

Department of Local Government Finance

2021 Sales Data Submission & Compliance Review Processes

Data Analysis Division November 2021



- This webinar will help you with better understanding the following: ullet
 - What items to consider as you complete your review of your county's 2021 sales data in preparation for submission via Gateway.
 - How to upload and affirm your 2021 sales data in the Gateway Sales Application site.
 - What sort of items or checks the Department looks at as part \bullet of its compliance review process of the sales data.



- Per IC 6-1.1-5.5-3, on or before February 1, county assessors are to submit all sales disclosure records that have a conveyance date during the preceding year.
- In other words, county assessors must submit all sales disclosure records that occurred in 2021 by no later than February 1, 2022.
- Per 50 IAC 26-20-4(k) requires that sales data be uploaded on a weekly basis to the Gateway Sales Application site, but we are currently in the process of updating the rule to change the frequency from weekly to monthly.



- While reviewing sales disclosure records that have been entered in your sales disclosure software system, please ensure that all records have at a minimum:
 - At least one buyer and one seller listed.
 - Valid dates for all date fields on the form (e.g., sales, conveyance, transfer, and form received.)
 - Valid sales price.
 - Valid parcel number(s) and state assigned taxing district(s).
 - Valid property class code(s).



Steps to Take Before Data Submission

- Assuming that the SDF is indeed a completed form, verify that Question No. 11 under the Assessor's Section of the SDF – "Is form complete?" – is checked "Yes."
- This question must be checked "Yes" in order for the record to be included as part of the Department's sales data compliance review process.



Steps to Take Before Data Submission

- State Sales Disclosure Fee Revenue Reconciliation
 - A joint process that involves the partnership between the county assessor's office and the county auditor's office.
 - If Question No. 12 under the Assessor's Section of the SDF "State sales disclosure fee required?" – is checked "Yes," the county auditor's office will need to verify if the fee has been collected on that particular sales transaction.
 - Discrepancies between Question No. 12 and Question No. 7 under the Auditor's section – "Is state sales disclosure fee collected?" may potentially result in the county falling outside the tolerance of the Department's revenue compliance check.



Steps to Take Before Data Submission

- State Sales Disclosure Fee Revenue Reconciliation
 - Certain sales disclosure transactions are eligible to be assessed the State sales disclosure fee – half of which is retained by the county and the other half is remitted to the State as part of the settlement process.
 - For county auditors, verify that you have completed the Fall 2021 Settlement process with the Auditor of State's office and have remitted to the State any additional State sales disclosure fee revenue collected since the Spring 2021 settlement.



SDF Data Submission Process

- In order to help the Department with its review of the county's submitted sales disclosure fee revenues, the county auditor's office should email a copy of its sales disclosure fee fund report to the Department's Data Inbox at <u>data@dlgf.in.gov</u>.
- The fund report should provide a transaction log of State sales disclosure fees collected during the previous calendar year, including the amounts remitted to the State during the Spring 2021 and Fall 2021 settlement periods.



SDF Data Submission Process

- Once the county assessor's office has completed its review of its sales disclosure records and has completed the reconciliation process of the sales disclosure fee revenue with the county auditor's office, the county assessor's office should be ready to submit its sales data to the State.
- Starting with 2021 sales data, all counties now use the Gateway Sales Application site to upload their sales data to the Department.



SDF Data Submission Process

- Please ensure that your sales data includes records for the complete 2021 calendar year (i.e., 1/1/2021 12/31/2021).
- If you have done incremental (e.g., monthly or quarterly) uploads of your sales data throughout the year, you do not necessarily need to do another upload of *all* your 2021 sales by the February 1 deadline; however, you can certainly do so in the event you need to update information for any sales that have been edited/updated since they were last uploaded.
- You do *not* need to submit or email the sales data separately to the Legislative Services Agency ("LSA").



Gateway Sales Application (Used for 2021 sales onward)





- The Gateway Sales Application site has the same URL that was used for the Gateway SDF site: https://gatewaysdf.ifionline.org
- The username and password that you use to log into Gateway to submit your PTABOA annual report is the same information you will use for the new site.
- New account and password reset requests should be sent to the Department's Support address at support@dlgf.in.gov.



