

# Indiana Impoundments Status and Trends Summary 2016-2023

Technical Report Publication: 2024-OSR-9

Sandy Clark-Kolaks  
Fisheries Research Biologist

Nathan Klein  
District 4 Fisheries Biologist



Division of Fish & Wildlife  
I.G.C. South, Room W273  
402 West Washington Street  
Indianapolis, IN 46204

2024

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Indiana's impoundments status and trends program was created to collect fish community, water quality and submerged aquatic vegetation data that can be tracked overtime and compared across waterbodies.
- A total of 88 impoundments were sampled from 2016-2023 following impoundments status and trends protocol.
- A total of 62,085 fish across 73 species were collected during impoundments status and trends surveys.
- Based on the Trophic State Index values for Secchi depth, 13% of impoundments are classified as hypereutrophic, 66% eutrophic, 19% mesotrophic and 2% oligotrophic.
- Submerged aquatic vegetation surveys were completed on 85 impoundments with 29 species being identified.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES .....	iv
INTRODUCTION .....	1
METHODS.....	1
Fish Community .....	1
Water Quality .....	2
Habitat .....	3
RESULTS .....	3
Fish Community .....	3
Water Quality .....	3
Habitat .....	4
DISCUSSION .....	4
LITERATURE CITED.....	7

## LIST OF TABLES

1. List of waterbodies sampled during impoundments status and trends. Sampling effort is shown for electrofishing (EF; number of 15-minute stations), gill netting (GN; number of gill net lifts) and trap netting (TN; number of trap net lifts). ..... 9
2. Total number of each fish species collected and percentage of impoundments each fish species was collected in. \* indicates invasive species..... 11
3. Fish species richness by impoundment..... 12
4. Number of each species collected by the different gear types. EF = electrofishing. GN = gill net. TN = trap net. \* Indicates invasive species..... 13
5. Action Fishing ranking for impoundments. Sunfish (Bluegill and Redear Sunfish), Bass (Largemouth Bass and Smallmouth Bass), Catfish (Channel Catfish), Crappie (Black Crappie and White Crappie). ..... 14
6. Impoundments rankings for the Big Bass (Largemouth Bass and Smallmouth Bass  $\geq 18$ in), Big Sunfish (Bluegill and Redear Sunfish  $\geq 8$ in) and Bowfishing (Common Carp, Gar species and Buffalo species) categories..... 16
7. Secchi depth, TSI (SD) values and trophic classification by waterbody. .... 17
8. Percent coverage of submersed aquatic vegetation and number of submersed aquatic plant species per impoundment. No submersed aquatic vegetation surveys were completed on Decatur Co Park, Patoka, and Troxel. .... 18
9. List of all submerged aquatic plant species collected, and percent of impoundments collected in. \* indicates invasive species. .... 19

## INTRODUCTION

Indiana contains many man-made impoundments, which are valuable in a multitude of ways, including, flood control, water supply, endless recreational opportunities, and habitat for a wide variety of species. Recreational angling is one form of recreation on impoundments, from the 2021-2022 licensed angler survey, it was estimated around 189,000 anglers fished impoundments for 9.7 million fishing days in the state of Indiana (Indiana Department of Natural Resources 2022). Indiana currently has around 88 impoundments greater than 15 acres that are managed for fisheries with public boat access. Historically Indiana Department of Natural Resources (DNR) fisheries management efforts on these impoundments have mainly focused on popular impoundments and sportfish populations, presenting the need for a standardized community fish sampling rotation for all impoundments. By using a standardized fish sampling protocol, trends in fish populations and comparisons among waterbodies can be assessed overtime (Bonar and Hubert 2002; Hayes et al. 2003; Bonvechio et al. 2008). The goal of the DNR status and trends impoundments program is to provide information about the current condition of Indiana impoundments and track changes overtime. This program consists of fish community, water quality and submerged aquatic vegetation monitoring. This report covers the first rotation of the program from 2016-2023.

## METHODS

Only impoundments greater than 15 acres with public boat access that are managed for fisheries were selected for this study.

### Fish Community

Fish sampling took place between May 1 and June 15 and consisted of nighttime electrofishing, trap netting and gill netting surveys. Night-time boat-mounted pulsed-DC electrofishing was conducted in shallow water with two dip netters, which commenced no earlier than one half hour after local sunset. Electrofishing output goals should be based off conductivity and water temperature (Miranda 2009). Trap nets were set overnight and consisted of 0.5-in bar mesh and 3-ft by 6-ft frames with two funnels, a 50-ft lead anchored to shore and a DNR float attached to the frame. Experimental gill nets (250-ft x 6-ft) comprised of five 50-ft panel sections of 0.75-in, 1-in, 1.25-in, 1.5-in and 2-in square mesh sizes were set overnight in water containing at least 3 ppm oxygen and labeled on each end with DNR floats. Field crews were advised to sample a variety of habitats and to use their best professional judgment to maximize the catch of fish that most effectively provided a representative sample of the fish community. Fish sampling effort varied based on impoundment size; two gill nets, two trap nets and two 15-minute electrofishing stations

were used for impoundments less than 1,000 acres in size, four gill nets, four trap nets and four 15-minute electrofishing stations were used for impoundments greater than 1,000 acres but less than 5,000 acres and for impoundments greater than 5,000 acres four gill nets, four trap nets and eight 15-minute electrofishing stations were used. These three fish sampling methods were chosen since they tend to capture a variety of species covering the entire fish community and are the gears recommended for sampling North American freshwater fishes in small and large waters (Bonar et al. 2009). Nighttime electrofishing tends to capture black basses and a variety of other species, trap nets tend to capture sunfish and crappie species and gill nets tend to capture Walleye, Gizzard Shad and catfish species. All fish captured were identified, measured to the nearest tenth of an inch and weighted to the hundredth of a pound before being released back into the impoundment.

