



*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For February 2012



# Region 11 February 2012

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published  
March 2012**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2012

### February 2012

Location	Reports Taken For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	36,929	35.8%	5,529	26.9%
Region 11	1,876	38.65%	212	18.87%
Hamilton	664	38.3%	45	20.0%
Hancock	270	40.0%	50	6.0%
Madison	869	38.2%	111	20.7%
Tipton	73	42.5%	6	83.3%


### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



### Region 11 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Reports Taken For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Feb 2012	1,876	38.6%	212	18.9%
Jan 2012	1,807	39.4%	192	17.2%
Dec 2011	1,710	39.1%	188	14.9%
Nov 2011	1,813	37.8%	196	22.4%
Oct 2011	1,890	38.0%	243	28.4%
Sep 2011	1,863	37.3%	262	27.1%
Aug 2011	1,798	37.4%	253	22.5%
Jul 2011	1,813	37.4%	247	16.6%
Jun 2011	1,926	38.6%	234	19.7%
May 2011	2,073	39.9%	220	18.2%
Apr 2011	1,879	41.4%	212	19.8%
Mar 2011	1,781	40.1%	242	19.4%
Feb 2011	1,543	37.8%	268	23.5%

### Region 11 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	12629	3700	29.3	8,929	70.7	3460	38.8	4551	51.0	703	7.9	215	2.4
Region 11	429	112	26.1	317	73.9	96	30.3	202	63.7	14	4.4	5	1.6
Hamilton	84	24	28.6	60	71.4	20	33.3	37	61.7	2	3.3	1	1.7
Hancock	37	14	37.8	23	62.2	1	4.3	20	87.0	0	0.0	2	8.7
Madison	291	69	23.7	222	76.3	68	30.6	140	63.1	12	5.4	2	0.9
Tipton	17	5	29.4	12	70.6	7	58.3	5	41.7	0	0.0	0	0.0


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 11 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Feb 2012	429	112	26.1	317	73.9	96	30.3	202	63.7	14	4.4	5	1.6
Jan 2012	427	109	25.5	318	74.5	95	29.9	199	62.6	14	4.4	10	3.1
Dec 2011	432	116	26.9	316	73.1	99	31.3	192	60.8	15	4.7	10	3.2
Nov 2011	441	114	25.9	327	74.1	100	30.6	206	63.0	17	5.2	4	1.2
Oct 2011	449	120	26.7	329	73.3	104	31.6	199	60.5	19	5.8	7	2.1
Sep 2011	485	148	30.5	337	69.5	105	31.2	205	60.8	21	6.2	6	1.8
Aug 2011	476	128	26.9	348	73.1	112	32.2	209	60.1	21	6.0	6	1.7
Jul 2011	502	138	27.5	364	72.5	126	34.6	210	57.7	23	6.3	5	1.4
Jun 2011	499	117	23.4	382	76.6	124	32.5	223	58.4	26	6.8	9	2.4
May 2011	515	131	25.4	384	74.6	130	33.9	218	56.8	30	7.8	6	1.6
Apr 2011	507	120	23.7	387	76.3	137	35.4	212	54.8	32	8.3	6	1.6
Mar 2011	512	131	25.6	381	74.4	135	35.4	204	53.5	34	8.9	8	2.1
Feb 2011	530	143	27.0	387	73.0	137	35.4	207	53.5	37	9.6	6	1.6

## Region 11 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Safely Home Families First by County

February 2012

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**IA Count** – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**IA and In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**IA, In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Safely Home Families First by County

For February 2012

### February 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	1802	12629	5502	38.1%	8962	62.1%	50%	5,469	37.9%	50%
Region 11	66	429	178	36.0%	274	55.4%	50%	221	44.6%	50%
Hamilton	3	84	27	31.0%	47	54.0%	50%	40	46.0%	50%
Hancock	11	37	25	52.1%	26	54.2%	50%	22	45.8%	50%
Madison	47	291	116	34.3%	184	54.4%	50%	154	45.6%	50%
Tipton	5	17	10	45.5%	17	77.3%	50%	5	22.7%	50%


### Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



### Region 11 For February 2011 to February 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%		Count	%	
February 2012	66	429	178	36.0%	274	55.4%	50%	221	44.6%	50%
January 2012	82	427	191	37.5%	286	56.2%	50%	223	43.8%	50%
December 2011	69	432	185	36.9%	284	56.7%	50%	217	43.3%	50%
November 2011	78	441	192	37.0%	292	56.3%	50%	227	43.7%	50%
October 2011	75	449	195	37.2%	299	57.1%	50%	225	42.9%	50%
September 2011	74	485	222	39.7%	327	58.5%	50%	232	41.5%	50%
August 2011	83	476	211	37.7%	323	57.8%	50%	236	42.2%	50%
July 2011	78	502	216	37.2%	342	59.0%	50%	238	41.0%	50%
June 2011	80	499	197	34.0%	321	55.4%	50%	258	44.6%	50%
May 2011	78	515	209	35.2%	339	57.2%	50%	254	42.8%	50%
April 2011	75	507	195	33.5%	332	57.0%	50%	250	43.0%	50%
March 2011	79	512	210	35.5%	345	58.4%	50%	246	41.6%	50%
February 2011	84	530	227	37.0%	364	59.3%	50%	250	40.7%	50%

