ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO COMMISSION
June 28, 2016 – CANCELED
June 30, 2016
10:00 A.M.

1. Call to Order and Noting of Quorum –

2. Consideration of Applications -

   New E-Liquid Applications

3. Adjournment

1	Vice Chairman Coleman	This is a special meeting of the Alcohol and Tobacco Commission.
2		This is June 30, 2016. I'm Vice Chairman David Coleman. Also
3		present is Commissioner Dale Grubb. On the telephone we have
4		Chairman David Cook and on the other telephone we have
5		Commissioner Marjorie Maginn. We're here today for this special
6		meeting to consider the e-vapor applications that have been made the
7		last couple of days. I have got six applications. We'll start with the
8		two that are together---C & C Industries and Sensory Solutions. Jessica
9		do you have information on that?
10	Jessica Allen	Yes. I'll take them in order of permit number on those two. Sensory
11		Solutions, LLC- ELM1600010 and C & C, or Cosmetics & Cleaners,
12		LLC- ELM1600011. Can they not hear me?
13	Commissioner Grubb	Why don't you come on up here?
14	Allen	Can everyone hear my now?
15	Commissioner Maginn	Yes, I can. This is Marjorie Maginn.
16	Chairman Cook	Yes, I can hear you better. You need to speak up a little bit.
17	Allen	I will. So we have applications for Sensory Solutions, LLC-
18		ELM1600010 and C & C, or Cosmetics & Cleaners, LLC –
19		ELM1600011. These are two that are somewhat connected. One
20		manufactures and one bottles. One actually produces the liquid and one
21		bottles the liquid. Taking them in order, Sensory Solutions, LLC
22		submitted an application yesterday. Initially there was some missing
23		information, but we were able to address most of those issues. The
24		remaining concerns on this permit application are that we do not have

		the payment of the $1,000 fee and we do not have a verified document that they meet the security requirements. Their security system is missing the rolling steel fire door technician, the locksmith and the one year of experience in owning and operating a security monitoring station with ownership control and use of a redundant off-site backup security monitoring station and does not meet those requirements under statute. So, that is the information I have on Sensory Solutions.
Coleman		Should we vote on these together, or one at a time?
Allen		Because they are separate applications, I would recommend separate votes.
Coleman		All right. We've heard the information. Any other comments?
Grubb		So, basically, they are non-compliant with statute?
Allen		Correct.
Grubb		Mr. Chairman, I moved that we deny the application by Sensory Solutions.
Maginn		Second, from Marjorie Maginn.
Coleman		There's been a motion and a second. Let's do this with a voice vote on each one. Let's start with Dale.
Grubb		No. I vote yes, on the motion.
Coleman		Marjorie?
Maginn		Yes.
Coleman		David?
Cook		I vote yes, to deny.

Coleman And, I vote yes, to deny. So, we'll show Sensory Solutions is denied. How about C & C Industries?

Allen C & C Industries, the outstanding issues on this would be the security verification. They are also missing the rolling steel fire door technician, the locksmith and the one year of experience of owning and operating a security monitoring station with ownership control and use of redundant off-site backup security monitoring station.

Coleman Any other information or comments on that? Do we have a motion?

Grubb Mr. Chairman, same reason, I would move to deny the application.

Coleman Do we have a second?

Maginn This is Marjorie Maginn and I second the motion.

Coleman All right. We have a motion and a second to deny. Let's do a voice vote again. Dale?

Grubb Yes.

Coleman Marjorie?

Maginn Yes.

Coleman David?

Cook Yes, to deny.

Coleman I vote yes, to deny. So C & C Industries is denied. The next application I have is Shenzhen Happy Vaping Technology Limited. Maybe I didn't pronounce that right. It's s-h-e-n-z-h-e-n.

Allen That's correct. This is permit #ELM1600009. This application was received yesterday. Review of the application they did not submit a product listing and they did not submit verified security, verified

documents, on the security. The security, the information they submitted was about basically their gate security and the security guards that they have. They did not submit anything showing that they complied with any piece of the security requirements under statute.

Coleman: Any other comments on that? I had information there was no social security number submitted for the manager and no locksmith information. Is that right?

Allen: That is correct.

Coleman: Okay. Do we have a motion?

Grubb: Mr. Chairman, I would move to deny the application based on the incompleteness.

Maginn: This is Marjorie Maginn and I second the motion.

Coleman: There's been a motion and a second. Let's do a voice vote again. Dale?

Grubb: Yes.

Coleman: Marjorie?

Marjorie: Yes, to deny.

Coleman: David?

Cook: Yes, to deny.

Coleman: I vote, yes to deny. So, Shenzhen is denied. The next one I have is Providence Enterprise, Ltd.

