



*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services

## Practice Indicator Reports

### For April 2012



# Region 17

## April 2012

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published**  
**May 2012**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For April 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For April 2012

### April 2012

Location	Reports Taken For Feb 2012 to Apr 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Feb 2012 to Apr 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	42,955	25.8%	6,437	29.0%
Region 17	923	26.33%	187	24.60%
Crawford	82	24.4%	22	27.3%
Daviess	204	22.1%	34	35.3%
Dubois	184	34.2%	45	24.4%
Martin	74	25.7%	21	28.6%
Orange	171	26.9%	18	5.6%
Perry	119	20.2%	33	9.1%
Spencer	89	29.2%	14	50.0%


### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



### Region 17 For April 2011 to April 2012

Month	Reports Taken For Feb 2012 to Apr 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Feb 2012 to Apr 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Apr 2012	923	26.3%	187	24.6%
Mar 2012	837	33.5%	161	26.1%
Feb 2012	814	37.8%	162	22.8%
Jan 2012	830	39.5%	147	19.7%
Dec 2011	830	38.4%	152	18.4%
Nov 2011	799	40.9%	139	14.4%
Oct 2011	798	42.1%	142	17.6%
Sep 2011	824	42.5%	140	27.1%
Aug 2011	853	41.4%	165	27.9%
Jul 2011	900	38.6%	174	28.7%
Jun 2011	927	38.7%	163	23.9%
May 2011	950	38.3%	155	21.9%
Apr 2011	905	39.8%	123	19.5%

### Region 17 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For April 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For April 2012

## April 2012

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13128	3834	29.2	9,294	70.8	3679	39.6	4681	50.4	734	7.9	200	2.2
Region 17	231	64	27.7	167	72.3	81	48.5	61	36.5	21	12.6	4	2.4
Crawford	51	9	17.6	42	82.4	21	50.0	17	40.5	4	9.5	0	0.0
Daviess	42	20	47.6	22	52.4	8	36.4	6	27.3	5	22.7	3	13.6
Dubois	28	5	17.9	23	82.1	14	60.9	7	30.4	2	8.7	0	0.0
Martin	22	8	36.4	14	63.6	5	35.7	6	42.9	3	21.4	0	0.0
Orange	32	7	21.9	25	78.1	12	48.0	10	40.0	3	12.0	0	0.0
Perry	26	5	19.2	21	80.8	8	38.1	9	42.9	3	14.3	1	4.8
Spencer	30	10	33.3	20	66.7	13	65.0	6	30.0	1	5.0	0	0.0


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 17 For April 2011 to April 2012

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Apr 2012	231	64	27.7	167	72.3	81	48.5	61	36.5	21	12.6	4	2.4
Mar 2012	224	68	30.4	156	69.6	75	48.1	56	35.9	22	14.1	3	1.9
Feb 2012	221	60	27.1	161	72.9	79	49.1	56	34.8	23	14.3	3	1.9
Jan 2012	214	64	29.9	150	70.1	71	47.3	50	33.3	26	17.3	3	2.0
Dec 2011	228	75	32.9	153	67.1	73	47.7	55	35.9	24	15.7	1	0.7
Nov 2011	229	78	34.1	151	65.9	64	42.4	65	43.0	22	14.6	0	0.0
Oct 2011	226	75	33.2	151	66.8	56	37.1	70	46.4	23	15.2	2	1.3
Sep 2011	248	95	38.3	153	61.7	61	39.9	72	47.1	20	13.1	0	0.0
Aug 2011	272	108	39.7	164	60.3	68	41.5	75	45.7	20	12.2	1	0.6
Jul 2011	285	104	36.5	181	63.5	75	41.4	80	44.2	25	13.8	1	0.6
Jun 2011	284	104	36.6	180	63.4	75	41.7	79	43.9	25	13.9	1	0.6
May 2011	285	91	31.9	194	68.1	90	46.4	73	37.6	28	14.4	3	1.5
Apr 2011	277	89	32.1	188	67.9	81	43.1	76	40.4	28	14.9	3	1.6

## Region 17 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Safely Home Families First by County

April 2012

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**IA Count** – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**IA and In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**IA, In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Safely Home Families First by County

