



**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services

## Practice Indicator Reports

### For February 2012



# Region 14

# February 2012

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published**  
**March 2012**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2012

### February 2012

Location	Reports Taken For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	36,929	35.8%	5,529	26.9%
Region 14	2,039	36.15%	366	33.06%
Bartholomew	493	32.7%	48	45.8%
Jackson	298	32.6%	50	14.0%
Jennings	263	36.9%	101	27.7%
Johnson	676	38.9%	112	46.4%
Shelby	309	38.5%	55	21.8%


### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



### Region 14 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Reports Taken For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Feb 2012	2,039	36.1%	366	33.1%
Jan 2012	2,039	36.6%	335	35.2%
Dec 2011	2,069	37.9%	327	41.3%
Nov 2011	2,122	40.1%	296	36.5%
Oct 2011	2,201	40.1%	294	28.6%
Sep 2011	2,188	39.0%	323	26.0%
Aug 2011	2,087	39.0%	318	31.1%
Jul 2011	2,077	38.0%	320	37.5%
Jun 2011	2,089	38.8%	311	33.8%
May 2011	2,133	38.4%	357	30.0%
Apr 2011	2,010	38.0%	358	31.6%
Mar 2011	2,054	35.8%	354	34.7%
Feb 2011	1,957	35.6%	332	39.8%

### Region 14 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	12629	3700	29.3	8,929	70.7	3460	38.8	4551	51.0	703	7.9	215	2.4
Region 14	737	227	30.8	510	69.2	222	43.5	243	47.6	32	6.3	13	2.5
Bartholomew	154	35	22.7	119	77.3	38	31.9	64	53.8	15	12.6	2	1.7
Jackson	88	30	34.1	58	65.9	18	31.0	39	67.2	1	1.7	0	0.0
Jennings	236	87	36.9	149	63.1	77	51.7	61	40.9	7	4.7	4	2.7
Johnson	198	55	27.8	143	72.2	61	42.7	69	48.3	7	4.9	6	4.2
Shelby	61	20	32.8	41	67.2	28	68.3	10	24.4	2	4.9	1	2.4


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 14 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Feb 2012	737	227	30.8	510	69.2	222	43.5	243	47.6	32	6.3	13	2.5
Jan 2012	714	225	31.5	489	68.5	206	42.1	239	48.9	34	7.0	10	2.0
Dec 2011	700	209	29.9	491	70.1	202	41.1	247	50.3	29	5.9	13	2.6
Nov 2011	695	210	30.2	485	69.8	212	43.7	235	48.5	31	6.4	7	1.4
Oct 2011	687	199	29.0	488	71.0	207	42.4	247	50.6	30	6.1	4	0.8
Sep 2011	683	209	30.6	474	69.4	192	40.5	248	52.3	30	6.3	4	0.8
Aug 2011	699	243	34.8	456	65.2	188	41.2	231	50.7	35	7.7	2	0.4
Jul 2011	737	252	34.2	485	65.8	204	42.1	234	48.2	41	8.5	6	1.2
Jun 2011	719	218	30.3	501	69.7	204	40.7	251	50.1	40	8.0	6	1.2
May 2011	726	209	28.8	517	71.2	211	40.8	254	49.1	44	8.5	8	1.5
Apr 2011	732	208	28.4	524	71.6	202	38.5	263	50.2	50	9.5	9	1.7
Mar 2011	711	191	26.9	520	73.1	207	39.8	268	51.5	39	7.5	6	1.2
Feb 2011	723	198	27.4	525	72.6	203	38.7	272	51.8	42	8.0	8	1.5

## Region 14 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Safely Home Families First by County

February 2012

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**IA Count** – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**IA and In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**IA, In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Safely Home Families First by County

