

Members

Rep. Greg Steuerwald, Chairperson
Rep. Kathy Richardson
Rep. Matt Pierce
Rep. Ed DeLaney
Sen. Richard Bray, Vice-Chairperson
Sen. Randall Head
Sen. Lonnie Randolph
Sen. Timothy Lanane
Chief Justice Randall Shepard
Michael J. Kruk
Christa Coffey
Judge Tom Felts
Commissioner Therese Brown



COMMISSION ON COURTS

Legislative Services Agency
200 West Washington Street, Suite 301
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2789
Tel: (317) 233-0696 Fax: (317) 232-2554

LSA Staff:

Timothy Tyler, Attorney for the Commission
Mark Goodpaster, Fiscal Analyst for the
Commission

Authority: IC 33-23-10

MEETING MINUTES¹

Meeting Date: August 24, 2011
Meeting Time: 1:30 P.M.
Meeting Place: State House, 200 W. Washington
St., Room 404
Meeting City: Indianapolis, Indiana
Meeting Number: 1

Members Present: Rep. Greg Steuerwald, Chairperson; Rep. Kathy Richardson; Rep. Matt Pierce; Rep. Ed DeLaney; Sen. Richard Bray, Vice-Chairperson; Chief Justice Randall Shepard; Michael J. Kruk; Christa Coffey; Judge Tom Felts; Commissioner Therese Brown.

Members Absent: Sen. Randall Head; Sen. Lonnie Randolph; Sen. Timothy Lanane.

(1) Call to Order: Rep. Greg Steuerwald, Chairperson of the Commission on Courts (Commission), called the meeting to order at 1:36 PM.

(2) Introduction of Members: The Commission members and staff introduced themselves.

(3) Update on the Judicial Technology and Automation Committee (JTAC): Justice Frank Sullivan, Jr. of the Indiana Supreme Court was the first person to testify. Justice Sullivan's testimony (Exhibit 1) was supported by a document compiled by JTAC (Exhibit 2).

¹ These minutes, exhibits, and other materials referenced in the minutes can be viewed electronically at <http://www.in.gov/legislative>. Hard copies can be obtained in the Legislative Information Center in Room 230 of the State House in Indianapolis, Indiana. Requests for hard copies may be mailed to the Legislative Information Center, Legislative Services Agency, West Washington Street, Indianapolis, IN 46204-2789. A fee of \$0.15 per page and mailing costs will be charged for hard copies.

Justice Sullivan discussed the following JTAC projects:

- *The Odyssey case management system.
- *The Bureau of Motor Vehicles SR 16 (Abstract of Court Record) form.
- *The Protection Order Registry.
- *Mental health adjudication applications.
- *The Electronic Citation and Warning System.
- *Tax warrant applications.
- *Marriage license applications.

Justice Sullivan also presented two videos to the Commission:

- * "Odyssey System Comes to Greene County" from WTWO-TV in Terre Haute:

http://mywabashvalley.com/search-fulltext?nxd_id=185957

- *"Financial Management for Court Clerks: Indiana's Odyssey Case Management System":

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GNiCW_Jk9D8&feature=youtu.be

In response to questions from Commission members, Justice Sullivan stated the following:

*Concerning legislation enacted by the General Assembly that decreased the amount of revenue JTAC receives from the Automated Record Keeping Fee, Justice Sullivan stated that JTAC will have to reduce the size of its staff, including the number of private sector consultants working for JTAC, and the rate of installation of the Odyssey case management system will slow down.

*Concerning "data mining" of information collected by JTAC projects, Justice Sullivan said there were "almost limitless" opportunities to analyze the data for use in forming public policy and assisting the General Assembly in its work.

*Concerning the effect on counties caused by a delay in installing the Odyssey case management system, Justice Sullivan stated counties would have to continue to pay for their own case management systems until Odyssey was installed, counties would not be able to take advantage of the technology as quickly, and statewide public access at no cost to court records would be delayed.

(4) Request for new Allen Circuit Court magistrate to replace an Allen Circuit Court hearing officer: The next person to testify was Sen. Dennis Kruse. Sen. Kruse stated he had made this same request for several years and there was still a need to convert an Allen Circuit Court Title IV-D child support hearing officer position to a state paid magistrate position. Sen. Kruse then introduced Judge Thomas Felts of the Allen Circuit Court to testify. Judge Felts' testimony was supported by a document that contained information concerning the hearing officer's compensation and a summary of Juvenile Paternity and Domestic Relations State Involvement cases filed in the Allen Circuit Court (Exhibit 3). Judge Felts stated the following:

*This is not a request for a new judicial officer but only to convert a county paid Title IV-D hearing officer to a state paid magistrate.

*Because this magistrate would continue to handle Title IV-D child support cases, the federal government would continue to pay 66 2/3 % of the magistrate's salary.

*In accordance with the Indiana Supreme Court's strategic plan, he believed all

judicial officers should be compensated by the state.

*This hearing officer performs the same duties as a magistrate and should be treated the same as a magistrate.

*Converting this hearing officer position to a magistrate position would allow him to retain a good employee.

In response to questions from Commission members, Judge Felts stated he would have to determine how the conversion of the position from a county paid hearing officer to a state paid magistrate would affect public employee pension plans.

(5) Request for a new Hendricks County magistrate: The next person to testify was Judge Robert Freese of the Hendricks Superior Court #1. Judge Freese's testimony was supported by a document that included the following (Exhibit 4):

*A letter from the judges of the Hendricks Superior Courts requesting up to two new magistrate positions for Hendricks County.

*A letter from the Hendricks County Council president requesting one or more new magistrates for Hendricks County.

*A letter from the Hendricks County Board of Commissioners requesting one or more new magistrates for Hendricks County.

*Weighted caseload rankings by county from greatest need to least need.

*Weighted caseload rankings for counties without a magistrate.

*Weighted caseload rankings by population per judicial officer.

Judge Freese stated the following:

*The average weighted caseload calculation for the entire state is 1.23 while it is 1.54 in Hendricks County and increasing every year.

*Adding another judge in Hendricks County would only decrease its current weighted caseload average from 1.54 to 1.32.

*Nine other counties with magistrates have a weighted caseload of less than 1.32.

*Of the counties without magistrates, the Hendricks County weighted caseload ranks seventh in the state based on court filings and the number of judicial officers.

*Hendricks County ranks second in the state in the number of judicial officers per county resident with one judicial officer for each 24,241 residents while the average for the entire state is one judicial officer for every 16,089 residents.

*Adding two new magistrates in Hendricks County will not cause an increase in space needed or additional support staff needed.

The next person to testify was Judge Stephenie LeMay-Luken of the Hendricks Superior Court #5. Judge LeMay-Luken stated that there was more to being a judge than just sitting in a court room, including performing numerous administrative duties. She said she also believed the Hendricks County judicial system needed two new magistrate positions.

In response to questions from Commission members, Judge LeMay-Luken stated her court room measured only 412 square feet. She said there was no space for additional court facilities in Hendricks County, but she agreed with Judge Freese that adding new magistrate positions would not require any additional space.

In response to questions from Commission members, Judge Freese said the new magistrates are needed in Hendricks County as soon as possible and hoped they could be added by January 1, 2013, if not before. He said the five superior court judges and one circuit court judge in Hendricks County would jointly appoint the magistrates and share in their services on a rotating basis.

(6) Request for a new Owen County judge: The next person to testify was Rep. Bob Heaton. Rep. Heaton stated he was coming before the Commission to request the addition of a second judge for Owen County. Rep. Heaton then introduced Judge Frank Nardi of the Owen Circuit Court. Judge Nardi stated the following (Exhibit 5):

*He first took office as the Owen Circuit Court judge on January 1, 1983, and was not seeking re-election in 2012.

*He was requesting the addition of a second judge in Owen County and had no preference as to whether the second judge was added as a new superior court judge or a second circuit court judge in a unified circuit.

* He stated in reality this new position would only equal about half a judge since Owen County had a state paid referee whose salary is equal to about half of the salary paid to a circuit court judge.

*He stated the caseload in Owen County had increased greatly over the last 29 years and continues to increase.

*He said with a one judge court, there were numerous postponements because he had to set priorities based on legal deadlines.

*He said he had tried to increase the number of hours the referee could work, but ultimately the referee could only act as a fact finder.

*He stated that another option for Owen County would be to allow the appointment of a full time magistrate by the circuit court judge.

(7) Select next meeting date: After a brief discussion by Commission members, Rep. Steuerwald stated the next Commission meeting would occur Thursday, September 15, 2011, at 1:30 PM. Rep. Steuerwald also said he thought this would be the last Commission meeting for 2011.

(8) Adjournment: Rep. Steuerwald adjourned the meeting at 2:58 PM.

REMARKS OF INDIANA SUPREME COURT JUSTICE FRANK SULLIVAN, JR.,
TO THE COMMISSION ON COURTS

Indianapolis, Indiana
August 24, 2011

Mr. Chairman, members of the Committee, on behalf of the Indiana Supreme Court and its Judicial Technology and Automation Committee – JTAC, I am pleased to provide the Committee with this update on our work. I know the Committee's time is limited today and so I will dispense with the broad overview of JTAC's work that I have provided to this Committee in the past and instead turn to the report that I have provided you in an effort to give you a sense of the enormous amount of work that JTAC has done in recent years to improve court technology in every single county, city, and town in Indiana.

The cover sheet entitled "What is JTAC? What has JTAC accomplished?," contains a thumbnail sketch of JTAC's many projects.

If you go to tab 1, you will see a report of the deployment of JTAC's most ambitious project, equipping courts with the Odyssey case management system. The bottom line tells you that as of today 97 courts in 34 counties comprising about 32% of the state's caseload are using Odyssey. Each line on this page represents JTAC staff working on-site to install Odyssey and train local court and clerk personnel on how to use it – as you can see, approximately 1,750 users in these 97 courts. In most of these courts, the data from their old computer systems were converted into Odyssey. And a telephone help desk stands at the ready for any user anywhere in the state who may need assistance.

Tab 2 shows similar information for our JTAC project that has equipped 276 courts with computer software developed by JTAC that sends their SR 16 forms to the BMV electronically. Look for example at Hendricks County at the bottom of page 5 where JTAC personnel have equipped and trained the Circuit Court, Superior Court, and town courts in Plainfield, Brownsburg, and Avon to do electronically what that used to do by manual data entry. That's right, over 125,000 SR 16's have been transmitted electronically from Hendricks County alone to the BMV since the first court started using the system at the end of 2005. You can also see that other entities like the probation department and community corrections in Hendricks County have access to the system.

Page 15 shows – astonishingly – that more than 5.3 million SR 16's have been sent electronically using JTAC technology. I need not tell the members of this committee what that translates to in terms of improved public safety because driving records are updated almost instantaneously rather than having to wait weeks and in terms of taxpayer dollars because of the elimination of manual completion of SR 16's at the court and the manual entry of data at the BMV end.

Tab 3 shows similar information for our protection order registry. Keeping with Hendricks County, you can see that JTAC staff has provided the Circuit Court, all the Superior Court, and the town courts with computer software developed by JTAC that issues protection

and no contact orders electronically. And since 2008 more than 4,300 electronic protection and no contact orders have been created in Hendricks County. The statewide totals here are 215,000 since the start of the program; 67,000 last year. More than 10,000 people have been trained by JTAC to use the protection order registry.

On to Tab 4. Federal law requires all courts in this country to notify the FBI criminal background check system called NICS whenever the court enters an order finding that an individual has certain mental health conditions that disqualify the individual from purchasing firearms. Not every state complies with this federal law but Indiana does. JTAC employees have provided all courts in the state that do mental health adjudications with computer software developed by JTAC that enables those courts to instantly notify NICs when required. Tab 4 shows you that since this technology was installed about two years ago, nearly 3,000 such notifications have been sent from our state to the FBI.

Tab 5. When I gave my report to this committee last year, we demonstrated the computer software developed by JTAC that enables police to issue electronic traffic citations. Tab 5 shows the 230 law enforcement agencies throughout the state of Indiana that use this technology. Page 5 shows that virtually every law enforcement agency in Hendricks County, large and small, uses this JTAC technology and more than 67,000 electronic citations – this is both tickets and warnings – have been issued in Hendricks County alone since the Avon Police Department started using the system in September, 2008. Look to in the far right-hand column where you'll see that JTAC, thanks for a very strong partnership with the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute, has been able to provide more than \$60,000 in grants to the Sheriff and police departments in Hendricks County to help them purchase electronic ticket writing software. Page 13 shows that the JTAC e-ticket software has now been used to write nearly 3.5 million traffic citations. We have trained more than 4,000 law enforcement officers and almost 3,500 other individuals on the use of the system. And, with the help of the Criminal Justice Institute, we have provided local law enforcement agencies with more than \$2.3 million in equipment grants.

Tab 6 shows the 47 County Clerk offices where with computer software developed by JTAC has been installed that permits our state revenue department to transmit tax warrants and satisfactions electronically, eliminating manual data entry that clerk employees had to perform thousands of times each year.

Tab 7 shows JTAC's romantic side – the 74 County Clerk offices where with computer software developed by JTAC has been installed that allows applicants for marriage licenses to fill out their applications by computer and then, when the marriage is recorded, notify the state board of health vital records section, again eliminating manual data entry that clerk employees had to perform thousands of times each year.

Mr. Chairman, I have marched through these materials very rapidly but what I want to emphasize is this. Each one of those lines on every one of those spreadsheets represents one or more JTAC employees going to a court, a clerk's office, or a police department in one of the 92 counties of the state and providing computer software and training and in some cases equipment that permits court, clerk, and law enforcement personnel to do work electronically that used to have to be done by hand – done by hand literally millions and millions of times over. By doing

so we have saved millions of county, city, and town taxpayer dollars, and because of the improved accuracy and timeliness, made a demonstrable contribution to public safety.

Mr. Chairman, in the time I have remaining I would like to return to JTAC's biggest and most ambitious project, Odyssey. For several consecutive years, the members of this commission overwhelmingly endorsed increasing the court filing fee that JTAC uses to install Odyssey from the \$7.00 figure in place since 2003 to \$10.00. JTAC has always been very grateful for that support, as it has been to Sen. Bray and the members of the Senate Judiciary Committee for reporting bills with that amount during the last two long sessions. However, for the second consecutive long session, the final conference committee report on the budget reduced the funding available to JTAC for Odyssey and our other projects. In 2009, the final conference committee report on the budget directed that any JTAC filing fees collected in respective diversion or deferral cases not go to JTAC. And this year, the final conference committee report on the budget reduced that \$7.00 figure to approximately \$4.50 – a 36% cut. This level of funding does not in any way jeopardize our ability to operate and support Odyssey where it has been and will be installed. But it has caused us to reduce the size of our staff to focus more directly on Odyssey deployment and we have intensified our efforts to secure alternative sources of funding for Odyssey deployment. During the session, legislation passed the Senate requiring us to make Odyssey data available to bulk purchasers and we are in the process of implementing a program to do just that.

Mr. Chairman, we remain deeply committed to installing Odyssey in every County that wants it – and we have a very long waiting list – as rapidly as resources permit. Judges and clerks literally celebrate when they get Odyssey. With your permission, let me show you an example of such a celebration.

