# **Ratio Study Narrative 2025**

| General Information |               |
|---------------------|---------------|
| County Name         | DeKalb County |

| Person Performing Ratio Study |              |                     |                             |  |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Name                          | Phone Number | Email               | Vendor Name (if applicable) |  |
| Andrew Smethers               | 260-409-7892 | andrew@nexustax.com | Nexus Group                 |  |
|                               |              |                     |                             |  |
|                               |              |                     |                             |  |

| Sales  | Window   | 1/1/2024            | to              | 12/31/2024 |
|--|--|---------------------|-----------------|------------|
| If more than one year of sales were used, was a time adjustment applied? |  |                     |                 |            |
| ⊠<br>No  | Explain, why not: No sales from outside of the sales window were used for the ratio study. |                     |                 |            |
| □<br>Yes   | Explain the method u   | used to calculate t | the adjustment: |            |

## **Groupings**

Please provide a list of townships and/or major class groupings (if any). Additionally, please provide information detailing how the townships and/or major classes are similar in the market.

\*\*Please note that groupings made for the sole purpose of combining due to a lack of sales with no similarities will not be accepted by the Department\*\*

## Residential

• Residential improved – townships were grouped by geographic location. These township groupings take into account what buyers typically seek in terms of amenities including but not limited to school districts, shopping, dining, and access to the interstate.

**ResImpSouthwest:** Butler and Jackson Townships

ResImpSoutheast: Spencer, Concord, and Newville Townships

**ResImpNorthwest:** Richland, Grant, Fairfield and Smithfield Townships **ResImpNortheast:** Franklin, Wilmington, Troy and Stafford Townships

For the residential improved study Union and Keyser were not grouped with any other townships due to their unique markets supported by the cities of Garrett (Keyser) and Auburn (Union). Regarding the northeast grouping, there were no sales in the Troy Township but they were still trended with the Wilmington and Franklin.

• Residential vacant – townships were grouped in the same manner as stated above for the residential improved study. However, only no townships had sufficient sales to run a study.

### Commercial

- Commercial & Industrial Improved Combined study. In order to establish a trend to
  commercial improved and industrial improved values a county wide grouping was created to
  evaluate sale and assessment levels throughout the county. Auburn, Garrett, Waterloo, and
  Butler are hubs for commercial and industrial property values while I-69 runs from the
  county's south border to its north border with many exits allowing easy access to the interstate
  including CR 11A, State Road 8, & US Hwy 6 which creates a uniform and similar
  commercial and industrial market.
- Commercial vacant insufficient sales to run a study.

#### Industrial

- <u>Industrial Improved</u> see notes above.
- <u>Industrial vacant</u> insufficient sales to run a study.

## **Cyclical Reassessment**

Please explain which townships were reviewed as part of the current phase of the cyclical reassessment. Also, list any townships where the number of parcels reviewed were more than the formally approved reassessment plan. Note: All parcels reviewed should meet the 25% requirement with the 2% tolerance threshold (23-27%)

Fairfield, Franklin, & Keyser Townships were reassessed in their entirety. Part of Union Township was reassessed as well. Union Township has such a large parcel count that a portion of Union Township is reassessed with each phase.

| Land Order  |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| The land order used for the January 1, 20 (optional) will be published on DLGF's winformation:  | _   |  |
| Date the land order was submitted to PTABOA.  | The land order for this cycle of reassessment was completed and presented to the PTABOA in spring of 2023. Annual updates will be submitted to the PTABOA each year. The land order for 1/1/2025 will be presented to the PTABOA at the first PTABOA hearing of 2025. |  |
| Action taken by the PTABOA.   | ⊠ Approved  | ☐ Denied   |
| Effective date of the land order.   | 1/1/2025  |  |
| Was the land order updated as part of the 2024 cyclical reassessment?   | ⊠ Yes   | □ No   |
| Any other changes or issues?  | No  |  |
|   |   |  |
| Comments  |   |  |
| In this space, please provide any additional Department in order to help facilitate the be standard operating procedures for cert changes), a timeline of changes made by the deemed pertinent.   | approval of the ratio<br>tain assessment pract  | study. Such items could tices (e.g. effective age  |
| The sales reconciliation lists "Significant change included in the ratio study. This complysical change has been made to the proper are discovered either via a site visit, a returned seller. Changes include new construction, remade by the homeowner since the last reasses as splits and combinations. | ode is used when validate of the last assess and questionnaire, or a models, additions, den   | ating sales to show that a<br>sment date. These changes<br>phone call with the buyer or<br>nolitions and other changes |