### Region 11 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	8,929	6,315	70.7%
Region 11	317	171	53.9%
Hamilton	60	34	56.7%
Hancock	23	11	47.8%
Madison	222	120	54.1%
Tipton	12	6	50.0%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 11 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Feb 2012	317	171	53.9%
Jan 2012	318	167	52.5%
Dec 2011	316	163	51.6%
Nov 2011	327	172	52.6%
Oct 2011	329	167	50.8%
Sep 2011	337	171	50.7%
Aug 2011	348	176	50.6%
Jul 2011	364	186	51.1%
Jun 2011	382	205	53.7%
May 2011	384	214	55.7%
Apr 2011	387	221	57.1%
Mar 2011	381	213	55.9%
Feb 2011	387	219	56.6%

## Region 11 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2011 to February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.6
Region 11	2.5
Hamilton	2.0
Hancock	3.1
Madison	2.6
Tipton	1.8


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 11 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
February 2012	2.5
January 2012	2.5
December 2011	2.5
November 2011	2.4
October 2011	2.5
September 2011	2.4
August 2011	2.4
July 2011	2.3
June 2011	2.3
May 2011	2.3
April 2011	2.3
March 2011	2.3
February 2011	2.3

## Region 11 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2202	1638	74.4%	2.71
Region 11	81	66	81.5%	2.62
Hamilton	16	13	81.3%	2.56
Hancock	6	6	100.0%	3.17
Madison	56	44	78.6%	2.59
Tipton	3	3	100.0%	2.33


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 11 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
February 2012	81	66	81.5%	2.62
January 2012	81	67	82.7%	2.65
December 2011	82	67	81.7%	2.65
November 2011	85	70	82.4%	2.59
October 2011	85	69	81.2%	2.60
September 2011	85	69	81.2%	2.60
August 2011	88	71	80.7%	2.59
July 2011	93	75	80.6%	2.62
June 2011	99	80	80.8%	2.61
May 2011	99	76	76.8%	2.61
April 2011	100	76	76.0%	2.59
March 2011	99	76	76.8%	2.55
February 2011	100	76	76.0%	2.55

## Region 11 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For February 2012

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child’s last removal from their home.

**Average**- This column calculates the average or “mean” length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median**- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,312	48.3%	2,059	23.1%	1,107	12.4%	1,451	16.3%	624.5	383.4
Region 11	111	35.0%	103	32.5%	53	16.7%	50	15.8%	657.4	520.4
Hamilton	23	38.3%	24	40.0%	7	11.7%	6	10.0%	578.8	393.0
Hancock	1	4.3%	13	56.5%	7	30.4%	2	8.7%	735.3	671.4
Madison	81	36.5%	62	27.9%	37	16.7%	42	18.9%	687.6	565.0
Tipton	6	50.0%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	342.4	352.0


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 11 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
February 2012	111	35.0%	103	32.5%	53	16.7%	50	15.8%	657.4	520.4
January 2012	116	36.5%	103	32.4%	49	15.4%	50	15.7%	653.5	517.5
December 2011	115	36.4%	100	31.6%	48	15.2%	53	16.8%	656.2	527.0
November 2011	119	36.4%	100	30.6%	54	16.5%	54	16.5%	644.0	511.5
October 2011	122	37.1%	102	31.0%	55	16.7%	50	15.2%	646.8	507.4
September 2011	133	39.5%	95	28.2%	59	17.5%	50	14.8%	626.1	476.4
August 2011	133	38.2%	105	30.2%	56	16.1%	54	15.5%	630.0	461.0
July 2011	145	39.8%	103	28.3%	64	17.6%	52	14.3%	627.7	449.5
June 2011	150	39.3%	117	30.6%	62	16.2%	53	13.9%	616.9	436.0
May 2011	155	40.4%	113	29.4%	60	15.6%	56	14.6%	633.0	452.0
April 2011	157	40.6%	122	31.5%	51	13.2%	57	14.7%	624.8	440.6
March 2011	163	42.8%	114	29.9%	48	12.6%	56	14.7%	615.7	421.4
February 2011	177	45.7%	107	27.6%	48	12.4%	55	14.2%	587.9	397.6

#### Region 11 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	581	51	29	58	17	736	649	88.2
Region 11	19	2	1	0	1	23	21	91.3
Hamilton	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0.0
Hancock	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100.0
Madison	17	0	1	0	1	19	19	100.0
Tipton	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100.0


