



**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services

## Practice Indicator Reports

### For July 2011



# Region 14

## July 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published**  
**August 2011**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For July 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For July 2011

### July 2011

Location	Reports Taken For May 2011 to Jul 2011		Assessments Substantiated For May 2011 to Jul 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	39,313	35.3%	5,957	27.2%
Region 14	2,077	37.99%	320	37.50%
Bartholomew	490	36.7%	51	37.3%
Jackson	293	33.4%	49	28.6%
Jennings	258	35.7%	84	38.1%
Johnson	745	40.4%	96	39.6%
Shelby	291	40.5%	40	42.5%


### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



### Region 14 For July 2010 to July 2011

Month	Reports Taken For May 2011 to Jul 2011		Assessments Substantiated For May 2011 to Jul 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jul 2011	2,077	38.0%	320	37.5%
Jun 2011	2,089	38.8%	311	33.8%
May 2011	2,133	38.4%	357	30.0%
Apr 2011	2,010	38.0%	358	31.6%
Mar 2011	2,054	35.8%	354	34.7%
Feb 2011	1,957	35.6%	332	39.8%
Jan 2011	2,023	36.7%	366	37.4%
Dec 2010	2,019	37.8%	420	38.1%
Nov 2010	2,125	38.5%	379	34.3%
Oct 2010	2,148	37.9%	360	32.2%
Sep 2010	2,031	36.9%	336	30.1%
Aug 2010	1,956	35.2%	349	32.7%
Jul 2010	1,951	32.3%	394	33.0%

### Region 14 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For July 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For July 2011

## July 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13575	4191	30.9	9384	69.1	3524	37.6	4883	52.0	808	8.6	169	1.8
Region 14	737	252	34.2	485	65.8	204	42.1	234	48.2	41	8.5	6	1.2
Bartholomew	161	43	26.7	118	73.3	34	28.8	62	52.5	22	18.6	0	0.0
Jackson	107	24	22.4	83	77.6	28	33.7	52	62.7	1	1.2	2	2.4
Jennings	203	75	36.9	128	63.1	64	50.0	57	44.5	6	4.7	1	0.8
Johnson	207	83	40.1	124	59.9	60	48.4	55	44.4	9	7.3	0	0.0
Shelby	59	27	45.8	32	54.2	18	56.3	8	25.0	3	9.4	3	9.4


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 14 For July 2010 to July 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jul 2011	737	252	34.2	485	65.8	204	42.1	234	48.2	41	8.5	6	1.2
Jun 2011	719	218	30.3	501	69.7	204	40.7	251	50.1	40	8.0	6	1.2
May 2011	726	209	28.8	517	71.2	211	40.8	254	49.1	44	8.5	8	1.5
Apr 2011	732	208	28.4	524	71.6	202	38.5	263	50.2	50	9.5	9	1.7
Mar 2011	711	191	26.9	520	73.1	207	39.8	268	51.5	39	7.5	6	1.2
Feb 2011	723	198	27.4	525	72.6	203	38.7	272	51.8	42	8.0	8	1.5
Jan 2011	718	194	27.0	524	73.0	206	39.3	266	50.8	41	7.8	11	2.1
Dec 2010	702	195	27.8	507	72.2	199	39.3	255	50.3	42	8.3	11	2.2
Nov 2010	717	181	25.2	536	74.8	219	40.9	259	48.3	46	8.6	12	2.2
Oct 2010	689	148	21.5	541	78.5	229	42.3	265	49.0	43	7.9	4	0.7
Sep 2010	696	154	22.1	542	77.9	216	39.9	272	50.2	51	9.4	3	0.6
Aug 2010	687	164	23.9	523	76.1	218	41.7	252	48.2	48	9.2	5	1.0
Jul 2010	697	169	24.2	528	75.8	221	41.9	254	48.1	49	9.3	4	0.8

## Region 14 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Safely Home Families First by County

July 2011

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**IA Count** – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**IA and In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**IA, In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Safely Home Families First by County

