8	66.1
	Streams/lakes	10	.7	8.5	74.6
	Water quality	4	.3	3.4	78.0
	Wildlife/wildlife mngt	20	1.4	16.9	94.9
	Wildlife viewing/birdwatching	4	.3	3.4	98.3
	Other	2	.1	1.7	100.0
	Total	118	8.4	100.0	
Missing	System	1284	91.6		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q5d: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (4th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Camping	2	.1	5.6	5.6
	Cutting trees/timber/timber harvest	1	.1	2.8	8.3
	Fishing (fish)	1	.1	2.8	11.1
	Forest fires (fighting fire)	1	.1	2.8	13.9
	Forest mngt/forests	5	.4	13.9	27.8
	Helping forest/private landowners	1	.1	2.8	30.6
	Hunting (game/game mngt)	3	.2	8.3	38.9
	Natural resources	1	.1	2.8	41.7
	Outdoor recreation	1	.1	2.8	44.4
	Parks	2	.1	5.6	50.0
	Planting trees	3	.2	8.3	58.3
	Streams/lakes	2	.1	5.6	63.9
	Water quality	1	.1	2.8	66.7
	Wildlife/wildlife mngt	11	.8	30.6	97.2
	Other	1	.1	2.8	100.0
	Total	36	2.6	100.0	
Missing	System	1366	97.4		
Total		1402	100.0		

5e: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (5th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Cutting trees/timber/timber harvest	1	.1	6.7	6.7
	Fishing (fish)	1	.1	6.7	13.3
	Helping forest/private landowners	2	.1	13.3	26.7
	Hunting (game/game mngt)	2	.1	13.3	40.0
	Natural resources	4	.3	26.7	66.7
	Outdoor recreation	1	.1	6.7	73.3
	Streams/lakes	1	.1	6.7	80.0
	Water quality	1	.1	6.7	86.7
	Wildlife viewing/birdwatching	2	.1	13.3	100.0
	Total	15	1.1	100.0	
Missing	System	1387	98.9		
Total		1402	100.0		

5f: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (6th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Conservation	1	.1	14.3	14.3
	Fishing (fish)	1	.1	14.3	28.6
	Helping forest/private landowners	1	.1	14.3	42.9
	Natural resources	2	.1	28.6	71.4
	Planting trees	1	.1	14.3	85.7
	Streams/lakes	1	.1	14.3	100.0
	Total	7	.5	100.0	
Missing	System	1395	99.5		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q5g: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (7th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Conservation	1	.1	20.0	20.0
	Fishing (fish)	1	.1	20.0	40.0
	Hunting (game/game mngt)	1	.1	20.0	60.0
	Outdoor recreation	1	.1	20.0	80.0
	Water quality	1	.1	20.0	100.0
	Total	5	.4	100.0	
Missing	System	1397	99.6		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q5h: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (8th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Camping	1	.1	50.0	50.0
	Wildlife/wildlife mngt	1	.1	50.0	100.0
	Total	2	.1	100.0	
Missing	System	1400	99.9		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q5i: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (9th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Water quality	1	.1	50.0	50.0
	Wildlife viewing/birdwatching	1	.1	50.0	100.0
	Total	2	.1	100.0	
Missing	System	1400	99.9		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q5j: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (10th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Water quality	1	.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	1401	99.9		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q5k: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (11th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Helping forest/private landowners	1	.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	1401	99.9		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q5l: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (12th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Conservation	1	.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	1401	99.9		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q5m: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry? (13th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Parks	1	.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	1401	99.9		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q5: "What first comes to mind when you think of the Indiana Division of Forestry?" OTHER responses

Q5: "What first comes to mind when you think of the Indiana Division of Forestry?" OTHER responses	Count
NO ANSWER	1253
ALL THE FOREST THAT LEADS TO THE DUNES.	1
ANIMALS	2
ANIMALS AND TREES.	2
ANIMALS AND WILDERNESS.	1
ANIMALS, REDWOOD TREES, FOREST FIRES.	1
BEAUTY	1
BROWN COUNTY	2
CHARGING THE HORSE BACK RIDERS 25 TO 50 DOLLARS A YEAR TO RIDE MY HORSE, BUT THEY DON'T CHARGE THE HIKERS ANYTHING.	1
CITY AND THE TREES	1

CLEAN AIR AND WILDLIFE.	1
CLEANING THE HIKING PATH AND CLEANING OUT DEAD TREES AND THE DEBRIS.	1
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES	1
DESTRUCTION	1
DUTCH ELM DISEASE	1
ECO SYSTEM	1
EDUCATING KIDS FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT.	1
EMERALD ASH BORER	1
ENVIRONMENT	1
FOREST LAND AND TREES.	1
FOREST PRESERVE	1
FOREST RANGER	1
FOREST RANGERS	1
FOREST RANGERS, STATE PARKS, LOGGING.	1
FORESTS AND TREES AND HOW THEY ARE TAKEN CARE OF. CREEKS AND RIVERS.	1
GAME WARDEN; FISHING AND HUNTING.	1
HARDWOOD PRODUCTION	1
HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST	2
HUNTING, HIKING, NATURE STUDY.	1
I APPRECIATE THEIR EFFORTS.	1
I JUST DON'T THINK ABOUT IT.	1
I THINK IT'S WONDERFUL.	1
INDIANA DUNES	2
JUST TREES	1
LAKES AND DUNES	1
LAKES AND POLICIES IN STUDENT COUNTY.	1
LAND MANAGEMENT	2
LAND PRESERVATION	1
LICENSE PLATES	1
LIFETIME FISHING LICENSES	1
LOGGING	2
LOGGING INDUSTRY	1
LOTS OF FACILITIES, LIKE GOOD ACCESS TO LAKES AND SHORES.	1
LOW PAY	1
MANAGEMENT	1
MORGAN MONROE FORCE	1
MY HUSBAND PLANTING TREES.	1
MY WOODS	1
NATIONAL FOREST	1
NATURE	1
NEED MORE WOODLANDS.	1
NEEDS MORE TRAP	1
NONE	1
NOT TOO MUCH.	1
NOTHING COMES TO MIND	1

PARK RANGERS	1
PRESERVATION	2
PRESERVATION.	1
PRESERVING THE FOREST SO THEY CAN'T CUT IT DOWN.	1
PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
PROTECTING TREES	1
PROTECTING WILDLIFE	1
PROTECTION OF THE FOREST.	1
PUBLIC LANDS	1
PURDUE	1
RANGERS	1
RECREATION	2
REGULATION OF THE FURNITURE INDUSTRY.	1
REGULATION OF WILDLIFE.	1
REGULATIONS ON FIREWOOD	1
REPLANTING	1
SAVING THE FORESTS	1
SAVING THE TREES.	1
SOUTHERN INDIANA	1
SPEECHES AND WORK SHOPS.	1
ST. PATRICK'S PARK	1
STATE FOREST	2
STATE NURSERY	1
STATE PARK	1
STATE PARKS	5
STATE PARKS AND MOUNTAIN BIKE TRAILS.	1
TAKING CARE OF INDIANA STATE FOREST.	1
TAKING CARE OF MY WOODLAND.	1
TAKING CARE OF THE TREES AND PRESERVING THE TREES.	1
TAKING GOOD CARE OF THE FOREST AND SO WE MAKE GOOD USE OF THEM. AND TO USE THEM WISELY.	1
THAT IS NOT ACCEPTABLE FOR A PERSON IN A WHEEL CHAIR.	1
THAT THEY WOULD BE IN CHARGE OF TREES IN INDIANA.	1
THE COMMUNITY NEEDS TO BE TOGETHER.	1
THE DIFFERENT FORESTS AND STATE PARKS.	1
THE DNR	1
THE FOREST OFF OF STATE ROAD 76.	1
THE FOREST PROGRAM,	1
THE LOSS OF SO MANY TREES.	1
THE PROTECTION OF THE WOODLANDS AND THE WETLANDS.	1
THE REPLANTING OF TREES AND ENVIRONMENTAL RECLAMATION. THE AVAILABILITY OF TREES THAT WE CAN PURCHASE FROM THEM.	1
THE RESERVOIRS	1
THE STATE FOREST DOWN IN MONROE COUNTY.	1
THE WOODLANDS AND PARKS.	1
THEY DON'T KEEP A CLOSE EYE ON THEIR TREES.	1

THEY HAVE TAKEN CARE OF IT REALLY GOOD.	1
THEY SHOULD BE TAKING CARE OF THE FOREST.	1
TRACKING A BUG THAT'S KILLING TREES.	1
TREE BOTANY	1
TREE FARM	1
TREES	18
TREES AND THE ANIMALS.	1
TREES AND TROOPERS THAT SURVEY IT.	1
TREES, THE FOREST	1
WETLANDS	1
WETLANDS, AND THE NATURE CENTER AND NATURE WALK.	1
WHAT WILL THEY DO NEXT?	1
WILDFIRES	1
WOODED AREAS	1
WOODLAND AREA	1
WOODLAND MANAGEMENT	1
WOODLANDS	1
WOODLANDS AND STATE FOREST	1
WOODS	2
Total	1402

Q6: Please rate the job the Indiana Division of Forestry is doing in providing services for the state of Indiana.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	43	3.1	3.4	3.4
	Fair	261	18.6	20.6	24.0
	Good	602	42.9	47.6	71.6
	Excellent	135	9.6	10.7	82.2
	Don't know	225	16.0	17.8	100.0
	Total	1266	90.3	100.0	
Missing	System	136	9.7		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q7: How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not concerned	87	6.2	6.9	6.9
	Somewhat concerned	536	38.2	42.3	49.2
	Very concerned	637	45.4	50.3	99.5
	Don't know	6	.4	.5	100.0
	Total	1266	90.3	100.0	
Missing	System	136	9.7		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q7: How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very concerned	637	45.4	50.6	50.6
	Somewhat/not concerned	623	44.4	49.4	100.0
	Total	1260	89.9	100.0	
Missing	System	142	10.1		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q8: How often would you say you hear or read something about Indiana forests, woodlands, or tree-covered areas?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Almost never	426	30.4	33.6	33.6
	Occasionally	650	46.4	51.3	85.0
	Often	190	13.6	15.0	100.0
	Total	1266	90.3	100.0	
Missing	System	136	9.7		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q9: Do you think most of Indiana's woodlands are in govt ownership, private-corp. ownership, or about equal government & private?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Government	351	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Private-Corp.	286	20.4	20.4	45.4
	Equal govt & private	623	44.4	44.4	89.9
	Don't know	142	10.1	10.1	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	867	61.8	61.8	61.8
	Disapprove	468	33.4	33.4	95.2
	Don't know	67	4.8	4.8	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q10b: Harvesting Indiana trees to improve places for wildlife to live?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	1148	81.9	81.9	81.9
	Disapprove	216	15.4	15.4	97.3
	Don't know	38	2.7	2.7	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q10c: Cutting Indiana trees to make room for new homes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	258	18.4	18.4	18.4
	Disapprove	1098	78.3	78.3	96.7
	Don't know	46	3.3	3.3	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q10d: Advising Indiana private landowners on how many and what kinds of trees they might harvest & sell?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	1007	71.8	71.8	71.8
	Disapprove	353	25.2	25.2	97.0
	Don't know	42	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q10e: Removing some trees to protect Indiana woodlands from spread of disease & wildfire?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	1329	94.8	94.8	94.8
	Disapprove	53	3.8	3.8	98.6
	Don't know	20	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q10f: Harvesting Indiana trees for woodland management if overseen by professional foresters?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	1220	87.0	87.0	87.0
	Disapprove	154	11.0	11.0	98.0
	Don't know	28	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q10g: Importing wood products we use from other states and countries instead of harvesting Indiana trees?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	654	46.6	46.6	46.6
	Disapprove	636	45.4	45.4	92.0
	Don't know	112	8.0	8.0	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q11: Indiana's State Forest System makes up about 3% of Indiana's woodlands. Do you think this amount of State-owned land is...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Too much	34	2.4	2.4	2.4
	About right	537	38.3	38.3	40.7
	Not enough	766	54.6	54.6	95.4
	Don't know	65	4.6	4.6	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q12a: If you heard the term certified green forests, in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means? (1st mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Classified forests	35	2.5	2.5	2.5
	Forests managed for green (enviro-friendly) products	181	12.9	12.9	15.4
	Forests managed to look like parks	10	.7	.7	16.1
	Forests that produce lots of benefits	10	.7	.7	16.8
	No clear-cutting	14	1.0	1.0	17.8
	No rain-forest timber products can be harvested	10	.7	.7	18.5
	Not trees at all can be cut	22	1.6	1.6	20.1
	Special agencies/organizations oversee forest mngt	50	3.6	3.6	23.7
	Wisely-/well-managed forests	73	5.2	5.2	28.9
	Other	69	4.9	4.9	33.8
	Don't know	928	66.2	66.2	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q12b: If you heard the term certified green forests, in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means? (2nd mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Classified forests	8	.6	8.2	8.2
	Forests managed for green (enviro-friendly) products	17	1.2	17.3	25.5
	Forests managed to look like parks	8	.6	8.2	33.7
	Forests that produce lots of benefits	6	.4	6.1	39.8
	No clear-cutting	5	.4	5.1	44.9
	No rain-forest timber products can be harvested	3	.2	3.1	48.0
	Not trees at all can be cut	8	.6	8.2	56.1
	Special agencies/organizations oversee forest mngt	9	.6	9.2	65.3
	Wisely-/well-managed forests	30	2.1	30.6	95.9
	Other	4	.3	4.1	100.0
	Total	98	7.0	100.0	
Missing	System	1304	93.0		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q12c: If you heard the term certified green forests, in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means? (3rd mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Classified forests	1	.1	3.8	3.8
	Forests managed for green (enviro-friendly) products	3	.2	11.5	15.4
	Forests that produce lots of benefits	3	.2	11.5	26.9
	No clear-cutting	2	.1	7.7	34.6
	No rain-forest timber products can be harvested	1	.1	3.8	38.5
	Not trees at all can be cut	4	.3	15.4	53.8
	Special agencies/organizations oversee forest mngt	5	.4	19.2	73.1
	Wisely-/well-managed forests	7	.5	26.9	100.0
	Total	26	1.9	100.0	
Missing	System	1376	98.1		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q12d: If you heard the term certified green forests, in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means? (4th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Classified forests	1	.1	9.1	9.1
	Forests managed to look like parks	1	.1	9.1	18.2
	Forests that produce lots of benefits	1	.1	9.1	27.3
	Not trees at all can be cut	4	.3	36.4	63.6
	Special agencies/organizations oversee forest mngt	2	.1	18.2	81.8
	Wisely-/well-managed forests	1	.1	9.1	90.9
	Other	1	.1	9.1	100.0
	Total	11	.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1391	99.2		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q12e: If you heard the term certified green forests, in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means? (5th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Special agencies/organizations oversee forest mngt	1	.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	1401	99.9		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q12: If you heard the term "certified green forests," in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means? OTHER open-ended responses.

	Count
NO ANSWER	1328
A FOREST THAT IS NATURALLY GROWN BY ITSELF WITHOUT HUMANS. IT WAS PLANTED BY THE LORD.	1
A YOUNGER FOREST.	1
ALL THOSE MANAGEMENT POLICIES I DESCRIBED.	1
AN AREA THAT IS BEING USED AND PROTECTED BY THE STATE CERTIFICATION FOREST.	1
CERTAIN AREAS OF FOREST WILL BE LEFT UNTOUCHED.	1

CERTIFIED BY THE STATE.	1
CHECKED AND A HEALTHY FOREST	1
DIVERSITY OF SPECIES	1
DON'T KNOW WHAT IT MEANS.	1
FINDING MORE TREES AND KEEPING WHAT WE HAVE.	1
FOREST OF EVERGREEN TREES	1
FOREST THAT'S HEALTHY AND WELL MANAGED.	1
FORESTS MANAGED BY THE STATE OF INDIANA. PROTECTED BY THE STATE TOO, I GUESS.	1
GETTING RID OF THE DEAD STUFF.	1
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	1
GOVERNMENT PROTECTED	1
GROWING FORESTS	1
HEALTHY FOREST THAT IS WELL MAINTAINED.	1
HELP THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
HOW YOU CAN NOT HARVEST TREES.	1
I CAN'T ANSWER THE QUESTION BECAUSE YOU DON'T TELL ME WHO'S CERTIFYING. IF THIS IS MS. DANIELS CERTIFYING, I WOULDN'T TRUST IT FOR A BUCKET OF SPIT. POORLY PHRASED QUESTION AND A POOR QUESTIONNAIRE. I DESIGN QUESTIONNAIRES LIKE THIS AND THIS IS POOR ONE. I'M A UNIVERSITY PROFESSOR.	1
I THINK IT MEANS NATURAL, NOT HAVING BEEN TOUCHED.	1
INSPECTED, MAINTAINED AND CONTROLLED HARVEST BY THE STATE.	1
IT'S A CONSERVATORY WHERE NATURE IS BEING PRESERVED WITHOUT HUMAN BEINGS HUNTING OR FISHING IN THAT AREA.	1
IT'S ALIVE AND IT'S GREEN.	1
IT'S CERTIFIED THAT IT'S SAFE FOR THE PUBLIC.	1
IT'S CLEAN AND PROTECTED.	1
IT'S GROWN AND NOT MAN MADE, AND OBVIOUSLY GREEN.	1
IT'S NATURAL, NO CHEMICALS.	1
IT GREW NATURALLY.	1
IT IS A VIRGIN FOREST THAT HAS NOT BEEN TOUCHED BY MAN.	1
IT IS BEING PROTECTED AND RENEWED.	1
IT MEANS THAT IT IS PROTECTED.	1
IT SOUNDS LIKE GOVERNMENTAL STUFF.	1
KEEP OUR FOREST GREEN, THEY DON'T WANT TO DESTROY THEM.	1
LIMITED HARVEST LEFT NATURAL.	1
LOCAL RENEWABLE RESOURCES	1
MONEY FOR SOMEBODY.	1
MORE PRIMITIVE AND UNUSED.	1
NATURAL AND PURE, QUALITY AND QUANTITY.	1
NATURAL FOREST IN A NATURAL STATE	1
NATURAL HABITAT	1
NATURAL RESOURCES ARE USED IN A POSITIVE WAY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
NATURE PRESERVE, AND MORE PROTECTIVE.	1
NEVER BEEN TO A HARVEST.	1
NO 4 WHEELER VEHICLES IN THE WOODS.	1

NO CHEMICALS AND IT'S NATURAL.	1
NO ELECTRICAL LINES, JUST NATURAL FOREST UNTOUCHED BY MAN.	1
NO IDEA	1
NO ONE WOULD INTERFERE WITH THE NATURAL GROWTH OF THE TREES/FOREST.	1
NO POLLUTION	1
NOT USING PESTICIDES.	1
PEOPLE HAVE BOUGHT INTO GLOBAL WARNING	1
PEOPLE WHO OWN TREE FORESTS FOR TAX CREDITS OR CUTS FOR GROWING TREES FOR THE GOVERNMENT.	1
PRIVATELY OWNED FOREST AND IT'S A STATE PROGRAM.	1
PROBABLY MEANS THAT IT'S BEING PROTECTED.	1
PROTECTED FROM DEVELOPMENT AND NOT TO BE SOLD TO PRIVATE COMPANIES.	1
RE-GROW TREES	1
SOMEBODY WHO MANAGES THE WOODLANDS AND MAKE SURE THAT WE DON'T HAVE ANY DISEASED TREES AND HABITAT FOR WILDLIFE.	1
SOMETHING THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS APPROVED AND IS GOOD FOR THE COUNTRY.	1
SOUNDS LIKE GOVERNMENT CONTROLLED FOREST.	1
THAT TREES ARE HEALTHY AND WELL MANAGED. THAT THE WOODLANDS ARE MANAGED PROPERLY.	1
THE ANIMALS IN THE WOODS LIKE DEER, ELK, RACCOONS, SQUIRRELS, SKUNKS, ETC.	1
THE CARBON FOOTPRINT IS A LOT BETTER THERE THAN OTHER PLACES.	1
THE FOREST IS INSPECTED.	1
THE FOREST IS TAKEN CARE OF.	1
THE PEOPLE THAT OTHERS OUT OF THE FOREST TO LET GROW.	1
THEY USE THAT FOR GREEN PRODUCTS AND THEY RE-PLANT IT.	1
TRIMMING	1
UNTOUCHED	1
UNTOUCHED BY MAN	1
WELL MANAGED	1
WHERE IT'S LOCATED, IT'S ON RECORD.	1
WHERE THE FORESTS ARE UNINHABITED.	1
Total	1402

Q13a: Indiana woodlands are valuable only if they produce jobs and income for people.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	1106	78.9	78.9	78.9
	Neutral	104	7.4	7.4	86.3
	Agree	183	13.1	13.1	99.4
	Don't know	9	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13b: Indiana woodlands should be left untouched by humans?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	744	53.1	53.1	53.1
	Neutral	227	16.2	16.2	69.3
	Agree	415	29.6	29.6	98.9
	Don't know	16	1.1	1.1	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13c: The ways we manage Indiana woodlands today usually hurt the environment in the long-run.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	531	37.9	37.9	37.9
	Neutral	329	23.5	23.5	61.3
	Agree	451	32.2	32.2	93.5
	Don't know	91	6.5	6.5	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13d: In Indiana, our future wood needs will be met because we're growing more than enough trees to replace the ones we're harvesting.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	596	42.5	42.5	42.5
	Neutral	308	22.0	22.0	64.5
	Agree	375	26.7	26.7	91.2
	Don't know	123	8.8	8.8	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13e: Indiana woodlands should be managed for a balance of wood products we use, and other benefits like recreation, wildlife, and good water quality.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	56	4.0	4.0	4.0
	Neutral	88	6.3	6.3	10.3
	Agree	1245	88.8	88.8	99.1
	Don't know	13	.9	.9	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13f: The amount of forested land in Indiana is shrinking.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	125	8.9	8.9	8.9
	Neutral	173	12.3	12.3	21.3
	Agree	1015	72.4	72.4	93.7
	Don't know	89	6.3	6.3	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13g: If I hear an Indiana forest is being managed as a certified green forest, I get the idea it's being wisely managed for future generations.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	92	6.6	6.6	6.6
	Neutral	187	13.3	13.3	19.9
	Agree	1060	75.6	75.6	95.5
	Don't know	63	4.5	4.5	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13a: Woodlands valuable only for jobs & income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	1106	78.9	78.9	78.9
	Neutral	104	7.4	7.4	86.3
	Agree	183	13.1	13.1	99.4
	Don't know	9	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13b: IN woodlands--leave untouched by humans

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	744	53.1	53.1	53.1
	Neutral	227	16.2	16.2	69.3
	Agree	415	29.6	29.6	98.9
	Don't know	16	1.1	1.1	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13c: Woodlands mngt today usually hurts environment

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	531	37.9	37.9	37.9
	Neutral	329	23.5	23.5	61.3
	Agree	451	32.2	32.2	93.5
	Don't know	91	6.5	6.5	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13d: IN is growing enough trees to replace IN harvest

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	596	42.5	42.5	42.5
	Neutral	308	22.0	22.0	64.5
	Agree	375	26.7	26.7	91.2
	Don't know	123	8.8	8.8	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13e: IN woodlands--manage for a balance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	56	4.0	4.0	4.0
	Neutral	88	6.3	6.3	10.3
	Agree	1245	88.8	88.8	99.1
	Don't know	13	.9	.9	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13f: Amount of IN forested land is shrinking

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	125	8.9	8.9	8.9
	Neutral	173	12.3	12.3	21.3
	Agree	1015	72.4	72.4	93.7
	Don't know	89	6.3	6.3	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q13g: "Certified" means managed for the future

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	92	6.6	6.6	6.6
	Neutral	187	13.3	13.3	19.9
	Agree	1060	75.6	75.6	95.5
	Don't know	63	4.5	4.5	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q14a: Insects or plant diseases?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	129	9.2	9.5	9.5
	Medium threat	541	38.6	39.7	49.2
	High threat	693	49.4	50.8	100.0
	Total	1363	97.2	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	39	2.8		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q14b: Urban sprawl?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	125	8.9	8.9	8.9
	Medium threat	396	28.2	28.2	37.2
	High threat	778	55.5	55.5	92.7
	Don't know	103	7.3	7.3	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q14c: Using Indiana trees to make wood products?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	300	21.4	21.4	21.4
	Medium threat	719	51.3	51.3	72.7
	High threat	339	24.2	24.2	96.9
	Don't know	44	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q14d: Unplanned forest fires?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	545	38.9	38.9	38.9
	Medium threat	422	30.1	30.1	69.0
	High threat	405	28.9	28.9	97.9
	Don't know	30	2.1	2.1	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q14e: Cutting or harvesting trees in Indiana?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	248	17.7	17.7	17.7
	Medium threat	705	50.3	50.3	68.0
	High threat	405	28.9	28.9	96.9
	Don't know	44	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q14f: Climate change?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	459	32.7	32.7	32.7
	Medium threat	499	35.6	35.6	68.3
	High threat	396	28.2	28.2	96.6
	Don't know	48	3.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q14g: Use of planned or prescribed fires?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	587	41.9	41.9	41.9
	Medium threat	556	39.7	39.7	81.5
	High threat	192	13.7	13.7	95.2
	Don't know	67	4.8	4.8	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q14h: Air & water pollution?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	215	15.3	15.3	15.3
	Medium threat	493	35.2	35.2	50.5
	High threat	668	47.6	47.6	98.1
	Don't know	26	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q14i: Spread of non-native plants & animals?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	265	18.9	18.9	18.9
	Medium threat	571	40.7	40.7	59.6
	High threat	508	36.2	36.2	95.9
	Don't know	58	4.1	4.1	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q15a: TV shows & documentaries?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	231	16.5	16.5	16.5
	Some	747	53.3	53.3	69.8
	A lot	424	30.2	30.2	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q15b: Internet or Web?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	678	48.4	48.4	48.4
	Some	487	34.7	34.7	83.1
	A lot	237	16.9	16.9	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q15c: Indiana DNR?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	531	37.9	37.9	37.9
	Some	696	49.6	49.6	87.5
	A lot	175	12.5	12.5	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q15d: Friends & family?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	523	37.3	37.3	37.3
	Some	665	47.4	47.4	84.7
	A lot	214	15.3	15.3	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q15e: News media (newspapers, radio, or TV news)?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	243	17.3	17.3	17.3
	Some	812	57.9	57.9	75.2
	A lot	347	24.8	24.8	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q15f: Environmental & preservation groups?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	535	38.2	38.2	38.2
	Some	654	46.6	46.6	84.8
	A lot	213	15.2	15.2	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q15g: Sportsmen's & conservation groups?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	536	38.2	38.2	38.2
	Some	632	45.1	45.1	83.3
	A lot	234	16.7	16.7	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q15h: Magazines?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	449	32.0	32.0	32.0
	Some	705	50.3	50.3	82.3
	A lot	248	17.7	17.7	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q15i: University experts?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	674	48.1	48.1	48.1
	Some	546	38.9	38.9	87.0
	A lot	182	13.0	13.0	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q15j: Companies that make wood products?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	558	39.8	39.8	39.8
	Some	650	46.4	46.4	86.2
	A lot	194	13.8	13.8	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q15k: U.S. Forest Service?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	512	36.5	36.5	36.5
	Some	619	44.2	44.2	80.7
	A lot	271	19.3	19.3	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q16: Briefly, what person or experience would you say most influenced your sentiments about woodland management and timber harvest? (UNEDITED)	Count
A BIOLOGIST FRIEND OF MINE HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME BY TALKING WITH ME. ALSO BEING OUTDOORS AND BELONGING TO THE ISAAC WALTON LEAGUE.	1
A BIOLOGY GRADUATE STUDENT.	1
A CLASS IN AGRICULTURE.	1
A COUPLE YEARS AGO MY HUSBAND'S FARM AGENCY INFLUENCED ME.	1
A FOREST RANGER.	1
A FRIEND	2
A FRIEND OF MINE THAT IS A FORESTER FOR THE STATE.	1
A FRIEND THAT'S IN THE SIERRA CLUB.	1
A FRIEND THAT HAD SOMEONE COME IN AND ADVISE HIM ON WHAT TREES HE COULD REMOVE OFF HIS PROPERTY AND NOT DAMAGE THE BALANCE OF NATURE ON HIS PROPERTY.	1
A FRIEND WHO IS A FORESTER.	1
A FRIEND WHO IS AN OUTDOORSMAN.	1
A GEOLOGY COURSE IN COLLEGE.	1
A GOOD FRIEND OF MINE.	1
A GOOD FRIEND THAT IS A TIMBER HARVEST.	1
A HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER	1
A LADY I WORK WITH.	1
A LOT FROM FRIENDS AND FARMERS.	1
A MAN I HEARD ON TV.	1
A PROFESSOR IN COLLEGE.	1
A SENATOR I SAW ON TV. GOING FISHING. YOU DON'T SEE AS MANY WILD ANIMALS AS YOU USED TO. FISHING ISN'T AS GOOD.	1
A SIBLING TOLD ME A LOT OF INFORMATION.	1
A SON AND HIS WIFE ARE LANDSCAPERS WHO BOTH ARE COLLEGE GRADUATES.	1
A TEACHER	1
A TRIP TO THE DUNES NATIONAL LAKES RIVER.	1
A VOLUNTEER WHERE I WORK WAS A RETIRED INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES EMPLOYEE.	1
A WALK THROUGH THE WOODS AND ENJOYING NATURE.	1
ACTIVITIES AS AN ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIVIST.	1
ACTUALLY GOING INTO THE WOODS.	1
ACTUALLY IN COLLEGE I WAS AN ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE MAJOR.	1
ACTUALLY NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC ON THE TV, JUST WATCHING AND LEARNING FACTS FROM IT.	1
ACTUALLY VISITED A LOT AND FINDING OUT MORE INFORMATION. LETTERS AND STUFF FOR ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS.	1
AGGRAVATED WITH THE HOOSIER HEARTLAND.	1
AGRICULTURE TEACHER	1
AL GORE'S OPINIONS ON GLOBAL WARMING HAVE MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
AL GORE	2
AL GORE, JOHN PERKINS, LITERATURE.	1
ALL THE INFORMATION COMES FROM MY MIND.	1

ALL THE WILD FLOWERS AND PEOPLE NOT LETTING THEM CLEAR IT OUT.	1
AN ACCOUNT CONSERVATION OFFICER IN MY FAMILY.	1
AN EDUCATIONAL VIDEO ABOUT FOREST FIRES AND A DOCUMENTARY ABOUT HOW PEOPLE WERE LOSING JOBS.	1
AN INDIANA FORESTER TAUGHT ME HOW TO MAKE GOOD OAK TREES AND WHAT TO DO WITH WOODLAND MANAGEMENT.	1
AN OUTDOOR RIDER.	1
ANIMALS LEAVING THE WOODLANDS FOR THE CITY.	1
ANY INFORMATION THAT MADE SENSE TO ME BY LOOKING OUTSIDE.	1
ARTICLES FROM THE NEWSPAPER AND RESEARCH. STATE PARKS AND CONSERVATION OFFICERS AND RANGERS.	1
AS A CHILD, I WENT TO STATE PARKS. EVERY YEAR MY HUSBAND AND I TOOK A VACATION AT STATE PARKS.	1
AS LONG AS THE EARTH IS HEALTHY FOR MY DAUGHTER, THAT'S MY CONCERN.	1
AS OLD AS I AM, I HAVE KNOWN THESE THINGS FOR A WHILE NOW, AND WE USED TO HARVEST TIMBER ON OUR LAND.	1
AT THE WORST, SUBURBANS HAVE NOWHERE TO GO.	1
BACK IN THE DAY, MY PARENTS WOULD TALK ABOUT THIS AND MY HUSBAND IS VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THIS SUBJECT.	1
BACK WHEN I USED TO TIMBER AT ONE TIME. I LEARNED A LOT ABOUT TREES AND HOW THEY GROW. THAT AND JUST FOR A LONG TIME I WALKED TO WOODS A LOT AND LOOKED AT TREES AND STUFF.	1
BEAUTY	1
BECAUSE I DO A LOT OF HUNTING.	1
BECAUSE I LIVE IN THE COUNTRY AND THE WOODS. I GUESS I UNDERSTAND THE NATURE OF IT. IT'S JUST A PERSONAL EXPERIENCE.	1
BECAUSE I READ ABOUT IT AND SAW IT ON TV.	1
BECAUSE OF HUNTERS, FISHERS, AND WOODLANDS IN THE FAMILY.	1
BECAUSE WE CAN IMPROVE IT A LITTLE BIT. WE ARE DOING PRETTY GOOD. IT COULD BE BETTER. JUST TRY THE BEST WE CAN TO PROTECT THE WOODLANDS.	1
BECAUSE WE HAVE THE TRAILS THROUGH THIS AREA. THEY'VE REALLY DONE A GOOD JOB BUILDING THE TRAILS. IT'S REALLY NICE. I REALLY THINK THE GROUP DID THE BEST. PUT BY RIVERS, TREE AREAS, AND BEHIND HOUSES PROPERTY. STATE PARKS.	1
BEING A GEOLOGY MAJOR AND PURDUE UNIVERSITY.	1
BEING A MEMBER OF THE INDIANA TRAIL RIDE ORGANIZATION.	1
BEING A PARTICIPANT WITH THE BOY SCOUT SERVICES.	1
BEING HERE ON THE FARM AND HAVING WOODS AROUND ME.	1
BEING IN THE COUNTRY AS A CHILD.	1
BEING IN THE FOREST.	1
BEING IN THE WOODS MYSELF.	1
BEING IN TOUCH WITH THE LOCAL DNR BECAUSE I OWN ACRES AND I WANT TO KEEP IT THAT WAY.	1
BEING INVOLVED IN A HURRICANE.	1
BEING ON THE CAMP BOARD OF TIMBER.	1
BEING OUT IN THE WOODS AND SEEING TREES CUT DOWN.	1
BEING OUTDOORS OR LIKING TO BE OUTDOORS.	1
BEING OUTDOORS WITH MY CHILDREN.	1

BEING OUTDOORS; CAMPING, HUNTING AND FISHING.	1
BEING OUTSIDE AND CAMPING. ALSO BEING WITH FRIENDS AND FAMILY.	1
BORN AND RAISED IN THE COUNTRY AND WE NEED OUR TREES AND WILDLIFE.	1
BORN AND RAISED IN THE COUNTRY.	1
BOTH MY PARENTS WERE SCOUT MASTERS WHEN I WAS A KID.	1
BOY SCOUT LEADER	1
BOY SCOUTS	4
BOY SCOUTS WAS WHERE IT ALL STARTED. THE FACT THAT I HUNT AND FISH AND TOOK NATURAL RESOURCES AND GEOLOGY AND ECOLOGY IN COLLEGE.	1
BROTHER-IN-LAW WHO IS A FARMER AND HE IS A NATURE PRESERVIST.	1
BUILDING OF HOUSES BY TAKING DOWN TREES. DESTROYING WOODLANDS FOR NEW HOMES.	1
BUYING PROPERTY AND READING MORE ABOUT WOODLAND MANAGEMENT.	1
BY READING MAGAZINES AND TELEVISION.	1
BY TAKING HIKES, WALKING THROUGH THE FOREST AND VIEWING THE FOREST.	1
CAMPING	5
CAMPING AND FISHING.	1
CAMPING AND HIKING IN THE STATE FOREST.	1
CAMPING AND HUNTING, HIKING.	1
CAMPING AND THROUGHOUT HIKING. WATCHING URBAN SPRAWL. NATURE ACTIVITY STATE PARK.	1
CAMPING MYSELF AT THE PARKS.	1
CAMPING WHEN I WAS LITTLE.	1
CAMPING WHEN I WAS YOUNG.	1
CAMPING WITH MY DAD.	1
CAMPING WITH THE FAMILY.	1
CAMPING, AND BOATING.	1
CAMPING, BEING ABLE TO GO OUT AND ACTUALLY SEE NATURE. [W/E] NE	1
CAMPING, FISHING.	1
CAMPING, GOING TO THE STATE PARKS.	1
CAMPING, HIKING, JUST LOOKING AT THE FOREST AND THINKING ABOUT IT AND ENJOYING IT.	1
CAMPING, USED TO HUNT. I LIKE TO WALK IN THE STATE PARKS.	1
CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD.	1
CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING.	1
CHANNEL 6 AND 8. TREE BOY AND WEATHER MAN ON GOOD MORNING AMERICA, GOING GREEN.	1
COLLEGE EDUCATION	1
COMMON KNOWLEDGE	1
COMMON SENSE	1
CONCERNED ABOUT THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
CONCERNED FOR MY KIDS.	1
CONSERVATION	1
CONSERVATION GROUPS	2
CONSERVATION GROUPS, ENVIRONMENTALISTS, ARTICLES I READ.	1
CONSERVATION GROUPS, THE PEOPLE THAT WORK IN THE PARKS.	1
CONSERVATION GROUPS; I AM IN ONE.	1

CONSERVATION OFFICER FROM PAST.	1
CONSERVATION OFFICERS.	1
CONSERVATION ORGANIZATION	1
CONSERVATION PUBLIC SPEAKERS ON THE COMPUTER ONLINE HAVE MOST INFLUENCED MY VIEWS.	1
CONSERVATIONIST CLUB	1
CONVERSATIONS	1
COUNTY PARKS	1
CUTTING THE TREES DOWN.	1
DAVID REESE OUT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES.	1
DEER HUNTING	1
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES	1
DESIRE TO ENJOY THE OUTDOORS.	1
DIANA SIMONS WITH GLOBAL GREENS	1
DID A LOT OF HIKING AND CAMPING WHEN I WAS YOUNGER.	1
DISCUSSIONS WITH MY OLDER BROTHER. HE WAS A GRADUATE AT PURDUE.	1
DIVISION OF NATURAL RESOURCES. I'M A MEMBER OF THE WALLEYE FISHERMAN'S CLUB. ALSO NORTH AMERICAN FISHERMAN CLUB. THE INDIANA LOCALS ON HUNTING REGULATIONS.	1
DNR	1
DNR, DIVISION OF NATIONAL RESOURCES	1
DOCUMENTARIES	1
DOCUMENTARIES I'VE SEEN.	1
DOCUMENTARIES ON TELEVISION; WNIT CHANNEL.	1
DOING THOSE KIND OF WORKS, TO MANAGE THE WOODLANDS AND BALANCE THE WORK OUT.	1
DON'T KNOW	8
DRIVING AROUND AND LOOKING AT THE AREA THAT LOOKS REALLY NICE, ESPECIALLY SOUTHERN INDIANA.	1
DRIVING AROUND AND SEEING THE CHANGES IN THE FORESTS, LIKE BOXES TO COLLECT BUGS HAS MOST INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
EAGLE CREEK, JUST WATCHING IN THE PARK.	1
ENJOY DRIVING AROUND AND SEEING THE ANIMALS OUT THERE.	1
ENJOYING THE OUTDOORS WITH FAMILY AND ALONE.	1
ENJOYING THE PARKS AS A YOUNGSTER, HIKING SCHOOL TRIPS.	1
ENVIRONMENT	1
ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS	2
ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS AND SICAMORE LAND TRUST.	1
ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY CLASS IN COLLEGE.	1
ENVIRONMENTALISTS	2
EVERY TIME I GO SOME PLACE, TREES ARE DOWN. THEY CUT DOWN TREES FOR THE PROPERTIES TO SELL. WHEN I MOVED HERE, THERE WERE TREES AND A FIELD. NOW THERE IS NOTHING.	1
EXPERIENCE FROM BEING IN THE WOODS. THAT'S WHAT MAKES ME HAVE THE LOVE FOR IT.	1
EXPERIENCE IN THE WOODS OF OUR OWN. FAMILY OWNED BUSINESS OF HARVESTING TIMBER.	1
EXPERIENCE IN THE WOODS.	1

EXPERIENCE; I'VE WORKED FOR PRODUCT EXTENSION. I'VE BEEN A TEACHER.	1
EXPERIENCES I HAVE CAMPING AS A KID AND AS A CHRISTIAN, I THINK YOU SHOULD MANAGE THE EARTH THAT GOD GAVE US. SO, I GUESS MY PERSON WOULD BE GOD. THAT DOESN'T MEAN WE DON'T USE IT. IT JUST MEANS WE DON'T ABUSE IT.	1
EXPERTS IN THE FIELD.	1
EXPOSURE WITH SOME FORESTERS.	1
EXTEND LUMBER COMPANY.	1
FAMILIES	1
FAMILY'S OPINIONS	1
FAMILY	7
FAMILY AND MY BROTHERS AND PARENTS. IT WAS A PART OF MY LIFE GROWING UP.	1
FAMILY IN GENERAL, MY SON WORKS IN A LUMBER MILL.	1
FAMILY MEMBERS	1
FAMILY MEMBERS.	1
FAMILY, JUST THE LOCAL MEDIA.	1
FAMILY, MY OWN PERSONAL EXPERIENCE IN TRAVELING TO STATE PARKS AND NATIONAL PARKS.	1
FATHER	2
FIGHTING FOREST FIRES.	1
FISHING IN INDIANA. I HAVE FRIENDS THAT HUNT AND USE THE WOODS AND THE DIFFERENT FOREST RESERVES.	1
FOR ME, I'VE GROWN UP AND HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SEE THE TREES AND NATIONAL FORESTS. IT WAS IN MY FAMILY, I GREW UP WITH IT.	1
FOR ME, IT WOULD BE THE IMPLEMENTING OF THE TREE COMMITTEE OF MY LITTLE TOWN.	1
FOREST SERVICE EMPLOYEES, BOTH FEDERAL AND STATE. THE NEWS AND INTEREST GROUPS.	1
FOREST TREE PEOPLE.	1
FORESTRY DEPARTMENT	1
FORESTRY PROGRAM	1
FORESTRY SERVICE	1
FRIEND	3
FRIENDS	2
FRIENDS AND FAMILY.	1
FRIENDS THAT ARE REALLY INTO WILDLIFE, SO I HEAR ABOUT IT ALL THE TIME.	1
FRIENDS THAT I TALK WITH.	1
FRIENDS USED TO HUNT AND USED THE WOODLANDS.	1
FROM A FORESTER THAT CAME OUT AND WALKED THROUGH OUR WOODS WITH US. WE IDENTIFIED THE DIFFERENT THINGS AND HE WAS VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE. HE OPENED MY EYES ON HOW TO CARE FOR THE WOODS AND JUST HIS GENERAL KNOWLEDGE OF FORESTRY WAS DELIGHTFUL. HE WAS A PURDUE MAN. I'VE TAKEN AN INTEREST IN SOME OF THE NUT TREES. I'VE BEEN GROWING SOME BUTTERNUT TREES AND I'M INTERESTED IN THE RESEARCH IN GROWING THEM. I'VE PLANTED FOUR HUNDRED CHESTNUT TREES. ERIC SLOAN, AN AUTHOR, HAS INFLUENCED ME AS WELL.	1
FROM BEING A LANDOWNER IN THE STATE OF KENTUCKY.	1
FROM HIKING AND CAMPING.	1
FROM MY FAMILY, DAD WAS A FARMER.	1
FROM MY FATHER	1

FROM MY FATHER. HE WAS A CONSERVATION OFFICER FOR A WHILE.	1
FROM MY GRANDPARENTS. THEY WERE STEWARDS OF THE LAND, THEY HAD 300 ACRES OF APPLE TREES.	1
FROM MY GRANDSON.	1
FROM MY PARENTS.	1
FROM NEWSPAPERS AND MAGAZINES.	1
FROM PERSONAL EXPERIENCE.	1
FROM THE DNR, THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES.	1
FROM VISITING THE FOREST AND RECREATIONAL AREAS AND SEEING THE BEAUTY OF THE FOREST.	1
FROM WHAT I SEE AND WHAT I DO HERE AND READ.	1
GENERAL GUT FEEL OF WHAT I'VE READ OF HARVESTING TREES.	1
GLOBAL WARMING AND WHAT WE'RE DOING WITH OUR ENVIRONMENT.	1
GOING AND SEEING THE HILLS MOUNTAIN AND SEEING WHAT THEY'VE DONE WITH THE PLACE. IT'S HORRIBLE.	1
GOING CAMPING AND BEING OUT IN THE WOODS WOULD BE WHY I LOVE THEM AND WHY THEY'RE SO IMPORTANT TO US.	1
GOING HIKING AND LIVING ON A LAKE AND BEING IN A FOREST AND LAKE ENVIRONMENT.	1
GOING HUNTING MOSTLY AND FARMING.	1
GOING TO PARKS HAS INFLUENCED MY SENTIMENTS THE MOST.	1
GOING TO THE PARK. HIKING AND ASKING CONSERVATION OFFICERS QUESTIONS.	1
GRANDCHILDREN	1
GRANDFATHER	1
GRANDFATHER. JUST THE FACT THAT I LOVE NATURE.	1
GREAT GRANDMOTHER	1
GROWING UP IN INDIANA AND TRAVELING AROUND THE STATE.	1
GROWING UP IN MINNESOTA HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME, BEING AROUND TREES AND OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES.	1
GROWING UP IN MY GRANDPA'S WOODS HAS MOST INFLUENCED MY SENTIMENTS AND MY GRANDPA.	1
GROWING UP IN NEBRASKA	1
GROWING UP IN THE BLUE RIDGE MOUNTAINS IN VIRGINIA. GARDEN CLUB PEOPLE OF INDIANA. I TAKE A PURDUE EXTENSION LETTER THAT I SIGNED UP FOR THAT TELLS YOU ABOUT WHAT'S GOING ON.	1
GROWING UP IN THE COUNTRY AND SEEING PEOPLE THAT HARVEST THE WOOD, DESTROY THE FOREST AND IT LOOKS LIKE A BOMBING AREA. IT CHANGED OUR WATER SOURCE.	1
GROWING UP IN THE COUNTRY AND WALKING THROUGH THE FOREST WHEN I WAS A CHILD. WHEN THERE WERE A LOT OF TREES.	1
GROWING UP IN THE COUNTRY.	1
GROWING UP IN THE NORTHWEST AND SEEING WHAT WE GROW AND MANUFACTURE. (W/E) NE	1
GROWING UP ON A FARM WITH MY FATHER AND THE OLDER PEOPLE. GENERATION TO GENERATION.	1
GROWING UP ON A FARM.	2
HARMAN CRONA	1
HAVING EXPERIENCED WORKING AT THE AIRPORT. HAVING TO PRESERVE WETLANDS TO BUILD THE AIRPORT.	1