Impoundments were also ranked in four different categories based on fish sampling results: Action Fishing, Big Bass, Big Sunfish and Bowfishing. Action Fishing rankings are based on an impoundment's relative abundance of Bluegill and Redear Sunfish ( $\geq 6$  in), Largemouth Bass and Smallmouth Bass ( $\geq 8$  in), Channel Catfish ( $\geq 12$  in) and Black Crappie and White Crappie ( $\geq 7$  in). Big Bass rankings are based on relative abundance of Largemouth Bass and Smallmouth Bass  $\geq 18$  in, Big Sunfish rankings are based on relative abundance of Bluegill and Redear Sunfish  $\geq 8$  in and Bowfishing rankings are based on the relative abundance of Common Carp, gar species and buffalo species. Percentile ranks were used to score impoundments and assign one of four ratings, poor (0-24%), fair (25-49%), good (50-74%) and excellent (75-100%). For the Action Fishing rankings, impoundments were given point values for each rating (poor-0, fair-1, good-2, excellent-3) and points were totaled to determine the final rankings with a maximum score of 12.

### Water Quality

Water quality sampling took place prior to fish sampling between May 1 and June 15. Water quality sampling took place in the deepest area of each impoundment or section of impoundment. For impoundments less than 5,000 acres one complete sample was taken and for impoundments greater than 5,000 acres two complete samples were taken. Sampling consisted of a temperature/dissolved oxygen profile and conductivity, pH, alkalinity and Secchi disk readings.

Secchi depth measurements were the only water quality metric analyzed in this report and were used to classify impoundments' trophic state using the Secchi depth Trophic State Index (TSI) from Carlson (1997). Impoundment were classified as hypereutrophic for TSI values  $\geq 70$ , eutrophic for values of 50-69, mesotrophic for values of 40-49 and oligotrophic for values  $< 40$  (Carlson 1977).

### Habitat

Submerged aquatic vegetation surveys and lake mapping took place between July 15 and

August 31. Mapping was done based on fisheries biologist discretion and vegetation surveys followed Tier II sampling guidelines (Indiana Division of Fish and Wildlife 2018).

## RESULTS

A total of 88 impoundments were sampled from 2016-2023, no sampling took place during 2020 due to COVID-19 guidelines (Table 1). Additional sampling effort was done on Cagle's Mill, Hardy, Province and Summit (Table 1). J.C Murphey Lake was not sampled during this rotation due to an full lake renovation.

### Fish Community

Overall, a total of 62,085 fish were collected across 73 species, with Bluegill being the most abundant (19,727) and in the most impoundments (87) (Table 2). Of the 73 fish species sampled, three are considered invasive: Common Carp (46 impoundments), Goldfish (three impoundments) and Round Goby (one impoundment). Two undesirable species, Gizzard Shad and Yellow Bass were also collected in 50 and 19 impoundments, respectively. Species richness varied from five (Schlamm) to 28 (Freeman) and averaged 12.9 species (sd = 5.8, N = 88) (Table 3). Electrofishing surveys collected 37,835 fish across 69 species, trap nets collected 12,216 fish across 39 species and gill nets captured 12,031 fish across 52 species (Table 4).

Geist was the top ranked impoundment for Action Fishing rankings with a score of 11, followed by Bischoff, Griffy and Westwood Run with scores of 10 (Table 5). Pisgah, Brush Creek and Green Valley were the top three for Big Bass rankings, for Big Sunfish rankings Indian, Palestine and Tipsaw were the top three impoundments and Huntington (Roush), Cypress and Salinda were the top three for Bowfishing rankings (Table 6).

### Water Quality

Secchi depths ranged from 0.4 – 16.5 ft and averaged 4.9 ft (sd = 3.4, N = 87). No Secchi depth was recorded for Salinda. Out of the 87 impoundments, 11 were classified as hypereutrophic, 57 eutrophic, 17 mesotrophic and two oligotrophic based Secchi depth TSI values (Table 7).

### Habitat

Submersed aquatic vegetation surveys were completed on 85 impoundments. No surveys were completed on Decatur Co Park, Patoka, and Troxel. Coverage of submersed aquatic vegetation ranged from 0-100% and averaged 43.5% (sd = 34.3, N = 85) (Table 8). Number of species per survey ranged from 0-16 with an average of 4 (sd = 3.0, N = 85) (Table 8). A total of 29 submerged aquatic plant species were identified throughout all surveys with Coontail (56

impoundments), Eurasian watermilfoil (36 impoundments), Chara (30 impoundments), and Southern naiad (30 impoundments) being the most common (Table 9). Three invasive plant species were detected: Brittle naiad (30 impoundments), Curly-leaf pondweed (15 impoundments) and Eurasian watermilfoil (36 impoundments) (Table 9). Additionally, a total of 51 impoundments were mapped and the bathymetric maps can be seen online on Indiana's where to fish interactive map (<https://www.in.gov/dnr/fish-and-wildlife/fishing/where-to-fish-interactive-map/>).

## DISCUSSION

Bluegill and Largemouth Bass were two of the most abundant species sampled and the top two for presence in impoundments. This isn't surprising since Bluegill and Largemouth Bass are common throughout the state and are found in most waterbodies. As expected, different sampling gears tended to collect different species. Electrofishing tended to capture Bluegill, Gizzard Shad and Largemouth Bass, gill nets tended to capture Gizzard Shad, Channel Catfish and Yellow Bass and trap nets mainly captured sunfish species and crappie species. The use of multiple gears is also important for collecting stocked gamefish species such as Walleye, Saugeye, Striped Bass and Hybrid Striped Bass.

Eleven status and trends impoundments are stocked with Walleye (Brookville, Cagle's Mill, Eagle Creek, Kokomo, Freeman, Shafer, Mississinewa, Monroe, Patoka, Prairie Creek, Summit) and Freeman and Monroe were the only impoundments they were not detected in. Walleye were detected in Harden and Hardy, two impoundment that were stocked historically, meaning remnant populations with low natural recruitment may be found in these two impoundments. Interestingly, one Walleye was collected in Westwood Run, an impoundment that has never been stocked with Walleye. Walleye were also sampled in Hurshtown, where Walleye were previously stocked and are thought to have a naturally reproducing population. Saugeye were detected in both impoundments that they were stocked in, Sullivan and Huntingburg City. Striped Bass were only collected in Patoka, but are also stocked in Brookville, Harden and Hardy. Hybrid Striped Bass were sampled in six out seven impoundments (Freeman, Hardy, Monroe, Patoka, Shadyside, Shafer, Worster) they are stocked in, with Patoka being the only one they were not sampled in. Overall, detecting stocked species is another valuable bonus of the impoundment's status and trends program and can help managers make decisions on if additional surveys need to be done.

