



INDIANA
DEPARTMENT OF
CHILD
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For February 2012



Region 09 February 2012

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published
March 2012**



DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2012

Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

Reports Taken – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Screen Outs – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

Assessments Substantiated – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Removal – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2012

February 2012

Location	Reports Taken For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	36,929	35.8%	5,529	26.9%
Region 9	1,496	38.77%	99	20.20%
Boone	205	41.5%	10	10.0%
Hendricks	410	42.0%	20	0.0%
Montgomery	338	36.1%	39	28.2%
Morgan	360	40.3%	15	20.0%
Putnam	183	30.6%	15	33.3%


Statewide Percent Removal Trend



Region 9 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Reports Taken For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Feb 2012	1,496	38.8%	99	20.2%
Jan 2012	1,441	40.1%	83	26.5%
Dec 2011	1,360	42.4%	103	38.8%
Nov 2011	1,414	43.4%	93	40.9%
Oct 2011	1,478	43.3%	105	32.4%
Sep 2011	1,531	41.0%	104	18.3%
Aug 2011	1,514	39.6%	122	14.8%
Jul 2011	1,563	39.4%	127	18.1%
Jun 2011	1,622	40.0%	123	17.9%
May 2011	1,720	41.4%	125	20.8%
Apr 2011	1,555	43.2%	115	19.1%
Mar 2011	1,577	41.9%	112	25.0%
Feb 2011	1,461	40.5%	118	27.1%

Region 9 Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2012

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

CHINS – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

In Home – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Foster Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Out of Home Placements – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Relative Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Foster Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Residential – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Other – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2012

February 2012

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	12629	3700	29.3	8,929	70.7	3460	38.8	4551	51.0	703	7.9	215	2.4
Region 9	208	77	37.0	131	63.0	58	44.3	47	35.9	18	13.7	8	6.1
Boone	31	15	48.4	16	51.6	6	37.5	3	18.8	3	18.8	4	25.0
Hendricks	39	11	28.2	28	71.8	14	50.0	9	32.1	4	14.3	1	3.6
Montgomery	74	29	39.2	45	60.8	20	44.4	18	40.0	5	11.1	2	4.4
Morgan	40	13	32.5	27	67.5	13	48.1	11	40.7	3	11.1	0	0.0
Putnam	24	9	37.5	15	62.5	5	33.3	6	40.0	3	20.0	1	6.7


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 9 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Feb 2012	208	77	37.0	131	63.0	58	44.3	47	35.9	18	13.7	8	6.1
Jan 2012	200	64	32.0	136	68.0	63	46.3	49	36.0	18	13.2	6	4.4
Dec 2011	220	72	32.7	148	67.3	66	44.6	57	38.5	19	12.8	6	4.1
Nov 2011	237	62	26.2	175	73.8	84	48.0	66	37.7	20	11.4	5	2.9
Oct 2011	233	65	27.9	168	72.1	77	45.8	66	39.3	21	12.5	4	2.4
Sep 2011	247	82	33.2	165	66.8	80	48.5	59	35.8	22	13.3	4	2.4
Aug 2011	238	68	28.6	170	71.4	82	48.2	64	37.6	22	12.9	2	1.2
Jul 2011	256	89	34.8	167	65.2	73	43.7	70	41.9	20	12.0	4	2.4
Jun 2011	258	82	31.8	176	68.2	77	43.8	76	43.2	20	11.4	3	1.7
May 2011	267	78	29.2	189	70.8	78	41.3	88	46.6	21	11.1	2	1.1
Apr 2011	279	83	29.7	196	70.3	75	38.3	95	48.5	22	11.2	4	2.0
Mar 2011	294	85	28.9	209	71.1	84	40.2	98	46.9	23	11.0	4	1.9
Feb 2011	319	106	33.2	213	66.8	81	38.0	105	49.3	22	10.3	5	2.3

Region 9 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Safely Home Families First by County

February 2012

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

IA Count – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

IA and In Home – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

IA, In Home or Relative Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or Above) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

Non Relative Foster Care – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or below) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Safely Home Families First by County