HAVING WORKED IN THE LUMBER BUSINESS FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
HIGH SCHOOL SCIENCE TEACHERS	1
HIKING	2
HIKING ACTIVITY	1
HIKING AND ALL THE RECREATION I DO.	1
HIKING AND CAMPING IN THE INDIANA WOODLANDS.	1
HIKING AND CAMPING.	1
HIKING AND CAMPING. SEEING THE ANIMALS AND INSECTS AND FISH AND BIRDS THAT LIVE THERE. I'VE SEEN SOME AREAS THAT ARE CLEAN CUT OF TREES AND I'VE SEEN THE DAMAGE TO THE HABITAT OF THINGS THAT USED TO LIVE THERE.	1
HIKING IN PARKS AND WOODS.	1
HIKING, CAMPING, AND JUST KNOWING IT'S THERE AND PRESERVED.	1
HOBBIES	1
HONEY	1
HOW I WAS RAISED. PARENTS RAISED TO APPRECIATE THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
HUNTERS	1
HUNTING	2
HUNTING AND CAMPING. PRESERVATION OF A NATURAL ECOSYSTEM.	1
HUNTING AND DOING OUTDOOR STUFF MAKES ME INTERESTED.	1
HUNTING AND FISHING	1
HUNTING EXPERIENCE WITH MY SON. I LIKE TO HUNT, TO FISH, FAMILY CAMPS, AND JUST GREW UP DOING THAT. MY HEART'S IN THE WOODS.	1
HUNTING EXPERIENCE.	1
HUNTING SOME GAME AND CAMPING.	1
HUNTING WITH MY FATHER.	1
HUNTING, HIKING, JUST GOING AROUND THE RIVER BOTTOMS.	1
HUSBAND	1
I'D SAY VISITING THE STATE PARKS.	1
I'M A HIKER. SO I GUESS THE FRIENDS I HIKE WITH. I'M IN ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS AND NATURE CONSERVATION.	1
I'M A MUSHROOM HUNTER.	1
I'M A PART TIME PARK RANGER.	1
I'M A WOOD WORKER AS A HOBBY AND WOOD PRODUCTS ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO ME. IT'S A GOOD MANAGEMENT OF TREES AND WOODLANDS. IT'S IMPORTANT. ALSO, WE'VE BEEN CAMP AND OUTDOOR PEOPLE ALL OUR LIFE, SO SPENDING TIME IN THE OUTDOORS ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO ME. THE PRESERVATION OF OUR WOODS AND WILDLIFE AREA ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO ME.	1
I'M ALWAYS OUT IN THE BOONDOCKS SO THAT PERSON WOULD BE MYSELF.	1
I'M AN ADULT LEADER WITH THE BOY SCOUTS.	1
I'M AN ANIMAL LOVER AND I DON'T LIKE TO SEE THEIR HABITAT INVADED.	1
I'M AN EAGLE SCOUT. I'VE BEEN A BOY SCOUT LEADER FOR MY WHOLE LIFE. I KNOW THE OWNERS OF A COUPLE OF LOGGING COMPANIES.	1
I'M AN ENVIRONMENTAL TEACHER AND WE DO A LOT OF OUTDOOR ASSIGNMENTS AND RESEARCHES.	1
I'M AN EX BOY SCOUT, SO THAT WAS AN EXPERIENCE.	1
I'M AN OUTDOORS PERSON. I GREW UP IN THE WOODS. I'VE ALWAYS JUST HAD AN APPRECIATION OF IT.	1

I'M INTERESTED IN THE GREEN MOVEMENT AND THE CLIMATE CONTROL AND SUSTAINABILITY.	1
I'M LOST, I DON'T KNOW.	1
I'M NATURALLY CONCERNED.	1
I'M NOT AWARE OF BEING EXPOSED TO ANY OF IT.	1
I'M NOT REALLY INFLUENCED BY OTHER PEOPLE.	1
I'M NOT SURE	2
I'M NOT SURE OF THIS.	1
I'M NOT SURE THAT I CAN SAY ANYTHING. IT'S PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE THAT I HAD ALONG THE WAY.	1
I'M REALLY NOT AN OUTDOORSMAN. I MOVED FROM NEW YORK CITY, SO LET'S JUST PUT IT LIKE THAT.	1
I'M RUNNING A SAW MILL NOW. IT WAS PASSED DOWN TO ME FROM MY DAD.	1
I'VE ALWAYS KNOWN WE SHOULD TAKE CARE OF OUR FORESTS. WE WERE TAUGHT THAT IN SCHOOL.	1
I'VE ALWAYS LOVED NATURE.	1
I'VE BEEN AN OUTDOORS PERSON MOST OF MY LIFE. I'VE ALSO BEEN IN A FEW ORGANIZATIONS SUCH AS SCOUTING AND CONSERVATION GROUPS WHICH KEPT ME INFORMED WITH THE NATURAL RESOURCES.	1
I'VE HIKE PART OF THE APPALACHIAN TRAIL. VISITING NATIONAL PARKS AND STATE PARKS. PERSONAL INTEREST.	1
I'VE JUST ALWAYS GROWN UP WITH IT AROUND THE FORESTS.	1
I'VE JUST ALWAYS LOVED THE OUTDOORS. WE ALWAYS WENT CAMPING AND I HAVE MY OWN LITTLE WOODS IN MY BACKYARD; IT'S OUR LITTLE PIECE OF HEAVEN. I WENT TO GOSHEN COLLEGE IN INDIANA; THAT ALSO INSPIRED ME TO LOVE THE OUTDOORS.	1
I'VE JUST BEEN A NATURE LOVER ALL MY LIFE, SO I WOULD TO SAY MY EX-HUSBAND AND SON. WE HAVE EXPLORED THE OUTDOORS ALL OUR LIVES.	1
I'VE NEVER HAD ANY THOUGHT OR PROCESS ABOUT THE OUTDOORS BUT JUST THINGS I'VE OBSERVED OR HEARD.	1
I'VE SEEN A LOT OF WOODED AREAS BY MY HOUSE.	1
I'VE SEEN THE PARKS IN THE WOODLANDS IN 25 STATES. I CONTRIBUTE TO PRESERVE THEM.	1
I'VE TAKEN PART OF TIMBER HARVEST BOTH IN INDIANA AND MISSOURI ON LAND I OWNED.	1
I ALWAYS KNEW BECAUSE I GO CAMPING.	1
I AM AN AVID SPORTSMAN AND I SEE THE WOODS AND CLEAR CUTTING OF WOODS. WE ARE LOSING MORE WOODS THAN WHAT THEY ARE PUTTING BACK IN.	1
I AM AN EAGLE SCOUT. SO WHEN I WAS IN SCOUT, WE DID A LOT TO KEEP THE FOREST AREAS CLEAN.	1
I AM AN OLD CAMPER AND HAVE DONE A LOT OF CAMPING IN THE WOODS ALL YEAR AROUND.	1
I AM FROM NORTHERN MICHIGAN. I HAVE DONE MY OWN LUMBERING. THAT INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
I AM IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND I SEE THE QUALITY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LUMBER IN THE OLD DAYS AND NOW. THE NEW WOOD WARPS BECAUSE THE GROWTH GRAINS ARE NOT AS TIGHT.	1
I BELIEVE IN THE GREEN MOVEMENT AND NOT BEING WASTEFUL.	1
I BELONG TO THE CANAL SOCIETY AND WE DO TOURS ON THE WILDLIFE. ALSO I BELONG TO A CONSERVATION GROUP THAT RUNS THIS TOUR. IT HAS INFLUENCED ME THE MOST. THE MAN AND WOMAN WHO RUN THE TOUR TELL US ABOUT PLANTS AND ANIMALS.	1

I CAN'T ANSWER THAT	1
I CAN'T NAME A CERTAIN PERSON. MY LIFE. I'VE LIVED IN THE COUNTRY FOR ALL OF MY LIFE AND IT'S INFLUENCED ME A LOT. MY LIVING.	1
I CAN'T REALLY THINK OF ANYTHING.	1
I CAN'T THINK OF ANY	1
I CAN'T THINK OF ANY ONE THING OR PERSON HAS INFLUENCED ME MOST.	1
I CAN'T THINK OF ANYBODY RIGHT NOW.	1
I CAN'T THINK OF ANYONE.	1
I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING RIGHT NOW.	2
I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING THAT HAS INFLUENCED ME.	1
I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING. I DON'T CARE ABOUT FORESTRY.	1
I CAN SAY THAT WHEN GROWING UP AS A CHILD, ALL THE TIME, WE WENT TO THE PARKS. I'M FROM PENNSYLVANIA, SO I WAS ALWAYS FASCINATED BY FLOWERS, TREES, AND THINGS LIKE THAT. BUT MY PARENTS, SCHOOL AND FAMILY INFLUENCED ME THE MOST. IN ADULthood, AT LIKE FAMILY REUNIONS, I WOULD GO TO THE MOUNTAINS AND ENJOY THE FRESH AIR.	1
I CARE QUITE A BIT ABOUT THE DEER HAVING THEIR HOMES. I WANT THE DEER TO BE SAFE IN THEIR OWN ENVIRONMENT.	1
I COLLECT BEETLES, SO I GO OUT TO THE FOREST AREA.	1
I COULDN'T REALLY SAY, JUST TV.	1
I COULDN'T SAY	1
I COULDN'T SAY. NEWSPAPER ARTICLES LEAVE ME TO BELIEVE THE GREENER IT GETS, THE MORE HARMED THE FOREST IS.	1
I DID A PAPER ABOUT CONSERVATION IN HIGH SCHOOL.	1
I DO A LOT OF CAMPING AND HUNTING.	1
I DO HAVE A COUSIN WHO WAS WITH THE FORESTRY SERVICES SO I LEARNED A LOT FROM HIM.	1
I DO NOT KNOW BECAUSE I HAVE NOT PUT THAT MUCH THOUGHT INTO IT.	1
I DO WOOD WORKING SO THAT'S ABOUT THE CLOSEST I'VE COME TO THE WOODS.	1
I DON'T GO OUT AND ADVOCATE THIS STUFF.	1
I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER FOR YOU.	1
I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER ON THAT.	1
I DON'T HAVE AN OPINION.	1
I DON'T HAVE ANY.	1
I DON'T KNOW	37
I DON'T KNOW ABOUT THIS, I'M JUST NOT SURE.	1
I DON'T KNOW ANYBODY IN THAT FIELD, SO I REALLY DON'T KNOW.	1
I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S PARK MANAGEMENT OR THE FOREST SERVICE, BUT THEY HAVE DOCUMENTARIES, BROCHURES, AND OTHER THINGS THAT ARE EDUCATIONAL AT STATE FORESTS AND PARKS. I'VE NEVER ASKED WHO DOES IT, I JUST GO IN AND ENJOY IT.	1
I DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT IT.	1
I DON'T KNOW NOTHING REALLY.	1
I DON'T KNOW, I'M NEW IN TOWN.	1
I DON'T KNOW, I JUST SEE TREES BEING HARVESTED.	1
I DON'T KNOW, I LIKE TO MAKE SURE TIMBER IS MANAGEABLE.	1
I DON'T KNOW, IT'S JUST MY OWN OBSERVATIONS.	1
I DON'T KNOW, JUST LIVING IN THE COUNTRY AND LAKE. BEING CONCERNED ABOUT MY TREES.	1

I DON'T KNOW, MAYBE AGRICULTURE CLASS.	1
I DON'T KNOW, MY HUSBAND PROBABLY.	1
I DON'T KNOW.	1
I DON'T LIKE THEM TO CUT WOOD WITHOUT REPLACING IT BECAUSE OF GLOBAL WARMING.	1
I DON'T REALLY CARE ABOUT THE WOODLAND MANAGEMENT.	1
I DON'T REALLY HAVE A STAND ON IT.	1
I DON'T REALLY KNOW	1
I DON'T REALLY KNOW, DNR.	1
I DON'T REALLY KNOW, JUST FROM MYSELF AND FAMILY.	1
I DON'T REALLY KNOW.	3
I DON'T THINK ABOUT IT MUCH, REALLY. MAYBE IT'S THE WAY I GREW UP. [W/E] NE	1
I DON'T, INEXPERIENCED.	1
I DRIVE TO WORK WITH A LADY WHO'S DAUGHTER WORKS WITH CONSERVATION AND SHE'S A PURDUE GRADUATE.	1
I ENJOY CAMPING AND BEING OUTDOORS IN GENERAL. THAT HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
I ENJOY FISHING WITH MY HUSBAND. IT IS SOMETHING THAT LIVES IN NATURE IN THE WATER.	1
I ENJOY THE OUTDOORS AND WOODS AND I WOULD LIKE TO BE THERE IN FUTURE GENERATIONS. I LIKED TO MANAGE AND BE ABLE TO SELECT, CUT AND REPLACE TREES WITH NATIVE AND TIMBER.	1
I ENJOY THE OUTDOORS, I AM A HUNTER, FISHERMAN AND AM AN OUTDOORSMAN.	1
I ENJOY TREES, AND I DON'T LIKE THEM CUT.	1
I ENJOYED INDIANA WOODS FOR A LONG TIME.	1
I GET INFORMATION FROM DNR.	1
I GET MOST OF MY INFORMATION FROM DNR. I GET A LOT OF HONEY AND FISHING MAGAZINES. ALSO THE INTERNET.	1
I GET THE MOST WHEN I LISTEN TO A PROGRAM ABOUT FORESTATION ON TV.	1
I GO CAMPING QUITE A BIT, THAT HAS INFLUENCED ME MOST.	1
I GO OUT AND FISH AND OWN SOME PROPERTY MYSELF.	1
I GO TO THE STATE PARK ALL THE TIME AND WE REALLY PAY ATTENTION TO WHAT'S GOING ON. I EAT THERE, I CAMP THERE, I HIKE AND I WATCH A LOT OF DOCUMENTARIES AND I READ THE NEWSPAPER.	1
I GOT INTO THE CLASSIFIED FOREST.	1
I GREW UP AT STATE PARKS WHEN I WAS LITTLE.	1
I GREW UP IN A RURAL AREA, HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST AREA, AND MY DAD WORKED FOR A PAPER MILL.	1
I GREW UP IN A WOODED AREA, IT'S WHERE I WANT TO BE BURIED.	1
I GREW UP IN THE BACKWOODS, SO MY PARENTS TOLD ME A LOT OF INFORMATION ABOUT IT AND I DO RESEARCH.	1
I GREW UP IN THE COUNTRY WALKING IN THE WOODS.	1
I GREW UP IN THE COUNTRY, PROBABLY MY GRANDPARENTS.	1
I GREW UP IN THE FOREST AS A CHILD AND I JUST LOVE IT.	1
I GREW UP IN THE MOUNTAINS.	1
I GREW UP IN THE WOODLAND AREA. MY DAD WAS A BUILDER AND BUILT HOMES AND FARMS.	1
I GREW UP ON A FARM. I'VE ALWAYS LIVED SOMEWHERE IN THE COUNTRY.	1
I GREW UP ON THE COUNTRY.	1

I GREW UP ROAMING IN THE WOODS.	1
I GREW UP SURROUNDED BY TREES.	1
I GUESS IT WOULD BE THE CONSERVATION EXPERTS AND PEOPLE THAT KNOW WHAT THEY'RE DOING. I LEARN A LOT FROM THEM.	1
I GUESS MY COUSIN IN THE FORESTRY BUSINESS AND I GREW UP ON A FARM.	1
I GUESS MY DAD, MAYBE. WE HAD A LITTLE FARM, HE KIND OF TOOK CARE OF IT.	1
I GUESS MY PARENTS AND MY HUSBAND.	1
I GUESS PROBABLY WHAT I SEE ON TV AND READ IN NEWSPAPERS.	1
I GUESS SEEING NATIONAL PARKS OUT WEST.	1
I GUESS THE FACT THAT I WAS BURNING WOOD.	1
I GUESS THE FORESTRY AND HOW THEY MANAGE THEIR FOREST, TREES AND ANIMALS.	1
I GUESS THE NEWSPAPERS.	1
I HAD A FRIEND THAT WAS A CONSERVATION PERSON, SO HE TALKED TO BE ABOUT IT.	1
I HAD A PROFESSIONAL FORESTER.	1
I HAD A PROFESSOR THAT TAUGHT US A LOT.	1
I HAD AN UNCLE WHO HAD A LOT OF LAND IN INDIANA. HE HAD A LOT OF WOODS THAT WERE MANAGED AND HARVESTED PROPERLY, AND MY MOTHER IN-LAW HAD WOODS THAT WERE HARVESTED PROPERLY, TOO.	1
I HAD FRIENDS THAT JUST BOUGHT PROPERTY IN THE WOODS AND HAD TO INVESTIGATE.	1
I HAD FRIENDS THAT WORKED IN THE WOODS ALL THEIR LIFE. (W/E) I TALKED TO THEM AND ASKED THEM QUESTIONS. (W/E) NE	1
I HAD NEVER HEARD OF WOODLANDS BEFORE, BUT I KNOW WHAT FORESTRY IS. THE ANIMALS HAVE MOST INFLUENCED ME. THEY NEED SOMEPLACE TO LIVE.	1
I HAD PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE IN THE WOOD WORKING INDUSTRY.	1
I HAD SOME FRIENDS THAT HAVE BEEN PRETTY ACTIVE WITH PARKS.	1
I HAD THREE CARROT TRESS GO DOWN FROM A STORM, SO I REALLY LOOK INTO IT.	1
I HARVEST TIMBER MYSELF. I UNDERSTAND HOW IT IS TO HARVEST TREES.	1
I HAVE A BROTHER THAT HAS LARGE WOODS.	1
I HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE IN GEOLOGIST AND IT'S THE EDUCATION THAT CHANGED ME.	1
I HAVE A FOREST MYSELF. I'VE GONE TO FORESTRY CAMP.	1
I HAVE A FRIEND WHO IS INTO THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
I HAVE AN UNCLE THAT WORKS FOR CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION, SO FROM HIM.	1
I HAVE AN UNCLE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES. HE HAS INFLUENCED ME THE MOST.	1
I HAVE BEEN NOTICING PRIVATE WOODED AREAS BEING HARVESTED FOR THE WOOD AND FOR HOUSING PROJECTS. THE ONES HARVESTING FOR WOOD HAVE BEEN JUST LEFT. THEY DID NOT REPLACE THE TREES; IT JUST LOOKS GUTTED.	1
I HAVE FAMILY THAT WAS INVOLVED WITH PRESERVATION OF THE HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST.	1
I HAVE GONE CAMPING BEFORE.	1
I HAVE HAD NO EXPERIENCE.	1
I HAVE NO ANSWER FOR THAT.	1
I HAVE NO CLUE	1
I HAVE NO COMMENT.	1
I HAVE NO IDEA	4

I HAVE NO IDEA.	1
I HAVE NO ONE THAT INFLUENCED ME ON MY SENTIMENTS.	1
I HAVE NONE.	1
I HAVE PLANTED SOME OF MY OWN TREES FROM SEED, THAT HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
I HAVE SEEN LOGGING, THAT HAS INFLUENCED ME MOST.	1
I HAVE SEEN THEM TEAR THE FOREST UP DURING TIMBER HARVEST, THAT INFLUENCED ME MOST. THE BRUSH WAS ALL OVER AND THERE WERE RUTS AND TRACKS.	1
I HAVE SOME RELATIONS THAT IS IN THE FORESTRY INDUSTRY.	1
I HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY AND WOODLAND, AND IT MADE ME REALIZE HOW WONDERFUL IT IS TO LIVE IN A WOODED AREA.	1
I HAVE WASTE WATER MANAGEMENT CLASSES.	1
I HEARD A LOT OF POLITICIANS TALK ABOUT IT ON TV. READ A LOT OF IT ON TV AND MAGAZINES.	1
I JUST ALREADY KNEW THE INFORMATION.	1
I JUST ALWAYS LOVED THE WOODS AND THE OUTDOORS AND TREES AND STUFF. ACTUALLY BEING IN THE WOODS WALKING, ENJOYING THE NATURE. I JUST LOVE THE OUTDOORS AND WHO I'M MARRIED TO. THE FACT THAT I LIKE TO STUDY THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
I JUST ENJOY BEING OUTSIDE.	1
I JUST HAVE A LOVE OF NATURE.	1
I JUST LIKE THE BEAUTY OF IT AND TO SEE SOMEBODY GO IN AND TOTALLY WRECK IT, IS NOT GOOD. I DON'T LIKE TO SEE CLEAR-CUTTING.	1
I JUST LIKE THE BEAUTY OF TREES AND I LIKE THE FACT THAT THEY'RE TRYING TO DO SOMETHING GOOD.	1
I JUST LIKE THE WOODS	1
I JUST LIKE THE WOODS.	1
I JUST LIKE WOODS AND LIKE TO HAVE ANIMALS IN THE WOODS THAT BELONG THERE. I KNOW THAT THE WOODS/TREES HELP THE AIR AND THE WATER.	1
I JUST LOVE NATURE, SO I ALREADY KNOW MOST OF MY INFORMATION. I GREW UP IN THE COUNTRY AND THERE ARE HARDLY ANY TREES.	1
I JUST LOVE THE WOODS.	1
I JUST TOOK A CLASS ON ECOSYSTEMS.	1
I KNOW A DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES PERSON, A FATHER THROUGH MY CUB SCOUT PACK. TALKING TO HIM ABOUT HIS JOB HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
I KNOW HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO PRESERVE WOODLANDS.	1
I LEARN FROM MY SON.	1
I LEARNED A LOT FROM A PROFESSOR.	1
I LEARNED A LOT FROM MY SON.	1
I LEARNED A LOT OF IT FROM HIGH SCHOOL AND COLLEGE, PLUS A POACHING PROGRAM.	1
I LEARNED FROM MYSELF. I DON'T FOLLOW SOMETHING JUST BECAUSE SOMEONE TELLS ME IT, BUT I DID LEARN A LOT FROM MY GRANDPA'S STORIES.	1
I LEARNED IT FROM THE EXPERTS.	1
I LIKE HIKING, SO THAT INFLUENCES ME. I HAVE A FRIEND WHO WORKS IN A LIMBER COMPANY AND I LEARN SOME THINGS FROM HIM, FROM THINGS HE'S SAID.	1
I LIKE TO GO HIKING.	1
I LIKE TO GO OUT IN THE WOODS.	1
I LIKE TO JUST ENJOY THE SCENERY, THE LEAVES TURN DIFFERENT COLORS.	1

I LIKE TREES IN THE FALL.	1
I LIKE TREES, ANIMALS, AND NATURE.	1
I LIVE AROUND A LOT OF TREES.	1
I LIVE BY A LAKE AND I HAVE 100 TREES ON MY PROPERTY.	1
I LIVE IN A LOG HOME, AND WE'RE SURROUNDED BY WOODS. THE INDIANA LAND TRUST BOUGHT UP A LOT OF THE ACREAGE AROUND US TO REPLANT FOR US.	1
I LIVE IN THE COUNTY AND I LIKE THE TREES AND FIELDS. I DON'T LIKE SEEING THEM CUT TREES JUST FOR THE HECK OF IT. FOR HOUSING DEVELOPMENT THEY CUT OUT ALL THE TREES AND REMOVE ALL THE ROCKS. IF THEY CUT TREES, THEY SHOULD REPLACE THEM.	1
I LIVE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE FOREST.	1
I LIVE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE WOODS.	1
I LIVE IN THE WOODS AND I TRY TO MANAGE IT THE BEST I CAN. FAMILY LED ME TO INFLUENCE IT THE BEST I CAN BECAUSE THEY TAUGHT ME HOW TO APPRECIATE NATURE. CHRISTIANS ARE SUPPOSED TO BE A GOOD PEOPLE. I LIKE TO CREATE WILDLIFE HABITAT.	1
I LIVE IN THE WOODS.	1
I LIVE NEAR THE DOMES SO I VISIT THE DOMES.	1
I LIVE ON A FARM AND HAVE LAND IN COLORADO.	1
I LIVE ON A LAKE, HAVE A LAKE ASSOCIATION AND DEAL WITH SOME OF THESE PROBLEMS.	1
I LIVED IN BLOOMINGTON. IT HAS LOTS OF STATE AND FORESTRY AND EVERYONE WAS AWARE OF IT.	1
I LIVED IN MICHIGAN, I USED TO GO HUNTING WHEN I WAS YOUNGER. I THINK IT SHOULD DEFINITELY BE MANAGED.	1
I LOVE ANIMALS. I LOVE THE WILDLIFE.	1
I LOVE THE WOODS.	1
I LOVE TO WALK IN THE WOODS AND OUTDOORS.	1
I LOVE TREES. WE LIVED IN A LOT OF LAND WHERE WE HAVE TREES. I ENJOY IT. I THINK THEY'RE PRETTY AND I THINK THEY'RE ABUSED A LOT.	1
I ONCE SAW ONE OF THOSE BIG LUMBER COMPANIES, UP NORTH SOMEWHERE. THEY CLEAR CUT THE WHOLE AREA, WHICH WAS BAD MANAGEMENT. THESE BIG LUMBER COMPANIES REPLANT THE FOREST NOW.	1
I OWN A SOW MILL AND 117 ACRES. I JUST TRY TO MANAGE IT MYSELF WITH FRIENDS AND FAMILY.	1
I OWN SOME LAND AND HAVE DONE SOME TREE HARVESTING.	1
I OWN THE REST OF THE LAND IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD.	1
I READ A BOOK ABOUT DEER HUNTING WITH THE RULES.	1
I READ A BOOK THAT TALKED A LOT ABOUT HARVESTING TEMPERS.	1
I READ THE PAPER, WATCHED THE NEWS AND WAS READING BOOKS.	1
I READ THEM THROUGH MAGAZINES.	1
I REALLY CAN'T PUT A NAME ON THAT.	1
I REALLY DON'T KNOW	1
I REALLY DON'T KNOW.	1
I REALLY HAVE NO IDEA.	1
I REALLY JUST WATCHED TV AND I KNEW MOST OF IT.	1
I SAW DUTCH ELM DISEASE AND DEFORESTATION HAS LEFT THE LAND BARREN IN BRITAIN AND MY TRAVELS. I HAVE SOMEONE WHO TAKES CARE OF MY YARD FROM PURDUE. WE ARE TRYING TO PRESERVE ASH TREES HERE.	1
I SAY THE SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION AT ELKHART COUNTY.	1

I SEE AND HEAR REPORTS ON NEWSPAPERS, RADIO AND TELEVISION ABOUT WOODLAND MANAGEMENT THAT INFLUENCE ME.	1
I SEE IT ON TV AND BOOKS.	1
I SEE THEM TALK ABOUT IT ON TV.	1
I SPEND A LOT OF TIME HIKING IN THE WOODS AND SEE THE DIFFERENCES FROM WHEN I WAS YOUNGER AND HIKE THERE. THAT HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
I SPEND A LOT OF TIME IN THE WOODS MYSELF. I CUT FIRE WOOD, I USE FIRE WOOD, I WAS IN THE BOY SCOUTS, I'VE BEEN AROUND IT ALL MY LIFE.	1
I STUDY FORESTRY, SO I WOULD HAVE TO SAY MYSELF.	1
I SUPPOSE I WAS TAUGHT TO CARE ABOUT THE FOREST BY MY PARENTS.	1
I SUPPOSE MY DAD AND BROTHER AND THEM MANAGING WOODLANDS.	1
I SUPPOSE PROFESSORS I HAD IN COLLEGE AND MY SON.	1
I THINK FROM THE TELEVISION. I HEAR WHEN FORESTS ARE BEING DESTROYED TO BUILD NEW HOMES.	1
I THINK I ENJOY THE WILDLIFE, SO I WOULD HATE FOR THEM TO CUT WOOD AND USE IT FOR THINGS WE DON'T NEED.	1
I THINK IT'S A GOOD IDEA THAT SOMEONE IS BRAVE ENOUGH TO CUT THE TREES THAT ARE ROTTING AND MAY FALL ON SOMEONE. TREES DIE, SO WHY NOT USE THEM?	1
I THINK IT WOULD PROBABLY BE BECAUSE I HAVE A SON WHO LOVES TO HUNT.	1
I THINK THAT THE INDIANA WOODLANDS SHOULD BE LEFT ALONE.	1
I THINK THAT THEY HAVE A REALLY NICE AREA WHEN YOU WALK INTO IT, LIKE WOODS AND MOUNTAINS AND ALL THAT STUFF, I LIKE THAT. OUTSIDE WILDERNESS.	1
I THINK THE MEN IN MY FAMILY BECAUSE THEY'RE SPORTSMEN. SOME LIKE FISHING AND HUNTING AND I GO ALONG WITH THEM AND I ENJOY THE HUNTING AND FISHING.	1
I THINK WHAT I SEE.	1
I THINK WOODLANDS ARE IMPORTANT.	1
I THINKS IT'S NOT ONLY GOOD FOR THE ENVIRONMENT BUT ALSO FOR RECREATION.	1
I THINKS IT'S THE FACT THAT WE OWN A FARM WITH A LOT OF WOOD ON IT.	1
I TOOK A LAND MANAGEMENT COURSE FIELD STUDY IN ALASKA.	1
I TOOK A TRIP TO JAPAN. I SAW THE SIDE OF A MOUNTAIN AND IT WAS AMAZING THAT THERE WAS NOTHING THERE.	1
I TOOK A WORKSHOP AT PURDUE AND PARTICIPATED IN THE DISTRICT MEETING ON WOODLANDS.	1
I USED TO BE IN THE PARK SYSTEMS.	1
I USED TO CAMP OUT, AND USED TO LIVE IN FLORIDA WITH ALL THE FOREST FIRES THEY HAD DOWN THERE.	1
I USED TO DO A LOT OF FISHING.	1
I USED TO EXPLORE IN NORTHERN WISCONSIN.	1
I USED TO GO CAMPING IN THE FOREST.	1
I USED TO GO CAMPING, THAT INFLUENCED ME.	1
I USED TO HIKE AND CAMP.	1
I USED TO LIVE ON A FARM AND GO HIKING IN THE WOODS.	1
I USED TO WORK FOR THE DNR IN MICHIGAN.	1
I WAS A CADET IN MICHIGAN WHERE I GREW UP LEARNING ABOUT TREES AND THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
I WAS A FARMER.	1
I WAS A SCOUT MASTER.	1
I WAS BORN AND RAISED ON A FARM AND I LOVE TREES.	1

I WAS BORN AND RAISED ON A FARM.	1
I WAS BORN IN NEW HAMPSHIRE AND I WAS RAISED WITH MOTHER NATURE.	1
I WAS COUNTY BOARD, CONSERVATION DISTRICT.	1
I WAS OUT ON A LAKE AND WENT TO AN AREA THAT WAS UNTOUCHED BY HUMANS. THE BEAUTY OF IT WAS AMAZING.	1
I WAS RAISED IN SOUTHERN INDIANA, AND MY GRANDPARENTS LIVE IN A LOT OF WOODED AREAS.	1
I WAS RAISED IN THE OUTDOORS FISHING A LOT.	1
I WATCH TV AND GET INFORMATION.	1
I WATCHED TOOL TIME AND HE BRINGS A LOT OF THAT STUFF UP ON THAT SHOW. IT'S A LOT OF THESE SHOWS ABOUT GUYS THAT BUILD HOMES AND THEY TALK A LOT ABOUT REPLACING WHAT YOU TAKE.	1
I WENT CAMPING AROUND THE COUNTY AND DISCOVERED THEY HAD BEARS. IT WAS SO BEAUTIFUL AND THAT'S WHERE I LEARNED THAT I WANTED TO KEEP IT IN IT'S NATURAL STATE. WHEN I WAS YOUNGER, MY DAD WOULD TAKE US THERE, TOO.	1
I WENT TO CAMP RILEY FOR DISABLED PEOPLE AT BRADFORD WOODS IN MARTINVILLE.	1
I WORK WITH THE TIMBER COMPANY AND WE MANAGE HUNDREDS OF ACRES OF TREES. THAT WOULD BE MY BIGGEST INFLUENCE. I ALSO WORKED WITH A CONSERVATION GROUP ONCE.	1
I WORKED AT A CENTER INVOLVED IN HARVESTING. THEY HAD A VERY GOOD MANAGEMENT SYSTEM WITH THE TIMBER HARVEST.	1
I WORKED FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, SO I'D SAY THE KNOWLEDGE I LEARNED.	1
I WORKED IN THE STATE FORESTRY.	1
I WORKED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES MANY YEARS AGO AND LEARNED A LOT FROM WORKING THERE. I DID LOGGING AND TREE MANAGEMENT.	1
I WOULD HAVE TO SAY BOY SCOUTS.	1
I WOULD HAVE TO SAY ME AND GRANDPA, WE WERE GOING UP CAMPING AND GOT LOST SO WE HAD A REAL HEART TO HEART TALK ABOUT THAT.	1
I WOULD HAVE TO SAY MYSELF.	1
I WOULD HAVE TO SAY SCHOOL. MY SON HAS BEEN IN FAA AND I HEARD A LOT FROM HIM.	1
I WOULD HAVE TO SAY THE FARMERS, THE ONES THAT GROW THE TREES, AND LAND OWNERS.	1
I WOULD HAVE TO SAY WITH ALL THE WILD FIRES IN CALIFORNIA, WE NEED TO PRESERVE AND REPLANT. ANOTHER CORPORATION IS POTLATCH AND THEY HARVEST TREES BUT THEY REPLANT THEM AND I'VE LEARNED THAT ON THE WEB.	1
I WOULD JUST SAY NEWS SOURCES AND THE INTERNET.	1
I WOULD PROBABLY GO BACK TO ROOSEVELT BECAUSE HE WAS ALL ABOUT PROTECTING OUR NATURAL FOREST.	1
I WOULD SAY A PERSON THAT IS IN THE FORESTRY BUSINESS, LIKE THE FRIEND I KNOW WHO WORKS IN THE FOREST HARVESTING BUSINESS.	1
I WOULD SAY BOY SCOUTS.	1
I WOULD SAY DNA OFFICERS BECAUSE THEY HAVE ALWAYS BEEN THERE FOR ME AND TELL ME WHAT TO DO AND WHAT NOT TO DO.	1
I WOULD SAY HIKING AT THE STATE PARKS. JUST OBSERVATIONS. I LEARN A LOT FROM PBS AND I READ ABOUT WHEN THEY INTERVIEW EXPERTS.	1
I WOULD SAY HUNTING.	1
I WOULD SAY I'M A FARMER TEACHER SO I KNOW IT'S IMPORTANT.	1
I WOULD SAY I HAVE PERSONAL EXPERIENCE.	1

I WOULD SAY I JUST HEAR AND SEE THINGS ON TV AND NEWSPAPER.	1
I WOULD SAY IT'S PROBABLY FRIENDS WHO ARE SPORTSMEN.	1
I WOULD SAY MY FATHER AND BROTHER BECAUSE THEY WERE BIG FISHERMEN AND THAT HAS ALWAYS BEEN VERY IMPORTANT TO THEM.	1
I WOULD SAY MY GRANDMOTHER BECAUSE SHE OWNS FARM LANDS THAT IS PARTIAL WOODLAND.	1
I WOULD SAY MY OWN EXPERIENCES WITH LOCAL PARKS AND DEPARTMENTS. ALSO THE CHILDHOOD VACATIONS AND WOODLAND STATES VISITED. SOME DOCUMENTARIES, SOME ARTICLES ON EVENING NEWS.	1
I WOULD SAY OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES.	1
I WOULD SAY PRESERVE NATION RESOURCES.	1
I WOULD SAY TELEVISION.	1
I WOULD SAY THE BOOKS.	1
I WOULD SAY THE LITTLE EXPERIENCE WE HAVE WITH CAMPING AND FROM THE RANGERS AT THE STATE PARK.	1
I WOULD SAY THE NEWSPAPER AND TELEVISION. THE SHOWS THEY HAVE ABOUT THE WOODLANDS, AND WATER.	1
IF YOU LET THE TREE AND STUFF GROW. WE HAVE A LOT OF OXYGEN. IF WE START CUTTING THEM DOWN TO BUILD SUBURBS, THEN YOU ARE TAKING THE OXYGEN OUT OF HERE.	1
IN THE BOY SCOUTS AND WE CAMP OUT ALL THE TIME.	1
INDIANA DNR DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND THE INFORMATION THEY PUT OUT.	1
INDIANA PARKS	1
INDIANA STATE FORESTERS	1
INDIVIDUALS WITHIN THE PARK.	1
INFORMATION FROM MY SON.	1
INTERNET	1
INTERVIEWS LIKE THIS.	1
IT'S HIKING IN THE WOODS.	1
IT'S JUST THE RIGHT THING TO DO, SAVE THE TREES. WE HAVE TO BE A GOOD STEWARD OF THE LAND. WE ARE THE SUPREME BEING OF THE EARTH.	1
IT'S MY JOB IS TO MANAGE PARKS.	1
IT'S REALLY WHAT I'VE READ LIKE FROM WHO'S YOUR ENVIRONMENTAL COUNSELOR FOR INDIANA.	1
IT WAS MYSELF. IF I WANT TO LEARN SOMETHING, I WILL FIND THE RESOURCES MYSELF INSTEAD OF DEPENDING ON SOMEONE ELSE.	1
IT WAS SOMEONE ON TV ON A SPECIAL ON NATIONAL PARKS ON CBS TWO WEEKS AGO.	1
IT WAS THE ENVIRONMENTAL CENSOR.	1
IT WOULD BE THE PEOPLE WHO TAKE CARE OF THE FOREST.	1
JANE GOODALL AND DIANNE FOSSE	1
JIM STORY	1
JOHN MUIR	1
JOHN MUIR, HE WAS AN ENVIRONMENTALIST OF THE LATE NINETEENTH CENTURY.	1
JOHN MUIR, NINETEENTH CENTURY CONSERVATIONIST	1
JUST BECAUSE I DONATE TO DIFFERENT ANIMALS.	1
JUST BEING AWARE OF MY ENVIRONMENT, CONSIDERING THE FLOODING THAT WENT ON LAST YEAR.	1

JUST BEING IN NATURE.	1
JUST BEING OUT HERE AND SEEING DEER RUN.	1
JUST BEING OUTDOORS. HIKING HAS INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
JUST DOING A LOT OF CAMPING AND FISHING WHEN I WAS YOUNG.	1
JUST ENJOYING THE WOODLANDS MANAGEMENT AND GIVING THE CHASE FOR MY FAMILY. SEEING BIRDS AND OTHER ANIMALS. I REALLY ENJOY THE WOODS AND PARKS.	1
JUST FARMING AND BEING OUTDOORS.	1
JUST FROM BEING OUT IN THE WOODS MYSELF.	1
JUST FROM READING SCHOOL BOOKS AND MY FAMILY.	1
JUST GETTING OUT IN THE WOODS MYSELF.	1
JUST GOING HIKING WITH THE FAMILY.	1
JUST GOING TO COLORADO, KENTUCKY. GOING TO THE MOUNTAINS AND WISH THESE TREES WEREN'T BEING CUT DOWN.	1
JUST GROWING UP IN THE COUNTRY AROUND IT.	1
JUST GROWING UP IN THE WOODLANDS, ENJOYING NATURE.	1
JUST HAVING A LOT OF FAMILY MEMBERS WORK THERE AND GOING TO OUR FORESTRY A LOT. WE WENT THERE IN GIRL SCOUTS, CAMPING, BOATING, HIKING, PICNICS, FAMILY REUNIONS.	1
JUST HIKING AND STATE PARKS.	1
JUST HIKING THROUGH THE WOODS.	1
JUST HOW I SEE THINGS.	1
JUST HUNTING AND PRESERVING MY FARM LAND.	1
JUST KEEPING UP WITH NEWSPAPERS.	1
JUST KNOWING THAT WE'RE LOSING A LOT OF NATURAL PRESERVES.	1
JUST LISTENING PEOPLE TALK AND WHATEVER I SEE AND READ.	1
JUST LIVING IN CALIFORNIA IS SUFFICIENT ENOUGH.	1
JUST ME. I LIKE HUNTING, FISHING AND TRAPPING. MY UNCLE JIM TAUGHT ME HOW TO DO THIS.	1
JUST MY OWN PERSONAL OBSERVATION. ALSO I'VE SEEN A LOT OF THIS COUNTRY.	1
JUST MY OWN SELF FROM GROWING UP FROM THE COUNTRY, I GUESS.	1
JUST MY PERSONAL EXPERIENCE BEING OUT IN THE WOODS AND STREAM FISHING.	1
JUST MY PERSONAL OPINIONS, A LITTLE FROM WHAT YOU SEE IN THE WORLD.	1
JUST PERSONAL EXPERIENCE FROM CAMPING AND HIKING.	1
JUST PERSONAL EXPERIENCES.	1
JUST READING AND WHAT I SEE.	1
JUST SEEING THINGS, LIKE THE TREES BEING CUT DOWN, SO JUST THE VISUAL.	1
JUST THAT ON OCCASION I GET TO SPEND TIME IN THE WOODS AND I ENJOY IT.	1
JUST THE HUGE BUILD UP OF HOUSES AND SUBDIVISIONS, WITH TREES CROWDED UP AROUND THEM.	1
JUST THE TV I WATCH; NOVA.	1
JUST TO GET AWAY, THE PEACE AND THE BEAUTY.	1
JUST TO REPLACE THE TREES THEY DO CUT DOWN.	1
JUST WHAT I'VE SEEN BEING IN THE WOODS.	1
JUST WHAT I HEAR.	1
JUST WHAT I MAINLY READ. I DON'T TALK TO FRIENDS OR ANYTHING ABOUT IT. SO JUST WHAT I READ. (W/E) NE	1
JUST WHAT I READ, NO PERSON IN PARTICULAR.	1

KNOWING THAT TREES KEEP AIR POLLUTION CLEANER HAS INFLUENCED ME THE MOST.	1
LAKE MICHIGAN. IT ENDANGERS THE FISH.	1
LAND OWNERS	1
LAST YEAR I HEARD A TALK BY A MAN FROM THE DIVISION OF FORESTRY.	1
LIKE WHEN I WAS A LITTLE KID, I WAS IN THE WOODS. ALSO I'M AN ENVIRONMENTALIST.	1
LIKES TO CAMP AND FISH.	1
LIKING THE SCENERY WITH THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF ANIMALS IN IT.	1
LIMBAUGH	1
LIVED ON A FARM IN KENTUCKY WHERE THEY HAD LOTS OF TREES THAT I WAS FOND OF.	1
LIVING IN CLARK COUNTY, LIVING WITH FARMERS AND HUNTERS.	1
LIVING IN NORTH CAROLINA AND ENJOYING/TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THE SCENERY.	1
LIVING IN THE MIDDLE OF THE FOREST FOR 15 YEARS IN BROWN COUNTY.	1
LIVING IN THE OUTDOORS.	1
LIVING IN THE WEST AND SEEING THE BAD THINGS THAT COME FROM UNCONTROLLED FOREST FIRES.	1
LIVING IN THE WOODS HAS MOST INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
LIVING IN THE WOODS. WE HAVE A FRIEND THAT'S A FORESTER.	1
LIVING IN WASHINGTON STATE	1
LIVING NEAR A WOODED AREA. I CAN WALK THROUGH IT, SEE ANIMALS, HUNT.	1
LIVING NEAR THE DAMS.	1
LIVING OUT BY WOODLANDS.	1
LIVING OUT IN THE COUNTRY HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
LIVING WHERE I LIVE, I LIVE ON A LAKE AND I HAVE A FOREST IN THE BACK YARD. WE KIND OF LIVE IN THE FOREST.	1
LOCAL FARMERS AND THE LAKE.	1
LOCAL PEOPLE. I TALK TO SOME PEOPLE THAT DO TIMBER HARVESTING.	1
LOCAL WOOD SUPPLIER, I'M A CARPENTER.	1
LOGGERS, I HAVE A LOT THAT ARE MY CUSTOMERS.	1
LOVE OF NATURE AND WOODS.	1
MAGAZINES	1
MAGAZINES, TV	1
MAGAZINES. I GET SOME WILDLIFE MAGAZINES. VISITING STATE PARKS AND NATIONAL PARKS.	1
MAINLY JUST ASSOCIATION WITH BOY SCOUTS.	1
MANLY MYSELF, COLLEGE PROFESSORS.	1
MANY UNIVERSITIES	1
MAX MILLER	1
MAYBE MY BROTHER-IN-LAW. HE'S BEEN INVOLVED IN HIKING AND CAMPING QUITE A BIT. HE'S INVOLVED WITH CERTAIN CONSERVATION GROUPS, CEDAR BLUFF OUTSIDE BLOOMINGTON.	1
MAYOR OR GOVERNMENT OF THE STATE.	1
ME	1
MEDIA	2
MEDIA AND INTERNET, TELEVISION AND NEWSPAPERS.	1
MEDIUM	1
MEETING WITH A PROFESSIONAL FORESTER.	1