Gateway Sales Application – Home Page

Gate	IDNI SW	ANA W for go	overnm	ent units		
Department of Local Government Finance - S	Sales Application				🖀 Home	🕞 Logout
If you want to access Sales data prior to 1/1/2021,p	lease click here.					
	Select Sales Year: 20	121 v				
	Select County from b	elow List				
	Show 10 v entries	s Search:				
	County Id ↑↓	County Name î↓	User Role 1↓			
	01	Adams	Submitter			



- The homepage on the new Sales site is more streamlined compared to the Gateway SDF site.
- You will select the applicable Sales Year for which you need to upload your data files.
- Then, you will click on your county name to continue to the File Upload screen.



Gateway Sales Application – File Upload

Gateway for government un	nits
Department of Local Government Finance - Sales Application	🖀 Home 🛛 🕩 Logout
If you want to access Sales data prior to 1/1/2021,please click here.	
Home > File Allen Co	unty - 2021 Sales Year
Please upload only zip files here	
Choose File No file chosen	
Upload Affirmation	
Show 10 v entries	
Submission Id 📫 FileName 🛍 Upload Status 🛍 Timestamp 🔱 Uploaded By 🄃 Down	load Action
No data available in table	
Showing 0 to 0 of 0 entries	Previous Next



- The File Upload page on the new Sales site only accepts data files that are zipped.
- The zip file must include all five sales disclosure data files even if there might not be any records in the two addendum files: SALEDISC, SALECONTAC, SALEPARCEL, SDISCADDCI, and SDISCADDAR
- The processing capacity on the new Sales site is significantly improved compared to Gateway SDF, allowing for quicker uploads and the ability to process larger size datasets.



Gateway Sales Application – Successful File Upload

(Ja	tev			y for	go	vernm	er	nt units			
Department of Local G	overnment Finar	nce - Sales Application	ı							*	Home	🕩 Logout
If you want to access Sales H Plea	s data prior to 1/1/2 Iome > File ase upload only zip	2021,please click here. files here							Allen County - 2021	Sales Year		
C Up St	Choose File No file pload Affirmation how 10 V entrie	echosen										
	Submission Id †↓	FileName ↑↓	Upload Status	ţţ	Timestamp	î↓	Uploaded By	ţţ	Download	Action		
	39	Sales Files Version 1.zip	Valid		03/02/2021 11:4 AM	41:33	jjohnson@dlgf.in.	gov	Sales Files Version 1.zip	Details		
Sł	howing 1 to 1 of 1 e	entries							Previous	1 Next		



Gateway Sales Application – Successful File Upload

- To determine if your file upload processed successfully, look for a green "Valid" status under the Upload Status column.
- Additionally, you will be able to export the dataset that was successfully processed by clicking on the file name link under the Download column.



Gateway Sales Application – Unsuccessful Upload

Ga	tew		A for gove	rnment u	nits		
Department of Local Government Fina	nce - Sales Application					🆀 Hom	e 🕩 Logout
If you want to access Sales data prior to 1/1,	2021,please click here.						
Home > File				Floyd	County - 2021	Sales Year	
Please upload only zip	files here						
Choose File No fil	e chosen						
Upload Affirmat	on						
Show 10 🗸 entr	ies						
Submission Id	FileName ↑↓	Upload Status ↑↓	Timestamp ^{↑↓}	Uploaded By ↑↓	Download	Action	
49	Sales Files with Errors.zip	Invalid	03/03/2021 4:18:15 PM	jjohnson@dlgf.in.gov	N/A	Details	
Showing 1 to 1 of 1	entries				Previous	1 Next	



Gateway Sales Application – Unsuccessful Upload

- If your file upload did not process successfully, you will notice a red "Invalid" status under the Upload Status column.
- Additionally, you will not be able to export the dataset that the database attempted to process; rather, there will be a "N/A" listed under the Download column.
- Click on the "Details" link under the Action column for further information on which files generated errors upon processing.



Gateway Sales Application – File Details





- Each file that generated errors upon processing will be listed with a red "Failed" status under the Status column.
- Please note that in order for the entire dataset to process successfully, all five files must have a green "Valid" status. Even if only one of the five files contain errors, that one file will prevent the entire dataset from being processed.
- Click on the View Error link under the Action column to see the list of errors generated for each file.



