




**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For January 2011



## Region 16 January 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published  
February 2011**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For January 2011

### January 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	36,064	34.1%	5,343	30.8%
Region 16	2,174	38.96%	470	40.21%
Gibson	221	36.7%	42	23.8%
Knox	376	46.3%	95	27.4%
Pike	102	38.2%	24	4.2%
Posey	130	30.8%	21	33.3%
Vanderburgh	1,129	36.8%	240	56.3%
Warrick	216	44.9%	48	20.8%


### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



### Region 16 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jan 2011	2,174	39.0%	470	40.2%
Dec 2010	2,339	38.5%	472	37.5%
Nov 2010	2,455	38.9%	543	38.5%
Oct 2010	2,619	38.7%	563	42.8%
Sep 2010	2,393	37.9%	578	46.5%
Aug 2010	2,152	30.1%	564	45.0%
Jul 2010	1,848	19.4%	586	45.9%
Jun 2010	1,785	8.1%	623	43.3%
May 2010	1,713	6.6%	655	43.8%
Apr 2010	1,599	5.0%	643	41.7%
Mar 2010	1,485	6.8%	576	39.9%
Feb 2010	1,420	6.8%	551	34.1%
Jan 2010	1,413	6.7%	517	30.0%

### Region 16 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14172	4207	29.7%	9,965	70.3%	3554	35.7%	5192	52.1%	981	9.8%	238	2.4%
Region 16	1212	399	32.9%	813	67.1%	350	43.1%	378	46.5%	68	8.4%	17	2.1%
Gibson	90	30	33.3%	60	66.7%	29	48.3%	28	46.7%	2	3.3%	1	1.7%
Knox	230	77	33.5%	153	66.5%	73	47.7%	62	40.5%	17	11.1%	1	0.7%
Pike	23	14	60.9%	9	39.1%	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Posey	43	12	27.9%	31	72.1%	16	51.6%	13	41.9%	2	6.5%	0	0.0%
Vanderburgh	720	238	33.1%	482	66.9%	192	39.8%	249	51.7%	34	7.1%	7	1.5%
Warrick	106	28	26.4%	78	73.6%	39	50.0%	18	23.1%	13	16.7%	8	10.3%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 16 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jan 2011	1212	399	32.9%	813	67.1%	350	43.1%	378	46.5%	68	8.4%	17	2.1%
Dec 2010	1178	399	33.9%	779	66.1%	340	43.6%	350	44.9%	72	9.2%	17	2.2%
Nov 2010	1204	389	32.3%	815	67.7%	348	42.7%	371	45.5%	73	9.0%	23	2.8%
Oct 2010	1187	359	30.2%	828	69.8%	342	41.3%	391	47.2%	77	9.3%	18	2.2%
Sep 2010	1192	356	29.9%	836	70.1%	357	42.7%	369	44.1%	82	9.8%	28	3.3%
Aug 2010	1192	384	32.2%	808	67.8%	357	44.2%	349	43.2%	83	10.3%	19	2.4%
Jul 2010	1171	395	33.7%	776	66.3%	354	45.6%	333	42.9%	75	9.7%	14	1.8%
Jun 2010	1174	404	34.4%	770	65.6%	360	46.8%	321	41.7%	79	10.3%	10	1.3%
May 2010	1143	387	33.9%	756	66.1%	349	46.2%	311	41.1%	88	11.6%	8	1.1%
Apr 2010	1080	336	31.1%	744	68.9%	329	44.2%	322	43.3%	84	11.3%	9	1.2%
Mar 2010	1033	330	31.9%	703	68.1%	298	42.4%	320	45.5%	77	11.0%	8	1.1%
Feb 2010	998	328	32.9%	670	67.1%	276	41.2%	300	44.8%	84	12.5%	10	1.5%
Jan 2010	957	329	34.4%	628	65.6%	259	41.2%	282	44.9%	73	11.6%	14	2.2%

