




**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For February 2012



## Region 06 February 2012

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published  
March 2012**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2012

### February 2012

Location	Reports Taken For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	36,929	35.8%	5,529	26.9%
Region 6	1,330	36.02%	263	23.95%
Cass	250	33.6%	39	20.5%
Fulton	147	39.5%	35	45.7%
Howard	537	35.9%	111	17.1%
Miami	195	34.9%	49	20.4%
Wabash	201	37.8%	29	34.5%


### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



### Region 6 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Reports Taken For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Feb 2012	1,330	36.0%	263	24.0%
Jan 2012	1,325	38.2%	271	27.7%
Dec 2011	1,256	41.6%	287	31.7%
Nov 2011	1,332	42.0%	307	30.3%
Oct 2011	1,363	40.3%	302	30.5%
Sep 2011	1,394	39.2%	290	26.6%
Aug 2011	1,320	39.4%	294	31.0%
Jul 2011	1,356	39.0%	282	24.1%
Jun 2011	1,402	37.2%	291	30.2%
May 2011	1,529	36.1%	288	29.2%
Apr 2011	1,429	35.8%	270	35.6%
Mar 2011	1,406	35.2%	262	29.8%
Feb 2011	1,291	32.3%	251	29.1%

### Region 6 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered “in home”.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	12629	3700	29.3	8,929	70.7	3460	38.8	4551	51.0	703	7.9	215	2.4
Region 6	467	104	22.3	363	77.7	118	32.5	217	59.8	19	5.2	9	2.5
Cass	83	20	24.1	63	75.9	23	36.5	39	61.9	1	1.6	0	0.0
Fulton	81	28	34.6	53	65.4	21	39.6	30	56.6	2	3.8	0	0.0
Howard	136	25	18.4	111	81.6	38	34.2	59	53.2	8	7.2	6	5.4
Miami	88	21	23.9	67	76.1	22	32.8	37	55.2	5	7.5	3	4.5
Wabash	79	10	12.7	69	87.3	14	20.3	52	75.4	3	4.3	0	0.0


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 6 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Feb 2012	467	104	22.3	363	77.7	118	32.5	217	59.8	19	5.2	9	2.5
Jan 2012	459	110	24.0	349	76.0	110	31.5	211	60.5	21	6.0	7	2.0
Dec 2011	448	87	19.4	361	80.6	113	31.3	220	60.9	22	6.1	6	1.7
Nov 2011	448	82	18.3	366	81.7	120	32.8	217	59.3	22	6.0	7	1.9
Oct 2011	453	112	24.7	341	75.3	94	27.6	221	64.8	23	6.7	3	0.9
Sep 2011	440	107	24.3	333	75.7	88	26.4	218	65.5	22	6.6	5	1.5
Aug 2011	416	106	25.5	310	74.5	92	29.7	190	61.3	23	7.4	5	1.6
Jul 2011	427	100	23.4	327	76.6	89	27.2	209	63.9	25	7.6	4	1.2
Jun 2011	427	108	25.3	319	74.7	83	26.0	205	64.3	26	8.2	5	1.6
May 2011	448	110	24.6	338	75.4	87	25.7	217	64.2	29	8.6	5	1.5
Apr 2011	433	101	23.3	332	76.7	79	23.8	220	66.3	29	8.7	4	1.2
Mar 2011	435	94	21.6	341	78.4	75	22.0	229	67.2	33	9.7	4	1.2
Feb 2011	443	113	25.5	330	74.5	77	23.3	215	65.2	35	10.6	3	0.9

## Region 6 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Safely Home Families First by County

February 2012

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**IA Count** – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**IA and In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**IA, In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Safely Home Families First by County