Gateway Sales Application – View Errors





- Each SDF record that generated an error will be listed on the View Error screen, along with the description of the error.
- It's possible that certain SDF IDs may be listed more than once if those records contained multiple errors.
- Please note that you may need to click on the "Next" button at the bottom right-hand corner to see the complete list of errors for the entire file.
- Please capture a screenshot of the errors shown for each file and share this info with your sales disclosure software vendor.



Gateway Sales Application - Affirmation

- Upon completion of your review and validation process and successful upload of all sales for a particular month, you will affirm that you have uploaded the complete reviewed/validated dataset for that month.
- Affirming a particular month's sales is a quick, simple three-step process.
- This new Affirmation feature takes the place of having to email the Department's Data Inbox to verify that you have submitted all your sales for a particular conveyance date range.
- All twelve months' sales must be affirmed before the Data Analysis Division will conduct its compliance review process of your 2021 sales data.



Gateway Sales Application - Affirmation

Gateway for government units

Department of Local Government Finance - Sales Application

If you want to access Sales data prior to 1/1/2021 please click here.

Home > File > Affirmation

Affirmation

Modified By Timestamp Affirmation Action Month January Edit February Edit month. March Edit April Edit May Edit June Edit July Edit Edit August September

Adams County - 2021 Sales Year

Step 1: Click on the Edit button for the applicable

Home Q DLGF Search Site C Logout



Gateway Sales Application - Affirmation







Sales Data Compliance Review Process



- The Data Analysis Division's review of sales data is centered around two primary questions:
 - Have all the sales for the specified conveyance period been submitted?
 - Does the SDF data submitted appear to be complete and accurate?
 - Examples: Are buyer/sellers listed? Is the sales price listed? Are the taxing district and parcel number included?



- It's helpful to consider the Data Analysis Division's review of the sale data as the "primer" in the ratio study approval process.
- The Data Analysis Division's review helps to ensure the underlying foundation of the sales data used in a ratio study.
- The Data Analysis Division's review does not answer the following questions:
 - Should a certain sale be marked valid for trending?
 - Should a certain sale be included in a ratio study?



- When the Data Analysis Division completes its review of a county's sales data, it emails the county two documents with its finding:
 - The <u>Sales Issues Workbook</u> provides an itemized breakdown of the records that contained probable/possible errors based on our compliance checks.
 - The <u>Sales Disclosure Compliance Report</u> provides a summary analysis of the various checks performed on the submitted data, along with an official compliance status.



- The Sales Issues Workbook contains up to six separate tabs, which provide a list of sales records that generated errors – either certain or possible - when the data was run though the various compliance checks.
- The six tabs are as follows:
 - Missing Critical Data.
 - Single-Parcel Sale Duplicates.
 - Multi-Parcel Sale Duplicates.
 - Valuable Consideration.
 - Part 2 County Assessor Checks.
 - Part 3 County Auditor Checks.



- The <u>Missing Critical Data</u> tab contains data that may be missing or mismatched between the Sales files and the Parcel file. Checks are performed on both single and multi-parcel sales but are separated out on the spreadsheet.
- The <u>Single-Parcel Sale Duplicates</u> tab contains sales that are possible duplicates. Generally, these sales will contain different SDF ID numbers but have the same parcel number, conveyance date, sales price, along with buyer, preparer, and seller listed.



- The <u>Multi-Parcel Sale Duplicates</u> tab contains possible duplicate records just like the Duplicate Parcels tab; however, this tab pertains only to multi-parcel sales contained in the dataset.
- The <u>Valuable Consideration</u> tab contains a list of parcels (sales) that have a sales price greater than zero (0) but are marked as "NO" for valuable consideration.

- The Part 2 County Assessor Checks tab contains records where there are possible discrepancies between items marked in Part 1 of the SDF compared to the information listed in Part 2 of the SDF, like an individual SDF that contains multiple parcels located in different taxing districts.
- The Part 3 County Auditor Checks tab contains records where there are possible discrepancies between those records marked as requiring a State sales disclosure fee and the amount of fee collected.



