

**Louisville Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges Project
Kentucky Historic Preservation Advisory Team
Meeting – July 15, 2004
SUMMARY**

Opening Remarks:

Jeff Vlach, CTS-GEC

Mr. Vlach opened the meeting by disseminating updated agendas and bringing attention to the fact that the KHPAT meeting set for Thursday August 26, 2004 has been changed to Tuesday August 24, 2004. He then asked everyone at the meeting to introduce themselves and the entity they represent.

William Gulick, Co-chair, Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC)

Mr. Gulick announced that the advertisement for bridge design consultants for Sections 2 and 5 of the Project had been released and that KYTC would be accepting proposals over the next 30 days. He noted that because of the Kentucky prequalification process and mandatory pre-submittal meeting there are five long span design teams eligible to submit proposals for this advertisement. Mr. Gulick reiterated that the INDOT would have a seat on the KYTC selection committee for these consultants. He then affirmed that INDOT was in the final stages of selecting design consultants for the Indiana Approaches, Sections 3 and 6 of the Project.

Project Updates: William Gulick, Co-chair

KHPAT Membership Request

Since the last meeting, there was one letter sent in response to a request for membership into the KHPAT, which was from Michael O'Leary of Clifton Community Council. The Co-chairs carefully reviewed this request and denied the Clifton Community Council admission onto the KHPAT. Mr. Gulick stated that he hopes Clifton continues to stay involved in this process.

HPP Scopes of Work (Final Revision): Dawn Hein, Historic Preservation Specialist

Mrs. Hein disseminated the final scopes of work for the Historic Preservation Plans (HPPs), for discussion of the final revisions. The changes are as follows:

General Changes

- MOA stipulation indicators were added within the document per plan for reference. These are the only items that are funded or required by the MOA. EX: (MOA Stipulation III.M.1)
- Funding Opportunities: Section included under chapter ten, Implementation Procedures.

Butchertown Historic District

Changes under *Recommendations*:

- Infrastructure – Coordinate plan with the designers of the I-71 interchange to determine the potential effect if any. Coordinate plan with the access study for the forty acres created by the move of spaghetti junction.
- Flood control included under Infrastructure heading.
- Infrastructure/Traffic Alignments/Connectivity to the Ohio River – Covers coordination with the I-71 plan and access/connectivity to the river and the surrounding community.

Phoenix Hill Historic District*Changes under Recommendations:*

- Objectives: Coordinate the HPP with other public and private projects in Phoenix Hill such as the Clarksdale housing rehabilitation, the Brinley Hardy building and the medical center expansion. (MOA stipulation III.L.1)
- Land Use: Include recommendations concerning the various hospitals and medical center within the area.
- Local Designation – (New) Make recommendations for local designation based upon findings in section V. Outline procedures and necessary steps to complete process.

Country Estates Historic District/River Road Corridor*Changes under Recommendations:*

- Preservation Easements – Assess key historic elements to be protected in a historic easement for the following properties as stipulated in the MOA: Drumanard, Allison-Barrickman House, and Rosewell. Coordination with the acquisition and easements placed on property. (MOA stipulations III.N.2, 3; III.O.1; III.P.2, 3)

Additional comments added at the meeting:

Mr. Segrest asked for a conclusion of where the \$400,000 listed in the Record of Decision for Project Enhancements (to be given the Downtown Development Corporation to do planning which involves Butchertown) will be spent because this will affect the HPP for this district. Mr. Gulick stated that this money would be provided to Metro Government by the KYTC. An MOA will be prepared which outlines the tasks to be accomplished with these funds, and the city will procure the work that was set forth in this MOA. Therefore, the KHPAT will not be involved in the decisions of this activity; however, from the historic standpoint, it will be subject to Section 106 oversight. It was concluded that this planning will be coordinated with the HPPs through section VI Infrastructure, within the Butchertown HPP scope of work, and it was suggested that this stipulation be moved to the Objectives section of the scope.