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%	%	Count	%	%
Statewide	1802	12629	5502	38.1%	8962	62.1%	50%	5,469	37.9%	50%
Region 6	102	467	206	36.2%	324	56.9%	50%	245	43.1%	50%
Cass	8	83	28	30.8%	51	56.0%	50%	40	44.0%	50%
Fulton	7	81	35	39.8%	56	63.6%	50%	32	36.4%	50%
Howard	38	136	63	36.2%	101	58.0%	50%	73	42.0%	50%
Miami	43	88	64	48.9%	86	65.6%	50%	45	34.4%	50%
Wabash	6	79	16	18.8%	30	35.3%	50%	55	64.7%	50%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 6 For February 2011 to February 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%	%	Count	%	%
February 2012	102	467	206	36.2%	324	56.9%	50%	245	43.1%	50%
January 2012	94	459	204	36.9%	314	56.8%	50%	239	43.2%	50%
December 2011	97	448	184	33.8%	297	54.5%	50%	248	45.5%	50%
November 2011	107	448	189	34.1%	309	55.7%	50%	246	44.3%	50%
October 2011	112	453	224	39.6%	318	56.3%	50%	247	43.7%	50%
September 2011	83	440	190	36.3%	278	53.2%	50%	245	46.8%	50%
August 2011	77	416	183	37.1%	275	55.8%	50%	218	44.2%	50%
July 2011	72	427	172	34.5%	261	52.3%	50%	238	47.7%	50%
June 2011	91	427	199	38.4%	282	54.4%	50%	236	45.6%	50%
May 2011	82	448	192	36.2%	279	52.6%	50%	251	47.4%	50%
April 2011	78	433	179	35.0%	258	50.5%	50%	253	49.5%	50%
March 2011	75	435	169	33.1%	244	47.8%	50%	266	52.2%	50%
February 2011	77	443	190	36.5%	267	51.3%	50%	253	48.7%	50%

## Region 6 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	8,929	6,315	70.7%
Region 6	363	195	53.7%
Cass	63	25	39.7%
Fulton	53	33	62.3%
Howard	111	61	55.0%
Miami	67	34	50.7%
Wabash	69	42	60.9%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 6 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Feb 2012	363	195	53.7%
Jan 2012	349	183	52.4%
Dec 2011	361	193	53.5%
Nov 2011	366	194	53.0%
Oct 2011	341	168	49.3%
Sep 2011	333	161	48.3%
Aug 2011	310	150	48.4%
Jul 2011	327	158	48.3%
Jun 2011	319	147	46.1%
May 2011	338	148	43.8%
Apr 2011	332	148	44.6%
Mar 2011	341	155	45.5%
Feb 2011	330	149	45.2%

## Region 6 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2011 to February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.6
Region 6	1.9
Cass	1.7
Fulton	1.6
Howard	2.3
Miami	2.0
Wabash	1.8


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 6 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
February 2012	1.9
January 2012	2.0
December 2011	2.0
November 2011	2.0
October 2011	2.0
September 2011	2.0
August 2011	1.9
July 2011	2.0
June 2011	2.0
May 2011	2.0
April 2011	2.0
March 2011	2.0
February 2011	2.0

## Region 6 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2202	1638	74.4%	2.71
Region 6	95	74	77.9%	2.58
Cass	22	18	81.8%	2.50
Fulton	15	9	60.0%	2.67
Howard	25	22	88.0%	2.44
Miami	18	12	66.7%	2.56
Wabash	15	13	86.7%	2.87


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 6 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
February 2012	95	74	77.9%	2.58
January 2012	91	73	80.2%	2.62
December 2011	94	75	79.8%	2.68
November 2011	95	76	80.0%	2.68
October 2011	83	62	74.7%	2.76
September 2011	80	58	72.5%	2.78
August 2011	75	55	73.3%	2.73
July 2011	82	63	76.8%	2.74
June 2011	77	59	76.6%	2.73
May 2011	82	63	76.8%	2.70
April 2011	80	64	80.0%	2.66
March 2011	82	65	79.3%	2.71
February 2011	77	60	77.9%	2.75

## Region 6 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For February 2012

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child’s last removal from their home.