For April 2012

### April 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	2010	13128	5844	38.6%	9523	62.9%	50%	5,615	37.1%	50%
Region 17	81	231	145	46.5%	226	72.4%	50%	86	27.6%	50%
Crawford	6	51	15	26.3%	36	63.2%	50%	21	36.8%	50%
Daviess	28	42	48	68.6%	56	80.0%	50%	14	20.0%	50%
Dubois	24	28	29	55.8%	43	82.7%	50%	9	17.3%	50%
Martin	4	22	12	46.2%	17	65.4%	50%	9	34.6%	50%
Orange	3	32	10	28.6%	22	62.9%	50%	13	37.1%	50%
Perry	5	26	10	32.3%	18	58.1%	50%	13	41.9%	50%
Spencer	11	30	21	51.2%	34	82.9%	50%	7	17.1%	50%


### Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



### Region 17 For April 2011 to April 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%		Count	%	
April 2012	81	231	145	46.5%	226	72.4%	50%	86	27.6%	50%
March 2012	80	224	148	48.7%	223	73.4%	50%	81	26.6%	50%
February 2012	99	221	159	49.7%	238	74.4%	50%	82	25.6%	50%
January 2012	109	214	173	53.6%	244	75.5%	50%	79	24.5%	50%
December 2011	112	228	187	55.0%	260	76.5%	50%	80	23.5%	50%
November 2011	105	229	183	54.8%	247	74.0%	50%	87	26.0%	50%
October 2011	101	226	176	53.8%	232	70.9%	50%	95	29.1%	50%
September 2011	93	248	188	55.1%	249	73.0%	50%	92	27.0%	50%
August 2011	94	272	202	55.2%	270	73.8%	50%	96	26.2%	50%
July 2011	88	285	192	51.5%	267	71.6%	50%	106	28.4%	50%
June 2011	92	284	196	52.1%	271	72.1%	50%	105	27.9%	50%
May 2011	98	285	189	49.3%	279	72.8%	50%	104	27.2%	50%
April 2011	87	277	176	48.4%	257	70.6%	50%	107	29.4%	50%

### Region 17 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For April 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For April 2012

## April 2012

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,294	6,573	70.7%
Region 17	167	79	47.3%
Crawford	42	14	33.3%
Daviess	22	11	50.0%
Dubois	23	16	69.6%
Martin	14	7	50.0%
Orange	25	16	64.0%
Perry	21	4	19.0%
Spencer	20	11	55.0%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 17 For April 2011 to April 2012

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Apr 2012	167	79	47.3%
Mar 2012	156	75	48.1%
Feb 2012	161	76	47.2%
Jan 2012	150	75	50.0%
Dec 2011	153	81	52.9%
Nov 2011	151	75	49.7%
Oct 2011	151	74	49.0%
Sep 2011	153	73	47.7%
Aug 2011	164	78	47.6%
Jul 2011	181	91	50.3%
Jun 2011	180	89	49.4%
May 2011	194	107	55.2%
Apr 2011	188	95	50.5%

## Region 17 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For April 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For April 2011 to April 2012

## April 2012

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 17	2.5
Crawford	4.3
Daviess	2.2
Dubois	2.3
Martin	1.7
Orange	1.8
Perry	2.4
Spencer	1.5


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 17 For April 2011 to April 2012

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
April 2012	2.5
March 2012	2.5
February 2012	2.5
January 2012	2.6
December 2011	2.6
November 2011	2.6
October 2011	2.5
September 2011	2.4
August 2011	2.4
July 2011	2.3
June 2011	2.3
May 2011	2.3
April 2011	2.2

## Region 17 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For April 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

April 2012

## April 2012

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2305	1717	74.5%	2.71
Region 17	42	36	85.7%	2.43
Crawford	12	8	66.7%	2.92
Daviess	6	4	66.7%	2.17
Dubois	4	4	100.0%	2.50
Martin	3	3	100.0%	2.00
Orange	6	6	100.0%	2.17
Perry	5	5	100.0%	2.20
Spencer	6	6	100.0%	2.33


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 17 For April 2011 to April 2012

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
April 2012	42	36	85.7%	2.43
March 2012	40	34	85.0%	2.35
February 2012	41	34	82.9%	2.37
January 2012	38	29	76.3%	2.29
December 2011	39	31	79.5%	2.33
November 2011	40	33	82.5%	2.28
October 2011	40	34	85.0%	2.25
September 2011	41	34	82.9%	2.27
August 2011	46	37	80.4%	2.30
July 2011	52	41	78.8%	2.35
June 2011	51	39	76.5%	2.35
May 2011	53	40	75.5%	2.47
April 2011	49	38	77.6%	2.47