It was noted that a correction needed to be made under Section VI Infrastructure for the Butchertown scope of work, "...also consider walking paths along the River," which should read, "...also consider walking paths along Beargrass Creek."

There was concern shown that there is no HPP for downtown Louisville and the business district which borders Phoenix Hill and Butchertown. However, given the constraints of the MOA, this process must work within the boundaries of the specified districts and properties listed in the MOA and downtown Louisville is not within those specified areas.

It was emphasized that the HPP that will be produced through this process will be an implementable document because of the careful coordination that will take place with Metro Government and projects currently being undertaken. It was also stressed that timing is very important to this process so that the affected historic districts will not lose integrity prior to the implementation of the HPPs.

Per MOA stipulation III.O.1., it was recommended that the following be included in the Country Estates Historic District/River Road Corridor scope of work in the Recommendations section:

KYTC shall make reasonable efforts to acquire ... an easement on the property bounded by US 42, KY 841, the Allison-Barrickman property, and Wolf Pen Branch Road, as set forth in Stipulation II.H.

It was recommended that St. Francis in the Fields Church and the City of Green Spring be added to the listing of local organizations under section X of the Country Estates Historic District/River Road Corridor scope of work.

It was determined that the current Harrods Creek Bridge project eliminates the need for the comment under section VII Specific Properties to Consider, ... "Upper River Road Bridge – Only one lane, causes traffic congestion."

Kentucky HPP Consultant Selection: William Gulick, Co-chair

Mr. Gulick referred the following MOA Stipulation I.A.9.:

The respective co-chairs will convene additional meetings at the following times to review plans, comment, and make specific recommendations regarding Project design scopes of work and details for consideration by the Bi-State Historic Consultation Team;

c. Prior to the development of the scope of work for design consultant services on individual Project sections;

At the last meeting, the Co-chairs requested comments on the scopes of work and the consultant selection qualifications that were presented. Only one letter was received, which was from Metro Government. After considering all the factors, the Co-chairs decided to adopt these scopes of work, as revised, and selected the CTS-GEC team, with the addition of supplemental individuals and firms for added expertise, to complete the HPPs.

Mr. Nouri, of Metro Government, showed concern for this decision and asked the other HPAT members for their reaction. Ms. Barras displayed her concern for this "procurement stream lining process" and said that River Fields is not comfortable with the decision.


Mr. Gulick introduced Mr. Kroll to go over the project teams, process, and schedules that have been assembled for the completion of the HPPs.

HPP Project Teams, Process and Schedules: David Kroll, Director of Preservation

Mr. Kroll, along with Jeff Bergman, distributed the *Historic Preservation Plan Statement of Qualifications* packets for each of the three historic districts and overviewed the following HPP Team Charts, HPP Development and Implementation Process, and time schedules (which were disseminated to KHPAT representatives, therefore not included in this summary).


Butchertown Historic District

Historic Preservation Plan Project Team




Phoenix Hill Historic District

Historic Preservation Plan Project Team



Country Estates Historic District / River Road Corridor

Historic Preservation Plan Project Team



Historic Preservation Plan Development and Implementation Process

General Notes:

Information Useful for Specific Mitigation Measures: Based on the projected schedule to complete work on HPPs and the likely date that plans for the preliminary field plan check inspection are to be submitted, it may be necessary to advance work on those elements of the HPP that provide information useful for the Section Design Consultants (SDC) on specific mitigation measures to be funded by the Project. A proposal for this advancement of work will be prepared and presented to the Bi-State Historic Consultation Team (BSHCT) for their consideration. With their concurrence, the proposal will be submitted to the Bi-State Management Team (BSMT) for their approval.

Additional Mitigation Measures: Consistent with MOA Stipulation II.F, the HPP process will provide a complete evaluation of the historic significance of each HPP area and will seek out and identify any additional avoidance, minimization, or mitigation measures. The discussion of these additional measures will be brought to the attention of the HPAT as needed throughout the process. Periodic reports on HPP progress, in addition to the review of the HPP pre-draft outline and HPP pre-draft, will provide the vehicle for the HPATs to recommend additional measures to the BSMT.

