

Proposal for Diminishing Support of Grant Funded Programs

As noted by the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute's (ICJI) Drug and Crime Control Subcommittee, concerns regarding the extended federal funding of local and state programs continues to limit the Drug and Crime Control Division (DCCD) and its ability to fund new and innovate programs. In combination with reductions in the Bureau of Justice Assistance's Justice Assistance Grant (JAG), a large portion of the federal JAG award, the DCCD's primary funding source, is used for the continued funding of programs well beyond five (5) years. During the 2016-2017 funding review, of the seventy (70) applications received twenty (28) applicants self-identified as continuation programs and listed an average of nearly eight (8) years of previous funding. The longest funded applicant was reported as being supported by JAG funds for twenty-eight (28) years.

Under the new ICJI JAG diminishing support proposal, new programs selected for funding by the DCCD subcommittee and the Board of Trustees would not be required to provide any match. This support would continue for the first two (2) years of their funding. Upon year three (3) of JAG support, the applicant would be required to provide a local or state match equaling 25% of the total project cost. This would continue in increments of 25% through years 3-5. This would require the applicant to seek alternative sources of funding for the sixth year of their program.

Exceptions

As a result of exigent circumstances, programs with a statewide impact that demonstrate a justifiable need to circumvent the aforementioned match requirements could be provided the opportunity to do so. In the event this need is identified, it is recommended the proposal be reviewed by the DCCD Division Director and confirmed by the Executive staff prior to presentation to the DCCD subcommittee.

Additionally, funding for additional and/or varying activities that change the scope of the previously funded activity should not be subject to the graduated match requirements. In effect, this would allow the funding to be specific to the activity/equipment and not the agency. This is particularly relevant to agencies such as the Indiana State Police, which have multiple programs funded under one designated agency. In the event the agency's requested activities/equipment significantly differs from previous years, the years funded will be returned to zero (0) and the applicant will begin the progression following the graduated scale as would a "new" program.

Formula to Establish Self-Sufficiency Funding Regarding Same Grant Request

Example One: Consistent Request For Funding Year After Year (Same Grantee such as a Drug Task Force)

Year	Total Grant Request	Match	Agency Match	Amount Funded
1	\$100,000	0%	\$0.00	\$100,000
2	\$100,000	0%	\$0.00	\$100,000
3	\$100,000	25%	\$25,000	\$75,000
4	\$100,000	50%	\$50,000	\$50,000
5	\$100,000	75%	\$75,000	\$25,000
6	\$100,000	100%	\$100,000	\$0.00

Example Two: Different Funding Each Year (Same Grantee such as a Drug Task Force)

Year	Total Grant Request	Match	The Agency Match	Amount Funded
1	\$110,000	0%	\$0.00	\$110,000
2	\$115,000	0%	\$0.00	\$115,000
3	\$125,000	25%	\$31,250	\$93,750
4	\$120,000	50%	\$60,000	\$60,000
5	\$140,000	75%	\$105,000	\$35,000
6	\$135,000	100%	\$135,000	\$0.00