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 11 For February 2011 to February 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Feb 2012	19	2	1	0	1	23	21	91.3
Jan 2012	10	2	1	0	0	13	11	84.6
Dec 2011	23	8	1	1	0	33	21	63.6
Nov 2011	17	6	0	2	1	26	22	84.6
Oct 2011	26	6	0	0	0	32	24	75.0
Sep 2011	20	10	0	2	0	32	21	65.6
Aug 2011	27	6	0	8	2	43	34	79.1
Jul 2011	22	3	0	4	0	29	25	86.2
Jun 2011	23	2	1	0	1	27	22	81.5
May 2011	18	5	0	1	2	26	21	80.8
Apr 2011	22	4	0	0	0	26	23	88.5
Mar 2011	22	2	0	4	2	30	28	93.3
Feb 2011	24	0	0	1	0	25	24	96.0

## Region 11 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	8,463	2,643	31.2%	8,172	96.6%	2,563	30.3%
Region 11	305	89	29.2%	301	98.7%	87	28.5%
Hamilton	60	12	20.0%	60	100.0%	12	20.0%
Hancock	23	6	26.1%	22	95.7%	5	21.7%
Madison	210	65	31.0%	207	98.6%	64	30.5%
Tipton	12	6	50.0%	12	100.0%	6	50.0%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 11 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Feb 2012	305	89	29.2%	301	98.7%	87	28.5%
Jan 2012	305	92	30.2%	297	97.4%	88	28.9%
Dec 2011	308	81	26.3%	297	96.4%	78	25.3%
Nov 2011	317	74	23.3%	303	95.6%	73	23.0%
Oct 2011	327	100	30.6%	321	98.2%	99	30.3%
Sep 2011	329	99	30.1%	318	96.7%	99	30.1%
Aug 2011	328	103	31.4%	323	98.5%	103	31.4%
Jul 2011	352	93	26.4%	338	96.0%	90	25.6%
Jun 2011	370	115	31.1%	368	99.5%	114	30.8%
May 2011	368	109	29.6%	360	97.8%	106	28.8%
Apr 2011	383	136	35.5%	372	97.1%	131	34.2%
Mar 2011	374	128	34.2%	373	99.7%	127	34.0%
Feb 2011	376	103	27.4%	348	92.6%	103	27.4%

#### Region 11 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,811	1,111	23.1%
Region 11	171	29	17.0%
Hamilton	35	5	14.3%
Hancock	13	0	0.0%
Madison	116	20	17.2%
Tipton	7	4	57.1%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 11 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Feb 2012	171	29	17.0%
Jan 2012	175	29	16.6%
Dec 2011	177	23	13.0%
Nov 2011	179	27	15.1%
Oct 2011	184	32	17.4%
Sep 2011	176	38	21.6%
Aug 2011	172	41	23.8%
Jul 2011	187	35	18.7%
Jun 2011	205	40	19.5%
May 2011	201	30	14.9%
Apr 2011	225	34	15.1%
Mar 2011	219	25	11.4%
Feb 2011	234	22	9.4%

#### Region 11 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.



**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For February 2012

#### February 2012

County	Victims from Mar 2011 to Sep 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,531	9,776	92.83%	94.60%
Region 11	450	418	92.89%	94.60%
Hamilton	116	110	94.83%	94.60%
Hancock	68	66	97.06%	94.60%
Madison	241	220	91.29%	94.60%
Tipton	25	22	88.00%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 11 For February 2011 to February 2012

County	Victims from Mar 2011 to Sep 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2012	450	418	92.89%	94.60%
January 2012	410	374	91.22%	94.60%
December 2011	416	388	93.27%	94.60%
November 2011	423	390	92.20%	94.60%
October 2011	415	383	92.29%	94.60%
September 2011	409	376	91.93%	94.60%
August 2011	438	408	93.15%	94.60%
July 2011	493	464	94.12%	94.60%
June 2011	473	443	93.66%	94.60%
May 2011	475	439	92.42%	94.60%
April 2011	508	462	90.94%	94.60%
March 2011	516	467	90.50%	94.60%
February 2011	520	467	89.81%	94.60%

#### Region 11 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For February 2012

#### For February 2012

Location	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2011 to Feb 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	19,457	22	99.89%	<b>99.68%</b>
Region 11	689	1	99.85%	<b>99.68%</b>
Hamilton	140	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Hancock	76	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Madison	432	1	99.77%	<b>99.68%</b>
Tipton	41	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 11 for February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2011 to Feb 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2012	689	1	99.85%	<b>99.68%</b>
January 2012	700	1	99.86%	<b>99.68%</b>
December 2011	698	1	99.86%	<b>99.68%</b>
November 2011	719	1	99.86%	<b>99.68%</b>
October 2011	738	1	99.86%	<b>99.68%</b>
September 2011	753	1	99.87%	<b>99.68%</b>
August 2011	765	2	99.74%	<b>99.68%</b>
July 2011	780	2	99.74%	<b>99.68%</b>
June 2011	790	1	99.87%	<b>99.68%</b>
May 2011	796	2	99.75%	<b>99.68%</b>
April 2011	791	2	99.75%	<b>99.68%</b>
March 2011	809	2	99.75%	<b>99.68%</b>
February 2011	818	2	99.76%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Region 11 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