For February 2012

February 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%	%	Count	%	%
Statewide	1802	12629	5502	38.1%	8962	62.1%	50%	5,469	37.9%	50%
Region 9	43	208	120	47.8%	178	70.9%	50%	73	29.1%	50%
Boone	6	31	21	56.8%	27	73.0%	50%	10	27.0%	50%
Hendricks	11	39	22	44.0%	36	72.0%	50%	14	28.0%	50%
Montgomery	12	74	41	47.7%	61	70.9%	50%	25	29.1%	50%
Morgan	10	40	23	46.0%	36	72.0%	50%	14	28.0%	50%
Putnam	4	24	13	46.4%	18	64.3%	50%	10	35.7%	50%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 9 For February 2011 to February 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%	%	Count	%	%
February 2012	43	208	120	47.8%	178	70.9%	50%	73	29.1%	50%
January 2012	39	200	103	43.1%	166	69.5%	50%	73	30.5%	50%
December 2011	39	220	111	42.9%	177	68.3%	50%	82	31.7%	50%
November 2011	47	237	109	38.4%	193	68.0%	50%	91	32.0%	50%
October 2011	44	233	109	39.4%	186	67.1%	50%	91	32.9%	50%
September 2011	32	247	114	40.9%	194	69.5%	50%	85	30.5%	50%
August 2011	38	238	106	38.4%	188	68.1%	50%	88	31.9%	50%
July 2011	39	256	128	43.4%	201	68.1%	50%	94	31.9%	50%
June 2011	38	258	120	40.5%	197	66.6%	50%	99	33.4%	50%
May 2011	45	267	123	39.4%	201	64.4%	50%	111	35.6%	50%
April 2011	50	279	133	40.4%	208	63.2%	50%	121	36.8%	50%
March 2011	46	294	131	38.5%	215	63.2%	50%	125	36.8%	50%
February 2011	40	319	146	40.7%	227	63.2%	50%	132	36.8%	50%

Region 9 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2012

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total Out of Home Placements – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

Placed in Same County – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

Percent Locally Placed – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2012

February 2012

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	8,929	6,315	70.7%
Region 9	131	73	55.7%
Boone	16	10	62.5%
Hendricks	28	11	39.3%
Montgomery	45	26	57.8%
Morgan	27	19	70.4%
Putnam	15	7	46.7%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 9 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Feb 2012	131	73	55.7%
Jan 2012	136	75	55.1%
Dec 2011	148	81	54.7%
Nov 2011	175	102	58.3%
Oct 2011	168	104	61.9%
Sep 2011	165	100	60.6%
Aug 2011	170	103	60.6%
Jul 2011	167	99	59.3%
Jun 2011	176	105	59.7%
May 2011	189	110	58.2%
Apr 2011	196	114	58.2%
Mar 2011	209	125	59.8%
Feb 2011	213	125	58.7%

Region 9 Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2012

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

Average Number of Placements Per Child – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2011 to February 2012

February 2012

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.6
Region 9	2.4
Boone	2.0
Hendricks	2.5
Montgomery	2.2
Morgan	2.6
Putnam	2.8


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 9 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
February 2012	2.4
January 2012	2.4
December 2011	2.4
November 2011	2.3
October 2011	2.3
September 2011	2.4
August 2011	2.5
July 2011	2.5
June 2011	2.4
May 2011	2.4
April 2011	2.4
March 2011	2.3
February 2011	2.2

Region 9 Average Number of Placements





DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For February 2012

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

Count of Sibling Cases – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Cases With All Children Placed Together – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

Average Number of Children per Case – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

February 2012

February 2012

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2202	1638	74.4%	2.71
Region 9	28	22	78.6%	2.43
Boone	4	4	100.0%	2.25
Hendricks	5	5	100.0%	2.20
Montgomery	10	7	70.0%	2.50
Morgan	4	3	75.0%	3.00
Putnam	5	3	60.0%	2.20


Statewide Percent Together



Region 9 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
February 2012	28	22	78.6%	2.43
January 2012	30	23	76.7%	2.53
December 2011	34	27	79.4%	2.59
November 2011	43	32	74.4%	2.58
October 2011	41	29	70.7%	2.51
September 2011	38	27	71.1%	2.55
August 2011	41	28	68.3%	2.54
July 2011	43	27	62.8%	2.47
June 2011	45	29	64.4%	2.49
May 2011	51	33	64.7%	2.49
April 2011	53	35	66.0%	2.51
March 2011	57	39	68.4%	2.53
February 2011	55	35	63.6%	2.56

