FCO 2021-6

Floyd County Board of Commissioners Ordinance Amending FCO-2006-6 Zoning Map

Whereas, the Floyd County Board of Commissioners met on April 6, 2021 on this matter pursuant to IC 36-7-4-600 series,

Whereas, The Board of Commissioners received a favorable recommendation to amend the Floyd County Zoning Map from the Floyd County Plan Commission by a vote of 6-0;

NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED that Floyd County Board of Commissioners hereby amends the Floyd County Zoning Map for the property described in Exhibit A from Rural Residential (RR) to Neighborhood Commercial (NC).

SO ORDAINED this 6th, Day of April, 2021.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

COLLALTY OF FLOYD

Shawn Carruthers, President

John Schellenberger, Commissioner

Tim Kamer, Commissioner

Jacqueline Wenning, Floyd County Auditor

Exhibit A

GENERAL WARRANTY DEED

SEND TAX INFORMATION TO:

Ann E. Atherton 7015 High Street Floyds Knobs, IN 47119

THIS INDENTURE WITNESSETH, that RICHARD GENE ENGLEMAN AND JO ANN ENGLEMAN, husband and wife, of Floyd County, Indiana (the "Grantors"), for and in consideration of the sum of ONE DOLLAR (\$1.00) and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, do hereby

CONVEY AND WARRANT

with covenant of General Warranty, unto ANN E. ATHERTON, of Floyd County, Indiana (hereinafter the "Grantee"), the following described real property located at 7015 High Street, Floyds Knobs, Floyd County, Indiana (the "Property"), more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

THE EAST ONE-HALF (1/2) OF LOT 9 BORDERING LOT 10 ON HIGH STREET IN CROOK ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF GALENA, BEING PLAT NO. 137 OF THE FLOYD COUNTY, INDIANA, RECORDS CONTAINING 0.0826 OF ACRE OF LAND, MORE OR LESS.

ALSO LOT 10 AND 11 ON HIGH STREET IN CROOK ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF GALENA, BEING PLAT NO. 137 OF THE FLOYD COUNTY RECORDS.

Subject to all easements and restrictions of public record.

Being the same property conveyed to Grantors by Viola S. Engleman by deed dated March 4, 1975 and recorded in Deed Drawer 2, Instrument No. 1051 in the Office of the Recorder, Floyd County, Indiana.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD, the Property, unto the Grantee forever.

The Grantors covenant that they are lawfully seized of the estate hereby conveyed, have full right, power and authority to convey the same, and that said estate is free from all encumbrances except (i) property taxes and assessments not yet due and payable which the Grantee assumes and agrees to pay: (ii) restrictions, stipulations and easements of record affecting the Property; and (iii) all governmental regulations affecting the Property.

1996.			RICHARD GENE	ENGLEMAN .	<u> </u>
			JØ ANN ENGLEI	Congline	تسه
		ş !	JO ANN ENGLE	MAIN /	•
TATE OF IND)) SS)		. .	
uschand and wif	ed and sworn to fe, who acknowl is the	edged execution day of May	Jours Amon W	an and Jo Ann En iment to be their vi	glematt.
and the second of the second		N	OMARY PUBLIC		

Duly Entered For Taxation Subject To Final Acceptance

JUL 15 1996

Berbara J. Sellings
AUDITOR FLOYD CO. IND.

Duly Entered to Stanford Subject To Final Actions

JUL 15

AUDITOR FLOYD CO. IND.

FLOYD COUNTY PLAN COMMISSION

ZONING MAP AMENDMENT BALLOT

Docket No. FC-2-21-2	etitioner's Name: Keith and Jami Weckstein
STATUTORY AUTHORITY: India	na Code § 36-7-4-608
ORDINANCE AUTHORITY: Floy	rd County Zoning Ordinance 2006
reasonable regard to the following: (1 adopted planning studies or reports; (2) each district; (3) The most desirable use	petition, the Plan Commission and County Commissioners shall pay) The Floyd County Comprehensive Plan and any other applicable, The current conditions and the character of current structures and uses in e of which the land in each district is adapted; (4) The conservation of y; and (5) Responsible growth and development.
DECISION:	
After careful review, the Floyd Cou	unty Plan Commission finds that:
1. The re-zoning of the subject pro	operty (IS) IS NOT) consistent with the Floyd County Comprehensive
Subject property is next a commercial use since the 1990's.	to existing NC zoning district to the north. Property has been utilized as
The re-zoning of the subject p character of current structures are	property (IS) IS NOT) consistent with the current conditions and the and uses in the area because:
The rezoning of the subj	ect property fits the character of adjoining parcels to the north and is in al area.
land because: the	perty (IS) IS NOT) necessary for the most desirable use of the
	אאן איני איני איני איני איני איני איני א
(its current zoning), is limited to non-exi	sient.

the area	because:
Commercial (Subject property is located in close proximity to a commercial node and major thoroughfar property have been for small scale commercial uses since the 1990's. The Neighborhoo (NC) zoning district provides for the opportunity for commercial uses that serve and complime eas (small scale service, food, etc.).
5. The re-zo in the ar	ning of the subject property WILL WILL NOT) support responsible growth and development rea because:
Plan: "The co	Subject property is located near a commercial node and/has been utilized as a commercial the 1990's, with an existing commercial building on site. Vision Floyd County Comprehensionmunity also has several smaller commercial areas. These areas provide local commercial need. These areas include the Navilleton Road/US 150, Charlestown Road/County Line Road, Pacille Road, and Corydon Pike. Small commercial activities presently occur in these vicinities and use."
UNFAVORA held on the 8	al review, the Floyd County Plan Commission hereby makes a(n) (FAVORABLE BLE) recommendation on the Petitioner's Zoning Amendment Application at the meeting the day of March, 2021.
UNFAVORA held on the 8 A favorable	BLE) recommendation on the Petitioner's Zoning Amendment Application at the meeting
UNFAVORA held on the 8 A favorable	ABLE) recommendation on the Petitioner's Zoning Amendment Application at the meeting the day of March, 2021. The recommendation is made based on the following written commitments:
UNFAVORA held on the 8 A favorable 1	ABLE) recommendation on the Petitioner's Zoning Amendment Application at the meeting the day of March, 2021. The recommendation is made based on the following written commitments:
UNFAVORA held on the 8 A favorable 1 1 2	ABLE) recommendation on the Petitioner's Zoning Amendment Application at the meeting the day of March, 2021. recommendation is made based on the following written commitments:

6.		
7.		
8.	•	
•		
9.		
10.		
<u> </u>		
Board Members in Favor:	Board Members Opposed:	
Tarra Bubl Mayon		
Orbridtopher T, wells		
The state of the s		
fat Oly		
WH ()		
Gira Dollar		
Board Members Abstaining:		