MOST OF IT IS FROM ME READING A LOT OF MAGAZINES.	1
MOSTLY JUST MY PERSONAL THOUGHTS ABOUT BEING IN NATURE.	1
MOSTLY NEWSPAPERS OR ARTICLES.	1
MOSTLY PRIVATE PEOPLE.	1
MOSTLY TELEVISION, NEWS AND PROFESSORS.	1
MOSTLY TV	1
MR. ROGERS	1
MY ANCESTORS	1
MY AUNT LOST HALF A MILLION DOLLARS WHEN SHE SOLD HER HOUSE BECAUSE SOMEONE TURNED HER LAND INTO A WETLAND BY LAKE MICHIGAN.	1
MY BACKGROUND IN VISITING NATURE RESERVES AND THINGS LIKE THAT. VACATIONING IN NATURE RESERVES.	1
MY BROTHER-IN-LAW WHO IS A HUNTER AND ANOTHER BROTHER-IN-LAW IS AN ENVIRONMENTALIST ENGINEER.	1
MY BROTHER	2
MY BROTHER HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME. HE IS A DIRECTOR FOR NATIONAL WILDLIFE TURKEY FEDERATION.	1
MY BROTHER, BECAUSE HE IS IN POLITICS.	1
MY BROTHER. HE WAS GOING TO BE A FOREST RANGER.	1
MY BROTHERS, A WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST AND FORESTER THAT GRADUATED FROM PURDUE.	1
MY CHILDHOOD, I'D SEEN A LOT OF CHANGE.	1
MY CHILDHOOD, MY LOVE OF NATURE. MY HUSBAND, HE'S A FARMER.	1
MY CHILDREN	1
MY CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THINGS ARE STILL AROUND WHEN I'M GONE.	1
MY CHILDREN CAMP A LOT.	1
MY COMMUNITY, MY NEIGHBORS AND BEING AROUND THEM.	1
MY CONCERN ABOUT MY ENVIRONMENT, THAT IT SHOULD BE CLEAN. NOT FOR PEOPLE TO THROW TRASH AROUND AND TEAR UP STUFF THAT ISN'T THEIRS. THEY SHOULD HAVE RESPECT FOR THE WOODLANDS.	1
MY COUSIN	1
MY COUSIN MOST INFLUENCED ME ABOUT WOODLANDS MANAGEMENT AND TIMBER HARVEST.	1
MY DAD'S FARM IS IN THE PROGRAM TO GROW TREES.	1
MY DAD	11
MY DAD AND HUSBAND.	1
MY DAD HAD THE INFLUENCE ON ME.	1
MY DAD INFLUENCED ME MOST.	1
MY DAD INFLUENCED ME THE MOST ON IT. I ALWAYS LOOKED UP TO HIM FOLLOWED HIS FOOTSTEPS. THE WAY HE MANAGED THE FOREST. HE LOOKED AFTER THE FOREST ON HIS OWN.	1
MY DAD IS A LOGGER.	1
MY DAD WAS A TIMBER MAN.	1
MY DAD WAS AGAINST LOGGING, SO HE INFLUENCED MY SENTIMENTS.	1
MY DAD WAS THE ONE THAT TAUGHT ME ABOUT HUNTING AND GENERAL WILDLIFE CONSERVATION.	1

MY DAD, BECAUSE HE USED TO ALWAYS TAKE US OUT AND SHOW US COUNTRIES AND DIFFERENT STATES TO GO FISHING AND CAMPING AND STUFF. MY BROTHER-IN-LAW, TOO, BECAUSE HE HUNTS AND FISHES AND STUFF. I GREW UP AROUND A PLACE WHERE TRUCKS WOULD CUT DOWN TREES AND NOW THE TOWN'S DEAD. MY GRANDMOTHER, AS WELL, BECAUSE SHE'S REALLY THRIFTY AND WATCHED WHAT SHE USED AND NEVER WASTED ANYTHING AND LOVED THE OUT DOORS.	1
MY DAD, HE WAS A FORESTER.	1
MY DAD, WE ALWAYS WENT HUNTING AND WATCHED THE WILDLIFE.	1
MY DAUGHTER AND FAMILY.	1
MY DAUGHTER WAS AN ENVIRONMENTALIST.	1
MY DAUGHTER WHEN SHE WAS IN COLLEGE. SHE WORKED IN A WEAPONS PRESERVATION PROJECT AND DISCOVERED HOW MUCH MANKIND HAS DAMAGED THE NATURAL ECOSYSTEM.	1
MY DAUGHTER WHO HAS A FARM AND THEY WERE TOLD HOW MANY TREES THEY HAD TO PLANT IN ORDER TO REPLACE THE FALLEN TREES THEY TOOK OUT.	1
MY DAUGHTER. THE PEOPLE AT THE COUNTY PARK IN MY AREA.	1
MY DEALING WITH THE FOREST SERVICE. I WAS IN THE PARKS SERVICE AND I WORKED WITH FOREST SERVICE PEOPLE ON COMMITTEES.	1
MY ECOLOGY PROFESSOR. LEARNED A LOT FROM THE NATIONAL PUBLIC RADIO AND NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC.	1
MY ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE TEACHER.	1
MY EX-HUSBAND AND I USED TO GO FISHING AND CAMPING AT THE GREEN SULLIVAN FOREST AND IT WAS VERY MEMORABLE FOR ME. I'M DISABLED NOW AND I WOULD LOVE IF THEY HAD A PROGRAM FOR DISABLED PEOPLE TO GET OUT IN THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
MY EX-HUSBAND WAS A PURDUE GRADUATE IN FORESTRY.	1
MY EXPERIENCE AS A FARMER HAS INFLUENCED ME.	1
MY EXPERIENCE WITH FORESTS.	1
MY EXPERIENCES IN 4-H WHEN WE WENT OUT TO THE WOODS AND GATHERED INSECTS, PLANTS AND FROGS. ALSO IN THE ARMY NATIONAL GUARD WHERE WE WENT OUT TO THE WOODS 30 OUT OF 60 DAYS AND CAMPED IN THE WOODS MOST INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
MY EXPERIENCES IN YELLOWSTONE AND YOSEMITE.	1
MY FAITH IN GOD.	1
MY FAMILY	4
MY FAMILY AND I LOVE TO HIKE AND BE OUTDOORS. WE ARE CONCERNED ABOUT RESPONSIBLE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT.	1
MY FAMILY ARE HUNTERS.	1
MY FAMILY DID A LOT OF CAMPING IN THE STATE PARKS, THAT HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
MY FAMILY GOES HIKING IN THE FOREST, THAT IS WHAT HAS INFLUENCED ME.	1
MY FAMILY HAS GONE CAMPING, BOATING AND HIKING SINCE I WAS A YOUNG CHILD AND I STILL DO IT WITH MY KIDS. IT IS EXCITING AND FUN. ALSO, I HAVE FRIENDS WHO HUNT DEER AND THAT IS IMPOSSIBLE WITHOUT FORESTS.	1
MY FAMILY HAS MOST INFLUENCED MY SENTIMENTS. MY FAMILY USED TO LOG TIMBER.	1
MY FAMILY HAS SOME LAND DOWN SOUTH.	1
MY FAMILY MEMBERS	1
MY FAMILY OWNS SOME WOODLANDS SO I'VE HEARD MORE ABOUT IT BECAUSE THEY'RE DEALING WITH WHAT SHOULD AND SHOULDN'T BE DONE.	1
MY FAMILY OWNS TEN ACRES OF WOODLAND CLOSE TO THE PIKE COUNTY STATE PARK.	1
MY FAMILY, MY COUSIN IS A FORESTER.	1

MY FAMILY, THEY ARE THE ONES THAT TALK ABOUT IT THE MOST.	1
MY FAMILY, WE GREW UP IN A LITTLE WOOD COMMUNITY.	1
MY FAMILY, WE LIKE TO HUNT AND FISH. OUTDOOR RECREATION, SUCH AS CAMPING HIKING.	1
MY FATHER-IN-LAW MOST INFLUENCED ME. HE WAS A FORESTER.	1
MY FATHER-IN-LAW. HE HAS BEEN A BIOLOGY TEACHER FOR 39 YEARS AND IS VERY MUCH INTO CONSERVATION. KEEPING UP WITH OUR NATURAL RESOURCES, TAKING CARE OF THEM AND SUCH.	1
MY FATHER	11
MY FATHER AND FAMILY	1
MY FATHER AND GRANDFATHER	1
MY FATHER AND MOTHER	1
MY FATHER DID.	1
MY FATHER FARMED AND OWNED SEVERAL ACRES.	1
MY FATHER HAS A MASTERS IN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT.	1
MY FATHER HAS BEEN A NATURE GURU HUNTING AND EVERYTHING ELSE. HE'S A GREAT OUTDOOR GUY.	1
MY FATHER LIKED TO HUNT AND HE WAS A PERSON WHO INFLUENCED ME.	1
MY FATHER MANAGING A SMALL FAMILY FARM.	1
MY FATHER TOOK ME FISHING AND HIKING AND TAUGHT ME HOW TO HUNT AND ALWAYS PICK UP TRASH AND TO MAKE SURE CAMP FIRES ARE OUT, THAT MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
MY FATHER TOOK ME HUNTING AND TOLD ME TO LEAVE THE WOODS THE SAME WAY IT WAS WHEN YOU CAME IN AND TO ONLY HUNT WHAT YOU NEEDED. DON'T SHOOT JUST TO BE SHOOTING.	1
MY FATHER WAS A LUMBERMAN AND I USED A PROFESSIONAL FORESTER TO MANAGE OUR TREES ON OUR PROPERTY.	1
MY FATHER WAS A TREE LOVER. HE THOUGHT THEY WERE BEAUTIFUL AND SO DID I.	1
MY FATHER WAS ALWAYS CONCERNED ABOUT THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
MY FATHER, TELEVISION	1
MY FATHER. HE WAS A TIMBER CUTTER IN INDIANA.	1
MY FATHER. JUST FROM LEARNING WHAT HE TAUGHT ME. HE LOVED THE OUTDOORS.	1
MY FATHER. WE LIVED ON A FARM AND MY FATHER WOULD NOT ALLOW ANYONE TO CUT DOWN A TREE UNLESS IT WAS A DEAD TREE AND WE WOULD USE IT FOR HEAT.	1
MY FONDNESS OF THE OUTDOORS.	1
MY FRIEND	1
MY FRIEND CAROL WHO IS A CONSERVATION OFFICER.	1
MY FRIEND WHO HAS A PHD IN FORESTRY.	1
MY FRIENDS	1
MY FRIENDS, DISCUSSING PRESERVATION, TALKING ABOUT RECYCLING RECYCLABLE PRODUCTS LIKE BOTTLES, CSNS AND PAPER.	1
MY GIRLFRIEND HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME. SHE WORKS FOR THE ILLINOIS FOREST PRESERVE.	1
MY GRANDDAUGHTER, SHE IS REALLY INTO CONSERVATION AND ENTOMOLOGY. WE HAVE BEEN GOING OUT IN THE WOODS A LOT.	1
MY GRANDFATHER	11
MY GRANDFATHER AND FATHER	1
MY GRANDFATHER AND I USED TO TAKE WALKS IN THE WOODS.	1
MY GRANDFATHER HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1

MY GRANDFATHER SPENT A LOT OF TIME IN THE WOODS AND I'VE SPENT A LOT OF TIME IN THE WOODS MYSELF. I'M A SCOUT MASTER NOW.	1
MY GRANDFATHER, HE WAS PURE BLOOD INDIAN. WE WOULD GO OUT IN THE WOODS AND LEARN A LOT. I CAN NAME EVERY BUSH, FLOWER, TREE, AND ANIMAL THAT LIVES IN THE AREA. AT NIGHT THERE WERE HUNTERS THAT WOULD SET TRAPS, THE ONES THAT CLAMP SHUT AND AT NIGHT WE WOULD GO OUT AND SET OFF THE TRAPS SO NO ANIMALS WILL STEP IN THEM.	1
MY GRANDFATHER. DOING STUFF LIKE FORESTING AND YOU CAN'T BE REPLACING THE FOREST. IT'S NOT LIKE BUYING SHOES AND REPLACING IT.	1
MY GRANDKIDS, WE HUNT AND FISH AND TRY TO TAKE CARE OF IT FOR THEM.	1
MY GRANDMA INFLUENCED ME.	1
MY GRANDPA	1
MY GRANDPARENTS	4
MY GROWING UP IN THIS AREA AND SEEING A LOT MORE TREES.	1
MY HEART	1
MY HUNTING EXPERIENCE IN INDIANA.	1
MY HUSBAND'S OPINION. HE'S AN OUTDOORSMAN, SO HE LIKES HUNTING, FISHING, HIKING AND CAMPING.	1
MY HUSBAND	13
MY HUSBAND AND I HAVE BEEN CAMPING FOR A LONG TIME.	1
MY HUSBAND AND I HAVE BEEN IN THE TIMBER BUSINESS FOR OVER FIFTY YEARS, AND HIS FATHER FIFTY YEARS BEFORE THAT.	1
MY HUSBAND AND KIDS HAVE MOST INFLUENCED MY SENTIMENTS ABOUT WOODLAND MANAGEMENT, THEIR KNOWLEDGE.	1
MY HUSBAND AND MY ONE FRIEND. ALSO TV.	1
MY HUSBAND BECAUSE HE'S FOR WOODLANDS AND KEEPS UP ON HARVESTING OF WOODLANDS.	1
MY HUSBAND BEING INVOLVED IN SCOUTING.	1
MY HUSBAND HAS FRIENDS THAT ARE FORESTERS.	1
MY HUSBAND HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
MY HUSBAND HAS MOST INFLUENCED MY VIEWS ABOUT WOODLAND MANAGEMENT.	1
MY HUSBAND IS VERY SMART.	1
MY HUSBAND RUNS A STATE RECREATION CENTER AND A STATE FOREST HE MANAGES.	1
MY HUSBAND STUDIED SOME OF THAT IN COLLEGE. I ALSO HAVE FRIENDS THAT ENJOY HUNTING. WE SIT AROUND THE CAMP FIRE AND TALK ABOUT THAT.	1
MY HUSBAND WAS AN OUTDOORSMAN AND HE TAUGHT ME A LOT ABOUT TAKING CARE OF THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
MY HUSBAND, BECAUSE OF THE WAY HE CUTS TREES AND SO FORTH.	1
MY HUSBAND, FATHER-IN-LAW, AND MYSELF.	1
MY HUSBAND, HE'S A HUNTER.	1
MY HUSBAND, HE IS A HUNTER AND ALSO USE WOOD TO HEAT OUR HOME. I LIKE TO FISH.	1
MY HUSBAND, HE WAS WITH THE FOREST SERVICE.	1
MY HUSBAND.	1
MY HUSBAND. HE TOLD ME A LOT OF THINGS ABOUT TREES BECAUSE HE LOVED NATURE.	1
MY LATE HUSBAND MOST INFLUENCED ME AND SOME ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS. READING NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC AND TV REPORTS ALSO INFLUENCED ME.	1
MY LIFESTYLE.	1

MY LIFETIME OF EXPERIENCE.	1
MY LOVE FOR THE EARTH AND THE ANIMALS WAS INFLUENCED BY THE BIBLE.	1
MY MAN, MITCH.	1
MY MOTHER-IN-LAW HAS MOST INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
MY MOTHER-IN-LAW.	1
MY MOTHER	3
MY MOTHER HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME, SHE LOVES TREES, HATES TO SEE THEM CUT DOWN.	1
MY NEIGHBORS.	1
MY OCCUPATION; I OWN A LUMBERYARD. IT HAS MOST INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
MY OLD SCHOOL TEACHER AT THE UNIVERSITY AND I JUST ALREADY KNOW.	1
MY OWN	1
MY OWN AWARENESS OF HOW THEY LOOK NOW THAN HOW THEY USED TO LOOK.	1
MY OWN BELIEFS. WHAT I'VE SEEN AND EVALUATED ON MY OWN.	1
MY OWN EXPERIENCE HUNTING AND ENJOYING THE OUTDOORS AND NATURE HAVE MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
MY OWN EXPERIENCE. WATCHING PEOPLE GO INTO POVERTY AND TOWNS DRY UP BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T ALLOWED TO HARVEST IN A LOGGING TOWN.	1
MY OWN EXPERIENCES OF LIVING IN THE WOODS AND HIKING. I'M A BEE KEEPER, SO I JUST CARE ABOUT THE FACT THAT THERE'S WOODS IN THE OPEN AREAS THAT ARE UNTOUCHED.	1
MY OWN FATHER. THINGS I GATHER FROM TELEVISION AND MEDIA SOURCES.	1
MY OWN PERSONAL BECAUSE I OWN MY OWN FARM, WE HAVE A LOT OF WILD LIFE.	1
MY OWN PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, I OWN LAND IN NEW YORK, WOODLAND.	1
MY OWN PERSONAL EXPERIENCES.	2
MY OWN PERSONAL INTEREST. I LIKE THE TREES FOR WHAT THEY ARE.	1
MY OWN PERSONAL OPINION.	1
MY OWN SELF	1
MY OWN THOUGHTS AND OPINIONS.	1
MY OWN VISITATION AND STUDYING OF INDIANA.	1
MY PARENTS	5
MY PARENTS AND GROWING UP IN PENNSYLVANIA. ALSO MY WORK ENVIRONMENT.	1
MY PARENTS HAVE MOST INFLUENCED MY SENTIMENTS.	1
MY PARENTS, MY FRIENDS AND MY OWN HEALTH. I WAS VERY SICK FOR FIVE YEARS BECAUSE OF THINGS I ATE AND SINCE I'VE BECOME TOTALLY ORGANIC AND GROW MY OWN FOODS, I'M HEALTHY AGAIN.	1
MY PARENTS. I GREW UP IN A WOODED AREA AND I DON'T WANT THEM TO GET CUT DOWN.	1
MY PERSONAL EXPERIENCE HIKING.	1
MY PROFESSOR	1
MY PROFESSORS IN NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES AT PURDUE. I MAJORED IN IT, SO THEY MOST INFLUENCED ME. ALSO, I LIKE TO CAMP AND FISH WITH MY STEP FATHER AND MOTHER WHO TOOK ME CAMPING WHEN I WAS 10 YEARS OLD. THAT INFLUENCED ME TOO.	1
MY READING ABOUT THE ORIGINAL FORESTS OF INDIANA HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME; BOOKS.	1
MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS.	2
MY SCOUT MASTER WHEN I WAS IN BOY SCOUTS.	1

MY SISTER-IN-LAW.	1
MY SISTER	1
MY SISTER IS A FIRE FIGHTER.	1
MY SON-IN-LAW	1
MY SON	3
MY SON BECAUSE HE ENJOYS THE OUTDOORS. HE'S A HUNTER.	1
MY SON, HE LIVES IN PART OF THE NATIONAL FOREST.	1
MY SON, WHILE HE WAS AT PURDUE.	1
MY SURROUNDINGS	1
MY TRAVELS, WEST RANGERS.	1
MY TRIP TO SEQUOIA NATIONAL FOREST IN CALIFORNIA.	1
MY UNCLE AND BROTHER	1
MY UNCLE IS AN OUTDOORSMAN. HE LIVES IN A CABIN OUT IN THE WOODS. SO I LEARN A LOT FROM HIM ABOUT WOODLANDS.	1
MY UNCLE OWNED ABOUT 15 ACRES OF NATURAL WOODLAND.	1
MY UNCLE WAS A TIMBER BUYER.	1
MY UNCLE WITH HIS KNOWLEDGE.	1
MY UNCLE, BECAUSE HE WAS A LOGGER. HE HAD A REAL LOVE OF THE WOODS. WE GREW UP IN WOODLAND AREAS AND RESPECTED THE WOODS.	1
MY UNCLE, MY BROTHER, AND OUTDOOR, SUCH AS CAMPING, HUNTING SPORTSMEN GROUPS.	1
MY UPBRINGING. NO SINGLE EXPERIENCE INFLUENCED MY VIEW POINT.	1
MY WAY OF LIFE.	1
MY WIFE'S FAMILY OWNS SOME PARTS OF THE WOODS.	1
MY WIFE	1
MY WIFE AND I BOTH LIKE THE OUTDOORS AND LIVED IN A RURAL COMMUNITY WHERE FARMERS WERE OFTEN CUTTING DOWN THEIR TREES.	1
MY WIFE MOST INFLUENCED ME, SHE IS DOING A PAPER ON WOODLAND MANAGEMENT IN SCHOOL. SHE HAS INVESTIGATED WOODLANDS MANAGEMENT ON INTERNET NEWS.	1
MY WIFE WHO'S A SCIENCE TEACHER.	1
MY WIFE WHO WORKS AT PURDUE.	1
MY WIFE, BECAUSE SHE'S ALWAYS TALKING ABOUT TREES, ANIMALS AND MARSHLANDS.	1
MY WOOD WORKING HOBBY AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS. OWNING WOOD. DESIRING TO BE A CONSERVATIONIST.	1
MY WORK	1
MY WORK EXPERIENCE.	1
MY WORK EXPERIENCES, I USED TO WORK WITH KIMBEL MANUFACTURERS. THEY HAVE AN OFFICE/FURNITURE BUSINESS. THEY OWNED AND MANAGED THEIR OWN FORESTRY. MY NEIGHBOR IS ALSO A TREE HARVESTER, AND MY HUSBAND'S FRIEND IS A CONSERVATION OFFICER. LOCAL STUFF, WE LIVE NEAR POTOCO LAKE.	1
MYSELF	9
MYSELF AND THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT RATHER THAN REAPING THE HARVEST, SO TO SPEAK.	1
MYSELF FROM BEING OUTDOORS.	1
MYSELF JUST HIKING, RUNNING AND MOUNTAIN BIKING AND I LIVE NEXT TO PLYMOUTH PARK.	1
MYSELF, I DO A LOT OF TREE PLANTING IN THE DIFFERENT PLACES THAT I'VE LIVED.	1

MYSELF, I HAVE BEEN DOING IT FOR 50 YEARS.	1
MYSELF, RESEARCH OR READING ARTICLES.	1
MYSELF. I REALLY CARE ABOUT THE TREES AND WE BARELY HAVE ANY. THE ANIMALS ARE RUNNING AND DON'T HAVE HOMES. LEAVE ANIMALS ALONE.	1
MYSELF. JUST FROM HUNTING AND USING THE WOODS. MY FATHER TAUGHT ME THAT.	1
MYSELF; I WAS IN A CO-OP PROGRAM THIRTY YEARS AGO WITH THE FOREST.	1
NATURE SHOWS	1
NEIGHBORS	1
NEWS MEDIA	3
NEWSPAPER	1
NEWSPAPERS	1
NEWSPAPERS AND MAGAZINES	1
NEWSPAPERS OR MEDIA.	1
NO	1
NO COMMENT	4
NO COMMENT, NOTHING AT ALL.	1
NO IDEA	2
NO IDEAL	1
NO INFLUENCES	1
NO ONE HAS INFLUENCED ME.	1
NO OPINION	1
NO PARTICULAR PERSON, JUST THINGS I HAVE SEEN ON TV.	1
NO THOUGHTS	1
NO, I HAVE NOT WENT TO PARKS IN INDIANA.	1
NOBODY	3
NONE	12
NONE AT ALL.	1
NONE, I HAVE NOT BEEN TO ANY PARKS IN YEARS.	1
NOT APPLICABLE	4
NOT ENOUGH WILDLIFE WHEN I WAS LIVING IN FLORIDA, PLUS MANY FOREST FIRES.	1
NOT JUST ONE PERSON	1
NOT ONE SINGLE PERSON	1
NOT SO MUCH HERE, BUT IN CALIFORNIA, I HAVE A FRIEND WHO IS EXPERIENCING THE FIRES, HE WORKS WITH, AND DEALS WITH THE FIRES, HE'S THE ONE WHO PUTS THEM OUT EVERYDAY FOR YA, HOW PEOPLE LIGHT A MATCH AND DON'T THINK ABOUT IT, NOT SO MUCH AROUND HERE, OTHER THAN WATCHING SOME DIVISIONS, THE SUB DIVISIONS, WHO BUILD HOUSES, TAKE EVERYTHING OUT AND START OVER. (W/E) NE	1
NOT TO CUT DOWN THAT MANY TREES.	1
NOT TOO LONG AGO I HEARD THEY SAID THEY HAD TO CUT DOWN THE TREES BECAUSE OF SOME PLAGUE.	1
NOTHING	6
NOTHING HAS, I DON'T HAVE MUCH OPINION ON IT.	1
NOTHING IN PARTICULAR.	1
NOTHING, BUT HUNTING I DID IN THE PAST 30 YEARS.	1
OBSERVING THE RESULTS OF THE TIMBER HARVEST AT HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST, WHERE IT WAS A SELECT CUT. BRANCHES AND TREE TOPS WERE LEFT IN PLACE.	1

OCCUPATION. I AM A COLLEGE BIOLOGY MAJOR.	1
ON THE PHONE	1
ONE OF MY FRIENDS THAT TRIED TO REPLANT HIS WOODS.	1
ORGANIZATIONS THAT I BELONG TO. MY HUSBAND WAS IN THAT AREA BECAUSE OF HIS WORK. READING ALL THOSE THINGS THAT YOU MENTIONED BEFORE.	1
OUR CAMPING EXPERIENCE.	1
OUR CAMPING THAT WE DO AND THE NATURE CENTER GIVES US A LOT OF INFORMATION.	1
OUR FAMILY HAS TWO PROPERTY IN THE CLASSIFIED FOREST PROGRAM. WE ARE MEMBERS OF THE INDIANA FORESTRY AND WOODLAND OWNERS ASSOCIATES.	1
OUR LOCAL AND STATE FORESTERS. THE DNR IN GENERAL.	1
OUR PRESIDENT. HE'S THE ONE THAT STARTED THE NATIONAL PARKS AND FORESTS FOR PRESERVATIONS.	1
OUR TRIPS TO THE DUNES.	1
OWNING A CHRISTMAS TREE FARM AND PROPERTY.	1
PART TIME OUTDOORSMAN. I LIKE FISHING AND HUNTING. I'VE ALWAYS LIVED OUT IN THE COUNTRY AND IT'S RELAXING EVEN IF YOU'RE NOT DOING ANYTHING.	1
PENNSYLVANIA MAGAZINE, I RESEARCHED THE ISSUE MANY YEARS AGO.	1
PEOPLE I KNOW	1
PEOPLE IN PARKS AND CONSERVATION MANAGEMENT. THEY HAVE INFLUENCED MY SENTIMENTS.	1
PEOPLE THAT FIGHT FOREST FIRES.	1
PERSONAL EXPERIENCE	7
PERSONAL EXPERIENCE IN THE WOODS.	1
PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, SPEND A LOT OF TIMES OUTSIDE.	1
PERSONAL EXPERIENCES	1
PERSONAL HIKING.	1
PERSONAL INFORMATION AND JUST BEING CONCERNED. LEARNING IT ON MY OWN. I'M AN ENVIRONMENTAL PERSON, I DO A LOT OF HIKING.	1
PERSONAL OBSERVATION AND INTEREST.	1
PERSONAL OBSERVATION OF CUTTING DOWN TREES IN THE NAME OF PROGRESS.	1
PERSONAL OBSERVATIONS	1
PERSONAL OBSERVATIONS ABOUT LOCAL WOODLANDS.	1
PLANTING TREES, CONSERVING NATURAL NATURE.	1
PRESIDENT	1
PROBABLY A FRIEND WHO WAS A STATE FORESTER.	1
PROBABLY CAMPING OUTDOORS.	1
PROBABLY CAMPING, AND A LITTLE INFORMATION THAT I LOOKED INTO.	1
PROBABLY COLLEGE. I HAD A NATURAL RESOURCES CLASS IN COLLEGE.	1
PROBABLY DNR	1
PROBABLY EXPERIENCE OF THE OUTDOORS.	1
PROBABLY JUST A VISIT TO A NEAR BY WOODLAND AREA (W/E) NE	1
PROBABLY JUST FROM MY FAMILY.	1
PROBABLY JUST SEEING WOODLANDS DESTROYED BY URBAN SPRAWL.	1
PROBABLY JUST WHAT I HAVE SEEN IN MY VISITS TO THE DIFFERENT CONSERVATION AREAS, MY VISITS TO THEM. WHEN I HAVE UTILIZED SOME OF THE FORESTRY AREAS.	1
PROBABLY MY BACKGROUND FROM WISCONSIN. GROWING UP IN THE WOODLANDS OF	1

WISCONSIN.	
PROBABLY MY DAD AND MY STEPFATHER. YOU CAN'T JUST KEEP CUTTING WOODS DOWN AND NOT REPLACING THEM, YOU HAVE TO HAVE WOODS. ALSO I HAVE A BROTHER-IN-LAW THAT'S A PROFESSOR AT PURDUE.	1
PROBABLY MY DAD.	1
PROBABLY MY FATHER AND SISTER, THEY WERE ALWAYS INTERESTED. (W/E) NE	1
PROBABLY MY FATHER WAS BIG ON BEING OUTDOORS AND MY FRIEND.	1
PROBABLY MY HUSBAND BECAUSE WE LIKE TO CAMP AND DO THOSE TYPES OF THINGS, LIKE TO BE OUTSIDE. SCOUTS, THE SCOUTING PROGRAM.	1
PROBABLY MY HUSBAND, JUST BECAUSE HE'S REALLY INTO THAT. WE TALK ABOUT IT ALL THE TIME. WHEN HE CUTS DOWN A TREE, WE WASTE VERY LITTLE. HE IS BIG FOR REPLANTING TOO. WE ARE INTERESTED IN THE NATIVE SPECIES FOR WHAT WE TRY TO PLANT, HE'S REALLY CONSCIOUS OF IT.	1
PROBABLY MY HUSBAND. HE LOVES TO CAMP AND HE'S A VERY NATURE PERSON.	1
PROBABLY MY HUSBAND. I TEND TO GO OFF OF FROM WHAT FAMILY AND FRIENDS SAY OR INTERNET RESEARCH, BUT NOT ADVERTISING.	1
PROBABLY MY PARENTS AND THE WAY I GREW UP. I GREW UP DOING OUTDOOR THINGS.	1
PROBABLY OUR LOCAL DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. LITERATURE FROM OUR LOCAL EXTENSION OFFICE.	1
PROBABLY OUR LOCAL DISTRICT FORESTER.	1
PROBABLY THE 4H GROUP.	1
PROBABLY THE FACT THAT I GO TO THE WOODS EVERY DAY AND ENJOY IT.	1
PROBABLY THE FACT THAT IN MY YOUNGER DAYS, I WAS A HUNTER AND SPENT A LOT OF TIME IN THE WOODS. THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN MY DAD, HE WAS A MARINE. WE ALL HAD WEAPONS AS TEENAGERS AND HUNTED. DIDN'T THINK TWICE TO GUT A RABBIT.	1
PROBABLY THE SETTING WHERE I LIVE.	1
PROBABLY THE TELEVISION.	1
PROBABLY YOU BECAUSE I'VE NEVER TALKED TO ANYONE ABOUT IT BEFORE.	1
PUBLIC RADIO	1
PURDUE UNIVERSITY AND THERE IS A PRIVATELY OWNED FOREST ACROSS THE RIVER FROM WHERE I LOVE AND THEY'VE INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS TO A CERTAIN EXTENT.	1
PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WHEN I WENT TO SCHOOL THERE.	1
READING	1
READING ABOUT ALL KINDS OF BOOKS AND MAGAZINES AND NEWSPAPERS AND HEALTH ISSUES.	1
READING ABOUT CONSERVATION AND TV PROGRAMS ON PBS. INTERNET PUBLICATIONS LIKE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC AND SCIENTIFIC AMERICA.	1
READING ABOUT WOODLANDS.	1
READING AND NEWS MEDIA.	1
READING AT THE BROWN COUNTY NATURE CENTER ABOUT WOODLANDS MANAGEMENT HAS MOST INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
READING MATERIALS AND INTERNET SOURCES.	1
READING MATERIALS AND THE NEWS. PLUS I'M REALLY BIG ON PEOPLE NOT CUTTING DOWN TREES.	1
READING NEWSPAPERS.	1
READING ON MY OWN, A WILDLIFE PROFESSIONAL.	1
READING SCIENTIFIC MAGAZINES.	1
REALLY GOING THERE. FIRST HAND EXPERIENCES.	1

REALLY GOOD DNR EMPLOYEES AND MY BROTHER HAS A DEGREE IN FORESTRY. I JUST EXPERIENCE BY MYSELF.	1
REALLY, MYSELF. I JUST LOVE HIKING AND WE LOVE GOING OUT.	1
RECREATIONAL PEOPLE THAT WORK. AS FAR AS WHAT'S GOING ON WITH THE SURROUNDINGS BECAUSE THEY ARE INVOLVED IN IT.	1
REPORTS IN THE MEDIA.	1
ROBERT, PROFESSOR AT SCHOOL.	1
RUSH LIMBAUGH	2
RUSH LIMBAUGH	1
RUSSELL AND WOODS, CONSERVED WOODED AREA.	1
SCHOOL	1
SEEING CLEAR, CUTTING IN THE WESTERN PART OF THE US.	1
SEEING HOW TREES ARE BEING CUT DOWN FOR HOUSES BEING BUILT. WE CAN'T AFFORD THIS.	1
SEEING THE DAMAGE DONE BY LOGGERS WHEN I LIVED IN NEW MEXICO AND CALIFORNIA.	1
SEEING THE DESTRUCTION OF NATIVE FORESTS, TURNING FORESTS INTO FARM LANDS AND DECREASE OF WOODS BEING PRESERVED HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
SELF EXPERIENCE	1
SHOWS LIKE JACK TANNA WINN TV SHOW.	1
SMOKEY BEAR	1
SMOKEY THE BEAR	6
SMOKEY THE BEAR, BECAUSE I, TOO, CAN PREVENT FOREST FIRES.	1
SMOKEY THE BEAR, THINGS THAT I'VE SEEN AND HEARD OFF OF THE ADVERTISEMENTS ON TV.	1
SOME OF MY FAMILY.	1
SOME OF MY TRIPS AND TRAVEL.	1
SOME WOODLAND MANAGEMENT PEOPLE.	1
SOMETHING I ALWAYS HAD EVER SINCE I'VE BEEN GROWING UP AS A KID.	1
SOMETHING THAT I READ.	1
SPENDING THE EVENING IN THE FOREST, LIKE CAMPING OR HUNTING. JUST SPENDING TIME OUT THERE.	1
SPENDING TIME WITH FAMILY.	1
SPORTSMAN'S GROUPS AND NEWS MEDIA. THE LOCAL DNR.	1
SQUIRREL HUNT	1
STATE AUTHORITIES	1
STATE FORESTERS	1
STATE PARKS	1
STATE PARKS AND FAMILY.	1
STEVE MAROON; GOOD CHILDHOOD MENTOR/FRIEND.	1
TAKE MY KIDS HIKING AND WALKING THROUGH THE WOODS.	1
TAKING A WALK THROUGH THE WOODS.	1
TAKING MY DAUGHTER TO ANY WOODLAND AREA.	1
TAKING WOODS TO BUILD HOUSES.	1
TELEVISION	2
TELEVISION AND NEWS, FRIENDS AND FAMILY.	1
TELEVISION DOCUMENTARIES.	1

TELEVISION IS WHAT I MOSTLY LEARN FROM.	1
TELEVISION, CLASSES IN SCHOOL.	1
TEN YEARS AGO I BOUGHT A HOUSE WITH SOME WOODS FOREST ON IT. THEN I STARTED TO LIKE THE FOREST.	1
THAT IT IS NECESSARY, WE NEED THE WOODLANDS.	1
THE 2003 COLORADO HAYMAN FIRE. I WAS DISPLACED BY THIS FIRE. I SAW IT UP CLOSE AND PERSONAL. 640000 ACRES OF LAND WENT UP.	1
THE AGE AND CONDITION OF THE TREE. IN MY OWN CASE, IF THEY DIE OR A STORM TAKES THEM DOWN, I HARVEST THEM.	1
THE ANIMAL CHANNEL TALKS ABOUT FORESTS AND ANIMALS AND HELPED ME TO KNOW THAT WE SHOULD NOT CUT DOWN OR BURN TREES.	1
THE AREA THAT I LIVE IN. THEY TOOK OVER GREEN SPACES WHEN THEY DIDN'T HAVE TO.	1
THE ASSISTANT MANAGER OF MOUNDS STATE PARK. ALSO JULIE FROM SHADES PARK.	1
THE BALANCE BETWEEN WHAT WE NEED AND WHAT'S GOOD FOR THE ENVIRONMENT. COUNTY AGRICULTURAL AGENT.	1
THE BOY SCOUT. WORKING FOR A CAMP. DIRECTLY FROM INDIANA WOODLANDS.	1
THE BOY SCOUTS	1
THE BROWN COUNTY STATE PARKS, MY BOY SCOUT GROUP AND THE STATE PARK AT THE STATE FAIR GROUNDS.	1
THE CONCERN ABOUT THE WASTE OF WOODLANDS AND BUILDING HOUSES.	1
THE CONSERVATION DEPARTMENT, OUR LOCAL ONE.	1
THE CONSERVATION EFFORT TO CUT DOWN ON DESTROYING ANY NATURAL ENVIRONMENT, WHETHER TREES OR WATER HAS MOST INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
THE CONSERVATION OF DEPARTMENT OF YOU KNOW.	1
THE CONSERVATION OFFICER.	1
THE CONSERVATION OFFICERS	1
THE CONSERVATION OFFICERS. THEY WATCH OUT QUITE A BIT AND PUSH IT QUITE A BIT. EVERYTHING ABOUT CONSERVATION.	1
THE COUNTY FOREST AGENT; I AM FRIENDS WITH HIM AND IF I HAVE A QUESTION OR PROBLEM, THAT'S WHO I ASK.	1
THE DEER THAT I WOULD SEE IN COUNTY PARKS.	1
THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES.	1
THE DESTROYING OF WOODLAND WITHOUT REGULATIONS. I WOULD WANT A LOT MORE FROM THE PROFESSIONALS. I'D WANT TO GO TO THE ACTUAL FOREST, LIKE TO DNR AND PASS THAT PEOPLE COULD WALK TO OR GO TO.	1
THE DNA OFFICERS.	1
THE DNR CONSERVATION.	1
THE DNR OFFICES. DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES.	1
THE DOCUMENTARY I SAW. IT EXPLAINED HOW WE ARE CONTROLLING THE GROWTH OF TREES.	1
THE ELKHART ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER. I HAVE A COUPLE OF FRIENDS THAT ARE HUNTERS, EVEN THOUGH I'M NOT. I HAVE ANOTHER FRIEND THAT'S A DUCK HUNTER AND THEY INCREASED MY AWARENESS OF ANIMAL HABITAT. WE ALSO HAVE A GREAT GROUP OF SANDHILL CRANES IN OUR AREA AND IT'S AMAZING TO WATCH THEIR MIGRATION. THEY LIVE IN A COUPLE OF PONDS NEAR MY HOUSE.	1
THE ENVIRONMENT WHICH I LIVE IS CLOSE TO WOODS.	1
THE EXPERIENCE OF BEING A VOLUNTEER AT NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE.	1

THE EXPERIENCE THAT I HAVE IN MY OWN FOREST, AND I BELIEVE IN PRESERVING IT.	1
THE EXPERIENCE THAT I HAVE WITH TIMBER MANAGEMENT GOES BACK TO CHILDHOOD COOKING AND HUNTING. I SPENT FIVE YEARS WORKING IN A LOGGING COMPANY.	1
THE FACT THAT I'VE SEEN WHAT A MOUNTAIN LOOKS LIKE WHEN IT'S BEEN HARVESTED AND NOT REPLANTED.	1
THE FACT THAT I'VE WORKED IN THE TIMBER HARVEST, AND I WORKED WITH CUTTING DOWN THE TREES THAT WERE INVESTED INSECTS.	1
THE FACT THAT I DO BUILDINGS AND SEE FORESTS AND FIELDS DISAPPEAR FOR NEW HOMES OFTEN.	1
THE FACT THAT NATURE SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO EXIST AS IT IS AND NOT HAVE TO CHANGE IT FOR OUR OWN BENEFIT. UNLESS IT IS A THREAT TO ITSELF OR US.	1
THE FACT WE HAVE PLANTED 49 ACRES OF TREES.	1
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.	1
THE FIELD TRIP I WENT ON WITH MY GRANDDAUGHTER.	1
THE FOREST IN OUR AREA ARE BEING TAKEN CARE OF LIKE THEY SHOULD.	1
THE FOREST PAPERS I GET FROM THE FOREST DIVISION.	1
THE FOREST PRESERVERS.	1
THE FORESTERS AT MCCORMICK CREEK PARK DO A GOOD JOB OF KEEPING UP THE FOREST.	1
THE FUTURE GENERATIONS	1
THE GAL UP IN ALASKA, SHE RAN FOR VICE PRESIDENT.	1
THE GUY MY MOM DATES, HE LIVES IN THE WOODS AND MANAGES IT FOR TIMBER.	1
THE GUY THAT CUT A TREE DOWN UP THE ROAD AND LOST IT IN MY FRONT LAWN.	1
WE NEED TO PRESERVE WHAT WE HAVE AND THERE ARE LOTS OF OTHER THINGS THAT INCLUDE US. IF WE KILL, THEN WE WON'T BE HERE.	1
THE HIPPIES	1
THE HISTORY CHANNEL ON TV HAS MOST INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
THE INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, BECAUSE I'M REALLY INTO ALL THIS STUFF.	1
THE INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES.	1
THE INFLUENCES OF MY FRIENDS THAT OWN SEVERAL ACRES OF WOODS AND LOOKING AT THEIR WOODS AND THE WOODS AROUND ME AND NOTICING THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
THE INTERNET	1
THE INTERNET OR NEWSPAPER.	1
THE LIFE OF JOHN MUIR.	1
THE LOCAL SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT HAS PUBLIC MEETINGS AND TIMBER MANAGEMENT DAYS WHERE WE GO OUT INTO THE WILD.	1
THE LOGGERS. MY HUSBAND WAS A LUMBERJACK IN THE FIFTIES.	1
THE LORD, HE WAS ALL FOR WOOD AND THAT IS WHY I SAY IT. HE WAS A CARPENTER AND SO AM I.	1
THE MAIN THING I HAVE USED THE TIMBERLAND FOR IS CAMPING AND HIKING.	1
THE MAYOR IS CUTTING OUR FIRE STATIONS AND PUTTING THE FIREMEN OUT OF WORK.	1
THE MEDIA	1

THE MOVEMENT IN THIS COUNTRY IS GREEN STUFF, GREEN TECHNOLOGY. WE SHOULD BE GOOD STEWARDS OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES WE DO HAVE. SO MUCH JUNK SCIENCE AND POLITICAL MOVEMENT, IT IS POLITICALLY CORRECT TO BE GREEN. IT IS OUT OF BALANCE. YOU DON'T PUT ENVIRONMENT ABOVE PEOPLE. PEOPLE ARE STILL IMPORTANT. THE PATH THE COUNTRY THAT'S GOING DOWN IS LEADING TO THE DESTRUCTION OF ECONOMIC GROWTH. OUR FOOD PRODUCTION IN THE COUNTRY IS 60 PERCENT FOREIGN. THE FALSE BELIEFS OR MYTHS THAT ENVIRONMENTALISTS ARE PRESENTING IS GOING TO BE DESTRUCTIVE TO THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE AND THE ECONOMICS OF INDIANA AND THE COUNTRY.	1
THE NATURE CONSERVANCY HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME THROUGH THEIR MAGAZINE. ALSO THE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE.	1
THE NATURE CONSERVATION	1
THE NEWS	2
THE NEWS MEDIA	3
THE NEWS MEDIA, THE LOCAL NEWSPAPER DOES GIVE SOME INFORMATION.	1
THE NEWS, SO JUST WATCHING THE NEWS AND SEEING WHAT'S GOING ON. I KNOW DIFFERENT PEOPLE WANT TO DO DIFFERENT THINGS AND I SEE THIS FROM THE NEWS.	1
THE NEWS, TALKING WITH PEOPLE.	1
THE NEWS. IF I DON'T SEE IT ON TV, I DON'T LEARN ABOUT IT.	1
THE NEWSPAPER	1
THE NEWSPAPER AND TELEVISION.	1
THE NEWSPAPER, MEDIA	1
THE NEWSPAPERS	1
THE NRDC GROUP	1
THE NUMBERS OF TRUCKS I SEE GOING DOWN THE HIGHWAY LOADED WITH HARVESTED LOGS AND THE NUMBER OF TREES I SEE CUT DOWN FOR BUILDING, ROAD CONSTRUCTION, ETC.	1
THE OUTDOORS AND FAMILY.	1
THE OUTDOORS.	1
THE OVERALL IRRESPONSIBILITY OF CORPORATE AMERICA.	1
THE PEOPLE I GREW UP WITH, LIKE FAMILY AND FRIENDS.	1
THE PEOPLE THAT RUN THE PARKS AND THE WOODLANDS DO A VERY GOOD JOB.	1
THE PEOPLE THAT TAKE CARE OF THE WOODLANDS. THE FOREST NEWSLETTER.	1
THE PEOPLE THAT WORK FOR THE DNR.	1
THE PERSON WOULD BE TOMMY CUTTER. HE OWNS SOME FOREST LAND, HE TALKS TO ME.	1
THE PROGRAMS I SEE ON TELEVISION.	1
THE PUBLIC FORESTERS I'VE MET.	1
THE RESERVOIR MANAGER	1
THE SCHOOLS I WENT TO INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
THE SONS AND MY HUSBAND.	1
THE SPORTSMEN THAT USE THE LAND.	1
THE TEACHER AT MY BUILDING.	1
THE TELEVISION HAS MOST AFFECTED ME. THINGS LIKE ONLY YOU CAN PREVENT FOREST FIRES.	1
THE TELEVISION HAS MOST INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS. THE LOGGING SHOW ON TV.	1
THE THINGS I WATCH ON TELEVISION MAKES ME THINK ABOUT IT.	1
THE TIMES I'VE BEEN IN THE STATE PARKS. WHAT I READ IN THE NEWSPAPER.	1
THE TREES IN MY YARD BEND OVER INTO OTHERS AND THEY FALL. I LOVE TO FISH.	1