Three invasive fish species were detected throughout the impoundments sampled, these species were Common Carp, Goldfish and Round Goby. These species are a concern because their ability to modify habitats and negatively affect aquatic communities (Bajer and Sorensen 2015; Brooking et al. 2022). Gizzard Shad and Yellow Bass were also collected, two species which may

be undesirable in certain waterbodies due to becoming overpopulated and causing cascading negative effects to the fishery (Aday et al. 2003; Meerbeek 2022). Future surveys will be able to monitor the distribution and abundance of these invasive and undesirable fishes and help determine if management actions are needed.

Species richness was highest in Lake Freeman and Lake Shafer, two run of the river impoundments on the Tippecanoe River. The presence of riverine species in these two impoundments likely lead to the high species richness, similar to what is seen for Indiana glacial lakes (Linn 2022). Larger impoundments generally had higher species richness as well. Impoundments with low species richness were likely killed off when constructed and restocked with only certain species.

Action Fishing rankings are great way for managers to inform anglers about impoundments with a high density of catchable fish which is great for families and beginner anglers. Big Bass, Big Sunfish and Bowfishing rankings are useful for anglers targeting specific species or looking for quality sizes.

Based on TSI (Secchi Depth) values, 78% of impoundments included in this study were classified as either eutrophic or hypereutrophic. However, those values may be an overestimate since sediment runoff is common in Indiana impoundments and Secchi Depth readings are influenced by non-algae turbidity (Laney et al. 2019). Never less, TSI (Secchi Depth) values are a useful way to measure changes in the trophic state of Indiana impoundments overtime.

For impoundments, the most common native plant species was Coontail and the most common invasive plant species was Eurasian watermilfoil, which is the same as what was found for Indiana glacial lakes (Linn 2020). Impoundments tended to have less submersed aquatic plant species and coverage when compared to Indiana glacial lakes. This isn't surprising since impoundments often have fluctuations in water levels and low water clarity, two things that can limit aquatic plant growth. Future surveys will help determine changes in submerged aquatic vegetation coverage and species distribution.

It is recommended that Bass Pond (Kosciusko County) be removed from the impoundment status and trends rotation due to shallow depth, few desirable fish species present and being primarily managed as a waterfowl pond. It is also recommended that effort for Hardy Lake (Scott), Huntington Lake (Huntington) and Summit Lake (Henry) increase to four electrofishing stations, four gill net sets and four trap net sets. The increase in effort for these four impoundments is based off preliminary results of electrofishing resampling analysis (Koch et al. 2014) (estimated amount of effort to reach 100 individuals of certain species) indicating possible under sampling for impoundments around 700 to 1,000 acres. Complete resampling analysis results should be

constructed following the next round of status and trends rotation when analyses aren't based off one rotation.

Overall, this first rotation of status and trends impoundments will be used as baseline data and be compared to future status and trends surveys.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Aday DD. 2003. Direct and Indirect Effects of Gizzard Shad on Bluegill Growth and Population Size Structure. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 132:47-56.
- Bajer PG, Sorensen PW. 2015. Effects of Common Carp on Phosphorus Concentrations, Water Clarity, and Vegetation Density: A Whole System Experiment in a Thermally Stratified Lake. *Hydrobiologia*, 746:303-311.
- Bonar SA, Hubert WA. 2002. Standard Sampling of Inland Fish: Benefits, Challenges, and a Call for Action. *Fisheries*, 27(3):10-16.
- Bonar SA, Hubert WA, Willis DW. 2009. Standard Methods for Sampling North American Freshwater Fishes. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Bonvechio KI, Allen MS, Bonvechio TF, Coughlin TP. 2008. Comparison of Largemouth Bass Assessment Metrics Between Standardized and Historical Sampling Designs at Six Florida Lakes. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*, 28:1132-1137.
- Brooking TE, Rudstam LG, Jackson JR, VanDeValk AJ, Holeck KT, Hotaling CW. 2022. Effects of Round Goby on the Benthic Invertebrate Community and on Diets and Growth of Yellow Perch and White Perch in Oneida Lake, New York. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 151:641-654.
- Carlson RE. 1977. A trophic state index for lakes. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 2(2):361-369.
- Hayes D, Baker E, Bednarz R, Borgeson Jr D, Braunscheidel J, Harrington A, Hay R, Waybrant J, Breck J, Nuhfer A, Bremigan M, Lockwood R, Schneider J, Seelbach P, Zorn T. 2003. Developing a Standardized Sampling Program. *Fisheries*, 28(7):18-25.
- Indiana Division of Fish and Wildlife. 2018. Tier II Aquatic Vegetation Survey Protocol. Indiana Department of Natural Resources. 33p.
- Indiana Department of Natural Resources. 2022. Licensed Angler Survey Website. <https://www.in.gov/dnr/fish-and-wildlife/fishing/licensed-angler-survey/survey-results/>.
- Koch JD, Neely BC, Colvin ME. 2014. Evaluation of Precision of Sample Sizes Using Standardized Sampling in Kansas Reservoirs. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*, 34:1211-1220.
- Laney M, Powers S, Sauve C. 2019. Indiana Lake Water Quality Assessment Report For 2015-2018. School of Public & Environmental Affairs, Indiana University.
- Linn MD. 2022. Status & Trends of Indiana Glacial Lakes: Submersed Aquatic Vegetation 2010-2019. Indiana Department of Natural Resources. 25pp.
- Linn MD. 2022. Status & Trends of Indiana Glacial Lake Fish Communities. Indiana Department of Natural Resources. 75pp.
- Meerbeek JR. 2022. Distribution, Population Dynamics, and Interspecific Competition of Yellow Bass in Iowa's Shallow Natural Lakes. Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration, Completion

Report, Des Moines.

Miranda LE. (Steve). 2009. Standardizing Electrofishing Power for Boat Electrofishing. Pages 223-230 *in* Scott Bonar, W.A. Hubert, D.W. Willis, editors. Standard Methods for Sampling North American Freshwater Fisheries. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Submitted by: Sandra J. Clark-Kolaks, Fish Research Biologist  
Date: 7/3/24

Approved by: Dan Carnahan, Fisheries Research Supervisor  
Date: 7/18/24

Table 1. List of waterbodies sampled during impoundments status and trends. Sampling effort is shown for electrofishing (EF; number of 15-minute stations), gill netting (GN; number of gill net lifts) and trap netting (TN; number of trap net lifts).