Page 1

	2021 Sales Disclosure File (SDF) Data Review					
	Sales Review Period: 1/1/2021 to 12/31/	2021				
1	County Name (Number):	Date of Report:				
	Morrison (95)	2/7/2022				
2	Date SALES Files Received:					
	1/28/2022					
3	2021 Sales Status: COMPLIANT					
4	Corrections Required for Future Submissions: Provide missing "critical" data, as noted or	n the Missing Critical Data tab of the	e Issues			
1	Workbook, for all records; Correct Duplicate Records; Verify all Y/N fields for conditions of	I sale are populated corectly, particular	ularly sales			
	flagged as having no valuable consideration but contain a sales price greater than 50					
5	Comments: See file attachment Morrison_2021_Sales_Issues.xis for additional details.					
	Checks to ensure all records have been	submitted				
6	Total # of SDE records marked as requiring the State sales disclosure fee (item 12 in Bast 2	a of SDE)	9099			
7	Total # of SDF records marked as he State sales disclosure fee being collected (Item 7 in I	Part 3 of SDE)	8800			
8	County's collection rate percentage of State sales disclosure fee (Value in Line 7/Value in I	Line 6)	97.9%			
9	Total amount of State sales disclosure fee collected based on all submitted sales with a co Part 3 of SDF)	inveyance date in 2021 (item 1 in	45250			
10	Sales disclosure fee revenue reported by the Auditor of State (ADS) for June and December	er settlements in 2021 (combined)	44700			
	Percentage of State sales disclosure fee revenue reported by AOS compared to what was	collected based on all submitted				
11	sales (Value in Line 10/Value in Line 9)		98.8%			
	Number of Sales Disclosures Reporte	d				
12	Number of sales disclosures with a conveyance date in 2020 submitted by the county		10000			
13	County 3-year average of sales disclosures submitted by the county (2018-2020)		9925			
14	County 5-year average of sales disclosures submitted by the county (2016-2020)		9850			
15	Percentage increase/decrease in 2021 sales disclosures compared to 2020 sales disclosure	в	3.3%			
16	Percentage increase/decrease in 2021 sales disclosures compared to county 3-year average	ge	4.1%			
17	Percentage increase/decrease in 2021 sales disclosures compared to county 5-year average	ge	4.9%			
	Checks to Ensure the Data Are Complete	and Correct				
	Missing Critical Data & Other Probable Da	ta Errors				
18	Overall total # of SDF records in SALES files		10328			
	Please refer to the attached issues Workbook for further detail on the summary of checks shown in	nmediately below:				
19	Single-parcel sales missing critical data		59			
20	Multi-parcel sales missing critical data		2			
21	Percentage of overall total # of records missing critical data		0.6%			
22	Number of possible single-parcel sale duplicates		50			
23	Number of unique sales from the single-parcel sale duplicates		25			
24	Percentage of overall total # of records that are possible single-parcel sale duplicates		0.2%			
-						
25	Number of possible multiple-parcel sale duplicates		30			
26	Number of unique sales from the multiple-parcel sale duplicates		15			
27	Percentage of overall total # of records that are possible multi-parcel sale duplicates		0.1%			
28	Number of sales marked as "Yes" for Valuable Consideration but list a sales price of \$0		2			
29	Percentage of overall total # of records marked as "Yes" for Valuable Consideration but its	t a sales price of \$0	0.0%			
-	Number of sales made days West for bunching land but have \$5 to \$11 of the divid for \$2	litere 21				
30	inventuer of sales marked as "res" for involving Land out have 50 in AV of Land field (Part 2	item 21	2			
31	Number of sales marked as "Yes" for involving improvement but have \$0 in AV of improve	ement field (Part 2 Item 3)	5			