THE UNIVERSITY RESEARCH ON WOOD.	1
THE UNIVERSITY, BY RESEARCH.	1
THE USGBC, THE US GREEN BUILDING COUNCIL.	1
THE WAY PEOPLE DON'T TAKE CARE OF IT.	1
THE WEB READING ON THE INTERNET.	1
THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION	1
THE WILDLIFE, THEY'RE DYING.	1
THE YEARS I SPENT IN MICHIGAN, SMALL ACRE WOOD.	1
THEODORE ROOSEVELT	1
THERE WAS A PROGRAM ON TBS WFYI ABOUT THE INDIANA RESOURCES.	1
THEY NEED TO CHOOSE FOR THE ANIMALS. PART OF NATURE.	1
THINGS I'VE READ IN THE NEWSPAPER.	1
THINGS I HAVE READ IN MAGAZINE ARTICLES HAVE MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
THROUGH A PRIVATE ENCOUNTER, AND THE MEDIA.	1
TIMBER CUTTER	1
TIMBER, WHEN PEOPLE DO NOT LEAVE WASTE FROM THE FOREST LAYING AROUND, THEY GATHER AND DISPOSE OF IT PROPERLY.	1
TO BE HONEST, A LOT OF THE SHOWS I'VE BEEN WATCHING ON TV, THEY'RE GOING AROUND CUTTING DOWN PINE TREES. THEY'RE EXPLAINING IT. THERE'S A REASON FOR IT. THEY'RE STRIPPING A BUNCH OF TREES TO SAVE THE REST OF THEM.	1
TO KEEP IT FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS TO COME, SO PEOPLE AND STILL GO HIKING AND CAMPING.	1
TORE; HE WAS THE VICE PRESIDENT AND NOW HE IS AN ENVIRONMENTALIST.	1
TRAVELING	1
TRAVELING AND BEING IN VARIOUS FORESTS.	1
TREES INCORPORATED	1
TV	4
TV DOCUMENTARIES HAVE MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
TV DOCUMENTARIES HAVE MOST INFLUENCED MY SENTIMENTS. NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE HAS ALSO INFLUENCED ME.	1
TV STATION WFIU, PBS HAS INFLUENCED ME MOST.	1
TV, MAGAZINES AND DOCUMENTARIES.	1
TWO PEOPLE, WHICH ARE THE OLD FOUNDERS OF MUIR. AL GORE, TOO.	1
UNIVERSITY OF PURDUE	1
UNIVERSITY PROFESSIONAL	1
UNIVERSITY PROFESSOR	2
USED TO WORK FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES.	1
USING THE FORESTS AS RECREATION.	1
USUALLY FROM WHAT I WATCH ON TV.	1
VERY FIRST EARTH DAY BACK IN 1972.	1
VISIT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ACTUALLY BEING OUT.	1
VISITING HOOSIER FOREST, INDIANA.	1
VISITING STATE PARKS	1
VISITING STATE PARKS AND CAMPING OUT HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME. (W/E) NE	1
VISITING STATE PARKS. (W/E) NE	1
VISITING THE BROWN COUNTY FOREST.	1

VISITING THE PARKS, ESPECIALLY THE NATIONAL PARKS. THE SCENERY IS AMAZING.	1
VISITING THE RED WOOD FOREST IN CALIFORNIA. THE NATIONAL LAKE SHORE.	1
VISITING THE STATE PARKS.	1
WALKING MY DOG IN THE WOODS.	1
WATCHING MY NEIGHBORS AND LISTENING TO PEOPLE TALK ABOUT WHAT'S GOING ON. GOING OUT IN THE WOODS MYSELF. I'VE SEEN STUFF OUT THERE THAT I DON'T AGREE WITH. I GO MUSHROOM HUNTING SOMETIMES AND I FIND TRAILS THAT PEOPLE MAKE THEMSELVES AND PEOPLE BURNING THEIR OWN TRASH THERE. I'VE SEEN WHERE SOME PEOPLE HAVE JUST PUT TRASH AROUND THE ROAD SIDES.	1
WATCHING PEOPLE DO CLEAR CUTTING TO BUILD HOUSES.	1
WATCHING THE FIRES IN CALIFORNIA ON TV.	1
WATCHING THE WILDLIFE.	1
WATCHING THE WOODS DISAPPEAR WHEN I WAS A KID. NOW THE WOODS ARE CORNFIELDS OR COMMUNITY HOUSES, LIKE HOUSING COMPLEXES OR CONDOMINIUMS.	1
WATCHING TV WITH DOCUMENTARIES ON THE FOREST MANAGEMENT.	1
WE'RE ON A FARM SO WE EXPERIENCE HAVING WOODS AROUND US. THE EXPERIENCE FOR MAINTAINING THEM FOR OUR USE AND FUTURE GENERATION USE.	1
WE ALWAYS LIVED IN THE COUNTRY. WE LIKED TAKING FOREST TRIPS WHEN I WAS A LITTLE GIRL. MY MOTHER LIVES IN A FARM, WE LIVE ALONG THE LAKE, AND WE ALL FOUGHT AGAINST BUILDING ON THE COUNTRY.	1
WE BOUGHT A LOT OF LAND. THERE WERE ALL KINDS OF TREES, SO INSTEAD OF TAKING TREES OUT, WE LEFT THE TREES THERE AND BUILT AROUND AND OVER THE TREES.	1
WE BOUGHT A LOT OF PROPERTY WITH TREES. MAKING ROOM FOR MORE TREES TO GROWN.	1
WE GO CAMPING A LOT. NATURE, BIKE RIDES, HIKES.	1
WE GO DOWN SOUTH A LOT AND WE TAKE WALKS AND STUFF LIKE THAT. I JUST HAVE EXPERIENCE WITH THE OUTDOORS AND KEEPING THINGS PRESERVED.	1
WE GO IN THE WOODS A LOT.	1
WE GO TO A LOT OF STATE AND NATIONAL PARKS REGULARLY AND WOULD LIKE TO SEE THEM STAY THE WAY THEY ARE.	1
WE HAD A PLACE CALLED WESSELMAN NATURE CENTER. WE GOT MOST OF OUR INFORMATION FROM THERE. IN EVANSVILLE INDIANA, OUR GRANDCHILDREN LOVE THIS PLACE. THEY LOVE HIKING AND BUGS.	1
WE HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF WOODED PROPERTY OF OUR OWN AND WE LIKE BEING IN IT.	1
WE HAVE A LOT OF WILDLIFE. WE LIVE IN TOWN. WE'RE AWARE THAT WE'RE GETTING SCARCE.	1
WE HAVE A MOTOR HOME AND HAVE TRAVELED EXTENSIVELY AND WE HAVE SEEN STATE AND NATIONAL TIMBERLANDS UP CLOSELY.	1
WE HAVE WOODS. SO THERE'S A GUY, HE'S A FORESTER WHO TELLS US WHAT KINDS IF TREES THERE ARE AND HOW TO HANDLE THEM.	1
WE HAVE WORMS ON MY TREES.	1
WE HEARD FROM 4-H GROUPS, PURDUE GROUPS. ALSO JUST MY OWN READING FROM HERE AND THERE.	1
WE JUST LIKE THE NATURE. WE WATCH THE DEER WALKING IN THE BACK YARD.	1
WE LIKE BEING OUTDOORS AND GOING CAMPING AND ENJOYING THE OUTDOOR ENVIRONMENT.	1
WE LIVE BY THE DUNES STATE PARK AND THEY GIVE US A LOT OF INFORMATION. WE HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT FIRES.	1

WE LIVE IN INDIANA DUNES, THAT HAS INFLUENCED ME MOST. IT SHOULD BE USED FOR RECREATION. ALSO THE CALIFORNIA WILDFIRES HAVE INFLUENCED MY OPINIONS.	1
WE LIVE ON A FARM WITH TREES.	1
WE RAISED TREES 46 ACRES. WE WORKED WITH FOREST, GOT INTERESTED AT THE BIEKNE AND PURDUE.	1
WE USE THE WOODS ALL THE TIME. WE'RE ALWAYS OUT IN THE WOODS.	1
WE USED TO BELONG TO THE SIERRA CLUB AND NATURALIST SHOWS ON TV. THEY HAVE BOTH INFLUENCED ME.	1
WE USED TO CAMP A LOT IN THE NATIONAL PARKS AND THE NATIONAL FORESTS AND WE REALLY ENJOYED IT.	1
WE USED TO CAMP A LOT. WE ALWAYS LIKED GOING TO THE WOODED AREAS. MY FRIEND HAD THE DNR OUT AND PLANTED TREES.	1
WE USED TO GET THE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAGAZINE.	1
WE USED TO GO CAMPING YEARS AGO AND I ENJOYED IT.	1
WE WENT TO SEE THE WILDLIFE KANKAKEE RESERVE.	1
WE WENT VACATIONING DOWN THE FLORIDA KEYS, AND YOU CAN SEE THE TREES, BIRDS AND EVERYTHING. BUT NOW THEY JUST ABOUT DESTROYED EVERYTHING THERE.	1
WELL WE LIVE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE WOODS.	1
WELL YOU WOULD HOPE THAT THE HEAD OF YOUR TOWN WOULD BE THE PERSON TO WORK WITH THEM AND MAKE SURE NOTHING BAD COMES IN, WHATEVER SHOULDN'T BE HAPPENING, HE WOULD BE HANDLING THAT (W/E)NE.	1
WELL, MY COLLEGE DEGREE IN WILDLIFE BIOLOGY.	1
WHAT I'VE LEARNED CAMPING AND FROM THE INTERNET.	1
WHAT I'VE READ IN MAGAZINES AND SEEN ON TELEVISION.	1
WHAT I'VE READ IN MAGAZINES, ARTICLES AND BOOKS.	1
WHAT I'VE SEEN ON TV AND READ IN MAGAZINES.	1
WHAT I GET FROM THE UNIVERSITY. PURDUE AND CONCERNED GROUP.	1
WHAT I HAVE READ IN MAGAZINES HAS MOST INFLUENCED ME.	1
WHAT I HEAR ON THE TV OR READ. JUST IN GENERAL.	1
WHAT I READ ON THE INTERNET, LIKE NEWS AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES.	1
WHAT I READ.	1
WHAT I SEE ON TV, LIKE NATURE.	1
WHAT YOU READ IN THE PAPER PERSONALLY FOR ME.	1
WHAT YOU SEE ON NEWS ABOUT BURNING CONTROLLED FIRES.	1
WHEN I GOT TO RIDE MY HORSE AT BROWN COUNTY PARK (W/E) NE	1
WHEN I WAS A BOY GROWING UP, MY FATHER AND GRANDFATHERS.	1
WHEN I WAS A KID, WE LIVED BY THE WOODS THAT I WOULD GO PLAY IN. NOW THERE IS A LARGE ENVIRONMENTALLY ACTIVE COMMUNITY. THE AREA HAS ALWAYS BEEN INVOLVED WITH THE ENVIRONMENT.	1
WHEN I WAS GROWING UP, I LIVED IN TENNESSEE, SO I LEARNED A LOT FROM HOW THEY MANAGED THINGS THERE.	1
WHEN I WAS OUT IN MONTANA AND SAW CLEAR CUT FORESTS, THAT INFLUENCED ME MOST.	1
WHEN I WAS YOUNG, I LIKED CAMPING.	1
WHEN I WENT TO THE PARK AS A CHILD.	1
WHEN I WORKED WITH WOOD.	1

WHEN WE WERE YOUNGER, WE WOULD GO TO THE STATE PARKS AND SPEND A LOT OF TIME IN THE ROCKY'S. GOING OUT AND SEEING WHEN I WAS YOUNGER.	1
WHERE WE LIVE, IS IN A MIDDLE OF A BUNCH OF LOGGERS, AND THEY'RE ABOUT THE MONEY. I JUST THINK THE ALL MIGHTY DOLLAR IS PRETTY SURROUND HERE.	1
WHO MANAGED THE TIMBER.	1
WIM'S RADIO. THEY HAVE AN OUTDOOR SHOW THAT I LISTEN TO.	1
WOODS	1
WORD OF MOUTH	1
WORKING WITH FORESTRY PEOPLE AND CONSERVATION GROUPS HAS INFLUENCED ME MOST.	1
WOULD BE MY PARENTS AND COLLEAGUES.	1
YOU DID. I HAVEN'T PAID MUCH ATTENTION TO IT.	1
YOU. THE PERSON INTERVIEWING ME.	1
Total	1402

Q17: How many total wooded acres of Indiana land do you own?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1-9	362	25.8	25.8	25.8
	10-49	121	8.6	8.6	34.5
	50-99	32	2.3	2.3	36.7
	100-199	17	1.2	1.2	37.9
	200-299	5	.4	.4	38.3
	300-499	4	.3	.3	38.6
	>499	8	.6	.6	39.2
	None	847	60.4	60.4	99.6
	Refused	6	.4	.4	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q17: Wooded acres owned?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	<1 acre or none	847	60.4	60.7	60.7
	1-9 acres	362	25.8	25.9	86.6
	10 or more acres	187	13.3	13.4	100.0
	Total	1396	99.6	100.0	
Missing	System	6	.4		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q18a: Gone fishing or hunting in Indiana woodlands?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	985	70.3	70.3	70.3
	No	409	29.2	29.2	99.4
	Don't know	8	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q18b: Cut/collected trees for firewood?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	596	42.5	42.5	42.5
	No	803	57.3	57.3	99.8
	Don't know	3	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q18c: Requested info about trees from IDNR or Division of Forestry?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	396	28.2	28.2	28.2
	No	986	70.3	70.3	98.6
	Don't know	20	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q18d: Gathered mushrooms, nuts, or berries from woodlands?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	715	51.0	51.0	51.0
	No	682	48.6	48.6	99.6
	Don't know	5	.4	.4	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q18e: Requested info about trees from a private nursery or conservation group?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	595	42.4	42.4	42.4
	No	798	56.9	56.9	99.4
	Don't know	9	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q18f: Worked in the wood products industry?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	163	11.6	11.6	11.6
	No	1233	87.9	87.9	99.6
	Don't know	6	.4	.4	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q18g: Visited an Indiana State Forest?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1067	76.1	76.1	76.1
	No	291	20.8	20.8	96.9
	Don't know	44	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q18: Could you please tell me the name of the [Indiana] State Forest you visited? OTHER open-ended responses.

	Count
NO ANSWER	335
ALL OF THEM	2
ANYTHING PURDUE HAD	1
AROUND BLOOMINGTON, HARRISON, MONROE COUNTY	1
AROUND MERRILLVILLE	1
AROUND WEST LAFAYETTE	1
BENEDICT STATE FOREST	1
BENEDICT WOOD	1
BENEDICT WOODS	1
BESSEVE ROUSSE	1
BEVERLY SHORES NATIONAL PARK	1
BLOOMINGTON	3
BLOOMINGTON AREA	1
BLOOMINGTON COLLEGE STATE FOREST	1
BRADFORD WOODS	2
BRAWFORD WOODS	1
BRONIG STATE FOREST	1
BROOKFIELD RESERVOIR	1
BROOKVILLE FOREST AND RAUCH AND WRIGHT	1
BROWN	1
BROWN COUNTY	105
BROWN COUNTY AND INDIANA DUNES	1
BROWN COUNTY AND MCCORMICK CREEK AND SPRINGMILL	1
BROWN COUNTY AND PERRY COUNTY	1
BROWN COUNTY AND YELLOWWOOD	1
BROWN COUNTY AND YELLOWWOOD STATE PARK	1
BROWN COUNTY FOREST	7
BROWN COUNTY FORESTS	1
BROWN COUNTY NATIONAL FOREST	1
BROWN COUNTY PARK	2
BROWN COUNTY STATE FOREST	7
BROWN COUNTY STATE PARK	27
BROWN COUNTY STATE PARK AND HOOSIER	1
BROWN COUNTY STATE PARK AND WABASH	1
BROWN COUNTY STATE PARK, FORGOT NAME	1
BROWN COUNTY STATE PARK, NATIONAL DUNES	1
BROWN COUNTY STATE PARK, RACON RESER, POTATO CRK	1
BROWN COUNTY STATE PARK.	2
BROWN COUNTY STATE RECREATIONAL AREA	1
BROWN COUNTY, HOOSIER	1
BROWN COUNTY, MONROE COUNTY	1

BROWN COUNTY, YELLOWWOOD	2
BROWN STATE FOREST	1
BROWNWOOD COUNTY	1
BUCKLEY, DUNES	1
CAN'T REMEMBER	154
CAN'T REMEMBER IT'S BY FRANCE PARK	1
CAN'T REMEMBER NAME	1
CELERY STATE FOREST	1
CHAIN LAKE STATE PARK	1
CHAIN OF LAKE	2
CHAIN OF LAKE STATE PARK	2
CHAIN OF LAKES	3
CHARLESTOWN STATE PARK	1
CLARK COUNTY	1
CLARK COUNTY CHARLESTOWN STATE FOREST	1
CLARK COUNTY FOREST	1
CLARK COUNTY, CHARLESTOWN STATE PARK	1
CLARK COUNTY, HARRISON COUNTY RESERVE, PATOKA LAKE	1
CLARK STATE FOREST	4
CLARK STATE FORESTRY	2
CLIFTY CREEK	1
CLIFTY FALL	1
CLIFTY FALLS	5
CLIFTY FALLS STATE PARK	6
CLIFTY STATE PARK	1
COLOMBUS	1
COLUMBUS, IN AND ROCKVILLE	1
COUNTY PARK	1
COUNTY STATE FOREST	1
CROSS TOWN NATIONAL	1
CRUMB POINT	1
DANIEL BOONE FOREST	1
DEAMS LAKE	1
DEEP RIVER	1
DOWN BY FRENCH LAKE	1
DUNES	3
EAGLE CREAK STATE PARK	1
EAGLE CREEK	1
EAGLE CREEK FOREST	1
EAGLE CREEK PARK	1
EAGLE CREEK STATE PRAK	1
EARNEST STATE FOREST	1
EPIC MADISON	1
EPWORTH FOREST	1
FERDINAND	1

FERDINAND STATE FOREST	5
FERDINAND STATE FOREST AND MARTIN COUNTY STATE	1
FERDINAND, BROWN COUNTY, GREEN SULLIVAN	1
FORK HARRISON	1
FORT HARISON STATE FOREST	1
FORT HARRISON	2
FORT HARRISON STATE PARK	1
FORT HARRISON, MCCORMICK LAKE, BROWN COUNTY	1
FRANCE PARK	1
FRANCIS SLOCUM	1
GERMAN RIDGE	1
GLENDALE	2
GREEN COUNTY STATE FOREST	1
GREEN SULLIVAN	1
GREEN SULLIVAN STATE FOREST	1
GREENE SOLOMON	1
GREENE SULLIVAN	1
GREENE WOODS	1
HARDIN RIDGE	1
HARDY LAKE, HARRISON COUNTY, SPING MILL	1
HARMONIE STATE	1
HARMONY STATE PARK	1
HARRISON-CRAWFORD	1
HARRISON	2
HARRISON COUNTY	1
HARRISON COUNTY FORESTRY	1
HARRISON COUNTY NATIONAL FOREST	1
HARRISON COUNTY SATE PARK	1
HARRISON COUNTY, CRAWFORD COUNTY	1
HARRISON CRAWFORD	2
HARRISON CRAWFORD COUNTY STATE FOREST	1
HARRISON STATE FOREST	1
HARRISON STATE PARK	2
HENRYVILLE	4
HENRYVILLE FOREST	1
HENRYVILLE STATE FOREST	3
HENRYVILLE, HARRISON COUNTY	1
HOOSIER	6
HOOSIER FEDERAL FOREST	1
HOOSIER FOREST	2
HOOSIER NATIONAL	7
HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST	55
HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST AND HARMONY STATE PARK	1
HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST, ARBOR SALES STATE PARK	1
HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST, LINCOLN STATE PARK	1

HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST, SPRINGDALE, HOVEYS LAKE	1
HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST.	1
HOOSIER NATIONAL FORESTS	1
HOOSIER NATIONAL PARK	1
HOOSIER NATIONAL STATE FOREST	3
HOOSIER NATIONAL, YELLOWWOOD	1
HOOSIER STATE FOREST	6
HOOSIER STATE FOREST, AND TURKEY RUN	1
HOOSIER, MORGAN, MONROE	1
HOVEY STATE FISHING	1
HULK HAGEN	1
HUNTINGTON	1
HUNTINGTON RESERVOIR FOREST, SALAMONIE	1
HUNTINGTON RESERVOIR/J EDWARD RUSH	1
I DON'T REMEMBER, IT WAS 40 YEARS AGO, SOUTHERN IN	1
IN ATTICA	1
IN BLOOMINGTON	1
IN CLORDAN	1
IN NORTHERN INDIANA	1
IN SOUTHERN INDIANA	1
INDASINA	1
INDIAN STATE FOREST	2
INDIANA	1
INDIANA AND SELENA	1
INDIANA BROWN COUNTY	1
INDIANA DINLAND	1
INDIANA DUNE STATE PARK	1
INDIANA DUNES	8
INDIANA DUNES STATE PARK	6
INDIANA DUNES STATE PARK, TURKEY RUN	1
INDIANA LAKE SHORE AT PORTER INDIANA	1
INDIANA NATIONAL FOREST	1
INDIANA NATIONAL PARK	1
INDIANA PARK HAGEN	1
INDIANA STATE FOREST	3
INDIANA STATE FOREST CAN'T REMEBER THE NAME	1
INDIANA STATE FORESTRY	1
INDIANA STATE FORSET	1
INDIANA STATE NATONAL FOREST	1
INDIANA STATE PARKS AND RECREATION	1
INTERNATIONAL FOREST	1
INTERNATIONAL YELLOWWOOD	1
IT'S ON THE OUTSKIRTS OF MICHIGAN CITY	1
IT IS IN CAMP ATTERBURY	1
IT WAS IN MICHIGAN	1

IT WAS NORTH OF GAS CITY	1
ITS AROUND BLUFTON	1
JACKSON	2
JACKSON AND JENNINGS STATE FOREST	1
JACKSON COUNTY	1
JACKSON COUNTY FOREST	1
JACKSON COUNTY FORESTRY	1
JACKSON COUNTY OR BROWN COUNTY	1
JACKSON COUNTY STATE FORESTRY	1
JACKSON COUNTY, CLARK COUNTY, DELANY PARK	1
JACKSON WASHINGTON STATE FOREST	1
JACKSON WASHINGTON, CLARK COUNTY, HOOSIER NATIONAL	1
JASPER	1
JASPER COUNTY	1
JASPER PULASKI	2
JASPER PULASKI GAME PRESERVE	1
JP	1
KATOKA LAKE	1
LAKE LEMON	1
LAKE WATER STATE PARK	1
LAKESHORE	1
LEMON LAKE MONROE LAKE	1
LEMON LAKES	1
LIEBER STATE FOREST	2
LINCOLN	2
LINCOLN BOYHOOD	1
LINCOLN PARK	1
LINCOLN STATE FOREST/PARK	1
LINCOLN STATE PARK	8
LINCON PLACE	1
LIVEIR STATE PARK	1
LOBLOLLY	1
LYMORRE	1
MADISON	1
MARTIN COUNTY	1
MARTIN COUNTY AND SPRING NEAL	1
MARTIN COUNTY, BROWN COUNTY, JACKSON COUNTY	1
MARTIN STATE FOREST	1
MASCOTTATUCK	1
MCCORMICK	1
MCCORMICK AND BROWN COUNTY	1
MCCORMICK CREEK	4
MCCORMICK CREEK FOREST	1
MCCORMICK CREEK STATE PARK	1
MCCORMICK CREEK, HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST	1

MCCORMICK CREEK, TURKEY RUN	1
MCCORMICK STATE PARK	1
MCCORMICKS CREEK	3
MCCORMICKS CREEK STATE FOREST	1
MCCORMICKS PEAK STATE PARK	1
MCGAN FORSET	1
MICHIGAN CITY STATE FOREST	1
MIDCENTER WALL	1
MIDWEST	1
MINSENTAWAH STATE PARK	1
MISSINIMA FOREST	1
MISSISINAWA MOUND STATE PARK	1
MISTATA CUTS, BROWN COUNTY, LIBERTY FOREST	1
MONROE	6
MONROE RESERVOIR	1
MONROE STATE	1
MONROE STATE COUNTY	1
MONROE STATE FOREST	4
MONROE STATE FOREST, YELLOWWOOD	1
MONROE, MARTIN AND HOOSIER NATIONAL FORESTS	1
MONROE, TURKEY RUN	1
MORGAN AND MONROE	1
MORGAN COUNTY FOREST	1
MORGAN COUNTY STATE FOREST	1
MORGAN COUNTY, BROWN COUNTY	1
MORGAN MONROE	25
MORGAN MONROE AND BROWN COUNTY	1
MORGAN MONROE AND HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST	1
MORGAN MONROE FOREST	1
MORGAN MONROE STATE FOEST, CLIFTY FALL STATE PARK	1
MORGAN MONROE STATE FOREST	2
MORGAN MONROE STATE FOREST AND JACKSON WASHINGTON	1
MORGAN MONROE STATE FOREST AND YELLOWWOOD	1
MORGAN MONROE STATE, JACKSON STATE FOREST	1
MORGAN STATE FOREST	1
MORGEN MONROE STATE FOREST	1
MOUND STATE FOREST	1
MOUND STATE PARK	2
MOUNDS PARK	1
MOUNDS STATE PARK	1
MOUNT STATE PARK	1
MUSCATATUCK STATE FOREST	1
NASHVILLE INDIANA	1
NATIONAL FOREST	3
NATIONAL FOREST ALONG INTERSTATE 64	1

NATONAL DUNES LAKE SHORE	1
NEW HARMONY STATE PARK	2
NORTH COUNTY STATE FOREST	1
NORTHWEST INDIANA, NASHVILLE AND BEDFORD	1
OBACHE	1
OBANNON	1
OBANNON STATE FOREST	1
OBANNON STATE PARK	1
OBANNON WOODS	3
OLD PUTMAN STATE FOREST	1
ON THE BOARDER OF INDIANA AND MICHIGAN	1
ONE BY BLOOMINGTON	1
OUABACHE	1
OUABACHE FOREST	2
OUABACHE STATE FOREST	1
OUABACHE STATE PARK	2
OUABACHE, WILDCAT STATE FOREST	1
OUABACHEI	1
OWEN COUNTY FOREST RESERVE	1
OWEN PUTMAN STATE FOREST	1
OWEN PUTNAM STATE FOREST	1
OWEN PUTNAM, TURKEY RUN	1
OWEN STATE GREENE FOREST	1
OXBOW ALCART COUNTY	1
PANNA LAKE	1
PANNA LAKE STATE PARK	1
PARKER COUNTY	1
PAYNE TOWN	1
PIERCING COUNTY PARK	1
PIKE COUNTY	2
PIKE COUNTY STATE PARK	1
PIKE COUNTY, BROWN COUNTY, GREEN COUNTY	1
PIKE STATE FOREST	4
PIKES LUMBER	1
PISCJ	1
POKAGEN STATE PARK AND TURKEY RUN	1
POKAGON	12
POKAGON AND SALOMONIE RIVER	1
POKAGON FOREST	1
POKAGON LAKE	1
POKAGON PARK	1
POKAGON STATE PARK	10
POKAGON, CHRISTY FALLS AND TURKEY RUN	1
POKAGON, HOVEY, LINCOLN	1
POTATO CREEK	8

POTATO CREEK FOREST	1
POTATO CREEK STATE PARK	4
POTATO CREEK, BENEDICT WOODS	1
POTATO CREEK, BROWN COUNTY	1
POTATO CREEK, TIPPECANOE, BROWN COUNTY	1
POTATO STATE	1
POTAWATAMIE, SALAMONIE RESERVOIR STATE PARK	1
PRESIDENT HARRISON AND THE DUNES	1
RACCOON LAKE	1
RACCOON LAKE AND MONROE AND MISCENEWAH	1
RAMPATOKA	1
RED BRIDGE	1
RED RUSH	1
RED WOODS	1
RESALE STATE PARK	1
RESERVOIRS	1
SALAMONIE	5
SALAMONIE RESERVIROR	2
SALAMONIE RESEVOIR	1
SALAMONIE STATE FOREST	5
SALAMONIE STATE PARK AND POTATO CREEK	1
SALAMONIE, HOOSIER, LINCOLN	1
SALOMONIE STATE PARK, BROWN COUNTY PARK	1
SEVERAL STATE PARKS	1
SEYMOUR	1
SHACKER MACK	1
SHADE STATE, TURKEY RUN, MCCORMICK CREEK	1
SHADES NATIONAL PARK	1
SHADES STATE PARK	4
SHADES, TURKEY ROAD	1
SHADES, TURKEY RUN, SPRING NEAL	1
SHAKAMAK	1
SHAKAMAK	1
SHAKAMAK IN BROWN COUNTY	1
SHAKAMAK STATE FOREST	1
SHAKAMAK STATE PARK	1
SHAKAMAK, TURKEY RUN	1
SHALDLAND	1
SHATAC	1
SOUTH INDIANA	1
SOUTH OF BEDFORD	1
SOUTHERN INDIANA	1
SOUTHERN INDIANA FOREST	1
SOUTHERN INDIANA STATE FOREST	2
SOUTHERN INDIANA STATE FORESTS	1

SOUTHERN NATIONAL FOREST	1
SOUTHERN PART OF INDIANA I DON'T REMEMBER THE NAME	1
SPRING MILL	7
SPRING MILL FOREST	1
SPRING MILL FOREST KANKAKEE RIVER GAME PRESERVE	1
SPRING MILL NATIONAL PARK	1
SPRING MILL PARK	1
SPRING MILL STATE FOREST	2
SPRING MILL STATE PARK	2
SPRING MILL STATE PARK AND HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST	1
SPRING MILL STATE PARK, SUMMIT LAKE STATE PARK	1
SPRING MILL, DEAN LAKE	1
SPRING NEAL	4
SPRINGMILL	2
ST PATRICK	1
STATE FOREST	1
STATE PARK	4
STATE PARKS	3
STATER CREEK	1
STOVE PARK	1
SULLIVAN GREEN STATE FOREST	1
SULLIVAN INDIANA STATE PARK	1
SUMMIT LAKE STATE FOREST	1
SWANEE	1
THE BROWN COUNTY EAGLE CREEK	1
THE DUNES	3
THE DUNES AND TIPPECANOE STATE PARKS	1
THE DUNES, POKAGON, SALAMONIE RESERVOIR	1
THE FOREST IN TELL CITY	1
THE ONE IN OWEN COUNTY	1
TIPPECANOE	4
TIPPECANOE AND BROWN COUNTY PARKS	1
TIPPECANOE FOREST	1
TIPPECANOE STATE PARK	1
TIPSAW LAKE	1
TOKA STATE PARK AND MONROE	1
TRI-COUNTY	1
TURKEY RUN	32
TURKEY RUN , POKAGON	1
TURKEY RUN AND BROWN COUNTY	1
TURKEY RUN FOREST AND POTATO CREEK AND NASHVILLE	1
TURKEY RUN STATE FOREST	3
TURKEY RUN STATE PARK	9
TURKEY RUN, BROWN COUNTY, EAGLE CREEK.	1
TURKEY RUN, BROWN COUNTY, SHADES, TIPPECANOE	1

TURKEY RUN, BROWN COUNTY, THE SHADES.	1
TURKEY RUN, RACCON LAKE	1
TURKEY RUN, SHADES, BROWN COUNTY	1
TURKEY RUNS	1
TURKEYRUN	1
TURKY RUN	1
VELONIA STATE FOREST OF INDIANA	1
VERSAILLES	1
VERSAILLES	5
VERSAILLES STATE PARK	1
WABASH	1
WABASH STATE FOREST	1
WABASH STATE PARK	1
WEST LAFAYETTE FOREST	1
WESTERN INDIANA	1
WHITE RIVER	1
WHITE WATER	1
WHITE WATER STATE	2
WHITE WATER STATE PARK	2
WILASLUTE	1
WILLOW SLOUGH AND GIBSON WOODS	1
WINAMAC STATE PARK AND GLENDALE FISH AND WILDLIFE	1
WINAMAK FOREST	1
WINE DAWN	1
WOLF PARK	1
WYANDOT WOODS	1
WYANDOTTE CAVE IN HARRISON COUNTY	1
WYANDOTTE WOODS. DEAM LAKE. BROWN COUNTY.	1
YELLOWWOOD, MISCARTATUCK, BROWN COUNTY,CLIFTY FALLS	1
YELLOWWOOD, MORGAN MONROE, OWEN PUTNAM	1
YELLOWWOOD	22
YELLOWWOOD AND HOOSER NATIONAL FOREST	1
YELLOWWOOD AND MONROE	1
YELLOWWOOD AND MORGAN MONROE FORESTS	2
YELLOWWOOD STATE FOREST	9
YELLOWWOOD STATE FOREST, HOOSIER NATIONAL FOREST	1
YELLOWWOOD, BROWN COUNTY	1
YELLOWWOOD, HOOSIER, BROWN COUNTY	1
YELLOWWOOD, INDIANA NATIONAL FORESTS	1
YELLOWWOOD, MORGAN MONROE	1
YELLOWWOOD, MORGAN MONROE, HOOSIER NATIONAL	1
Total	1402

Properties identified by respondents as "Indiana State Forests."

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Indiana State Forest	178	12.7	16.7	16.7
	Hoosier National Forest	95	6.8	8.9	25.7
	Other	634	45.2	59.6	85.2
	Can't remember	157	11.2	14.8	100.0
	Total	1064	75.9	100.0	
Missing	System	338	24.1		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q19: In the past 5 years, have you purchased any lumber or wood products for home improvement?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	170	12.1	12.1	12.1
	No	893	63.7	63.7	75.8
	Don't know	339	24.2	24.2	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q20: Do you consider your place of residence to be:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Urban	340	24.3	24.3	24.3
	Suburban	517	36.9	36.9	61.1
	Rural	519	37.0	37.0	98.1
	Refused	26	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q21: Level of education completed?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than HS	55	3.9	3.9	3.9
	HS grad	410	29.2	29.2	33.2
	Some college	370	26.4	26.4	59.6
	College grad	309	22.0	22.0	81.6
	Advanced degree	242	17.3	17.3	98.9
	Refused	16	1.1	1.1	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q21: Level of education?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than HS	55	3.9	4.0	4.0
	HS grad	410	29.2	29.6	33.5
	Some college	370	26.4	26.7	60.2
	College grad+	551	39.3	39.8	100.0
	Total	1386	98.9	100.0	
Missing	System	16	1.1		
Total		1402	100.0		

Q22: With what race or ethnic group do you identify yourself?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Black/African-American	52	3.7	3.7	3.7
	White	1267	90.4	90.4	94.1
	Hispanic/Latino	16	1.1	1.1	95.2
	Asian	5	.4	.4	95.6
	Native American	22	1.6	1.6	97.1
	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1	.1	.1	97.2
	Refused	39	2.8	2.8	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, how would you describe yourself?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	228	16.3	16.3	16.3
	Liberal-leaning	135	9.6	9.6	25.9
	Conservative-leaning	180	12.8	12.8	38.7
	Conservative	589	42.0	42.0	80.7
	None of these	228	16.3	16.3	97.0
	Refused	42	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Q24: Age?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	45	3.2	3.2	3.2
	25-34	102	7.3	7.3	10.5
	35-44	215	15.3	15.3	25.8
	45-54	286	20.4	20.4	46.2
	55-64	350	25.0	25.0	71.2
	>64	382	27.2	27.2	98.4
	Refused	22	1.6	1.6	100.0
	Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

Indiana County (produced from Zip5)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not given	48	3.4	3.4	3.4
Adams	6	.4	.4	3.9
Allen	86	6.1	6.1	10.0
Bartholomew	7	.5	.5	10.5
Benton	2	.1	.1	10.6
Blackford	4	.3	.3	10.9
Boone	6	.4	.4	11.3
Brown	5	.4	.4	11.7
Carroll	5	.4	.4	12.1
Cass	9	.6	.6	12.7
Cattaraugus	1	.1	.1	12.8
Clark	14	1.0	1.0	13.8
Clay	2	.1	.1	13.9
Clinton	10	.7	.7	14.6
Crawford	1	.1	.1	14.7
Daviess	4	.3	.3	15.0
De Kalb	8	.6	.6	15.5
Dearborn	7	.5	.5	16.0
Decatur	3	.2	.2	16.3
Delaware	20	1.4	1.4	17.7
Dubois	8	.6	.6	18.3
Elkhart	34	2.4	2.4	20.7
Fayette	8	.6	.6	21.3
Floyd	31	2.2	2.2	23.5
Fountain	2	.1	.1	23.6
Franklin	20	1.4	1.4	25.0
Fulton	5	.4	.4	25.4
Gibson	3	.2	.2	25.6

Grant	12	.9	.9	26.5
Greene	15	1.1	1.1	27.5
Hamilton	32	2.3	2.3	29.8
Hancock	13	.9	.9	30.7
Harrison	11	.8	.8	31.5
Henderson	1	.1	.1	31.6
Hendricks	34	2.4	2.4	34.0
Henry	10	.7	.7	34.7
Howard	14	1.0	1.0	35.7
Huntington	16	1.1	1.1	36.9
Jackson	5	.4	.4	37.2
Jasper	5	.4	.4	37.6
Jay	5	.4	.4	37.9
Jefferson	11	.8	.8	38.7
Jennings	5	.4	.4	39.1
Johnson	16	1.1	1.1	40.2
Knox	9	.6	.6	40.9
Kosciusko	19	1.4	1.4	42.2
La Porte	15	1.1	1.1	43.3
Lagrange	5	.4	.4	43.7
Lake	49	3.5	3.5	47.1
Lawrence	10	.7	.7	47.9
Madison	44	3.1	3.1	51.0
Marion	127	9.1	9.1	60.1
Marshall	8	.6	.6	60.6
Martin	3	.2	.2	60.8
Miami	19	1.4	1.4	62.2
Milwaukee	1	.1	.1	62.3
Monroe	28	2.0	2.0	64.3
Montgomery	11	.8	.8	65.0
Morgan	17	1.2	1.2	66.3
Newton	10	.7	.7	67.0
Noble	8	.6	.6	67.5
Orange	8	.6	.6	68.1
Owen	13	.9	.9	69.0
Parke	3	.2	.2	69.3
Perry	11	.8	.8	70.0
Pike	3	.2	.2	70.3
Porter	80	5.7	5.7	76.0
Posey	4	.3	.3	76.2
Pulaski	8	.6	.6	76.8
Putnam	5	.4	.4	77.2
Randolph	7	.5	.5	77.7
Ripley	5	.4	.4	78.0
Rush	4	.3	.3	78.3

Scott	2	.1	.1	78.5
Shelby	6	.4	.4	78.9
Spencer	7	.5	.5	79.4
St Joseph	61	4.4	4.4	83.7
Starke	4	.3	.3	84.0
Steuben	7	.5	.5	84.5
Sullivan	5	.4	.4	84.9
Switzerland	1	.1	.1	85.0
Tippecanoe	33	2.4	2.4	87.3
Tipton	8	.6	.6	87.9
Vanderburgh	44	3.1	3.1	91.0
Vermillion	9	.6	.6	91.7
Vigo	31	2.2	2.2	93.9
Wabash	11	.8	.8	94.7
Warren	3	.2	.2	94.9
Warrick	19	1.4	1.4	96.2
Washington	10	.7	.7	96.9
Wayne	14	1.0	1.0	97.9
Wells	10	.7	.7	98.6
White	7	.5	.5	99.1
Whitley	12	.9	.9	100.0
Total	1402	100.0	100.0	

APPENDIX D:
Frequency Analysis of All Variables (excluding open-ended responses)
Weighted data—sample

(See Questionnaire, Appendix A, for exact question wording)

Q1: Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	683	49.8	49.8	49.8
	Female	689	50.2	50.2	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not interested	133	9.7	9.7	9.7
	Somewhat interested	553	40.3	40.3	49.9
	Very interested	687	50.1	50.1	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very interested	687	50.1	50.1	50.1
	Somewhat/not interested	685	49.9	49.9	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q3: How familiar are you with the Indiana Dept of Natural Resources?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not familiar	426	31.1	31.1	31.1
	Somewhat familiar	740	53.9	53.9	85.0
	Very familiar	204	14.9	14.9	99.9
	Don't know	2	.1	.1	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q4: How familiar are you with the Indiana Division of Forestry within the Dept of Natural Resources?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not familiar	787	57.3	57.3	57.3
	Somewhat familiar	505	36.8	36.8	94.1
	Very familiar	74	5.4	5.4	99.6
	Don't know	6	.4	.4	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: What first comes to mind when you think of the IN Division of Forestry?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No answer	274	20.0	20.0	20.0
	Answered	1098	80.0	80.0	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Camping?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1333	97.1	97.1	97.1
	Yes	39	2.9	2.9	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Conservation?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1250	91.1	91.1	91.1
	Yes	122	8.9	8.9	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Timber harvest?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1257	91.6	91.6	91.6
	Yes	115	8.4	8.4	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Fishing?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1321	96.3	96.3	96.3
	Yes	51	3.7	3.7	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Forest fires?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1343	97.9	97.9	97.9
	Yes	29	2.1	2.1	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Forest management?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1005	73.2	73.2	73.2
	Yes	367	26.8	26.8	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Landowner help?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1340	97.7	97.7	97.7
	Yes	32	2.3	2.3	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Hunting/game mngt?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1325	96.6	96.6	96.6
	Yes	47	3.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Natural resources?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1331	97.0	97.0	97.0
	Yes	41	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Outdoor recreation?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1317	96.0	96.0	96.0
	Yes	55	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Parks?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1125	82.0	82.0	82.0
	Yes	247	18.0	18.0	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Planting trees

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1291	94.1	94.1	94.1
	Yes	81	5.9	5.9	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Smokey Bear?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1353	98.6	98.6	98.6
	Yes	19	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Streams-lakes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1333	97.2	97.2	97.2
	Yes	39	2.8	2.8	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Water quality?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1348	98.3	98.3	98.3
	Yes	24	1.7	1.7	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Wildlife?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1157	84.3	84.3	84.3
	Yes	215	15.7	15.7	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Wildlife-/Bird-watching

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1308	95.3	95.3	95.3
	Yes	64	4.7	4.7	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Other?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1219	88.9	88.9	88.9
	Yes	153	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q5: Don't know?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1220	88.9	88.9	88.9
	Yes	152	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q6: Please rate the job the Indiana Division of Forestry is doing in providing services for the state of Indiana.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Poor	49	3.6	4.0	4.0
	Fair	284	20.7	23.3	27.3
	Good	561	40.9	46.0	73.3
	Excellent	137	10.0	11.3	84.6
	Don't know	188	13.7	15.4	100.0
	Total	1220	88.9	100.0	
Missing	System	152	11.1		
Total		1372	100.0		

Q7: How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not concerned	85	6.2	7.0	7.0
	Somewhat concerned	550	40.1	45.1	52.1
	Very concerned	580	42.3	47.6	99.7
	Don't know	4	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	1220	88.9	100.0	
Missing	System	152	11.1		
Total		1372	100.0		

Q8: How often would you say you hear or read something about Indiana forests, woodlands, or tree-covered areas?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Almost never	468	34.1	38.4	38.4
	Occasionally	576	42.0	47.2	85.6
	Often	176	12.8	14.4	100.0
	Total	1220	88.9	100.0	
Missing	System	152	11.1		
Total		1372	100.0		

Q9: Do you think most of Indiana's woodlands are in govt ownership, private-corp. ownership, or about equal government & private?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Government	351	25.6	25.6	25.6
	Private-Corp.	251	18.3	18.3	43.9
	Equal govt & private	643	46.8	46.8	90.7
	Don't know	127	9.3	9.3	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	831	60.6	60.6	60.6
	Disapprove	487	35.5	35.5	96.1
	Don't know	54	3.9	3.9	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q10b: Harvesting Indiana trees to improve places for wildlife to live?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	1127	82.1	82.1	82.1
	Disapprove	211	15.4	15.4	97.5
	Don't know	34	2.5	2.5	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q10c: Cutting Indiana trees to make room for new homes?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	247	18.0	18.0	18.0
	Disapprove	1081	78.8	78.8	96.8
	Don't know	44	3.2	3.2	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q10d: Advising Indiana private landowners on how many and what kinds of trees they might harvest & sell?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	966	70.4	70.4	70.4
	Disapprove	376	27.4	27.4	97.8
	Don't know	30	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q10e: Removing some trees to protect Indiana woodlands from spread of disease & wildfire?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	1298	94.6	94.6	94.6
	Disapprove	63	4.6	4.6	99.2
	Don't know	11	.8	.8	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q10f: Harvesting Indiana trees for woodland management if overseen by professional foresters?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	1170	85.3	85.3	85.3
	Disapprove	183	13.3	13.3	98.6
	Don't know	19	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q10g: Importing wood products we use from other states and countries instead of harvesting Indiana trees?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Approve	655	47.7	47.7	47.7
	Disapprove	633	46.1	46.1	93.9
	Don't know	84	6.1	6.1	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q11: Indiana's State Forest System makes up about 3% of Indiana's woodlands. Do you think this amount of State-owned land is...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Too much	37	2.7	2.7	2.7
	About right	536	39.0	39.0	41.7
	Not enough	751	54.7	54.7	96.4
	Don't know	49	3.6	3.6	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q12a: If you heard the term certified green forests, in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means? (1st mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Classified forests	32	2.3	2.3	2.3
	Forests managed for green (enviro-friendly) products	173	12.6	12.6	14.9
	Forests managed to look like parks	8	.6	.6	15.5
	Forests that produce lots of benefits	9	.6	.6	16.1
	No clear-cutting	11	.8	.8	16.9
	No rain-forest timber products can be harvested	8	.6	.6	17.5
	Not trees at all can be cut	19	1.4	1.4	18.9
	Special agencies/organizations oversee forest mngt	47	3.4	3.4	22.3
	Wisely-/well-managed forests	59	4.3	4.3	26.6
	Other	67	4.9	4.9	31.5
	Don't know	939	68.5	68.5	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q12b: If you heard the term certified green forests, in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means? (2nd mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Classified forests	6	.5	7.0	7.0
	Forests managed for green (enviro-friendly) products	18	1.3	20.4	27.5
	Forests managed to look like parks	5	.4	5.6	33.1
	Forests that produce lots of benefits	5	.3	5.4	38.5
	No clear-cutting	4	.3	4.5	43.0
	No rain-forest timber products can be harvested	2	.1	1.9	44.9
	Not trees at all can be cut	12	.9	13.9	58.8
	Special agencies/organizations oversee forest mngt	8	.6	8.6	67.4
	Wisely-/well-managed forests	24	1.8	27.5	94.9
	Other	4	.3	5.1	100.0
	Total	88	6.4	100.0	
Missing	System	1284	93.6		
Total		1372	100.0		