## Region 17 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For April 2012

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child’s last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or “mean” length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For April 2012

#### April 2012

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,594	49.4%	2,040	21.9%	1,134	12.2%	1,526	16.4%	615.0	376.0
Region 17	93	55.7%	40	24.0%	13	7.8%	21	12.6%	515.6	298.3
Crawford	22	52.4%	4	9.5%	5	11.9%	11	26.2%	749.9	304.0
Daviess	15	68.2%	5	22.7%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	387.9	229.0
Dubois	18	78.3%	3	13.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.7%	368.8	62.7
Martin	4	28.6%	2	14.3%	4	28.6%	4	28.6%	894.9	978.0
Orange	12	48.0%	12	48.0%	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	333.3	378.3
Perry	10	47.6%	8	38.1%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	518.4	396.4
Spencer	12	60.0%	6	30.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	292.3	209.0


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 17 For April 2011 to April 2012

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
April 2012	93	55.7%	40	24.0%	13	7.8%	21	12.6%	515.6	298.3
March 2012	85	54.5%	35	22.4%	15	9.6%	21	13.5%	540.0	308.5
February 2012	91	56.5%	35	21.7%	14	8.7%	21	13.0%	531.2	317.3
January 2012	77	51.3%	36	24.0%	16	10.7%	21	14.0%	570.6	320.0
December 2011	79	51.6%	40	26.1%	16	10.5%	18	11.8%	550.4	327.5
November 2011	75	49.7%	40	26.5%	18	11.9%	18	11.9%	567.4	373.5
October 2011	76	50.3%	37	24.5%	18	11.9%	20	13.2%	567.9	361.6
September 2011	79	51.6%	34	22.2%	19	12.4%	21	13.7%	549.2	338.6
August 2011	82	50.0%	41	25.0%	18	11.0%	23	14.0%	545.3	365.0
July 2011	100	55.2%	44	24.3%	15	8.3%	22	12.2%	500.8	319.3
June 2011	99	55.0%	46	25.6%	13	7.2%	22	12.2%	494.3	322.0
May 2011	113	58.2%	46	23.7%	16	8.2%	19	9.8%	470.1	294.0
April 2011	110	58.5%	43	22.9%	14	7.4%	21	11.2%	479.3	305.5

#### Region 17 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For April 2012

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For April 2012

## April 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	551	67	14	48	17	697	630	90.4
Region 17	15	3	0	2	0	20	18	90.0
Crawford	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	100.0
Daviess	10	2	0	2	0	14	12	85.7
Dubois	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100.0
Martin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Perry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Spencer	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	100.0


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 17 For April 2011 to April 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Apr 2012	15	3	0	2	0	20	18	90.0
Mar 2012	20	0	0	0	0	20	20	100.0
Feb 2012	30	2	0	0	0	32	29	90.6
Jan 2012	20	4	0	1	0	25	22	88.0
Dec 2011	15	2	0	1	0	18	16	88.9
Nov 2011	10	1	0	0	1	12	9	75.0
Oct 2011	35	3	0	1	0	39	36	92.3
Sep 2011	25	2	0	4	2	33	31	93.9
Aug 2011	21	0	0	9	0	30	30	100.0
Jul 2011	16	0	0	2	0	18	18	100.0
Jun 2011	18	0	0	3	1	22	22	100.0
May 2011	14	0	0	1	0	15	15	100.0
Apr 2011	16	3	0	2	0	21	13	61.9

## Region 17 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For April 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For April 2012

### April 2012

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	8,830	2,696	30.5%	8,467	95.9%	2,621	29.7%
Region 17	154	57	37.0%	149	96.8%	56	36.4%
Crawford	37	9	24.3%	37	100.0%	9	24.3%
Daviess	20	8	40.0%	20	100.0%	8	40.0%
Dubois	19	14	73.7%	19	100.0%	14	73.7%
Martin	14	5	35.7%	13	92.9%	5	35.7%
Orange	23	5	21.7%	21	91.3%	5	21.7%
Perry	21	3	14.3%	21	100.0%	3	14.3%
Spencer	20	13	65.0%	18	90.0%	12	60.0%