## Region 16 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	14172	7761	54.8%	50.0%	6,411	45.2%	50.0%
Region 16	1212	749	61.8%	50.0%	463	38.2%	50.0%
Gibson	90	59	65.6%	50.0%	31	34.4%	50.0%
Knox	230	150	65.2%	50.0%	80	34.8%	50.0%
Pike	23	15	65.2%	50.0%	8	34.8%	50.0%
Posey	43	28	65.1%	50.0%	15	34.9%	50.0%
Vanderburgh	720	430	59.7%	50.0%	290	40.3%	50.0%
Warrick	106	67	63.2%	50.0%	39	36.8%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 16 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
January 2011	1212	749	61.8%	50.0%	463	38.2%	50.0%
December 2010	1178	739	62.7%	50.0%	439	37.3%	50.0%
November 2010	1204	737	61.2%	50.0%	467	38.8%	50.0%
October 2010	1187	701	59.1%	50.0%	486	40.9%	50.0%
September 2010	1192	713	59.8%	50.0%	479	40.2%	50.0%
August 2010	1192	741	62.2%	50.0%	451	37.8%	50.0%
July 2010	1171	749	64.0%	50.0%	422	36.0%	50.0%
June 2010	1174	764	65.1%	50.0%	410	34.9%	50.0%
May 2010	1143	736	64.4%	50.0%	407	35.6%	50.0%
April 2010	1080	665	61.6%	50.0%	415	38.4%	50.0%
March 2010	1033	628	60.8%	50.0%	405	39.2%	50.0%
February 2010	998	604	60.5%	50.0%	394	39.5%	50.0%
January 2010	957	588	61.4%	50.0%	369	38.6%	50.0%

## Region 16 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,965	7,071	71.0%
Region 16	813	570	70.1%
Gibson	60	51	85.0%
Knox	153	115	75.2%
Pike	9	8	88.9%
Posey	31	21	67.7%
Vanderburgh	482	326	67.6%
Warrick	78	49	62.8%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 16 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jan 2011	813	570	70.1%
Dec 2010	779	542	69.6%
Nov 2010	815	563	69.1%
Oct 2010	828	577	69.7%
Sep 2010	836	594	71.1%
Aug 2010	808	567	70.2%
Jul 2010	776	546	70.4%
Jun 2010	770	561	72.9%
May 2010	756	569	75.3%
Apr 2010	744	546	73.4%
Mar 2010	703	506	72.0%
Feb 2010	670	468	69.9%
Jan 2010	628	433	68.9%

## Region 16 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For January 2010 to January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 16	2.2
Gibson	2.2
Knox	2.0
Pike	2.1
Posey	2.1
Vanderburgh	2.2
Warrick	2.8


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 16 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
January 2011	2.2
December 2010	2.2
November 2010	2.2
October 2010	2.2
September 2010	2.2
August 2010	2.1
July 2010	2.1
June 2010	2.2
May 2010	2.2
April 2010	2.2
March 2010	2.3
February 2010	2.4
January 2010	2.4

## Region 16 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2452	1734	70.7%	2.68
Region 16	212	169	79.7%	2.74
Gibson	16	14	87.5%	2.75
Knox	43	37	86.0%	2.53
Pike	2	2	100.0%	3.00
Posey	7	5	71.4%	2.71
Vanderburgh	122	96	78.7%	2.86
Warrick	22	15	68.2%	2.41


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 16 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
January 2011	212	169	79.7%	2.74
December 2010	203	159	78.3%	2.72
November 2010	217	168	77.4%	2.72
October 2010	221	167	75.6%	2.72
September 2010	224	167	74.6%	2.74
August 2010	216	162	75.0%	2.74
July 2010	201	152	75.6%	2.77
June 2010	198	154	77.8%	2.76
May 2010	195	152	77.9%	2.74
April 2010	195	151	77.4%	2.71
March 2010	188	144	76.6%	2.68
February 2010	176	130	73.9%	2.72
January 2010	163	124	76.1%	2.71

