Indiana Election Commission Minutes January 5, 2023

Members Present: Paul Okeson, Chairman of the Indiana Election Commission ("Commission"); Suzannah Wilson Overholt, Vice Chair of the Commission; Karen Celestino-Horseman, member; Litany A. Pyle, Member.

Members Absent: None.

Staff Attending: J. Bradley King, Co-Director, Indiana Election Division of the Office of the Secretary of State (Election Division); Angela M. Nussmeyer, Co-Director of the Election Division; Matthew Kochevar, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division; Valerie Warycha, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division; Ms. Abbey Taylor, Campaign Finance; Ms. Michelle Thompson, Campaign Finance.

Others Attending: Mr. Kenneth Jones; Ms. Julia Vaughn.

1. Call to Order:

The Chair called the January 5, 2023 meeting of the Commission to order at 1:30 p.m. EST in the Indiana Government Center South Conference Room B, 402 West Washington Street, Indianapolis.

2. Transaction of Commission Business:

The Commission proceeded to transact the business set forth in the Transcript of Proceedings for this meeting prepared by Maria W. Collier, RPR, CRR, of Stewart Richardson and Associates, which is incorporated by reference into these minutes.

The Commission adjourned its meeting at 2:06 p.m. EST.

Respectfully submitted,

J. Bradley King

J. Bradley King

Co-Director

Angela M. Nussmeyer

Co-Director

APPROVED

Paul Okeson, Chairman

In the Matter Of:

INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION PUBLIC SESSION

Transcript of Proceedings

January 05, 2023



800.869.0873 | www.StewartRichardson.com

Reporting Driven by Excellence - Since 1975

1	
2	INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION
3	PUBLIC SESSION
4	
5	
6	
7	Conducted on: January 5, 2023
8	
9	
10	
11	Conducted at: Indiana Government Center South 402 West Washington Street, Conference Room B
12	Indianapolis, Indiana
13	
14	
15	
16	A Stenographic Record by:
17	Maria W. Collier, RPR, CRR
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	STEWART RICHARDSON DEPOSITION SERVICES Registered Professional Reporters
25	(800)869-0873

1	APPEARANCES
2	INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION:
3	Paul Okeson - Chairman
4	Suzannah Wilson Overholt - Vice Chairman
5	Litany Pyle - Member
6	Karen Celestino-Horseman - Member
7	
8	INDIANA ELECTION DIVISION STAFF:
9	Angela M. Nussmeyer - Co-Director
10	J. Bradley King - Co-Director
11	Matthew Kochevar - Co-Counsel
12	Valerie Warycha - Co-Counsel
13	Michelle Thompson - Campaign Finance Director
14	Abbey Taylor - Campaign Finance Director
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX OF AGENDA ITEMS		
2		PAGE	
3	Call to Order and Determination of Quorum	4	
4		4	
5	Documentation of Compliance with Open Door Law	4	
6	Approval of September 9, 2022, Commission Minutes	5	
7	Ratification of Campaign Finance	5	
8	Settlement Agreements	5	
9	Adoption of Campaign Finance Enforcement Orders	6	
10	HAVA Grievance 2022-08 Jones	7	
11	(Tippecanoe County)	,	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

CHAIRMAN OKESON: I'd like to call to order the Indiana Election Commission public session for Thursday, January 5, 2023, 1:30, Conference Room B here at the Government Center South.

2.2

The following members of the Commission are present: Myself, Chairman Paul Okeson; Vice-Chair Suzannah Wilson Overholt; Member Karen Celestino-Horseman; and Member Litany Pyle.

I also recognize the Indiana Election Division staff, Co-Director Brad King and Co-Director Angie Nussmeyer along with Co-Counsels Matthew Kochevar and Valeria Warycha.

Our friend and court reporter Maria Collier from Stewart Richardson Deposition Services is also here. And I just want to remind anyone who might be speaking here today to follow the rules and announce yourself and spell your name for the court reporter.

I recognize the co-directors to confirm Open Door compliance.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, notice of this meeting was given in accordance with the requirements of the Indiana Open Door Law.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you.

```
1
          Next on the agenda we have the approval of the
 2.
     September 9, 2022, Indiana Election Commission
 3
     meeting minutes. I recognize the co-directors for
 4
     presentation of the minutes.
          MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, members of the
 5
     Commission, the September 9, 2022, Commission
 6
     minutes have been prepared, reviewed by
 7
     co-directors, and recommended for the Commission's
 8
 9
     approval.
10
          CHAIRMAN OKESON:
                            Is there a motion to approve
11
     the minutes as presented?
12
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: So moved.
13
          MS. PYLE: Second.
14
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: Having a motion and a
     second, is there any discussion?
15
16
          Hearing none, all those in favor signify by
     saying "Aye."
17
18
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Aye.
19
          MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN:
                                   Aye.
20
          MS. PYLE:
                     Aye.
21
                            The "ayes" have it.
          CHAIRMAN OKESON:
                                                  The
2.2
     minutes are approved.
23
          Moving on, I think we have ratification of
24
     campaign finance settlement agreements.
                                              Ι
25
     recognize the Division's campaign finance staff,
```

```
Mr. Taylor and Ms. Thompson, to present the
 1
 2
     information.
          MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, members of the
 3
 4
     Commission, behind the Campaign Finance tab is a
 5
     list of committees that are ready to ratify that
     have agreed to pay the settlement agreement and
 6
     waive a hearing.
 7
                            Is there a motion to ratify
 8
          CHAIRMAN OKESON:
 9
     the campaign finance settlements as presented?
10
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT:
                                   So moved.
11
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: Is there a second?
12
          MS. PYLE:
                     Second.
13
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: Having a motion and a
14
     second, is there any discussion?
15
          Hearing none, all those in favor signify by
16
     saying "Aye."
17
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT:
                                  Aye.
18
          MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN:
                                   Aye.
19
          MS. PYLE:
                     Aye.
20
                            The "ayes" have it.
          CHAIRMAN OKESON:
21
     ratification of agreements is adopted. Thank you.
22
          Adoption of campaign finance enforcement
23
     orders, again, I recognize the Division staff,
24
     Ms. Taylor and Ms. Thompson, to present the
25
     information on campaign finance enforcement.
```

```
MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, members of the
 1
 2.
     Commission, Orders 2022-08 through 2022-274 have
 3
     been prepared from the actions taken at the
 4
     September 9th meeting, and these orders are ready
 5
     for adoption.
          CHAIRMAN OKESON:
                            Is there a motion to adopt
 6
     the Orders 2022-8 through 2022-274 as presented?
 7
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: So moved.
 8
 9
          MS. PYLE: Second.
10
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: A motion and a second.
                                                     Is
11
     there any discussion?
12
          Okay. Hearing none, all those in favor
13
     signify by saying "Aye."
14
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Aye.
15
          MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN:
                                   Aye.
16
          MS. PYLE:
                     Aye.
17
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: The "ayes" have it.
                                                  The
     motion carries.
18
19
          Can we take by consent the use of signatures?
20
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Consent.
21
          MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Consent.
2.2
          MS. PYLE: Consent.
23
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: The motion is adopted.
24
          Moving on, next we have the Jones Help America
25
     Vote Act Grievance 2022-08. I now recognize the
```