[video]

Mr. Chairman, the Supreme Court and JTAC have no intention or desire to install Odyssey in any county that does not want it. Every county that has Odyssey volunteered. I've said that before this Committee and in many other public settings. But even as I say without equivocation that adopting Odyssey is voluntary, I say just as emphatically that the Supreme Court and JTAC are convinced that it is in the best interest of the counties, courts, clerks, and people of Indiana that Odyssey be installed in all Indiana courts.

First, Odyssey provides the best case management system available to courts and clerks. We know this because in 2006, a procurement committee consisting of Indiana judges, Indiana clerks, and Indiana court IT professionals, under the supervision of the Crowe Horwath consulting firm, conducted an incredibly thorough, highly professional, and totally transparent evaluation of the bids submitted by all vendors who sought to have their systems chosen to be the state's uniform case management system. That competitive procurement process produced hundreds of pages of analysis and concluded that Odyssey was the best system for Indiana. As you know, many of our sister states have come to exactly the same conclusion.

Second, you know that counties pay no license fees or annual maintenance costs for Odyssey – those costs are paid from the JTAC budget. But in addition to those savings, the very

way Odyssey is designed represents the maximum savings for Indiana taxpayers. I have heard it said that it would be consistent with the Supreme Court's goal of a statewide case management system if all of the existing systems were connected up by interfaces. Don't get me wrong – I think connections by interfaces would be better than the system we have today and that is why we are working with other vendors to do that. But having multiple systems connected by interfaces is not as good as having Odyssey deployed statewide. Why? Because it costs taxpayers too much to maintain multiple data centers and multiple maintenance contracts when only one will suffice.

Odyssey operates out of a single, highly secure data center – all of the Odyssey servers are in one single location. The data center is maintained by the Indiana Office of Technology, the Daniels' Administration data center that also serves as the data center for all state agencies, including the Department of Revenue, the State Police, the Bureau of Motor Vehicles, FSSA, and all the rest – with the most sophisticated security and disaster recovery features. Each court and clerk that has Odyssey is connected to this data center over the Internet. We have one common annual maintenance and support contract with the Odyssey vendor covering all Odyssey courts. The amount of this contract is fixed; it does not increase when courts are added to Odyssey.

Contrast this with the other case management systems that require individual data centers with servers and support staff in each county courthouse and individual maintenance and support contracts. We view as an unnecessary expenditure of taxpayer dollars the duplication of data centers from courthouse to courthouse and paying of separate maintenance and support contracts in county after county.

Third, because the Odyssey program sits in one place, when the Legislature passes a new law or the State Board of Accounts promulgates a new regulation or when the Supreme Court passes a new rule, Odyssey technicians immediately modify the Odyssey program so that the change immediately takes effect for all of the courts and all the clerks on Odyssey. By contrast, systems that exist in individual counties require at best someone from the vendor to go from courthouse to courthouse to make the necessary change – it can't be done all at once. And because the changes made to Odyssey are made by Supreme Court employees, we have a very high degree of confidence that the changes precisely and accurately reflect the changes desired by the Legislature, Board of Accounts, or Supreme Court.

For example, immediately following the passage of the new legislation establishing a new category of criminal records called "restricted access," our JTAC staff reconfigured Odyssey to create a new "restricted access" category. If an offender qualifies for restricted access status, it is now a matter of simply clicking a box in Odyssey and that offender's records are restricted to the full extent required by law. And this is the case with respect to any of that offender's records in any of the 97 currently using Odyssey; and will be the case as each additional court is added to it. This was done immediately and it was done right and you can be confident that the intent of the legislature is being carried out in this regard and all other regards in every single court and county using Odyssey.

Fourth, Odyssey not only has features that manage court records but also provides case

management tools for probation officers and for other court employees who are responsible for intensively supervising individuals under the jurisdiction of an Indiana court – individuals like drug court officers and community corrections personnel.

Fifth, and last, we are very proud of the way in which Odyssey equipped County clerks to manage the many substantial financial responsibilities imposed upon them by law. When we were traveling to different states during the procurement process, we learned that we asked a lot more of our clerks in Indiana in terms of managing money than any other state we saw. Again, with your permission, I'd like to show a video on this topic.

[video]

Mr. Chairman, this concludes my report to you today. I know you appreciate that JTAC has been to virtually every corner of Indiana installing new computer technology that improves public safety and saves taxpayers money by eliminating duplication in data entry and maintaining data centers and maintenance and support contracts. And I know you appreciate as well the many reasons why the Indiana Supreme Court continues its quest to install a uniform statewide 21st-century case management system in all Indiana courts and connect those courts with each other and with law enforcement, state agencies, and others who need and use court information. We appreciate more than we can say the support that the members of this Commission have given to this enterprise.

Thank you very much.

What is JTAC? What has JTAC accomplished?

JTAC is a committee established by the Indiana Supreme Court to improve computerization for Indiana courts and clerks. JTAC has many technology projects up and running that are helping courts, clerks, and law enforcement in all 92 Indiana counties.

(1) Statewide uniform case management system ("Odyssey").

JTAC'S biggest and most ambitious project is to equip all Indiana courts and clerks with a 21st century computer system called **Odyssey** to manage cases – and connect each court's system with each other's and with law enforcement, state agencies, and the public.

This multi-year project is making solid progress since the first pilot installation in December, 2007: **97 courts in 34 counties** comprising more than 32% of the state's caseload are now using Odyssey, including the Marion Co. traffic court, the busiest court in the state; all Allen Co. criminal and traffic courts; and all the courts of Hamilton Co.) **TAB 2**

Counties pay **no license fees or annual maintenance costs** for Odyssey. Information on **cases in Odyssey is available at no cost to the public** on the web.

"Odyssey" is a leading national case management system with special Indiana features for clerks' financial duties and probation officers' caseloads. The rights to install it in all Indiana courts were acquired June 1, 2007, after a **competitive procurement** involving judges, clerks, and IT professionals from throughout the state. (JTAC began this project in 2002 but the vendor paid JTAC to terminate the contract 2005.)

(2) Critical data exchange with law enforcement and state agencies ("INcite").

While Odyssey is being installed court-by-court, JTAC works closely with law enforcement and state agencies using a computer program called "INcite" to send certain critical data electronically to and from courts and clerks in all 92 counties except where noted.

- **Court traffic infraction data** — to BMV (16,800 cases per week). **TAB 2**
- **Domestic violence protection orders** — to local police and state Protection Order Registry; also permits victims to apply on-line from shelters with help from advocates **TAB 3**
- **Juvenile delinquency case data** — to Department of Child Services from juvenile probation officers (84 counties).
- **Risk assessments** of adult and juvenile offenders shared by courts, probation, community corrections, and DOC personnel.
- **Mental health adjudication data** — to the FBI for background checks. **TAB 4**
- **Electronic traffic tickets** issued using scanners — to courts from 230 Indiana law enforcement agencies; e-filed into Odyssey and 3 other case management systems. **TAB 5**
- **Tax warrant data** from Indiana Department of Revenue — to clerks (47 counties). **TAB 6**
- **Marriage license data** — to Indiana Department of Health from clerks (73 counties). **TAB 7**
- **Court statistical data** — to Division of State Court Administration from courts.

(3) JTAC has made grants of approximately \$3.4 million to courts, clerks, and law enforcement for computer systems and technology equipment.

(4) Research, education, web site, and other services.

JTAC also provides the following at no cost:

- **LEXIS-NEXIS** electronic legal research service for judges and clerks.
- Computer classes at **Ivy Tech** for court and clerk staff.
- On-line **child support calculator**.
- Indiana **judicial web site** with information for and about courts and clerks.
- County **"jury pool"** lists and jury management software.

ODYSSEY DEPLOYMENT
(Deployed in 97 courts in 34 counties as of August 1, 2011)

COUNTIES	COURTS	Odyssey Go-Live Date	# of Counties	# of Courts	# of Users	2009 Cases Filed	Pct. of 2009 Cases Filed Statewide
Allen	New Haven City Court	6/15/2009	1	1	9	11,280	0.58%
	Circuit & Superior (4,5 & 6)	9/7/2010		4	338	32,275	1.65%
	Superior (1,2,3,9) (estates,guardianship, mental health, trust)	1/18/2011		4		1,765	0.09%
Benton	Circuit	3/1/2010	1	1	14	2,071	0.11%
Blackford	Circuit & Superior	1/4/2010	1	2	15	2,514	0.13%
Carroll	Circuit & Superior	4/5/2010	1	2	19	3,380	0.17%
Cass	Circuit & Superior (2)	6/20/2011	1	3	39	7,795	0.40%
Clark	Circuit & Superior (3)	6/21/2010	1	4	86	40,921	2.09%
DeKalb	Circuit & Superior (2)	12/8/2008	1	3	43	6,002	0.31%
Floyd	Circuit & Superior (3)	2/1/2009	1	4	66	23,215	1.19%
Grant	Gas City Court	12/13/2010	1	1	6	11,296	0.58%
Greene	Circuit & Superior	3/9/2011	1	2	28	7,639	0.39%
Hamilton	Circuit & Superior (6)	9/21/2009	1	7	235	39,605	2.02%
	Carmel City	11/23/2009		1	8	10,698	0.55%
Harrison	Circuit & Superior	12/14/2009	1	2	35	6,994	0.36%
Hendricks	Plainfield Town Court	3/1/2011	1	1	4	6,356	0.32%
Huntington	Circuit & Superior	1/4/2010	1	2	36	6,743	0.34%
	Roanoke Town Court	5/24/2010		1	3	3,484	0.18%
Jasper	Circuit & Superior	11/15/2010	1	2	45	7,739	0.40%
Johnson	Greenwood City Court	4/10/2009	1	1	13	9,432	0.48%
Knox	Bicknell City	4/18/2011	1	1	2	64	0.00%
Madison	Alexandria City Court	1/19/2010	1	1	4	1,211	0.06%
	Circuit & Superior (5)	10/11/2010		6	129	17,410	0.89%
Marion	Washington Twp Sm Cl	12/14/2007	1	1	6	5,887	0.30%
	Center Twp Small Cl	9/29/2008		1	11	13,637	0.70%
	Franklin Twp Sm Cl	12/1/2008		1	6	5,231	0.27%
	Superior (IF & CM)	2/1/2009		1	53	207,779	10.62%
	Wayne Twp Sm Cl	10/13/2009		1	7	7,018	0.36%
	Warren Twp Small Cl	4/13/2010		1	12	7,002	0.36%
	Lawrence Twp Sm Cl	8/24/2010		1	8	7,145	0.37%
	Perry Township	1/31/2011		1	4	4,829	0.25%
	Miami	Bunker Hill Town	12/13/2010	1	1	2	4,243
Monroe	Circuit (9)	12/17/2007	1	9	196	37,109	1.90%
Owen	Circuit	8/3/2009	1	1	26	4,888	0.25%
Parke	Circuit	6/1/2009	1	1	16	3,804	0.19%
Posey	Circuit & Superior	8/16/2010	1	2	25	4,042	0.21%
Rush	Circuit & Superior	12/1/2009	1	2	20	5,779	0.30%
Scott	Circuit & Superior	7/11/2011	1	2	28	6,167	0.32%
Shelby	Circuit & Superior (2)	4/25/2011	1	3	21	11,681	0.60%
St. Joseph	Superior (4) (Traffic & Infrac)*	8/3/2009	1	4	39	17,981	0.92%
Starke	Knox City Court	11/15/2010	1	1	5	4,357	0.22%
Steuben	Circuit & Superior	8/1/2011	1	2	28	5,331	0.27%
Tipton	Circuit	9/22/2008	1	1	14	2,164	0.11%
Union	Circuit	5/23/2011	1	1	10	2,496	0.13%
Warren	Circuit	8/26/2008	1	1	11	1,861	0.10%
Washington	Circuit & Superior	7/6/2009	1	2	27	4,811	0.25%
	Grand Totals		34	97	1,752	635,131	32.46%

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
Adams				28,588	4	4
	Adams Circuit Court	3/11/2011	1	6		1
	Adams Superior Court	5/17/2006	1	28,582	2	2
	<i>Adams County Clerk's Office</i>					1
	<i>Adams County Probation Department</i>				2	
Allen				207,670	52	66
	Allen Circuit Court	10/1/2009	1	2,090	5	2
	Allen Superior Court 1	6/30/2011	1	-	1	1
	Allen Superior Court 4	6/23/2006	1	47,356		12
	Allen Superior Court 5	9/8/2010	1	15,530	11	2
	Allen Superior Court 6	9/7/2010	1	15,280		3
	Allen Superior Court 7	6/9/2009	1	96		10
	(Allen) New Haven City Court	6/20/2006	1	127,318	3	1
	<i>Allen County Clerk's Office</i>				2	13
	<i>Allen County Adult Probation Department</i>				30	13
	<i>Allen County Juvenile Center</i>					9
Bartholomew				114,528	3	4
	Bartholomew Circuit Court					1
	Bartholomew Superior Court #2	8/30/2006	1	114,528	3	2
	<i>Bartholomew County Clerk's Office</i>					1
Benton				8,090	10	-
	Benton Circuit Court	2/9/2006	1	8,090	4	
	<i>Benton County Clerk's Office</i>				5	
	<i>Benton County Probation Department</i>				1	
Blackford				12,466	3	7
	Blackford Circuit Court	3/30/2006	1	12		1
	Blackford Superior Court	2/14/2006	1	12,451		5
	Blackford County Court (abolished)	9/28/2010		3		
	<i>Blackford County Clerk's Office</i>				3	1
Boone				91,424	8	18
	Boone Circuit Court	5/20/2009	1	200		
	Boone Superior Court 1	4/14/2009	1	1,298		1
	Boone Superior Court 2	5/24/2006	1	25,378		
	(Boone) Lebanon City Court	9/12/2006	1	13,796	2	
	(Boone) Thorntown Town Court	9/1/2006	1	29,266		2
	(Boone) Zionsville Town Court	5/25/2006	1	13,706		1
	(Boone) Jamestown Town Court	9/26/2006	1	2,782	3	1
	(Boone) Whitestown Town Court	8/28/2006	1	4,998		1
	<i>Boone County Clerk's Office</i>				3	2
	<i>Boone County Probation Department</i>					7
	<i>Boone County Community Corrections</i>					3
Brown				10,750	6	-
	Brown Circuit Court	9/20/2006	1	10,750		
	<i>Brown County Clerk's Office</i>	8/5/2010			6	