For July 2011

### July 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	1718	13575	5909	38.6%	9433	61.7%	50%	5,860	38.3%	50%
Region 14	111	737	363	42.8%	567	66.9%	50%	281	33.1%	50%
Bartholomew	22	161	65	35.5%	99	54.1%	50%	84	45.9%	50%
Jackson	6	107	30	26.5%	58	51.3%	50%	55	48.7%	50%
Jennings	7	203	82	39.0%	146	69.5%	50%	64	30.5%	50%
Johnson	64	207	147	54.2%	207	76.4%	50%	64	23.6%	50%
Shelby	12	59	39	54.9%	57	80.3%	50%	14	19.7%	50%


### Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



### Region 14 For July 2010 to July 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%		Count	%	
July 2011	111	737	363	42.8%	567	66.9%	50%	281	33.1%	50%
June 2011	127	719	345	40.8%	549	64.9%	50%	297	35.1%	50%
May 2011	127	726	336	39.4%	547	64.1%	50%	306	35.9%	50%
April 2011	114	732	322	38.1%	524	61.9%	50%	322	38.1%	50%
March 2011	122	711	313	37.6%	520	62.4%	50%	313	37.6%	50%
February 2011	115	723	313	37.4%	516	61.6%	50%	322	38.4%	50%
January 2011	104	718	298	36.3%	504	61.3%	50%	318	38.7%	50%
December 2010	101	702	296	36.9%	495	61.6%	50%	308	38.4%	50%
November 2010	100	717	281	34.4%	500	61.2%	50%	317	38.8%	50%
October 2010	109	689	257	32.2%	486	60.9%	50%	312	39.1%	50%
September 2010	91	696	245	31.1%	461	58.6%	50%	326	41.4%	50%
August 2010	97	687	261	33.3%	479	61.1%	50%	305	38.9%	50%
July 2010	108	697	277	34.4%	498	61.9%	50%	307	38.1%	50%

### Region 14 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For July 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For July 2011

## July 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,384	6,637	70.7%
Region 14	485	338	69.7%
Bartholomew	118	68	57.6%
Jackson	83	65	78.3%
Jennings	128	95	74.2%
Johnson	124	90	72.6%
Shelby	32	20	62.5%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 14 For July 2010 to July 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jul 2011	485	338	69.7%
Jun 2011	501	353	70.5%
May 2011	517	361	69.8%
Apr 2011	524	358	68.3%
Mar 2011	520	353	67.9%
Feb 2011	525	356	67.8%
Jan 2011	524	359	68.5%
Dec 2010	507	353	69.6%
Nov 2010	536	387	72.2%
Oct 2010	541	395	73.0%
Sep 2010	542	392	72.3%
Aug 2010	523	379	72.5%
Jul 2010	528	379	71.8%

## Region 14 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For July 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For July 2010 to July 2011

## July 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 14	2.4
Bartholomew	3.2
Jackson	2.5
Jennings	1.9
Johnson	2.3
Shelby	1.6


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 14 For July 2010 to July 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
July 2011	2.4
June 2011	2.3
May 2011	2.3
April 2011	2.3
March 2011	2.3
February 2011	2.3
January 2011	2.3
December 2010	2.2
November 2010	2.2
October 2010	2.2
September 2010	2.2
August 2010	2.2
July 2010	2.2

## Region 14 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For July 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

July 2011

## July 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2325	1712	73.6%	2.71
Region 14	127	90	70.9%	2.66
Bartholomew	33	23	69.7%	2.42
Jackson	23	18	78.3%	2.74
Jennings	35	29	82.9%	2.77
Johnson	28	17	60.7%	2.86
Shelby	8	3	37.5%	2.25


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 14 For July 2010 to July 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
July 2011	127	90	70.9%	2.66
June 2011	133	96	72.2%	2.67
May 2011	136	97	71.3%	2.68
April 2011	139	97	69.8%	2.67
March 2011	136	98	72.1%	2.69
February 2011	140	105	75.0%	2.66
January 2011	140	103	73.6%	2.66
December 2010	139	105	75.5%	2.60
November 2010	152	110	72.4%	2.56
October 2010	152	112	73.7%	2.57
September 2010	154	110	71.4%	2.59
August 2010	147	110	74.8%	2.56
July 2010	151	116	76.8%	2.50