co-directors to submit a draft of the report from the Election Division regarding the grievance that was filed against certain members and employees of the Tippecanoe County Board of Elections and Registration alleging a potential violation of the Help America Vote Act and then recognize both our counsels to provide an overview of federal and state laws that apply to our consideration of this grievance and conclude with the next steps which may be taken by our Commission.

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Mr. Chair, point of order.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes.

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: What is the procedural process? I understand that our co-directors had to get together and do a report, investigate, do a report, which they then present to us. But I'm noticing that the Tippecanoe County clerk is not present. So does this -- I mean, if this were a hearing in a court of law, it would be they're not here, so, therefore, they've waived everything and you win. How does that work in this instance?

CHAIRMAN OKESON: This is not a hearing, I don't believe, so those aren't the ground rules.

Valerie?

MS. WARYCHA: Sure. I'd be happy to take that. So under the Help America Vote Act, the way it works is that a complainant submits a complaint to the co-directors of the Election Division. From there, the co-directors review and see if it is a violation of HAVA. If it is, then they will ask for more facts from both parties, determine if there has been some sort of violation, do an investigation, and then the co-directors submit to the Commission their findings for the Commission to decide to issue a report. And if there were violations, the Commission would recommend how to correct those errors.

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Okay. So our decision is based solely on the report.

MS. WARYCHA: Correct.

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Okay. Great.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: So should I make a motion or, Brad, do you want to...

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, I can start and then yield to Ms. Nussmeyer to add. As you referenced, counsels can provide information on the procedural steps here, and Valerie has already done part of that.

In your binders, behind the blue tab is the report from the co-directors dated December 1, 2022, to the members of the Election Commission. I won't obviously read it into the record in its entirety but to say that this concerns the federal Help America Vote Act, which requires grievance procedures be administered at the state level with regard to certain violations of the Help America Vote Act. The memo spells out the requirements for the HAVA complaint procedures.

2.2

When the co-directors receive a complaint, under either the Help America Vote Act or a parallel provision under the National Voter Registration Act, there's an initial determination made as to whether or not -- if the facts are correct and accurate, whether or not a violation has occurred. And so the co-directors made the determination that, if the facts as alleged in the complaint were found to be true, then it would be a violation that would lead to further proceedings in accordance with the federal legislation.

I can, as I say, briefly summarize it. This is set forth in the section beginning "State Voter Registration Law and HAVA Grievance 2022-8." I'll, with your indulgence, just read the first two or

three paragraphs in the interest of efficiency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

"Ken Jones and Julia Vaughn (complainants)
filed a state voter registration law complaint on
July 25, 2022, and subsequently filed a Help
America Vote Act Grievance with the Election
Division on August 8, 2022." Copies of the
complaint and grievance are found in Exhibit A and
B, which are included in the binder.

"Federal and state law require a first-time voter in Indiana who registers to vote by mail to provide proof of residency prior to voting. However, a first-time voter whose registration form is submitted by hand-delivery or through alternative delivery methods such as the state online voter registration application or registration through a full-service agency does not need to provide proof of residency documentation." We summarize this in our Indiana Voter Registration The summary is provided in Exhibit K. Guidebook. The complainants allege the Tippecanoe County Board of Elections and Registration incorrectly applied the proof of residency requirement to voter registration forms that were hand-delivered to the Board.

We note the co-directors met to discuss the

1 grievance and note the complaint alleges that the board in Tippecanoe County has been applying the 2 3 requirement to individuals whose registration applications were not submitted by mail and that 4 5 this "appears to have resulted in at least one newly registered voter whose paper voter 6 registration form was hand-delivered to be required 7 to cast a provisional ballot, that was not counted. 8 At its May 13, 2022, meeting, the Board refused to 9 10 open and count a voter's provisional ballot, 11 apparently solely because the voter did not produce 12 the proof of residency required of first-time 13 voters who submit their form by mail, in spite of 14 the fact that this voter did not in fact submit 15 their registration application by mail." 16 The co-directors sent letters to the

The co-directors sent letters to the Tippecanoe County Board and two individual employees requesting information about their voter registration practices and a response to the complaint. Copies of the letters sent to the Board and the employees are found in Exhibit C. And at this point, again, rather than read the entire response, I'll summarize, and then I'll again defer to Ms. Nussmeyer to add additional detail.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The Tippecanoe County Clerk, Julia A. Roush,

who is also a member of the Board of Elections and Registration, sent a response, Exhibit D, that is set forth in detail in the material before you.

But I think I can fairly summarize it by saying that, due to an incorrect understanding by at least one employee of the Tippecanoe County Board of Elections and Registration, that a registration was flagged as requiring proof of additional residence.

2.

We followed up also and received responses on September 6th of 2022 from attorney Douglas Masson, whose response, Exhibit E, gives a similar response to the complaint. And I think to summarize the first part of this, the Board, in its cumulative responses, indicated that, no, in fact, that was not the policy of the board and that, in fact, individual employees were not directed to require proof of residency in those cases.

So I think that's a convenient point for me to defer to Ms. Nussmeyer to continue the presentation of the information we have for you.

MS. NUSSMEYER: Thank you, Mr. King.

So as he stated, the county provided additional information, sworn affidavits from their staff and the members of the Board. This all was, as Mr. King noted, generated from a request from

Mr. Jones just advising or asking about the residency requirements for first-time voters who register to vote by mail and whether or not proof of residency was required if the form was, in fact, hand-delivered.

