

Data Sharing & Mapping Committee
Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana
Wednesday, November 14, 2:30-4:00 PM
Location: Statehouse 105

MINUTES

1. Welcome
 - a. Tamara Weaver – OAG
 - b. Julie Whitman – CISC
 - c. Corajeon Medina – DCS
 - d. Tyler Brown – MPH
 - e. Victoria Szczechowski – House
 - f. Jason Melchi – Impact Solutions, JDAI
 - g. Michael Commons – Office of Court Services
 - h. Austin Poynter – DOC
2. Approval of Minutes from September 12, 2019 Meeting
 - a. Approved without objection
3. Update on Info Sharing Guide
 - a. Content update
 - i. Substantive updates complete from agencies
 - ii. Working on making sure white paper is updated as well
 - iii. Icons/tiles for app – a number already created for record holders; we will use these for the new record requestors that we added
 - iv. Michael Commons and Lisa Thompson working on reviewing the spreadsheet responses from agencies and seeing if there are any remaining missing pieces of guidance and making sure the paths are correct between record requestors and record holders
 - v. Will be checking with IOT to let them know the update is coming
 - b. Analytics
 - i. All time Interactions – almost 70,000
 - ii. Fairly steady interactions and returning users
 - iii. Communications Committee will be promoting update when it is ready
4. Pew Research - Juvenile Justice Related Data Request
 - a. Background – Children’s Commission was contacted by the Council of State Governments (CSG) to do a review of Juvenile Justice system in Indiana; have been discussions for many years with different entities, including Pew Research and CSG
 - b. Pew has agreed to help on a few technical assistance matters without doing the full analysis of the Juvenile Justice system

- c. Pew put together a spreadsheet for Indiana to use to assess the types and available data regarding juvenile justice; Pew has agreed to analyze and give Indiana some feedback
- d. Started with the Office of Court Services, which includes data from Probation
- e. Want to see what pieces of data might be available from CISC member agencies and see about the feasibility of getting some numbers entered from each agency
- f. CSG – talking about record level data sets as well
- g. Spreadsheet review
 - i. Total Referrals by Child Welfare Status – DCS would have only the piece that involves a child with an open case in their system; they would not have info on every child with an open juvenile court matter
 - ii. Total Referrals by Referral Source – discussion of whether this is something that CJI would track
 - iii. Detention – Trial Court Technology, Log of Juveniles Held possibly; CJI might have some of this info as well, some is JDAI as well; most of this will be in the Log, Youth Law Team used to be involved in this data
 - iv. Non-Adjudicated – Office of Court Services provided some notes
 - v. Dispositions – DCS would have CHINS and Probation data for any child in placement; county level data, but not sure about state level; DCS may have CHINS Dispositions by Type (may need to change these categories to align with Indiana’s categories); IYAS data is in Incite (there are 6 tools, for discrete points in detention timeline – would need to narrow tool type, discussion of whether reassessments are included too; IEP data, would have in DOC, but not necessarily at Disposition; Total Dispositions by Most Serious Charge Level will be difficult to track “Status” offenses; Can generally follow the criminal code to get the Total Dispositions by Most Serious Charge Type; would have Total Number of Probation Cases Successfully Completed with No Re-Offense – would need to work on definitions, but would have this data in the probation quarterly reports; would also have Total Number of Failed Probation Cases Resulting in Out-of-Home Commitment
 - vi. Residential Placement – DCS would likely only have Total Commitments to Non-Secure Residential Placements that they pay for; could separate out Juvenile Delinquent, Juvenile Status, and CHINS; DCS will take this back
 - vii. Commitment to DOC – likely will have most of this data; will need to define certain terms; won’t likely have prior adjudications because the child needs to step foot in the facility to start tracking (not historical); IEP and Child Welfare data is going to be in a separate data set from other commitment data; DOC has more granular data for type of charge; County will be the Intake County; traditionally child welfare cases are closed when child committed; Total DOC Placements by Facility – this may be difficult to track on this level; Total DOC Placements by Facility Type – these may have changed throughout the years
 - viii. Waiver and Direct File – Court would have this info in DMC data from the Odyssey Counties; CJI could have this info as well by statute (Juvenile Data Report – data likely came from Courts)

- h. Each agency should take the spreadsheet back to their agencies and see what information they are able to fill out; feel free to add definitions, add categories, to capture the data each agency captures – make sure you explain and note any changes
- 5. Schedule Future Meeting Dates
 - a. Commission meetings are even-numbered, 3rd Wednesday