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>EF</b>	<b>GN</b>	<b>TN</b>	<b>Year</b>
Bass Pond	Kosciusko	17	2	2	2	2016
Beaver Dam	Dubois	178	2	2	2	2017
Bischoff	Ripley	181	2	2	2	2022
Brookville	Franklin	5,260	8	4	4	2022
Brush Creek	Jennings	167	2	2	2	2018
Buffalo Trace	Harrison	29	2	2	2	2018
Cagle's Mill	Owen	1,400	8	8	8	2021
Cedarville	Allen	245	2	2	2	2017
Celina	Perry	140	2	2	2	2017
Chrisney	Spencer	18	2	2	2	2018
Cypress	Jackson	37	2	2	2	2019
Deam	Clark	184	2	2	2	2016
Decatur Co Park	Decatur	15	2	2	2	2016
Delaney Park	Washington	76	2	2	2	2016
Dogwood	Daviess	1,237	4	4	4	2016
Eagle Creek	Marion	1,350	4	4	4	2019
Elk Creek	Washington	43	2	2	2	2016
Ferdinand City Old	Dubois	16	2	2	2	2018
Ferdinand State Forest	Dubois	38	2	2	2	2021
Freeman	Carroll	1,547	4	4	4	2021
Geist	Hamilton	1,890	4	4	4	2017
George (Hobart)	Lake	270	2	2	2	2019
Glenn Flint	Putnam	330	2	2	2	2018
Goldeneye	Kosciusko	26	2	2	2	2019
Green Valley	Vigo	51	2	2	2	2016
Griffy	Monroe	109	2	2	2	2019
Grouse Ridge	Bartholomew	25	2	2	2	2023
Harden	Parke	2,060	4	4	4	2017
Hardy	Scott	749	4	2	4	2022
Huntingburg City	Dubois	151	2	2	2	2021
Huntington (Roush)	Huntington	900	2	2	2	2018
Hurshstown	Allen	260	2	2	2	2018
Indian	Perry	131	2	2	2	2018
John Hay	Washington	205	2	2	2	2021
Kickapoo	Sullivan	253	2	2	2	2017
Kokomo	Howard	484	2	2	2	2019
Lemon	Monroe	1,650	4	4	4	2021
Lenape	Sullivan	58	2	2	2	2017
Lincoln	Spencer	57	2	2	2	2016
Middlefork	Wayne	189	2	2	2	2018
Mississinewa	Miami	3,180	4	4	4	2016
Mollenkramer	Ripley	33	2	2	2	2022
Monroe	Monroe	10,603	8	4	4	2022
Montgomery City	Vigo	25	2	2	2	2017

Table 1. Continued.

<b>Waterbody (Cont.)</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Acres</b>	<b>EF</b>	<b>GN</b>	<b>TN</b>	<b>Year</b>
Morse	Hamilton	1,500	4	4	4	2019
New Holland	Dubois	15	2	2	2	2019
New Oakland City	Gibson	77	2	2	2	2019
Ogle	Brown	20	2	2	2	2017
Old Holland	Dubois	19	2	2	2	2019
Old Timbers	Ripley	100	2	2	2	2019
Palestine	Kosciusko	290	2	2	2	2021
Patoka	Orange	8,706	8	4	4	2019
Pisgah	Johnson	47	2	2	2	2017
Prairie Creek	Delaware	1,187	4	4	4	2018
Prides Creek	Pike	90	2	2	2	2021
Province	Henry	57	3	2	5	2021
Reservoir 26	Sullivan	42	2	2	2	2018
Robinson Park	Lake	16	2	2	2	2016
Rockville	Parke	104	2	2	2	2019
Rosser Park	Lake	35	2	2	2	2018
Ruble	Vigo	22	2	2	2	2018
Saddle	Perry	37	2	2	2	2017
Salamonie	Huntington	2,860	4	4	4	2021
Salinda	Washington	67	2	2	2	2017
Sandpiper/Plover	Johnson	84	2	2	2	2016
Scales	Warrick	60	2	2	2	2016
Schlamm	Clark	18	2	2	2	2022
Scottsburg	Scott	90	2	2	2	2022
Shadyside	Madison	20	2	2	2	2018
Shafer	White	1,291	4	4	4	2017
Shakamak	Sullivan	52	2	2	2	2016
Spring Mill	Lawrence	26	2	2	2	2021
Springs Valley	Orange	141	2	2	2	2018
Spurgeon Hollow	Washington	26	2	2	2	2021
Starve Hollow	Jackson	131	2	2	2	2021
Stone Arch	Johnson	18	2	2	2	2016
Sullivan	Sullivan	471	2	2	2	2017
Summit	Henry	835	4	4	4	2016
Tipsaw	Perry	131	2	2	2	2017
Troxel	Lagrange	72	2	2	2	2021
Versailles	Ripley	204	2	2	2	2018
Waveland	Montgomery	355	2	2	2	2016
West Boggs	Daviess	620	2	2	2	2019
Westwood Run	Henry	163	2	2	2	2022
Whak-Shin-Gah	Huntington	28	2	2	2	2022
Whitewater	Union	183	2	2	2	2017
Worster (Potato Creek)	St. Joseph	327	2	2	2	2016
Yellowwood	Brown	131	2	2	2	2019

Table 2. Total number of each fish species collected and percentage of impoundments each fish species was collected in. \* indicates invasive species.