2.2

Exhibit B in your binder walks through the Tippecanoe County, at least their initial response as it relates to requiring proof of residency for voters whose form is hand-delivered, and I believe it stemmed from a misunderstanding of what it means to have a mailed in voter registration form. Both state and federal law colloquially use the term "mail in" for a paper registration form, but that mail-in form can, in fact, be used to either hand-deliver or be mailed in to the registration offices, as Mr. King noted.

The County set forth a pretty specific understanding of how they viewed IC 3-7-22 generally and that it allowed them to ask for proof of residency and to go as far as asking the school for documentation that the student was, in fact, a resident of the county to then confirm whether or not the person was a resident. And Exhibit C has my response to that email saying that was a misunderstanding of the state law.

What the County also provided in their sworn statements and information provided to the Election Division was a verified ID report. That is a report that is generated from the Statewide Voter Registration System that shows, in that moment in time, if the verify ID flag is present on a person's record. The verify ID flag is for that small universe of voters who registered to vote by mail and happen to be first-time voters in Indiana. And that's created automatically by our Statewide Voter Registration System when specific data is entered into the system that the registration form was received by mail, and then it determines if the person is a first-time voter and that verify ID flag is added to the record.

2.

At the time that the County provided that information to us, there was only a page or two of voters that had a verify ID flag. But the one thing I think the County misunderstood, again, what the use of the report is, and that is to identify people at that moment who have that verify ID flag on their registration record. And I asked Civix, who is our Statewide Voter Registration System database manager, to look at the first quarter of 2022 and identify whether or not there were verify

ID flags added to registration records and then subsequently removed.

2.

2.2

And so that is the information and these are just generally my notes in Exhibit G that walk through going through the Statewide Voter Registration System and looking specifically at each individual registration using the data that the County provided as part of their regular data entry activities and entering voter registration data into it. And so there were a few instances where the submission method was noted as walk-in but the received by method was mail, where those two things should be the same, right? There were instances where it was clear the verify ID flag was removed on or around March 18th.

And so Mr. Kochevar reached out on my behalf to their attorney, Doug Masson, to request more information from the County, which you can find in Exhibit I. The County had a general response to ask whether or not those ID flags still existed on those records. Mr. Kochevar responded by saying no, it does not appear to be, and there was no additional follow-up by the County in order to provide additional information as requested by myself.

So the information that's summarized in this 1 report kind of walks through that Exhibit G, 2 3 identifies where there are potential HAVA 4 violations, and then Mr. King and I agreed to some 5 corrective actions being made by the Board. at least clear, based on our review of the 6 information presented by the County and the 7 additional documentation that our vendor provided 8 to us, that the Board has made corrective action 9 10 and appears to have adjusted their policy. And 11 when registering first-time voters in Indiana who 12 register to vote by mail and/or those first-time voters who register to vote by hand-delivery and 13 14 understand now the distinction, that those who have 15 their applications hand-delivered, even if it's not 16 hand-delivery by the voter themselves, that they do 17 not have to provide proof of residency.

And then if you look in Section 4 of your report, there are some additional recommended actions. And, Mr. King, I don't know if you want to go into that now, if you want the attorneys to walk through other procedural items within the report or just within statute.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. KING: Mr. Chair, I think it would be perfectly appropriate to go through the remedy that

we have agreed to suggest, and I'll probably have a couple just additional statements. But I'm in agreement with Ms. Nussmeyer's presentation of the situation as it stands.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Okay.

2.

2.2

MS. NUSSMEYER: So Section 4 are recommendations that Mr. King and I had to share with you in order to recommend to the County to update all of their training materials and other information that the County uses to register people to vote who are first-time voters who have their registrations received by mail or by hand-delivery. We have the Voter Registration Guidebook that has information in it that walks through these procedures pretty explicitly, as does our 2023 Election Administrator's Manual.

And then we're asking that the County also work with Civix -- again, Civix is our Statewide

Voter Registration System database manager who also provides proficiency management or training to

County users -- to walk through the data entry screens in the voter registration module to ensure that the data being entered into the system is accurate, accurately reflects what the voter has presented and how that form was delivered to the

County.

2.

2.2

And we noted in Section 5 that the corrective action needs to be taken during this period of time in which registration has reopened for the next election, which happens to be the May 2023 primary election. So once and if this report is adopted by the Commission, then the County needs to take those corrective action steps this spring.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, if I can add again.
CHAIRMAN OKESON: Please.

MR. KING: I agree with Ms. Nussmeyer's characterization of our recommendations and report.

I wanted to address a couple of specific items.

One, in our review and gathering of information from both the complainants and the County, we reached the understanding that there was, in fact, a case where a voter was denied the opportunity to have their provisional ballot counted because of lack of residence. That was a misunderstanding that was subsequently corrected.

And, secondly, this was an active omission by staff for which I'll take my share of responsibility. We had a very large conference in December along with a House District 62 recount that engaged our attention, so as a result, we did

not give sufficient notice to Clerk Roush regarding
the meeting today. We did contact her and send her
a copy of this, and she replied with a letter that
she asked be entered into the record with her
response. But I wanted to explain that her absence
was simply due to our oversight and not any
omission on her part.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Duly noted. Does the letter you referenced need to be read into the record or simply published in some format?

2.2

MR. KING: If you wish, I can read it into the record, whatever the Commission prefers.

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Okay. Here's my question: If we allow them to submit additional materials, do we not then have to offer the other side the same opportunity? And, I mean, does it change your report?

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, no, it does not change my report that Ms. Nussmeyer and I agreed to and the recommendations. This might be the opportunity for counsel to address the next steps because I think that is part of the answer to your question.

MS. WARYCHA: I believe that -- are you Mr. Jones? I believe Mr. Jones was here, so if the

Commission wanted to provide him an opportunity to give some oral remarks, you could. There's nothing in statute requiring --

2.

2.2

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Well, if we're not going to take --

CHAIRMAN OKESON: This not being a hearing, that doesn't seem appropriate.

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Yeah. We're not going to consider their thing.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: My question -- and maybe it needs to be reserved for the discussion after a motion -- is whether or not this letter, as submitted, should be read into the record as requested given what we've just discussed. I certainly don't have an objection to it. I just want it to be appropriate and, I think to your point, in fairness with what we're doing here.

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Yeah. If it comes in, then we have to offer them the opportunity to speak. If it doesn't come in, then we can just decide this based upon the report we have in front of us.

