

BEFORE THE INDIANA STATE
BOARD OF REGISTRATION FOR
LAND SURVEYORS
CAUSE NO: **SBRLS 08-04**

IN THE MATTER OF THE
LICENSE OF WILLIAM E. PETTITT
LICENSE NO.: LS80900006 (Active)



COMPLAINT

This complaint is brought against the Land Surveyor license of William E. Pettitt (“Respondent”) by the State of Indiana, by counsel, Deputy Attorney General Myron A. Rahn III, on behalf of the Office of the Attorney General (“Petitioner”) and pursuant to Indiana Code 25-1-7-7, Indiana Code 25-1-6-3, Indiana Code 25-34.1-2-5 *et seq.*, the Administrative Orders and Procedures Act, Indiana Code 4-21.5-3 *et seq.*, and Indiana Code 25-1-11-1 *et seq.* In support, Petitioner alleges and states the following:

FACTS

1. Respondent’s address on file with the State Board of Registration for Land Surveyors (“Board”) is P.O. Box 412, Hanover, Indiana 47423. Respondent is a Land Surveyor holding license number LS80900006 (Active).
2. On or about March 22, 1996, Respondent was disciplined by the Board through a Letter of Reprimand.
3. On or about January 11, 2006, Respondent performed a land survey for Betty King (“King Survey”).
4. Respondent’s King Survey contained several errors and omissions, including, but not limited to, the following:

- a. Respondent failed to identify the type of survey he performed.
- b. Respondent failed to fully disclose and discuss the clarity or ambiguity of the record description used and of adjoiningers' descriptions and the relationship of the lines of the subject tract with adjoiningers' lines.
- c. Respondent failed to fully disclose and discuss the relative positional accuracy of the measurements.
- d. Respondent failed to provide evidence that the King Survey was recorded.
- e. Respondent failed to fully disclose and discuss all pertinent monuments identified, including, but not limited to, their character; size; and location, including their location relative to the surface of the ground.
- f. Respondent failed to reference the origin, or lack thereof, of all found monuments.
- g. Respondent failed to fully disclose and discuss any physical evidence of possession appurtenant to either the surveyed premises or the adjoining property that is on, near, or across any exterior boundary of the premises.
- h. Respondent failed to fully show and dimension contiguity, gaps, or overlaps with adjoining parcels.
- i. Respondent failed to fully disclose and discuss sufficient data to clearly indicate the theory of location applied in finalizing the

locations of corners.

- j. Respondent failed to supply adequate information to allow retracement without difficulty of all pertinent lines and corners shown on the plat.

COUNT I

5. Paragraphs 1 through 3 are repeated and incorporated herein.
6. Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes a violation of Ind. Code 25-1-11-5(a)(4)(A) in that Respondent has continued to practice although he has become unfit to practice due to professional incompetence by violating 865 IAC 1-12-12(a)(1), when Respondent failed to identify the type of survey completed

COUNT II

7. Paragraphs 1 through 5 are repeated and incorporated herein.
8. Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes a violation of Ind. Code 25-1-11-5(a)(4)(A) in that Respondent has continued to practice although he has become unfit to practice due to professional incompetence by violating 865 IAC 1-12-12(a)(1)(C), when Respondent failed to fully disclose and discuss the clarity or ambiguity of the record description used and of adjoining's descriptions and the relationship of the lines of the subject tract with adjoining's lines.

COUNT III

9. Paragraphs 1 through 7 are repeated and incorporated herein.
10. Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes a violation of Ind. Code 25-1-11-5(a)(4)(A) in that Respondent has continued to practice although he has become unfit to practice due to professional incompetence by violating 865 IAC 1-12-

12(a)(2)(F), when Respondent failed to provide evidence that the King Survey was recorded.

COUNT IV

11. Paragraphs 1 through 9 are repeated and incorporated herein.

12. Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes a violation of Ind. Code 25-1-11-5(a)(4)(A) in that Respondent has continued to practice although he has become unfit to practice due to professional incompetence by violating 865 IAC 1-12-13(b)(6)(A) through (C), when Respondent failed to fully disclose and discuss all pertinent monuments identified, including, but not limited to, their character, size, and location, including their location relative to the surface of the ground.