Phase 1 - Development of Draft Historic Preservation Plan

Task 1(a): Project Start-Up & Organization

Coordinate Content & Schedule with Local Representatives: The project team will coordinate the HPP content requirements and work schedule with the local representatives of the HPP area. This will include the following:

- a review of applicable portions of the MOA,
- a continued discussion of local historic significance,
- a review of Project mitigation topic areas relevant to the HPP area, and
- a review of intended long-term HPP recommendations and implementation.

Establish a Public Input Strategy: The project team will work with the local representatives to establish a clear strategy for informing and involving the public in the HPP area.

- The public input strategy will be coordinated with other Project events to ensure clarity of purpose.
- The public input strategy will include methods for involving key stakeholders, the general public, property owners, and local government in the HPP process.
- The strategy will identify the best methods for advertising meetings and sharing information in the HPP area.
- The public input strategy will also consider the establishment of a local “working group” or “steering committee” composed of the HPAT area representatives, local government officials, local property owners, local residents, etc. This group may meet regularly throughout the process to provide guidance, and their roles should be coordinated with those of the HPAT.

Collect Data: The project team will collect and review data for the HPP area. Data collection will include, but not be limited to, materials assembled to date through the Section 106 process, the MOA, and other applicable documentation. It will focus on (1) a review and documentation of historic significance appropriate to each HPP area and (2) the clarification of project mitigation needs. It will also include topics related to long-term HPP recommendations and implementation, such as infrastructure, land use, urban design, growth management, contextual design, and regulatory tools.

Conduct an HPP Kick-Off Meeting: The project team will conduct a meeting in the HPP area, open to the public, to discuss the purpose of the HPP process, review the role of the HPP in the context of the Project as a whole, and introduce project team members and local representatives involved in the HPP process. This meeting may be conducted in combination with other Project public events in the HPP area. It is intended to assist the public in recognizing the most appropriate venues for different types of comments and understanding the organizational structure of the Project. This meeting may include a discussion of Project mitigation tasks and construction related concerns.

Profile Historic Significance: The project team will profile the historic significance of the HPP area as a whole and of individual resources found in the HPP area. This profile will include, but not be limited to, architectural, archeological, cultural, historical, and contextual significance. The research will focus on (1) completely documenting the historic contexts and resources identified by the MOA and (2) identifying and documenting other instances of historic significance that require mitigation, provide key resources, and/or contribute to long-term area vitality.

- This task is intended to (1) reveal possible additional or alternate Project mitigation needs consistent with MOA Stipulation II.F. (2) provide information and guidance to the SDC regarding key MOA considerations, and (3) facilitate a consideration of construction-related Project impacts.
- This task is further intended to provide the necessary information for the review of historic district boundaries, the thorough identification of historic resources, and the eventual updating of the Indiana Historic Sites & Structures Inventory: Clark County Interim Report and the Jefferson County Inventory and Survey of Historic Sites per MOA Stipulation II.G.

- This task is also intended to provide the necessary context information and documentation of historic resources for the eventual completion of National Register Nominations as specified by MOA Stipulation II.I.

Task 1(b): "Immediate Need" Project Mitigation Research & Reporting

Identify & Profile Short-Term Project-related Considerations: The project team will identify and profile key features of the HPP area that require immediate action to ensure that design-influencing information is provided to the SDC in a timely manner.

Define "Immediate Need" Mitigation Issues: The project team will define Project mitigation issues that require immediate clarification for each HPP area, focusing on immediate design concerns and construction-related mitigation. These issues will include those specified in the MOA and others identified through the Profile of Historic Significance that directly relate negative impacts on individual historic resources, overall historic significance, or historic context to the Project. The project team will ensure that information influencing specific mitigation measures is provided to the SDC on appropriate timeframes. In some instances, this component of the HPP process may need to be advanced to ensure adequate mitigation and the overall timeliness of the Project.