MS. WARYCHA: Correct. So the way that the HAVA complaint violation reads is you've got the report. It's up for the Commission. You are

```
1
     welcome to accept the report, adopt the report that
 2
     the --
 3
          MR. KING:
                     Issue.
 4
          MS. WARYCHA:
                        Issue it -- thank you, Brad --
 5
     that the Election Division gave you. There is a
     provision where you could have a hearing later upon
 6
     request. Go ahead, Brad.
 7
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: A hearing could be
 8
 9
     requested, so I quess --
10
          MS. WARYCHA:
                        Yeah.
11
          MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Go ahead.
12
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: One, we have a motion to
13
     approve the report; right?
14
          MR. KING:
                     To issue.
15
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: Or to issue the report.
16
     Sorry. But then, secondarily, we have a request
17
     from County Clerk Roush to read this into the
     record, I believe.
18
19
          MR. KING:
                     Enter it.
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: Enter it into the record.
20
21
          MR. KOCHEVAR: Mr. Chairman, I do want to make
2.2
     note of one thing, that the letter, since it's been
23
     sent to our office, is a matter of public record.
24
     Our recordkeeping process has been that, once it is
25
     in your binder or we put the official copy in our
```

library, it is part of that. So whenever someone would make a public records request, if they ever do so, for this --

2.

2.2

CHAIRMAN OKESON: So what I hear you saying, it's part of the record.

MS. WARYCHA: I agree with that. Thank you, Matthew.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: So with that, I'll forego the reading.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: I think, to me, that would mean, in my mind, that we are considering -regardless of the fact that the letter has been attached or has been put in the binder with the report, that the matter before us today is purely the report, the exhibits that are referenced in the report, whether the report should be issued, and that that letter has absolutely nothing to do with our action today, would be my characterization of the situation.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Co-counsel?

MS. WARYCHA: I agree that the letter from Clerk Roush would not change anything we're doing today. As Matthew said, it would just become a matter of public record that it was submitted to the Division if anyone was to ask since it's in the

binder now. And Matthew wanted to add on to that.

2.

MR. KOCHEVAR: I do. I agree on this. I do have one other point to mention that Co-Director Nussmeyer has just pointed out to me that we just want to make clear for you all. So this is the second time that the Commission as all four of you have taken up a HAVA report. There's a subtle difference in this report as opposed to the last one you did a couple months ago, and that has to do with Section 7.

It is noted in our findings in the first part of the document under the Division's letterhead that the complainants filed this both under the HAVA administrative complaint procedure as well as the administrative complaint procedure that is for alleged NVRA violations and state voter registration law violations, so violations are things found in IC 3-7.

Now, this part, the Election Division's investigative review and this hearing -- or this meeting today follow the same track. It's nearly the identical law on this review and the creation of the report except for a key piece of the items that have to be in the report. Section 7 is only found in the statewide voter registration -- or the

state voter registration law complaint, so we want to point that out to you.

We are -- I'll say this: It at least was my recommendation, helping draft this report, that Section 7 be put in here so that, if it is approved, we can say as both the Election Division and Commission that you've heard both complaints under both procedures, and if this report is adopted, everything is resolved, and at least this part for this body is completed. And the complainants, if they need to follow other -- pursue other remedies that are afforded them under law, at least this part and our part is done.

MS. WARYCHA: Agreed.

2.

2.2

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Do you concur with that?

MS. WARYCHA: I do.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Well, we've had a fair amount of discussion without a motion.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: I was just going to say I would move that -- we're moving to issue the report?

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. The Commission signed and issued a written report prepared by the Election Division concerning this potential violation of HAVA and that the Election Division

1 provide a copy of the report along with the transcript of this meeting by certified mail to the 2. 3 entities set forth in Indiana Code 4-6-4.5-16. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: So moved. Were you 5 moving that? 6 CHAIRMAN OKESON: I'll second. MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: So that's motion to 7 8 adopt this thing? 9 To issue. So now discussion CHAIRMAN OKESON: 10 on the motion, further discussion. 11 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Yeah. So in looking 12 at this, I notice that there is nothing in here 13 that requires Tippecanoe County to get back to us 14 to tell us what they've done or that they've 15 completed this. 16 That was part of the initial MS. WARYCHA: 17 investigation, that both parties were given the 18 opportunity to get back to the Election Division. 19 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: No, no, no, but to 20 correct the action. 21 VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Just to confirm. 2.2 MS. WARYCHA: Oh, I'm with you. I'm sorry. 23 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: So can we add some 24 language in there that just says upon completion of

your corrective action, please submit something to

25

us to let us know you've done what we've asked you
to do?
CHAIRMAN OKESON: Brad, can you weigh in on
that.

MR. KING: Yes, Mr. Chairman, certainly the

MR. KING: Yes, Mr. Chairman, certainly the Commission has the authority -- the Commission is the body issuing this report, and so if you wish to have an amendment added to the text that, in essence, says the Tippecanoe County Board of Elections and Registration shall provide a response to the Election Division regarding the completion of the actions recommended in the report.

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Yeah. I mean --

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: I would just amend
Section 5 at the end to just add at the end of that
that the Board shall provide a report of completion
of these recommended actions to the IED no later
than, and what's the date? I don't know what the
date is. Because this says as soon as -- what does
it say? Yeah, as soon as practicable, which is a
bit wishy-washy. So what's the date?