**Average**- This column calculates the average or “mean” length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median**- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,312	48.3%	2,059	23.1%	1,107	12.4%	1,451	16.3%	624.5	383.4
Region 6	195	53.7%	103	28.4%	20	5.5%	45	12.4%	483.8	295.5
Cass	28	44.4%	20	31.7%	5	7.9%	10	15.9%	537.0	378.3
Fulton	39	73.6%	10	18.9%	0	0.0%	4	7.5%	358.5	188.6
Howard	65	58.6%	23	20.7%	5	4.5%	18	16.2%	527.0	218.7
Miami	36	53.7%	15	22.4%	4	6.0%	12	17.9%	514.4	321.6
Wabash	27	39.1%	35	50.7%	6	8.7%	1	1.4%	432.3	422.3


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 6 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
February 2012	195	53.7%	103	28.4%	20	5.5%	45	12.4%	483.8	295.5
January 2012	185	53.0%	96	27.5%	25	7.2%	43	12.3%	503.7	339.5
December 2011	192	53.2%	92	25.5%	33	9.1%	44	12.2%	513.3	344.4
November 2011	197	53.8%	85	23.2%	39	10.7%	45	12.3%	497.7	323.5
October 2011	184	54.0%	74	21.7%	38	11.1%	45	13.2%	518.4	343.6
September 2011	178	53.5%	81	24.3%	32	9.6%	42	12.6%	516.5	337.4
August 2011	162	52.3%	80	25.8%	27	8.7%	41	13.2%	526.3	331.5
July 2011	169	51.7%	88	26.9%	28	8.6%	42	12.8%	523.5	349.3
June 2011	181	56.7%	67	21.0%	33	10.3%	38	11.9%	537.2	334.6
May 2011	198	58.6%	68	20.1%	36	10.7%	36	10.7%	505.2	309.0
April 2011	197	59.3%	65	19.6%	36	10.8%	34	10.2%	510.5	285.0
March 2011	198	58.1%	74	21.7%	36	10.6%	33	9.7%	489.0	272.6
February 2011	198	60.0%	65	19.7%	34	10.3%	33	10.0%	504.3	274.5

#### Region 6 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	581	51	29	58	17	736	649	88.2
Region 6	24	2	2	6	0	34	32	94.1
Cass	3	0	0	1	0	4	2	50.0
Fulton	8	2	2	2	0	14	14	100.0
Howard	11	0	0	3	0	14	14	100.0
Miami	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	100.0
Wabash	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 6 For February 2011 to February 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Feb 2012	24	2	2	6	0	34	32	94.1
Jan 2012	15	9	0	0	0	24	20	83.3
Dec 2011	13	5	0	2	0	20	15	75.0
Nov 2011	22	4	0	0	0	26	24	92.3
Oct 2011	15	2	0	0	1	18	16	88.9
Sep 2011	11	1	1	1	1	15	14	93.3
Aug 2011	14	7	0	3	1	25	21	84.0
Jul 2011	44	1	1	0	1	47	46	97.9
Jun 2011	22	0	1	5	0	28	27	96.4
May 2011	10	2	0	5	0	17	14	82.4
Apr 2011	13	0	0	1	0	14	14	100.0
Mar 2011	30	6	1	4	2	43	39	90.7
Feb 2011	9	0	0	0	1	10	9	90.0