Prepare HPP Draft "Immediate Need" Mitigation Report: The project team will prepare a HPP draft "Immediate Need" Mitigation Report that addresses specific Project-related mitigation measures for the area. The report may also provide initial recommendations for protecting historic resources during the construction process.

Facilitate BSHCT Review & Revise HPP Draft "Immediate Need" Mitigation Report: The project team will submit the HPP draft "Immediate Need" Mitigation Report for the review and comment of the BSHCT and will make any required revisions to result in a revised HPP draft "Immediate Need" Mitigation Report.

Facilitate HPAT Review & Prepare HPP "Immediate Need" Mitigation Report: The project team will submit the revised HPP draft "Immediate Need" Mitigation Report to the HPAT for review and comment. The project team will prepare a summary of the discussion, recommendations, and comments appropriate for the HPAT Co-Chairs to obtain concurrence and/or approvals by the BSHCT of the recommendations. The project team will also prepare a response for the HPAT Co-Chairs to the HPAT comments. The project team will make any necessary revisions and produce an HPP Final "Immediate Need" Mitigation Report meeting the needs of the SDC while the HPP is completed.

Task 1(c): Documentation & Analysis

Profile Area Conditions & Trends: The project team will profile the conditions and trends that are affecting the historic resources and their context for the HPP area. This will include land use, infrastructure, the natural environment, public facilities and services, urban design, character, patterns of investment, growth and development, local organizations and resources, and general local approaches to historic significance. The profile will identify the short and long-term impact of the Project, further clarify required mitigation, and inform long-term goals for each area.

Profile Future Opportunities & Limits: The project team will analyze local historic significance and conditions & trends to identify both short and long-term opportunities and limits in each area. This profile will include limits to the historically significant resources and each area as a whole that are currently present, are anticipated in the future, and are anticipated to result from the Project. It will also include a discussion of opportunities for specific historic resources, their context, and the area as a whole created by local conditions and trends, the Project, and identified mitigation measures. This profile will present a discussion of both (1) short-term, Project-related opportunities and limits and (2)

long-term opportunities and limits that extend beyond the mitigation, financing, and other direct impacts of the Project.

Define Mitigation Issues: The project team will clarify and define Project mitigation issues for each HPP area. These issues will include those specified in the MOA and others identified through the HPP process that directly relate negative impacts on individual historic resources, overall historic significance, or historic context to the Project. The project team will ensure that information influencing specific mitigation measures is provided to the SDC on appropriate timeframes.

Define Long-Term Preservation Planning Issues: The project team will identify, analyze, and define issues related to the long-term vitality of the historic resources and their context. This will include a review and assessment of the planning documents and tools used in each HPP area. These issues will mostly likely be beyond the specific mitigation measures required by the Project, and will establish a long-term plan for each HPP area. The long-term preservation planning issues will include specific documentation of particular properties, the HPP area, and its context. The issues will address the function and quality of the historic resources and the land use, transportation, design & character, public facility, and other features that compose their context.

Task 1(d): Public Input

Meet with Key Stakeholders: The project team will meet with key stakeholders in the HPP area. These stakeholders likely include property owners, resident groups, civic groups, merchant groups, development groups, utility providers, historic preservation groups, etc. The project team will seek to collect information from these groups, inform them of project events, and secure their participation in the HPP process and implementation.

Coordinate with Local Government: The project team will meet with elected and appointed officials from the local government(s) having jurisdiction in the HPP area. These coordination meetings are intended to seek input and participation, understand local government processes and concerns, and identify the best methods for including long-term HPP recommendations in local planning documents, processes, and funding cycles. Local government coordination may include elected officials, transportation officials, plan commission officials, preservation commission officials, public works officials, etc.

Facilitate Public Input: The project team will facilitate a series of public workshops in the HPP area to inform, educate, and collect input from the public. The project team will assist with notification, provide educational materials, and design and facilitate public meetings. The project team will document the results of each public meeting for use throughout the HPP process.