CHAIRMAN OKESON: So we have a motion to issue the report as it is, so we should vote on that.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Yeah. I think we're --

```
1
          MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Well, your next
     election is in 117 days, so presumably we would
 2.
 3
     want it before their next election; right?
 4
          MS. NUSSMEYER: April 4, 2023, is the
 5
     registration deadline ahead of the May primary
     election, which seems to correspond with what
 6
     Section 5 is sort of inferring.
 7
 8
          MR. KING: I would agree with Ms. Nussmeyer
 9
     that April 4, 2023, is a logical date to pick.
10
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: But is that the
     deadline for -- that's the deadline for voter
11
12
     registration?
13
          MS. NUSSMEYER:
                          Correct.
14
          MR. KING: To submit an application.
15
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: So prior to that.
                                                       So
16
     I would say we want it at least 30 days prior to
     that, so March --
17
18
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: So between today and
19
     March 4th?
20
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Yeah.
                                          The deadline
21
     would be -- so they have to do it and provide us
2.2
     the confirmation they've done it by March 4th,
23
     would be my thought.
24
          MS. NUSSMEYER: If I might, Mr. Chairman,
25
     March 4th is a Saturday, so March 3rd is a Friday.
```

```
1
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: So maybe make it the 6th?
 2
          MS. NUSSMEYER: You could do Monday, the 6th,
     or Friday, the 3rd.
 3
 4
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: Any different?
 5
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: If it were court, a
     deadline falling on a Saturday, they'd have until
 6
 7
     Monday.
                            Well, so what --
 8
          CHAIRMAN OKESON:
 9
          MR. KING: You currently have a motion to
10
     amend a pending motion, so you need to consider the
11
     amendment first.
12
          CHAIRMAN OKESON:
                            Okay.
13
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Would it make things
     easier if I withdrew my original motion so that we
14
15
     could just amend the report first and then --
16
          MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN:
                                   No.
                                        It's the same
17
     thing.
18
          MR. KING:
                     Same thing.
19
          MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: I move to amend the
20
     report in the language that Mr. King has just
21
     outlined and giving them a completion date of
2.2
     March 6, 2023. And you can second that.
23
          CHAIRMAN OKESON:
                            Is there a second?
24
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: I'll second that.
25
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: Any further discussion on
```

```
the motion as amended?
 1
 2
         All those in favor signify by saying "Aye."
 3
         VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Aye.
 4
         MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Aye.
         MS. PYLE: Aye.
 5
         CHAIRMAN OKESON: The "ayes" have it.
 6
                                                 The
    motion carries.
 7
         MR. KING: And now you have the main motion.
 8
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: The main motion is still
 9
10
     floating out there. So we vote that motion down?
11
         MR. KING:
                    No.
12
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: So the original motion now
13
    as amended.
14
         VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Right. So the
    original motion is amended to require that language
15
    be inserted in Section 5.
16
17
         CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes.
         VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: All right. There we
18
19
    go.
         MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: So discussion of
20
21
    another question now.
2.2
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: Was that seconded already?
23
         MR. KING: That was seconded.
24
         MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: So, now, Mr. Jones,
25
    Ms. Vaughn, I presume, have been provided with
```

1 copies of this proposed --2. CHAIRMAN OKESON: This report? 3 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Of this report. CHAIRMAN OKESON: They will be. 4 5 MS. WARYCHA: Mr. Jones has. MR. KOCHEVAR: For the record, Mr. Jones did 6 7 ask for a copy of the draft. Once it was shared with you, essentially that draft became a matter of 8 9 public record. That has been provided to him. 10 believe he just lifted it up. So, yes, he is aware 11 of this document. 12 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Because I noted in 13 the original complaint they said that they were 14 ready to pursue litigation if necessary, so I would like to ask, does this satisfy --15 16 VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: You're making this a 17 public hearing then. 18 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: No. 19 VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Well, procedurally, I 20 think, so the Division has drafted this report for us to issue. I think we have to issue -- I think 21 2.2 the action in question is whether we issue the 23 report or not. 24 CHAIRMAN OKESON: The ball is back in their 25 court.

1 VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Right. And then they decide what they want to do. 2 3 MS. NUSSMEYER: And the one thing I don't 4 believe we have addressed to the Commission at 5 least today, and Valerie alluded to it, is once this report is adopted by this body and then we 6 mail it to the entities that we're required to mail 7 it to, within seven days of mailing the report, a 8 9 member of this body or the complainant can request 10 that a hearing be -- requesting a hearing on this 11 matter. 12 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: All right. 13 MS. NUSSMEYER: At that point we would have a 14 formal hearing if, again, a member of the 15 Commission or the complainant wants to request a 16 formal hearing with the Commission. 17 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: All right. 18 CHAIRMAN OKESON: So there's the option. 19 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Yeah. 20 CHAIRMAN OKESON: So we have a motion and a 21 second on the original motion as amended. Any 2.2 further discussion? 23 All those in favor signify by saying "Aye." 24 VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Aye.

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN:

Aye.