Q12c: If you heard the term certified green forests, in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means? (3rd mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Classified forests	1	.1	4.0	4.0
	Forests managed for green (enviro-friendly) products	2	.2	12.5	16.5
	Forests that produce lots of benefits	2	.2	11.1	27.6
	No clear-cutting	1	.0	3.5	31.1
	No rain-forest timber products can be harvested	1	.1	5.0	36.1
	Not trees at all can be cut	3	.2	14.3	50.4
	Special agencies/organizations oversee forest mngt	5	.3	23.1	73.5
	Wisely-/well-managed forests	5	.4	26.5	100.0
	Total	19	1.4	100.0	
Missing	System	1353	98.6		
Total		1372	100.0		

Q12d: If you heard the term certified green forests, in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means? (4th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Classified forests	0	.0	3.4	3.4
	Forests managed to look like parks	1	.1	12.7	16.1
	Forests that produce lots of benefits	0	.0	5.3	21.4
	Not trees at all can be cut	3	.2	37.2	58.6
	Special agencies/organizations oversee forest mngt	2	.1	25.3	83.9
	Wisely-/well-managed forests	1	.1	12.7	96.6
	Other	0	.0	3.4	100.0
	Total	8	.6	100.0	
Missing	System	1364	99.4		
Total		1372	100.0		

Q12e: If you heard the term certified green forests, in a few words, could you please tell me what the term means? (5th mention)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Special agencies/organizations oversee forest mngt	0	.0	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	1372	100.0		
Total		1372	100.0		

Q13a: Indiana woodlands are valuable only if they produce jobs and income for people.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	1021	74.4	74.4	74.4
	Neutral	134	9.8	9.8	84.2
	Agree	209	15.2	15.2	99.4
	Don't know	8	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q13b: Indiana woodlands should be left untouched by humans?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	666	48.5	48.5	48.5
	Neutral	237	17.3	17.3	65.8
	Agree	458	33.4	33.4	99.2
	Don't know	12	.8	.8	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q13c: The ways we manage Indiana woodlands today usually hurt the environment in the long-run.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	455	33.2	33.2	33.2
	Neutral	333	24.3	24.3	57.5
	Agree	499	36.4	36.4	93.8
	Don't know	85	6.2	6.2	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q13d: In Indiana, our future wood needs will be met because we're growing more than enough trees to replace the ones we're harvesting.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	581	42.3	42.3	42.3
	Neutral	305	22.2	22.2	64.5
	Agree	369	26.9	26.9	91.4
	Don't know	118	8.6	8.6	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q13e: Indiana woodlands should be managed for a balance of wood products we use, and other benefits like recreation, wildlife, and good water quality.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	55	4.0	4.0	4.0
	Neutral	104	7.5	7.5	11.5
	Agree	1204	87.8	87.8	99.3
	Don't know	9	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q13f: The amount of forested land in Indiana is shrinking.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	113	8.2	8.2	8.2
	Neutral	179	13.1	13.1	21.3
	Agree	994	72.5	72.5	93.7
	Don't know	86	6.3	6.3	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q13g: If I hear an Indiana forest is being managed as a certified green forest, I get the idea it's being wisely managed for future generations.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Disagree	80	5.9	5.9	5.9
	Neutral	184	13.4	13.4	19.3
	Agree	1049	76.4	76.4	95.7
	Don't know	59	4.3	4.3	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q14a: Insects or plant diseases?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	153	11.1	11.4	11.4
	Medium threat	555	40.5	41.3	52.7
	High threat	635	46.3	47.3	100.0
	Total	1343	97.9	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	29	2.1		
Total		1372	100.0		

Q14b: Urban sprawl?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	146	10.6	10.6	10.6
	Medium threat	424	30.9	30.9	41.6
	High threat	687	50.0	50.0	91.6
	Don't know	115	8.4	8.4	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q14c: Using Indiana trees to make wood products?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	280	20.4	20.4	20.4
	Medium threat	695	50.7	50.7	71.1
	High threat	367	26.8	26.8	97.8
	Don't know	30	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q14d: Unplanned forest fires?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	534	38.9	38.9	38.9
	Medium threat	369	26.9	26.9	65.8
	High threat	444	32.4	32.4	98.2
	Don't know	25	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q14e: Cutting or harvesting trees in Indiana?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	240	17.5	17.5	17.5
	Medium threat	660	48.1	48.1	65.6
	High threat	441	32.2	32.2	97.8
	Don't know	30	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q14f: Climate change?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	413	30.1	30.1	30.1
	Medium threat	493	35.9	35.9	66.1
	High threat	431	31.4	31.4	97.5
	Don't know	35	2.5	2.5	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q14g: Use of planned or prescribed fires?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	555	40.4	40.4	40.4
	Medium threat	572	41.7	41.7	82.1
	High threat	203	14.8	14.8	96.9
	Don't know	42	3.1	3.1	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q14h: Air & water pollution?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	193	14.1	14.1	14.1
	Medium threat	450	32.8	32.8	46.9
	High threat	702	51.2	51.2	98.1
	Don't know	26	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q14i: Spread of non-native plants & animals?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low threat	302	22.0	22.0	22.0
	Medium threat	524	38.2	38.2	60.2
	High threat	493	36.0	36.0	96.2
	Don't know	52	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q15a: TV shows & documentaries?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	220	16.1	16.1	16.1
	Some	727	53.0	53.0	69.0
	A lot	425	31.0	31.0	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q15b: Internet or Web?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	595	43.4	43.4	43.4
	Some	495	36.1	36.1	79.4
	A lot	282	20.6	20.6	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q15c: Indiana DNR?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	541	39.4	39.4	39.4
	Some	665	48.5	48.5	87.9
	A lot	166	12.1	12.1	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q15d: Friends & family?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	506	36.9	36.9	36.9
	Some	645	47.0	47.0	83.9
	A lot	221	16.1	16.1	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q15e: News media (newspapers, radio, or TV news)?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	260	18.9	18.9	18.9
	Some	781	56.9	56.9	75.8
	A lot	332	24.2	24.2	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q15f: Environmental & preservation groups?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	532	38.8	38.8	38.8
	Some	621	45.3	45.3	84.0
	A lot	219	16.0	16.0	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q15g: Sportsmen's & conservation groups?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	530	38.6	38.6	38.6
	Some	612	44.6	44.6	83.2
	A lot	230	16.8	16.8	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q15h: Magazines?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	459	33.5	33.5	33.5
	Some	669	48.8	48.8	82.3
	A lot	243	17.7	17.7	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q15i: University experts?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	697	50.8	50.8	50.8
	Some	508	37.0	37.0	87.8
	A lot	168	12.2	12.2	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q15j: Companies that make wood products?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	549	40.0	40.0	40.0
	Some	627	45.7	45.7	85.7
	A lot	196	14.3	14.3	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q15k: U.S. Forest Service?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Nothing	503	36.7	36.7	36.7
	Some	591	43.1	43.1	79.7
	A lot	278	20.3	20.3	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q17: How many total wooded acres of Indiana land do you own?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1-9	330	24.0	24.0	24.0
	10-49	108	7.9	7.9	31.9
	50-99	22	1.6	1.6	33.5
	100-199	15	1.1	1.1	34.5
	200-299	7	.5	.5	35.1
	300-499	4	.3	.3	35.4
	>499	6	.4	.4	35.8
	None	873	63.7	63.7	99.5
	Refused	7	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q17: Wooded acres owned?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	<1 acre or none	873	63.7	64.0	64.0
	1-9 acres	330	24.0	24.2	88.2
	10 or more acres	162	11.8	11.8	100.0
	Total	1365	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	7	.5		
Total		1372	100.0		

Q18a: Gone fishing or hunting in Indiana woodlands?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	974	71.0	71.0	71.0
	No	387	28.2	28.2	99.2
	Don't know	12	.8	.8	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q18b: Cut/collected trees for firewood?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	591	43.1	43.1	43.1
	No	776	56.6	56.6	99.7
	Don't know	4	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q18c: Requested info about trees from IDNR or Division of Forestry?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	339	24.7	24.7	24.7
	No	1018	74.2	74.2	98.9
	Don't know	15	1.1	1.1	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q18d: Gathered mushrooms, nuts, or berries from woodlands?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	696	50.7	50.7	50.7
	No	670	48.9	48.9	99.6
	Don't know	5	.4	.4	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q18e: Requested info about trees from a private nursery or conservation group?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	495	36.1	36.1	36.1
	No	866	63.1	63.1	99.1
	Don't know	12	.9	.9	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q18f: Worked in the wood products industry?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	168	12.3	12.3	12.3
	No	1199	87.4	87.4	99.6
	Don't know	5	.4	.4	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q18g: Visited an Indiana State Forest?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1042	76.0	76.0	76.0
	No	292	21.3	21.3	97.3
	Don't know	38	2.7	2.7	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Properties identified by respondents as "Indiana State Forests."

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Indiana State Forest	147	10.7	14.1	14.1
	Hoosier National Forest	94	6.8	9.0	23.1
	Other	622	45.3	59.5	82.6
	Can't remember	182	13.2	17.4	100.0
	Total	1044	76.1	100.0	
Missing	System	328	23.9		
Total		1372	100.0		

Q19: In the past 5 years, have you purchased any lumber or wood products for home improvement?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	156	11.4	11.4	11.4
	No	892	65.0	65.0	76.4
	Don't know	324	23.6	23.6	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q20: Do you consider your place of residence to be:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Urban	351	25.6	25.6	25.6
	Suburban	480	35.0	35.0	60.6
	Rural	520	37.9	37.9	98.5
	Refused	21	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q21: Level of education completed?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than HS	96	7.0	7.0	7.0
	HS grad	494	36.0	36.0	43.1
	Some college	500	36.5	36.5	79.5
	College grad	169	12.3	12.3	91.9
	Advanced degree	111	8.1	8.1	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q21: Level of education?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than HS	96	7.0	7.0	7.0
	HS grad	494	36.0	36.0	43.1
	Some college	500	36.5	36.5	79.5
	College grad+	281	20.5	20.5	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q22: With what race or ethnic group do you identify yourself?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Black/African-American	66	4.8	4.8	4.8
	White	1215	88.5	88.5	93.4
	Hispanic/Latino	27	1.9	1.9	95.3
	Asian	10	.7	.7	96.1
	Native American	25	1.8	1.8	97.9
	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1	.1	.1	98.0
	Refused	28	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, how would you describe yourself?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	261	19.0	19.0	19.0
	Liberal-leaning	107	7.8	7.8	26.8
	Conservative-leaning	156	11.4	11.4	38.2
	Conservative	528	38.5	38.5	76.6
	None of these	286	20.9	20.9	97.5
	Refused	34	2.5	2.5	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

Q24: Age?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	175	12.8	12.8	12.8
	25-34	243	17.7	17.7	30.5
	35-44	255	18.6	18.6	49.1
	45-54	212	15.5	15.5	64.6
	55-64	255	18.6	18.6	83.2
	>64	230	16.8	16.8	100.0
	Total	1372	100.0	100.0	

APPENDIX E:
Frequency Analysis of All Variables (Excluding Open-ended Questions) by
Selected Classification Variables:
“Banner Point Analysis” (unweighted data)

(See Questionnaire, Appendix A, for exact question wording)

Often, the words “back of the report” bring to mind an endless stream of tables that no one seriously studies—an almost perfunctory product accompanying large-scale survey research. Yet anyone who has used reports from the Department of Interior’s and Census Bureau’s *National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife Associated Recreation* knows that some of the most interesting and insightful findings are gleaned from examination of tables “in the back of the report” (<http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fishing.html>, Retrieved, 11/30/09). The tables have scant (or no) supporting narrative. Yet for anyone willing to ponder the numbers, the data and distributions reveal relationships that wonderfully illuminate the research project as a whole, and augment the report’s up-front expository narrative into which some researcher has poured his/her best insights and interpretations. Simply, the thrill of “the back of the report” is that it allows the reader to become the researcher.

This is especially the case with the Indiana Woodland Monitor 2009 (IWM09) that featured a 25-question, carefully planned and artfully-worded questionnaire, and produced a dataset that an analyst could explore for a long season. The following Banner Point analyses were conscientiously constructed with a full appreciation of the genuine importance of tables in the “back of the report.” A banner point is a single response category or value; for example, for the variable, Gender, “Male” is one banner point, and “Female” is one banner point. Banner Point analysis essentially is a 2-way cross-tabulation of each question in the survey by several other carefully chosen questions; tabulation by about 35-45 Banner Points is common (perhaps not coincidentally, about what usually fits on a page with 10-pt. Arial font).

But why not cross-tabulate every question in the survey with every other question to look for insightful results? Given that the IWM09 had 25 questions, several of which had multiple sub-questions), solving for the number of possible cross-tabulations not only represents a classic “Introduction to Probability 101” exercise (and how many possible 3-way cross-tabulations with 25+ variables?), but an effective way to fill a dumpster with perfectly good paper. Though “data dredging,” as it’s sometimes called, has appropriate application, it’s not helpful for the purpose here, which is to set research priorities and actually reduce data—in fact, innumerable cross-tabulations take our minds off the task at hand, and that’s to “think things through to what’s important.” The essence of human dimensions research—just like decision-making in conservation agencies—is setting priorities.

The priority Banner Points (51 in total) and rationale for inclusion, are:

- Q1: “Gender”
 - Outdoor-oriented human dimensions studies consistently reveal a gender effect.
 - Male
 - Female

2 Banner Points

- Q2: “How interested are you in Indiana’s fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?”
 - This variable helps reveal the respondent’s interest in and commitment to outdoor-oriented issues and activities.
 - Not interested
 - Somewhat interested
 - Very interested

3 Banner Points
- Q3: “How familiar are you with the Indiana Department of Natural Resources?”
 - Variable provides insights to respondent’s attentiveness to natural resource topics.
 - Not familiar
 - Somewhat familiar
 - Very familiar
 - 2 “Don’t know” eliminated for this analysis

3 Banner Points
- Q4: “How familiar are you with the Indiana Division of Forestry within the Department of Natural Resources?”
 - This variable—as well as Q8—focuses very specifically on respondent’s awareness of forestry management and related issues.
 - Not familiar
 - Somewhat familiar
 - Very familiar
 - 6 “Don’t know” eliminated for this analysis

3 Banner Points
- Q8: “Please rate the job the Indiana Division of Forestry is doing in providing services for the state of Indiana.”
 - Poor
 - Fair
 - Good
 - Excellent
 - Don’t know

5 Banner Points
- Q7: “How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana woodlands?”
 - Variable helps establish the degree of salience of forest-related topics and issues to the respondent.
 - Not concerned
 - Somewhat concerned
 - Very concerned
 - 6 “Don’t know” eliminated for this analysis

3 Banner Points
- Q8: “How often would you say you hear or read something about Indiana forests, woodlands, or tree-covered areas?”
 - Variable helps measure extent to which the respondent is attuned or exposed to forest management and issues.
 - Almost never
 - Occasionally
 - Often

3 Banner Points

- Q17: “How many total wooded acres of Indiana land do you own?”
 - Ownership of wooded acres presumably could affect respondent’s perceptions of forest management, and the category “10 or more acres” might provide insights to the thinking of private non-industrial forest landowners.
 - Less than 1 acre or none
 - 1-9 acres
 - 10 or more acres

3 Banner Points
- Q19: “In the last 5 years, have you purchased any lumber or wood products for home improvement?”
 - Respondent’s actual purchase of lumber or wood products in recent years could have an effect on his/her awareness of forest management, or interpretation of forest issues.
 - Yes
 - No
 - Don’t know

3 Banner Points
- Q20: “Do you consider your place of residence to be urban, suburban, or rural?”
 - The extent to which the respondent actually resides—or more precisely, perceives him-/herself to be residing—in a urban versus rural setting could affect perceptions of forest management.
 - Urban
 - Suburban
 - Rural
 - 26 “Refused” eliminated for this analysis

3 Banner Points
- Q20: Residence in northern Indiana, southern Indiana, or Indianapolis.
 - Respondents were grouped by county of residence (based on Zip5) into North Indiana and South Indiana, with I-70 as the boundary. The sample size was large enough to allow residents of Marion County (Indianapolis) to be analyzed separately from the North and South categories.
 - North
 - South
 - Indianapolis (Indy)
 - 48 “Refused” Zip5; eliminated for this analysis

3 Banner Points
- Q21: “What is the highest level of education you’ve completed?”
 - Outdoor-oriented human dimensions studies often reveal a gender effect.
 - Less than HS
 - HS grad
 - Some college
 - College grad+

4 Banner Points
- Q22: “With which race or ethnic group to you most closely identify yourself?”
 - Outdoor-oriented human dimensions studies often reveal a race-ethnicity effect.
 - Black/other
 - White

2 Banner Points

- Q23: “Regarding social and environmental issues, how would you describe yourself?”
 - Outdoor-oriented human dimensions studies often reveal a “political stance” effect.
 - Liberal
 - Liberal-leaning
 - Conservative-leaning
 - Conservative
 - None of these
 - 42 “Refused” eliminated for this analysis
- Q24: “May I ask your age?”
 - Outdoor-oriented human dimensions studies often reveal an age effect.
 - 18-24
 - 25-34
 - 35-44
 - 45-54
 - 55-64
 - >64
 - 22 “Refused” eliminated for this analysis

5 Banner Points**6 Banner Points**

		Q1: Gender...		
		Male	Female	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	100%	0%	
	Female	0%	100%	
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	42%	58%	107
	Somewhat interested	46%	54%	554
	Very interested	52%	48%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	38%	62%	380
	Somewhat familiar	51%	49%	786
	Very familiar	59%	41%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	47%	53%	771
	Somewhat familiar	49%	51%	524
	Very familiar	63%	37%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	58%	42%	43
	Fair	51%	49%	261
	Good	47%	53%	602
	Excellent	59%	41%	135
	Don't know	43%	57%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	59%	41%	87
	Somewhat concerned	46%	54%	536
	Very concerned	50%	50%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	50%	50%	426
	Occasionally	46%	54%	650
	Often	56%	44%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	48%	52%	847
	1-9 acres	48%	52%	362
	10 or more acres	56%	44%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	58%	42%	170
	No	44%	56%	893
	Don't know	59%	41%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	46%	54%	340
	Suburban	47%	53%	517
	Rural	53%	47%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	49%	51%	811
	South IN	50%	50%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	43%	57%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	47%	53%	55
	HS grad	44%	56%	410
	Some college	51%	49%	370
	College grad+	50%	50%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	53%	47%	96
	White	48%	52%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	48%	52%	228
	Liberal-leaning	51%	49%	135
	Conservative-leaning	50%	50%	180
	Conservative	48%	52%	589
	None of these	50%	50%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	53%	47%	45
	25-34	51%	49%	102
	35-44	53%	47%	215
	45-54	51%	49%	286
	55-64	49%	51%	350
	>64	43%	57%	382

		Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?			
		Not interested	Somewhat interested	Very interested	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	7%	37%	56%	687
	Female	9%	42%	50%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	100%	0%	0%	
	Somewhat interested	0%	100%	0%	
	Very interested	0%	0%	100%	
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	18%	56%	26%	380
	Somewhat familiar	4%	39%	57%	786
	Very familiar	3%	17%	81%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	12%	50%	38%	771
	Somewhat familiar	3%	29%	68%	524
	Very familiar	3%	12%	85%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	12%	21%	67%	43
	Fair	7%	41%	52%	261
	Good	6%	39%	54%	602
	Excellent	3%	25%	72%	135
	Don't know	9%	50%	41%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	24%	44%	32%	87
	Somewhat concerned	9%	56%	35%	536
	Very concerned	3%	24%	73%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	11%	46%	43%	426
	Occasionally	6%	40%	54%	650
	Often	1%	21%	78%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	10%	44%	46%	847
	1-9 acres	3%	37%	60%	362
	10 or more acres	5%	24%	71%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	6%	30%	64%	170
	No	8%	41%	51%	893
	Don't know	6%	40%	53%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	9%	39%	52%	340
	Suburban	8%	44%	48%	517
	Rural	6%	35%	59%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	8%	41%	51%	811
	South IN	6%	36%	58%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	10%	37%	53%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	20%	40%	40%	55
	HS grad	11%	42%	47%	410
	Some college	6%	36%	58%	370
	College grad+	5%	40%	55%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	15%	45%	41%	96
	White	7%	39%	54%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	13%	36%	51%	228
	Liberal-leaning	4%	44%	53%	135
	Conservative-leaning	3%	42%	55%	180
	Conservative	8%	41%	51%	589
	None of these	7%	33%	60%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	20%	42%	38%	45
	25-34	10%	45%	45%	102
	35-44	7%	44%	50%	215
	45-54	3%	40%	57%	286
	55-64	6%	37%	57%	350
	>64	11%	37%	52%	382

		Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?			
		Not familiar	Somewhat familiar	Very familiar	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	21%	59%	20%	685
	Female	33%	54%	13%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	64%	31%	6%	107
	Somewhat interested	38%	55%	7%	554
	Very interested	14%	61%	26%	739
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	100%	0%	0%	
	Somewhat familiar	0%	100%	0%	
	Very familiar	0%	0%	100%	
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	45%	50%	5%	770
	Somewhat familiar	6%	73%	22%	524
	Very familiar	1%	18%	81%	100
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	23%	47%	30%	43
	Fair	28%	58%	14%	260
	Good	22%	58%	20%	602
	Excellent	21%	53%	27%	135
	Don't know	36%	57%	6%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	46%	40%	14%	87
	Somewhat concerned	33%	56%	11%	535
	Very concerned	16%	61%	23%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	38%	51%	10%	426
	Occasionally	22%	60%	18%	649
	Often	9%	58%	33%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	32%	53%	15%	847
	1-9 acres	21%	63%	16%	361
	10 or more acres	20%	56%	24%	186
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	19%	60%	21%	169
	No	30%	54%	16%	893
	Don't know	23%	59%	17%	338
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	29%	55%	16%	340
	Suburban	31%	56%	13%	517
	Rural	21%	57%	21%	517
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	28%	55%	17%	811
	South IN	24%	59%	17%	414
	Indy (Marion Co)	26%	57%	17%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	42%	53%	5%	55
	HS grad	32%	55%	14%	409
	Some college	28%	54%	18%	370
	College grad+	22%	59%	19%	550
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	49%	34%	17%	96
	White	25%	58%	17%	1266
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	32%	53%	15%	228
	Liberal-leaning	25%	61%	13%	135
	Conservative-leaning	21%	62%	18%	180
	Conservative	26%	56%	18%	587
	None of these	27%	55%	18%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	56%	36%	9%	45
	25-34	27%	57%	16%	102
	35-44	31%	54%	15%	214
	45-54	22%	58%	20%	285
	55-64	20%	62%	18%	350
	>64	32%	53%	15%	382

		Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?			
		Not familiar	Somewhat familiar	Very familiar	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	53%	38%	9%	683
	Female	58%	37%	5%	713
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	83%	14%	3%	107
	Somewhat interested	70%	27%	2%	551
	Very interested	40%	49%	12%	738
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	92%	8%	0%	380
	Somewhat familiar	49%	49%	2%	781
	Very familiar	17%	48%	35%	233
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	100%	0%	0%	
	Somewhat familiar	0%	100%	0%	
	Very familiar	0%	0%	100%	
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	49%	40%	12%	43
	Fair	57%	38%	5%	261
	Good	47%	44%	9%	599
	Excellent	32%	50%	18%	134
	Don't know	76%	22%	1%	224
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	69%	24%	7%	87
	Somewhat concerned	64%	31%	4%	534
	Very concerned	41%	48%	10%	634
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	70%	27%	3%	424
	Occasionally	48%	44%	7%	648
	Often	30%	50%	20%	189
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	60%	35%	5%	842
	1-9 acres	52%	40%	7%	362
	10 or more acres	39%	45%	16%	186
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	43%	45%	13%	168
	No	57%	36%	7%	891
	Don't know	57%	37%	6%	337
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	56%	38%	6%	339
	Suburban	62%	33%	5%	516
	Rural	47%	42%	11%	517
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	58%	36%	6%	806
	South IN	48%	42%	11%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	57%	39%	4%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	74%	22%	4%	54
	HS grad	61%	34%	5%	407
	Some college	51%	42%	7%	368
	College grad+	52%	39%	9%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	68%	22%	9%	95
	White	54%	39%	7%	1263
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	57%	36%	7%	228
	Liberal-leaning	56%	41%	3%	135
	Conservative-leaning	53%	36%	11%	180
	Conservative	54%	38%	8%	587
	None of these	56%	39%	5%	226
Q24: Age?	18-24	69%	31%	0%	45
	25-34	60%	36%	4%	102
	35-44	63%	30%	7%	214
	45-54	50%	42%	8%	285
	55-64	51%	42%	7%	348
	>64	56%	36%	8%	380

		Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.					
		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	4%	22%	46%	13%	16%	620
	Female	3%	20%	49%	9%	20%	646
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	6%	22%	45%	5%	23%	87
	Somewhat interested	2%	21%	48%	7%	22%	498
	Very interested	4%	20%	48%	14%	14%	681
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	3%	23%	40%	9%	25%	324
	Somewhat familiar	3%	21%	49%	10%	18%	721
	Very familiar	6%	16%	55%	16%	6%	220
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	3%	22%	43%	6%	26%	667
	Somewhat familiar	3%	20%	53%	14%	10%	496
	Very familiar	5%	14%	53%	24%	3%	98
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Fair	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
	Good	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	
	Excellent	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	
	Don't know	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	3%	17%	40%	11%	28%	87
	Somewhat concerned	2%	22%	50%	7%	19%	536
	Very concerned	5%	20%	47%	14%	15%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	5%	19%	38%	7%	32%	426
	Occasionally	2%	22%	54%	11%	12%	650
	Often	5%	21%	47%	19%	8%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	4%	23%	46%	10%	17%	752
	1-9 acres	1%	17%	51%	10%	20%	338
	10 or more acres	3%	18%	49%	15%	16%	171
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	3%	16%	56%	11%	14%	158
	No	3%	23%	47%	12%	16%	804
	Don't know	4%	18%	46%	7%	25%	304
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	4%	20%	51%	10%	14%	299
	Suburban	3%	19%	47%	10%	21%	463
	Rural	3%	22%	46%	12%	16%	485
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	3%	21%	46%	10%	20%	731
	South IN	2%	21%	52%	12%	13%	381
	Indy (Marion Co)	6%	17%	50%	10%	17%	115
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	4%	32%	47%	6%	11%	47
	HS grad	3%	21%	44%	13%	19%	359
	Some college	5%	22%	47%	12%	14%	337
	College grad+	3%	18%	51%	9%	20%	510
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	5%	28%	40%	15%	12%	81
	White	3%	20%	49%	10%	18%	1148
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	5%	15%	51%	12%	18%	199
	Liberal-leaning	3%	24%	50%	6%	16%	123
	Conservative-leaning	1%	20%	50%	8%	21%	167
	Conservative	4%	20%	48%	12%	17%	540
	None of these	3%	28%	40%	12%	18%	202
Q24: Age?	18-24	3%	26%	50%	11%	11%	38
	25-34	6%	29%	42%	10%	13%	93
	35-44	2%	19%	48%	12%	18%	193
	45-54	3%	21%	50%	9%	16%	264
	55-64	3%	20%	46%	12%	19%	320
	>64	4%	19%	49%	10%	19%	340

		Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?			
		Not concerned	Somewhat concerned	Very concerned	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	8%	40%	51%	617
	Female	6%	45%	50%	643
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	24%	56%	20%	86
	Somewhat interested	8%	61%	31%	496
	Very interested	4%	27%	68%	678
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	12%	56%	32%	322
	Somewhat familiar	5%	41%	54%	719
	Very familiar	6%	27%	68%	218
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	9%	52%	39%	664
	Somewhat familiar	4%	34%	62%	495
	Very familiar	6%	25%	69%	96
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	7%	23%	70%	43
	Fair	6%	46%	48%	260
	Good	6%	44%	50%	601
	Excellent	7%	28%	64%	135
	Don't know	11%	46%	43%	221
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	100%	0%	0%	
	Somewhat concerned	0%	100%	0%	
	Very concerned	0%	0%	100%	
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	11%	50%	39%	424
	Occasionally	5%	45%	51%	646
	Often	5%	18%	76%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	8%	47%	45%	750
	1-9 acres	5%	40%	55%	335
	10 or more acres	7%	27%	66%	170
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	8%	33%	59%	158
	No	7%	44%	49%	801
	Don't know	6%	44%	50%	301
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	6%	38%	55%	297
	Suburban	8%	47%	44%	461
	Rural	5%	41%	54%	484
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	7%	44%	49%	726
	South IN	7%	38%	55%	380
	Indy (Marion Co)	7%	43%	50%	115
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	2%	54%	43%	46
	HS grad	8%	46%	47%	357
	Some college	6%	42%	51%	337
	College grad+	7%	39%	54%	507
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	12%	44%	43%	81
	White	6%	42%	51%	1142
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	7%	38%	55%	199
	Liberal-leaning	4%	41%	55%	123
	Conservative-leaning	5%	44%	51%	166
	Conservative	9%	44%	47%	536
	None of these	4%	42%	54%	202
Q24: Age?	18-24	5%	47%	47%	38
	25-34	12%	46%	42%	93
	35-44	6%	47%	47%	192
	45-54	6%	41%	53%	263
	55-64	6%	40%	54%	320
	>64	8%	42%	50%	336

		Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?			
		Almost never	Occasionally	Often	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	34%	49%	17%	620
	Female	33%	54%	13%	646
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	55%	43%	2%	87
	Somewhat interested	39%	53%	8%	498
	Very interested	27%	51%	22%	681
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	50%	44%	6%	324
	Somewhat familiar	30%	54%	15%	721
	Very familiar	20%	52%	28%	220
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	45%	47%	9%	667
	Somewhat familiar	23%	58%	19%	496
	Very familiar	13%	48%	39%	98
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	49%	28%	23%	43
	Fair	30%	55%	15%	261
	Good	27%	58%	15%	602
	Excellent	21%	51%	27%	135
	Don't know	60%	33%	7%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	53%	36%	11%	87
	Somewhat concerned	40%	54%	7%	536
	Very concerned	26%	51%	23%	637
	Total	34%	51%	15%	1260
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	100%	0%	0%	
	Occasionally	0%	100%	0%	
	Often	0%	0%	100%	
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	38%	50%	12%	752
	1-9 acres	31%	55%	14%	338
	10 or more acres	22%	50%	28%	171
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	23%	53%	24%	158
	No	34%	52%	14%	804
	Don't know	38%	50%	13%	304
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	28%	56%	16%	299
	Suburban	37%	51%	12%	463
	Rural	33%	49%	17%	485
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	37%	49%	14%	731
	South IN	27%	54%	19%	381
	Indy (Marion Co)	30%	57%	13%	115
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	36%	49%	15%	47
	HS grad	36%	51%	13%	359
	Some college	34%	48%	18%	337
	College grad+	31%	55%	15%	510
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	35%	49%	16%	81
	White	33%	52%	15%	1148
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	33%	52%	16%	199
	Liberal-leaning	25%	61%	14%	123
	Conservative-leaning	32%	54%	14%	167
	Conservative	36%	48%	16%	540
	None of these	33%	54%	13%	202
Q24: Age?	18-24	47%	42%	11%	38
	25-34	48%	41%	11%	93
	35-44	41%	46%	13%	193
	45-54	31%	51%	18%	264
	55-64	33%	54%	13%	320
	>64	26%	56%	17%	340

		Q9: Do you think most of Indiana's woodlands are in govt ownership, private-corp. ownership, or about equal government & private?				
		Govern- ment	Private- Corp.	Equal govt & private	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	24%	25%	43%	8%	687
	Female	26%	16%	46%	12%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	28%	15%	37%	20%	107
	Somewhat interested	25%	17%	50%	8%	554
	Very interested	25%	24%	42%	10%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	28%	15%	42%	14%	380
	Somewhat familiar	25%	19%	46%	9%	786
	Very familiar	20%	32%	42%	6%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	28%	18%	43%	11%	771
	Somewhat familiar	22%	22%	47%	9%	524
	Very familiar	19%	32%	41%	9%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	19%	33%	33%	16%	43
	Fair	23%	20%	46%	10%	261
	Good	25%	19%	47%	8%	602
	Excellent	22%	20%	52%	6%	135
	Don't know	28%	22%	36%	15%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	26%	20%	40%	14%	87
	Somewhat concerned	26%	18%	47%	10%	536
	Very concerned	23%	23%	45%	9%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	28%	19%	42%	11%	426
	Occasionally	25%	18%	47%	10%	650
	Often	16%	32%	45%	7%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	28%	17%	44%	11%	847
	1-9 acres	23%	20%	48%	9%	362
	10 or more acres	16%	36%	41%	7%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	19%	24%	51%	6%	170
	No	26%	19%	44%	11%	893
	Don't know	25%	22%	43%	10%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	24%	18%	45%	13%	340
	Suburban	30%	18%	45%	7%	517
	Rural	22%	24%	44%	10%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	23%	20%	45%	11%	811
	South IN	26%	22%	44%	8%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	34%	15%	46%	6%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	29%	15%	36%	20%	55
	HS grad	23%	17%	48%	13%	410
	Some college	27%	19%	45%	9%	370
	College grad+	25%	25%	43%	7%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	28%	16%	51%	5%	96
	White	25%	21%	44%	11%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	26%	19%	45%	10%	228
	Liberal-leaning	27%	18%	44%	10%	135
	Conservative-leaning	24%	23%	45%	8%	180
	Conservative	25%	22%	43%	10%	589
	None of these	24%	16%	51%	9%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	20%	11%	60%	9%	45
	25-34	26%	16%	52%	6%	102
	35-44	27%	28%	39%	7%	215
	45-54	27%	21%	45%	7%	286
	55-64	27%	20%	46%	8%	350
	>64	21%	19%	43%	17%	382

		Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	68%	28%	4%	687
	Female	56%	39%	5%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	64%	31%	5%	107
	Somewhat interested	67%	30%	3%	554
	Very interested	58%	36%	6%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	64%	32%	3%	380
	Somewhat familiar	61%	34%	5%	786
	Very familiar	62%	34%	5%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	63%	33%	5%	771
	Somewhat familiar	59%	36%	5%	524
	Very familiar	69%	27%	4%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	40%	51%	9%	43
	Fair	60%	36%	4%	261
	Good	64%	32%	3%	602
	Excellent	68%	28%	4%	135
	Don't know	58%	34%	8%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	74%	22%	5%	87
	Somewhat concerned	68%	29%	4%	536
	Very concerned	56%	39%	5%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	59%	37%	4%	426
	Occasionally	64%	31%	5%	650
	Often	63%	34%	4%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	59%	36%	5%	847
	1-9 acres	63%	33%	4%	362
	10 or more acres	72%	24%	4%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	79%	17%	4%	170
	No	58%	38%	4%	893
	Don't know	65%	29%	6%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	58%	36%	7%	340
	Suburban	60%	36%	4%	517
	Rural	67%	29%	4%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	61%	34%	5%	811
	South IN	67%	30%	3%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	54%	39%	7%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	60%	33%	7%	55
	HS grad	65%	31%	4%	410
	Some college	58%	37%	5%	370
	College grad+	62%	33%	5%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	55%	41%	4%	96
	White	63%	33%	5%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	55%	38%	7%	228
	Liberal-leaning	61%	35%	4%	135
	Conservative-leaning	67%	29%	3%	180
	Conservative	66%	30%	4%	589
	None of these	56%	40%	4%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	51%	49%	0%	45
	25-34	60%	36%	4%	102
	35-44	60%	36%	4%	215
	45-54	66%	32%	2%	286
	55-64	62%	34%	4%	350
	>64	62%	30%	8%	382

		Q10b: Harvesting Indiana trees to improve places for wildlife to live?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	84%	14%	1%	687
	Female	80%	16%	4%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	73%	20%	7%	107
	Somewhat interested	84%	14%	3%	554
	Very interested	82%	16%	2%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	79%	17%	4%	380
	Somewhat familiar	83%	14%	3%	786
	Very familiar	82%	17%	1%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	82%	15%	3%	771
	Somewhat familiar	82%	15%	2%	524
	Very familiar	81%	17%	2%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	53%	40%	7%	43
	Fair	81%	17%	2%	261
	Good	85%	13%	2%	602
	Excellent	84%	15%	1%	135
	Don't know	80%	16%	5%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	85%	11%	3%	87
	Somewhat concerned	83%	14%	2%	536
	Very concerned	81%	17%	2%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	78%	19%	3%	426
	Occasionally	84%	14%	2%	650
	Often	85%	14%	1%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	81%	16%	3%	847
	1-9 acres	85%	13%	2%	362
	10 or more acres	80%	17%	3%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	88%	10%	2%	170
	No	81%	17%	2%	893
	Don't know	83%	14%	4%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	84%	14%	2%	340
	Suburban	82%	14%	4%	517
	Rural	81%	18%	2%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	82%	16%	2%	811
	South IN	82%	15%	3%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	83%	14%	3%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	76%	20%	4%	55
	HS grad	83%	14%	2%	410
	Some college	79%	19%	2%	370
	College grad+	83%	14%	3%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	86%	9%	4%	96
	White	81%	16%	3%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	82%	15%	3%	228
	Liberal-leaning	85%	13%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	86%	11%	3%	180
	Conservative	82%	15%	3%	589
	None of these	77%	20%	3%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	84%	13%	2%	45
	25-34	79%	17%	4%	102
	35-44	85%	13%	1%	215
	45-54	87%	12%	1%	286
	55-64	84%	15%	2%	350
	>64	74%	20%	5%	382

		Q10c: Cutting Indiana trees to make room for new homes?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	22%	74%	3%	687
	Female	15%	82%	3%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	30%	64%	7%	107
	Somewhat interested	22%	75%	3%	554
	Very interested	14%	83%	3%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	21%	76%	4%	380
	Somewhat familiar	19%	78%	3%	786
	Very familiar	15%	83%	2%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	21%	76%	3%	771
	Somewhat familiar	16%	81%	3%	524
	Very familiar	15%	82%	3%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	12%	88%	0%	43
	Fair	18%	81%	2%	261
	Good	17%	80%	3%	602
	Excellent	19%	79%	2%	135
	Don't know	23%	71%	6%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	44%	53%	3%	87
	Somewhat concerned	20%	76%	3%	536
	Very concerned	14%	84%	2%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	19%	78%	3%	426
	Occasionally	18%	79%	4%	650
	Often	19%	81%	1%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	19%	77%	4%	847
	1-9 acres	17%	82%	1%	362
	10 or more acres	19%	75%	6%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	22%	74%	4%	170
	No	17%	81%	2%	893
	Don't know	21%	73%	6%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	20%	77%	3%	340
	Suburban	17%	80%	3%	517
	Rural	19%	78%	3%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	17%	80%	3%	811
	South IN	20%	76%	4%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	19%	80%	2%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	25%	75%	0%	55
	HS grad	17%	79%	4%	410
	Some college	17%	80%	3%	370
	College grad+	20%	77%	3%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	22%	75%	3%	96
	White	18%	79%	3%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	14%	83%	3%	228
	Liberal-leaning	13%	83%	4%	135
	Conservative-leaning	20%	78%	2%	180
	Conservative	23%	74%	4%	589
	None of these	12%	84%	4%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	13%	82%	4%	45
	25-34	25%	73%	3%	102
	35-44	16%	81%	3%	215
	45-54	17%	80%	2%	286
	55-64	18%	80%	3%	350
	>64	20%	76%	4%	382

		Q10d: Advising Indiana private landowners on how many and what kinds of trees they might harvest & sell?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	74%	24%	2%	687
	Female	70%	27%	4%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	58%	37%	5%	107
	Somewhat interested	69%	28%	3%	554
	Very interested	76%	22%	3%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	67%	30%	4%	380
	Somewhat familiar	74%	24%	3%	786
	Very familiar	75%	23%	3%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	69%	28%	3%	771
	Somewhat familiar	77%	20%	2%	524
	Very familiar	68%	28%	4%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	51%	35%	14%	43
	Fair	74%	22%	4%	261
	Good	74%	24%	1%	602
	Excellent	76%	23%	1%	135
	Don't know	65%	31%	4%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	56%	40%	3%	87
	Somewhat concerned	69%	28%	3%	536
	Very concerned	76%	21%	3%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	68%	30%	3%	426
	Occasionally	74%	23%	3%	650
	Often	73%	24%	3%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	71%	25%	4%	847
	1-9 acres	72%	27%	1%	362
	10 or more acres	75%	21%	3%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	76%	21%	3%	170
	No	72%	26%	3%	893
	Don't know	70%	27%	4%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	69%	27%	4%	340
	Suburban	72%	25%	3%	517
	Rural	74%	23%	2%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	72%	25%	3%	811
	South IN	73%	25%	2%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	75%	21%	4%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	71%	24%	5%	55
	HS grad	67%	30%	4%	410
	Some college	70%	28%	1%	370
	College grad+	77%	20%	3%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	67%	30%	3%	96
	White	72%	25%	3%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	71%	27%	2%	228
	Liberal-leaning	81%	17%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	79%	18%	3%	180
	Conservative	67%	29%	4%	589
	None of these	73%	23%	4%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	73%	27%	0%	45
	25-34	66%	31%	3%	102
	35-44	73%	26%	1%	215
	45-54	70%	27%	3%	286
	55-64	76%	21%	3%	350
	>64	71%	25%	4%	382

		Q10e: Removing some trees to protect Indiana woodlands from spread of disease & wildfire?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	95%	3%	2%	687
	Female	95%	4%	1%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	85%	10%	5%	107
	Somewhat interested	96%	3%	1%	554
	Very interested	95%	3%	1%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	93%	5%	2%	380
	Somewhat familiar	96%	3%	1%	786
	Very familiar	94%	4%	2%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	95%	4%	1%	771
	Somewhat familiar	95%	4%	1%	524
	Very familiar	92%	5%	3%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	74%	19%	7%	43
	Fair	97%	2%	1%	261
	Good	97%	3%	1%	602
	Excellent	96%	4%	1%	135
	Don't know	93%	4%	3%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	89%	7%	5%	87
	Somewhat concerned	97%	2%	1%	536
	Very concerned	95%	4%	1%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	95%	3%	2%	426
	Occasionally	97%	2%	1%	650
	Often	92%	7%	1%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	95%	4%	2%	847
	1-9 acres	98%	1%	1%	362
	10 or more acres	91%	7%	2%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	96%	4%	1%	170
	No	95%	4%	1%	893
	Don't know	94%	4%	2%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	94%	4%	2%	340
	Suburban	96%	3%	1%	517
	Rural	94%	5%	1%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	96%	3%	1%	811
	South IN	94%	5%	1%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	94%	6%	1%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	91%	7%	2%	55
	HS grad	93%	6%	1%	410
	Some college	97%	2%	1%	370
	College grad+	95%	3%	2%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	92%	6%	2%	96
	White	95%	4%	1%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	93%	6%	1%	228
	Liberal-leaning	99%	0%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	99%	1%	0%	180
	Conservative	94%	5%	1%	589
	None of these	95%	4%	2%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	96%	4%	0%	45
	25-34	94%	6%	0%	102
	35-44	94%	5%	1%	215
	45-54	98%	1%	1%	286
	55-64	96%	3%	1%	350
	>64	92%	5%	3%	382

		Q10f: Harvesting Indiana trees for woodland management if overseen by professional foresters?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	88%	10%	2%	687
	Female	86%	12%	2%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	80%	14%	6%	107
	Somewhat interested	89%	9%	1%	554
	Very interested	86%	12%	2%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	85%	11%	4%	380
	Somewhat familiar	89%	10%	1%	786
	Very familiar	85%	13%	2%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	87%	11%	3%	771
	Somewhat familiar	87%	12%	1%	524
	Very familiar	88%	11%	1%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	63%	30%	7%	43
	Fair	86%	13%	1%	261
	Good	91%	8%	1%	602
	Excellent	90%	10%	1%	135
	Don't know	86%	10%	4%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	90%	7%	3%	87
	Somewhat concerned	89%	10%	1%	536
	Very concerned	86%	12%	1%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	85%	12%	3%	426
	Occasionally	90%	9%	1%	650
	Often	87%	11%	2%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	86%	11%	2%	847
	1-9 acres	87%	11%	2%	362
	10 or more acres	91%	9%	1%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	90%	8%	2%	170
	No	86%	12%	2%	893
	Don't know	89%	9%	1%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	86%	11%	3%	340
	Suburban	88%	10%	2%	517
	Rural	87%	12%	1%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	87%	11%	2%	811
	South IN	89%	10%	1%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	86%	11%	3%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	78%	16%	5%	55
	HS grad	84%	13%	2%	410
	Some college	87%	12%	1%	370
	College grad+	90%	8%	2%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	85%	10%	4%	96
	White	87%	11%	2%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	82%	15%	3%	228
	Liberal-leaning	93%	6%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	93%	6%	1%	180
	Conservative	88%	10%	2%	589
	None of these	83%	15%	2%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	91%	9%	0%	45
	25-34	81%	19%	0%	102
	35-44	86%	11%	3%	215
	45-54	91%	8%	2%	286
	55-64	87%	12%	1%	350
	>64	86%	10%	3%	382