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%	%	Count	%	%
Statewide	1802	12629	5502	38.1%	8962	62.1%	50%	5,469	37.9%	50%
Region 14	124	737	351	40.8%	573	66.6%	50%	288	33.4%	50%
Bartholomew	5	154	40	25.2%	78	49.1%	50%	81	50.9%	50%
Jackson	26	88	56	49.1%	74	64.9%	50%	40	35.1%	50%
Jennings	31	236	118	44.2%	195	73.0%	50%	72	27.0%	50%
Johnson	43	198	98	40.7%	159	66.0%	50%	82	34.0%	50%
Shelby	19	61	39	48.8%	67	83.8%	50%	13	16.3%	50%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 14 For February 2011 to February 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%	%	Count	%	%
February 2012	124	737	351	40.8%	573	66.6%	50%	288	33.4%	50%
January 2012	111	714	336	40.7%	542	65.7%	50%	283	34.3%	50%
December 2011	100	700	309	38.6%	511	63.9%	50%	289	36.1%	50%
November 2011	109	695	319	39.7%	531	66.0%	50%	273	34.0%	50%
October 2011	98	687	297	37.8%	504	64.2%	50%	281	35.8%	50%
September 2011	98	683	307	39.3%	499	63.9%	50%	282	36.1%	50%
August 2011	95	699	338	42.6%	526	66.2%	50%	268	33.8%	50%
July 2011	111	737	363	42.8%	567	66.9%	50%	281	33.1%	50%
June 2011	127	719	345	40.8%	549	64.9%	50%	297	35.1%	50%
May 2011	127	726	336	39.4%	547	64.1%	50%	306	35.9%	50%
April 2011	114	732	322	38.1%	524	61.9%	50%	322	38.1%	50%
March 2011	122	711	313	37.6%	520	62.4%	50%	313	37.6%	50%
February 2011	115	723	313	37.4%	516	61.6%	50%	322	38.4%	50%

## Region 14 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	8,929	6,315	70.7%
Region 14	510	319	62.5%
Bartholomew	119	61	51.3%
Jackson	58	42	72.4%
Jennings	149	92	61.7%
Johnson	143	96	67.1%
Shelby	41	28	68.3%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 14 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Feb 2012	510	319	62.5%
Jan 2012	489	312	63.8%
Dec 2011	491	319	65.0%
Nov 2011	485	324	66.8%
Oct 2011	488	326	66.8%
Sep 2011	474	317	66.9%
Aug 2011	456	311	68.2%
Jul 2011	485	338	69.7%
Jun 2011	501	353	70.5%
May 2011	517	361	69.8%
Apr 2011	524	358	68.3%
Mar 2011	520	353	67.9%
Feb 2011	525	356	67.8%

## Region 14 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2011 to February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.6
Region 14	2.3
Bartholomew	3.1
Jackson	2.6
Jennings	1.9
Johnson	2.3
Shelby	1.7


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 14 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
February 2012	2.3
January 2012	2.4
December 2011	2.5
November 2011	2.4
October 2011	2.4
September 2011	2.5
August 2011	2.4
July 2011	2.3
June 2011	2.3
May 2011	2.3
April 2011	2.3
March 2011	2.3
February 2011	2.3

## Region 14 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2202	1638	74.4%	2.71
Region 14	139	112	80.6%	2.60
Bartholomew	33	28	84.8%	2.48
Jackson	16	14	87.5%	2.75
Jennings	42	33	78.6%	2.71
Johnson	36	28	77.8%	2.56
Shelby	12	9	75.0%	2.42


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 14 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
February 2012	139	112	80.6%	2.60
January 2012	131	103	78.6%	2.61
December 2011	135	102	75.6%	2.59
November 2011	133	99	74.4%	2.58
October 2011	133	99	74.4%	2.64
September 2011	130	97	74.6%	2.63
August 2011	125	89	71.2%	2.62
July 2011	127	90	70.9%	2.66
June 2011	133	96	72.2%	2.67
May 2011	136	97	71.3%	2.68
April 2011	139	97	69.8%	2.67
March 2011	136	98	72.1%	2.69
February 2011	140	105	75.0%	2.66

## Region 14 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For February 2012

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child’s last removal from their home.