25

```
1
          MS. PYLE:
                    Aye.
 2
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: The "ayes" have it.
                                                  The
 3
     motion carries.
 4
          And I believe that was it for the day.
 5
          MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Signature consent?
 6
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: We already did that.
          MS. NUSSMEYER: That was just for the campaign
 7
     finance, though.
 8
 9
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: Signature by consent.
10
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: For everything.
11
     Everything needs to be signed.
12
          CHAIRMAN OKESON:
                            Second.
13
          All those in favor signify by saying "Aye."
14
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Aye.
15
         MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Aye.
16
          MS. PYLE: Aye.
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: The Commission has finished
17
     its business for the day. Can I entertain a motion
18
19
     for adjournment?
20
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: So moved.
21
         MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Second.
2.2
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: All those in favor signify
23
     by saying "Aye."
24
25
```

```
1
          VICE CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Aye.
 2
          MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Aye.
 3
          MS. PYLE: Aye.
          CHAIRMAN OKESON: Adjourned.
 4
          (The Indiana Election Commission Public
 5
 6
     Session was adjourned at 2:06 p.m.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF INDIANA		
2	COUNTY OF HAMILTON		
3	I, Maria W. Collier, a Notary Public in and		
4	for said county and state, do hereby certify that the		
5	foregoing public session was taken at the time and		
6	place heretofore mentioned between 1:30 p.m. and		
7	2:06 p.m.;		
8	That said public session was taken down in		
9	stenograph notes and afterwards reduced to typewriting		
10	under my direction; and that the typewritten		
11	transcript is a true record of the public session.		
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my		
13	hand and affixed my notarial seal this 13th day of		
14	January, 2023.		
15			
16	ma W. Cari		
17	Maria W. Collier		
18	NOTARY PUBLIC SEAL STATE OF INDIANA Commission No. NP0693933		
19	My Commission Expires Dec. 5, 2024		
20			
21	My Commission expires: December 5, 2024		
22	December 3, 2021		
23	Job No. 178101		
24			
25			

1	7	affidavits 13:23
		afforded 25:12
1 10:2	7 24:10,24 25:5	agency 11:16
117 28:2	8	agree 19:11 23:6,21 24:2 28:8
13 12:9		agreed 17:4 18:1 20:19 25:14
18th 16:15	8 11:6	agreement 18:3
2	9	ahead 22:7,11 28:5
_	- <u> </u>	allege 11:20
2022 10:3 11:4,6 12:9 13:10 15:25	9th 7:4	alleged 10:18 24:16
2022-08 7:2,25		alleges 12:1
	A	alleging 8:5
2022-274 7:2,7	absence 20:5	allowed 14:19
2022-8 7:7 10:24	absolutely 23:17	alternative 11:14
2023 18:15 19:5 28:4,9 29:22	accept 22:1	amend 27:14 29:10,15,19
25 11:4	accordance 10:21	amendment 27:8 29:11
3	- accurate 10:16 18:24	America 7:24 8:6 9:3 10:6,8,12 11:5
	accurately 18:24	amount 25:18
3-7 24:18	Act 7:25 8:6 9:3 10:6,9,12,14 11:5	and/or 17:12
3-7-22 14:18	action 17:9 19:3,8 23:18 26:20,	apparently 12:11
30 28:16	25	appears 12:5 17:10
3rd 28:25 29:3	actions 7:3 17:5,20 27:12,17	application 11:15 12:15 28:14
4	active 19:21	applications 12:4 17:15
~	activities 16:9 add 9:22 12:24 19:9 24:1 26:23	applied 11:21
4 17:18 18:6 28:4,9		• •
4-6-4.5-16 26:3	27:15	apply 8:8
4th 28:19,22,25	added 15:15 16:1 27:8	applying 12:2
	additional 12:24 13:8,23 16:23, 24 17:8,19 18:2 20:14 address 19:13 20:21	approve 22:13
5		approved 25:6
5 19:2 27:15 28:7	adjusted 17:10	April 28:4,9
	administered 10:7	attached 23:13
6	- administrative 24:14,15	attention 19:25
6 29:22	Administrator's 18:16	attorney 13:10 16:17
62 19:24	adopt 7:6 22:1 26:8	attorneys 17:21
6th 13:10 29:1,2	adopted 7:23 19:6 25:9	August 11:6
10.10 20.1,2	adoption 7:5	authority 27:6
	•	automatically 15:10
	advising 14:1	Aye 7:13,14,15,16

discussion 7:11 21:11 25:18

26:9,10 29:25

counsel 20:21 ayes 7:17 **clear** 16:14 17:6 24:5 counsels 8:7 9:23 clerk 8:19 12:25 20:1 22:17 23:22 В Co-counsel 23:20 **count** 12:10 Co-director 24:3 counted 12:8 19:19 back 26:13,18 **co-directors** 8:1,16 9:5,6,10 county 8:4,18 11:20 12:2,17,25 **ballot** 12:8.10 19:18 10:2,11,17 11:25 12:16 13:6,22 14:7,17,22 15:1,16,19 based 9:16 17:6 21:21 16:8,18,19,23 17:7 18:8,10,17,21 Code 26:3 19:1,7,16 22:17 26:13 27:9 beginning 10:23 colloquially 14:12 couple 18:2 19:13 24:9 **behalf** 16:16 **Commission** 7:2 8:10 9:11,13 court 8:20 29:5 binder 11:8 14:6 22:25 23:13 10:3 19:7 20:12 21:1,25 24:6 24:1 25:7,22 27:6 created 15:10 binders 10:1 complainant 9:4 creation 24:22 **bit** 27:21 **complainants** 11:2,20 19:15 cumulative 13:13 24:13 25:11 **blue** 10:1 complaint 9:4 10:10,11,19 11:3, D **board** 8:4 11:20,24 12:2,9,17,20 7 12:1,20 13:12 21:24 24:14,15 13:1,6,13,15,24 17:5,9 27:9,16 25:1 **data** 15:11 16:7,8,10 18:21,23 **body** 25:10 27:7 complaints 