Region 9 Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For February 2012

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

One Year - 0 to 365 Days— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 366 to 730 Days— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 731 to 1095 Days— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 1096 Days+— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Length Per Child – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

Average- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Median- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For February 2012

February 2012

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,312	48.3%	2,059	23.1%	1,107	12.4%	1,451	16.3%	624.5	383.4
Region 9	53	40.5%	40	30.5%	16	12.2%	22	16.8%	639.2	485.4
Boone	5	31.3%	9	56.3%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	535.5	525.0
Hendricks	10	35.7%	6	21.4%	4	14.3%	8	28.6%	798.8	584.0
Montgomery	21	46.7%	13	28.9%	7	15.6%	4	8.9%	551.0	406.4
Morgan	11	40.7%	8	29.6%	4	14.8%	4	14.8%	602.8	448.3
Putnam	6	40.0%	4	26.7%	0	0.0%	5	33.3%	782.4	485.4


Statewide Median Length



Region 9 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
February 2012	53	40.5%	40	30.5%	16	12.2%	22	16.8%	639.2	485.4
January 2012	53	39.0%	42	30.9%	18	13.2%	23	16.9%	634.4	474.0
December 2011	58	39.2%	43	29.1%	23	15.5%	24	16.2%	623.7	454.0
November 2011	66	37.7%	52	29.7%	30	17.1%	27	15.4%	621.6	471.5
October 2011	61	36.3%	52	31.0%	28	16.7%	27	16.1%	639.9	475.5
September 2011	60	36.4%	56	33.9%	25	15.2%	24	14.5%	641.8	523.1
August 2011	63	37.1%	58	34.1%	23	13.5%	26	15.3%	636.5	495.0
July 2011	60	35.9%	52	31.1%	29	17.4%	26	15.6%	666.2	502.3
June 2011	71	40.3%	45	25.6%	30	17.0%	30	17.0%	648.8	463.0
May 2011	69	36.5%	61	32.3%	28	14.8%	31	16.4%	643.3	508.3
April 2011	71	36.2%	63	32.1%	36	18.4%	26	13.3%	637.7	532.5
March 2011	89	42.6%	56	26.8%	37	17.7%	27	12.9%	599.3	496.6
February 2011	92	43.2%	58	27.2%	35	16.4%	28	13.1%	586.4	481.4

Region 9 Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2012

Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2012

February 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	581	51	29	58	17	736	649	88.2
Region 9	7	1	0	1	0	9	8	88.9
Boone	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	100.0
Hendricks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Montgomery	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	100.0
Morgan	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	50.0
Putnam	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100.0


Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



Region 9 For February 2011 to February 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Feb 2012	7	1	0	1	0	9	8	88.9
Jan 2012	16	1	0	0	0	17	16	94.1
Dec 2011	9	18	0	0	0	27	18	66.7
Nov 2011	5	1	0	0	0	6	6	100.0
Oct 2011	16	2	0	0	0	18	14	77.8
Sep 2011	3	3	0	1	0	7	4	57.1
Aug 2011	13	4	0	0	0	17	13	76.5
Jul 2011	15	1	0	2	1	19	18	94.7
Jun 2011	18	2	1	4	1	26	23	88.5
May 2011	8	5	0	0	0	13	9	69.2
Apr 2011	13	2	0	0	1	16	14	87.5
Mar 2011	26	2	0	1	0	29	27	93.1
Feb 2011	34	3	0	1	2	40	35	87.5

Region 9 Permanency within 24 Months





DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2012

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children Out of Home Entire Month – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

Contact Information – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

FCM with Family – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Family and Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2012

February 2012

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	8,463	2,643	31.2%	8,172	96.6%	2,563	30.3%
Region 9	126	60	47.6%	119	94.4%	56	44.4%
Boone	16	9	56.3%	13	81.3%	6	37.5%
Hendricks	27	17	63.0%	27	100.0%	17	63.0%
Montgomery	39	15	38.5%	38	97.4%	14	35.9%
Morgan	26	13	50.0%	26	100.0%	13	50.0%
Putnam	18	6	33.3%	15	83.3%	6	33.3%