		Q10g: Importing wood products we use from other states and countries instead of harvesting Indiana trees?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	52%	42%	6%	687
	Female	42%	48%	10%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	51%	42%	7%	107
	Somewhat interested	47%	45%	8%	554
	Very interested	45%	46%	9%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	47%	43%	9%	380
	Somewhat familiar	47%	45%	8%	786
	Very familiar	43%	50%	7%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	47%	44%	9%	771
	Somewhat familiar	47%	47%	7%	524
	Very familiar	41%	51%	8%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	37%	49%	14%	43
	Fair	44%	49%	6%	261
	Good	48%	45%	6%	602
	Excellent	44%	53%	3%	135
	Don't know	47%	40%	13%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	55%	40%	5%	87
	Somewhat concerned	44%	48%	7%	536
	Very concerned	47%	45%	7%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	50%	42%	8%	426
	Occasionally	45%	48%	7%	650
	Often	44%	48%	7%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	48%	43%	9%	847
	1-9 acres	48%	47%	5%	362
	10 or more acres	37%	52%	11%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	49%	45%	6%	170
	No	46%	46%	8%	893
	Don't know	47%	45%	8%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	53%	40%	7%	340
	Suburban	50%	41%	9%	517
	Rural	40%	53%	7%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	50%	43%	7%	811
	South IN	40%	52%	8%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	46%	41%	13%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	45%	42%	13%	55
	HS grad	42%	50%	8%	410
	Some college	48%	44%	8%	370
	College grad+	50%	43%	7%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	55%	42%	3%	96
	White	46%	46%	8%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	54%	38%	9%	228
	Liberal-leaning	50%	39%	11%	135
	Conservative-leaning	49%	45%	6%	180
	Conservative	44%	47%	8%	589
	None of these	42%	53%	6%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	62%	36%	2%	45
	25-34	45%	52%	3%	102
	35-44	50%	45%	6%	215
	45-54	48%	47%	6%	286
	55-64	47%	44%	9%	350
	>64	42%	46%	12%	382

		Q11: Indiana's State Forest System makes up about 3% of Indiana's woodlands. Do you think this amount of State-owned land is...				
		Too much	About right	Not enough	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	2%	40%	53%	4%	687
	Female	2%	37%	56%	5%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	7%	56%	31%	6%	107
	Somewhat interested	3%	46%	47%	4%	554
	Very interested	2%	30%	64%	5%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	3%	41%	48%	7%	380
	Somewhat familiar	2%	39%	55%	4%	786
	Very familiar	3%	31%	62%	3%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	3%	40%	52%	5%	771
	Somewhat familiar	2%	36%	59%	3%	524
	Very familiar	3%	38%	53%	6%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	5%	26%	67%	2%	43
	Fair	2%	37%	57%	3%	261
	Good	2%	38%	56%	3%	602
	Excellent	2%	42%	53%	3%	135
	Don't know	2%	36%	52%	10%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	6%	55%	34%	5%	87
	Somewhat concerned	3%	44%	49%	5%	536
	Very concerned	1%	30%	65%	4%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	3%	37%	54%	6%	426
	Occasionally	2%	40%	55%	4%	650
	Often	1%	32%	65%	2%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	3%	38%	54%	6%	847
	1-9 acres	2%	36%	60%	2%	362
	10 or more acres	1%	46%	49%	4%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	4%	35%	57%	4%	170
	No	2%	38%	55%	5%	893
	Don't know	2%	40%	53%	4%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	3%	36%	56%	5%	340
	Suburban	2%	35%	58%	5%	517
	Rural	2%	44%	51%	3%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	2%	38%	55%	5%	811
	South IN	2%	40%	54%	3%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	4%	30%	61%	6%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	5%	51%	40%	4%	55
	HS grad	5%	44%	46%	5%	410
	Some college	1%	37%	58%	4%	370
	College grad+	1%	34%	60%	5%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	5%	41%	50%	4%	96
	White	2%	38%	55%	4%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	3%	32%	62%	4%	228
	Liberal-leaning	0%	35%	63%	2%	135
	Conservative-leaning	2%	36%	58%	4%	180
	Conservative	3%	44%	49%	5%	589
	None of these	4%	36%	55%	6%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	2%	40%	58%	0%	45
	25-34	2%	38%	56%	4%	102
	35-44	2%	29%	66%	3%	215
	45-54	2%	38%	55%	5%	286
	55-64	3%	39%	55%	3%	350
	>64	3%	42%	47%	8%	382

		Q13a: Indiana woodlands are valuable only if they produce jobs and income for people.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	80%	7%	13%	1%	687
	Female	78%	8%	13%	0%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	57%	12%	29%	2%	107
	Somewhat interested	75%	9%	15%	1%	554
	Very interested	85%	6%	9%	0%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	71%	11%	18%	1%	380
	Somewhat familiar	81%	7%	11%	1%	786
	Very familiar	83%	5%	12%	0%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	77%	8%	15%	1%	771
	Somewhat familiar	82%	7%	11%	0%	524
	Very familiar	81%	6%	12%	1%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	84%	2%	14%	0%	43
	Fair	80%	8%	11%	1%	261
	Good	82%	6%	12%	0%	602
	Excellent	74%	10%	15%	1%	135
	Don't know	81%	8%	10%	1%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	71%	11%	16%	1%	87
	Somewhat concerned	76%	9%	15%	1%	536
	Very concerned	86%	5%	9%	1%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	77%	8%	14%	1%	426
	Occasionally	81%	8%	11%	1%	650
	Often	87%	4%	9%	0%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	77%	8%	15%	1%	847
	1-9 acres	81%	7%	12%	0%	362
	10 or more acres	82%	8%	8%	2%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	81%	9%	11%	0%	170
	No	77%	8%	15%	1%	893
	Don't know	83%	6%	10%	1%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	72%	9%	18%	0%	340
	Suburban	81%	7%	12%	1%	517
	Rural	82%	7%	10%	1%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	77%	8%	13%	1%	811
	South IN	83%	6%	11%	0%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	81%	8%	11%	0%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	47%	13%	38%	2%	55
	HS grad	70%	10%	18%	1%	410
	Some college	82%	5%	13%	1%	370
	College grad+	87%	7%	7%	0%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	63%	13%	23%	2%	96
	White	80%	7%	12%	1%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	77%	6%	17%	0%	228
	Liberal-leaning	87%	7%	6%	0%	135
	Conservative-leaning	86%	7%	7%	1%	180
	Conservative	77%	8%	14%	1%	589
	None of these	75%	10%	14%	1%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	60%	22%	18%	0%	45
	25-34	77%	11%	12%	0%	102
	35-44	81%	6%	12%	0%	215
	45-54	83%	6%	10%	1%	286
	55-64	83%	5%	11%	0%	350
	>64	73%	8%	18%	2%	382

		Q13b: Indiana woodlands should be left untouched by humans?				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	62%	13%	25%	1%	687
	Female	45%	19%	34%	2%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	49%	15%	35%	2%	107
	Somewhat interested	54%	18%	27%	1%	554
	Very interested	53%	15%	31%	1%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	50%	16%	32%	2%	380
	Somewhat familiar	53%	17%	29%	1%	786
	Very familiar	58%	14%	28%	0%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	52%	16%	30%	1%	771
	Somewhat familiar	52%	18%	29%	1%	524
	Very familiar	65%	7%	27%	1%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	40%	9%	51%	0%	43
	Fair	52%	16%	31%	0%	261
	Good	54%	16%	29%	1%	602
	Excellent	52%	15%	33%	1%	135
	Don't know	59%	19%	20%	3%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	62%	11%	25%	1%	87
	Somewhat concerned	55%	18%	26%	1%	536
	Very concerned	51%	16%	32%	1%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	54%	15%	30%	1%	426
	Occasionally	54%	18%	27%	1%	650
	Often	52%	16%	32%	1%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	50%	17%	32%	1%	847
	1-9 acres	55%	16%	28%	1%	362
	10 or more acres	62%	15%	21%	2%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	64%	14%	23%	0%	170
	No	48%	17%	34%	1%	893
	Don't know	60%	17%	22%	2%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	49%	17%	33%	1%	340
	Suburban	52%	17%	31%	0%	517
	Rural	56%	15%	26%	2%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	50%	17%	31%	1%	811
	South IN	60%	15%	25%	0%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	50%	16%	34%	1%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	44%	15%	40%	2%	55
	HS grad	46%	16%	36%	2%	410
	Some college	51%	17%	32%	1%	370
	College grad+	61%	16%	23%	1%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	36%	14%	47%	3%	96
	White	54%	16%	28%	1%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	43%	16%	40%	1%	228
	Liberal-leaning	56%	17%	24%	2%	135
	Conservative-leaning	63%	22%	15%	1%	180
	Conservative	57%	13%	29%	1%	589
	None of these	46%	19%	34%	2%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	33%	24%	42%	0%	45
	25-34	57%	18%	25%	0%	102
	35-44	52%	16%	33%	0%	215
	45-54	54%	18%	27%	1%	286
	55-64	55%	15%	29%	1%	350
	>64	53%	15%	30%	2%	382

		Q13c: The ways we manage Indiana woodlands today usually hurt the environment in the long-run.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	43%	21%	32%	5%	687
	Female	33%	26%	33%	8%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	23%	29%	39%	8%	107
	Somewhat interested	39%	25%	29%	6%	554
	Very interested	39%	21%	34%	6%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	28%	25%	39%	8%	380
	Somewhat familiar	40%	24%	29%	7%	786
	Very familiar	48%	19%	31%	2%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	35%	26%	32%	8%	771
	Somewhat familiar	38%	22%	34%	6%	524
	Very familiar	57%	16%	24%	3%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	19%	5%	72%	5%	43
	Fair	29%	29%	38%	4%	261
	Good	45%	22%	28%	5%	602
	Excellent	50%	20%	29%	1%	135
	Don't know	32%	29%	24%	15%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	54%	16%	24%	6%	87
	Somewhat concerned	38%	27%	27%	8%	536
	Very concerned	38%	22%	35%	5%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	33%	26%	31%	9%	426
	Occasionally	42%	24%	29%	5%	650
	Often	39%	21%	36%	4%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	35%	25%	33%	7%	847
	1-9 acres	40%	23%	30%	7%	362
	10 or more acres	47%	19%	33%	2%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	46%	22%	28%	4%	170
	No	37%	23%	34%	6%	893
	Don't know	37%	24%	30%	9%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	34%	23%	36%	6%	340
	Suburban	37%	27%	30%	7%	517
	Rural	42%	20%	32%	6%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	37%	25%	31%	7%	811
	South IN	41%	22%	31%	6%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	39%	17%	36%	8%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	36%	18%	38%	7%	55
	HS grad	37%	18%	37%	9%	410
	Some college	36%	24%	35%	5%	370
	College grad+	40%	28%	26%	6%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	27%	20%	50%	3%	96
	White	39%	24%	31%	7%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	34%	26%	36%	4%	228
	Liberal-leaning	39%	29%	23%	9%	135
	Conservative-leaning	48%	24%	22%	6%	180
	Conservative	39%	22%	32%	6%	589
	None of these	32%	20%	40%	8%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	13%	31%	56%	0%	45
	25-34	29%	27%	36%	7%	102
	35-44	35%	31%	31%	3%	215
	45-54	45%	23%	26%	6%	286
	55-64	43%	23%	28%	6%	350
	>64	35%	18%	38%	10%	382

		Q13d: In Indiana, our future wood needs will be met because we're growing more than enough trees to replace the ones we're harvesting.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	39%	23%	31%	8%	687
	Female	46%	21%	23%	10%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	29%	25%	41%	5%	107
	Somewhat interested	40%	24%	26%	10%	554
	Very interested	47%	20%	25%	8%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	35%	23%	32%	10%	380
	Somewhat familiar	44%	23%	24%	9%	786
	Very familiar	50%	16%	29%	5%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	40%	24%	27%	10%	771
	Somewhat familiar	47%	20%	26%	6%	524
	Very familiar	41%	18%	32%	10%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	58%	9%	23%	9%	43
	Fair	50%	22%	23%	5%	261
	Good	44%	21%	27%	8%	602
	Excellent	31%	19%	42%	7%	135
	Don't know	34%	28%	22%	16%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	25%	26%	41%	7%	87
	Somewhat concerned	37%	24%	29%	10%	536
	Very concerned	50%	20%	23%	7%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	39%	24%	27%	11%	426
	Occasionally	43%	22%	27%	7%	650
	Often	48%	17%	26%	8%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	42%	23%	26%	9%	847
	1-9 acres	43%	22%	25%	10%	362
	10 or more acres	45%	19%	31%	5%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	42%	22%	28%	7%	170
	No	44%	21%	28%	7%	893
	Don't know	38%	25%	23%	14%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	40%	22%	29%	9%	340
	Suburban	43%	24%	24%	9%	517
	Rural	43%	20%	28%	8%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	41%	24%	26%	9%	811
	South IN	44%	20%	29%	7%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	48%	20%	22%	9%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	45%	15%	33%	7%	55
	HS grad	42%	17%	32%	9%	410
	Some college	45%	22%	25%	8%	370
	College grad+	40%	27%	23%	9%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	43%	18%	34%	5%	96
	White	43%	23%	26%	9%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	48%	21%	24%	6%	228
	Liberal-leaning	46%	21%	22%	10%	135
	Conservative-leaning	47%	26%	23%	4%	180
	Conservative	37%	22%	31%	10%	589
	None of these	46%	19%	25%	10%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	42%	27%	27%	4%	45
	25-34	34%	29%	26%	10%	102
	35-44	49%	23%	20%	8%	215
	45-54	42%	22%	30%	6%	286
	55-64	44%	22%	26%	8%	350
	>64	40%	20%	29%	12%	382

		Q13e: Indiana woodlands should be managed for a balance of wood products we use, and other benefits like recreation, wildlife, and good water quality.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	5%	5%	90%	0%	687
	Female	3%	7%	88%	2%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	5%	12%	81%	2%	107
	Somewhat interested	3%	7%	89%	1%	554
	Very interested	5%	5%	90%	1%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	4%	8%	87%	1%	380
	Somewhat familiar	4%	5%	90%	1%	786
	Very familiar	5%	6%	88%	1%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	4%	7%	89%	1%	771
	Somewhat familiar	4%	6%	90%	1%	524
	Very familiar	7%	7%	86%	0%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	14%	9%	77%	0%	43
	Fair	5%	7%	87%	1%	261
	Good	2%	6%	91%	1%	602
	Excellent	6%	6%	87%	1%	135
	Don't know	4%	7%	88%	1%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	3%	8%	86%	2%	87
	Somewhat concerned	3%	6%	91%	1%	536
	Very concerned	5%	6%	88%	1%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	4%	8%	88%	1%	426
	Occasionally	3%	5%	91%	1%	650
	Often	6%	7%	85%	2%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	4%	6%	89%	1%	847
	1-9 acres	4%	6%	89%	1%	362
	10 or more acres	5%	6%	89%	1%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	2%	8%	89%	1%	170
	No	4%	6%	89%	1%	893
	Don't know	5%	7%	87%	1%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	4%	7%	87%	1%	340
	Suburban	4%	6%	89%	1%	517
	Rural	4%	5%	90%	1%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	4%	6%	89%	1%	811
	South IN	3%	6%	90%	1%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	4%	9%	85%	2%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	0%	9%	91%	0%	55
	HS grad	4%	6%	89%	1%	410
	Some college	4%	6%	89%	1%	370
	College grad+	4%	7%	89%	1%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	5%	7%	85%	2%	96
	White	4%	6%	89%	1%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	4%	8%	87%	1%	228
	Liberal-leaning	8%	4%	87%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	2%	6%	92%	0%	180
	Conservative	3%	6%	91%	1%	589
	None of these	6%	8%	84%	2%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	2%	13%	84%	0%	45
	25-34	7%	8%	85%	0%	102
	35-44	5%	7%	88%	0%	215
	45-54	4%	6%	90%	1%	286
	55-64	3%	6%	90%	1%	350
	>64	4%	5%	89%	2%	382

		Q13f: The amount of forested land in Indiana is shrinking.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	10%	13%	71%	5%	687
	Female	8%	11%	74%	7%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	14%	15%	65%	6%	107
	Somewhat interested	10%	16%	68%	6%	554
	Very interested	7%	9%	77%	7%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	10%	16%	66%	7%	380
	Somewhat familiar	7%	11%	74%	7%	786
	Very familiar	12%	9%	76%	2%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	9%	14%	69%	7%	771
	Somewhat familiar	8%	10%	76%	5%	524
	Very familiar	11%	8%	79%	2%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	5%	5%	86%	5%	43
	Fair	6%	13%	77%	3%	261
	Good	8%	12%	75%	5%	602
	Excellent	12%	14%	70%	4%	135
	Don't know	9%	16%	61%	14%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	24%	23%	48%	5%	87
	Somewhat concerned	8%	18%	68%	6%	536
	Very concerned	6%	8%	80%	6%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	9%	17%	66%	8%	426
	Occasionally	6%	12%	76%	5%	650
	Often	13%	7%	76%	4%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	9%	13%	71%	6%	847
	1-9 acres	6%	12%	73%	9%	362
	10 or more acres	12%	9%	78%	2%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	12%	14%	69%	5%	170
	No	9%	11%	75%	5%	893
	Don't know	8%	14%	68%	10%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	8%	13%	74%	6%	340
	Suburban	10%	14%	69%	7%	517
	Rural	8%	11%	75%	6%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	9%	13%	71%	7%	811
	South IN	8%	12%	75%	5%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	8%	13%	71%	9%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	13%	7%	76%	4%	55
	HS grad	11%	10%	72%	8%	410
	Some college	7%	11%	76%	6%	370
	College grad+	8%	16%	70%	6%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	18%	14%	65%	4%	96
	White	8%	12%	73%	6%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	7%	12%	75%	5%	228
	Liberal-leaning	9%	14%	69%	8%	135
	Conservative-leaning	9%	15%	70%	6%	180
	Conservative	11%	13%	71%	6%	589
	None of these	7%	11%	76%	7%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	2%	20%	76%	2%	45
	25-34	10%	18%	64%	9%	102
	35-44	8%	13%	73%	6%	215
	45-54	9%	13%	73%	5%	286
	55-64	8%	13%	74%	5%	350
	>64	10%	9%	71%	10%	382

		Q13g: If I hear an Indiana forest is being managed as a certified green forest, I get the idea it's being wisely managed for future generations.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	8%	15%	73%	4%	687
	Female	5%	12%	78%	5%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	7%	15%	76%	2%	107
	Somewhat interested	7%	15%	73%	5%	554
	Very interested	6%	12%	77%	5%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	7%	14%	74%	5%	380
	Somewhat familiar	6%	13%	76%	5%	786
	Very familiar	7%	14%	77%	3%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	6%	15%	74%	5%	771
	Somewhat familiar	6%	12%	78%	4%	524
	Very familiar	11%	10%	76%	3%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	21%	7%	63%	9%	43
	Fair	7%	14%	77%	3%	261
	Good	4%	13%	79%	3%	602
	Excellent	5%	9%	81%	5%	135
	Don't know	8%	17%	67%	8%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	8%	17%	70%	5%	87
	Somewhat concerned	6%	15%	75%	4%	536
	Very concerned	6%	11%	78%	4%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	9%	17%	69%	5%	426
	Occasionally	4%	12%	80%	4%	650
	Often	8%	11%	77%	4%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	6%	15%	74%	5%	847
	1-9 acres	6%	11%	79%	4%	362
	10 or more acres	9%	11%	76%	3%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	5%	15%	76%	4%	170
	No	6%	12%	77%	4%	893
	Don't know	8%	15%	70%	7%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	6%	14%	76%	4%	340
	Suburban	7%	14%	74%	5%	517
	Rural	7%	12%	77%	4%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	6%	13%	77%	4%	811
	South IN	8%	14%	74%	4%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	4%	13%	72%	10%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	2%	16%	78%	4%	55
	HS grad	6%	10%	80%	4%	410
	Some college	7%	10%	77%	6%	370
	College grad+	7%	17%	71%	4%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	4%	13%	77%	6%	96
	White	7%	13%	76%	4%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	4%	11%	82%	4%	228
	Liberal-leaning	3%	16%	78%	4%	135
	Conservative-leaning	7%	17%	73%	3%	180
	Conservative	9%	13%	73%	5%	589
	None of these	5%	13%	77%	5%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	4%	18%	78%	0%	45
	25-34	7%	23%	66%	5%	102
	35-44	4%	15%	77%	4%	215
	45-54	5%	13%	78%	3%	286
	55-64	9%	11%	75%	5%	350
	>64	7%	10%	77%	6%	382

		Q14a: Insects or plant diseases?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	10%	35%	52%	3%	687
	Female	9%	42%	47%	3%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	21%	40%	36%	2%	107
	Somewhat interested	9%	42%	45%	4%	554
	Very interested	8%	35%	55%	2%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	12%	41%	43%	3%	380
	Somewhat familiar	8%	39%	50%	3%	786
	Very familiar	8%	32%	58%	2%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	11%	40%	46%	3%	771
	Somewhat familiar	6%	38%	53%	2%	524
	Very familiar	9%	33%	55%	3%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	16%	37%	47%	0%	43
	Fair	8%	37%	53%	2%	261
	Good	8%	40%	51%	1%	602
	Excellent	11%	37%	50%	1%	135
	Don't know	9%	41%	43%	7%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	23%	40%	34%	2%	87
	Somewhat concerned	9%	41%	47%	3%	536
	Very concerned	7%	37%	54%	2%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	10%	41%	46%	3%	426
	Occasionally	8%	40%	50%	2%	650
	Often	9%	33%	56%	1%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	10%	38%	50%	2%	847
	1-9 acres	6%	40%	49%	4%	362
	10 or more acres	13%	36%	49%	2%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	8%	38%	53%	1%	170
	No	10%	38%	49%	2%	893
	Don't know	8%	40%	48%	4%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	8%	39%	51%	2%	340
	Suburban	10%	39%	48%	3%	517
	Rural	9%	38%	50%	3%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	8%	38%	51%	3%	811
	South IN	9%	41%	48%	1%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	13%	33%	49%	5%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	18%	36%	40%	5%	55
	HS grad	9%	38%	50%	3%	410
	Some college	10%	40%	49%	2%	370
	College grad+	8%	38%	51%	3%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	21%	33%	44%	2%	96
	White	8%	39%	50%	3%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	10%	43%	44%	3%	228
	Liberal-leaning	7%	41%	51%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	7%	37%	54%	3%	180
	Conservative	11%	37%	49%	3%	589
	None of these	8%	39%	51%	2%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	18%	53%	29%	0%	45
	25-34	15%	37%	47%	1%	102
	35-44	8%	44%	46%	3%	215
	45-54	7%	40%	52%	1%	286
	55-64	9%	38%	50%	3%	350
	>64	9%	34%	52%	5%	382

		Q14b: Urban sprawl?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	9%	27%	59%	6%	687
	Female	9%	30%	52%	9%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	16%	40%	33%	11%	107
	Somewhat interested	10%	33%	48%	9%	554
	Very interested	7%	23%	64%	6%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	13%	34%	43%	10%	380
	Somewhat familiar	7%	28%	58%	7%	786
	Very familiar	9%	20%	66%	4%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	10%	31%	51%	8%	771
	Somewhat familiar	7%	27%	60%	6%	524
	Very familiar	9%	20%	65%	6%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	5%	19%	65%	12%	43
	Fair	8%	28%	57%	7%	261
	Good	8%	29%	57%	6%	602
	Excellent	10%	31%	52%	7%	135
	Don't know	11%	29%	52%	8%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	23%	39%	29%	9%	87
	Somewhat concerned	11%	35%	46%	8%	536
	Very concerned	5%	22%	67%	5%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	11%	31%	50%	8%	426
	Occasionally	8%	29%	57%	6%	650
	Often	10%	21%	62%	7%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	10%	29%	53%	7%	847
	1-9 acres	7%	28%	58%	7%	362
	10 or more acres	7%	26%	60%	7%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	6%	31%	57%	6%	170
	No	10%	28%	55%	8%	893
	Don't know	9%	27%	57%	8%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	12%	30%	51%	8%	340
	Suburban	7%	29%	58%	6%	517
	Rural	8%	27%	57%	8%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	9%	27%	56%	8%	811
	South IN	8%	28%	56%	7%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	8%	35%	54%	3%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	9%	29%	44%	18%	55
	HS grad	9%	30%	49%	12%	410
	Some college	10%	25%	59%	6%	370
	College grad+	8%	29%	60%	4%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	11%	29%	50%	9%	96
	White	8%	28%	56%	7%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	7%	31%	54%	7%	228
	Liberal-leaning	4%	16%	78%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	9%	26%	61%	4%	180
	Conservative	11%	32%	49%	9%	589
	None of these	9%	25%	58%	8%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	18%	33%	44%	4%	45
	25-34	11%	37%	38%	14%	102
	35-44	8%	34%	52%	5%	215
	45-54	7%	29%	60%	5%	286
	55-64	7%	23%	64%	5%	350
	>64	11%	25%	53%	11%	382

		Q14c: Using Indiana trees to make wood products?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	26%	51%	21%	2%	687
	Female	17%	51%	27%	4%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	24%	53%	22%	0%	107
	Somewhat interested	23%	55%	19%	3%	554
	Very interested	20%	48%	28%	4%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	19%	53%	25%	3%	380
	Somewhat familiar	21%	51%	24%	3%	786
	Very familiar	26%	50%	22%	3%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	22%	51%	24%	3%	771
	Somewhat familiar	19%	54%	25%	2%	524
	Very familiar	32%	43%	20%	6%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	26%	30%	44%	0%	43
	Fair	18%	52%	28%	2%	261
	Good	19%	56%	22%	2%	602
	Excellent	33%	40%	25%	2%	135
	Don't know	26%	49%	18%	8%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	33%	49%	15%	2%	87
	Somewhat concerned	22%	53%	22%	3%	536
	Very concerned	20%	50%	27%	3%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	23%	50%	24%	3%	426
	Occasionally	20%	52%	24%	3%	650
	Often	24%	49%	24%	3%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	21%	50%	26%	3%	847
	1-9 acres	19%	54%	24%	3%	362
	10 or more acres	26%	52%	19%	4%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	25%	51%	22%	2%	170
	No	21%	49%	26%	3%	893
	Don't know	20%	56%	20%	4%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	22%	46%	29%	3%	340
	Suburban	22%	50%	25%	3%	517
	Rural	21%	56%	20%	3%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	21%	53%	23%	3%	811
	South IN	22%	53%	23%	2%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	20%	41%	33%	6%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	25%	44%	27%	4%	55
	HS grad	19%	49%	28%	4%	410
	Some college	22%	50%	25%	3%	370
	College grad+	22%	55%	21%	3%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	24%	41%	31%	4%	96
	White	21%	52%	24%	3%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	14%	54%	30%	2%	228
	Liberal-leaning	13%	59%	24%	3%	135
	Conservative-leaning	23%	53%	20%	4%	180
	Conservative	27%	48%	22%	3%	589
	None of these	20%	52%	25%	4%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	11%	53%	36%	0%	45
	25-34	23%	55%	23%	0%	102
	35-44	20%	53%	26%	1%	215
	45-54	24%	54%	21%	1%	286
	55-64	20%	54%	23%	3%	350
	>64	23%	45%	26%	6%	382

		Q14d: Unplanned forest fires?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	43%	28%	28%	1%	687
	Female	35%	32%	30%	3%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	33%	24%	41%	2%	107
	Somewhat interested	39%	32%	27%	2%	554
	Very interested	40%	29%	28%	2%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	36%	28%	33%	2%	380
	Somewhat familiar	39%	32%	27%	2%	786
	Very familiar	44%	27%	27%	2%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	39%	31%	28%	2%	771
	Somewhat familiar	37%	31%	30%	3%	524
	Very familiar	47%	20%	33%	1%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	51%	23%	21%	5%	43
	Fair	34%	33%	32%	1%	261
	Good	36%	34%	28%	1%	602
	Excellent	40%	18%	40%	2%	135
	Don't know	48%	27%	21%	3%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	57%	23%	18%	1%	87
	Somewhat concerned	36%	32%	30%	2%	536
	Very concerned	39%	30%	29%	1%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	40%	28%	30%	2%	426
	Occasionally	37%	33%	28%	1%	650
	Often	44%	26%	27%	3%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	38%	30%	30%	2%	847
	1-9 acres	38%	29%	31%	2%	362
	10 or more acres	45%	35%	18%	2%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	41%	32%	25%	2%	170
	No	38%	30%	31%	2%	893
	Don't know	41%	30%	25%	4%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	32%	30%	36%	2%	340
	Suburban	40%	30%	28%	2%	517
	Rural	42%	30%	25%	2%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	41%	28%	29%	3%	811
	South IN	35%	36%	28%	1%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	36%	31%	30%	3%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	25%	27%	42%	5%	55
	HS grad	34%	28%	36%	2%	410
	Some college	40%	28%	29%	2%	370
	College grad+	43%	33%	22%	2%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	34%	21%	44%	1%	96
	White	39%	31%	28%	2%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	35%	33%	31%	1%	228
	Liberal-leaning	43%	35%	21%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	39%	35%	24%	2%	180
	Conservative	39%	28%	31%	3%	589
	None of these	39%	26%	32%	2%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	31%	22%	47%	0%	45
	25-34	49%	25%	25%	1%	102
	35-44	48%	26%	24%	2%	215
	45-54	41%	33%	26%	1%	286
	55-64	39%	31%	30%	1%	350
	>64	30%	32%	33%	5%	382

		Q14e: Cutting or harvesting trees in Indiana?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	24%	48%	25%	2%	687
	Female	11%	52%	33%	4%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	19%	50%	30%	2%	107
	Somewhat interested	18%	56%	24%	3%	554
	Very interested	18%	46%	32%	4%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	18%	48%	29%	5%	380
	Somewhat familiar	15%	52%	30%	3%	786
	Very familiar	26%	47%	25%	3%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	18%	50%	29%	4%	771
	Somewhat familiar	14%	53%	30%	2%	524
	Very familiar	37%	40%	20%	4%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	19%	28%	53%	0%	43
	Fair	11%	57%	29%	2%	261
	Good	17%	52%	30%	2%	602
	Excellent	29%	48%	21%	1%	135
	Don't know	20%	49%	23%	8%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	31%	44%	20%	6%	87
	Somewhat concerned	17%	56%	23%	4%	536
	Very concerned	16%	48%	34%	2%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	18%	50%	29%	3%	426
	Occasionally	16%	52%	28%	4%	650
	Often	22%	51%	26%	1%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	17%	49%	32%	3%	847
	1-9 acres	16%	54%	27%	2%	362
	10 or more acres	25%	50%	20%	4%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	25%	52%	22%	1%	170
	No	16%	49%	32%	3%	893
	Don't know	19%	54%	24%	4%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	14%	48%	34%	4%	340
	Suburban	18%	50%	29%	2%	517
	Rural	19%	53%	25%	3%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	17%	51%	29%	3%	811
	South IN	19%	50%	27%	3%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	20%	43%	31%	5%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	16%	40%	36%	7%	55
	HS grad	18%	48%	31%	3%	410
	Some college	16%	51%	31%	2%	370
	College grad+	19%	54%	25%	3%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	20%	38%	41%	2%	96
	White	17%	51%	28%	3%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	11%	45%	40%	4%	228
	Liberal-leaning	11%	52%	33%	4%	135
	Conservative-leaning	18%	61%	20%	2%	180
	Conservative	22%	49%	26%	3%	589
	None of these	17%	50%	29%	4%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	9%	49%	42%	0%	45
	25-34	25%	42%	31%	2%	102
	35-44	18%	55%	27%	0%	215
	45-54	13%	58%	27%	2%	286
	55-64	19%	47%	32%	2%	350
	>64	19%	48%	27%	7%	382

		Q14f: Climate change?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	39%	33%	24%	4%	687
	Female	27%	38%	32%	3%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	25%	43%	26%	6%	107
	Somewhat interested	36%	40%	22%	2%	554
	Very interested	31%	32%	33%	4%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	29%	37%	30%	4%	380
	Somewhat familiar	33%	37%	27%	3%	786
	Very familiar	37%	29%	30%	3%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	35%	36%	26%	4%	771
	Somewhat familiar	29%	37%	31%	3%	524
	Very familiar	37%	28%	31%	5%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	30%	19%	47%	5%	43
	Fair	28%	42%	28%	2%	261
	Good	32%	39%	27%	2%	602
	Excellent	36%	19%	41%	4%	135
	Don't know	42%	33%	20%	5%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	56%	28%	15%	1%	87
	Somewhat concerned	37%	39%	21%	4%	536
	Very concerned	27%	35%	36%	3%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	38%	33%	26%	3%	426
	Occasionally	30%	40%	28%	3%	650
	Often	34%	28%	35%	3%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	32%	35%	31%	3%	847
	1-9 acres	35%	38%	24%	3%	362
	10 or more acres	35%	35%	25%	5%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	34%	35%	26%	5%	170
	No	31%	37%	29%	3%	893
	Don't know	36%	34%	26%	4%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	27%	36%	33%	3%	340
	Suburban	33%	37%	29%	2%	517
	Rural	37%	34%	24%	4%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	33%	35%	29%	3%	811
	South IN	36%	37%	24%	3%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	23%	37%	36%	4%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	20%	38%	35%	7%	55
	HS grad	29%	39%	29%	3%	410
	Some college	35%	31%	31%	4%	370
	College grad+	35%	36%	26%	3%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	20%	32%	47%	1%	96
	White	34%	36%	27%	3%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	20%	37%	41%	2%	228
	Liberal-leaning	18%	48%	33%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	36%	44%	17%	3%	180
	Conservative	43%	30%	23%	4%	589
	None of these	28%	34%	35%	3%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	13%	47%	40%	0%	45
	25-34	36%	35%	28%	0%	102
	35-44	33%	34%	29%	3%	215
	45-54	29%	42%	28%	1%	286
	55-64	36%	33%	28%	3%	350
	>64	32%	34%	27%	7%	382

		Q14g: Use of planned or prescribed fires?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	48%	34%	14%	4%	687
	Female	36%	45%	14%	5%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	31%	44%	21%	4%	107
	Somewhat interested	40%	42%	12%	6%	554
	Very interested	45%	38%	13%	4%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	35%	45%	14%	6%	380
	Somewhat familiar	43%	39%	12%	5%	786
	Very familiar	48%	32%	17%	3%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	41%	41%	14%	5%	771
	Somewhat familiar	43%	40%	11%	5%	524
	Very familiar	41%	29%	25%	6%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	49%	35%	14%	2%	43
	Fair	39%	42%	17%	2%	261
	Good	40%	43%	13%	3%	602
	Excellent	46%	33%	18%	4%	135
	Don't know	49%	34%	8%	9%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	51%	32%	9%	8%	87
	Somewhat concerned	41%	41%	13%	4%	536
	Very concerned	42%	40%	15%	3%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	41%	42%	12%	5%	426
	Occasionally	42%	40%	14%	4%	650
	Often	46%	34%	17%	4%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	41%	40%	14%	5%	847
	1-9 acres	42%	41%	12%	4%	362
	10 or more acres	46%	33%	15%	6%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	46%	32%	16%	5%	170
	No	39%	43%	14%	4%	893
	Don't know	48%	35%	11%	6%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	36%	46%	14%	4%	340
	Suburban	45%	37%	13%	5%	517
	Rural	43%	39%	14%	4%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	42%	39%	15%	4%	811
	South IN	42%	41%	12%	4%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	41%	38%	13%	8%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	24%	47%	25%	4%	55
	HS grad	30%	48%	17%	5%	410
	Some college	44%	39%	14%	3%	370
	College grad+	51%	33%	10%	5%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	31%	40%	27%	2%	96
	White	42%	40%	13%	5%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	42%	42%	13%	3%	228
	Liberal-leaning	50%	38%	8%	4%	135
	Conservative-leaning	48%	38%	11%	3%	180
	Conservative	40%	39%	16%	5%	589
	None of these	39%	40%	16%	5%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	33%	47%	20%	0%	45
	25-34	54%	33%	12%	1%	102
	35-44	52%	38%	7%	3%	215
	45-54	49%	36%	13%	2%	286
	55-64	43%	41%	11%	5%	350
	>64	27%	43%	20%	9%	382

		Q14h: Air & water pollution?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	19%	36%	43%	2%	687
	Female	12%	34%	52%	2%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	16%	36%	45%	3%	107
	Somewhat interested	19%	38%	40%	3%	554
	Very interested	13%	33%	54%	1%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	14%	32%	49%	4%	380
	Somewhat familiar	17%	36%	46%	1%	786
	Very familiar	13%	36%	50%	0%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	16%	35%	46%	2%	771
	Somewhat familiar	13%	36%	49%	1%	524
	Very familiar	19%	30%	51%	0%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	19%	23%	56%	2%	43
	Fair	11%	36%	52%	1%	261
	Good	15%	38%	47%	1%	602
	Excellent	16%	26%	57%	1%	135
	Don't know	22%	35%	39%	4%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	33%	32%	32%	2%	87
	Somewhat concerned	19%	38%	41%	2%	536
	Very concerned	10%	33%	56%	1%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	18%	33%	46%	3%	426
	Occasionally	14%	38%	48%	1%	650
	Often	15%	32%	53%	1%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	15%	34%	49%	2%	847
	1-9 acres	15%	36%	46%	2%	362
	10 or more acres	17%	37%	44%	2%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	15%	36%	49%	0%	170
	No	15%	35%	48%	2%	893
	Don't know	16%	35%	47%	2%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	13%	35%	51%	1%	340
	Suburban	16%	34%	49%	1%	517
	Rural	16%	37%	44%	3%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	14%	34%	50%	3%	811
	South IN	17%	38%	44%	1%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	13%	37%	48%	2%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	11%	29%	55%	5%	55
	HS grad	15%	30%	50%	4%	410
	Some college	14%	35%	49%	1%	370
	College grad+	17%	39%	44%	0%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	8%	32%	57%	2%	96
	White	16%	35%	47%	2%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	11%	35%	51%	2%	228
	Liberal-leaning	9%	39%	50%	2%	135
	Conservative-leaning	13%	38%	47%	2%	180
	Conservative	22%	35%	42%	1%	589
	None of these	9%	29%	61%	2%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	0%	24%	76%	0%	45
	25-34	20%	31%	47%	2%	102
	35-44	11%	41%	46%	2%	215
	45-54	14%	36%	48%	2%	286
	55-64	16%	35%	48%	1%	350
	>64	19%	33%	45%	3%	382

		Q14i: Spread of non-native plants & animals?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	19%	38%	40%	3%	687
	Female	18%	44%	33%	5%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	20%	47%	30%	4%	107
	Somewhat interested	22%	45%	28%	5%	554
	Very interested	16%	37%	44%	3%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	23%	46%	25%	6%	380
	Somewhat familiar	17%	41%	38%	4%	786
	Very familiar	18%	32%	47%	2%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	22%	42%	31%	4%	771
	Somewhat familiar	14%	41%	41%	4%	524
	Very familiar	14%	31%	53%	2%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	23%	26%	49%	2%	43
	Fair	16%	45%	36%	3%	261
	Good	17%	44%	36%	3%	602
	Excellent	23%	35%	40%	2%	135
	Don't know	21%	38%	32%	9%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	29%	45%	21%	6%	87
	Somewhat concerned	23%	42%	31%	4%	536
	Very concerned	14%	41%	43%	3%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	24%	42%	30%	5%	426
	Occasionally	18%	44%	36%	3%	650
	Often	11%	34%	52%	4%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	19%	42%	35%	4%	847
	1-9 acres	19%	39%	39%	4%	362
	10 or more acres	21%	39%	37%	3%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	15%	36%	47%	2%	170
	No	20%	43%	33%	4%	893
	Don't know	18%	38%	38%	6%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	16%	43%	37%	4%	340
	Suburban	20%	40%	37%	4%	517
	Rural	20%	40%	35%	4%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	19%	40%	36%	4%	811
	South IN	18%	42%	36%	4%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	17%	36%	43%	5%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	29%	27%	35%	9%	55
	HS grad	20%	43%	31%	6%	410
	Some college	21%	36%	39%	5%	370
	College grad+	16%	43%	39%	2%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	20%	36%	39%	5%	96
	White	19%	41%	36%	4%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	15%	47%	36%	2%	228
	Liberal-leaning	17%	41%	39%	2%	135
	Conservative-leaning	14%	39%	43%	3%	180
	Conservative	22%	40%	33%	5%	589
	None of these	16%	38%	42%	5%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	29%	29%	42%	0%	45
	25-34	25%	34%	38%	2%	102
	35-44	18%	46%	33%	2%	215
	45-54	17%	44%	36%	3%	286
	55-64	20%	41%	35%	4%	350
	>64	17%	38%	38%	7%	382

		Q15a: TV shows & documentaries?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	20%	53%	28%	687
	Female	14%	54%	33%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	30%	47%	23%	107
	Somewhat interested	17%	57%	26%	554
	Very interested	14%	51%	35%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	22%	52%	26%	380
	Somewhat familiar	15%	56%	29%	786
	Very familiar	13%	47%	41%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	19%	54%	27%	771
	Somewhat familiar	14%	54%	32%	524
	Very familiar	12%	48%	41%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	30%	47%	23%	43
	Fair	16%	49%	35%	261
	Good	14%	55%	31%	602
	Excellent	10%	48%	42%	135
	Don't know	21%	59%	20%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	31%	47%	22%	87
	Somewhat concerned	16%	56%	27%	536
	Very concerned	14%	51%	35%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	21%	53%	26%	426
	Occasionally	14%	54%	32%	650
	Often	12%	53%	35%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	17%	52%	31%	847
	1-9 acres	13%	58%	29%	362
	10 or more acres	21%	52%	27%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	16%	55%	29%	170
	No	16%	52%	32%	893
	Don't know	18%	57%	25%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	15%	52%	32%	340
	Suburban	15%	54%	31%	517
	Rural	18%	54%	28%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	16%	54%	30%	811
	South IN	16%	55%	29%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	16%	51%	33%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	22%	42%	36%	55
	HS grad	15%	52%	33%	410
	Some college	16%	51%	33%	370
	College grad+	17%	58%	26%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	13%	46%	42%	96
	White	16%	54%	29%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	14%	56%	29%	228
	Liberal-leaning	15%	56%	30%	135
	Conservative-leaning	14%	55%	31%	180
	Conservative	20%	53%	27%	589
	None of these	12%	50%	38%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	20%	44%	36%	45
	25-34	18%	57%	25%	102
	35-44	12%	60%	28%	215
	45-54	16%	54%	30%	286
	55-64	15%	55%	30%	350
	>64	21%	48%	31%	382

		Q15b: Internet or Web?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	47%	35%	18%	687
	Female	49%	35%	16%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	68%	21%	10%	107
	Somewhat interested	50%	37%	13%	554
	Very interested	44%	35%	21%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	58%	31%	12%	380
	Somewhat familiar	47%	36%	17%	786
	Very familiar	38%	38%	24%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	54%	33%	13%	771
	Somewhat familiar	41%	36%	22%	524
	Very familiar	37%	42%	22%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	58%	28%	14%	43
	Fair	47%	35%	18%	261
	Good	46%	35%	19%	602
	Excellent	40%	36%	24%	135
	Don't know	56%	34%	11%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	55%	33%	11%	87
	Somewhat concerned	51%	35%	14%	536
	Very concerned	44%	35%	21%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	55%	29%	15%	426
	Occasionally	45%	37%	17%	650
	Often	39%	38%	23%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	47%	35%	17%	847
	1-9 acres	46%	34%	20%	362
	10 or more acres	56%	33%	11%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	45%	38%	17%	170
	No	51%	31%	18%	893
	Don't know	43%	42%	15%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	49%	31%	19%	340
	Suburban	44%	38%	18%	517
	Rural	52%	34%	14%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	48%	35%	17%	811
	South IN	48%	37%	15%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	44%	33%	23%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	62%	20%	18%	55
	HS grad	64%	25%	12%	410
	Some college	45%	36%	19%	370
	College grad+	38%	43%	19%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	40%	30%	30%	96
	White	49%	35%	16%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	45%	35%	20%	228
	Liberal-leaning	44%	42%	14%	135
	Conservative-leaning	42%	39%	18%	180
	Conservative	52%	33%	15%	589
	None of these	47%	33%	20%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	24%	44%	31%	45
	25-34	25%	45%	29%	102
	35-44	34%	40%	25%	215
	45-54	38%	44%	18%	286
	55-64	49%	35%	16%	350
	>64	72%	21%	7%	382

		Q15c: Indiana DNR?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	36%	50%	14%	687
	Female	40%	49%	11%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	47%	45%	8%	107
	Somewhat interested	41%	51%	8%	554
	Very interested	34%	50%	16%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	53%	39%	7%	380
	Somewhat familiar	34%	55%	11%	786
	Very familiar	26%	48%	25%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	46%	47%	7%	771
	Somewhat familiar	30%	54%	16%	524
	Very familiar	20%	49%	32%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	53%	30%	16%	43
	Fair	43%	47%	10%	261
	Good	34%	55%	11%	602
	Excellent	22%	50%	27%	135
	Don't know	46%	42%	12%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	43%	45%	13%	87
	Somewhat concerned	40%	51%	10%	536
	Very concerned	34%	50%	16%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	52%	41%	7%	426
	Occasionally	31%	56%	13%	650
	Often	27%	46%	27%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	39%	49%	11%	847
	1-9 acres	37%	51%	12%	362
	10 or more acres	34%	48%	19%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	32%	47%	21%	170
	No	39%	49%	12%	893
	Don't know	37%	54%	9%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	42%	46%	12%	340
	Suburban	39%	50%	11%	517
	Rural	34%	52%	14%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	37%	50%	13%	811
	South IN	38%	50%	12%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	41%	50%	9%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	40%	44%	16%	55
	HS grad	41%	47%	12%	410
	Some college	36%	51%	13%	370
	College grad+	36%	52%	12%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	33%	44%	23%	96
	White	38%	50%	12%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	40%	47%	13%	228
	Liberal-leaning	34%	58%	8%	135
	Conservative-leaning	31%	52%	17%	180
	Conservative	37%	50%	13%	589
	None of these	46%	44%	10%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	51%	42%	7%	45
	25-34	38%	49%	13%	102
	35-44	36%	52%	12%	215
	45-54	36%	52%	12%	286
	55-64	37%	50%	13%	350
	>64	41%	46%	13%	382