<b>Species</b>	<b>Total Collected</b>	<b>Percent of Impoundments</b>	<b>Species (Cont.)</b>	<b>Total Collected</b>	<b>Percent of Impoundments</b>
Bigmouth Buffalo	20	5%	Northern Hogsucker	2	2%
Black Buffalo	2	2%	Northern Pike	1	1%
Black Bullhead	15	11%	Orangespotted Sunfish	58	7%
Black Crappie	2,079	89%	Pumpkinseed	516	14%
Black Redhorse	11	3%	Quillback	324	18%
Blackstripe Topminnow	9	5%	Rainbow Trout	1	1%
Bluegill	19,727	99%	Redear Sunfish	3,540	81%
Bluntnose Minnow	17	7%	Redfin Pickerel	55	8%
Brook Silverside	211	18%	River Carpsucker	47	5%
Brown Bullhead	429	26%	River Redhorse	1	1%
Bullhead Minnow	40	2%	Rock Bass	36	6%
Central Mudminnow	28	2%	Rosyface Shiner	5	1%
Channel Catfish	1,698	76%	Round Goby*	4	1%
Chestnut Lamprey	6	5%	Sand Shiner	12	3%
Common Carp*	706	52%	Sauger	6	2%
Common Shiner	3	2%	Saugeye	40	2%
Emerald Bowfin	9	6%	Shortnose Gar	6	2%
Emerald Shiner	41	2%	Silver Redhorse	4	2%
Flathead Catfish	57	19%	Slenderhead Darter	1	1%
Freshwater Drum	203	11%	Smallmouth Bass	203	10%
Ghost Shiner	1	1%	Smallmouth Buffalo	19	3%
Gizzard Shad	14,946	57%	Spotfin Shiner	188	11%
Golden Redhorse	168	17%	Spotted Bass	46	3%
Golden Shiner	641	36%	Spotted Gar	17	3%
Goldfish*	34	3%	Spotted Sucker	256	22%
Green Sunfish	470	42%	Spotted Sunfish	2	1%
Highfin Carpsucker	54	3%	Steelcolor Shiner	11	2%
Hybrid Striped Bass	54	7%	Striped Bass	1	1%
Hybrid Sunfish	58	24%	Walleye	135	15%
Johnny Darter	1	1%	Warmouth	800	63%
Lake Chubsucker	290	5%	White Bass	303	14%
Largemouth Bass	5,038	98%	White Crappie	3,283	51%
Logperch	80	16%	White Sucker	295	25%
Longear Sunfish	1,070	41%	Yellow Bass	2,550	22%
Longnose Gar	9	3%	Yellow Bullhead	450	61%
Mimic Shiner	2	1%	Yellow Perch	635	17%
Muskellunge	3	1%			

Table 3. Fish species richness by impoundment.

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>Species</b>	<b>Waterbody (Cont.)</b>	<b>Species</b>
Freeman	28	Scottsburg	11
Shafer	27	Troxel	11
Cypress	26	Beaver Dam	10
Eagle Creek	26	Green Valley	10
Huntington (Roush)	25	Indian	10
Morse	25	Montgomery City	10
Lemon	24	Pisgah	10
Mississinewa	24	Ruble	10
Cagle's Mill	23	Shakamak	10
Brookville	22	Starve Hollow	10
Monroe	22	Whak-Shin-Gah	10
Patoka	21	Decatur Co Park	9
Harden	20	Elk Creek	9
Sandpiper/Plover	20	Ferdinand City Old	9
Salamonie	19	Goldeneye	9
Cedarville	18	Kickapoo	9
Geist	18	Lincoln	9
George (Hobart)	18	Old Timbers	9
Versailles	18	Saddle	9
Kokomo	17	Springs Valley	9
Shadyside	17	Waveland	9
Worster (Potato Creek)	17	West Boggs	9
Brush Creek	16	Westwood Run	9
Hardy	16	Buffalo Trace	8
Prairie Creek	16	New Holland	8
Rosser Park	16	New Oakland City	8
Spring Mill	15	Prides Creek	8
Glenn Flint	14	Reservoir 26	8
Middlefork	14	Rockville	8
Palestine	14	Stone Arch	8
Dogwood	13	Bass Pond	7
Huntingburg City	13	Celina	7
Yellowwood	13	Chrisney	7
Deam	12	Ferdinand State Forest	7
Griffy	12	Grouse Ridge	7
Hurshtown	12	John Hay	7
Robinson Park	12	Lenape	7
Sullivan	12	Ogle	7
Summit	12	Old Holland	7
Whitewater	12	Spurgeon Hollow	7
Bischoff	11	Tipsaw	7
Delaney Park	11	Province	6
Mollenkramer	11	Scales	6
Salinda	11	Schlamm	5

Table 4. Number of each species collected by the different gear types. EF = electrofishing. GN = gill net. TN = trap net. \* Indicates invasive species.

Species	EF	GN	TN	Species (Cont.)	EF	GN	TN
Bigmouth Buffalo	19	0	0	Northern Hogsucker	2	0	0
Black Buffalo	2	0	0	Northern Pike	0	1	0
Black Bullhead	2	8	5	Orangespotted Sunfish	45	2	11
Black Crappie	294	682	1,103	Pumpkinseed	248	45	223
Black Redhorse	9	2	0	Quillback	85	224	15
Blackstripe Topminnow	9	0	0	Rainbow Trout	0	1	0
Bluegill	13,990	585	5,152	Redear Sunfish	1,557	329	1,654
Bluntnose Minnow	17	0	0	Redfin Pickerel	33	17	5
Brook Silverside	211	0	0	River Carpsucker	23	24	0
Brown Bullhead	74	98	257	River Redhorse	1	0	0
Bullhead Minnow	40	0	0	Rock Bass	15	3	18
Central Mudminnow	28	0	0	Rosyface Shiner	5	0	0
Channel Catfish	181	1,470	47	Round Goby*	4	0	0
Chestnut Lamprey	3	1	2	Sand Shiner	12	0	0
Common Carp*	368	273	65	Sauger	2	4	0
Common Shiner	0	0	3	Saugeye	2	38	0
Emerald Bowfin	6	3	0	Shortnose Gar	0	6	0
Emerald Shiner	41	0	0	Silver Redhorse	1	3	0
Flathead Catfish	48	7	2	Slenderhead Darter	1	0	0
Freshwater Drum	97	101	5	Smallmouth Bass	198	3	2
Ghost Shiner	1	0	0	Smallmouth Buffalo	11	8	0
Gizzard Shad	10,180	4,018	748	Spotfin Shiner	158	0	30
Golden Redhorse	120	37	11	Spotted Bass	38	6	2
Golden Shiner	115	448	78	Spotted Gar	5	5	7
Goldfish*	34	0	0	Spotted Sucker	153	88	15
Green Sunfish	427	28	15	Spotted Sunfish	2	0	0
Highfin Carpsucker	7	47	0	Steelcolor Shiner	0	0	9
Hybrid Striped Bass	6	48	0	Striped Bass	0	2	0
Hybrid Sunfish	21	5	32	Walleye	43	90	2
Johnny Darter	1	0	0	Warmouth	464	161	175
Lake Chubsucker	181	91	18	White Bass	94	206	3
Largemouth Bass	4,817	197	24	White Crappie	399	764	2,120
Logperch	80	0	0	White Sucker	38	247	10
Longear Sunfish	1,030	11	29	Yellow Bass	1,260	1,060	230
Longnose Gar	2	6	1	Yellow Bullhead	90	304	56
Mimic Shiner	2	0	0	Yellow Perch	380	223	32
Muskellunge	2	1	0				

Table 5. Action Fishing ranking for impoundments. Sunfish (Bluegill and Redear Sunfish), Bass (Largemouth Bass and Smallmouth Bass), Catfish (Channel Catfish), Crappie (Black Crappie and White Crappie).