Provide Public Educational Material: The project team will, as a follow-up to issues raised through public input, provide educational materials to the public promoting a fact-based understanding of issues raised, the HPP process, the Project, etc. The project team will distribute these materials through the Project website, the local representatives, the media, local government offices, the local library, and other appropriate mass-information outlets.

Task 1(e): HPP Draft Outline

Finalize Mitigation Issues / Identify Goals & Objectives: The project team will consider the results of the Documentation & Analysis (Task 5a(3)) with the results of the Public Input (Task 5a(4)) to create a comprehensive profile of the historic significance, issues, needs, and opportunities present in the HPP area.

- This task will compare public perceptions with factual information; will combine public opinion with physical, social, and economic realities; and will recognize public priorities.

- This task will result in a finalized, detailed context to inform the implementation of specific mitigation measures, as well as an account of Project mitigation issues suitable for use by the SDCs.
- This task will also establish long-term goals and objectives for inclusion in the HPP.

Prepare HPP pre-Draft Outline: The project team will prepare a HPP pre-draft outline for the area. The pre-draft outline will provide a basis for the HPP document. It will also represent the ways in which both Project-specific mitigation measures and long-term goals and objectives will be presented in the HPP.

Facilitate BSHCT Review & Revise HPP pre-Draft Outline: The project team will submit the HPP pre-draft outline for the review and comment of the BSHCT and will make any required revisions to result in a revised HPP pre-draft outline.

Facilitate HPAT Review & Prepare HPP Draft Outline: The project team will submit the revised HPP pre-draft outline to the HPAT for review and comment. The project team will prepare a summary of the discussion, recommendations, and comments appropriate for the HPAT Co-Chairs to obtain concurrence and/or approvals by the BSHCT of the recommendations. The project team will also prepare a response for the HPAT Co-Chairs to the HPAT comments. The project team will make any necessary revisions and produce an HPP draft outline for each area.

Task 1(f): HPP Draft Document

Apply "Best Practices": The project team will apply national best practices; precedent research; and other applicable information, concepts, and ideas to the specific mitigation measures and long-term goals and objectives identified in the HPP draft outline.

The type and application of best practice information will vary for each HPP area, but will consistently include the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and those of the Kentucky Heritage Council (for the 3 Kentucky HPPs). In every case, best practice information will be used to provide information and as a basis for crafting unique, appropriate solutions for each HPP.

Develop and Evaluate Options: Where appropriate, the project team will identify multiple options for the each specific Project mitigation measure and the realization of long-term goals and objectives. The HPAT, BSHCT, and BSMT will evaluate options as necessary during the HPP process.

Identify Required Project Mitigation: The project team will provide detailed guidance for specific Project mitigation measures, including those identified by the MOA and recognized during the HPP process.

Identify Long-Term Recommendations & Action Steps: The project team will create an overall strategy for achieving the long-term goals and objectives described by the HPP draft outline for each HPP. The strategy will include recommended policies, action steps, design guidelines, and other measures.

Identify Long-Term Funding & Implementation Options: The project team will identify funding and implementation options for achieving the long-term goals and objectives described by the HPP draft outline. These provisions will focus on historic preservation measures, but will also include land use, transportation, infrastructure, and other topic areas related to the vitality of each HPP area. The implementation options will include a discussion of the critical path of necessary tasks, required coordination, and involved entities.

Prepare HPP pre-Draft Document: The project team will prepare an HPP pre-draft document for the area. The pre-draft will be a complete document in a finalized format that includes all necessary background information, mitigation measures, and recommendations.

Facilitate BSHCT Review & Revise HPP pre-Draft Document: The project team will submit the HPP pre-draft document for the review and comment of the BSHCT and will make any required revisions to result in a revised HPP pre-draft document.