		Q15d: Friends & family?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	39%	46%	15%	687
	Female	36%	49%	16%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	51%	42%	7%	107
	Somewhat interested	42%	45%	13%	554
	Very interested	32%	50%	18%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	45%	43%	12%	380
	Somewhat familiar	37%	49%	14%	786
	Very familiar	27%	49%	24%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	42%	46%	12%	771
	Somewhat familiar	31%	51%	17%	524
	Very familiar	29%	44%	28%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	35%	44%	21%	43
	Fair	42%	45%	13%	261
	Good	34%	51%	14%	602
	Excellent	33%	43%	24%	135
	Don't know	43%	48%	9%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	43%	45%	13%	87
	Somewhat concerned	40%	49%	11%	536
	Very concerned	34%	48%	17%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	43%	45%	12%	426
	Occasionally	35%	50%	15%	650
	Often	32%	51%	18%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	41%	44%	14%	847
	1-9 acres	29%	57%	14%	362
	10 or more acres	35%	45%	20%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	31%	54%	16%	170
	No	40%	45%	15%	893
	Don't know	34%	50%	16%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	45%	41%	13%	340
	Suburban	39%	48%	14%	517
	Rural	31%	51%	18%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	37%	48%	15%	811
	South IN	34%	50%	16%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	49%	43%	9%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	35%	51%	15%	55
	HS grad	35%	49%	17%	410
	Some college	39%	46%	14%	370
	College grad+	38%	47%	15%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	45%	39%	17%	96
	White	36%	48%	15%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	37%	48%	15%	228
	Liberal-leaning	43%	45%	12%	135
	Conservative-leaning	32%	47%	21%	180
	Conservative	38%	49%	13%	589
	None of these	37%	46%	18%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	40%	36%	24%	45
	25-34	35%	47%	18%	102
	35-44	36%	48%	16%	215
	45-54	33%	54%	13%	286
	55-64	39%	47%	14%	350
	>64	41%	45%	15%	382

		Q15e: News media (newspapers, radio, or TV news)?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	21%	57%	23%	687
	Female	14%	59%	27%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	21%	59%	20%	107
	Somewhat interested	15%	61%	23%	554
	Very interested	18%	55%	26%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	18%	59%	23%	380
	Somewhat familiar	17%	58%	25%	786
	Very familiar	19%	55%	26%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	18%	59%	23%	771
	Somewhat familiar	15%	59%	26%	524
	Very familiar	25%	47%	29%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	37%	40%	23%	43
	Fair	11%	65%	23%	261
	Good	15%	59%	25%	602
	Excellent	19%	48%	33%	135
	Don't know	22%	58%	20%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	17%	60%	23%	87
	Somewhat concerned	17%	60%	23%	536
	Very concerned	17%	57%	26%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	25%	56%	19%	426
	Occasionally	12%	61%	27%	650
	Often	15%	56%	29%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	15%	58%	26%	847
	1-9 acres	17%	59%	25%	362
	10 or more acres	26%	56%	18%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	22%	55%	23%	170
	No	15%	59%	26%	893
	Don't know	20%	56%	23%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	15%	56%	29%	340
	Suburban	15%	59%	26%	517
	Rural	21%	59%	20%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	18%	57%	26%	811
	South IN	17%	60%	22%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	19%	54%	27%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	9%	55%	36%	55
	HS grad	15%	58%	27%	410
	Some college	20%	55%	25%	370
	College grad+	18%	60%	22%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	10%	54%	35%	96
	White	17%	58%	24%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	14%	56%	30%	228
	Liberal-leaning	11%	64%	25%	135
	Conservative-leaning	13%	68%	18%	180
	Conservative	19%	57%	24%	589
	None of these	24%	52%	25%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	29%	51%	20%	45
	25-34	22%	59%	20%	102
	35-44	21%	58%	21%	215
	45-54	14%	63%	23%	286
	55-64	16%	59%	25%	350
	>64	17%	54%	30%	382

		Q15f: Environmental & preservation groups?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	42%	45%	14%	687
	Female	35%	49%	17%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	54%	38%	7%	107
	Somewhat interested	40%	49%	11%	554
	Very interested	35%	46%	20%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	48%	42%	10%	380
	Somewhat familiar	35%	50%	15%	786
	Very familiar	34%	43%	23%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	43%	45%	11%	771
	Somewhat familiar	29%	51%	19%	524
	Very familiar	43%	35%	23%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	53%	23%	23%	43
	Fair	43%	46%	11%	261
	Good	33%	52%	15%	602
	Excellent	31%	43%	26%	135
	Don't know	48%	41%	11%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	56%	36%	8%	87
	Somewhat concerned	44%	45%	11%	536
	Very concerned	31%	49%	20%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	48%	41%	11%	426
	Occasionally	33%	52%	14%	650
	Often	33%	41%	26%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	38%	45%	16%	847
	1-9 acres	34%	51%	15%	362
	10 or more acres	45%	46%	9%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	31%	50%	19%	170
	No	37%	46%	16%	893
	Don't know	44%	46%	10%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	38%	44%	18%	340
	Suburban	34%	50%	15%	517
	Rural	42%	45%	13%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	39%	46%	15%	811
	South IN	38%	48%	14%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	37%	47%	16%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	47%	38%	15%	55
	HS grad	42%	43%	15%	410
	Some college	37%	49%	14%	370
	College grad+	35%	49%	16%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	30%	40%	30%	96
	White	39%	48%	14%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	27%	50%	23%	228
	Liberal-leaning	30%	57%	13%	135
	Conservative-leaning	34%	56%	10%	180
	Conservative	43%	44%	13%	589
	None of these	44%	38%	18%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	31%	40%	29%	45
	25-34	41%	43%	16%	102
	35-44	33%	53%	14%	215
	45-54	38%	49%	13%	286
	55-64	38%	49%	13%	350
	>64	41%	42%	17%	382

		Q15g: Sportsmen's & conservation groups?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	32%	46%	21%	687
	Female	44%	44%	12%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	63%	30%	7%	107
	Somewhat interested	43%	48%	10%	554
	Very interested	31%	45%	23%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	52%	39%	8%	380
	Somewhat familiar	35%	49%	16%	786
	Very familiar	28%	41%	32%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	44%	46%	10%	771
	Somewhat familiar	31%	46%	23%	524
	Very familiar	30%	40%	31%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	49%	33%	19%	43
	Fair	37%	47%	16%	261
	Good	35%	47%	18%	602
	Excellent	31%	36%	33%	135
	Don't know	47%	47%	6%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	46%	38%	16%	87
	Somewhat concerned	46%	43%	11%	536
	Very concerned	30%	49%	22%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	47%	41%	12%	426
	Occasionally	34%	48%	17%	650
	Often	28%	47%	25%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	40%	45%	15%	847
	1-9 acres	37%	45%	18%	362
	10 or more acres	32%	48%	20%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	25%	55%	19%	170
	No	39%	44%	16%	893
	Don't know	42%	42%	16%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	41%	40%	19%	340
	Suburban	37%	49%	14%	517
	Rural	37%	45%	18%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	38%	46%	16%	811
	South IN	38%	43%	20%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	43%	45%	12%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	42%	40%	18%	55
	HS grad	41%	44%	15%	410
	Some college	38%	41%	21%	370
	College grad+	36%	49%	15%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	43%	36%	21%	96
	White	38%	46%	16%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	42%	43%	15%	228
	Liberal-leaning	36%	49%	16%	135
	Conservative-leaning	31%	51%	18%	180
	Conservative	38%	46%	17%	589
	None of these	43%	40%	17%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	40%	47%	13%	45
	25-34	42%	46%	12%	102
	35-44	32%	48%	20%	215
	45-54	40%	47%	13%	286
	55-64	35%	45%	20%	350
	>64	43%	41%	16%	382

		Q15h: Magazines?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	30%	51%	19%	687
	Female	34%	50%	16%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	47%	45%	8%	107
	Somewhat interested	37%	52%	11%	554
	Very interested	26%	50%	24%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	45%	44%	11%	380
	Somewhat familiar	27%	56%	16%	786
	Very familiar	26%	40%	33%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	36%	52%	12%	771
	Somewhat familiar	26%	51%	23%	524
	Very familiar	33%	33%	35%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	30%	47%	23%	43
	Fair	31%	54%	15%	261
	Good	29%	53%	17%	602
	Excellent	27%	41%	32%	135
	Don't know	38%	49%	13%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	46%	41%	13%	87
	Somewhat concerned	34%	55%	11%	536
	Very concerned	26%	49%	24%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	40%	48%	12%	426
	Occasionally	28%	56%	16%	650
	Often	22%	43%	36%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	35%	48%	17%	847
	1-9 acres	27%	58%	15%	362
	10 or more acres	28%	46%	26%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	28%	52%	21%	170
	No	32%	50%	18%	893
	Don't know	33%	51%	16%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	35%	48%	17%	340
	Suburban	35%	51%	15%	517
	Rural	28%	51%	21%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	34%	49%	17%	811
	South IN	27%	53%	19%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	31%	50%	18%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	42%	38%	20%	55
	HS grad	35%	49%	17%	410
	Some college	29%	50%	21%	370
	College grad+	31%	53%	16%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	27%	46%	27%	96
	White	33%	51%	17%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	33%	49%	18%	228
	Liberal-leaning	29%	56%	16%	135
	Conservative-leaning	31%	49%	20%	180
	Conservative	31%	53%	16%	589
	None of these	33%	46%	21%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	40%	40%	20%	45
	25-34	44%	43%	13%	102
	35-44	33%	54%	13%	215
	45-54	33%	50%	16%	286
	55-64	24%	57%	19%	350
	>64	35%	46%	19%	382

		Q15i: University experts?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	49%	38%	13%	687
	Female	47%	40%	13%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	63%	30%	7%	107
	Somewhat interested	52%	39%	9%	554
	Very interested	43%	40%	17%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	58%	31%	11%	380
	Somewhat familiar	46%	43%	12%	786
	Very familiar	40%	39%	21%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	54%	37%	9%	771
	Somewhat familiar	41%	43%	16%	524
	Very familiar	40%	36%	25%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	60%	21%	19%	43
	Fair	48%	42%	10%	261
	Good	44%	42%	14%	602
	Excellent	42%	36%	22%	135
	Don't know	57%	35%	8%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	63%	30%	7%	87
	Somewhat concerned	51%	39%	10%	536
	Very concerned	43%	41%	16%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	62%	29%	8%	426
	Occasionally	42%	46%	13%	650
	Often	35%	41%	24%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	51%	36%	13%	847
	1-9 acres	44%	43%	13%	362
	10 or more acres	43%	42%	14%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	36%	46%	18%	170
	No	49%	37%	14%	893
	Don't know	50%	41%	9%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	49%	37%	14%	340
	Suburban	47%	39%	13%	517
	Rural	48%	40%	12%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	48%	38%	14%	811
	South IN	48%	40%	12%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	52%	39%	9%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	55%	35%	11%	55
	HS grad	53%	35%	11%	410
	Some college	52%	38%	11%	370
	College grad+	41%	43%	16%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	43%	38%	20%	96
	White	48%	39%	12%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	45%	39%	16%	228
	Liberal-leaning	43%	44%	13%	135
	Conservative-leaning	43%	43%	14%	180
	Conservative	50%	38%	12%	589
	None of these	51%	36%	14%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	49%	31%	20%	45
	25-34	49%	41%	10%	102
	35-44	44%	46%	11%	215
	45-54	47%	44%	10%	286
	55-64	49%	37%	14%	350
	>64	51%	34%	15%	382

		Q15j: Companies that make wood products?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	41%	44%	14%	687
	Female	38%	48%	13%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	37%	49%	14%	107
	Somewhat interested	40%	45%	16%	554
	Very interested	40%	47%	12%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	37%	47%	16%	380
	Somewhat familiar	41%	47%	12%	786
	Very familiar	43%	42%	15%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	39%	47%	15%	771
	Somewhat familiar	40%	48%	13%	524
	Very familiar	50%	40%	10%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	37%	42%	21%	43
	Fair	41%	46%	13%	261
	Good	39%	47%	14%	602
	Excellent	43%	45%	12%	135
	Don't know	40%	47%	12%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	38%	46%	16%	87
	Somewhat concerned	41%	45%	14%	536
	Very concerned	39%	47%	14%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	40%	45%	15%	426
	Occasionally	40%	47%	13%	650
	Often	42%	46%	12%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	40%	45%	15%	847
	1-9 acres	40%	46%	14%	362
	10 or more acres	37%	54%	9%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	39%	49%	12%	170
	No	41%	44%	15%	893
	Don't know	37%	51%	12%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	39%	46%	14%	340
	Suburban	41%	43%	16%	517
	Rural	40%	50%	11%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	40%	47%	13%	811
	South IN	37%	48%	15%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	45%	38%	17%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	42%	40%	18%	55
	HS grad	41%	47%	12%	410
	Some college	40%	46%	14%	370
	College grad+	39%	47%	14%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	41%	44%	16%	96
	White	40%	46%	13%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	38%	49%	13%	228
	Liberal-leaning	33%	51%	16%	135
	Conservative-leaning	40%	49%	11%	180
	Conservative	41%	44%	15%	589
	None of these	43%	45%	12%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	38%	40%	22%	45
	25-34	39%	48%	13%	102
	35-44	39%	45%	16%	215
	45-54	42%	43%	14%	286
	55-64	40%	47%	13%	350
	>64	38%	49%	12%	382

		Q15k: U.S. Forest Service?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	38%	41%	21%	687
	Female	35%	47%	18%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	35%	48%	18%	107
	Somewhat interested	35%	44%	21%	554
	Very interested	38%	44%	18%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	33%	46%	22%	380
	Somewhat familiar	37%	45%	18%	786
	Very familiar	41%	38%	21%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	35%	46%	20%	771
	Somewhat familiar	36%	44%	19%	524
	Very familiar	50%	33%	17%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	35%	42%	23%	43
	Fair	37%	44%	19%	261
	Good	36%	44%	20%	602
	Excellent	42%	39%	19%	135
	Don't know	36%	47%	17%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	34%	45%	21%	87
	Somewhat concerned	37%	44%	19%	536
	Very concerned	37%	44%	20%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	35%	44%	20%	426
	Occasionally	37%	44%	20%	650
	Often	41%	44%	16%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	37%	42%	21%	847
	1-9 acres	36%	46%	18%	362
	10 or more acres	34%	51%	14%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	36%	45%	19%	170
	No	38%	42%	19%	893
	Don't know	32%	48%	19%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	36%	45%	19%	340
	Suburban	38%	40%	22%	517
	Rural	36%	48%	16%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	37%	44%	18%	811
	South IN	33%	47%	20%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	40%	38%	22%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	38%	42%	20%	55
	HS grad	38%	44%	18%	410
	Some college	36%	45%	19%	370
	College grad+	36%	44%	20%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	34%	42%	24%	96
	White	37%	44%	18%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	36%	48%	16%	228
	Liberal-leaning	27%	49%	24%	135
	Conservative-leaning	34%	48%	18%	180
	Conservative	38%	42%	20%	589
	None of these	43%	40%	18%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	36%	38%	27%	45
	25-34	35%	44%	21%	102
	35-44	36%	43%	21%	215
	45-54	37%	43%	20%	286
	55-64	38%	44%	18%	350
	>64	36%	47%	17%	382

		Q17: Wooded acres owned?			
		<1 acre or none	1-9 acres	10 or more acres	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	60%	25%	15%	684
	Female	62%	27%	12%	712
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	80%	10%	10%	105
	Somewhat interested	68%	24%	8%	552
	Very interested	53%	29%	18%	739
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	70%	20%	10%	379
	Somewhat familiar	58%	29%	13%	783
	Very familiar	56%	25%	19%	232
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	66%	25%	9%	769
	Somewhat familiar	56%	28%	16%	521
	Very familiar	45%	26%	29%	100
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	76%	12%	12%	41
	Fair	66%	22%	12%	259
	Good	57%	29%	14%	601
	Excellent	56%	26%	19%	135
	Don't know	58%	30%	12%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	66%	21%	14%	87
	Somewhat concerned	66%	25%	9%	535
	Very concerned	53%	29%	18%	633
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	67%	25%	9%	424
	Occasionally	58%	29%	13%	649
	Often	49%	25%	26%	188
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	100%	0%	0%	
	1-9 acres	0%	100%	0%	
	10 or more acres	0%	0%	100%	
	Total				1396
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	49%	31%	20%	169
	No	64%	24%	12%	888
	Don't know	58%	27%	14%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	78%	16%	6%	340
	Suburban	73%	23%	4%	516
	Rural	36%	36%	28%	514
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	66%	25%	10%	808
	South IN	46%	29%	24%	415
	Indy (Marion Co)	70%	27%	3%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	61%	28%	11%	54
	HS grad	59%	26%	15%	408
	Some college	63%	24%	14%	368
	College grad+	60%	27%	13%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	74%	22%	4%	95
	White	59%	27%	14%	1263
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	60%	26%	13%	227
	Liberal-leaning	59%	28%	13%	135
	Conservative-leaning	58%	30%	12%	180
	Conservative	61%	25%	14%	587
	None of these	62%	25%	13%	226
Q24: Age?	18-24	75%	14%	11%	44
	25-34	74%	22%	5%	102
	35-44	62%	28%	11%	214
	45-54	59%	30%	11%	286
	55-64	56%	28%	15%	350
	>64	60%	23%	17%	380

		Q18a: Gone fishing or hunting in Indiana woodlands?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	74%	26%	1%	687
	Female	67%	32%	1%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	44%	56%	0%	107
	Somewhat interested	65%	34%	1%	554
	Very interested	78%	21%	1%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	57%	42%	1%	380
	Somewhat familiar	73%	26%	0%	786
	Very familiar	82%	18%	0%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	64%	35%	1%	771
	Somewhat familiar	78%	22%	0%	524
	Very familiar	77%	23%	0%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	72%	26%	2%	43
	Fair	72%	27%	1%	261
	Good	74%	26%	0%	602
	Excellent	71%	28%	1%	135
	Don't know	67%	33%	0%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	60%	40%	0%	87
	Somewhat concerned	71%	28%	1%	536
	Very concerned	74%	25%	0%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	65%	35%	0%	426
	Occasionally	74%	26%	0%	650
	Often	82%	17%	1%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	67%	32%	1%	847
	1-9 acres	75%	25%	0%	362
	10 or more acres	75%	25%	0%	187
	Total	70%	29%	1%	1396
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	78%	22%	0%	170
	No	68%	31%	1%	893
	Don't know	72%	27%	1%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	67%	33%	0%	340
	Suburban	70%	30%	1%	517
	Rural	73%	26%	0%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	69%	31%	0%	811
	South IN	75%	24%	0%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	65%	33%	2%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	56%	40%	4%	55
	HS grad	70%	30%	1%	410
	Some college	73%	27%	0%	370
	College grad+	70%	30%	0%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	56%	41%	3%	96
	White	71%	28%	0%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	64%	35%	1%	228
	Liberal-leaning	63%	36%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	74%	26%	0%	180
	Conservative	70%	29%	1%	589
	None of these	79%	21%	0%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	71%	24%	4%	45
	25-34	71%	29%	0%	102
	35-44	77%	23%	0%	215
	45-54	76%	24%	0%	286
	55-64	71%	29%	0%	350
	>64	62%	38%	0%	382

		Q18b: Cut/collected trees for firewood?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	49%	51%	0%	687
	Female	37%	63%	0%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	31%	69%	0%	107
	Somewhat interested	36%	64%	1%	554
	Very interested	49%	51%	0%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	29%	70%	1%	380
	Somewhat familiar	46%	54%	0%	786
	Very familiar	53%	47%	0%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	36%	64%	0%	771
	Somewhat familiar	50%	50%	0%	524
	Very familiar	54%	46%	0%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	47%	51%	2%	43
	Fair	42%	57%	0%	261
	Good	45%	55%	0%	602
	Excellent	47%	53%	1%	135
	Don't know	40%	60%	0%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	34%	66%	0%	87
	Somewhat concerned	40%	60%	0%	536
	Very concerned	48%	52%	0%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	38%	62%	0%	426
	Occasionally	45%	55%	0%	650
	Often	52%	47%	1%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	31%	68%	0%	847
	1-9 acres	52%	48%	0%	362
	10 or more acres	74%	26%	0%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	61%	39%	0%	170
	No	38%	61%	0%	893
	Don't know	45%	55%	0%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	35%	65%	0%	340
	Suburban	31%	69%	0%	517
	Rural	60%	40%	0%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	42%	58%	0%	811
	South IN	50%	50%	0%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	28%	72%	0%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	40%	60%	0%	55
	HS grad	44%	56%	0%	410
	Some college	45%	55%	0%	370
	College grad+	40%	60%	0%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	22%	77%	1%	96
	White	45%	55%	0%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	37%	62%	1%	228
	Liberal-leaning	44%	56%	0%	135
	Conservative-leaning	48%	52%	0%	180
	Conservative	41%	59%	0%	589
	None of these	46%	54%	0%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	42%	56%	2%	45
	25-34	44%	56%	0%	102
	35-44	47%	53%	0%	215
	45-54	43%	57%	0%	286
	55-64	44%	56%	0%	350
	>64	38%	62%	0%	382

		Q18c: Requested info about trees from IDNR or Division of Forestry?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	29%	70%	1%	687
	Female	28%	70%	2%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	12%	84%	4%	107
	Somewhat interested	18%	80%	2%	554
	Very interested	38%	61%	1%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	13%	86%	2%	380
	Somewhat familiar	30%	69%	1%	786
	Very familiar	49%	50%	1%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	16%	82%	2%	771
	Somewhat familiar	40%	59%	1%	524
	Very familiar	56%	43%	1%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	23%	72%	5%	43
	Fair	24%	76%	0%	261
	Good	33%	66%	1%	602
	Excellent	44%	56%	1%	135
	Don't know	21%	78%	1%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	15%	82%	3%	87
	Somewhat concerned	25%	74%	1%	536
	Very concerned	36%	63%	1%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	19%	81%	1%	426
	Occasionally	32%	67%	1%	650
	Often	49%	48%	3%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	21%	77%	2%	847
	1-9 acres	31%	67%	1%	362
	10 or more acres	53%	47%	1%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	43%	56%	1%	170
	No	24%	74%	1%	893
	Don't know	31%	66%	2%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	25%	74%	1%	340
	Suburban	25%	74%	1%	517
	Rural	35%	64%	1%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	28%	70%	1%	811
	South IN	31%	69%	1%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	26%	72%	2%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	20%	76%	4%	55
	HS grad	21%	77%	2%	410
	Some college	31%	68%	1%	370
	College grad+	33%	66%	1%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	15%	83%	2%	96
	White	29%	69%	1%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	24%	75%	0%	228
	Liberal-leaning	27%	72%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	38%	61%	2%	180
	Conservative	29%	69%	2%	589
	None of these	25%	74%	1%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	13%	87%	0%	45
	25-34	25%	74%	1%	102
	35-44	25%	74%	0%	215
	45-54	30%	69%	1%	286
	55-64	34%	66%	0%	350
	>64	26%	70%	3%	382

		Q18d: Gathered mushrooms, nuts, or berries from woodlands?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	55%	44%	1%	687
	Female	47%	53%	0%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	34%	65%	1%	107
	Somewhat interested	41%	59%	1%	554
	Very interested	61%	39%	0%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	35%	65%	1%	380
	Somewhat familiar	54%	45%	0%	786
	Very familiar	67%	33%	0%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	43%	57%	0%	771
	Somewhat familiar	60%	39%	1%	524
	Very familiar	63%	37%	0%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	58%	40%	2%	43
	Fair	50%	50%	0%	261
	Good	54%	45%	0%	602
	Excellent	60%	39%	1%	135
	Don't know	48%	52%	0%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	41%	59%	0%	87
	Somewhat concerned	48%	52%	0%	536
	Very concerned	59%	41%	0%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	44%	56%	0%	426
	Occasionally	55%	44%	0%	650
	Often	65%	33%	2%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	43%	56%	0%	847
	1-9 acres	55%	45%	1%	362
	10 or more acres	79%	21%	1%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	65%	35%	1%	170
	No	49%	51%	0%	893
	Don't know	50%	50%	0%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	45%	55%	0%	340
	Suburban	40%	60%	0%	517
	Rural	67%	33%	0%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	48%	51%	0%	811
	South IN	63%	37%	0%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	37%	63%	0%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	56%	44%	0%	55
	HS grad	57%	43%	0%	410
	Some college	51%	49%	0%	370
	College grad+	46%	53%	0%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	25%	75%	0%	96
	White	53%	46%	0%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	46%	54%	0%	228
	Liberal-leaning	48%	52%	0%	135
	Conservative-leaning	55%	45%	0%	180
	Conservative	52%	48%	0%	589
	None of these	54%	46%	0%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	44%	56%	0%	45
	25-34	47%	52%	1%	102
	35-44	47%	53%	0%	215
	45-54	51%	48%	0%	286
	55-64	56%	44%	0%	350
	>64	52%	48%	0%	382

		Q18e: Requested info about trees from a private nursery or conservation group?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	43%	56%	1%	687
	Female	42%	57%	0%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	14%	85%	1%	107
	Somewhat interested	38%	62%	1%	554
	Very interested	50%	49%	0%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	28%	71%	1%	380
	Somewhat familiar	48%	51%	1%	786
	Very familiar	46%	54%	0%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	35%	64%	1%	771
	Somewhat familiar	53%	47%	1%	524
	Very familiar	48%	52%	0%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	37%	60%	2%	43
	Fair	44%	55%	0%	261
	Good	45%	55%	0%	602
	Excellent	47%	53%	1%	135
	Don't know	43%	56%	1%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	29%	70%	1%	87
	Somewhat concerned	40%	59%	1%	536
	Very concerned	50%	50%	0%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	36%	63%	1%	426
	Occasionally	48%	52%	0%	650
	Often	50%	48%	2%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	38%	61%	1%	847
	1-9 acres	52%	47%	1%	362
	10 or more acres	42%	58%	1%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	56%	44%	0%	170
	No	39%	61%	1%	893
	Don't know	45%	54%	1%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	39%	61%	1%	340
	Suburban	45%	55%	0%	517
	Rural	44%	56%	1%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	42%	57%	1%	811
	South IN	43%	57%	0%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	49%	51%	0%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	25%	75%	0%	55
	HS grad	31%	69%	0%	410
	Some college	39%	60%	1%	370
	College grad+	56%	44%	1%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	29%	71%	0%	96
	White	44%	56%	1%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	43%	57%	0%	228
	Liberal-leaning	56%	43%	1%	135
	Conservative-leaning	51%	49%	1%	180
	Conservative	42%	58%	1%	589
	None of these	35%	65%	0%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	29%	71%	0%	45
	25-34	33%	65%	2%	102
	35-44	39%	60%	1%	215
	45-54	44%	56%	0%	286
	55-64	53%	47%	0%	350
	>64	38%	61%	1%	382

		Q18f: Worked in the wood products industry?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	15%	84%	0%	687
	Female	8%	91%	0%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	8%	92%	0%	107
	Somewhat interested	10%	90%	1%	554
	Very interested	13%	86%	0%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	10%	89%	1%	380
	Somewhat familiar	12%	88%	0%	786
	Very familiar	13%	87%	0%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	10%	89%	1%	771
	Somewhat familiar	13%	87%	0%	524
	Very familiar	16%	83%	1%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	19%	81%	0%	43
	Fair	12%	88%	0%	261
	Good	12%	88%	0%	602
	Excellent	14%	85%	1%	135
	Don't know	11%	88%	1%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	17%	82%	1%	87
	Somewhat concerned	11%	88%	1%	536
	Very concerned	12%	88%	0%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	14%	86%	0%	426
	Occasionally	11%	89%	0%	650
	Often	13%	86%	1%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	11%	89%	0%	847
	1-9 acres	10%	89%	1%	362
	10 or more acres	18%	82%	0%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	23%	76%	1%	170
	No	10%	90%	0%	893
	Don't know	11%	88%	1%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	10%	90%	0%	340
	Suburban	7%	92%	1%	517
	Rural	17%	83%	0%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	8%	91%	0%	811
	South IN	19%	81%	0%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	8%	92%	0%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	16%	84%	0%	55
	HS grad	14%	85%	1%	410
	Some college	12%	88%	0%	370
	College grad+	9%	91%	0%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	8%	92%	0%	96
	White	12%	88%	0%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	11%	88%	0%	228
	Liberal-leaning	6%	94%	0%	135
	Conservative-leaning	14%	86%	0%	180
	Conservative	12%	88%	1%	589
	None of these	11%	89%	0%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	16%	84%	0%	45
	25-34	8%	92%	0%	102
	35-44	15%	85%	0%	215
	45-54	15%	85%	0%	286
	55-64	11%	89%	0%	350
	>64	9%	91%	1%	382

		Q18g: Visited an Indiana State Forest?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	80%	17%	3%	687
	Female	73%	24%	3%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	50%	48%	2%	107
	Somewhat interested	72%	24%	4%	554
	Very interested	83%	14%	3%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	59%	37%	4%	380
	Somewhat familiar	81%	16%	3%	786
	Very familiar	88%	12%	1%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	70%	27%	3%	771
	Somewhat familiar	83%	14%	3%	524
	Very familiar	88%	12%	0%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	77%	23%	0%	43
	Fair	81%	17%	2%	261
	Good	79%	17%	4%	602
	Excellent	82%	16%	1%	135
	Don't know	68%	29%	4%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	64%	33%	2%	87
	Somewhat concerned	74%	22%	4%	536
	Very concerned	83%	15%	2%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	69%	27%	4%	426
	Occasionally	82%	15%	2%	650
	Often	82%	16%	3%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	72%	24%	4%	847
	1-9 acres	82%	15%	3%	362
	10 or more acres	81%	18%	2%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	81%	14%	5%	170
	No	74%	24%	2%	893
	Don't know	80%	15%	4%	339
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	75%	23%	2%	340
	Suburban	75%	21%	4%	517
	Rural	80%	18%	2%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	73%	23%	4%	811
	South IN	83%	14%	3%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	80%	18%	2%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	62%	36%	2%	55
	HS grad	69%	28%	3%	410
	Some college	78%	19%	2%	370
	College grad+	82%	15%	3%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	64%	33%	3%	96
	White	78%	19%	3%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	75%	21%	4%	228
	Liberal-leaning	76%	19%	5%	135
	Conservative-leaning	79%	17%	4%	180
	Conservative	77%	21%	2%	589
	None of these	77%	21%	2%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	76%	20%	4%	45
	25-34	82%	15%	3%	102
	35-44	76%	21%	3%	215
	45-54	81%	16%	2%	286
	55-64	77%	20%	3%	350
	>64	70%	26%	3%	382

		Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	14%	57%	29%	687
	Female	10%	70%	19%	715
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	9%	70%	21%	107
	Somewhat interested	9%	66%	25%	554
	Very interested	15%	61%	24%	741
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	8%	71%	21%	380
	Somewhat familiar	13%	62%	25%	786
	Very familiar	15%	60%	25%	234
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	9%	66%	25%	771
	Somewhat familiar	14%	62%	24%	524
	Very familiar	21%	60%	19%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	12%	63%	26%	43
	Fair	10%	69%	21%	261
	Good	15%	62%	23%	602
	Excellent	13%	70%	16%	135
	Don't know	10%	56%	34%	225
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	14%	64%	22%	87
	Somewhat concerned	10%	66%	25%	536
	Very concerned	15%	62%	24%	637
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	8%	65%	27%	426
	Occasionally	13%	64%	23%	650
	Often	20%	59%	21%	190
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	10%	67%	23%	847
	1-9 acres	15%	60%	26%	362
	10 or more acres	18%	56%	26%	187
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	100%	0%	0%	
	No	0%	100%	0%	
	Don't know	0%	0%	100%	
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	10%	68%	23%	340
	Suburban	10%	66%	24%	517
	Rural	16%	61%	24%	519
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	10%	64%	25%	811
	South IN	16%	62%	22%	416
	Indy (Marion Co)	10%	64%	26%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	7%	71%	22%	55
	HS grad	10%	72%	17%	410
	Some college	12%	63%	25%	370
	College grad+	15%	57%	28%	551
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	7%	70%	23%	96
	White	13%	64%	24%	1267
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	11%	72%	18%	228
	Liberal-leaning	16%	51%	33%	135
	Conservative-leaning	12%	55%	33%	180
	Conservative	13%	66%	21%	589
	None of these	11%	69%	21%	228
Q24: Age?	18-24	16%	53%	31%	45
	25-34	10%	62%	28%	102
	35-44	13%	65%	22%	215
	45-54	17%	57%	26%	286
	55-64	10%	65%	24%	350
	>64	11%	68%	21%	382

		Q20: Your place of residence...?			
		Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	23%	36%	41%	677
	Female	26%	39%	35%	699
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	29%	39%	32%	104
	Somewhat interested	24%	42%	33%	544
	Very interested	24%	34%	42%	728
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	27%	43%	30%	368
	Somewhat familiar	24%	38%	38%	774
	Very familiar	23%	29%	48%	232
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	25%	42%	32%	753
	Somewhat familiar	25%	33%	42%	518
	Very familiar	20%	25%	55%	101
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	28%	35%	37%	43
	Fair	24%	34%	42%	258
	Good	26%	37%	38%	595
	Excellent	24%	34%	43%	131
	Don't know	20%	44%	36%	220
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	23%	47%	30%	83
	Somewhat concerned	22%	41%	38%	530
	Very concerned	26%	33%	41%	629
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	20%	41%	39%	417
	Occasionally	26%	37%	37%	641
	Often	26%	30%	44%	189
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	32%	45%	23%	827
	1-9 acres	15%	33%	52%	357
	10 or more acres	12%	12%	76%	186
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	20%	30%	49%	164
	No	26%	39%	35%	885
	Don't know	24%	39%	38%	327
	Total	25%	38%	38%	1376
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	100%	0%	0%	
	Suburban	0%	100%	0%	
	Rural	0%	0%	100%	
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	23%	41%	36%	798
	South IN	24%	25%	51%	411
	Indy (Marion Co)	38%	56%	6%	126
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	21%	34%	45%	53
	HS grad	25%	29%	46%	399
	Some college	29%	33%	38%	368
	College grad+	22%	48%	30%	547
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	50%	33%	17%	94
	White	22%	38%	40%	1249
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	38%	33%	29%	228
	Liberal-leaning	21%	47%	33%	135
	Conservative-leaning	18%	39%	43%	180
	Conservative	23%	39%	39%	579
	None of these	24%	33%	43%	222
Q24: Age?	18-24	28%	37%	35%	43
	25-34	25%	45%	31%	101
	35-44	23%	40%	37%	211
	45-54	23%	37%	40%	284
	55-64	21%	38%	41%	343
	>64	31%	34%	34%	377

		Q21: Level of education?				
		Less than HS	HS grad	Some college	College grad+	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	4%	27%	28%	41%	673
	Female	4%	32%	25%	38%	713
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	10%	42%	22%	26%	105
	Somewhat interested	4%	31%	24%	40%	550
	Very interested	3%	27%	29%	41%	731
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	6%	34%	27%	32%	374
	Somewhat familiar	4%	29%	26%	42%	777
	Very familiar	1%	24%	29%	45%	233
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	5%	33%	25%	37%	759
	Somewhat familiar	2%	26%	30%	42%	521
	Very familiar	2%	22%	26%	50%	100
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	5%	21%	42%	33%	43
	Fair	6%	30%	29%	36%	258
	Good	4%	26%	27%	43%	598
	Excellent	2%	35%	30%	33%	132
	Don't know	2%	32%	21%	45%	222
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	1%	32%	25%	42%	85
	Somewhat concerned	5%	31%	27%	37%	529
	Very concerned	3%	26%	27%	43%	633
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	4%	31%	27%	37%	420
	Occasionally	4%	28%	25%	43%	645
	Often	4%	24%	32%	40%	188
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	4%	29%	28%	40%	836
	1-9 acres	4%	30%	24%	42%	359
	10 or more acres	3%	32%	27%	37%	186
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	2%	25%	26%	47%	170
	No	4%	34%	26%	36%	884
	Don't know	4%	21%	28%	47%	332
	Total	4%	30%	27%	40%	1386
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	3%	30%	31%	36%	338
	Suburban	3%	22%	24%	50%	515
	Rural	5%	36%	27%	32%	514
	Total	4%	29%	27%	40%	1367
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	4%	31%	26%	38%	807
	South IN	4%	29%	27%	41%	414
	Indy (Marion Co)	2%	16%	31%	51%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	100%	0%	0%	0%	
	HS grad	0%	100%	0%	0%	
	Some college	0%	0%	100%	0%	
	College grad+	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	7%	33%	33%	26%	96
	White	4%	29%	26%	41%	1259
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	3%	35%	25%	37%	227
	Liberal-leaning	4%	17%	23%	55%	134
	Conservative-leaning	1%	18%	32%	49%	180
	Conservative	4%	32%	24%	39%	588
	None of these	6%	32%	32%	30%	226
Q24: Age?	18-24	23%	34%	32%	11%	44
	25-34	3%	17%	22%	58%	101
	35-44	4%	20%	34%	43%	214
	45-54	2%	29%	28%	40%	285
	55-64	3%	28%	27%	43%	349
	>64	5%	40%	22%	33%	379

		Q22: Race-ethnicity?		
		Black/Other	White	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	8%	92%	657
	Female	6%	94%	706
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	13%	87%	106
	Somewhat interested	8%	92%	538
	Very interested	5%	95%	719
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	13%	87%	366
	Somewhat familiar	4%	96%	766
	Very familiar	7%	93%	230
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	9%	91%	750
	Somewhat familiar	4%	96%	509
	Very familiar	9%	91%	99
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	10%	90%	41
	Fair	9%	91%	256
	Good	5%	95%	591
	Excellent	9%	91%	128
	Don't know	5%	95%	213
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	12%	88%	83
	Somewhat concerned	7%	93%	517
	Very concerned	6%	94%	623
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	7%	93%	408
	Occasionally	6%	94%	636
	Often	7%	93%	185
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	9%	91%	817
	1-9 acres	6%	94%	356
	10 or more acres	2%	98%	185
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	4%	96%	167
	No	8%	92%	875
	Don't know	7%	93%	321
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	14%	86%	328
	Suburban	6%	94%	503
	Rural	3%	97%	512
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	6%	94%	794
	South IN	5%	95%	412
	Indy (Marion Co)	20%	80%	120
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	13%	87%	53
	HS grad	8%	92%	401
	Some college	9%	91%	364
	College grad+	5%	95%	537
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	100%	0%	
	White	0%	100%	
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	13%	87%	222
	Liberal-leaning	9%	91%	134
	Conservative-leaning	3%	97%	179
	Conservative	4%	96%	575
	None of these	12%	88%	222
Q24: Age?	18-24	11%	89%	44
	25-34	11%	89%	98
	35-44	10%	90%	213
	45-54	5%	95%	279
	55-64	8%	92%	342
	>64	3%	97%	375

		Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?					
		Liberal	Liberal-leaning	Conservative-leaning	Conservative	None of these	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	16%	10%	14%	42%	17%	664
	Female	17%	9%	13%	44%	16%	696
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	29%	5%	5%	46%	16%	101
	Somewhat interested	15%	11%	14%	45%	14%	535
	Very interested	16%	10%	14%	41%	19%	724
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	20%	9%	10%	43%	17%	359
	Somewhat familiar	16%	11%	14%	43%	16%	769
	Very familiar	15%	8%	14%	46%	17%	230
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	17%	10%	13%	43%	17%	743
	Somewhat familiar	16%	11%	12%	44%	17%	516
	Very familiar	15%	4%	21%	47%	12%	97
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	23%	9%	5%	49%	14%	43
	Fair	12%	12%	13%	42%	22%	257
	Good	17%	11%	14%	44%	14%	584
	Excellent	18%	5%	10%	49%	18%	131
	Don't know	16%	9%	16%	42%	17%	216
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	17%	6%	11%	57%	10%	83
	Somewhat concerned	15%	10%	14%	46%	16%	520
	Very concerned	17%	11%	13%	40%	18%	623
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	16%	8%	13%	47%	16%	407
	Occasionally	16%	12%	14%	41%	17%	638
	Often	17%	9%	13%	47%	14%	186
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	17%	10%	13%	44%	17%	818
	1-9 acres	17%	11%	15%	42%	16%	356
	10 or more acres	17%	9%	12%	45%	17%	181
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	14%	13%	13%	45%	14%	166
	No	19%	8%	11%	44%	18%	878
	Don't know	13%	14%	19%	40%	15%	316
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	26%	8%	10%	39%	16%	332
	Suburban	15%	13%	14%	44%	14%	504
	Rural	13%	9%	15%	44%	19%	508
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	16%	10%	14%	43%	17%	792
	South IN	18%	8%	14%	45%	16%	406
	Indy (Marion Co)	20%	16%	9%	39%	16%	125
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	13%	12%	2%	48%	25%	52
	HS grad	20%	6%	8%	48%	18%	398
	Some college	16%	9%	16%	40%	20%	362
	College grad+	15%	14%	16%	42%	13%	543
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	29%	13%	6%	23%	28%	95
	White	16%	10%	14%	45%	16%	1237
	Total	17%	10%	13%	43%	17%	1332
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Liberal-leaning	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
	Conservative-leaning	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	
	Conservative	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	
	None of these	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Q24: Age?	18-24	31%	5%	2%	21%	40%	42
	25-34	20%	9%	15%	36%	21%	101
	35-44	15%	11%	17%	41%	16%	207
	45-54	13%	11%	17%	39%	20%	281
	55-64	17%	13%	13%	41%	16%	344
	>64	18%	7%	9%	55%	12%	372

		Q24: Age?						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	>64	Total
Q1: Gender...	Male	4%	8%	17%	21%	26%	25%	675
	Female	3%	7%	14%	20%	25%	31%	705
Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?	Not interested	8%	9%	13%	8%	21%	40%	106
	Somewhat interested	3%	8%	17%	21%	24%	26%	545
	Very interested	2%	6%	15%	22%	27%	27%	729
Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?	Not familiar	7%	8%	18%	17%	19%	33%	373
	Somewhat familiar	2%	7%	15%	21%	28%	26%	774
	Very familiar	2%	7%	14%	25%	27%	25%	231
Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?	Not familiar	4%	8%	18%	19%	23%	28%	759
	Somewhat familiar	3%	7%	13%	23%	28%	26%	518
	Very familiar	0%	4%	15%	25%	25%	31%	97
Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.	Poor	2%	14%	9%	19%	26%	30%	43
	Fair	4%	11%	14%	22%	25%	25%	257
	Good	3%	7%	16%	22%	24%	28%	596
	Excellent	3%	7%	18%	18%	28%	26%	132
	Don't know	2%	5%	16%	20%	28%	29%	220
Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?	Not concerned	2%	13%	13%	18%	24%	31%	85
	Somewhat concerned	3%	8%	17%	20%	24%	27%	529
	Very concerned	3%	6%	14%	22%	27%	27%	628
Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?	Almost never	4%	11%	19%	20%	25%	21%	419
	Occasionally	2%	6%	14%	21%	27%	30%	642
	Often	2%	5%	14%	26%	22%	31%	187
Q17: Wooded acres owned?	<1 acre or none	4%	9%	16%	20%	24%	27%	834
	1-9 acres	2%	6%	16%	24%	28%	24%	358
	10 or more acres	3%	3%	13%	17%	29%	35%	184
Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?	Yes	4%	6%	16%	28%	21%	24%	170
	No	3%	7%	16%	19%	26%	30%	879
	Don't know	4%	9%	15%	23%	26%	24%	331
Q20: Your place of residence...?	Urban	4%	7%	14%	19%	21%	35%	337
	Suburban	3%	9%	16%	21%	26%	25%	512
	Rural	3%	6%	15%	23%	27%	25%	510
Q25: Your place of residence...?	North IN	3%	8%	16%	21%	26%	26%	802
	South IN	3%	6%	15%	21%	27%	29%	414
	Indy (Marion Co)	2%	10%	20%	20%	21%	27%	127
Q21: Level of education?	Less than HS	18%	5%	15%	13%	16%	33%	55
	HS grad	4%	4%	10%	20%	24%	37%	406
	Some college	4%	6%	20%	22%	25%	23%	366
	College grad+	1%	11%	17%	21%	27%	23%	545
Q22: Race-ethnicity?	Black/Other	5%	12%	23%	15%	31%	14%	94
	White	3%	7%	15%	21%	25%	29%	1257
Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?	Liberal	6%	9%	14%	16%	26%	29%	227
	Liberal-leaning	2%	7%	17%	23%	33%	19%	132
	Conservative-leaning	1%	8%	20%	27%	25%	19%	178
	Conservative	2%	6%	14%	19%	24%	35%	584
	None of these	8%	9%	15%	24%	25%	19%	226
Q24: Age?	18-24	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	25-34	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	35-44	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
	45-54	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	
	55-64	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	
	>64	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	

APPENDIX F:
Frequency Analysis of All Variables (Excluding Open-ended Questions) by
Additional Geographic Variables
“Supplemental Banner Point Analysis” (unweighted data)

Appendix E included cross tabulation of all variables by 2 geographic variables:

- Q20 (Respondent’s characterization of his/her residence as “urban,” “suburban,” and “rural”), and
- Q25 (Respondent’s Indiana county of residence grouped by “north Indiana,” “south Indiana,” and “Indy” (Indianapolis)).