25:7 database 15:24 18:19 Brad 9:20 22:4,7 27:3 completed 25:10 26:15 date 27:18.19.21 28:9 29:21 briefly 10:22 completion 26:24 27:11,16 dated 10:2 29:21 C days 28:2,16 concerns 10:5 deadline 28:5,11,20 29:6 carries 7:18 conclude 8:9 **December** 10:2 19:24 case 19:17 **concur** 25:15 decide 9:12 21:21 **cases** 13:17 conference 19:23 decision 9:16 cast 12:8 confirm 14:22 26:21 defer 12:23 13:19 **CELESTINO-HORSEMAN** confirmation 28:22 delivered 18:25 7:15,21 8:11,14 9:15,18 20:13 consent 7:19.20.21.22 21:4,8,18 22:11 26:7,11,19,23 delivery 11:14 27:13 28:1 29:16.19 consideration 8:8 **denied** 19:17 certified 26:2 contact 20:2 detail 12:24 13:3 **Chair** 8:11 17:24 continue 13:19 determination 10:14.18 **Chairman** 7:1,6,8,10,14,17,20,23 convenient 13:18 determine 9:8 8:13,24 9:19,21 18:5 19:9,10 Copies 11:6 12:20 20:8,18 21:6,10 22:8,12,15,20,21 determines 15:13 23:4,8,10,20 25:15,17,19,22 26:4, copy 20:3 22:25 26:1 difference 24:8 6,9,21 27:3,5,14,22,24 28:10,15, correct 9:14,17 10:16 21:23 18,20,24 29:1,4,5,8,12,13,23,24, directed 13:16 26:20 28:13 25 **discuss** 11:25 corrected 19:20 **change** 20:17,19 23:22 discussed 21:14 corrective 17:5,9 19:2,8 26:25

correspond 28:6

characterization 19:12 23:18

Civix 15:22 18:18

distinction 17:14 exhibits 23:15 gave 22:5 District 19:24 existed 16:20 general 16:19 **Division** 8:2 9:5 11:6 15:3 22:5 explain 20:5 generally 14:19 16:4 23:25 25:6,24,25 26:18 27:11 explicitly 18:15 generated 13:25 15:4 **Division's** 24:12,19 give 20:1 21:2 F document 24:12 **giving** 29:21 documentation 11:17 14:21 **Great** 9:18 fact 12:14 13:14,15 14:4,14,21 17:8 19:17 23:12 grievance 7:25 8:2,9 10:6,24 **Doug** 16:17 11:5,7 12:1 facts 9:8 10:15.18 Douglas 13:10 ground 8:25 fair 25:17 draft 8:1 25:4 **guess** 22:9 fairly 13:4 due 13:5 20:6 Guidebook 11:19 18:13 fairness 21:17 **Duly** 20:8 falling 29:6 Н favor 7:12 Ε federal 8:7 10:5,21 11:9 14:12 hand-deliver 14:15 **easier** 29:14 **filed** 8:3 11:3,4 24:13 hand-delivered 11:23 12:7 14:5, efficiency 11:1 9 17:15 find 16:18 election 8:2 9:5 10:3 11:5 15:2 hand-delivery 11:13 17:13,16 **findings** 9:11 24:11 18:16 19:5,6 22:5 24:19 25:6,24, 18:12 25 26:18 27:11 28:2,3,6 first-time 11:9,12 12:12 14:2 happen 15:9 15:9,14 17:11,12 18:11 **Elections** 8:4 11:21 13:1,7 27:10 happy 9:2 flag 15:6,7,15,18,21 16:14 email 14:24 HAVA 9:7 10:10,24 17:3 21:24 flagged 13:8 employee 13:6 24:7,14 25:25 flags 16:1,20 employees 8:3 12:18,21 13:16 hear 23:4 follow 24:21 25:11 end 27:15 heard 25:7 **follow-up** 16:23 engaged 19:25 hearing 7:12 8:20,24 21:6 22:6,8 24:20 forego 23:8 **ensure** 18:22 helping 25:4 **form** 11:12 12:7,13 14:4,9,11,13, Enter 22:19,20 14 15:12 18:25 House 19:24 entered 15:12 18:23 20:4 **format** 20:10 entering 16:9 ı forms 11:23 **entire** 12:22 **found** 10:19 11:7 12:21 24:18,25 IC 14:18 24:18 entirety 10:5 Friday 28:25 29:3 **ID** 15:3,6,7,14,18,21 16:1,14,20 entities 26:3 front 21:21 identical 24:22 entry 16:9 18:21 full-service 11:16 identifies 17:3 errors 9:14 identify 15:20,25 G essence 27:9 **IED** 27:17 **Exhibit** 11:7,19 12:21 13:2,11 gathering 19:14 14:6,23 16:4,19 17:2 included 11:8

incorrect 13:5 member 13:1 L members 7:1 8:3 10:3 13:24 incorrectly 11:21 **Indiana** 11:10,18 15:9 17:11 26:3 **memo** 10:9 lack 19:19 individual 12:17 13:16 16:7 mention 24:3 language 26:24 29:20 individuals 12:3 met 11:25 large 19:23 indulgence 10:25 method 16:11,12 **law** 8:20 10:24 11:3,9 14:12,25 24:17,22 25:1,13 inferring 28:7 methods 11:14 laws 8:8 information 9:23 12:18 13:20,23 mind 23:11 15:2,17 16:3,18,24 17:1,7 18:10, lead 10:20 misunderstanding 14:10,25 14 19:15 legislation 10:21 initial 10:14 14:7 26:16 misunderstood 15:19 letter 20:3,8 21:12 22:22 23:12, instance 8:23 17,21 module 18:22 **instances** 16:10,14 letterhead 24:12 moment 15:5,21 interest 11:1 letters 12:16,20 Monday 29:2,7 investigate 8:17 **level** 10:7 **months** 24:9 investigation 9:10 26:17 library 23:1 motion 7:6,10,18,23 9:19 21:12 investigative 24:20 22:12 25:18 26:7,10 27:22 29:9, logical 28:9 10.14 issue 9:12 22:3,4,14,15 25:20 26:9 27:22 move 25:20 29:19 М issued 23:16 25:23 moved 7:8 26:4 made 10:15,17 17:5,9 issuing 27:7 moving 7:24 25:20 26:5 **mail** 11:10 12:4.13.15 14:3.13 items 17:22 19:13 24:23 15:9,13 16:12 17:12 18:12 26:2 Ν mail-in 14:14 J National 10:13 mailed 14:11,15 Jones 7:24 11:2 14:1 20:25 **newly** 12:6 make 9:19 22:21 23:2 24:5 29:1, 13 Julia 11:2 12:25 note 11:25 12:1 22:22 management 18:20 July 11:4 **noted** 13:25 14:16 16:11 19:2 20:8 24:11 manager 15:24 18:19 Κ **notes** 16:4 **Manual** 18:16 notice 20:1 26:12 March 16:15 28:17,19,22,25 **Ken** 11:2 29:22 noticing 8:18 key 24:23 Masson 13:10 16:17 **Nussmeyer** 9:22 12:24 13:19,21 kind 17:2 18:6 20:19 24:4 28:4,8,13,24 29:2 material 13:3 **King** 9:21 13:21,25 14:16 17:4, Nussmeyer's 18:3 19:11 materials 18:9 20:15 20,24 18:7 19:9,11 20:11,18 22:3, **NVRA** 24:16 14,19 27:5 28:8,14 29:9,18,20 matter 22:23 23:14,24 **know** 17:20 27:1,18 Matthew 23:7,23 24:1 0 Kochevar 16:16,21 22:21 24:2 means 14:10 meeting 7:4 12:9 20:2 24:21 26:2 objection 21:15

23 16:1,6,7,9 18:13,19,22 19:4

24:17,25 25:1 27:10 28:5,12