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>Sunfish ≥6in</b>	<b>Bass ≥8in</b>	<b>Catfish ≥12in</b>	<b>Crappie ≥7in</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
Geist	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good	11
Bischoff	Excellent	Good	Good	Excellent	10
Griffy	Good	Excellent	Excellent	Good	10
Westwood Run	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Fair	10
Huntingburg City	Fair	Good	Excellent	Excellent	9
Kokomo	Good	Good	Good	Excellent	9
Lemon	Good	Good	Good	Excellent	9
Lincoln	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Poor	9
Rockville	Excellent	Excellent	Fair	Good	9
Sullivan	Excellent	Fair	Good	Excellent	9
Waveland	Excellent	Good	Fair	Excellent	9
Yellowwood	Good	Good	Excellent	Good	9
Beaver Dam	Good	Good	Fair	Excellent	8
Brookville	Fair	Fair	Excellent	Excellent	8
Buffalo Trace	Fair	Excellent	Good	Good	8
Cedarville	Fair	Fair	Excellent	Excellent	8
Eagle Creek	Fair	Fair	Excellent	Excellent	8
Ferdinand State Forest	Good	Excellent	Fair	Good	8
Glenn Flint	Fair	Fair	Excellent	Excellent	8
Green Valley	Good	Excellent	Fair	Good	8
Middlefork	Good	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	8
Monroe	Fair	Fair	Excellent	Excellent	8
Morse	Excellent	Poor	Excellent	Good	8
Sandpiper/Plover	Excellent	Fair	Good	Good	8
Starve Hollow	Excellent	Excellent	Poor	Good	8
Whitewater	Excellent	Good	Good	Fair	8
Brush Creek	Good	Good	Poor	Excellent	7
Mollenkramer	Excellent	Poor	Fair	Excellent	7
Pisgah	Fair	Excellent	Good	Fair	7
Prides Creek	Fair	Excellent	Excellent	Poor	7
Salinda	Fair	Fair	Good	Excellent	7
Scottsburg	Good	Excellent	Fair	Fair	7
West Boggs	Fair	Excellent	Good	Fair	7
Cagle's Mill	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	6
Chrisney	Excellent	Fair	Good	Poor	6
Deam	Good	Good	Good	Poor	6
Decatur Co Park	Excellent	Poor	Fair	Good	6
Dogwood	Good	Excellent	Fair	Poor	6
Elk Creek	Good	Excellent	Fair	Poor	6
Freeman	Fair	Poor	Excellent	Good	6
Hardy	Good	Fair	Good	Fair	6
Huntington (Roush)	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	6
Kickapoo	Fair	Excellent	Poor	Good	6
Mississinewa	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	6

Table 5. Continued.

<b>Waterbody (Cont.)</b>	<b>Sunfish ≥6in</b>	<b>Bass ≥8in</b>	<b>Catfish ≥12in</b>	<b>Crappie ≥7in</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
New Oakland City	Good	Excellent	Poor	Fair	6
Old Holland	Excellent	Good	Poor	Fair	6
Palestine	Excellent	Fair	Poor	Good	6
Saddle	Good	Fair	Excellent	Poor	6
Stone Arch	Fair	Good	Fair	Good	6
Troxel	Excellent	Excellent	Poor	Poor	6
Versailles	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Excellent	6
Harden	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Good	5
Hurshstown	Poor	Good	Fair	Good	5
Indian	Excellent	Fair	Poor	Fair	5
John Hay	Good	Excellent	Poor	Poor	5
Lenape	Good	Good	Poor	Fair	5
New Holland	Excellent	Good	Poor	Poor	5
Ogle	Good	Good	Fair	Poor	5
Prairie Creek	Poor	Poor	Good	Excellent	5
Salamonie	Poor	Poor	Good	Excellent	5
Shafer	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Good	5
Tipsaw	Excellent	Good	Poor	Poor	5
Whak-Shin-Gah	Excellent	Poor	Poor	Good	5
Celina	Good	Good	Poor	Poor	4
Delaney Park	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	4
George (Hobart)	Fair	Poor	Fair	Good	4
Montgomery City	Poor	Good	Fair	Fair	4
Old Timbers	Fair	Excellent	Poor	Poor	4
Patoka	Poor	Poor	Good	Good	4
Ruble	Poor	Good	Fair	Fair	4
Shakamak	Poor	Excellent	Fair	Poor	4
Springs Valley	Excellent	Poor	Fair	Poor	4
Spurgeon Hollow	Good	Fair	Fair	Poor	4
Cypress	Poor	Poor	Good	Fair	3
Grouse Ridge	Good	Poor	Fair	Poor	3
Province	Fair	Poor	Good	Poor	3
Robinson Park	Poor	Fair	Fair	Fair	3
Scales	Poor	Excellent	Poor	Poor	3
Schlamm	Poor	Poor	Good	Fair	3
Shadyside	Poor	Good	Poor	Fair	3
Spring Mill	Fair	Fair	Fair	Poor	3
Worster (Potato Creek)	Fair	Good	Poor	Poor	3
Bass Pond	Poor	Poor	Poor	Good	2
Ferdinand City Old	Fair	Fair	Poor	Poor	2
Reservoir 26	Fair	Poor	Poor	Fair	2
Rosser Park	Poor	Poor	Fair	Fair	2
Summit	Poor	Fair	Fair	Poor	2
Goldeneye	Poor	Fair	Poor	Poor	1

Table 6. Impoundments rankings for the Big Bass (Largemouth Bass and Smallmouth Bass ≥ 18in), Big Sunfish (Bluegill and Redear Sunfish ≥ 8in) and Bowfishing (Common Carp, Gar species and Buffalo species) categories.