Appendix F features cross tabulation of all variables by 3 additional geographic groupings of respondents:

- A variable grouping respondents by specified state region, based on Indiana County:

1. The Region:
 - o Lake County
 - o La Porte County
 - o Porter County
2. Fort Wayne:
 - o Adams County
 - o Allen County
 - o De Kalb County
 - o Huntington County
 - o Noble County
 - o Wells County
 - o Whitley County
3. Evansville
 - o Dubois County
 - o Gibson County
 - o Pike County
 - o Posey County
 - o Spencer County
 - o Vanderburgh
 - o Warrick County
4. Louisville
 - o Clark County
 - o Floyd County
 - o Harrison County
 - o Scott County
 - o Washington County
5. Indianapolis
 - o Marion County
6. Other South Indiana
 - o All other Indiana Counties south of I-70
7. Other North Indiana
 - o All other Indiana Counties north of I-70

- A variable grouping respondents by whether they reside in counties in which Indiana State Forests are located:
 - 1. Residents of Counties in which State Forests are located:
 - o Brown County
 - o Clark County
 - o Crawford County
 - o Dubois County
 - o Greene County
 - o Harrison County
 - o Jack County
 - o Jackson County
 - o Jennings County
 - o Martin County
 - o Monroe County
 - o Morgan County
 - o Owen County
 - o Pike County
 - o Putnam County
 - o Sullivan County
 - o Wabash County
 - o Washington County
 - 2. Residents of Counties in which State Forests are not located:
 - o All other Indiana Counties other than those listed above.
- A variable grouping respondents by whether they reside,
 - 1. In Indianapolis metropolitan Counties (“Indy-metro”),
 - o Boone County
 - o Hamilton County
 - o Hancock County
 - o Madison County
 - o Hendricks County
 - o Johnson County
 - o Marion County
 - o Morgan County
 - o Shelby County
 - 2. In another Indiana metropolitan County (“Other-metro”),
 - o Lake County
 - o Porter County
 - o Newton County
 - o Jasper County
 - o La Porte County
 - o St. Joseph County
 - o Elkhart County
 - o Benton County
 - o Tippecanoe County
 - o Carroll County
 - o Howard County
 - o Tipton County
 - o Madison County

- o Delaware County
 - o Whitley County
 - o Allen County
 - o Wells County
 - o Vermillion County
 - o Vigo County
 - o Clay County
 - o Sullivan County
 - o Owen County
 - o Greene County
 - o Monroe County
 - o Bartholomew County
 - o Franklin County
 - o Dearborn County
 - o Ohio County
 - o Washington County
 - o Clark County
 - o Floyd County
 - o Harrison County
 - o Warrick County
 - o Vanderburgh County
 - o Posey County
 - o Gibson County
3. Or in non-metropolitan Counties (“Outside-metro”).
- o All Indiana Counties other than those listed above

		Q1: Gender...		
		Male	Female	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	55%	45%	144
	Ft. Wayne	40%	60%	146
	Evansville	51%	49%	88
	Louisville	46%	54%	68
	Indianapolis	43%	57%	127
	Other South IN	51%	49%	260
	Other North IN	50%	50%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	52%	48%	159
	No	49%	51%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	44%	56%	261
	Other-metro	50%	50%	761
	Outside-metro	50%	50%	329

		Q2: Your interest in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and outdoors?			
		Not interested	Somewhat interested	Very interested	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	8%	44%	48%	144
	Ft. Wayne	3%	43%	54%	146
	Evansville	7%	40%	53%	88
	Louisville	4%	41%	54%	68
	Indianapolis	10%	37%	53%	127
	Other South IN	7%	33%	60%	260
	Other North IN	9%	40%	51%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	8%	36%	56%	159
	No	7%	40%	53%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	8%	39%	53%	261
	Other-metro	8%	39%	53%	761
	Outside-metro	6%	40%	54%	329

		Q3: Your familiarity with IN DNR?			
		Not familiar	Somewhat familiar	Very familiar	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	38%	44%	18%	144
	Ft. Wayne	23%	59%	18%	146
	Evansville	31%	55%	15%	88
	Louisville	26%	53%	21%	68
	Indianapolis	26%	57%	17%	127
	Other South IN	21%	63%	17%	258
	Other North IN	27%	56%	16%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	23%	60%	17%	157
	No	27%	56%	17%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	24%	58%	18%	261
	Other-metro	28%	55%	17%	760
	Outside-metro	26%	57%	16%	328

		Q4: Your familiarity with IN Div of Forestry?			
		Not familiar	Somewhat familiar	Very familiar	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	64%	33%	3%	143
	Ft. Wayne	56%	38%	6%	146
	Evansville	52%	41%	7%	88
	Louisville	56%	28%	16%	68
	Indianapolis	57%	39%	4%	127
	Other South IN	44%	46%	10%	260
	Other North IN	56%	37%	7%	513
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	43%	44%	13%	159
	No	56%	37%	6%	1187
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	56%	36%	8%	261
	Other-metro	56%	37%	7%	759
	Outside-metro	50%	43%	8%	326

		Q6: Rate the job IN Div of Forestry is doing in providing services for IN.					
		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	5%	28%	37%	9%	22%	129
	Ft. Wayne	0%	19%	52%	13%	15%	134
	Evansville	4%	24%	52%	12%	9%	85
	Louisville	0%	13%	51%	16%	20%	61
	Indianapolis	6%	17%	50%	10%	17%	115
	Other South IN	3%	23%	53%	10%	12%	235
	Other North IN	4%	19%	46%	10%	22%	465
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	4%	21%	54%	9%	12%	148
	No	3%	20%	47%	11%	18%	1077
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	5%	20%	44%	11%	21%	243
	Other-metro	3%	22%	48%	10%	17%	683
	Outside-metro	2%	18%	52%	11%	17%	299

		Q7: Your concern about long-term health/productivity of IN woodlands?			
		Not concerned	Somewhat concerned	Very concerned	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	9%	40%	50%	129
	Ft. Wayne	9%	43%	48%	132
	Evansville	9%	36%	54%	85
	Louisville	5%	35%	60%	60
	Indianapolis	7%	43%	50%	115
	Other South IN	6%	40%	54%	235
	Other North IN	6%	45%	49%	462
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	5%	37%	57%	147
	No	7%	43%	50%	1072
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	7%	45%	48%	243
	Other-metro	7%	40%	53%	677
	Outside-metro	7%	45%	48%	299

		Q8: How often do you hear/read about IN woodlands?			
		Almost never	Occasionally	Often	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	41%	47%	12%	129
	Ft. Wayne	24%	61%	15%	134
	Evansville	27%	54%	19%	85
	Louisville	38%	43%	20%	61
	Indianapolis	30%	57%	13%	115
	Other South IN	24%	57%	18%	235
	Other North IN	40%	46%	14%	465
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	25%	52%	23%	148
	No	34%	52%	14%	1077
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	33%	53%	14%	243
	Other-metro	34%	49%	16%	683
	Outside-metro	31%	56%	14%	299

		Q9: Do you think most of Indiana's woodlands are in govt ownership, private-corp. ownership, or about equal government & private?				
		Government	Private-Corp.	Equal govt & private	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	25%	19%	49%	8%	144
	Ft. Wayne	21%	22%	47%	10%	146
	Evansville	32%	20%	41%	7%	88
	Louisville	22%	28%	41%	9%	68
	Indianapolis	34%	15%	46%	6%	127
	Other South IN	25%	21%	45%	9%	260
	Other North IN	23%	21%	44%	13%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	25%	23%	43%	10%	159
	No	25%	20%	45%	10%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	31%	17%	45%	7%	261
	Other-metro	25%	21%	44%	10%	761
	Outside-metro	20%	22%	46%	12%	329

		Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	57%	37%	6%	144
	Ft. Wayne	65%	31%	4%	146
	Evansville	65%	32%	3%	88
	Louisville	71%	28%	1%	68
	Indianapolis	54%	39%	7%	127
	Other South IN	67%	30%	3%	260
	Other North IN	61%	34%	5%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	64%	34%	2%	159
	No	62%	33%	5%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	57%	38%	5%	261
	Other-metro	61%	35%	4%	761
	Outside-metro	68%	27%	5%	329

		Q10b: Harvesting Indiana trees to improve places for wildlife to live?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	81%	16%	3%	144
	Ft. Wayne	80%	16%	3%	146
	Evansville	81%	17%	2%	88
	Louisville	90%	9%	1%	68
	Indianapolis	83%	14%	3%	127
	Other South IN	81%	16%	3%	260
	Other North IN	82%	16%	2%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	81%	17%	3%	159
	No	82%	15%	3%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	84%	13%	3%	261
	Other-metro	81%	16%	3%	761
	Outside-metro	83%	16%	1%	329

		Q10c: Cutting Indiana trees to make room for new homes?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	15%	82%	3%	144
	Ft. Wayne	23%	73%	5%	146
	Evansville	25%	74%	1%	88
	Louisville	15%	75%	10%	68
	Indianapolis	19%	80%	2%	127
	Other South IN	20%	77%	3%	260
	Other North IN	16%	81%	3%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	18%	75%	6%	159
	No	18%	79%	3%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	14%	84%	2%	261
	Other-metro	18%	78%	4%	761
	Outside-metro	21%	77%	3%	329

		Q10d: Advising Indiana private landowners on how many and what kinds of trees they might harvest & sell?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	74%	24%	3%	144
	Ft. Wayne	68%	29%	3%	146
	Evansville	70%	30%	0%	88
	Louisville	69%	28%	3%	68
	Indianapolis	75%	21%	4%	127
	Other South IN	75%	22%	3%	260
	Other North IN	73%	24%	3%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	71%	25%	4%	159
	No	73%	24%	3%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	77%	21%	2%	261
	Other-metro	72%	26%	3%	761
	Outside-metro	71%	24%	5%	329

		Q10e: Removing some trees to protect Indiana woodlands from spread of disease & wildfire?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	97%	2%	1%	144
	Ft. Wayne	96%	3%	1%	146
	Evansville	92%	6%	2%	88
	Louisville	97%	3%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	94%	6%	1%	127
	Other South IN	93%	5%	2%	260
	Other North IN	95%	3%	2%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	92%	4%	3%	159
	No	95%	4%	1%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	94%	5%	2%	261
	Other-metro	96%	3%	1%	761
	Outside-metro	94%	4%	2%	329

		Q10f: Harvesting Indiana trees for woodland management if overseen by professional foresters?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	85%	10%	4%	144
	Ft. Wayne	92%	7%	1%	146
	Evansville	90%	9%	1%	88
	Louisville	91%	6%	3%	68
	Indianapolis	86%	11%	3%	127
	Other South IN	88%	11%	1%	260
	Other North IN	85%	13%	2%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	87%	12%	1%	159
	No	87%	11%	2%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	87%	11%	2%	261
	Other-metro	87%	10%	2%	761
	Outside-metro	88%	11%	1%	329

		Q10g: Importing wood products we use from other states and countries instead of harvesting Indiana trees?			
		Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	52%	43%	5%	144
	Ft. Wayne	43%	45%	12%	146
	Evansville	45%	49%	6%	88
	Louisville	35%	56%	9%	68
	Indianapolis	46%	41%	13%	127
	Other South IN	39%	52%	8%	260
	Other North IN	52%	42%	7%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	40%	53%	7%	159
	No	47%	44%	8%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	46%	43%	11%	261
	Other-metro	48%	45%	7%	761
	Outside-metro	43%	49%	8%	329

		Q11: Indiana's State Forest System makes up about 3% of Indiana's woodlands. Do you think this amount of State-owned land is...				
		Too much	About right	Not enough	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	1%	32%	62%	5%	144
	Ft. Wayne	1%	42%	51%	6%	146
	Evansville	2%	41%	55%	2%	88
	Louisville	6%	32%	59%	3%	68
	Indianapolis	4%	30%	61%	6%	127
	Other South IN	2%	42%	53%	4%	260
	Other North IN	2%	39%	54%	5%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	2%	37%	57%	4%	159
	No	2%	38%	55%	5%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	2%	35%	58%	5%	261
	Other-metro	2%	37%	56%	5%	761
	Outside-metro	2%	44%	50%	4%	329

		Q13a: Indiana woodlands are valuable only if they produce jobs and income for people.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	74%	12%	13%	1%	144
	Ft. Wayne	82%	8%	10%	0%	146
	Evansville	84%	3%	11%	1%	88
	Louisville	81%	3%	16%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	81%	8%	11%	0%	127
	Other South IN	83%	7%	10%	0%	260
	Other North IN	77%	8%	14%	1%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	79%	9%	11%	1%	159
	No	80%	7%	12%	1%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	80%	7%	12%	0%	261
	Other-metro	79%	7%	13%	1%	761
	Outside-metro	80%	9%	10%	1%	329

		Q13b: Indiana woodlands should be left untouched by humans?				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	42%	19%	38%	1%	144
	Ft. Wayne	55%	19%	26%	0%	146
	Evansville	58%	13%	30%	0%	88
	Louisville	63%	19%	18%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	50%	16%	34%	1%	127
	Other South IN	60%	15%	25%	1%	260
	Other North IN	52%	16%	31%	2%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	62%	14%	24%	1%	159
	No	52%	17%	30%	1%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	55%	15%	30%	1%	261
	Other-metro	52%	17%	30%	1%	761
	Outside-metro	56%	16%	27%	1%	329

		Q13c: The ways we manage Indiana woodlands today usually hurt the environment in the long-run.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	31%	25%	39%	6%	144
	Ft. Wayne	42%	28%	23%	8%	146
	Evansville	34%	18%	41%	7%	88
	Louisville	44%	26%	24%	6%	68
	Indianapolis	39%	17%	36%	8%	127
	Other South IN	43%	22%	30%	5%	260
	Other North IN	38%	24%	31%	7%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	42%	21%	31%	6%	159
	No	38%	24%	32%	7%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	39%	22%	31%	8%	261
	Other-metro	37%	24%	33%	5%	761
	Outside-metro	41%	22%	29%	8%	329

		Q13d: In Indiana, our future wood needs will be met because we're growing more than enough trees to replace the ones we're harvesting.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	50%	24%	19%	7%	144
	Ft. Wayne	39%	25%	27%	9%	146
	Evansville	56%	14%	27%	3%	88
	Louisville	40%	18%	35%	7%	68
	Indianapolis	48%	20%	22%	9%	127
	Other South IN	41%	23%	28%	8%	260
	Other North IN	40%	24%	26%	10%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	43%	21%	30%	6%	159
	No	43%	22%	26%	9%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	44%	22%	23%	11%	261
	Other-metro	43%	22%	27%	8%	761
	Outside-metro	40%	22%	28%	9%	329

		Q13e: Indiana woodlands should be managed for a balance of wood products we use, and other benefits like recreation, wildlife, and good water quality.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	5%	10%	85%	1%	144
	Ft. Wayne	2%	7%	90%	1%	146
	Evansville	2%	3%	93%	1%	88
	Louisville	3%	3%	94%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	4%	9%	85%	2%	127
	Other South IN	4%	7%	88%	1%	260
	Other North IN	4%	5%	90%	1%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	2%	6%	91%	1%	159
	No	4%	6%	89%	1%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	4%	7%	88%	1%	261
	Other-metro	4%	6%	89%	1%	761
	Outside-metro	4%	6%	89%	1%	329

		Q13f: The amount of forested land in Indiana is shrinking.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	10%	17%	65%	8%	144
	Ft. Wayne	9%	13%	70%	8%	146
	Evansville	9%	8%	77%	6%	88
	Louisville	9%	7%	79%	4%	68
	Indianapolis	8%	13%	71%	9%	127
	Other South IN	8%	14%	73%	5%	260
	Other North IN	8%	13%	74%	6%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	8%	11%	77%	4%	159
	No	8%	13%	72%	7%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	10%	14%	70%	7%	261
	Other-metro	8%	13%	73%	6%	761
	Outside-metro	8%	12%	74%	6%	329

		Q13g: If I hear an Indiana forest is being managed as a certified green forest, I get the idea it's being wisely managed for future generations.				
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	5%	17%	74%	5%	144
	Ft. Wayne	5%	12%	80%	2%	146
	Evansville	8%	14%	76%	2%	88
	Louisville	3%	18%	72%	7%	68
	Indianapolis	4%	13%	72%	10%	127
	Other South IN	9%	13%	74%	4%	260
	Other North IN	7%	12%	77%	4%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	8%	10%	78%	4%	159
	No	6%	14%	75%	5%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	7%	14%	72%	7%	261
	Other-metro	6%	14%	76%	4%	761
	Outside-metro	7%	12%	78%	4%	329

		Q14a: Insects or plant diseases?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	8%	40%	49%	4%	144
	Ft. Wayne	6%	40%	51%	2%	146
	Evansville	7%	39%	52%	2%	88
	Louisville	13%	41%	46%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	13%	33%	49%	5%	127
	Other South IN	9%	42%	48%	2%	260
	Other North IN	9%	36%	52%	3%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	10%	44%	45%	1%	159
	No	9%	38%	51%	3%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	12%	42%	43%	3%	261
	Other-metro	8%	38%	51%	3%	761
	Outside-metro	8%	36%	53%	2%	329

		Q14b: Urban sprawl?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	10%	26%	60%	5%	144
	Ft. Wayne	10%	31%	53%	6%	146
	Evansville	6%	31%	58%	6%	88
	Louisville	9%	31%	54%	6%	68
	Indianapolis	8%	35%	54%	3%	127
	Other South IN	9%	27%	56%	8%	260
	Other North IN	8%	26%	56%	9%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	6%	31%	58%	6%	159
	No	9%	28%	56%	8%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	7%	29%	60%	5%	261
	Other-metro	8%	28%	57%	7%	761
	Outside-metro	11%	28%	52%	9%	329

		Q14c: Using Indiana trees to make wood products?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	19%	52%	25%	3%	144
	Ft. Wayne	20%	52%	26%	2%	146
	Evansville	20%	49%	31%	0%	88
	Louisville	18%	57%	21%	4%	68
	Indianapolis	20%	41%	33%	6%	127
	Other South IN	23%	53%	21%	2%	260
	Other North IN	22%	53%	22%	3%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	20%	54%	25%	1%	159
	No	21%	51%	24%	3%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	22%	48%	26%	5%	261
	Other-metro	20%	53%	24%	2%	761
	Outside-metro	24%	50%	22%	4%	329

		Q14d: Unplanned forest fires?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	42%	28%	26%	3%	144
	Ft. Wayne	40%	33%	25%	3%	146
	Evansville	32%	32%	35%	1%	88
	Louisville	32%	46%	22%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	36%	31%	30%	3%	127
	Other South IN	37%	35%	27%	1%	260
	Other North IN	41%	26%	31%	3%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	33%	35%	30%	2%	159
	No	39%	30%	29%	2%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	39%	31%	28%	2%	261
	Other-metro	38%	31%	29%	2%	761
	Outside-metro	39%	28%	30%	3%	329

		Q14e: Cutting or harvesting trees in Indiana?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	17%	49%	31%	3%	144
	Ft. Wayne	12%	57%	28%	3%	146
	Evansville	18%	44%	34%	3%	88
	Louisville	21%	60%	16%	3%	68
	Indianapolis	20%	43%	31%	5%	127
	Other South IN	19%	50%	28%	3%	260
	Other North IN	18%	50%	29%	3%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	19%	52%	26%	3%	159
	No	18%	50%	29%	3%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	18%	51%	26%	4%	261
	Other-metro	17%	51%	29%	2%	761
	Outside-metro	21%	46%	30%	3%	329

		Q14f: Climate change?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	30%	35%	32%	3%	144
	Ft. Wayne	33%	39%	26%	2%	146
	Evansville	40%	38%	22%	1%	88
	Louisville	32%	40%	25%	3%	68
	Indianapolis	23%	37%	36%	4%	127
	Other South IN	35%	36%	25%	4%	260
	Other North IN	34%	33%	29%	4%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	35%	38%	25%	2%	159
	No	33%	35%	29%	3%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	33%	35%	29%	3%	261
	Other-metro	31%	37%	29%	3%	761
	Outside-metro	38%	32%	26%	4%	329

		Q14g: Use of planned or prescribed fires?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	42%	40%	15%	3%	144
	Ft. Wayne	33%	51%	12%	3%	146
	Evansville	48%	38%	9%	6%	88
	Louisville	46%	34%	18%	3%	68
	Indianapolis	41%	38%	13%	8%	127
	Other South IN	40%	44%	12%	4%	260
	Other North IN	44%	36%	15%	5%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	42%	42%	11%	6%	159
	No	42%	39%	14%	5%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	46%	36%	12%	6%	261
	Other-metro	41%	41%	14%	4%	761
	Outside-metro	40%	40%	14%	6%	329

		Q14h: Air & water pollution?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	15%	26%	56%	4%	144
	Ft. Wayne	12%	42%	45%	1%	146
	Evansville	16%	49%	35%	0%	88
	Louisville	15%	38%	47%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	13%	37%	48%	2%	127
	Other South IN	18%	33%	47%	1%	260
	Other North IN	14%	34%	50%	3%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	16%	40%	43%	1%	159
	No	15%	35%	49%	2%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	16%	38%	45%	1%	261
	Other-metro	14%	34%	50%	2%	761
	Outside-metro	16%	36%	47%	2%	329

		Q14i: Spread of non-native plants & animals?				
		Low threat	Medium threat	High threat	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	17%	40%	40%	3%	144
	Ft. Wayne	17%	43%	34%	5%	146
	Evansville	15%	53%	28%	3%	88
	Louisville	21%	40%	35%	4%	68
	Indianapolis	17%	36%	43%	5%	127
	Other South IN	19%	39%	38%	4%	260
	Other North IN	21%	39%	35%	4%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	16%	41%	39%	4%	159
	No	19%	40%	36%	4%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	20%	39%	37%	4%	261
	Other-metro	17%	42%	37%	4%	761
	Outside-metro	22%	37%	36%	5%	329

		Q15a: TV shows & documentaries?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	19%	53%	28%	144
	Ft. Wayne	12%	58%	31%	146
	Evansville	13%	55%	33%	88
	Louisville	16%	50%	34%	68
	Indianapolis	16%	51%	33%	127
	Other South IN	17%	56%	27%	260
	Other North IN	17%	53%	30%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	18%	56%	26%	159
	No	16%	53%	31%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	15%	54%	31%	261
	Other-metro	16%	54%	30%	761
	Outside-metro	17%	54%	29%	329

		Q15b: Internet or Web?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	44%	38%	18%	144
	Ft. Wayne	51%	29%	19%	146
	Evansville	41%	42%	17%	88
	Louisville	54%	40%	6%	68
	Indianapolis	44%	33%	23%	127
	Other South IN	49%	34%	17%	260
	Other North IN	49%	35%	16%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	54%	35%	11%	159
	No	47%	35%	18%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	44%	38%	18%	261
	Other-metro	47%	35%	17%	761
	Outside-metro	53%	32%	15%	329

		Q15c: Indiana DNR?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	39%	48%	13%	144
	Ft. Wayne	29%	55%	16%	146
	Evansville	39%	55%	7%	88
	Louisville	40%	41%	19%	68
	Indianapolis	41%	50%	9%	127
	Other South IN	38%	51%	12%	260
	Other North IN	39%	49%	12%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	40%	50%	10%	159
	No	38%	50%	13%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	37%	53%	10%	261
	Other-metro	41%	47%	12%	761
	Outside-metro	32%	53%	15%	329

		Q15d: Friends & family?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	33%	53%	15%	144
	Ft. Wayne	34%	47%	19%	146
	Evansville	36%	43%	20%	88
	Louisville	35%	51%	13%	68
	Indianapolis	49%	43%	9%	127
	Other South IN	33%	52%	16%	260
	Other North IN	39%	47%	15%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	33%	58%	9%	159
	No	38%	47%	16%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	43%	48%	8%	261
	Other-metro	37%	47%	16%	761
	Outside-metro	31%	50%	19%	329

		Q15e: News media (newspapers, radio, or TV news)?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	13%	62%	26%	144
	Ft. Wayne	15%	60%	25%	146
	Evansville	13%	63%	25%	88
	Louisville	22%	60%	18%	68
	Indianapolis	19%	54%	27%	127
	Other South IN	18%	60%	23%	260
	Other North IN	20%	55%	25%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	19%	58%	22%	159
	No	17%	58%	25%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	18%	56%	26%	261
	Other-metro	17%	60%	23%	761
	Outside-metro	19%	53%	28%	329

		Q15f: Environmental & preservation groups?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	35%	47%	18%	144
	Ft. Wayne	36%	53%	11%	146
	Evansville	36%	45%	18%	88
	Louisville	31%	60%	9%	68
	Indianapolis	37%	47%	16%	127
	Other South IN	40%	45%	15%	260
	Other North IN	41%	43%	16%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	40%	46%	14%	159
	No	38%	47%	15%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	38%	47%	15%	261
	Other-metro	36%	48%	16%	761
	Outside-metro	44%	43%	14%	329

		Q15g: Sportsmen's & conservation groups?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	39%	47%	15%	144
	Ft. Wayne	36%	49%	16%	146
	Evansville	34%	41%	25%	88
	Louisville	41%	41%	18%	68
	Indianapolis	43%	45%	12%	127
	Other South IN	38%	43%	18%	260
	Other North IN	38%	46%	16%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	42%	44%	14%	159
	No	38%	45%	17%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	43%	46%	11%	261
	Other-metro	39%	43%	18%	761
	Outside-metro	33%	49%	18%	329

		Q15h: Magazines?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	35%	49%	16%	144
	Ft. Wayne	33%	51%	16%	146
	Evansville	30%	51%	19%	88
	Louisville	21%	59%	21%	68
	Indianapolis	31%	50%	18%	127
	Other South IN	28%	52%	19%	260
	Other North IN	34%	49%	17%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	25%	57%	18%	159
	No	33%	50%	17%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	33%	51%	16%	261
	Other-metro	32%	50%	18%	761
	Outside-metro	31%	51%	18%	329

		Q15i: University experts?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	49%	37%	14%	144
	Ft. Wayne	47%	35%	18%	146
	Evansville	48%	38%	15%	88
	Louisville	51%	41%	7%	68
	Indianapolis	52%	39%	9%	127
	Other South IN	47%	41%	12%	260
	Other North IN	48%	39%	13%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	46%	43%	11%	159
	No	48%	38%	13%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	52%	38%	10%	261
	Other-metro	46%	40%	14%	761
	Outside-metro	49%	38%	13%	329

		Q15j: Companies that make wood products?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	33%	54%	13%	144
	Ft. Wayne	38%	51%	12%	146
	Evansville	28%	52%	19%	88
	Louisville	40%	49%	12%	68
	Indianapolis	45%	38%	17%	127
	Other South IN	39%	47%	14%	260
	Other North IN	43%	44%	13%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	43%	43%	13%	159
	No	39%	47%	14%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	43%	42%	15%	261
	Other-metro	38%	49%	13%	761
	Outside-metro	40%	45%	15%	329

		Q15k: U.S. Forest Service?			
		Nothing	Some	A lot	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	29%	51%	19%	144
	Ft. Wayne	37%	44%	19%	146
	Evansville	25%	50%	25%	88
	Louisville	35%	50%	15%	68
	Indianapolis	40%	38%	22%	127
	Other South IN	36%	45%	19%	260
	Other North IN	40%	42%	18%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	40%	40%	19%	159
	No	36%	45%	19%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	39%	41%	20%	261
	Other-metro	35%	47%	18%	761
	Outside-metro	38%	41%	22%	329

		Q17: Wooded acres owned?			
		<1 acre or none	1-9 acres	10 or more acres	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	71%	22%	6%	143
	Ft. Wayne	56%	28%	16%	146
	Evansville	57%	28%	15%	88
	Louisville	44%	29%	26%	68
	Indianapolis	70%	27%	3%	127
	Other South IN	43%	30%	27%	259
	Other North IN	67%	24%	9%	515
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	39%	32%	29%	158
	No	63%	26%	12%	1189
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	65%	28%	7%	260
	Other-metro	61%	26%	13%	758
	Outside-metro	54%	25%	21%	329

		Q18a: Gone fishing or hunting in Indiana woodlands?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	60%	38%	1%	144
	Ft. Wayne	71%	29%	0%	146
	Evansville	76%	24%	0%	88
	Louisville	68%	31%	1%	68
	Indianapolis	65%	33%	2%	127
	Other South IN	77%	23%	0%	260
	Other North IN	71%	29%	0%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	71%	29%	0%	159
	No	71%	29%	0%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	71%	28%	1%	261
	Other-metro	69%	31%	0%	761
	Outside-metro	74%	26%	0%	329

		Q18b: Cut/collected trees for firewood?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	34%	65%	1%	144
	Ft. Wayne	47%	53%	0%	146
	Evansville	40%	60%	0%	88
	Louisville	49%	51%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	28%	72%	0%	127
	Other South IN	53%	47%	0%	260
	Other North IN	42%	58%	0%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	53%	47%	0%	159
	No	41%	58%	0%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	35%	65%	0%	261
	Other-metro	42%	58%	0%	761
	Outside-metro	50%	50%	0%	329

		Q18c: Requested info about trees from IDNR or Division of Forestry?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	22%	76%	2%	144
	Ft. Wayne	33%	67%	0%	146
	Evansville	26%	74%	0%	88
	Louisville	34%	66%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	26%	72%	2%	127
	Other South IN	31%	67%	2%	260
	Other North IN	29%	70%	2%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	32%	67%	1%	159
	No	28%	70%	1%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	31%	68%	1%	261
	Other-metro	26%	72%	2%	761
	Outside-metro	33%	67%	1%	329

		Q18d: Gathered mushrooms, nuts, or berries from woodlands?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	31%	69%	0%	144
	Ft. Wayne	52%	48%	0%	146
	Evansville	51%	49%	0%	88
	Louisville	56%	44%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	37%	63%	0%	127
	Other South IN	68%	31%	0%	260
	Other North IN	52%	47%	0%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	70%	30%	0%	159
	No	49%	50%	0%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	43%	57%	0%	261
	Other-metro	48%	52%	0%	761
	Outside-metro	67%	33%	1%	329

		Q18e: Requested info about trees from a private nursery or conservation group?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	44%	55%	1%	144
	Ft. Wayne	45%	55%	1%	146
	Evansville	48%	51%	1%	88
	Louisville	35%	65%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	49%	51%	0%	127
	Other South IN	43%	57%	0%	260
	Other North IN	42%	58%	1%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	38%	61%	1%	159
	No	44%	56%	1%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	50%	49%	0%	261
	Other-metro	41%	58%	1%	761
	Outside-metro	42%	57%	1%	329

		Q18f: Worked in the wood products industry?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	7%	92%	1%	144
	Ft. Wayne	7%	92%	1%	146
	Evansville	22%	78%	0%	88
	Louisville	16%	84%	0%	68
	Indianapolis	8%	92%	0%	127
	Other South IN	19%	81%	0%	260
	Other North IN	9%	91%	0%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	19%	80%	1%	159
	No	10%	89%	0%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	10%	90%	0%	261
	Other-metro	11%	89%	0%	761
	Outside-metro	16%	84%	1%	329

		Q18g: Visited an Indiana State Forest?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	72%	21%	7%	144
	Ft. Wayne	78%	20%	2%	146
	Evansville	84%	14%	2%	88
	Louisville	81%	18%	1%	68
	Indianapolis	80%	18%	2%	127
	Other South IN	84%	13%	3%	260
	Other North IN	72%	25%	3%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	86%	11%	3%	159
	No	76%	21%	3%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	82%	17%	2%	261
	Other-metro	76%	20%	3%	761
	Outside-metro	75%	21%	4%	329

		Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement in last 5 yrs?			
		Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	8%	61%	31%	144
	Ft. Wayne	10%	62%	29%	146
	Evansville	14%	60%	26%	88
	Louisville	18%	66%	16%	68
	Indianapolis	10%	64%	26%	127
	Other South IN	17%	62%	22%	260
	Other North IN	11%	66%	23%	517
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	19%	59%	21%	159
	No	11%	64%	25%	1192
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	13%	67%	20%	261
	Other-metro	10%	63%	27%	761
	Outside-metro	16%	62%	22%	329

		Q20: Your place of residence...?			
		Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	21%	51%	28%	142
	Ft. Wayne	26%	40%	34%	144
	Evansville	28%	30%	42%	88
	Louisville	25%	25%	49%	67
	Indianapolis	38%	56%	6%	126
	Other South IN	22%	24%	54%	256
	Other North IN	23%	38%	39%	508
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	23%	14%	63%	157
	No	25%	41%	34%	1175
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	26%	57%	18%	260
	Other-metro	25%	38%	36%	748
	Outside-metro	23%	20%	57%	324

		Q21: Level of education?				
		Less than HS	HS grad	Some college	College grad+	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	3%	30%	28%	39%	144
	Ft. Wayne	4%	31%	24%	41%	144
	Evansville	2%	24%	30%	44%	87
	Louisville	4%	31%	21%	43%	67
	Indianapolis	2%	16%	31%	51%	127
	Other South IN	4%	30%	27%	39%	260
	Other North IN	5%	32%	26%	37%	515
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	4%	34%	25%	37%	158
	No	4%	29%	27%	41%	1187
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	2%	18%	26%	54%	261
	Other-metro	4%	29%	28%	40%	756
	Outside-metro	4%	40%	25%	31%	328

		Q22: Race-ethnicity?		
		Black/Other	White	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	14%	86%	142
	Ft. Wayne	4%	96%	145
	Evansville	6%	94%	88
	Louisville	4%	96%	67
	Indianapolis	20%	80%	120
	Other South IN	4%	96%	257
	Other North IN	5%	95%	503
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	5%	95%	155
	No	7%	93%	1168
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	12%	88%	253
	Other-metro	7%	93%	744
	Outside-metro	3%	97%	326

		Q23: Regarding social & environmental issues, are you...?					
		Liberal	Liberal-leaning	Conservative-leaning	Conservative	None of these	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	17%	15%	19%	33%	16%	138
	Ft. Wayne	12%	8%	15%	53%	13%	144
	Evansville	24%	7%	13%	44%	13%	87
	Louisville	17%	5%	14%	46%	18%	65
	Indianapolis	20%	16%	9%	39%	16%	125
	Other South IN	17%	9%	14%	45%	16%	254
	Other North IN	16%	9%	13%	42%	19%	506
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	17%	8%	10%	46%	19%	155
	No	17%	10%	14%	43%	16%	1165
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	16%	12%	11%	45%	16%	255
	Other-metro	18%	9%	14%	41%	17%	744
	Outside-metro	16%	10%	13%	47%	15%	321

		Q24: Age?						
		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	>64	Total
Q24: Indiana Regions	The Region	7%	8%	16%	25%	23%	21%	142
	Ft. Wayne	1%	7%	17%	20%	24%	30%	143
	Evansville	2%	9%	13%	22%	23%	32%	88
	Louisville	4%	9%	12%	21%	27%	27%	67
	Indianapolis	2%	10%	20%	20%	21%	27%	127
	Other South IN	3%	3%	16%	21%	28%	28%	259
	Other North IN	3%	9%	15%	20%	27%	26%	513
Q24: Reside in County with State Forest?	Yes	4%	4%	15%	21%	25%	32%	158
	No	3%	8%	16%	21%	26%	26%	1182
Q25: Metro residence?	Indy-metro	2%	10%	19%	18%	24%	27%	259
	Other-metro	3%	7%	16%	21%	27%	25%	753
	Outside-metro	3%	6%	14%	22%	24%	30%	328

Table F1. Interest in Indiana's out-of-doors (Q2) by gender (Q1).

Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?	Q1: Gender			
	Male		Female	
Very interested	386	56%	355	50%
Somewhat/not interested	301	44%	360	50%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.006	1	.014
Continuity Correction ^a	5.747	1	.017
Likelihood Ratio	6.011	1	.014
N of Valid Cases	1402		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q2 (Very interested / Somewhat/not interested)	1.300	1.054	1.605
N of Valid Cases	1402		

Table F2. Interest in Indiana's out-of-doors (Q2) by age (Q24).

Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?	Q24: Age?			
	>44		18-44	
Very interested	559	55%	170	47%
Somewhat/not interested	459	45%	192	53%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.773	1	.009
Continuity Correction ^a	6.458	1	.011
Likelihood Ratio	6.766	1	.009
N of Valid Cases	1380		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q2: (Very interested / Somewhat/not interested)	1.375	1.081	1.749
N of Valid Cases	1380		

Table F3. Interest in Indiana's out-of-doors (Q2) by race/ethnicity identification (Q22).

Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?	Q22: Race-ethnicity?			
	White		Non-white	
Very interested	680	54%	39	41%
Somewhat/not interested	587	46%	57	59%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.093	1	.014
Continuity Correction ^a	5.581	1	.018
Likelihood Ratio	6.099	1	.014
N of Valid Cases	1363		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q2: (Very interested / Somewhat/not interested)	1.693	1.110	2.582
N of Valid Cases	1363		

Table F4. Interest in Indiana's out-of-doors (Q2) by self-described urban/rural residence (Q20).

Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?	Q20: Is your residence...?			
	Rural		Urban	
Very interested	305	59%	423	49%
Somewhat/not interested	214	41%	434	51%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.485	1	.001
Continuity Correction ^a	11.110	1	.001
Likelihood Ratio	11.526	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	1376		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q2: (Very interested / Somewhat/not interested)	1.462	1.173	1.822
N of Valid Cases	1376		

Table F5. Interest in Indiana's out-of-doors (Q2) by South or North Indiana residence (Q25).

Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?	Q25: South or North Indiana?			
	South IN		North IN	
Very interested	241	58%	481	51%
Somewhat/not interested	175	42%	457	49%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.126	1	.024
Continuity Correction ^a	4.862	1	.027
Likelihood Ratio	5.143	1	.023
N of Valid Cases	1354		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q2: (Very interested / Somewhat/not interested)	1.308	1.036	1.652
N of Valid Cases	1354		

Table F6. Interest in Indiana's out-of-doors (Q2) by purchase of wood products for home improvement in the last 5 years (Q19).

Q2: How interested are you in Indiana's fish, forests, wildlife, and the out-of-doors?	Q19: Purchased lumber/wood products for home improvement?			
	Yes		No	
Very interested	109	64%	452	51%
Somewhat/not interested	61	36%	441	49%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.446	1	.001
Continuity Correction ^a	9.911	1	.002
Likelihood Ratio	10.598	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	1063		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q2: (Very interested / Somewhat/not interested)	1.743	1.241	2.449
N of Valid Cases	1063		

Table F7. Concern for productivity of Indiana's woodlands ((Q7) by age (Q24).

Q7: How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands?	Q24: Age?			
	>44		18-44	
Very concerned	480	52%	148	46%
Somewhat/not concerned	439	48%	175	54%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.929	1	.047
Continuity Correction ^a	3.677	1	.055
Likelihood Ratio	3.932	1	.047
N of Valid Cases	1242		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q7: (Very concerned / Somewhat/not concerned)	1.293	1.003	1.667
N of Valid Cases	1242		

Table F8. Concern for productivity of Indiana's woodlands ((Q7) by political stance (Q23).

Q7: How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands?	Q23: Political stance?			
	Liberal		Conservative	
Very concerned	177	55%	336	48%
Somewhat/not concerned	145	45%	366	52%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.458	1	.035
Continuity Correction ^a	4.179	1	.041
Likelihood Ratio	4.464	1	.035
N of Valid Cases	1024		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q7: (Very concerned / Somewhat/not concerned)	1.330	1.020	1.733
N of Valid Cases	1024		

Table F9. Concern for productivity of Indiana's woodlands (Q7) by South-/North-Indiana residence (Q25).

Q7: How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands?	Q25: North or South Indiana?			
	South IN		North IN	
Very concerned	210	55%	412	49%
Somewhat/not concerned	170	45%	429	51%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.122	1	.042
Continuity Correction ^a	3.875	1	.049
Likelihood Ratio	4.129	1	.042
N of Valid Cases	1221		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q7: (Very concerned / Somewhat/not concerned)	1.286	1.009	1.640
N of Valid Cases	1221		

Table F10. Concern for productivity of Indiana's woodlands ((Q7) by purchase of wood products for home improvement in the last 5 years (Q19).

Q7: How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands?	Q19: Purchased any lumber or wood products for home improvement last 5 yrs?			
	Yes		No	
Very concerned	94	59%	393	49%
Somewhat/not concerned	64	41%	408	51%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.744	1	.017
Continuity Correction ^a	5.334	1	.021
Likelihood Ratio	5.777	1	.016
N of Valid Cases	959		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q7: (Very concerned / Somewhat/not concerned)	1.525	1.078	2.156
N of Valid Cases	959		

Table F11. Concern for productivity of Indiana's woodlands ((Q7) by ownership of wooded acres (Q17).

Q7: How concerned are you about the long-term health and productivity of Indiana's woodlands?	Q17: Wood acres owned?			
	1 or more acres		None	
Very concerned	295	58%	338	45%
Somewhat/not concerned	210	42%	412	55%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.514	1	.000
Continuity Correction ^a	20.983	1	.000
Likelihood Ratio	21.592	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1255		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q7: (Very concerned / Somewhat/not concerned)	1.712	1.363	2.151
N of Valid Cases	1255		

Table F12. Approval/disapproval of harvesting Indiana trees for woods products, (Q10a) by gender (Q1).

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other woods products that we use?	Q1: Gender			
	Male		Female	
Approve	466	71%	401	59%
Disapprove	192	29%	276	41%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.684	1	.000
Continuity Correction ^a	19.178	1	.000
Likelihood Ratio	19.766	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1335		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q10a: (Approve / Disapprove)	1.671	1.331	2.097
N of Valid Cases	1335		

Table F13. Approval/disapproval of harvesting Indiana trees for woods products, (Q10a) by political stance (Q23).

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?	Q23: Political stance?			
	Conservative		Liberal	
Approve	509	69%	207	61%
Disapprove	228	31%	133	39%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.989	1	.008
Continuity Correction ^a	6.626	1	.010
Likelihood Ratio	6.902	1	.009
N of Valid Cases	1077		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q10a: (Approve / Disapprove)	1.434	1.097	1.875
N of Valid Cases	1077		

Table F14. Approval/disapproval of harvesting Indiana trees for woods products, (Q10a) by metro/non-metro residence (Q25).

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?	Q25: Outside-metro or Metro?			
	Outside-metro		Metro	
Approve	225	72%	614	63%
Disapprove	88	28%	362	37%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.401	1	.004
Continuity Correction ^a	8.011	1	.005
Likelihood Ratio	8.608	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	1289		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q10a: (Approve / Disapprove)	1.507	1.141	1.992
N of Valid Cases	1289		

Table F15. Approval/disapproval of harvesting Indiana trees for woods products, (Q10a) by self-described urban/rural residence (Q20).

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?	Q20: Is your residence...?			
	Rural		Urban	
Approve	346	70%	508	62%
Disapprove	151	30%	307	38%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.214	1	.007
Continuity Correction ^a	6.897	1	.009
Likelihood Ratio	7.282	1	.007
N of Valid Cases	1312		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q10a: (Approve / Disapprove)	1.385	1.092	1.757
N of Valid Cases	1312		

Table F16. Approval/disapproval of harvesting Indiana trees for woods products, (Q10a) by self-described urban/rural residence (Q20).

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?	Q25: North or South Indiana?			
	South IN		North IN	
Approve	280	69%	560	63%
Disapprove	125	31%	326	37%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.301	1	.038
Continuity Correction ^a	4.044	1	.044
Likelihood Ratio	4.348	1	.037
N of Valid Cases	1291		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q10a: (Approve / Disapprove)	1.304	1.014	1.676
N of Valid Cases	1291		

Table F17. Approval/disapproval of harvesting Indiana trees for woods products, (Q10a) by purchase of wood products for home improvement in the last 5 years (Q19).

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?	Q19: In the past 5 years, have you purchased any lumber or wood products for home improvement?			
	Yes		No	
Approve	134	82%	514	60%
Disapprove	29	18%	339	40%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.543	1	.000
Continuity Correction ^a	27.601	1	.000
Likelihood Ratio	31.313	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1016		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q10a: (Approve / Disapprove)	3.047	1.994	4.658
N of Valid Cases	1016		

Table F18. Approval/disapproval of harvesting Indiana trees for woods products, (Q10a) by ownership of wooded acres (Q17).

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?	Q17: Wood acres owned?			
	1 or more acres		None	
Approve	363	69%	499	62%
Disapprove	163	31%	304	38%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.580	1	.010
Continuity Correction ^a	6.282	1	.012
Likelihood Ratio	6.631	1	.010
N of Valid Cases	1329		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q10a: (Approve / Disapprove)	1.357	1.074	1.713
N of Valid Cases	1329		

Table F19. Approval/disapproval of harvesting Indiana trees for woods products, (Q10a) by household “cut/collected trees for firewood” (Q18b).

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?	Q18b: Household cut/collected trees for firewood?			
	Yes		No	
Approve	414	73%	451	59%
Disapprove	154	27%	313	41%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.472	1	.000
Continuity Correction ^a	26.866	1	.000
Likelihood Ratio	27.870	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1332		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q10a: (Approve / Disapprove)	1.866	1.476	2.359
N of Valid Cases	1332		

Table F19. Approval/disapproval of harvesting Indiana trees for woods products, (Q10a) by household “gathered mushrooms, nuts, or berries from woodlands” (Q18d).

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?	Q18d: Gathered mushrooms, nuts, or berries from woodlands?			
	Yes		No	
Approve	459	67%	404	62%
Disapprove	222	33%	245	38%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.870	1	.049
Continuity Correction ^a	3.648	1	.056
Likelihood Ratio	3.871	1	.049
N of Valid Cases	1330		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q10a: (Approve / Disapprove)	1.254	1.001	1.571
N of Valid Cases	1330		

Table F20. Approval/disapproval of harvesting Indiana trees for woods products, (Q10a) by household had a member who “worked in the wood products industry” (Q18f).

Q10a: Harvesting trees to make lumber or other wood products that we use?	Q18f: Worked in the wood products industry?			
	Yes		No	
Approve	117	75%	747	64%
Disapprove	40	25%	425	36%

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.080	1	.008
Continuity Correction ^a	6.614	1	.010
Likelihood Ratio	7.404	1	.007
N of Valid Cases	1329		

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Q10a: (Approve / Disapprove)	1.664	1.140	2.429
N of Valid Cases	1329		