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
Carroll				18,568	2	5
	Carroll Circuit Court	9/1/2009	1	6		
	Carroll Superior Court	1/26/2006	1	14,382		1
	(Carroll) Delphi City Court	9/7/2006	1	2,374		1
	(Carroll) Burlington Town Court	5/27/2008	1	1,806	1	
	Carroll County Clerk's Office				1	
	Carroll County Probation Department					3
Cass				18,976	3	7
	Cass Circuit Court	4/29/2010	1	4		
	Cass Superior Court 1	2/15/2006	1	312		2
	Cass Superior Court 2	2/1/2006	1	18,660		5
	Cass County Clerk's Office				3	
Clark				58,829	10	28
	Clark Circuit Court			-		1
	Clark Superior Court 1	6/30/2008	1	4		
	Clark Superior Court 3	8/1/2006	1	36,687	7	6
	Clark Superior Court (abolished)	9/6/2006		296		
	(Clark) Jeffersonville City Court	6/14/2006	1	11,020		7
	(Clark) Clarksville Town Court	9/29/2006	1	158		1
	(Clark) Sellersburg Town Court	8/16/2006	1	10,664	3	
	Clark County Clerk's Office					1
	Clark County Probation Department					10
	Clark County Community Corrections					2
Clay				23,114	10	6
	Clay Circuit Court					1
	Clay Superior Court	1/31/2006	1	23,114		4
	Clay County Clerk's Office				10	
	Clay County Community Corrections					1
Clinton				35,514	4	7
	Clinton Superior Court	9/22/2006	1	29,542		1
	(Clinton) Frankfort City Court	2/22/2006	1	5,972	4	1
	Clinton County Clerk's Office					2
	Clinton County Community Corrections					3
Crawford				16,118	4	1
	Crawford Circuit Court	6/1/2006	1	16,118		
	Crawford County Clerk's Office				4	1
Daviess				17,064	4	8
	Daviess Circuit Court	4/12/2007	1	8		1
	Daviess Superior Court	11/27/2006	1	17,056		1
	Daviess County Clerk's Office				4	1
	Daviess County Probation Department					5

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
Dearborn				55,242	6	6
	Dearborn Circuit Court	4/20/2009	1	16		1
	Dearborn Superior Court 1	8/29/2006	1	4,484	3	
	Dearborn Superior Court 2	12/24/2008	1	700		
	(Dearborn) Aurora City Court	6/22/2006	1	36,512		2
	(Dearborn) Lawrenceburg City Court	5/1/2006	1	13,530	3	1
	<i>Dearborn County Probation Department</i>					2
Decatur				35,157	7	4
	Decatur Circuit Court	8/17/2006	1	50		1
	Decatur Superior Court	3/7/2006	1	35,104		2
	Decatur County Court (abolished)	7/28/2008	1	3		
	<i>Decatur County Clerk's Office</i>				7	1
DeKalb				38,628	19	4
	DeKalb Superior Court 1	5/9/2006	1	9,600		
	DeKalb Superior Court 2	3/8/2010	1	2		1
	(DeKalb) Butler City Court	6/2/2006	1	29,026	3	
	<i>DeKalb County Clerk's Office</i>				5	2
	<i>DeKalb County Probation Department</i>				11	1
Delaware				150,704	12	14
	Delaware Circuit Court 1			-	1	1
	Delaware Circuit Court 4			-	2	
	Delaware Superior Court 3 (abolished)	8/29/2006	1	6		
	(Delaware) Muncie City Court	6/23/2006	1	63,478	2	8
	(Delaware) Yorktown Town Court	7/12/2006	1	87,220	3	
	<i>Delaware County Clerk's Office</i>				4	3
	<i>Delaware County Juvenile Probation</i>					1
	<i>Delaware County Community Corrections</i>					1
Dubois				24,038	1	7
	Dubois Superior Court	1/11/2006	1	24,038		1
	<i>Dubois County Clerk's Office</i>				1	4
	<i>Dubois County Probation Department</i>					2
Elkhart				114,630	29	15
	Elkhart Circuit Court	8/3/2009	1	4		
	Elkhart Superior Court 1	3/20/2009	1	110	1	
	Elkhart Superior Court 2	5/5/2009	1	48	1	
	Elkhart Superior Court 3	7/28/2009	1	28		
	Elkhart Superior Court 4	12/28/2006	1	70,346	2	
	Elkhart Superior Court 5	12/28/2006	1	6,454		
	Elkhart Superior Court 6	2/14/2007	1	3,852	1	2
	(Elkhart) Elkhart City Court	5/9/2011	1	9,008	3	3
	(Elkhart) Goshen City Court	8/8/2006	1	18,178	6	2
	(Elkhart) Nappanee City Court	9/12/2006	1	6,602	1	
	<i>Elkhart County Clerk's Office</i>				14	1
	<i>Elkhart County Probation Department</i>					7

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
Fayette				8,178	4	10
	Fayette Circuit Court			-		1
	Fayette Superior Court	2/16/2006	1	8,178	1	2
	<i>Fayette County Clerk's Office</i>				2	1
	<i>Fayette County Probation Department</i>				1	5
	<i>Fayette County Community Corrections</i>					1
Floyd				140,809	9	18
	Floyd Circuit Court	10/25/2010	1	6		3
	Floyd Superior Court 1			-	4	1
	Floyd Superior Court 2	1/7/2009	1	76,114	3	1
	Floyd Superior Court 3	10/26/2009	1	17		3
	Floyd County Court (abolished)	9/26/2006		64,672		
	<i>Floyd County Clerk's Office</i>				2	3
	<i>Floyd County Probation Department</i>					7
Fountain				10,927	-	5
	Fountain Circuit Court	8/7/2006	1	36		3
	Fountain Small Claims and Traffic (Fountain) Attica City Court	7/17/2006	1	8,563		
		2/2/2006	1	2,328		2
Franklin				11,440	2	2
	Franklin Circuit Court	3/17/2006	1	6,826		
	Franklin Circuit Court 2	1/5/2009	1	4,614		
	<i>Franklin County Clerk's Office</i>				2	
	<i>Franklin County Probation Department</i>					2
Fulton				36,534	2	3
	Fulton Circuit Court	10/6/2010	1	2		
	Fulton Superior Court	4/12/2006	1	36,526		1
	Fulton County Court (abolished)	10/26/2006		6		
	<i>Fulton County Clerk's Office</i>				2	2
Gibson				32,410	4	5
	Gibson Circuit Court	12/17/2010	1	16		
	Gibson Superior Court	5/17/2006	1	32,394		
	<i>Gibson County Clerk's Office</i>				4	3
	<i>Gibson County Probation Department</i>					2
Grant				117,378	4	24
	Grant Circuit Court			-		1
	Grant Superior Court 1			-		1
	Grant Superior Court 3	5/4/2009	1	494	3	
	(Grant) Gas City Court	5/19/2006	1	86,922		4
	(Grant) Marion City Court	8/16/2006	1	29,962		4
	<i>Grant County Clerk's Office</i>					8
	<i>Grant County Probation Department</i>				1	6
Greene				13,490	11	5
	Greene Circuit Court	6/28/2007	1	46	1	
	Greene Superior Court	12/6/2005	1	13,444		3
	<i>Greene County Clerk's Office</i>				7	1

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
	<i>Greene County Probation Department</i>				3	1
Hamilton				219,359	38	18
	Hamilton Circuit Court	4/3/2006	1	152	3	
	Hamilton Superior Court 1	2/5/2008	1	49		1
	Hamilton Superior Court 2	3/20/2009	1	62		
	Hamilton Superior Court 3	12/11/2008	1	72		2
	Hamilton Superior Court 4	5/8/2006	1	23,384	3	1
	Hamilton Superior Court 5	5/5/2006	1	26,250	7	1
	Hamilton Superior Court 6	1/7/2008	1	12,350	7	
	Hamilton County Court (abolished)	4/16/2010		4		
	(Hamilton) Carmel City Court	4/3/2006	1	121,890	4	6
	(Hamilton) Noblesville City Court	6/29/2006	1	35,146	3	1
	<i>Hamilton County Clerk's Office</i>				3	5
	<i>Hamilton County Probation Department</i>				8	1
Hancock				91,514	10	19
	Hancock Circuit Court			-	3	2
	Hancock Superior Court 1			-		2
	Hancock Superior Court 2	6/23/2006	1	91,508	4	3
	Hancock County Court (abolished)	4/1/2008		6		
	<i>Hancock County Clerk's Office</i>				2	7
	<i>Hancock County Probation Department</i>				1	3
	<i>Hancock County Community Corrections</i>					2
Harrison				32,326	3	9
	Harrison Circuit Court			-		2
	Harrison Superior Court	2/9/2006	1	32,312		2
	Harrison County Court (abolished)	12/19/2006		14		
	<i>Harrison County Clerk's Office</i>				3	1
	<i>Harrison County Probation Department</i>					4
Hendricks				125,996	25	33
	Hendricks Circuit Court	10/6/2006	1	116		2
	Hendricks Superior Court 1	3/18/2010	1	40		2
	Hendricks Superior Court 2	8/18/2006	1	2,964		5
	Hendricks Superior Court 3	8/10/2009	1	2,162		3
	Hendricks Superior Court 4	12/6/2007	1	2,156		5
	Hendricks Superior Court 5	10/5/2007	1	3,648		4
	(Hendricks) Plainfield Town Court	12/9/2005	1	49,692	4	
	(Hendricks) Brownsburg Town Court	12/8/2005	1	26,134		4
	(Hendricks) Avon Town Court	6/15/2006	1	39,084		1
	<i>Hendricks County Clerk's Office</i>				1	3
	<i>Hendricks Circuit Probation Department</i>				8	
	<i>Hendricks Superior Probation Department</i>				12	3
	<i>Hendricks County Community Corrections</i>					1

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
Henry				69,246	10	8
	Henry Circuit Court 2			-		2
	Henry Circuit Court 3	7/20/2011	1	12		
	Henry Superior Court 2	3/30/2011	1	646		
	(Henry) New Castle City Court	1/12/2007	1	2,666	1	
	(Henry) Knightstown Town Court	7/21/2006	1	65,922		1
	Henry County Clerk's Office				5	4
	Henry County Probation Department				4	
	Henry County Community Corrections					1
Howard				64,982	10	14
	Howard Superior Court 1	10/13/2010	1	2		2
	Howard Superior Court 3	5/31/2006	1	64,980		1
	Howard County Clerk's Office				9	
	Howard County Probation Department				1	11
Huntington				53,585	7	8
	Huntington Circuit Court	2/22/2008	1	2		1
	Huntington Superior Court	5/30/2006	1	14,721		
	(Huntington) Roanoke Town Court	6/29/2006	1	38,862	3	1
	Huntington County Clerk's Office				4	1
	Huntington County Probation Department					5
Jackson				16,292	10	12
	Jackson Circuit Court	2/8/2011	1	50		
	Jackson Superior Court	12/6/2005	1	16,242		2
	Jackson County Clerk's Office				9	1
	Jackson County Probation Department				1	
	Jackson County Alcohol & Drug Court Services					4
	Jackson County Community Corrections					5
Jasper				38,004	25	4
	Jasper Circuit Court	3/10/2006	1	35,298	5	1
	Jasper Superior Court 1	3/27/2006	1	1,602	3	
	Jasper Superior Court 2	4/16/2007	1	24		
	(Jasper) DeMotte Town Court	2/17/2006	1	1,080		1
	Jasper County Clerk's Office				8	2
	Jasper County Probation Department				9	
Jay				20,570	4	6
	Jay Circuit Court			-		2
	Jay Superior Court	11/15/2006	1	1,232		
	(Jay) Portland City Court	2/7/2006	1	19,338		1
	Jay County Clerk's Office				4	
	Jay County Probation Department					3
Jefferson				10,108	4	2
	Jefferson Circuit Court			-		1
	Jefferson Superior Court	10/23/2006	1	10,108	3	
	Jefferson County Clerk's Office				1	1

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
Jennings				16,704	13	5
	Jennings Circuit Court	4/13/2006	1	190	3	1
	Jennings Superior Court	1/12/2006	1	16,514	4	4
	<i>Jennings County Clerk's Office</i>				6	
Johnson				39,894	8	13
	Johnson Circuit Court	8/19/2009	1	1,100		
	Johnson Superior Court 1	6/20/2011	1	10		
	Johnson Superior Court 2	8/21/2009	1	584		
	Johnson Superior Court 3	8/19/2009	1	2,158		
	(Johnson) Franklin City Court	7/11/2006	1	6		2
	(Johnson) Greenwood City Court	5/18/2006	1	36,036	4	2
	<i>Johnson County Clerk's Office</i>				3	1
	<i>Johnson County Probation Department</i>				1	8
Knox				93,398	1	15
	Knox Circuit Court			-		2
	Knox Superior Court 2	1/12/2006	1	91,082		4
	(Knox) Bicknell City Court	4/16/2007	1	2,316	1	
	<i>Knox County Clerk's Office</i>					1
	<i>Knox County Probation Department</i>					8
Kosciusko				80,986	-	16
	Kosciusko Superior Court 1			-		1
	Kosciusko Superior Court 2	5/10/2006	1	80,740		2
	Kosciusko Superior Court 3	3/24/2011	1	70		
	Kosciusko County Court (abolished)	8/16/2006	1	176		
	<i>Kosciusko County Clerk's Office</i>					6
	<i>Kosciusko County Probation Department</i>					7
LaGrange				59,452	4	5
	LaGrange Circuit Court	10/18/2007	1	4		2
	LaGrange Superior Court	5/19/2006	1	59,448		1
	<i>LaGrange County Clerk's Office</i>				4	1
	<i>LaGrange County Probation Department</i>					1
Lake				157,917	33	69
	Lake Circuit Court			-		3
	Lake Superior Court (County Division 1)	11/10/2008	1	26,616	6	11
	Lake Superior Court (County Division 2)	6/7/2006	1	16,018	3	
	Lake Superior Court (County Division 3)	8/16/2010	1	27,564	5	3
	Lake Superior Court (County Division 4)	8/16/2010	1	24,300	5	
	(Lake) Crown Point City Court	8/16/2010	1	1,223		2
	(Lake) East Chicago City Court	11/27/2006	1	3,150	2	1
	(Lake) Gary City Court			-	1	
	(Lake) Hammond City Court	7/9/2010	1	26,920	2	13
	(Lake) Hobart City Court	12/6/2005	1	8,478	4	2
	(Lake) Lake Station City Court	8/16/2010	1	6,250	3	1
	(Lake) Whiting City Court	8/16/2010	1	422		2
	(Lake) Merrillville Town Court	6/9/2006	1	9,400		3

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
	(Lake) Schererville Town Court	8/16/2010	1	5,416		1
	(Lake) Lowell Town Court	8/16/2010	1	2,160		
	Lake County Alcohol and Drug Court					3
	Lake County Clerk's Office				2	1
	Lake County Probation Department					23
LaPorte				103,584	16	17
	LaPorte Circuit Court	6/16/2009	1	1,332		3
	LaPorte Superior Court 1	2/4/2011	1	2		
	LaPorte Superior Court 2	3/31/2011	1	4		
	LaPorte Superior Court 3	2/8/2006	1	68,128	6	3
	LaPorte Superior Court 4	2/8/2006	1	34,118		2
	LaPorte County Clerk's Office				9	5
	LaPorte County Probation Department				1	4
Lawrence				44,301	7	6
	Lawrence Circuit Court			-		4
	Lawrence Superior Court 2	6/1/2006	1	44,296	7	1
	Lawrence County Court (abolished)	10/7/2009		5		
	Lawrence County Clerk's Office					1
Madison				137,542	26	54
	Madison Circuit Court	4/3/2009	1	100		4
	Madison Superior Court 1	5/28/2010	1	16		1
	Madison Superior Court 3	4/23/2009	1	6		3
	Madison Superior Court 4	5/18/2009	1	208		3
	Madison Superior Court 5	4/21/2009	1	170		2
	Madison County Court 1 (abolished)	5/7/2009		4		
	Madison County Court 2 (abolished)	4/16/2009		394		
	(Madison) Alexandria City Court	9/13/2006	1	2,706	3	
	(Madison) Anderson City Court	4/12/2006	1	6,952	11	3
	(Madison) Elwood City Court	8/16/2006	1	13,728		5
	(Madison) Edgewood Town Court	8/14/2006	1	28,462	2	1
	(Madison) Pendleton Town Court	5/5/2006	1	84,796	5	
	Madison County Clerk's Office				5	3
	Madison County Probation Department					29
Marion				422,139	87	28
	Marion Circuit Court			-		2
	Marion Superior Court, Civil Div. 2			-	7	
	Marion Superior Court, Civil Div. 7			-	6	1
	Marion Superior Court, Probate			-	7	
	Marion Superior Court, Civil Div. 12			-	7	
	Marion Superior Court, Criminal Div. 8			-		1
	Marion Superior Court, Criminal Div. 9			-		1
	Marion County Initial Hearing Court (APC)			-		4
	Marion Superior Court, Crim. Div. 13			-	7	
	Marion Superior Court, Crim. Div. 19			-		1
	Marion Superior Court, Crim. Div. 24			-	7	