Facilitate HPAT Review & Prepare HPP Draft Document: The project team will submit the revised HPP pre-draft document to the HPAT for review and comment. The project team will prepare a summary of the discussion, recommendations, and comments appropriate for the HPAT Co-Chairs to obtain concurrence and/or approvals by the BSHCT of the recommendations. The project team will also prepare a response for the HPAT Co-Chairs to the HPAT comments. The project team will make any necessary revisions and produce an HPP draft document.

Phase 2 – Development of Final Historic Preservation Plan

Task 2(a): Public Review of HPP Draft Document

Facilitate Key Stakeholder Review: The project team will provide the key stakeholders an opportunity to review and comment on the draft HPP. The project team will document the key stakeholder comments for consideration.

Facilitate Local Government Review: The project teams will solicit the input of local governments with jurisdiction in the HPP area. The project team will document the local government comments for consideration.

Facilitate Public Review: The project team will facilitate a series of public meetings in the HPP area to present the draft HPP to the public and solicit public comment. The project team will assist with notification, provide educational materials, and design and facilitate public meetings. The project team will also distribute draft HPP documents to local government offices, libraries, etc. and otherwise make them available for review prior to the public meetings. The project team will document the results of each public meeting for consideration.

Task 2(b): HPP Final Document

Facilitate BSHCT Consideration of Public Comment: The project team will present the complied key stakeholder, local government, and public comments – with draft responses to those comments – to the BSHCT for review and comment. The project team will revise the draft comment responses as appropriate.

Facilitate HPAT Consideration of Public Comment: The project team will present the complied comments and revised draft responses to those comments to the HPAT for review and comment.

Facilitate HPAT Co-Chair, BSHCT, and BSMT Comment Review & Concurrence: The project team will prepare a summary of the HPAT discussion, recommendations, and comments appropriate for the HPAT Co-Chairs to obtain concurrence and/or approvals by the BSHCT and BSMT of the recommendations. The project team will also prepare a response for the HPAT Co-Chairs to the HPAT comments.

Prepare HPP Final Document: The project team will produce an HPP final document that incorporates the public and HPAT comments as agreed upon by the HPAT Co-Chairs, BSHCT, and BSMT. The HPP final document will be submitted for the approval of the BSMT, INDOT, KYTC, and the SHPO.

Phase 3 – Implementation of Final Historic Preservation Plan

Distribute HPP to Local Government: The HPP will be provided to the appropriate local governments and the Kentuckiana Regional Planning & Development Agency (KIPDA) for incorporation into existing plans, guidelines, and other documents, procedures, and funding mechanisms.

Produce Public Literature: The project team will prepare the public literature specified in the MOA appropriate to communicate the HPP contents and background information to the public.

Provide Local Training: The project team will provide guidance, in the form of a training session and supporting materials, for local leaders and government officials to maximize the usefulness and implementation of the HPP. The training may be coordinated with the Smart Growth Conference required by MOA Stipulation II.O.

Mr. Potts recommended bringing Clarion on board as soon as possible to address the local designation issues in Phoenix Hill and how the process can get jumpstarted.

Ms. Barras asked how the request for a national preservation planner was solicited. Mr. Bergman stated that Ratio made a search through websites looking for firms and individuals that had the experience needed for the Project. Ratio then narrowed down the list to three firms, which was later narrowed down to Clarion due to the breadth of their expertise. When making this decision, Ratio coordinated with and received suggestions from the KY-SHPO, FHWA, and KYTC.

The funding of the HPPs was brought up and Mr. Gulick said that there will be one contract for the three Kentucky HPPs, but a cost has not yet been determined because that requires a firm scope of work to make that determination.

A question was raised about “Month 1,” labeled on the timelines, and when that will begin. Currently, the HPP Team is working under the advanced contract, so preparations to start month one are being made to start as soon as possible. However, no specific date has been set at this time.

Ms. Barras asked for more detail on Task 1b “immediate need” on the timelines. Mr. Gulick explained that this refers to the immediate needs of the SDCs and the steps they will follow to avoid unnecessary expenditures of time and money. This is the preliminary stage to the final engineering design and the immediate needs of each HPP will be different.