## Region 14 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For July 2011

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For July 2011

#### July 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,186	44.6%	2,381	25.4%	1,178	12.6%	1,638	17.5%	647.2	424.0
Region 14	259	53.4%	108	22.3%	51	10.5%	67	13.8%	520.2	324.6
Bartholomew	51	43.2%	16	13.6%	24	20.3%	27	22.9%	709.5	530.5
Jackson	41	49.4%	28	33.7%	1	1.2%	13	15.7%	455.8	370.6
Jennings	73	57.0%	29	22.7%	14	10.9%	12	9.4%	443.5	292.5
Johnson	71	57.3%	29	23.4%	11	8.9%	13	10.5%	502.0	313.5
Shelby	23	71.9%	6	18.8%	1	3.1%	2	6.3%	366.2	205.0


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 14 For July 2010 to July 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
July 2011	259	53.4%	108	22.3%	51	10.5%	67	13.8%	520.2	324.6
June 2011	257	51.3%	130	25.9%	51	10.2%	63	12.6%	512.4	350.6
May 2011	279	54.0%	117	22.6%	50	9.7%	71	13.7%	513.0	340.3
April 2011	285	54.4%	114	21.8%	60	11.5%	65	12.4%	509.0	329.0
March 2011	279	53.7%	123	23.7%	56	10.8%	62	11.9%	515.6	335.0
February 2011	283	53.9%	122	23.2%	62	11.8%	58	11.0%	507.2	324.4
January 2011	289	55.2%	113	21.6%	71	13.5%	51	9.7%	506.5	332.0
December 2010	277	54.6%	113	22.3%	65	12.8%	52	10.3%	507.4	318.6
November 2010	303	56.5%	119	22.2%	61	11.4%	53	9.9%	483.2	296.0
October 2010	312	57.7%	116	21.4%	64	11.8%	49	9.1%	460.9	275.5
September 2010	311	57.4%	115	21.2%	72	13.3%	44	8.1%	449.3	260.0
August 2010	301	57.6%	113	21.6%	66	12.6%	43	8.2%	450.3	279.6
July 2010	307	58.1%	111	21.0%	71	13.4%	39	7.4%	450.5	290.0

#### Region 14 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For July 2011

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For July 2011

## July 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	642	99	9	48	26	824	701	85.1
Region 14	28	3	0	1	1	33	30	90.9
Bartholomew	3	3	0	0	1	7	4	57.1
Jackson	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	100.0
Jennings	3	0	0	1	0	4	4	100.0
Johnson	15	0	0	0	0	15	15	100.0
Shelby	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	100.0


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 14 For July 2010 to July 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jul 2011	28	3	0	1	1	33	30	90.9
Jun 2011	25	1	0	3	2	31	25	80.6
May 2011	19	8	0	7	1	35	27	77.1
Apr 2011	26	2	0	4	1	33	31	93.9
Mar 2011	27	5	0	5	3	40	37	92.5
Feb 2011	16	3	5	11	0	35	27	77.1
Jan 2011	25	1	0	8	1	35	34	97.1
Dec 2010	30	2	0	11	1	44	41	93.2
Nov 2010	16	2	2	4	0	24	22	91.7
Oct 2010	27	2	1	4	2	36	32	88.9
Sep 2010	27	1	3	9	0	40	39	97.5
Aug 2010	20	7	0	5	0	32	27	84.4
Jul 2010	39	3	1	4	0	47	44	93.6

## Region 14 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For July 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For July 2011