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
	Marion Violations Bureau	7/5/2006	1	3	6	
	Marion Superior Court, Crim. Div. 24			-	7	
	Marion Superior Court, Crim. Div. 4			-		1
	Marion Superior Court, Crim. Div. 5			-	7	
	Marion Superior Court, Crim. Div. 6			-	7	
	Marion Superior Court, Crim. Div. 13	2/3/2009	1	413,538		7
	Marion Superior Court, Crim. Div. 16			-		1
	Marion Superior Court, Crim. Div. 21			-	7	
	(Marion) Beech Grove City Court	8/22/2008	1	8,264	1	
	<i>Marion County Clerk's Office</i>					1
	<i>Marion County Probation Department</i>				11	2
	U.S. Magistrate Southern District	7/16/2009	1	334		6
Marshall				59,275	12	5
	Marshall Superior Court 2	12/1/2006	1	59,274	4	
	Marshall County Court (abolished)	7/8/2011		1		
	<i>Marshall County Clerk's Office</i>				6	
	<i>Marshall County Probation Department</i>				2	5
Martin				11,242	3	5
	Martin Circuit Court	2/23/2007	1	11,242		3
	<i>Martin County Clerk's Office</i>				3	1
	<i>Martin County Probation Department</i>					1
Miami				52,991	21	5
	Miami Circuit Court	12/15/2006	1	4		
	Miami Superior Court 1	5/30/2006	1	20,412	4	1
	Miami Superior Court 2	6/16/2010	1	9	3	
	(Miami) Peru City Court	8/29/2006	1	24,266	1	
	(Miami) Bunker Hill Town Court	2/10/2006	1	8,300	2	1
	<i>Miami County Clerk's Office</i>				4	
	<i>Miami County Probation Department</i>				7	1
	<i>Miami County Community Corrections</i>					2
Monroe				147,496	54	11
	Monroe Circuit Court Div. 1	12/21/2006	1	148		3
	Monroe Circuit Court Div. 2	6/21/2006	1	45,926		1
	Monroe Circuit Court Div. 3	12/19/2006	1	42,066		2
	Monroe Circuit Court Div. 4	12/19/2006	1	1,068		1
	Monroe Circuit Court Div. 5	12/19/2006	1	43,878		1
	Monroe Circuit Court Div. 6	12/20/2006	1	1,020		
	Monroe Circuit Court Div. 7	4/21/2008	1	50		1
	Monroe Circuit Court Div. 8			-		1
	Monroe Circuit Court Div. 9	1/8/2008	1	13,336		
	Monroe Superior 4 (abolished)	9/30/2009		4		
	<i>Monroe County Clerk's Office</i>				10	1
	<i>Monroe County Probation Department</i>				44	

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
Montgomery				50,968	-	6
	Montgomery Superior Court 1	8/17/2009	1	14		
	Montgomery Superior Court 2	9/7/2006	1	49,768		2
	Montgomery County Court (abolished)	9/14/2006		1,186		
	<i>Montgomery County Clerk's Office</i>					4
Morgan				80,434	10	19
	Morgan Circuit Court			-	2	1
	Morgan Superior Court 1			-		2
	(Morgan) Martinsville City Court	3/20/2008	1	35,746	5	
	(Morgan) Mooresville Town Court	8/2/2006	1	44,688	3	1
	<i>Morgan County Court Services</i>					12
	<i>Morgan County Probation Department</i>					2
	<i>Morgan County Community Corrections</i>					1
Newton				28,184	2	5
	Newton Circuit Court	5/28/2008	1	92	2	2
	Newton Superior Court	2/15/2006	1	28,092		1
	<i>Newton County Probation Department</i>					2
Noble				52,118	7	8
	Noble Circuit Court			-	1	1
	Noble Superior Court Div. 1	2/23/2011	1	2	3	
	Noble Superior Court Div. 2	5/9/2006	1	51,880		3
	Noble County Court (abolished)	7/13/2006		236		
	<i>Noble County Clerk's Office</i>				3	3
	<i>Noble County Probation Department</i>					1
Ohio				5,146	-	5
	Ohio Circuit Court	2/17/2009	1	2,888		
	Ohio Superior Court	2/21/2006	1	2,258		1
	<i>Ohio County Clerk's Office</i>					4
Orange				11,070	4	3
	Orange Circuit Court	3/5/2009	1	6		
	Orange Superior Court	1/24/2007	1	11,058		
	Orange County Court (abolished)	2/11/2009		6		
	<i>Orange County Clerk's Office</i>				4	1
	<i>Orange County Probation Department</i>					2
Owen				12,426	3	7
	Owen Circuit Court	3/22/2006	1	12,426	2	2
	Owen County Clerk's Office				1	4
	<i>Owen County Probation Department</i>					1
Parke				8,634	-	8
	Parke Circuit Court	1/17/2007	1	8,634		2
	<i>Parke County Clerk's Office</i>					6
Perry				22,960	3	5
	Perry Circuit Court	1/26/2006	1	22,960		4
	<i>Perry County Clerk's Office</i>				3	
	<i>Perry County Community Corrections</i>					1

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
Pike				21,662	7	2
	Pike Circuit Court	5/31/2006	1	21,662		
	<i>Pike County Clerk's Office</i>				7	1
	<i>Pike County Probation Department</i>					1
Porter				80,547	33	18
	Porter Circuit Court			-		1
	Porter Superior Court 1	1/4/2008	1	14		
	Porter Superior Court 2	12/17/2009	1	18		
	Porter Superior Court 3	6/7/2006	1	36,954	4	
	Porter Superior Court 4	5/11/2006	1	30,364		1
	Porter Superior Court 5	12/10/2009	1	13		
	Porter Superior Court 6	6/7/2006	1	13,184		1
	<i>Porter County Clerk's Office</i>				29	
	<i>Porter County Probation Department</i>					15
Posey				8,735	4	11
	Posey Circuit Court	2/5/2008	1	146		5
	Posey Superior Court	1/13/2006	1	8,586		2
	Posey County Court (abolished)	2/15/2011		3		
	Posey County Clerk's Office				4	1
	<i>Posey County Probation Department</i>					3
Pulaski				7,647	3	3
	Pulaski Circuit Court	9/2/2009	1	2		1
	Pulaski Superior Court	10/31/2006	1	7,644		1
	Pulaski County Court (abolished)	7/8/2011		1		
	<i>Pulaski County Clerk's Office</i>				3	1
Putnam				44,340	-	14
	Putnam Circuit Court			-		1
	Putnam Superior Court	10/13/2006	1	44,208		4
	Putnam County Court (abolished)	1/8/2008		132		
	<i>Putnam County Clerk's Office</i>					5
	<i>Putnam County Probation Department</i>					3
	<i>Putnam County Community Corrections</i>					1
Randolph				27,106	2	5
	Randolph Circuit Court					1
	Randolph Superior Court	10/13/2006	1	2		1
	(Randolph) Winchester City Court	2/27/2007	1	18,060		1
	(Randolph) Union City City Court	9/5/2006	1	9,044		2
	<i>Randolph County Probation Department</i>				2	
Ripley				3,126	1	9
	Ripley Circuit Court	12/20/2005	1	6		4
	Ripley Superior Court	2/20/2009	1	24		2
	(Ripley) Batesville City Court	4/14/2010	1	64	1	
	(Franklin) Batesville City Court	3/20/2007	1	32		
	(Ripley) Versailles Town Court	11/4/2010	1	3,000		2
	<i>Ripley County Clerk's Office</i>					1

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
Rush				31,803	6	1
	Rush Circuit Court	3/21/2006	1	15,348		
	Rush Superior Court	3/21/2006	1	16,452		
	Rush County Court (abolished)	2/25/2010		3		
	<i>Rush County Clerk's Office</i>				6	
	<i>Rush County Probation Department</i>					1
St. Joseph				308,268	11	30
	St. Joseph Circuit Court			-		1
	St. Joseph Superior Court 1	3/16/2007	1	128,516		1
	St. Joseph Superior Court 2	2/11/2009	1	29,224		
	St. Joseph Superior Court 3	4/30/2007	1	29,044		
	St. Joseph Superior Court 4	1/21/2009	1	8,190		
	St. Joseph Superior Court 5	7/9/2007	1	8,176		
	St. Joseph Superior Court 6	3/19/2007	1	47,088		1
	St. Joseph Superior Court 7	4/16/2007	1	8,688		
	St. Joseph Superior Court 8	8/5/2009	1	29,304		
	(St. Joseph) Walkerton Town Court	10/24/2006	1	20,038	2	
	<i>St. Joseph County Clerk's Office</i>				9	7
	<i>St. Joseph County Probation Department</i>					20
Scott				6,592	-	10
	Scott Circuit Court	8/25/2009	1	4		2
	Scott Superior Court	1/25/2006	1	6,588		2
	<i>Scott County Clerk's Office</i>					3
	<i>Scott County Community Corrections</i>					3
Shelby				6,772	20	2
	Shelby Circuit Court	5/20/2011	1	24		
	Shelby Superior Court 1	11/19/2010	1	96		
	Shelby Superior Court 2	12/15/2005	1	6,652	5	
	<i>Shelby County Clerk's Office</i>				7	
	<i>Shelby County Probation Department</i>				8	1
	<i>Shelby County Community Corrections</i>					1
Spencer				17,602	4	4
	Spencer Circuit Court	1/30/2007	1	17,602	3	
	<i>Spencer County Clerk's Office</i>				1	4
Starke				18,054	2	8
	Starke Circuit Court	8/27/2010	1	86		2
	(Starke) Knox City Court	6/9/2006	1	17,968		2
	<i>Starke County Clerk's Office</i>				2	1
	<i>Starke County Probation Department</i>					2
	<i>Starke County Community Corrections</i>					1

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
Steuben				66,914	4	9
	Steuben Circuit Court	8/14/2006	1	682		
	Steuben Superior Court	1/4/2006	1	15,702		1
	(Steuben) Fremont Town Court	1/18/2007	1	50,530		1
	<i>Steuben County Clerk's Office</i>				4	3
	<i>Steuben County Community Corrections</i>					4
Sullivan				24,553	6	4
	Sullivan Superior Court	9/12/2006	1	24,552		1
	Sullivan County Court (abolished)	4/22/2010		1		
	<i>Sullivan County Clerk's Office</i>				6	1
	<i>Sullivan County Probation Department</i>					1
	<i>Sullivan County Community Corrections</i>					1
Switzerland				9,212	1	5
	Switzerland Circuit Court	11/18/2008	1	4,680		
	Switzerland Superior Court	6/29/2006	1	4,532		
	<i>Switzerland County Clerk's Office</i>					4
	<i>Switzerland County Probation Department</i>				1	1
Tippecanoe				121,364	12	27
	Tippecanoe Superior Court 2	1/12/2007	1	4		
	Tippecanoe Superior Court 4	7/8/2011	1	6	2	7
	Tippecanoe Superior Court 5	4/12/2006	1	12		1
	Tippecanoe Superior Court 6	3/21/2006	1	118,918		
	(Tippecanoe) West Lafayette City Court	6/12/2006	1	2,424		6
	<i>Tippecanoe County Clerk's Office</i>				8	5
	<i>Tippecanoe County Probation Department</i>				2	7
	<i>Tippecanoe County Community Corrections</i>					1
Tipton				28,954	-	6
	Tipton Circuit Court	1/13/2011	1	18		
	(Tipton) Tipton City Court	9/26/2007	1	18,576		1
	(Tipton) Sharpsville Town Court	10/6/2006	1	10,360		2
	<i>Tipton County Clerk's Office</i>					1
	<i>Tipton County Probation Department</i>					2
Union				11,384	2	2
	Union Circuit Court	7/10/2007	1	11,384		
	<i>Union County Clerk's Office</i>				2	1
	<i>Union County Community Corrections</i>					1
Vanderburgh				138,336	3	37
	Vanderburgh Circuit Court			-		1
	Vanderburgh Superior Court 1			-		3
	Vanderburgh Superior Court 5	7/11/2006	1	138,336		9
	<i>Vanderburgh County Clerk's Office</i>				3	4
	<i>Vanderburgh County Alcohol & Drug Program</i>					4
	<i>Vanderburgh County Probation Department</i>					8
	<i>Vanderburgh County Community Corrections</i>					8

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
Vermillion				20,554	3	3
	Vermillion Circuit Court			-		2
	(Vermillion) Clinton City Court	2/5/2007	1	20,554		
	<i>Vermillion County Probation Department</i>				3	1
Vigo				87,699	15	13
	Vigo Circuit Court			-		6
	Vigo Superior Court 3	5/12/2011	1	5		
	Vigo Superior Court 4	10/22/2010	1	2		
	Vigo Superior Court 5	8/24/2010	1	2		3
	Vigo Superior Court 6	10/15/2010	1	4	1	
	(Vigo) Terre Haute City Court	10/4/2006	1	87,686	4	2
	<i>Vigo County Clerk's Office</i>				10	2
Wabash				32,824	14	5
	Wabash Superior Court	5/25/2006	1	32,276	1	1
	Wabash County Court (old cases)	12/15/2006		38		
	(Wabash) Wabash City Court			-		1
	(Wabash) North Manchester Town Court	2/16/2006	1	510	1	
	<i>Wabash County Clerk's Office</i>				5	
	<i>Wabash County Probation Department</i>				7	2
	<i>Wabash County Community Corrections</i>					1
Warren				21,762	3	4
	Warren Circuit Court	12/21/2005	1	21,762	3	1
	<i>Warren County Clerk's Office</i>					3
Warrick				57,458	16	4
	Warrick Circuit Court	3/14/2007	1	746	4	2
	Warrick Superior Court 1	10/5/2006	1	24,068	6	
	Warrick Superior Court 2	10/5/2006	1	32,644	3	
	<i>Warrick County Clerk's Office</i>				3	2
Washington				12,240	3	3
	Washington Circuit Court	1/18/2006	1	11,348		2
	Washington Superior Court	9/10/2008	1	892		
	<i>Washington County Clerk's Office</i>				3	
	<i>Washington County Probation Department</i>					1
Wayne				120,680	-	10
	Wayne Superior Court 1			-		2
	Wayne Superior Court 3	6/8/2006	1	110,050		5
	(Wayne) Hagerstown Town Court	1/20/2010	1	10,630		1
	<i>Wayne County Clerk's Office</i>					2
Wells				19,530	14	7
	Wells Circuit Court	5/29/2009	1	30	1	
	Wells Superior Court	12/6/2006	1	2,130	3	
	(Wells) Bluffton City Court	9/27/2006	1	17,370		1
	<i>Wells County Clerk's Office</i>				10	
	<i>Wells County Probation Department</i>					3
	<i>Wells County Community Corrections</i>					3