COUNT V

13. Paragraphs 1 through 11 are repeated and incorporated herein.

14. Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes a violation of Ind. Code 25-1-11-5(a)(4)(A) in that Respondent has continued to practice although he has become unfit to practice due to professional incompetence by violating 865 IAC 1-12-13(b)(6)(D), when Respondent failed to reference the origin, or lack thereof, of all found monuments.

COUNT VI

15. Paragraphs 1 through 13 are repeated and incorporated herein.

16. Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes a violation of Ind. Code 25-1-11-5(a)(4)(A) in that Respondent has continued to practice although he has become unfit to practice due to professional incompetence by violating 865 IAC 1-12-13(b)(8), when Respondent failed to fully disclose and discuss any physical evidence of

possession appurtenant to either the surveyed premises or the adjoining property that is on, near, or across any exterior boundary of the premises.

COUNT VII

17. Paragraphs 1 through 15 are repeated and incorporated herein.

18. Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes a violation of Ind. Code 25-1-11-5(a)(4)(A) in that Respondent has continued to practice although he has become unfit to practice due to professional incompetence by violating 865 IAC 1-12-13(b)(11), when Respondent failed to fully show and dimension contiguity, gaps, or overlaps with adjoining parcels.

COUNT VIII

19. Paragraphs 1 through 17 are repeated and incorporated herein.

20. Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes a violation of Ind. Code 25-1-11-5(a)(4)(A) in that Respondent has continued to practice although he has become unfit to practice due to professional incompetence by violating 865 IAC 1-12-13(b)(15)(A), when Respondent failed to fully disclose and discuss sufficient data to clearly indicate the theory of location applied in finalizing the locations of corners.

COUNT XI

21. Paragraphs 1 through 19 are repeated and incorporated herein.


22. Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes a violation of Ind. Code 25-1-11-5(a)(4)(A) in that Respondent has continued to practice although he has become unfit to practice due to professional incompetence by violating 865 IAC 1-12-13(b)(15)(C), when Respondent failed to supply adequate information to allow retracement without difficulty of all pertinent lines and corners shown on the plat.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner requests an order against the Respondent, that:

1. Imposes the appropriate disciplinary sanction;
2. Directs Respondent to immediately pay all of the cost incurred in the prosecution of this case; and
3. Provides any other relief the Board deems just and proper under Ind. Code 25-1- 11-12.

Respectfully submitted,

STEVE CARTER
Attorney General of Indiana
Atty. No.: 4150-64

By: 
Myron A. Rahn III
Deputy Attorney General
Atty. No.: 27295-49

Indiana Government Center South
302 W. Washington Street, 5th floor
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 233-0878

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 28th day of February, 2008, a true and correct copy of this Complaint was served upon the below listed party via First Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid.

William E. Pettitt
P.O. Box 412
Hanover, Indiana 47423


Myron A. Rahn III

Shipment Request Form PBMS State of Indiana



Z900000162154

Agency	IDOA	To:	
From:		Ship To 1:	William E. Pettitt
Name:	IPLA - Tina Wiseley W-072, IGCS	Ship To 2:	
Department:		Address:	PO Box 412
Phone:			
ECertified:	True		Hanover, IN 47243-0412
EReturn Receipt:	True	Country:	US

Special Instructions:


Reference:**Requested Date:** 2/8/2012 2:44:20 PM

To print this form:

- 1) Click the Print button. (Print two copies, one to attach to your package and one to keep for your records.)
- 2) Place the form in a waybill pouch or attach it to your shipment so that the barcode portion of the page can be read and scanned.
- 3) If ECertified cover letter was selected , place letter contents along with eCertified cover letter in special certified envelope so that Certified Bar code shows through window.

Form (1 of 1)

State of Indiana
IPLA - Tina Wiseley W-072, IGCS
402 W Washington St
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204



91 7190 0005 2720 0016 1274

William E. Pettitt
PO Box 412
Hanover IN 472430412

Z900000162154