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>Big Bass</b>	<b>Big Sunfish</b>	<b>Bow-fishing</b>	<b>Waterbody (Cont.)</b>	<b>Big Bass</b>	<b>Big Sunfish</b>	<b>Bow-fishing</b>
Bass Pond	Poor	Poor	Poor	Morse	Fair	Poor	Excellent
Beaver Dam	Excellent	Good	Poor	New Holland	Good	Excellent	Poor
Bischoff	Poor	Excellent	Poor	New Oakland City	Poor	Good	Poor
Brookville	Good	Fair	Excellent	Ogle	Poor	Excellent	Poor
Brush Creek	Excellent	Fair	Excellent	Old Holland	Good	Good	Poor
Buffalo Trace	Poor	Good	Excellent	Old Timbers	Poor	Excellent	Poor
Cagle's Mill	Fair	Poor	Excellent	Palestine	Excellent	Excellent	Fair
Cedarville	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Patoka	Fair	Poor	Excellent
Celina	Poor	Excellent	Poor	Pisgah	Excellent	Fair	Poor
Chrisney	Poor	Good	Poor	Prairie Creek	Fair	Fair	Excellent
Cypress	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Prides Creek	Fair	Good	Good
Deam	Poor	Excellent	Poor	Province	Good	Fair	Poor
Decatur Co Park	Fair	Good	Good	Reservoir 26	Poor	Poor	Poor
Delaney Park	Poor	Good	Poor	Robinson Park	Poor	Fair	Good
Dogwood	Good	Excellent	Poor	Rockville	Good	Excellent	Fair
Eagle Creek	Good	Poor	Excellent	Rosser	Good	Poor	Good
Elk Creek	Good	Excellent	Poor	Ruble	Poor	Fair	Good
Ferdinand Old	Fair	Good	Poor	Saddle	Poor	Good	Poor
Ferdinand State Forest	Fair	Excellent	Poor	Salamonie	Fair	Poor	Excellent
Freeman	Poor	Fair	Good	Salinda	Excellent	Poor	Excellent
Geist	Excellent	Good	Good	Sandpiper/Plover	Fair	Good	Fair
George (Hobart)	Fair	Poor	Good	Scales	Excellent	Good	Poor
Glenn Flint	Good	Poor	Excellent	Schlamm	Poor	Poor	Poor
Goldeneye	Fair	Poor	Poor	Scottsburg	Fair	Fair	Good
Green Valley	Excellent	Good	Poor	Shadyside	Fair	Fair	Good
Grippy	Good	Fair	Good	Shafer	Fair	Fair	Good
Grouse Ridge	Poor	Excellent	Poor	Shakamak	Fair	Fair	Poor
Harden	Good	Poor	Excellent	Spring Mill	Poor	Fair	Good
Hardy	Poor	Good	Fair	Springs Valley	Poor	Excellent	Poor
Huntingburg City	Good	Fair	Excellent	Spurgeon Hollow	Good	Fair	Poor
Huntington (Roush)	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Starve Hollow	Poor	Excellent	Poor
Hurshtown	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Stone Arch	Poor	Fair	Poor
Indian	Poor	Excellent	Poor	Sullivan	Good	Fair	Excellent
John Hay	Excellent	Excellent	Fair	Summit	Poor	Fair	Good
Kickapoo	Poor	Good	Poor	Tipsaw	Poor	Excellent	Poor
Kokomo	Fair	Poor	Excellent	Troxel	Good	Fair	Poor
Lemon	Fair	Good	Good	Versailles	Good	Poor	Excellent
Lenape	Poor	Excellent	Poor	Waveland	Excellent	Good	Poor
Lincoln	Fair	Good	Poor	West Boggs	Poor	Good	Good
Middle Fork	Excellent	Poor	Good	Westwood Run	Excellent	Fair	Good
Mississinewa	Poor	Poor	Excellent	Whak-Shin-Gah	Excellent	Fair	Poor
Mollenkramer	Good	Excellent	Poor	Whitewater	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Monroe	Excellent	Poor	Good	Worster (Potato Creek)	Poor	Fair	Fair
Montgomery City	Poor	Good	Fair	Yellowwood	Good	Good	Fair

Table 7. Secchi depth, TSI (SD) values and trophic classification by waterbody.