#### July 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,070	2,577	28.4%	8,756	96.5%	2,517	27.8%
Region 14	462	147	31.8%	436	94.4%	144	31.2%
Bartholomew	113	29	25.7%	106	93.8%	29	25.7%
Jackson	77	28	36.4%	66	85.7%	26	33.8%
Jennings	128	32	25.0%	125	97.7%	32	25.0%
Johnson	112	37	33.0%	111	99.1%	37	33.0%
Shelby	32	21	65.6%	28	87.5%	20	62.5%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 14 For July 2010 to July 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jul 2011	462	147	31.8%	436	94.4%	144	31.2%
Jun 2011	478	192	40.2%	461	96.4%	185	38.7%
May 2011	491	166	33.8%	460	93.7%	163	33.2%
Apr 2011	492	172	35.0%	466	94.7%	170	34.6%
Mar 2011	497	169	34.0%	466	93.8%	166	33.4%
Feb 2011	510	175	34.3%	466	91.4%	162	31.8%
Jan 2011	492	176	35.8%	449	91.3%	170	34.6%
Dec 2010	491	158	32.2%	472	96.1%	152	31.0%
Nov 2010	512	155	30.3%	444	86.7%	144	28.1%
Oct 2010	511	143	28.0%	473	92.6%	142	27.8%
Sep 2010	501	146	29.1%	465	92.8%	143	28.5%
Aug 2010	502	161	32.1%	456	90.8%	159	31.7%
Jul 2010	500	196	39.2%	469	93.8%	191	38.2%

#### Region 14 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For July 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For July 2011

#### July 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,861	1,259	25.9%
Region 14	263	61	23.2%
Bartholomew	51	11	21.6%
Jackson	45	24	53.3%
Jennings	73	18	24.7%
Johnson	67	5	7.5%
Shelby	27	3	11.1%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 14 For July 2010 to July 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jul 2011	263	61	23.2%
Jun 2011	286	86	30.1%
May 2011	301	69	22.9%
Apr 2011	295	84	28.5%
Mar 2011	292	79	27.1%
Feb 2011	290	78	26.9%
Jan 2011	287	70	24.4%
Dec 2010	283	64	22.6%
Nov 2010	317	88	27.8%
Oct 2010	319	76	23.8%
Sep 2010	310	83	26.8%
Aug 2010	314	84	26.8%
Jul 2010	312	63	20.2%

#### Region 14 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For July 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
						Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )					

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For July 2011

### July 2011

County	Victims from Aug 2010 to Feb 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,094	9,434	93.46%	94.60%
Region 14	594	532	89.56%	94.60%
Bartholomew	109	99	90.83%	94.60%
Jackson	98	88	89.80%	94.60%
Jennings	137	121	88.32%	94.60%
Johnson	169	148	87.57%	94.60%
Shelby	81	76	93.83%	94.60%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 14 For July 2010 to July 2011

County	Victims from Aug 2010 to Feb 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
July 2011	594	532	89.56%	94.60%
June 2011	556	490	88.13%	94.60%
May 2011	616	547	88.80%	94.60%
April 2011	626	556	88.82%	94.60%
March 2011	655	583	89.01%	94.60%
February 2011	676	593	87.72%	94.60%
January 2011	685	606	88.47%	94.60%
December 2010	690	620	89.86%	94.60%
November 2010	682	620	90.91%	94.60%
October 2010	691	627	90.74%	94.60%
September 2010	669	609	91.03%	94.60%
August 2010	641	579	90.33%	94.60%
July 2010	644	591	91.77%	94.60%

### Region 14 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For July 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For July 2011

#### For July 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Aug 2010 to Jul 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	20,574	53	99.74%	99.68%
Region 14	1,157	3	99.74%	99.68%
Bartholomew	242	0	100.00%	99.68%
Jackson	209	0	100.00%	99.68%
Jennings	266	1	99.62%	99.68%
Johnson	313	2	99.36%	99.68%
Shelby	127	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 14 for July 2010 to July 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Aug 2010 to Jul 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
July 2011	1,157	3	99.74%	99.68%
June 2011	1,162	3	99.74%	99.68%
May 2011	1,147	3	99.74%	99.68%
April 2011	1,126	3	99.73%	99.68%
March 2011	1,124	3	99.73%	99.68%
February 2011	1,113	3	99.73%	99.68%
January 2011	1,134	2	99.82%	99.68%
December 2010	1,100	2	99.82%	99.68%
November 2010	1,095	1	99.91%	99.68%
October 2010	1,096	0	100.00%	99.68%
September 2010	1,082	0	100.00%	99.68%
August 2010	1,072	0	100.00%	99.68%
July 2010	1,068	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Region 14 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