## Region 16 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For January 2011

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For January 2011

#### January 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,746	47.6%	2,462	24.7%	1,192	12.0%	1,565	15.7%	627.4	397.6
Region 16	524	64.5%	164	20.2%	59	7.3%	66	8.1%	436.2	265.6
Gibson	37	61.7%	18	30.0%	2	3.3%	3	5.0%	375.0	280.5
Knox	102	66.7%	24	15.7%	14	9.2%	13	8.5%	403.6	226.3
Pike	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	284.5	301.5
Posey	15	48.4%	6	19.4%	1	3.2%	9	29.0%	580.4	374.3
Vanderburgh	330	68.5%	88	18.3%	34	7.1%	30	6.2%	400.6	248.0
Warrick	34	43.6%	25	32.1%	8	10.3%	11	14.1%	727.6	494.0


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 16 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
January 2011	524	64.5%	164	20.2%	59	7.3%	66	8.1%	436.2	265.6
December 2010	505	64.8%	147	18.9%	66	8.5%	61	7.8%	444.4	258.5
November 2010	530	65.0%	155	19.0%	66	8.1%	64	7.9%	428.1	238.5
October 2010	552	66.7%	151	18.2%	64	7.7%	61	7.4%	407.4	224.0
September 2010	559	66.9%	155	18.5%	61	7.3%	61	7.3%	393.9	212.0
August 2010	543	67.2%	148	18.3%	56	6.9%	61	7.5%	392.3	205.5
July 2010	512	66.0%	146	18.8%	57	7.3%	61	7.9%	401.7	201.0
June 2010	515	66.9%	137	17.8%	58	7.5%	60	7.8%	402.3	210.0
May 2010	517	68.4%	132	17.5%	50	6.6%	57	7.5%	399.7	208.5
April 2010	510	68.5%	127	17.1%	47	6.3%	60	8.1%	405.8	214.5
March 2010	474	67.4%	119	16.9%	48	6.8%	62	8.8%	430.9	217.5
February 2010	446	66.6%	108	16.1%	58	8.7%	58	8.7%	455.3	242.0
January 2010	410	65.3%	105	16.7%	54	8.6%	59	9.4%	468.2	243.0

#### Region 16 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column "Total" refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column "Total within 24 months" refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	726	97	12	64	26	925	796	86.1
Region 16	59	0	1	2	1	63	60	95.2
Gibson	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	100.0
Knox	10	0	0	0	0	10	9	90.0
Pike	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	100.0
Posey	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	100.0
Vanderburgh	28	0	1	1	1	31	30	96.8
Warrick	9	0	0	0	0	9	8	88.9


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 16 For January 2010 to January 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jan 2011	59	0	1	2	1	63	60	95.2
Dec 2010	42	24	2	4	2	74	57	77.0
Nov 2010	55	2	1	3	0	61	58	95.1
Oct 2010	66	2	0	8	1	77	75	97.4
Sep 2010	90	4	1	8	4	107	103	96.3
Aug 2010	63	3	1	9	3	79	77	97.5
Jul 2010	74	2	0	6	1	83	79	95.2
Jun 2010	84	5	0	4	1	94	91	96.8
May 2010	43	2	0	1	4	50	45	90.0
Apr 2010	58	2	1	8	2	71	63	88.7
Mar 2010	30	9	1	2	2	44	35	79.5
Feb 2010	78	9	1	0	0	88	86	97.7
Jan 2010	45	12	1	0	2	60	49	81.7

## Region 16 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For January 2011

#### January 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,558	2,669	27.9%	9,315	97.5%	2,634	27.6%
Region 16	762	195	25.6%	757	99.3%	195	25.6%
Gibson	53	17	32.1%	53	100.0%	17	32.1%
Knox	147	41	27.9%	146	99.3%	41	27.9%
Pike	9	2	22.2%	9	100.0%	2	22.2%
Posey	30	8	26.7%	27	90.0%	8	26.7%
Vanderburgh	448	116	25.9%	448	100.0%	116	25.9%
Warrick	75	11	14.7%	74	98.7%	11	14.7%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 16 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jan 2011	762	195	25.6%	757	99.3%	195	25.6%
Dec 2010	747	218	29.2%	742	99.3%	218	29.2%
Nov 2010	773	204	26.4%	765	99.0%	203	26.3%
Oct 2010	785	235	29.9%	763	97.2%	233	29.7%
Sep 2010	788	248	31.5%	784	99.5%	248	31.5%
Aug 2010	753	257	34.1%	750	99.6%	257	34.1%
Jul 2010	719	259	36.0%	713	99.2%	258	35.9%
Jun 2010	724	248	34.3%	717	99.0%	247	34.1%
May 2010	706	174	24.6%	692	98.0%	174	24.6%
Apr 2010	669	213	31.8%	652	97.5%	209	31.2%
Mar 2010	649	234	36.1%	644	99.2%	231	35.6%
Feb 2010	608	229	37.7%	600	98.7%	229	37.7%
Jan 2010	562	145	25.8%	559	99.5%	145	25.8%