INcite: BMV SR16 APPLICATION
(Used by 276 courts in 92 counties as of 7/26/2011)

COUNTIES	COURT OR OTHER ENTITY	Date first SR16 transmitted via INcite	# of Courts	Total SR16s transmitted via INcite	# of Users with Enhanced Access	# of Users with Basic Access
White				38,800	8	3
	White Superior Court	2/2/2006	1	38,798		
	(White) Monon Town Court	7/5/2011	1	2		
	White County Clerk's Office				8	
	White County Probation Department					1
	White County Community Corrections					2
Whitley				57,148	9	12
	Whitley Superior Court	6/6/2006	1	57,148	1	
	Whitley County Clerk's Office				8	
	Whitley County Probation Department					5
	Whitley County Community Corrections					7
Other Statewide Organizations				-	38	46
	Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission				13	
	Judicial Technology Automation Committee				25	6
	Bureau of Motor Vehicles					40
	Grand Totals		276	5,329,479	935	1,051

Incite: PROTECTION ORDER REGISTRY (POR) APPLICATION
(Deployed in 393 courts in 92 counties as of July 15, 2011)

COUNTIES	Date first order transmitted via INcite	# of Courts of Record	# of City/Town Courts	# Orders Since Go-Live	# Orders in 2010	Active Orders (Current)	Users
Adams	5/30/2007	2	0	633	152	209	53
Allen	7/1/2009	10	1	12,682	5,308	4,509	435
Bartholomew	7/1/2009	3	0	2,117	1,075	677	65
Benton	1/23/2008	1	0	97	30	46	35
Blackford	1/15/2007	2	0	286	65	34	37
Boone	8/22/2007	3	5	1,250	340	331	152
Brown	4/17/2009	1	0	366	174	139	57
Carroll	8/8/2007	2	2	258	69	89	32
Cass	3/14/2008	3	0	762	262	254	42
Clark	1/18/2008	4	4	3,489	1,126	1,062	149
Clay	5/29/2007	2	0	1,607	328	398	49
Clinton	7/1/2009	2	1	496	223	151	78
Crawford	10/5/2007	1	0	296	84	80	34
Daviess	2/15/2008	2	0	562	186	144	52
Dearborn	8/16/2007	3	2	2,725	694	1,156	140
Decatur	6/5/2009	2	1	308	162	138	31
Dekalb	1/29/2008	3	1	1,032	290	351	109
Delaware	2/1/2008	5	2	2,060	540	623	231
Dubois	4/16/2008	2	0	896	451	504	93
Elkhart	6/15/2009	7	3	1,793	746	968	257
Fayette	1/24/2008	2	0	761	202	165	33
Floyd	2/1/2008	4	0	3,312	922	1,197	89
Fountain	9/11/2007	1	1	287	89	63	36
Franklin	6/5/2009	2	0	280	117	109	32
Fulton	9/27/2007	2	0	576	166	209	33
Gibson	6/15/2009	2	0	513	213	223	88
Grant	11/1/2008	4	2	1,411	599	674	89
Greene	5/29/2008	2	0	1,354	394	318	59
Hamilton	9/5/2005	7	2	3,099	836	716	432
Hancock	2/26/2008	2	0	1,241	351	259	122
Harrison	12/11/2007	2	0	1,364	459	668	48
Hendricks	3/7/2008	6	3	4,335	1,234	1,279	189
Henry	9/4/2007	3	2	1,307	302	239	94
Howard	8/14/2007	5	0	3,779	1,029	773	154
Huntington	3/17/2008	2	1	800	224	230	97
Jackson	12/16/2008	3	0	807	311	252	52
Jasper	6/4/2008	2	1	494	187	152	75
Jay	7/27/2007	2	2	673	152	112	52
Jefferson	8/6/2009	2	0	688	392	355	39
Jennings	4/15/2009	2	0	567	261	245	56
Johnson	6/17/2009	4	2	3,364	1,503	1,084	278
Knox	9/14/2007	3	1	1,007	305	271	44
Kosciusko	11/28/2007	4	0	508	112	127	70
Lagrange	12/18/2007	2	0	671	217	160	49
Lake	7/23/2007	17	10	16,869	4,563	5,813	557
LaPorte	12/1/2007	5	0	2,597	796	591	107
Lawrence	1/17/2008	3	0	1,294	431	458	50

Incite: PROTECTION ORDER REGISTRY (POR) APPLICATION
(Deployed in 393 courts in 92 counties as of July 15, 2011)

COUNTIES	Date first order transmitted via INCite	# of Courts of Record	# of City/Town Courts	# Orders Since Go-Live	# Orders in 2010	Active Orders (Current)	Users
Madison	4/6/2009	6	5	6,105	2,603	2,790	306
Marion	11/1/2007	37	1	68,253	19,489	17,466	1,203
Marshall	12/4/2008	3	0	663	202	210	110
Martin	12/5/2007	1		418	93	181	30
Miami	5/23/2008	3	2	920	303	278	57
Monroe	11/1/2007	9	0	2,192	636	791	327
Montgomery	12/3/2007	3	0	1,203	336	350	49
Morgan	9/20/2007	4	2	1,789	496	462	85
Newton	7/1/2009	2	0	175	94	52	29
Noble	2/13/2008	3	0	1,291	414	364	103
Ohio	12/20/2008	1	0	230	90	71	22
Orange	8/30/2007	2	0	934	218	291	37
Owen	5/16/2007	1	0	869	239	264	42
Parke	1/18/2008	1	0	663	187	295	23
Perry	3/23/2009	1	0	358	155	103	50
Pike	10/20/2008	1	0	133	51	42	18
Porter	8/1/008	7	0	4,246	1,041	1,598	244
Posey	1/10/2008	2	0	804	255	221	40
Pulaski	6/5/2008	2	0	535	167	180	36
Putnam	11/20/2007	2	0	803	203	254	57
Randolph	2/27/088	2	2	171	70	71	54
Ripley	7/1/2009	2	2	653	305	310	66
Rush	9/28/2007	2	0	631	144	160	38
St. Joseph	12/16/2008	10	1	5,531	2,169	2,652	243
Scott	1/29/2008	2	0	892	277	233	38
Shelby	9/25/2007	3	0	1,965	532	419	103
Spencer	10/29/2007	1	0	1,049	269	344	38
Starke	7/31/2007	1	1	758	237	289	40
Steuben	9/18/2007	2	1	1,060	259	342	87
Sullivan	7/1/2009	2	0	464	200	149	39
Switzerland	7/1/2009	1	0	212	106	124	17
Tippecanoe	1/15/2007	7	1	3,172	714	854	356
Tipton	8/7/2007	1	2	439	137	131	47
Union	3/19/2009	1	0	148	64	47	32
Vanderburgh	10/1/2008	8	0	6,687	2,461	1,684	108
Vermillion	8/28/2008	1	1	506	160	74	31
Vigo	4/10/2007	7	1	5,228	1,520	1,934	159
Wabash	3/25/2008	2	2	705	192	170	53
Warren	8/24/2007	1	0	138	30	43	20
Warrick	7/1/2009	3	0	614	316	156	93
Washington	12/11/2007	2	0	1,183	324	414	19
Wayne	5/2/2008	4	1	575	257	106	142
Wells	12/18/2007	2	1	281	66	85	89
White	10/11/2007	2	1	853	267	280	50
Whitley	9/19/2007	2	0	881	227	230	70
Grand Totals		317	76	215,053	67,247	66,169	10,055

INcite: MENTAL HEALTH ADJUDICATION APPLICATION
(Deployed in 316 courts in 92 counties as of July 13, 2011)

COUNTIES	# of Courts	# of Cases Filed with	
		NICS	# of Users
Adams	2	10	6
Allen	10	225	14
Bartholomew	3	21	2
Benton	1		3
Blackford	2		2
Boone	3	2	1
Brown	1	1	5
Carroll	2		7
Cass	3	35	1
Clark	4	12	4
Clay	2		1
Clinton	2	5	1
Crawford	1	4	3
Daviess	2	10	6
Dearborn	3	18	6
Decatur	2		8
Dekalb	3	4	3
Delaware	5	440	8
Dubois	2	294	10
Elkhart	7	163	12
Fayette	2		2
Floyd	4	3	14
Fountain	1		2
Franklin	2	3	4
Fulton	2	115	3
Gibson	2		3
Grant	4	184	3
Greene	2	2	7
Hamilton	7	5	14
Hancock	3		3
Harrison	2	1	5
Hendricks	6		5
Henry	3	25	7
Howard	5	13	12
Huntington	2	28	3
Jackson	3		6
Jasper	2		3
Jay	2	25	4
Jefferson	2	23	2
Jennings	2	1	
Johnson	4	5	4
Knox	3	9	4
Kosciusko	4	11	3
Lagrange	2	5	5
Lake	17	26	4
LaPorte	5	39	8
Lawrence	3	48	4

INcite: MENTAL HEALTH ADJUDICATION APPLICATION
(Deployed in 316 courts in 92 counties as of July 13, 2011)

COUNTIES	# of Courts	# of Cases Filed with NICS	# of Users
Madison	6	66	20
Marion	37	502	18
Marshall	3	5	3
Martin	1		2
Miami	3	3	3
Monroe	9	58	43
Montgomery	3	4	6
Morgan	4	2	7
Newton	2		4
Noble	3	19	1
Ohio	1		2
Orange	2		
Owen	1		4
Parke	1		7
Perry	1		2
Pike	1		1
Porter	6	21	11
Posey	2		3
Pulaski	2		2
Putnam	2	5	1
Randolph	2	1	5
Ripley	2	6	1
Rush	2		6
St Joseph	10	73	13
Scott	2		3
Shelby	3		12
Spencer	1	6	2
Starke	1		1
Steuben	2	6	3
Sullivan	2		
Switzerland	1	1	1
Tippecanoe	7	54	3
Tipton	1		3
Union	1		3
Vanderburgh	8	102	15
Vermillion	1	3	3
Vigo	6	116	5
Wabash	2	2	5
Warren	1	1	1
Warrick	3	7	6
Washington	2		3
Wayne	4	34	8
Wells	2		8
White	2		3
Whitley	2	3	3
Grand Totals	316	2,915	493

Incite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
Adams				7,024	16	9	
	Allen County Sheriff Department			3			
	Berne Police Department	8/24/2011	1	580	7	6	
	Geneva Police Department	11/23/2010	1	431	9	3	
	Indiana State Police		1	6,010			
Allen				89,361	129	128	\$ 88,278
	Allen County Sheriff Department	5/4/2009	1	9,557	60	34	\$ 25,675
	Fort Wayne Police Department	7/8/2011	1	25	17	16	\$ 54,911
	Indiana State Excise Police		1	102	35	52	
	Indiana State Police			77,272			
	Monroeville Police Department	TBD		-		1	\$ 699
	New Haven Police Department	5/4/2009	1	2,149	14	25	\$ 5,594
	Woodburn Police Department	6/15/2009	1	256	3		\$ 1,399
Bartholomew				50,944	35	85	\$ 51,185
	Bartholomew County Sheriff Department	***		6,013	34	9	\$ 17,535
	Columbus Police Department	***		12	1	76	\$ 33,650
	Indiana State Excise Police			38			
	Indiana State Police			44,881			
Benton				2,480			
	Indiana State Excise Police			9			
	Indiana State Police			2,471			
Blackford				3,237	14	8	\$ 3,952
	Blackford County Sheriff Department	8/7/2010	1	450	9	2	\$ 1,260
	Hartford City Police Department	1/28/2011	1	435	5	6	\$ 2,692
	Indiana State Excise Police			1			
	Indiana State Police			2,351			
Boone				32,719	65	52	\$ 23,167
	Advance Police Department	10/19/2010	1	25	1	15	
	Boone County Sheriff Department	7/1/2007	1	8,867	23	18	\$ 8,992
	Brownsburg Police Department			5			
	Carmel Police Department			2			
	Indiana State Excise Police			13			
	Indiana State Police			14,188			
	Jamestown Police Department	8/26/2010	1	133	7	4	
	Lebanon Police Department	5/24/2008	1	7,395	19	6	\$ 6,896
	Thorntown Police Department	1/14/2011	1	108	3	5	
	Whitestown Police Department	6/13/2010	1	1,983	12	4	\$ 7,279
Brown				5,619	13	12	\$ 7,342
	Brown County Sheriff Department	7/31/2010	1	1,313	8	8	\$ 4,720
	Indiana State Excise Police			1			
	Indiana State Police			3,032			
	Nashville Police Department	8/3/2010	1	1,273	5	4	\$ 2,622
Carroll				13,549		12	\$ 4,038
	Burlington Police Department	TBD		-		1	
	Delphi Police Department	TBD		-		6	\$ 2,019
	Flora Police Department	TBD		-		5	\$ 2,019

INcite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
	Indiana State Excise Police			5			
	Indiana State Police			13,544			
Cass				11,781	11	39	\$ 10,430
	Cass County Sheriff Department	TBD		-		4	\$ 4,038
	Galveston Police Department	11/3/2009	1	41	4	6	\$ 1,008
	Indiana State Excise Police			32			
	Indiana State Police			11,619			
	Logansport Police Department	5/12/2011	1	83	7	29	\$ 5,384
	Pulaski County Sheriff Department			6			
Clark				139,914	51	98	\$ 33,034
	Charlestown Police Department	10/5/2008	1	6,830	20	5	\$ 12,475
	Clark County Sheriff's Office	12/9/2009	1	6,988	15	14	\$ 7,150
	Indiana State Excise Police			3			
	Indiana State Police			121,047			
	Jeffersonville Police Department	TBD		-		74	\$ 6,385
	Sellersburg Police Department	11/21/2009	1	5,046	16	5	\$ 7,024
Clay				18,247			
	Indiana State Police			18,247			
Clinton				18,730	26	10	\$ 12,216
	Clinton County Sheriff Department	4/11/2009	1	7,282	22	6	\$ 10,489
	Colfax Police Department	TBD		-		1	\$ 639
	Indiana State Excise Police			4			
	Indiana State Police			10,446			
	Rossville Police Department	7/19/2009	1	998	4	3	\$ 1,089
Crawford				20,112	6	3	
	Crawford County Sheriff Department	2/26/2011	1	145	6	3	
	Indiana State Police			19,830			
	Milltown Police Department			137			
Daviess				14,254			
	Indiana State Excise Police			7			
	Indiana State Police			14,247			
Dearborn				51,944	37	30	\$ 17,936
	Aurora Police Department	8/28/2008	1	2,307	9	3	
	Dearborn County Sheriff Department	9/26/2008	1	1,093	9	18	\$ 10,419
	Dillsboro Police Department	9/17/2008	1	958	3		\$ 1,573
	Greendale Police Department	2/9/2009	1	2,618	9	2	\$ 5,244
	Indiana State Police			40,697			
	Lawrenceburg Police Department	5/23/2008	1	4,231	6	7	
	Moore's Hill Police Department	1/27/2010	1	40	1		\$ 699
Decatur				20,461	15	3	\$ 1,916
	Decatur County Sheriff Department	11/4/2009	1	1,198	13	2	
	Indiana State Police			19,120			
	Saint Paul Police Department	12/29/2010	1	46	1		
	Westport Police Department	12/28/2010	1	97	1	1	\$ 1,916