**Average**- This column calculates the average or “mean” length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median**- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,312	48.3%	2,059	23.1%	1,107	12.4%	1,451	16.3%	624.5	383.4
Region 14	282	55.3%	107	21.0%	58	11.4%	63	12.4%	513.3	300.5
Bartholomew	51	42.9%	15	12.6%	28	23.5%	25	21.0%	734.5	530.6
Jackson	29	50.0%	21	36.2%	0	0.0%	8	13.8%	489.8	353.0
Jennings	81	54.4%	36	24.2%	18	12.1%	14	9.4%	467.4	288.2
Johnson	87	60.8%	32	22.4%	11	7.7%	13	9.1%	444.9	273.6
Shelby	34	82.9%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%	309.8	126.4


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 14 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
February 2012	282	55.3%	107	21.0%	58	11.4%	63	12.4%	513.3	300.5
January 2012	272	55.6%	104	21.3%	49	10.0%	64	13.1%	520.9	326.3
December 2011	272	55.4%	103	21.0%	50	10.2%	66	13.4%	531.9	321.3
November 2011	258	53.2%	110	22.7%	55	11.3%	62	12.8%	537.0	324.6
October 2011	241	49.4%	131	26.8%	53	10.9%	63	12.9%	542.8	384.5
September 2011	232	48.9%	126	26.6%	50	10.5%	66	13.9%	547.0	380.5
August 2011	228	50.0%	106	23.2%	57	12.5%	65	14.3%	552.6	364.0
July 2011	259	53.4%	108	22.3%	50	10.3%	68	14.0%	522.4	324.6
June 2011	257	51.3%	130	25.9%	50	10.0%	64	12.8%	514.5	350.6
May 2011	279	54.0%	117	22.6%	50	9.7%	71	13.7%	513.1	340.3
April 2011	285	54.4%	114	21.8%	60	11.5%	65	12.4%	509.2	329.0
March 2011	279	53.7%	123	23.7%	56	10.8%	62	11.9%	515.6	335.0
February 2011	283	53.9%	122	23.2%	62	11.8%	58	11.0%	507.7	324.4

#### Region 14 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	581	51	29	58	17	736	649	88.2
Region 14	25	3	1	1	3	33	30	90.9
Bartholomew	8	0	0	0	0	8	7	87.5
Jackson	4	3	1	1	3	12	10	83.3
Jennings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Johnson	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	100.0
Shelby	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	100.0


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 14 For February 2011 to February 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Feb 2012	25	3	1	1	3	33	30	90.9
Jan 2012	31	7	3	4	1	46	40	87.0
Dec 2011	19	7	0	5	1	32	31	96.9
Nov 2011	17	2	1	2	1	23	22	95.7
Oct 2011	43	1	0	1	2	47	37	78.7
Sep 2011	58	1	0	3	2	64	57	89.1
Aug 2011	55	3	0	3	0	61	58	95.1
Jul 2011	28	3	0	1	1	33	30	90.9
Jun 2011	25	1	0	3	2	31	25	80.6
May 2011	19	8	0	7	1	35	27	77.1
Apr 2011	26	2	0	4	1	33	31	93.9
Mar 2011	27	5	0	5	3	40	37	92.5
Feb 2011	16	3	5	11	0	35	27	77.1