### Statewide Child Contact Rate



### Region 17 For April 2011 to April 2012

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Apr 2012	154	57	37.0%	149	96.8%	56	36.4%
Mar 2012	146	59	40.4%	139	95.2%	59	40.4%
Feb 2012	146	53	36.3%	133	91.1%	48	32.9%
Jan 2012	146	52	35.6%	143	97.9%	50	34.2%
Dec 2011	146	56	38.4%	143	97.9%	55	37.7%
Nov 2011	148	52	35.1%	141	95.3%	51	34.5%
Oct 2011	145	47	32.4%	140	96.6%	46	31.7%
Sep 2011	143	45	31.5%	137	95.8%	45	31.5%
Aug 2011	159	66	41.5%	156	98.1%	66	41.5%
Jul 2011	168	72	42.9%	165	98.2%	72	42.9%
Jun 2011	169	85	50.3%	168	99.4%	85	50.3%
May 2011	186	91	48.9%	177	95.2%	90	48.4%
Apr 2011	174	89	51.1%	161	92.5%	87	50.0%

### Region 17 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For April 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For April 2012

#### April 2012

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,105	1,045	20.5%
Region 17	82	22	26.8%
Crawford	23	9	39.1%
Daviess	11	4	36.4%
Dubois	7	3	42.9%
Martin	3	0	0.0%
Orange	17	5	29.4%
Perry	10	0	0.0%
Spencer	11	1	9.1%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 17 For April 2011 to April 2012

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Apr 2012	82	22	26.8%
Mar 2012	76	23	30.3%
Feb 2012	76	19	25.0%
Jan 2012	83	19	22.9%
Dec 2011	85	26	30.6%
Nov 2011	86	31	36.0%
Oct 2011	82	29	35.4%
Sep 2011	86	42	48.8%
Aug 2011	100	37	37.0%
Jul 2011	99	34	34.3%
Jun 2011	101	24	23.8%
May 2011	119	22	18.5%
Apr 2011	113	18	15.9%

#### Region 17 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For April 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.



**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For April 2012

## April 2012

County	Victims from May 2011 to Nov 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,048	9,345	93.00%	94.60%
Region 17	267	243	91.01%	94.60%
Crawford	28	28	100.00%	94.60%
Daviess	80	75	93.75%	94.60%
Dubois	43	34	79.07%	94.60%
Martin	12	11	91.67%	94.60%
Orange	41	37	90.24%	94.60%
Perry	36	33	91.67%	94.60%
Spencer	27	25	92.59%	94.60%


## Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



## Region 17 For April 2011 to April 2012

County	Victims from May 2011 to Nov 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
April 2012	267	243	91.01%	94.60%
March 2012	279	260	93.19%	94.60%
February 2012	284	267	94.01%	94.60%
January 2012	276	254	92.03%	94.60%
December 2011	265	241	90.94%	94.60%
November 2011	261	242	92.72%	94.60%
October 2011	245	227	92.65%	94.60%
September 2011	227	214	94.27%	94.60%
August 2011	234	223	95.30%	94.60%
July 2011	247	239	96.76%	94.60%
June 2011	254	242	95.28%	94.60%
May 2011	267	247	92.51%	94.60%
April 2011	278	252	90.65%	94.60%

## Region 17 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For April 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For April 2012

#### For April 2012

Location	Children in Foster Care from May 2011 to Apr 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	19,440	21	99.89%	99.68%
Region 17	413	0	100.00%	99.68%
Crawford	76	0	100.00%	99.68%
Daviess	100	0	100.00%	99.68%
Dubois	55	0	100.00%	99.68%
Martin	27	0	100.00%	99.68%
Orange	46	0	100.00%	99.68%
Perry	61	0	100.00%	99.68%
Spencer	48	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 17 for April 2011 to April 2012

Month	Children in Foster Care from May 2011 to Apr 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
April 2012	413	0	100.00%	99.68%
March 2012	406	0	100.00%	99.68%
February 2012	417	0	100.00%	99.68%
January 2012	418	0	100.00%	99.68%
December 2011	440	0	100.00%	99.68%
November 2011	440	0	100.00%	99.68%
October 2011	463	0	100.00%	99.68%
September 2011	470	0	100.00%	99.68%
August 2011	470	0	100.00%	99.68%
July 2011	481	0	100.00%	99.68%
June 2011	489	0	100.00%	99.68%
May 2011	487	0	100.00%	99.68%
April 2011	494	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Region 17 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