INcite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJ
DeKalb				15,915	33	40	\$ 23,827
	Ashley Police Department	6/21/2011	1	3	4		\$ 673
	Auburn Police Department	7/1/2009	1	2,443	13	17	\$ 10,700
	Butler Police Department	*		98	1	12	\$ 1,916
	Dekalb County Sheriff Department	1/3/2009	1	744	8	6	\$ 6,500
	Indiana State Police			11,968			
	Waterloo Police Department	12/1/2008	1	659	7	5	\$ 4,039
Delaware				50,487	27	75	\$ 3,825
	Delaware County Sheriff Department	8/27/2009	1	2,973	23	69	
	Indiana State Excise Police			130			
	Indiana State Police			46,203			
	Muncie Police Department	12/7/2007	1	1,181	4	6	\$ 3,825
Dubois				24,540	18	26	\$ 9,290
	Birdseye Police Department	8/14/2010	1	85	1	1	
	Dubois County Sheriff Department	TBD		-			\$ 2,098
	Ferdinand Police Department	6/9/2008	1	1,928	7	1	
	Huntingburg Police Department	6/17/2010	1	1,067	6	3	
	Indiana State Excise Police			1			
	Indiana State Police			20,734			
	Jasper Police Department	12/30/2010	1	725	4	21	\$ 7,192
Elkhart				97,559	82	104	\$ 27,347
	Bristol Police Department	2/25/2011	1	143	4	3	
	Elkhart County Sheriff Department	3/5/2009	1	28,526	23	78	\$ 7,867
	Goshen Police Department	3/6/2009	1	11,808	44	18	\$ 18,355
	Indiana State Excise Police			52			
	Indiana State Police			56,377			
	Millersburg Police Department	4/14/2008	1	315	1	5	\$ 1,125
	Wakarusa Police Department	10/28/2010	1	338	10		
Fayette				11,933			
	Attica Police Department			2			
	Indiana State Excise Police			9			
	Indiana State Police			11,922			
Floyd				50,649	67	36	\$ 21,427
	Clark County Sheriff's Office			3			
	Floyd County Sheriff Department	1/1/2008	1	2,591	27	11	\$ 14,300
	Georgetown Police Department	3/11/2010	1	8		4	\$ 1,277
	Indiana State Excise Police			3			
	Indiana State Police			32,743			
	New Albany Police Department	12/31/2008	1	15,301	40	21	\$ 5,850
Fountain				11,352	14	10	\$ 1,573
	Attica Police Department	12/8/2008	1	1,822	10	5	\$ 1,573
	Fountain County Sheriff Department	11/18/2009	1	91	4	5	
	Indiana State Police			9,439			
Franklin				14,201	9	3	\$ 6,293
	Franklin County Sheriff Department	9/11/2010	1	773	9	3	\$ 6,293
	Indiana State Police			13,428			

INcite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
Fulton				16,173	10	4	\$ 5,244
	Indiana State Police			14,601			
	Rochester Police Department	4/8/2009	1	1,572	10	4	\$ 5,244
Gibson				29,534	27	5	\$ 4,938
	Fort Branch Police Department	8/22/2010	1	205	7		
	Gibson County Sheriff's Office	1/21/2009	1	2,301	10	1	\$ 1,573
	Haubstadt Police Department	8/7/2010	1	55	2	2	
	Indiana State Excise Police			10			
	Indiana State Police			26,274			
	Oakland City Police Department	2/25/2011	1	582	6		\$ 3,365
	Owensville Police Department	8/21/2010	1	107	2	2	
Grant				49,049	66	20	\$ 47,773
	Fairmount Police Department	TBD		-			\$ 2,692
	Gas City Police Department	5/20/2011	1	121	5	1	\$ 4,038
	Grant County Sheriff Department	9/26/2009	1	6,432	25	9	\$ 16,036
	Indiana State Excise Police			2			
	Indiana State Police			34,839			
	Marion Police Department	12/10/2008	1	6,779	25	3	\$ 19,411
	Swayzee Police Department	2/25/2011	1	113	5	1	\$ 3,646
	Sweetser Police Department	1/19/2010	1	758	4	1	\$ 1,950
	Van Buren Police Department	6/8/2011	1	5	2	5	
Greene				20,758	6	3	\$ 3,671
	Bloomington Police Department			39			
	Indiana State Excise Police			11			
	Indiana State Police			19,766			
	Linton Police Department	12/15/2008	1	942	6	3	\$ 3,671
Hamilton				77,821	134	126	\$ 145,137
	Atlanta Police Department	7/13/2010	1	24	2	7	\$ 1,916
	Carmel Police Department	6/2/2010	1	26,730	52	72	\$ 59,606
	Cicero Police Department	11/6/2010	1	409	5	3	\$ 1,950
	Fishers Police Department	10/9/2007	1	14,164	35	14	\$ 39,750
	Fortville Police Department			9			
	Greenfield City Police Department			2			
	Hamilton County Sheriff Department	4/9/2010	1	1,394	26	20	\$ 13,000
	Hendricks County Sheriff Department			3			
	Indiana State Excise Police			192			
	Indiana State Police			31,313			
	Noblesville PD	***		-			\$ 19,369
	Sheridan Police Department	8/21/2010	1	219	4	2	\$ 2,554
	Westfield Police Department	***		3,362	10	8	\$ 6,993
Hancock				56,954	56	27	\$ 34,004
	Cumberland Police Department			37			
	Fortville Police Department	5/27/2009	1	3,916	17		\$ 4,196
	Greenfield City Police Department	3/20/2009	1	12,658	27	18	\$ 15,733
	Hancock County Sheriff Department	10/30/2008	1	2,536	6	1	\$ 6,672
	Henry County Sheriff Department			2			

INCite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
	Indiana State Excise Police			3			
	Indiana State Police			37,691			
	New Palestine Police Department	6/17/2011	1	32	3	6	\$ 2,692
	Shirley Police Department			42			
	Wilkinson Police Department	11/5/2010	1	37	3	2	\$ 673
	McCordsville Police Department	TBD		-			\$ 4,038
Harrison				15,877	10	7	\$ 9,464
	Harrison County Sheriff Department	7/12/2010	1	332	9	3	\$ 7,777
	Indiana Gaming Commission			7			
	Indiana State Excise Police			1			
	Indiana State Police			15,324			
	Milltown Police Department	4/25/2009	1	213	1	4	\$ 1,049
	Palmyra Police Department	TBD		-			\$ 639
Hendricks				67,330	123	57	\$ 60,480
	Avon Police Department	9/11/2008	1	4,609	19	3	\$ 12,363
	Brownsburg Police Department	10/9/2008	1	8,521	37	16	\$ 12,586
	Clayton Police Department	10/30/2010	1	108	5	2	\$ 2,019
	Danville Police Department	10/27/2008	1	2,337	6	14	\$ 7,867
	Hendricks County Sheriff Department	2/14/2009	1	3,435	10	1	\$ 5,244
	Indiana State Excise Police			6			
	Indiana State Police			36,669			
	North Salem Police Department	3/31/2011	1	45	1	1	\$ 1,346
	Pittsboro Police Department	9/19/2008	1	1,685	8	7	\$ 3,671
	Plainfield Police Department	7/24/2009	1	9,775	34	12	\$ 14,684
	Stilesville Police Department	1/23/2009	1	140	3	1	\$ 699
Henry				32,045	33	26	\$ 14,354
	Greenfield City Police Department			156			
	Hancock County Sheriff Department			22			
	Henry County Sheriff Department	11/10/2008	1	2,228	24	16	\$ 10,489
	Indiana State Police			29,259			
	Knightstown Police Department	7/19/2009	1	70	3	6	\$ 1,916
	Richmond City Police Department			250			
	Shirley Police Department	8/25/2009	1	60	6	4	\$ 1,950
Howard				27,481	35	117	\$ 23,182
	Howard County Sheriff Department	3/1/2009	1	4,514	19	24	\$ 8,119
	Indiana State Excise Police			2			
	Indiana State Police			21,129			
	Kokomo Police Department	10/20/2009	1	1,796	15	93	\$ 15,063
	Russiaville Police Department	5/6/2011	1	40	1		
Huntington				43,456	49	31	\$ 20,040
	Huntington County Sheriff Department	10/31/2010	1	248	6	10	\$ 3,193
	Huntington Police Department	3/27/2009	1	5,861	26	14	\$ 11,090
	Indiana State Police			35,553			
	Markle Police Department	6/26/2009	1	331	5	1	\$ 1,916
	Roanoke Police Department	6/27/2009	1	505	6	5	\$ 1,916
	Warren Town Police Department	6/26/2009	1	958	6	1	\$ 1,927

**INcite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)**

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
Jackson				66,981			
	Indiana State Excise Police			42			
	Indiana State Police			66,939			
Jasper				25,932	11	2	\$ 5,896
	Indiana State Excise Police			5			
	Indiana State Police			24,286			
	Remington Police Department	1/28/2011	1	198	2		\$ 1,346
	Rensselaer Police Department	11/13/2009	1	1,443	9	2	\$ 4,550
Jay				10,196			
	Indiana State Excise Police			1			
	Indiana State Police			10,195			
Jefferson				19,673	15	3	\$ 3,147
	Hanover Police Department	8/27/2008	1	1,958	15	3	\$ 3,147
	Indiana State Excise Police			28			
	Indiana State Police			17,687			
Jennings				11,270			
	Indiana State Police			11,270			
Johnson				50,116	161	113	\$ 96,730
	Bargersville Police Department	11/14/2008	1	2,004	8	7	\$ 3,137
	Franklin City Police Department	9/14/2010	1	3,168	34	18	\$ 13,635
	Greenwood Police Department	6/15/2010	1	19,352	40	21	\$ 37,034
	Indiana State Excise Police			27			
	Indiana State Police			13,527			
	Johnson County Sheriff's Office	7/16/2010	1	11,177	60	49	\$ 36,867
	New Whiteland Police Department	12/20/2010	1	508	8	6	\$ 2,019
	Trafalgar Police Department	4/9/2011	1	74	5	6	\$ 2,019
	Whiteland Police Department	1/15/2011	1	279	6	6	\$ 2,019
Knox				47,783	42	16	\$ 17,306
	Bicknell Police Department					6	
	Indiana State Excise Police			142			
	Indiana State Police			38,800			
	Knox County Sheriff Department	12/10/2008	1	1,987	12	3	\$ 6,293
	Vincennes Police Department	4/13/2009	1	6,854	30	7	\$ 11,013
Kosciusko				34,794	41	33	\$ 15,733
	Indiana State Police			24,553			
	Kosciusko County Sheriff Office	6/19/2009	1	3,168	14	14	\$ 6,293
	Mentone Police Department	12/4/2008	1	611	4	2	\$ 1,049
	North Webster Police Department	12/4/2008	1	1,590	4		\$ 2,098
	Warsaw Police Department	2/19/2008	1	3,459	14	13	\$ 3,147
	Winona Lake Police Department	12/12/2008	1	1,413	5	4	\$ 3,147
LaGrange				37,630			
	Indiana State Excise Police			1			
	Indiana State Police			37,629			

INcite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
Lake				296,704	292	206	\$ 145,351
	Cedar Lake PD	TBD		-			\$ 5,200
	Crown Point Police Department	5/29/2009	1	1,926	10	2	\$ 5,244
	Dyer Police Department	4/24/2009	1	3,013	17	10	\$ 11,013
	East Chicago Police Department	7/26/2010	1	68	1	2	\$ 7,808
	Griffith Police Department	1/1/2009	1	12,427	27	2	\$ 5,244
	Hammond Police Department	9/26/2008	1	29,846	125	108	\$ 38,050
	Highland Police Department	11/21/2008	1	26,980	33	14	\$ 14,160
	Hobart Police Department	11/13/2008	1	7,391	16		\$ 9,294
	Indiana State Excise Police			92			
	Indiana State Police			184,638			
	Lake Station Police Department	TBD		-		28	
	Lowell Police Department	11/1/2009	1	14	1	10	\$ 6,072
	Merrillville Police Department	9/2/2009	1	13,435	23	4	\$ 10,489
	Schererville Police Department	10/20/2008	1	16,852	38	13	\$ 20,455
	St John Police Department	*		6	1	13	\$ 9,630
	Northern IN Commuter Transit Dist Police			16			
LaPorte				55,017	74	109	\$ 66,698
	Indiana State Excise Police			17			
	Indiana State Police			45,535			
	LaPorte County Sheriff Department	6/26/2009	1	5,273	24	40	\$ 13,635
	LaPorte Police Department	6/9/2011	1	64	13	7	\$ 10,095
	Long Beach City Police Department	11/27/2009	1	89	2	3	\$ 8,196
	Michigan City Police Department	6/4/2010	1	4,032	34	58	\$ 33,426
	New Carlisle Police Department			3			
	Trail Creek Police Department	6/28/2011	1	4	1	1	\$ 1,346
Lawrence				26,997	2	1	
	Indiana State Police			26,650			
	Oolitic Police Department	7/10/2009	1	347	2	1	
Madison				55,240	75	32	\$ 49,362
	Alexandria City Police Department	5/19/2011	1	17	4	11	\$ 7,127
	Chesterfield Police Department	3/26/2011	1	48	3	1	\$ 3,365
	Edgewood Police Department	10/19/2010	1	303	10	2	\$ 7,000
	Fortville Police Department			2			
	Indiana State Excise Police			3			
	Indiana State Police			44,087			
	Ingalls Police Department	4/27/2009	1	1,966	17	2	\$ 2,350
	Madison County Sheriff Department	7/9/2009	1	7,223	26	11	\$ 22,520
	Markleville Police Department	10/7/2010	1	11	1		
	Pendleton Police Department	11/3/2009	1	1,580	14	5	\$ 7,000
Marion				338,995	1,142	583	\$ 533,141
	Carmel Police Department			14			
	Cumberland Police Department	10/23/2010	1	418	12	10	
	Greenwood Police Department			3			
	Hendricks County Sheriff Department			2			
	Homecroft Police Department	8/2/2009	1	1,071	4	5	\$ 8,423