Page 2

32	Number of sales marked as having personal property with a value greater than \$0 in Section E and/or Section G but have \$0 In Value of Depreciable Personal Property field (Part 2 item 4)	45
33	Number of sales where the individual AVs in Part 2 do not equal the value in AV Total field (Part 2 Item 5)	0
34	Number of multi-parcel sales that include multiple parcels on one SDF but that have parcels in different state assigned taxing districts	140
35	Number of sales that have one or more conditions checked "Yes" in item 8 - 10 in Section B and are checked as State Sales Disclosure Fee required (Part 2 item 12)	13
36	Percentage of overall total # of records that contain an error in the Part 2 - County Assessor section	2.0%
37	Number of sales that are checked as State sales disclosure fee collected (Part 3 Item 7) but show \$0 under the amount collected (Part 3 Item 1)	3
38	Number of sales that show an amount greater than \$0 collected for the State sales disclosure fee (Part 3 Item 1) but are checked "No" as State sales disclosure fee collected (Part 3 Item 7)	۰
39	Number of sales where the indivudal fee collected amounts in Part 3 do not equal the value in Total fee collected field (Part 3 Item 3)	0
40	Percentage of overall total # of records that contain an error in the Part 3 - County Auditor section	0.0%
	Pay 2022 PARCEL File Match	
41	Pay 2022 PARCEL data status	Pending
42	Percentage of 2021 sales match to Pay 2022 PARCEL data	99.2%
43	Number of splits reported in SALES files	103
44	Comments:	
	Valid for Trending	
45	Total SDF records reported that are marked valid for trending	7829
46	Valid for trending as a % of total SDF records reported	75.8%
47	Valid for trending as a % of total SDF records marked for valuable consideration and require a State sales disclosure fee	87.1%
48	County 3-year average of sales marked valid for trending as % of total SDF records reported (2018-2020)	74.20%
49	County 3-year average of sales marked valid for trending as % of total SDF records marked for valuable consideration and require a State sales disclosure fee (2018-2020)	86.50%
50	County 5-year average of sales marked valid for trending as % of total SDF records reported (2016-2020)	73.10%
51	County 5-year average of sales marked valid for trending as % of total SDF records marked for valuable consideration and require a State sales disclosure fee (2016-2020)	86.40%
52	Comments:	



	2021 Sales Disclosure File (SDF) Data Review						
	Sales Review Period: 1/1/2021 to 12/31/2021						
1	County Name (Number):	Date of Report:					
	Morrison (95)	2/7/2022					
2	Date SALES Files Received:						
	1/28/2022						
3	2021 Sales Status: COMPLIANT						
4	Corrections Required for Future Submissions: Provide missing "critical" data, as noted of Workbook, for all records; Correct Duplicate Records; Verify all Y/N fields for conditions of flagged as having no valuable consideration but contain a sales price greater than \$0	n the Missing Critical Data tab of the Issues f sale are populated corectly, particularly sales					
5	Comments: See file attachment Morrison_2021_Sales_Issues.xls for additional details.						



	Checks to ensure all records have been submitted	
	Revenue Check	
6	Total # of SDF records marked as requiring the State sales disclosure fee (Item 12 in Part 2 of SDF)	8988
7	Total # of SDF records marked as the State sales disclosure fee being collected (Item 7 in Part 3 of SDF)	8800
8	County's collection rate percentage of State sales disclosure fee (Value in Line 7/Value in Line 6)	97.9%
9	Total amount of State sales disclosure fee collected based on all submitted sales with a conveyance date in 2021 (Item 1 in Part 3 of SDF)	45250
10	Sales disclosure fee revenue reported by the Auditor of State (AOS) for June and December settlements in 2021 (combined)	44700
11	Percentage of State sales disclosure fee revenue reported by AOS compared to what was collected based on all submitted sales (Value in Line 10/Value in Line 9)	98.8%
	Number of Sales Disclosures Reported	
12	Number of sales disclosures with a conveyance date in 2020 submitted by the county	10000
13	County 3-year average of sales disclosures submitted by the county (2018-2020)	9925
14	County 5-year average of sales disclosures submitted by the county (2016-2020)	9850
15	Percentage increase/decrease in 2021 sales disclosures compared to 2020 sales disclosures	3.3%
16	Percentage increase/decrease in 2021 sales disclosures compared to county 3-year average	4.1%
17	Percentage increase/decrease in 2021 sales disclosures compared to county 5-year average	4.9%



	Checks to Ensure the Data Are Complete and Correct						
	Missing Critical Data & Other Probable Data Errors						
18	Overall total # of SDF records in SALES files	10328					
	Please refer to the attached Issues Workbook for further detail on the summary of checks shown immediately below:						
19	Single-parcel sales missing critical data	59					
20	Multi-parcel sales missing critical data	2					
21	Percentage of overall total # of records missing critical data	0.6%					
22	Number of possible single-parcel sale duplicates	50					
23	Number of unique sales from the single-parcel sale duplicates	25					
24	Percentage of overall total # of records that are possible single-parcel sale duplicates	0.2%					
25	Number of possible multiple-parcel sale duplicates	30					
26	Number of unique sales from the multiple-parcel sale duplicates	15					
27	Percentage of overall total # of records that are possible multi-parcel sale duplicates	0.1%					