Allen: This is permit #ELM1600008. We received this application yesterday. A review of the application, they did not submit a verified security document that they are in compliance with the statutory requirements

and they did not submit the product listing, as well as we were not able to run the background checks on the employees. The security information they submitted, again, was more about the procedure for the guards and the gate, but did not address any of the statutory requirements.

Coleman Any other comments? Do we have a motion?

Grubb Mr. Chairman, I move to deny, based on incomplete application.

Maginn This is Marjorie Maginn and I second that motion.

Coleman There's been a motion and a second to deny. Let's do a voice vote. Dale?

Grubb Yes.

Maginn Yes, to deny.

Coleman David?

Cook Yes, to deny.

Coleman I vote yes, to deny. So, Providence Enterprises, LTD. is denied. The next one I have is Fuma International, LLC.

Allen This is permit #ELM1600012. We received this application two days ago. At this point, they did submit the security firm certification, but they did not submit the name of anyone certified by the Door and Hardware Institute, or the International Door Association. They did submit the name of someone with a separate, either engineering or construction---some type of certification, but it does not comply with the statutory requirements.

Coleman Any other comments on Fuma? Do we have a motion?

120	Grubb	Mr. Chairman, I move to deny again, based on the incomplete
121		application.
122	Maginn	This is Marjorie Maginn and I second the motion.
123	Coleman	All right. There's been a motion and a second to deny. Let's do a voice
124		vote. Dale?
125	Grubb	Yes.
126	Coleman	Marjorie?
127	Maginn	Yes, to deny.
128	Coleman	David?
129	Cook	Yes, to deny.
130	Coleman	I vote yes, to deny. So, Fuma is denied. The next one I've got is Cali
131		Co…maybe I didn't pronounce that correctly. Is it c-a-l-i-c-o?
132	Allen	Yes. It is Cali Co Packaging LLC. It's permit #ELM1600007. They
133		did submit the required documents, and verified documents stating they
134		do meet the security requirements.
135	Cook	Jessica, there was some issue about the person's name. I think David
136		had been working with them. I presume they got that resolved to our
137		satisfaction.
138	Allen	The application they submitted with the verified document is different
139		than the company that they were working with David on.
140	Cook	Oh. Okay. All right. All right.
141	Coleman	So, they met all the requirements. Is that right?
142	Allen	They verified to us that they meet the requirements.
143	Coleman	Okay. Any other discussion on Cali Co?

Grubb So, that verification would either be truthful or non-truthful. If it's truthful, there's no problem. If it's untruthful, they are subject to penalty of perjury. Is that a correct statement?

Allen That's correct.

Grubb Mr. Chairman, I move to approve this application.

Maginn This is Marjorie Maginn and second that motion.

Coleman There's been a motion and a second to approve Cali Co. Any other comments? Let's do a voice vote. Dale?

Grubb I move yes, to approve.

Coleman Marjorie?

Maginn I vote yes, to approve.

Coleman David?

Cook Yes, to approve.

Coleman I vote yes, to approve. So, Cali Co is approved. Is there any other applications or business?

Grubb I'd just like to commend Jessica for the work she's put in. It's amazing. These applications come in and she's worked for like three days to get the blanks filled---really kind of above and beyond. So, if you're going to fill out an application, you need to do it right. Or, call and ask a question instead of just filing it and expecting staff, when you get all these thrown at us, to try and figure them out.

Coleman I agree with that. Any other comments? Do we have a motion to adjourn?

167	Maginn	Can I ask one question? This is Marjorie. If we happen to get any
168		further applications today, will we consider those at our Tuesday,
169		regularly scheduled meeting?
170	Coleman	I don't think we can. Can we?
171	Allen	I don't think the statute would allow for us to consider anything after…
172	Maginn	Today?
173	Allen	June 30th. Anyone who has contacted me, I've let them know we have
174		this meeting at 10 a.m. I've been trying to work with people up until
175		this meeting, as much as I can.
176	Maginn	Okay. Thank you for the clarification.
177	Coleman	Okay. Do we have a motion to adjourn?
178	Grubb	Mr. Chairman, I move that we do now adjourn.
179	Maginn	This is Marjorie, again. I second.
180	Coleman	There's been a motion and second. All those in favor say, aye.
181	Grubb	Aye.
182	Cook	Aye.
183	Maginn	Aye.
184	Coleman	Aye. Motion is approved. The meeting is adjourned..

Approved this 5th day of July, 2016.

DAVID COOK, CHAIRMAN

DAVID COLEMAN, VICE CHAIRMAN

DALE GRUBB, COMMISSIONER

MARJORIE MAGINN, COMMISSIONER