#### Region 16 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For January 2011

#### January 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,268	1,245	23.6%
Region 16	493	230	46.7%
Gibson	42	23	54.8%
Knox	100	32	32.0%
Pike	5	0	0.0%
Posey	14	3	21.4%
Vanderburgh	300	160	53.3%
Warrick	32	12	37.5%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 16 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jan 2011	493	230	46.7%
Dec 2010	474	229	48.3%
Nov 2010	503	64	12.7%
Oct 2010	501	69	13.8%
Sep 2010	510	81	15.9%
Aug 2010	477	88	18.4%
Jul 2010	450	150	33.3%
Jun 2010	452	51	11.3%
May 2010	457	58	12.7%
Apr 2010	435	155	35.6%
Mar 2010	435	187	43.0%
Feb 2010	391	157	40.2%
Jan 2010	345	124	35.9%

#### Region 16 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For January 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.



**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For January 2011

#### January 2011

County	Victims from Feb 2010 to Aug 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	11,919	10,697	89.75%	94.60%
Region 16	1,034	945	91.39%	94.60%
Gibson	98	92	93.88%	94.60%
Knox	173	153	88.44%	94.60%
Pike	47	40	85.11%	94.60%
Posey	54	51	94.44%	94.60%
Vanderburgh	591	546	92.39%	94.60%
Warrick	71	63	88.73%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 16 For January 2010 to January 2011

County	Victims from Feb 2010 to Aug 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
January 2011	1,034	945	91.39%	94.60%
December 2010	1,065	981	92.11%	94.60%
November 2010	1,035	946	91.40%	94.60%
October 2010	1,049	960	91.52%	94.60%
September 2010	986	907	91.99%	94.60%
August 2010	911	837	91.88%	94.60%
July 2010	881	809	91.83%	94.60%
June 2010	875	808	92.34%	94.60%
May 2010	875	821	93.83%	94.60%
April 2010	926	872	94.17%	94.60%
March 2010	926	854	92.22%	94.60%
February 2010	933	855	91.64%	94.60%
January 2010	897	819	91.30%	94.60%

#### Region 16 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For January 2011

### Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For January 2011

#### For January 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Feb 2010 to Jan 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,543	71	99.67%	<b>99.68%</b>
Region 16	1,874	8	99.57%	<b>99.68%</b>
Gibson	152	1	99.34%	<b>99.68%</b>
Knox	312	6	98.08%	<b>99.68%</b>
Pike	33	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Posey	60	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Vanderburgh	1,190	1	99.92%	<b>99.68%</b>
Warrick	127	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 16 for January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Feb 2010 to Jan 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
January 2011	1,874	8	99.57%	<b>99.68%</b>
December 2010	1,855	8	99.57%	<b>99.68%</b>
November 2010	1,845	7	99.62%	<b>99.68%</b>
October 2010	1,836	7	99.62%	<b>99.68%</b>
September 2010	1,821	7	99.62%	<b>99.68%</b>
August 2010	1,773	10	99.44%	<b>99.68%</b>
July 2010	1,697	9	99.47%	<b>99.68%</b>
June 2010	1,655	6	99.64%	<b>99.68%</b>
May 2010	1,635	8	99.51%	<b>99.68%</b>
April 2010	1,597	8	99.50%	<b>99.68%</b>
March 2010	1,529	7	99.54%	<b>99.68%</b>
February 2010	1,488	7	99.53%	<b>99.68%</b>
January 2010	1,422	8	99.44%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Region 16 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