Mr. Segrest commented that the Butchertown Councilman made a request to the Mayor to form a committee to create a neighborhood plan which would put an official sanction on the process of the local level involvement in the planning process. He also mentioned that they spoke at the budget hearing to encourage additional staffing for these needs.

Ms. Weeter showed concern for the workload of the Metro Historic Preservation Office. She asked about the possible dedication of monies to hire additional staff to help with the Project. It was determined that nothing has been committed to this issue, but it can be discussed further in the future. Mr. Gulick added that FHWA has committed to hire a Project Manager to work fulltime on this project, as well as Indiana. Mr. Gulick has been selected as the Project Manager for KYTC.

A question was raised about who needs to be contacted to request additional staff at the Metro level to fill the needs of the Project. It was determined that the city needed to be encouraged to make this request to the state.

2004 National Preservation Conference: Michael Matts – Director of Historic Preservation and Planning**MOA stipulation II.P.3.**

In consultation with the FHWA, ACHP, KYSHPO, Indian Tribes, and the KHPAT, KYTC will develop an exhibit for display in the museum to be established at the Trolley Barn. The exhibit will showcase local history and the historic properties identified during the Project within a regional context and educate visitors about the Federal regulatory process. KYTC will make every effort to have the exhibit completed so that it can be presented during the National Trust for Historic Preservation Annual Conference to be held in Louisville in 2004. a. KYTC will ensure that the exhibit is prepared by a professional in the development of museum exhibits. b. During its preparation, KYTC will ensure that the parties shall have opportunities to review and provide comments on the proposed exhibit.

Per this stipulation, CTS-GES has been preparing concepts for an exhibit and Mr. Matts presented them at this meeting. It was determined that a preliminary exhibit would be displayed at the 2004 conference. Currently, CTS is on the waiting list for booth space at the conference because the ongoing construction of the Trolley Barn (per the MOA stipulation) has prevented the possibility of presenting the exhibit there. It was also noted that discussion has been initiated with the Kentucky Center for African American Heritage about housing the final exhibit in their space.

Other Comments and Concerns: William Gulick, Co-chair

Mr. Gulick asked if there were objections to moving the KHPAT meetings to once every two months rather than every month, as they are now. All were in favor. He then asked for any additional questions and comments; none were made. Mr. Gulick thanked everyone for their participation and closed the meeting

Final Comments: Jeff Vlach, CTS-GEC

The next KHPAT meeting is scheduled for August 24, 2004 at 10:00 am EST at the Hyatt Regency, Louisville, if there has been sufficient progress to warrant this meeting. If the meeting is canceled, all KHPAT members will be notified. (Since this time, the August meeting was canceled and the next meeting is scheduled for September 16, 2004 at 10:00 am EST at the Hyatt Regency, Louisville.) Any comments for consideration by the Co-chairs should be made in writing by the close of business on July 30, 2004.

**Louisville - Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges Project
Kentucky Historic Preservation Advisory Team
Meeting – July 15, 2004
TEAM ATTENDEES**

FHWA: John Ballantyne

Co-chairs: William Gulick – Kentucky Transportation Cabinet
Craig Potts (for David Morgan) – Kentucky Heritage Council

City of Prospect: Ann Simms

Butchertown Neighborhood Assoc., Inc.: Jim Segrest

Louisville Metro Planning and Design: Mohammad Nouri

Louisville Metro Historic Preservation: Joanne Weeter

Phoenix Hill Association, Inc.: Doug Magee

River Fields, Inc.: Leslie Barras

CTS – GEC:

Jeff Vlach
Christa Turner
David Kroll
Jeff Bergman
Dawn Hein
Michael Matts
Mike Striker
James Braun
Kerri Cokeley

SDCs:

Scott Stepro (QK4)
Richard Sutherland (American Consulting Engineers)
Jerry Leslie (Lochner Team)
Sandy Stevens (Lochner Team)