## Region 6 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	8,463	2,643	31.2%	8,172	96.6%	2,563	30.3%
Region 6	340	141	41.5%	328	96.5%	138	40.6%
Cass	63	39	61.9%	60	95.2%	39	61.9%
Fulton	48	26	54.2%	45	93.8%	26	54.2%
Howard	107	40	37.4%	106	99.1%	39	36.4%
Miami	62	20	32.3%	57	91.9%	18	29.0%
Wabash	60	16	26.7%	60	100.0%	16	26.7%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 6 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Feb 2012	340	141	41.5%	328	96.5%	138	40.6%
Jan 2012	336	143	42.6%	324	96.4%	142	42.3%
Dec 2011	353	152	43.1%	337	95.5%	147	41.6%
Nov 2011	350	145	41.4%	331	94.6%	141	40.3%
Oct 2011	334	162	48.5%	319	95.5%	157	47.0%
Sep 2011	309	135	43.7%	299	96.8%	133	43.0%
Aug 2011	303	145	47.9%	288	95.0%	139	45.9%
Jul 2011	310	131	42.3%	293	94.5%	123	39.7%
Jun 2011	318	126	39.6%	307	96.5%	123	38.7%
May 2011	314	115	36.6%	276	87.9%	108	34.4%
Apr 2011	328	122	37.2%	310	94.5%	119	36.3%
Mar 2011	328	148	45.1%	308	93.9%	142	43.3%
Feb 2011	323	114	35.3%	303	93.8%	110	34.1%

#### Region 6 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,811	1,111	23.1%
Region 6	195	59	30.3%
Cass	40	33	82.5%
Fulton	35	2	5.7%
Howard	66	21	31.8%
Miami	18	3	16.7%
Wabash	36	0	0.0%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 6 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Feb 2012	195	59	30.3%
Jan 2012	193	62	32.1%
Dec 2011	201	68	33.8%
Nov 2011	203	68	33.5%
Oct 2011	184	86	46.7%
Sep 2011	173	87	50.3%
Aug 2011	174	86	49.4%
Jul 2011	183	92	50.3%
Jun 2011	182	74	40.7%
May 2011	214	75	35.0%
Apr 2011	205	72	35.1%
Mar 2011	204	84	41.2%
Feb 2011	197	65	33.0%

#### Region 6 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.



**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For February 2012

#### February 2012

County	Victims from Mar 2011 to Sep 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,531	9,776	92.83%	94.60%
Region 6	513	460	89.67%	94.60%
Cass	95	88	92.63%	94.60%
Fulton	68	59	86.76%	94.60%
Howard	182	161	88.46%	94.60%
Miami	110	98	89.09%	94.60%
Wabash	58	54	93.10%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 6 For February 2011 to February 2012

County	Victims from Mar 2011 to Sep 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2012	513	460	89.67%	94.60%
January 2012	490	441	90.00%	94.60%
December 2011	492	436	88.62%	94.60%
November 2011	499	447	89.58%	94.60%
October 2011	481	424	88.15%	94.60%
September 2011	491	438	89.21%	94.60%
August 2011	474	423	89.24%	94.60%
July 2011	502	453	90.24%	94.60%
June 2011	505	457	90.50%	94.60%
May 2011	508	457	89.96%	94.60%
April 2011	499	451	90.38%	94.60%
March 2011	507	454	89.55%	94.60%
February 2011	511	462	90.41%	94.60%

#### Region 6 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For February 2012

#### For February 2012

Location	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2011 to Feb 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	19,457	22	99.89%	<b>99.68%</b>
Region 6	731	2	99.73%	<b>99.68%</b>
Cass	117	1	99.15%	<b>99.68%</b>
Fulton	146	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Howard	196	1	99.49%	<b>99.68%</b>
Miami	127	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Wabash	145	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 6 for February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2011 to Feb 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2012	731	2	99.73%	<b>99.68%</b>
January 2012	718	2	99.72%	<b>99.68%</b>
December 2011	708	2	99.72%	<b>99.68%</b>
November 2011	709	2	99.72%	<b>99.68%</b>
October 2011	703	3	99.57%	<b>99.68%</b>
September 2011	700	2	99.71%	<b>99.68%</b>
August 2011	677	2	99.70%	<b>99.68%</b>
July 2011	673	2	99.70%	<b>99.68%</b>
June 2011	655	2	99.69%	<b>99.68%</b>
May 2011	664	1	99.85%	<b>99.68%</b>
April 2011	647	2	99.69%	<b>99.68%</b>
March 2011	646	2	99.69%	<b>99.68%</b>
February 2011	641	2	99.69%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Region 6 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