28	Number of sales marked as "Yes" for Valuable Consideration but list a sales price of \$0	2
29	Percentage of overall total # of records marked as "Yes" for Valuable Consideration but list a sales price of \$0	0.0%
30	Number of sales marked as "Yes" for involving Land but have \$0 in AV of Land field (Part 2 Item 2)	2
31	Number of sales marked as "Yes" for involving Improvement but have \$0 in AV of Improvement field (Part 2 Item 3)	5
32	Number of sales marked as having personal property with a value greater than \$0 in Section E and/or Section G but have \$0 in Value of Depreciable Personal Property field (Part 2 Item 4)	45
33	Number of sales where the individual AVs in Part 2 do not equal the value in AV Total field (Part 2 Item 5)	0
34	Number of multi-parcel sales that include multiple parcels on one SDF but that have parcels in different state assigned taxing districts	140
35	Number of sales that have one or more conditions checked "Yes" in Item 8 - 10 in Section B and are checked as State Sales Disclosure Fee required (Part 2 Item 12)	13
36	Percentage of overall total # of records that contain an error in the Part 2 - County Assessor section	2.0%



37	Number of sales that are checked as State sales disclosure fee collected (Part 3 Item 7) but show \$0 under the amount collected (Part 3 Item 1)	3
38	Number of sales that show an amount greater than \$0 collected for the State sales disclosure fee (Part 3 Item 1) but are checked "No" as State sales disclosure fee collected (Part 3 Item 7)	0
39	Number of sales where the indivudal fee collected amounts in Part 3 do not equal the value in Total fee collected field (Part 3 Item 3)	0
40	Percentage of overall total # of records that contain an error in the Part 3 - County Auditor section	0.0%



	Pay 2022 PARCEL File Match	
4	I Pay 2022 PARCEL data status	Pending
4	2 Percentage of 2021 sales match to Pay 2022 PARCEL data	99.2%
4	3 Number of splits reported in SALES files	103
4	4 Comments:	



Valid for Trending		
45	Total SDF records reported that are marked valid for trending	7829
46	Valid for trending as a % of total SDF records reported	75.8%
47	Valid for trending as a % of total SDF records marked for valuable consideration and require a State sales disclosure fee	87.1%
48	County 3-year average of sales marked valid for trending as % of total SDF records reported (2018-2020)	74.20%
49	County 3-year average of sales marked valid for trending as % of total SDF records marked for valuable consideration and require a State sales disclosure fee (2018-2020)	86.50%
50	County 5-year average of sales marked valid for trending as % of total SDF records reported (2016-2020)	73.10%
51	County 5-year average of sales marked valid for trending as % of total SDF records marked for valuable consideration and require a State sales disclosure fee (2016-2020)	86.40%
52	Comments:	



- If a county's sales data is deemed "non-compliant" by the Data Analysis Division, the county must review the possible errors, make any necessary corrections, and resubmit its sales data for another round of reviews.
- When a county's sales data is deemed "compliant," this essentially signals a go-ahead for the Assessment Division to proceed with its review and ultimate approval of the county's submitted ratio study.



SDF Online Search

• The SDF Online Search database is available to the general public to search on any sales records that counties have uploaded to the **Gateway Sales Application site:**

https://gatewaysdf.ifionline.org/Search.

- Sales records in the 2008 2020 time frame that counties uploaded to the previous Gateway SDF database can still be accessed: https://retiredgatewaysdf.ifionline.org/Search.aspx.
- For any sales records prior to 2008, individuals seeking that historical data should follow up directly with the applicable county to inquire if they may still have access to that information.



Questions?



Thank you!

James Johnson, Director – Data Analysis Division

- Telephone: 317-234-8274
- Email: jjohnson@dlgf.in.gov

Marilyn Gaas-James, Tax Data Analyst

- Telephone: 317-234-8275
- Email: <u>mgaas-james@dlgf.in.gov</u>

Deven Harris, Tax Data Analyst

- Telephone: 317-234-0940
- Email: <u>dharris@dlgf.in.gov</u>

Website: www.in.gov/dlgf

"Contact Us": <u>https://www.in.gov/dlgf/contact-us/</u>