Waterbody	Secchi Depth (ft)	TSI (SD)	Trophic Classification	Waterbody (Cont.)	Secchi Depth (ft)	TSI (SD)	Trophic Classification
Bass Pond	4.4	56	Eutrophic	Morse	3.8	58	Eutrophic
Beaver Dam	3.2	60	Eutrophic	New Holland	3	61	Eutrophic
Bischooff	1.2	74	Hypereutrophic	New Oakland City	11	43	Mesotrophic
Brookville	10	44	Mesotrophic	Ogle	8.8	46	Mesotrophic
Brush Creek	2.6	63	Eutrophic	Old Holland	2	67	Eutrophic
Buffalo Trace	3	61	Eutrophic	Old Timbers	4	57	Eutrophic
Cagle's Mill	4	57	Eutrophic	Palestine	6.5	50	Eutrophic
Cedarville	0.4	90	Hypereutrophic	Patoka	5.5	53	Eutrophic
Celina	6.3	51	Eutrophic	Pisgah	3.4	59	Eutrophic
Chrisney	5.8	52	Eutrophic	Prairie Creek	12.2	41	Mesotrophic
Cypress	1.3	73	Hypereutrophic	Prides Creek	3.5	59	Eutrophic
Deam	5.4	53	Eutrophic	Province	2.5	64	Eutrophic
Decatur Co Park	1.4	72	Hypereutrophic	Reservoir 26	2.1	66	Eutrophic
Delaney Park	8.8	46	Mesotrophic	Robinson Park	2.3	65	Eutrophic
Dogwood	8.1	47	Mesotrophic	Rockville	4	57	Eutrophic
Eagle Creek	3.1	61	Eutrophic	Rosser Park	4.4	56	Eutrophic
Elk Creek	11.3	42	Mesotrophic	Ruble	5	54	Eutrophic
Ferdinand City Old	6.3	51	Eutrophic	Saddle	0.4	90	Hypereutrophic
Ferdinand SF	3	61	Eutrophic	Salamonie	2	67	Eutrophic
Freeman	2.5	64	Eutrophic	Salinda	-	-	-
Geist	2.4	65	Eutrophic	Sandpiper/Plover	2.5	64	Eutrophic
George (Hobart)	1	77	Hypereutrophic	Scales	7.7	48	Mesotrophic
Glenn Flint	5	54	Eutrophic	Schlamm	5.3	53	Eutrophic
Goldeneye	12	41	Mesotrophic	Scottsburg	4	57	Eutrophic
Green Valley	3	61	Eutrophic	Shadyside	4	57	Eutrophic
Griffy	6	51	Eutrophic	Shafer	0.6	84	Hypereutrophic
Grouse Ridge	12	41	Mesotrophic	Shakamak	7	49	Mesotrophic
Harden	3.4	59	Eutrophic	Spring Mill	3.4	59	Eutrophic
Hardy	4.5	55	Eutrophic	Springs Valley	13.3	40	Mesotrophic
Huntinburg City	4	57	Eutrophic	Spurgeon Hollow	7	49	Mesotrophic
Huntington (Roush)	3	61	Eutrophic	Starve Hollow	8.2	47	Mesotrophic
Hurshtown	3	61	Eutrophic	Stone Arch	7	49	Mesotrophic
Indian	6.4	50	Eutrophic	Sullivan	1	77	Hypereutrophic
John Hay	15	38	Oligotrophic	Summit	16.5	37	Oligotrophic
Kickapoo	5.4	53	Eutrophic	Tipsaw	4.5	55	Eutrophic
Kokomo	1.5	71	Hypereutrophic	Troxel	6.5	50	Eutrophic
Lemon	3.3	60	Eutrophic	Versailles	1	77	Hypereutrophic
Lenape	6	51	Eutrophic	Wahk-Shin-Gah	2.5	64	Eutrophic
Lincoln	5.1	54	Eutrophic	Waveland	2	67	Eutrophic
Middlefork	2.7	63	Eutrophic	West Boggs	3.4	59	Eutrophic
Mississinewa	2	67	Eutrophic	Westwood Run	8	47	Mesotrophic
Mollenkramer	1	77	Hypereutrophic	Whitewater	4	57	Eutrophic
Monroe	4.8	55	Eutrophic	Worster (Potato Cr)	3.3	60	Eutrophic
Montgomery City	7.1	49	Mesotrophic	Yellowwood	4.2	56	Eutrophic

Table 8. Percent coverage of submersed aquatic vegetation and number of submersed aquatic plant species per impoundment. No submersed aquatic vegetation surveys were completed on Decatur Co Park, Patoka, and Troxel.

<b>Waterbody</b>	<b>Coverage</b>	<b>Species</b>	<b>Waterbody (Cont.)</b>	<b>Coverage</b>	<b>Species</b>
Bass Pond	100%	5	Morse	0%	0
Beaver Dam	28%	3	New Holland	10%	3
Bischoff	30%	3	New Oakland City	93%	5
Brookville	12%	2	Ogle	40%	5
Brush Creek	0%	0	Old Holland	20%	3
Buffalo Trace	97%	4	Old Timbers	46%	3
Cagle's Mill	0%	0	Palestine	55%	2
Cedarville	2%	1	Pisgah	73%	2
Celina	96%	8	Prairie Creek	30%	8
Chrisney	70%	7	Prides Creek	48%	4
Cypress	0%	0	Province	100%	4
Deam	30%	5	Reservoir 26	47%	4
Delaney Park	66%	6	Robinson Park	100%	7
Dogwood	98%	7	Rockville	4%	1
Eagle Creek	0%	0	Rosser Park	87%	10
Elk Creek	90%	6	Ruble	63%	5
Ferdinand City Old	43%	6	Saddle	40%	3
Ferdinand State Forest	45%	3	Salamonie	0%	0
Freeman	2%	2	Salinda	0%	0
Geist	47%	7	Sandpiper/Plover	30%	4
George (Hobart)	12%	2	Scales	68%	5
Glenn Flint	6%	2	Schlamm	40%	2
Goldeneye	97%	5	Scottsburg	85%	2
Green Valley	63%	3	Shadyside	13%	2
Griffy	35%	4	Shafer	8%	3
Grouse Ridge	80%	4	Shakamak	83%	2
Harden	0%	0	Spring Mill	50%	4
Hardy	22%	5	Spring Valley	84%	9
Huntingburg City	16%	2	Spurgeon Hollow	0%	0
Huntington (Roush)	0%	0	Starve Hollow	92%	3
Hurshtown	10%	3	Stone Arch	100%	3
Indian	52%	5	Sullivan	16%	4
John Hay	91%	9	Summit	91%	16
Kickapoo	83%	10	Tipsaw	72%	7
Kokomo	1%	1	Versailles	0%	0
Lemon	30%	6	Waveland	19%	7
Lenape	49%	5	West Boggs	53%	4
Lincoln	88%	7	Westwood Run	76%	10
Middlefork	4%	2	Whak-Shin-Gah	57%	4
Mississinewa	1%	1	Whitewater	28%	3
Mollenkramer	7%	2	Worster (Potato Creek)	69%	10
Monroe	29%	6	Yellowwood	50%	7
Montgomery City	29%	5			

Table 9. List of all submerged aquatic plant species collected, and percent of impoundments collected in. \* indicates invasive species.

<b>Species</b>	<b>Percent of Impoundments</b>
American Pondweed	1%
Bladderwort	4%
Brittle Naiad*	36%
Chara	37%
Clasping-leaf Pondweed	1%
Coontail	67%
Curly-leaf Pondweed*	18%
Eelgrass	4%
Elodea	14%
Eurasian Watermilfoil*	43%
Flat-stem Pondweed	4%
Floating-leaf Pondweed	5%
Horned Pondweed	1%
Illinois Pondweed	1%
Large Leaf Pondweed	1%
Leafy Pondweed	20%
Long-leaf Pondweed	35%
Naiad spp. (excluding brittle/southern)	4%
Nitella	4%
Sago Pondweed	18%
Slender Naiad	17%
Small Pondweed	17%
Spatterdock	1%
Southern Naiad	36%
Variable Pondweed	2%
White-water Crowfoot	1%
Water Stargrass	13%
Water-thread Pondweed	1%
Water Shield	1%