occurred 10:17 person's 15:7 **PYLE** 7:9,16,22 offer 20:15 21:19 pick 28:9 Q office 22:23 **piece** 24:23 **offices** 14:16 point 8:11 12:22 13:18 21:17 quarter 15:24 24:3 25:2 official 22:25 question 20:14,23 21:10 pointed 24:4 **OKESON** 7:6,10,17,23 8:13,24 9:19 18:5 19:10 20:8 21:6,10 **policy** 13:15 17:10 R 22:8,12,15,20 23:4,8,20 25:15,17, potential 8:5 17:3 25:24 22 26:6,9 27:3,22 28:18 29:1,4,8, reached 16:16 19:16 12,23,25 practicable 27:20 read 10:4,25 12:22 20:9,11 21:13 omission 19:21 20:7 practices 12:19 22:17 online 11:15 prefers 20:12 reading 23:9 open 12:10 prepared 7:3 25:23 reads 21:24 opportunity 19:18 20:16,21 present 8:17,19 15:6 ready 7:4 21:1,19 26:18 presentation 13:19 18:3 receive 10:11 opposed 24:8 presented 7:7 17:7 18:25 received 13:9 15:13 16:12 18:12 oral 21:2 pretty 14:17 18:15 recognize 7:25 8:6 order 8:12 16:23 18:8 primary 19:5 28:5 recommend 9:13 18:8 orders 7:2,4,7 **prior** 11:11 28:15,16 recommendation 25:4 original 29:14 procedural 8:15 9:23 17:22 recommendations 18:7 19:12 outlined 29:21 20:20 procedure 24:14,15 **OVERHOLT** 7:8.14.20 23:10 recommended 17:19 27:12.17 procedures 10:7,10 18:15 25:8 25:19 26:4,21 27:14,24 28:10,15, 20 29:5,13,24 **record** 10:4 15:7,15,22 20:4,9,12 proceedings 10:20 21:13 22:18,20,23 23:5,24 oversight 20:6 process 8:15 22:24 recordkeeping 22:24 overview 8:7 produce 12:11 records 16:1,21 23:2 proficiency 18:20 Ρ recount 19:24 **proof** 11:11,17,22 12:12 13:8,17 referenced 9:22 20:9 23:15 14:3.8.19 17:17 paper 12:6 14:13 reflects 18:24 **provide** 8:7 9:23 11:11,17 16:24 paragraphs 11:1 17:17 21:1 26:1 27:10,16 28:21 refused 12:9 parallel 10:13 provided 11:19 13:22 15:1,2,16 regard 10:8 16:8 17:8 part 9:24 13:13 16:8 20:7,22 register 14:3 17:12,13 18:10 23:1,5 24:11,19 25:10,13 26:16 provision 10:13 22:6 registered 12:6 15:8 parties 9:8 26:17 provisional 12:8,10 19:18 registering 17:11 pending 29:10 **public** 22:23 23:2,24 registers 11:10 people 15:21 18:10 published 20:10 registration 8:5 10:14,24 11:3, perfectly 17:25 **purely** 23:14 12,15,16,18,21,23 12:3,7,15,19 period 19:3 13:2,7 14:11,13,15 15:5,11,12,22, **pursue** 25:12

put 22:25 23:13 25:5

person 14:23 15:14

Roush 12:25 20:1 22:17 23:22 **statements** 15:2 18:2 registrations 18:12 regular 16:8 **statewide** 15:4,10,23 16:5 18:18 rules 8:25 24:25 relates 14:8 S statute 17:23 21:3 remarks 21:2 stemmed 14:10 remedies 25:12 Saturday 28:25 29:6 **steps** 8:9 9:24 19:8 20:21 remedy 17:25 school 14:20 student 14:21 removed 16:2,15 screens 18:22 submission 16:11 reopened 19:4 secondarily 22:16 **submit** 8:1 9:10 12:13.14 20:14 replied 20:3 section 10:23 17:18 18:6 19:2 26:25 28:14 24:10,24 25:5 27:15 28:7 report 8:1,16,17 9:12,16 10:2 submits 9:4 15:3,4,20 17:2,19,23 19:6,12 **send** 20:2 submitted 11:13 12:4 21:13 20:17,19 21:21,25 22:1,13,15 **September** 7:4 13:10 23:14,15,16 24:7,8,23,24 25:4,8, 21,23 26:1 27:7,12,16,23 29:15, **set** 10:23 13:3 14:17 26:3 subsequently 11:4 16:2 19:20 share 18:7 19:22 subtle 24:7 request 13:25 16:17 22:7,16 23:2 **shows** 15:5 sufficient 20:1 requested 16:24 21:14 22:9 **side** 20:16 suggest 18:1 requesting 12:18 signatures 7:19 summarize 10:22 11:18 12:23 require 11:9 13:16 13:4.12 signed 25:22 required 12:7,12 14:4 summarized 17:1 signify 7:13 **requirement** 11:22 12:3 **summary** 11:19 **similar** 13:11 requirements 10:9 14:2 sworn 13:23 15:1 **simply** 20:6,10 requires 10:6 26:13 **system** 15:5,11,12,23 16:6 situation 18:4 23:19 requiring 13:8 14:8 21:3 18:19,23 **small** 15:8 reserved 21:11 solely 9:16 12:11 Т residence 13:8 19:19 sort 9:9 28:7 residency 11:11,17,22 12:12 **tab** 10:1 **speak** 21:20 13:17 14:2,4,8,20 17:17 term 14:12 **specific** 14:17 15:11 19:13 resident 14:22,23 text 27:8 specifically 16:6 resolved 25:9 thing 15:19 21:9 22:22 26:8 **spells** 10:9 responded 16:21 29:17,18 **spite** 12:13 response 12:19,23 13:2,11 14:7, things 16:13 24:18 29:13 24 16:19 20:5 27:10 spring 19:8 THOMPSON 7:1 **responses** 13:9,14 staff 13:24 19:22 thought 28:23 responsibility 19:23 **stands** 18:4 time 15:6,16 19:3 24:6 result 19:25 **start** 9:21 **Tippecanoe** 8:4,18 11:20 12:2, resulted 12:5 17,25 13:6 14:7 26:13 27:9 **state** 8:8 10:7,23 11:3,9,14 14:12, 25 24:16 25:1 review 9:6 17:6 19:14 24:20,22 today 20:2 23:14,18,23 24:21 28:18 **stated** 13:22 right 16:13 22:13 28:3

track 24:21

training 18:9,20

transcript 26:2

true 10:19

U

understand 8:15 17:14

understanding 13:5 14:18

19:16

universe 15:8

update 18:9

users 18:21

٧

Valerie 9:1,24

Vaughn 11:2

vendor 17:8

verified 15:3

verify 15:6,7,14,18,21,25 16:14

VICE 7:8,14,20 23:10 25:19 26:4, 21 27:14,24 28:10,15,20 29:5,13,

24

viewed 14:18

violation 8:5 9:7,9 10:16,20 21:24 25:25

violations 9:13 10:8 17:4 24:16, 17

vote 7:25 8:6 9:3 10:6,9,12 11:5, 10 14:3 15:8 17:12,13 18:11 27:23

voter 10:13,23 11:3,10,12,15,18, 22 12:6,11,14,18 14:11 15:4,11, 14,23 16:5,9 17:16 18:13,19,22, 24 19:17 24:16,25 25:1 28:11

voter's 12:10

voters 12:13 14:2,9 15:8,9,18 17:11,13 18:11

voting 11:11

W

waived 8:21

walk 16:4 17:22 18:21

walk-in 16:11

walks 14:6 17:2 18:14

wanted 19:13 20:5 21:1 24:1

WARYCHA 9:2,17 20:24 21:23 22:4,10 23:6,21 25:14,16 26:16,

weigh 27:3

win 8:22

wishy-washy 27:21

withdrew 29:14

work 8:22 18:18

works 9:4

written 25:23

Υ

yield 9:22