Statewide Child Contact Rate



Region 9 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Feb 2012	126	60	47.6%	119	94.4%	56	44.4%
Jan 2012	138	62	44.9%	131	94.9%	62	44.9%
Dec 2011	149	46	30.9%	143	96.0%	46	30.9%
Nov 2011	168	61	36.3%	162	96.4%	61	36.3%
Oct 2011	165	47	28.5%	161	97.6%	47	28.5%
Sep 2011	165	43	26.1%	159	96.4%	43	26.1%
Aug 2011	163	51	31.3%	160	98.2%	51	31.3%
Jul 2011	168	51	30.4%	163	97.0%	51	30.4%
Jun 2011	175	71	40.6%	171	97.7%	71	40.6%
May 2011	188	75	39.9%	178	94.7%	75	39.9%
Apr 2011	195	85	43.6%	189	96.9%	83	42.6%
Mar 2011	205	81	39.5%	201	98.0%	81	39.5%
Feb 2011	213	73	34.3%	208	97.7%	73	34.3%

Region 9 Child Contact Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For February 2012

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

Children with visits to their family during the month – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.
Rate – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Child Visitation Report

For February 2012

February 2012

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,811	1,111	23.1%
Region 9	63	35	55.6%
Boone	7	4	57.1%
Hendricks	12	6	50.0%
Montgomery	20	3	15.0%
Morgan	15	14	93.3%
Putnam	9	8	88.9%


Statewide Visitation Rate



Region 9 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Feb 2012	63	35	55.6%
Jan 2012	73	35	47.9%
Dec 2011	80	40	50.0%
Nov 2011	74	38	51.4%
Oct 2011	68	35	51.5%
Sep 2011	71	40	56.3%
Aug 2011	72	33	45.8%
Jul 2011	66	35	53.0%
Jun 2011	66	43	65.2%
May 2011	75	52	69.3%
Apr 2011	79	52	65.8%
Mar 2011	93	65	69.9%
Feb 2011	94	39	41.5%

Region 9 Visitation Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2012

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim Selection – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

First Occurrence – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

Recurrence Time Period – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ($\geq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +1 and $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1st to Dec 31st. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1st to Jun 30th. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7th, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8th to Aug 9th. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5th, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10th, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.



Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2012

February 2012

County	Victims from Mar 2011 to Sep 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,531	9,776	92.83%	94.60%
Region 9	203	195	96.06%	94.60%
Boone	28	26	92.86%	94.60%
Hendricks	34	31	91.18%	94.60%
Montgomery	67	66	98.51%	94.60%
Morgan	52	50	96.15%	94.60%
Putnam	22	22	100.00%	94.60%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 9 For February 2011 to February 2012

County	Victims from Mar 2011 to Sep 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2012	203	195	96.06%	94.60%
January 2012	205	195	95.12%	94.60%
December 2011	204	193	94.61%	94.60%
November 2011	189	178	94.18%	94.60%
October 2011	206	197	95.63%	94.60%
September 2011	229	220	96.07%	94.60%
August 2011	287	267	93.03%	94.60%
July 2011	302	283	93.71%	94.60%
June 2011	327	300	91.74%	94.60%
May 2011	373	343	91.96%	94.60%
April 2011	393	364	92.62%	94.60%
March 2011	410	374	91.22%	94.60%
February 2011	384	357	92.97%	94.60%

Region 9 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2012

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during the time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

Children in Foster Care during the time period – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Percent of absence of maltreatment – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2012

For February 2012

Location	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2011 to Feb 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	19,457	22	99.89%	99.68%
Region 9	392	1	99.74%	99.68%
Boone	63	0	100.00%	99.68%
Hendricks	72	0	100.00%	99.68%
Montgomery	121	0	100.00%	99.68%
Morgan	77	0	100.00%	99.68%
Putnam	59	1	98.31%	99.68%

Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



Region 9 for February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2011 to Feb 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2012	392	1	99.74%	99.68%
January 2012	410	1	99.76%	99.68%
December 2011	441	1	99.77%	99.68%
November 2011	453	1	99.78%	99.68%
October 2011	465	4	99.14%	99.68%
September 2011	465	4	99.14%	99.68%
August 2011	477	3	99.37%	99.68%
July 2011	489	3	99.39%	99.68%
June 2011	519	3	99.42%	99.68%
May 2011	533	3	99.44%	99.68%
April 2011	537	3	99.44%	99.68%
March 2011	575	3	99.48%	99.68%
February 2011	592	4	99.32%	99.68%

Region 9 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