Incite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
	Indiana Department of Natural Resources					18	
	Indiana Gaming Commission			12			
	Indiana State Excise Police	2/13/2009	1	436	4	54	\$ 3,528
	Indiana State Police	12/1/2007	1	265,568	1,057	398	\$ 448,184
	Indianapolis Metropolitan Police	***		51,630		13	
	Lawrence Police Department	8/7/2010	1	5,514	38	34	\$ 47,080
	Marian University Police Department	3/7/2011	1	3	2	6	
	Pike Township School Police	5/16/2011	1	1	1	3	\$ 2,019
	Speedway Police Department	11/21/2008	1	14,323	24	42	\$ 23,907
Marshall				25,416	13	20	\$ 6,057
	Bourbon Police Department	3/5/2011	1	100	4	1	\$ 1,346
	Bremen Police Department	TBD		-		2	\$ 2,692
	Indiana State Police			25,159			
	Plymouth City Police Department	5/20/2011	1	151	9	17	\$ 4,711
	Walkerton Police Department			6			
Martin				11,944	4	8	\$ 5,988
	Indiana State Police			11,365			
	Loogootee Police Department	7/16/2009	1	354	2	8	\$ 1,277
	Martin County Sheriff Department	12/5/2010	1	225	2		\$ 4,711
Miami				42,051	18	8	\$ 9,948
	Bunker Hill Police Department	11/24/2009	1	417	7	1	\$ 1,300
	Indiana State Excise Police			14			
	Indiana State Police			36,530			
	Miami County Sheriff Department	6/21/2010	1	1,507	3	2	
	Peru Police Department	12/3/2009	1	3,583	8	5	\$ 8,648
Monroe				83,949	133	101	\$ 70,146
	Bloomington Police Department	12/6/2007	1	12,205	80	27	\$ 14,696
	Ellettsville Police Department	12/12/2007	1	8,755	11	12	\$ 19,250
	Indiana State Excise Police			276			
	Indiana State Police			56,659			
	Indiana University Bloomington Police	12/4/2008	1	1,008	19	25	\$ 3,900
	Martinsville Police Department			4			
	Monroe County Sheriff Department	12/14/2007	1	5,012	21	36	\$ 28,800
	Stinesville Police Department	12/4/2008	1	30	2	1	\$ 3,500
Montgomery				21,816	2		\$ 639
	Indiana State Excise Police			14			
	Indiana State Police			21,685			
	Linden Police Department	11/6/2010	1	117	2		\$ 639
Morgan				45,573	54	34	\$ 20,134
	Indiana State Excise Police			6			
	Indiana State Police			40,441			
	Martinsville Police Department	8/2/2010	1	1,595	23	8	\$ 7,024
	Monrovia Police Department	6/3/2011	1	76	4	1	
	Morgan County Sheriff Department	11/17/2008	1	3,455	27	25	\$ 13,111

INcite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
Newton				11,926	9	10	\$ 7,024
	Indiana State Police			8,617			
	Newton County Sheriff Department	8/4/2010	1	3,309	9	10	\$ 7,024
Noble				14,645	46	29	\$ 28,537
	Albion Police Department	1/1/2011	1	298	9	10	\$ 2,019
	Indiana State Police			11,165			
	Kendallville Police Department	8/1/2010	1	607	11	5	\$ 12,115
	Ligonier Police Department	8/2/2010	1	1,992	15	5	\$ 9,019
	Noble County Sheriff Department	3/27/2011	1	583	11	9	\$ 5,384
Ohio				925			
	Indiana State Police			925			
Orange				10,124	4	3	\$ 4,619
	French Lick Police Department	TBD		-		2	\$ 2,019
	Indiana State Police			9,648			
	West Baden Springs Police Department	12/13/2009	1	476	4	1	\$ 2,600
Owen				13,096			
	Indiana State Police			13,096			
Parke				7,768	1	4	\$ 4,038
	Indiana State Excise Police			2			
	Indiana State Police			7,765			
	Parke County Sheriff Department	7/13/2011	1	1	1	4	\$ 4,038
Perry				18,387	7	5	\$ 2,098
	Cannelton Police Department	8/21/2008	1	1,506	7	5	\$ 2,098
	Indiana State Excise Police			2			
	Indiana State Police			16,879			
Pike				11,856		2	\$ 673
	Indiana State Excise Police			12			
	Indiana State Police			11,844			
	Spurgeon Police Department	TBD		-		2	\$ 673
Porter				118,248	151	108	\$ 52,349
	Burns Harbor Police Department	10/26/2009	1	1,937	4	3	\$ 3,912
	Chesterton Police Department	1/31/2011	1	545	9	7	\$ 5,384
	Hebron Police Department	6/1/2010	1	536	7	11	\$ 4,470
	Indiana State Excise Police			5			
	Indiana State Police			75,753			
	Ogden Dunes Police Department	5/22/2011	1	143	11	4	\$ 2,019
	Portage Police Department	1/12/2009	1	7,729	16	44	\$ 5,244
	Porter County Sheriff Department	9/15/2009	1	17,668	50	9	\$ 13,792
	Porter Police Department	6/5/2008	1	2,543	8	13	\$ 13,036
	Valparaiso Police Department	2/20/2008	1	11,370	44	11	\$ 3,147
	Northern IN Commuter Transit Dist Police	5/1/2011	1	19	2	6	\$ 1,346
Posey				16,656			
	Indiana State Excise Police			5			
	Indiana State Police			16,651			

INcite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
Pulaski				7,751	18	5	\$ 7,217
	Francesville Police Department	TBD		1	1		\$ 650
	Indiana State Police			3,453			
	Medaryville Police Department	10/22/2009	1	330	3	2	\$ 650
	Pulaski County Sheriff Department	1/1/2009	1	3,885	12	3	\$ 5,244
	Winamac Police Department	3/3/2011	1	82	2		\$ 673
Putnam				20,990	4	36	\$ 504
	Indiana State Excise Police			10			
	Indiana State Police			20,928			
	Putnam County Sheriff Department	TBD		-	1	35	
	Roachdale Police Department	6/20/2010	1	52	3	1	\$ 504
Randolph				9,152	1	1	\$ 504
	Indiana State Police			9,147			
	Losantville Police Department	3/12/2011	1	5	1	1	\$ 504
Ripley				23,635	2		
	Indiana State Excise Police			2			
	Indiana State Police			23,131			
	Osgood Police Department	10/2/2009	1	502	2		
Rush				14,533	13	31	\$ 9,282
	Carthage Police Department	4/19/2009	1	34	2	4	
	Indiana State Excise Police			10			
	Indiana State Police			12,695			
	Rush County Sheriff Department	4/16/2011	1	367	4	15	\$ 4,038
	Rushville Police Department	6/29/2010	1	1,427	7	12	\$ 5,244
Scott				10,341		12	\$ 10,450
	Indiana State Police			10,341			
	Scott County Sheriff Department	TBD		-		12	\$ 7,124
	Scottsburg PD	TBD		-			\$ 3,326
Shelby				23,663	57	45	\$ 43,950
	Indiana State Excise Police			7			
	Indiana State Police			10,359			
	Morristown Police Department	1/24/2009	1	589	2	1	\$ 1,399
	Saint Paul Police Department			7			
	Shelby County Sheriff Department	4/2/2009	1	3,595	23	24	\$ 14,678
	Shelbyville Police Department	2/13/2009	1	9,106	32	20	\$ 27,874
Spencer				15,997			
	Indiana State Excise Police			2			
	Indiana State Police			15,995			
St. Joseph				111,342	186	319	\$ 121,729
	Indiana State Excise Police			506			
	Indiana State Police			79,651			
	Lakeville Police Department	5/27/2009	1	738	5	2	\$ 2,104
	Mishawaka Police Department	8/28/2009	1	3,561	17	84	\$ 12,132
	New Carlisle Police Department	7/29/2009	1	1,308	7	7	\$ 3,250
	North Liberty Police Department	8/16/2009	1	1,096	5	7	\$ 1,300
	Roseland Police Department	3/3/2009	1	35	2	4	\$ 2,098

INcite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
	South Bend Police Department	7/21/2009	1	10,381	73	134	\$ 48,396
	St. Joseph County Police Department	5/5/2009	1	11,928	63	63	\$ 49,257
	Walkerton Police Department	6/29/2009	1	2,138	14	18	\$ 3,193
Starke				13,607	2	10	\$ 9,422
	Indiana State Police			13,579			
	Knox City Police Department	TBD		-			\$ 3,365
	North Judson Police Department	TBD		-			\$ 2,019
	Starke County Sheriff Department	1/26/2011	1	28	2	10	\$ 4,038
Steuben				79,777	44	39	\$ 8,985
	Angola Police Department	4/5/2008	1	7,164	18	28	
	Ashley Police Department			8			
	Hudson Police Department	2/19/2011	1	45	2		\$ 1,346
	Indiana State Police			68,797			
	Orland Police Department	8/11/2010	1	132	2	1	\$ 2,439
	Steuben County Sheriff Office	10/19/2009	1	3,631	22	10	\$ 5,200
Sullivan				23,769	9	13	\$ 3,500
	Indiana State Excise Police			21			
	Indiana State Police			21,059			
	Sullivan City Police Department	1/18/2011	1	26	2	6	\$ 3,500
	Sullivan County Sheriff Department	1/18/2011	1	2,663	7	7	
Switzerland				8,268			
	Indiana State Police			8,268			
Tippecanoe				41,940			\$ 57,303
	Dayton Police Department	***		-			\$ 2,019
	Indiana State Excise Police			102			
	Indiana State Police			41,838			
	Lafayette Police Department	***		-			\$ 26,746
	Purdue University Police Department	***		-			\$ 3,365
	Tippecanoe County Sheriff Department	***		-			\$ 17,306
	West Lafayette Police Department	***		-			\$ 7,867
Tipton				15,787			
	Indiana State Police			15,787			
Union				8,849			
	Indiana State Excise Police			2			
	Indiana State Police			8,847			
Vanderburgh				43,027			\$ 62,933
	Evansville Police Department	***		-			\$ 41,955
	Indiana State Excise Police			250			
	Indiana State Police			42,777			
	Vanderburgh County Sheriff Department	***		-			\$ 20,978
Vermillion				18,674	2	13	\$ 6,057
	Clinton Police Department	5/11/2011	1	118	1	13	\$ 2,692
	Indiana State Excise Police			1			
	Indiana State Police			18,552			
	Vermillion County Sheriff Department	7/4/2011	1	3	1		\$ 3,365

Incite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
Vigo				79,030	103	127	\$ 35,344
	Indiana State Excise Police			189			
	Indiana State Police			65,783			
	Indiana State University Police	11/25/2010	1	514	13	9	
	Terre Haute Police Department	8/31/2009	1	6,733	63	73	\$ 26,222
	Vigo County Sheriff Department	5/8/2008	1	5,808	27	29	\$ 6,500
	Vigo County Sheriff Reserve Officers			3			
	West Terre Haute	***		-		16	\$ 2,622
Wabash				18,458	11	12	\$ 10,040
	Indiana State Police			16,028			
	North Manchester Police Department	1/1/2009	1	866	5	9	\$ 4,796
	Wabash County Sheriff Department	4/21/2009	1	1,564	6	3	\$ 5,244
Warren				8,543	12	1	\$ 7,150
	Attica Police Department			5			
	Indiana State Excise Police			6			
	Indiana State Police			7,140			
	Warren County Sheriff Department	10/8/2008	1	1,245	7		\$ 4,550
	West Lebanon Police Department	9/16/2008	1	75	3	1	\$ 1,300
	Williamsport Police Department	9/16/2008	1	72	2		\$ 1,300
Warrick				26,427		53	\$ 30,492
	Boonville Police Department	***		-		16	\$ 3,147
	Chandler Police Department	***		-		12	\$ 9,514
	Indiana State Excise Police			32			
	Indiana State Police			26,395			
	Newburgh Police Department	***		-			\$ 4,720
	Warrick County Sheriff Department	***		-		25	\$ 13,111
Washington				11,441			
	Indiana State Police			11,441			
Wayne				44,521	30	80	\$ 21,825
	Dublin PD	TBD		40	2		\$ 699
	Fountain City Police Department	11/18/2009	1	15	2	1	\$ 673
	Greens Fork PD	4/15/2009	1	215	4		\$ 1,399
	Indiana State Excise Police			2			
	Indiana State Police			34,149			
	Milton PD	4/21/2009	1	132	1		\$ 699
	Richmond City Police Department	4/15/2009	1	7,708	10	54	\$ 5,244
	Wayne County Sheriff Department	11/10/2009	1	2,260	11	25	\$ 13,111
Wells				10,589	35	8	\$ 1,399
	Bluffton Police Department	5/28/2009	1	3,602	19	5	
	Indiana State Excise Police			10			
	Indiana State Police			4,889			
	Markle Police Department			111			
	Ossian Police Department	5/23/2009	1	976	5	1	\$ 1,399
	Wells County Sheriff Department	5/27/2009	1	1,001	11	2	

INcite: ELECTRONIC CITATION & WARNING SYSTEM (eCWS) APPLICATION
(Used by 230 Law Enforcement Agencies in 92 counties as of July 21, 2011)

COUNTIES	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES	eCWS Go-Live Date	# of LEAs	# UTTs written	# of officers	# other users	Equipment value granted by JTAC/ICJI
White				18,872			
	Indiana State Excise Police			8			
	Indiana State Police			18,860			
	Pulaski County Sheriff Department			4			
Whitley				32,025	18	22	\$ 18,355
	Columbia City Police Department	11/22/2008	1	2,900	8	15	\$ 10,489
	Indiana State Police			27,359			
	Whitley County Sheriff Department	4/27/2009	1	1,766	10	7	\$ 7,867
	Grand Total		230	3,486,206	4,061	3,463	\$ 2,327,415
TBD	-Agencies not yet deployed						
***	-Agencies using non-eCWS application						
*	-Agencies no longer using eCWS						

INcite: TAX WARRANT APPLICATION
(Used by 47 counties as of 7/13/2011)

COUNTIES	Date first tax warrant transmitted via INcite	# of Counties	# of Tax Warrants transmitted	# of Satisfactions transmitted	# of Users
Benton	4/15/2008	1	2,405	1,469	5
Blackford	9/2/2008	1	2,512	1,606	5
Boone	1/1/2011	1	53,495	23,578	11
Carroll	4/8/2008	1	5,380	3,573	4
Cass	7/3/2008	1	6,914	3,761	6
Clark	6/15/2010	1	114,761	51,767	15
Crawford	7/3/2008	1	2,019	1,266	4
DeKalb	5/1/2008	1	15,698	5,446	6
Floyd	2/17/2009	1	54,089	24,114	5
Fulton	5/1/2008	1	4,850	2,579	8
Greene	4/28/2008	1	14,831	5,197	9
Hamilton	5/16/2009	1	203,065	104,280	18
Harrison	12/1/2009	1	9,912	4,808	6
Huntington	8/14/2008	1	23,548	13,376	3
Jackson	2/9/2009	1	17,874	11,349	5
Jasper	1/2/2009	1	14,842	8,709	12
Jay	6/29/2010	1	7,549	4,501	6
Jennings	11/25/2008	1	5,438	2,772	3
Knox	5/8/2008	1	8,911	4,812	6
LaPorte	9/15/2008	1	76,731	40,834	16
Lawrence	2/17/2009	1	24,493	14,483	8
Madison	10/14/2008	1	61,988	32,894	10
Monroe	12/11/2007	1	129,366	56,940	5
Newton	7/8/2009	1	4,610	2,478	9
Noble	3/19/2009	1	7,431	2,633	10
Orange	7/10/2008	1	4,836	1,872	4
Owen	5/19/2008	1	4,943	2,936	6
Parke	6/2/2008	1	3,682	2,353	8
Porter	4/8/2008	1	48,558	25,091	8
Posey	7/3/2008	1	4,661	2,433	5
Pulaski	11/9/2009	1	10,706	4,403	6
Randolph	5/12/2008	1	5,432	2,999	4
Rush	3/19/2009	1	10,951	5,120	5
St Joseph	4/8/2008	1	69,810	36,778	5
Scott	1/2/2009	1	11,447	7,559	9
Shelby	9/1/2010	1	14,184	7,275	4
Starke	8/17/2010	1	14,019	4,623	4
Steuben	3/4/2008	1	9,708	6,153	9
Tippecanoe	1/1/2011	1	76,174	84,817	12
Tipton	8/6/2008	1	2,838	1,817	4
Union	6/16/2008	1	1,793	873	4
Vanderburgh	3/3/2008	1	57,288	29,407	7
Vermillion	1/20/2009	1	11,980	6,705	6
Warren	5/19/2010	1	4,558	2,181	6
Washington	7/3/2008	1	5,735	3,296	5
White	1/20/2009	1	5,615	3,239	7
Whitley	9/3/2010	1	14,126	6,045	8
Grand Totals		47	1,265,756	677,200	331