## Region 14 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	8,463	2,643	31.2%	8,172	96.6%	2,563	30.3%
Region 14	482	177	36.7%	449	93.2%	167	34.6%
Bartholomew	114	37	32.5%	109	95.6%	35	30.7%
Jackson	59	26	44.1%	58	98.3%	26	44.1%
Jennings	139	51	36.7%	122	87.8%	50	36.0%
Johnson	134	43	32.1%	126	94.0%	38	28.4%
Shelby	36	20	55.6%	34	94.4%	18	50.0%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 14 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Feb 2012	482	177	36.7%	449	93.2%	167	34.6%
Jan 2012	460	131	28.5%	444	96.5%	128	27.8%
Dec 2011	463	154	33.3%	445	96.1%	150	32.4%
Nov 2011	467	157	33.6%	448	95.9%	154	33.0%
Oct 2011	465	165	35.5%	446	95.9%	162	34.8%
Sep 2011	443	130	29.3%	430	97.1%	129	29.1%
Aug 2011	442	171	38.7%	431	97.5%	166	37.6%
Jul 2011	462	147	31.8%	436	94.4%	144	31.2%
Jun 2011	478	192	40.2%	461	96.4%	185	38.7%
May 2011	491	166	33.8%	460	93.7%	163	33.2%
Apr 2011	492	172	35.0%	466	94.7%	170	34.6%
Mar 2011	497	169	34.0%	466	93.8%	166	33.4%
Feb 2011	510	175	34.3%	466	91.4%	162	31.8%

#### Region 14 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,811	1,111	23.1%
Region 14	302	97	32.1%
Bartholomew	49	22	44.9%
Jackson	32	20	62.5%
Jennings	84	29	34.5%
Johnson	106	14	13.2%
Shelby	31	12	38.7%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 14 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Feb 2012	302	97	32.1%
Jan 2012	288	91	31.6%
Dec 2011	286	73	25.5%
Nov 2011	272	64	23.5%
Oct 2011	262	69	26.3%
Sep 2011	253	63	24.9%
Aug 2011	250	62	24.8%
Jul 2011	263	61	23.2%
Jun 2011	286	86	30.1%
May 2011	301	69	22.9%
Apr 2011	295	84	28.5%
Mar 2011	292	79	27.1%
Feb 2011	290	78	26.9%

#### Region 14 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.



**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2012

### February 2012

County	Victims from Mar 2011 to Sep 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,531	9,776	92.83%	94.60%
Region 14	533	488	91.56%	94.60%
Bartholomew	74	65	87.84%	94.60%
Jackson	78	76	97.44%	94.60%
Jennings	136	117	86.03%	94.60%
Johnson	181	171	94.48%	94.60%
Shelby	64	59	92.19%	94.60%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 14 For February 2011 to February 2012

County	Victims from Mar 2011 to Sep 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2012	533	488	91.56%	94.60%
January 2012	538	493	91.64%	94.60%
December 2011	595	544	91.43%	94.60%
November 2011	562	514	91.46%	94.60%
October 2011	533	483	90.62%	94.60%
September 2011	558	499	89.43%	94.60%
August 2011	575	515	89.57%	94.60%
July 2011	594	532	89.56%	94.60%
June 2011	556	490	88.13%	94.60%
May 2011	616	547	88.80%	94.60%
April 2011	626	556	88.82%	94.60%
March 2011	655	583	89.01%	94.60%
February 2011	676	593	87.72%	94.60%

### Region 14 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For February 2012

#### For February 2012

Location	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2011 to Feb 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	19,457	22	99.89%	<b>99.68%</b>
Region 14	1,139	2	99.82%	<b>99.68%</b>
Bartholomew	227	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Jackson	168	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Jennings	291	2	99.31%	<b>99.68%</b>
Johnson	332	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Shelby	121	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 14 for February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2011 to Feb 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2012	1,139	2	99.82%	<b>99.68%</b>
January 2012	1,139	1	99.91%	<b>99.68%</b>
December 2011	1,161	1	99.91%	<b>99.68%</b>
November 2011	1,148	2	99.83%	<b>99.68%</b>
October 2011	1,140	2	99.82%	<b>99.68%</b>
September 2011	1,127	4	99.65%	<b>99.68%</b>
August 2011	1,136	4	99.65%	<b>99.68%</b>
July 2011	1,157	3	99.74%	<b>99.68%</b>
June 2011	1,162	3	99.74%	<b>99.68%</b>
May 2011	1,147	3	99.74%	<b>99.68%</b>
April 2011	1,126	3	99.73%	<b>99.68%</b>
March 2011	1,124	3	99.73%	<b>99.68%</b>
February 2011	1,113	3	99.73%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Region 14 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