INCite: MARRIAGE LICENSE APPLICATION
(Used by 74 counties as of July 13, 2011)

COUNTIES	Date first application issued	# of Counties	# applications issued	# marriages recorded	# users	On-Line Public Access?
Allen	5/4/2011	1	505	304	18	Yes
Bartholomew	3/3/2009	1	1,416	1,098	15	
Benton	7/13/2007	1	222	212	6	
Blackford	7/8/2011	1	2	-	5	
Boone	5/25/2007	1	1,519	1,446	10	Yes
Brown	8/3/2009	1	203	184	6	Yes
Carroll	4/24/2007	1	605	574	4	Yes
Clark	4/16/2009	1	1,536	1,389	16	Yes
Clay	8/27/2007	1	891	842	8	
Daviess	3/5/2008	1	853	803	9	
Dearborn	7/11/2007	1	1,270	1,195	8	
Decatur	4/3/2009	1	432	412	8	
DeKalb	5/22/2007	1	1,179	1,120	8	Yes
Delaware	2/1/2008	1	2,561	2,421	16	
Dubois	6/17/2009	1	607	571	6	Yes
Fayette	10/12/2007	1	711	680	9	
Floyd	7/2/2007	1	2,026	1,921	5	Yes
Fountain	1/20/2010	1	189	172	4	
Franklin	11/2/2007	1	507	475	4	
Fulton	1/2/2008	1	702	649	7	Yes
Gibson	8/29/2007	1	901	833	8	
Grant	5/21/2007	1	1,969	1,849	6	
Greene	10/8/2008	1	717	659	6	Yes
Hancock	7/10/2007	1	1,782	1,680	13	Yes
Harrison	5/22/2007	1	1,161	1,111	11	Yes
Hendricks	7/1/2011	1	44	10	10	Yes
Howard	7/1/2011	1	37	24	9	Yes
Huntington	1/2/2008	1	1,007	981	5	
Jackson	3/6/2009	1	870	817	3	Yes
Jasper	7/1/2011	1	9	-	6	
Jay	5/11/2007	1	686	647	7	
Jefferson	1/7/2010	1	485	447	6	Yes
Jennings	6/20/2007	1	841	775	4	
Knox	8/22/2007	1	1,217	1,144	5	Yes
Kosciusko	1/2/2009	1	1,362	1,241	7	Yes
LaPorte	1/5/2009	1	2,123	1,942	16	Yes
Lawrence	1/4/2010	1	551	501	8	
Madison	9/4/2007	1	3,692	3,488	29	Yes
Martin	1/12/2011	1	38	32	6	Yes
Miami	4/24/2007	1	1,219	1,164	7	
Monroe	10/1/2007	1	3,340	3,165	7	Yes
Montgomery	8/6/2008	1	951	895	7	Yes

INcite: MARRIAGE LICENSE APPLICATION
(Used by 74 counties as of July 13, 2011)

COUNTIES	Date first application issued	# of Counties	# applications issued	# marriages recorded	# users	On-Line Public Access?
Newton	1/3/2008	1	296	279	12	
Ohio	1/13/2009	1	101	95	6	Yes
Orange	1/14/2011	1	95	91	4	Yes
Owen	7/2/2007	1	739	707	9	
Parke	7/9/2007	1	487	458	7	Yes
Perry	6/1/2007	1	591	560	6	Yes
Pike	6/26/2007	1	356	334	7	Yes
Posey	6/25/2007	1	702	674	4	Yes
Pulaski	1/4/2010	1	157	144	4	Yes
Putnam	3/3/2010	1	357	325	7	Yes
Randolph	8/1/2007	1	685	659	8	
Ripley	7/1/2011	1	8	1	5	Yes
Rush	2/2/2009	1	293	279	4	Yes
Scott	4/20/2010	1	300	265	6	Yes
Shelby	5/15/2007	1	1,474	1,366	7	Yes
Spencer	1/11/2008	1	436	419	6	
Starke	6/15/2007	1	683	645	6	
Steuben	4/24/2007	1	1,257	1,197	7	Yes
Switzerland	3/1/2011	1	31	25	5	Yes
Tippecanoe	5/5/2010	1	133	60	12	Yes
Tipton	10/1/2008	1	288	272	6	Yes
Union	7/2/2007	1	197	181	3	
Vanderburgh	6/1/2007	1	5,068	4,873	7	Yes
Vermillion	7/3/2007	1	417	396	5	Yes
Vigo	8/23/2007	1	2,799	2,618	7	
Wabash	3/24/2008	1	764	722	8	Yes
Warren	1/22/2010	1	107	96	5	Yes
Warrick	6/1/2007	1	1,398	1,343	15	
Washington	7/3/2007	1	887	828	7	
Wayne	1/5/2010	1	1,093	990	5	Yes
Wells	1/8/2008	1	666	642	6	
Whitley	6/1/2007	1	977	923	9	Yes
ISDH					23	
DCS					1	
Grand Totals		74	66,780	62,340	597	45

Exhibit 3: Commission on Courts, August 24, 2011

Allen Circuit Court Hearing Officer Information

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Base Salary	94,183	98,537	100,518	100,518	102,438
\$4,000.00 County Supplement	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4000
Sub Total	98,183	102,537	104,518	104,518	106,438
FICA	7,511	7,844	7,996	7,996	8,143
PERF	8,346	8,459	9,407	9,668	10,378
Total	114,040	118,840	121,920	122,182	124,958
IV-D Reimbursement 66 2/3%	76,019	79,219	81,272	81,446	83,297
County's Share after Reimbursement	38,021	39,621	40,648	40,735	41,661

		New Filings Summary Circuit Court						Projected		Totals
		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011		
Juvenile Paternity	JP	147	166	334	773	503	925	950	2,848	
Domestic Relations State Involvement	DR	210	193	181	192	236	187	176	1,375	

Exhibit 4: Commission on Courts, August 24, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXHIBIT 1	July 12, 2011 letter from Superior Court Judges
EXHIBIT 2	May 22, 2009 letter from Superior Court Judges
EXHIBIT 3	August 13, 2009 letter from Hendricks County Council
EXHIBIT 4	August 18, 2009 letter from Hendricks County Commissioners
EXHIBIT 5	Case load from largest to smallest
EXHIBIT 6	Case load largest to smallest of counties without a magistrate
EXHIBIT 7	Alphabetical list of counties case load
EXHIBIT 8	Case load of population per judicial officer
EXHIBIT 9	Summary

*JUDGES
OF THE
SUPERIOR COURTS
OF
HENDRICKS COUNTY*

July 12, 2011

The Honorable Greg Steuerwald
State Representative
State House
Indianapolis, IN 46204

The Honorable Richard Bray
State Senator
State House
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Re: Summer study – Magistrates and Courts

Dear Greg:


A couple of years ago, a request was made for the Legislature to investigate and provide Hendricks County with a recommendation as to the appropriate number of judges/magistrates. That request had the support of the undersigned and the Commissioners and Council. No action was taken. Judge Boles requested that we notify you that he is not in favor of our request.

We are now requesting that a discussion and recommendation from your Committee be made as to the Legislature approving 2 Magistrates for Hendricks County. Upon notice that action will be taken, we will cooperate and provide everything we can to help the Committee.


Sincerely,




ROBERT W. FREESE, Judge
Hendricks Superior Court No.1




DAVID H. COLEMAN, Judge
Hendricks Superior Court No.2




MARK A. SMITH, Judge
Hendricks Superior Court No.4



KAREN M. LOVE, Judge
Hendricks Superior Court No.3



STEPHENIE D. LEMAY-LUKEN
Hendricks Superior Court No. 5





May 22, 2009

The Honorable Connie Lawson
Indiana Senate
Indianapolis, IN 46204

The Honorable Greg Steuerwald
Indiana House of Representatives
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Re: Magistrate

Dear Connie and Greg:

This letter is a request to have the following addressed by the Commission on Courts:

1. Should the General Assembly pass an Act to establish one or more Magistrates for the Courts of Record of Hendricks County due to the caseload of the Courts?

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Robert W. Freese".

Robert W. Freese
Judge

CONCURRENCE WITH REQUEST

Judge Jeffrey V. Boles

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Karen M. Love".

Judge Karen M. Love

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "David H. Coleman".


Judge David H. Coleman

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mark A. Smith".

Judge Mark A. Smith

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Stephenie LeMay-Luken".

Judge Stephenie LeMay-Luken





Hendricks County Council

HENDRICKS COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
355 South Washington Street #202 • Danville, Indiana 46122-1759

August 13, 2009

The Honorable Connie Lawson
Indiana Senate
200 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204

The Honorable Greg Steuerwald
Indiana House of Representatives
200 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Re: Magistrate

Dear Connie and Greg:

Judge Freese, on behalf of the Superior Court Judges, has provided us with their letter to you regarding a request for the Commission on Courts to investigate the need for one or more magistrates for Hendricks County.

We support this request. Our understanding is that such a position is state funded and will not have fiscal impact on Hendricks County for salary or benefits.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jay R. Hesse".

President,
Hendricks County Council





Board of Commissioners

HENDRICKS COUNTY

Phyllis A. Palmer
Eric L. Wathen
David A. Whicker

August 18, 2009

Hendricks County Government Center
355 South Washington St. #204
Danville, Indiana 46122-1759

The Honorable Connie Lawson
Indiana Senate
200 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204

The Honorable Greg Steuerwald
Indiana House of Representatives
200 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Re: Magistrate


Dear Connie and Greg:


Judge Freese, on behalf of the Superior Court Judges, has provided us with their letter to you regarding a request for the Commission on Courts to investigate the need for one or more magistrates for Hendricks County.

We support this request. Our understanding is that such a position is state funded and will not have fiscal impact on Hendricks County for salary or benefits.

Sincerely,


Hendricks County Board of Commissioners


David A. Whicker, President


Phyllis A. Palmers, Vice President



Eric L. Wathen, Member

cc: Hendricks County Superior Court Judges



	County	Judges	Magistra	Case Load	Population	ppj
2.29	Clark	4	2	2.29	110232	18372
2.06	Elkhart	7	3	2.06	197599	19760
1.76	Spencer	1	0	1.76	20952	20952
1.74	Knox	3	0	1.74	38440	12813
1.74	Vanderburgh	8	6	1.74	179703	12836
1.67	Johnson	4	2	1.67	139654	23276
1.66	Howard	5	0	1.66	82752	16550
1.64	LaPorte	5	3	1.64	111467	13933
1.63	Dubois	2	0	1.63	41889	20945
1.62	Parke	1	0	1.62	17339	17339
1.62	Shelby	3	0	1.62	44436	14812
1.61	Floyd	4	1	1.61	74578	14916
1.60	Hamilton	7	2	1.60	274569	30508
1.57	Allen	10	11	1.57	355329	16920
1.56	Gibson	2	0	1.56	33503	16752
1.56	Vigo	6	1	1.56	107848	15407
1.55	Clinton	2	0	1.55	33224	16612
1.55	Harrison	2	0	1.55	39364	19682
1.55	Kosciusko	4	0	1.55	77358	19340
1.55	Madison	6	1	1.55	131636	18805
1.55	Tippecanoe	7	2	1.55	172780	19198
1.54	Hendricks	6	0	1.54	145448	24241
1.54	Jennings	2	0	1.54	28525	14263
1.52	St. Joseph	10	7	1.52	266931	15702
1.50	Daviess	2	0	1.50	31648	15824
1.48	Putnam	2	0	1.48	37963	18982
1.47	Jefferson	2	0	1.47	32428	16214
1.45	Scott	2	0	1.45	24181	12091
1.44	Marshall	3	0	1.44	47051	15684
1.44	Owen	1	0	1.44	21575	21575
1.44	Porter	6	3	1.44	164343	18260
1.44	Warrick	3	0	1.44	59689	19896
1.41	Wabash	2	0	1.41	32888	16444
1.40	Marion	37	19	1.40	903393	16132
1.38	Noble	3	0	1.38	47536	15845
1.36	Jackson	3	0	1.36	42376	14125

22 →




1.35	Dearbon	3	1	1.35	50047	12512
1.34	Dekalb	3	0	1.34	42223	14074
1.34	Greene	2	0	1.34	33165	16583
1.34	Hancock	3	0	1.34	70002	23334
1.33	LaGrange	2	0	1.33	37128	18564
1.30	Fayette	2	0	1.30	24277	12139
1.30	Jasper	2	0	1.30	33478	16739
1.27	Bartholomew	3	2	1.27	76794	15359
1.23	Fulton	2	0	1.23	20836	10418
1.23	Lake	17	14	1.23	496005	16000
1.21	Grant	4	0	1.21	70061	17515
1.20	Washington	2	0	1.20	28262	14131
1.19	Vermillion	1	0	1.19	16212	16212
1.16	Decatur	2	0	1.16	25740	12870
1.16	Whitley	2	0	1.16	33292	16646
1.15	Cass	3	0	1.15	38966	12989
1.15	Monroe	9	0	1.15	137974	15330
1.15	Orange	2	0	1.15	19840	9920
1.14	Montgomery	3	0	1.14	38124	12708
1.12	Henry	3	0	1.12	49462	16487
1.11	Perry	1	1	1.11	19338	9669
1.09	Boone	3	0	1.09	56640	18880
1.08	Delaware	5	0	1.08	117671	23534
1.08	Miami	3	0	1.08	36903	12301
1.07	Clay	2	0	1.07	26890	13445
1.07	Morgan	4	1	1.07	68894	13779
1.05	White	2	0	1.05	24643	12322
1.04	Lawrence	3	0	1.04	46134	15378
1.04	Starke	1	1	1.04	23363	11682
1.00	Huntington	2	0	1.00	37124	18562
1.00	Wayne	4	1	1.00	68917	13783
0.99	Randolph	2	0	0.99	26171	13086
0.99	Ripley	2	0	0.99	28818	14409
0.98	Steuben	2	1	0.98	34185	11395
0.97	Pike	1	0	0.97	12845	12845
0.96	Fountain	1	0	0.96	17240	17240
0.92	Tipton	1	0	0.92	15936	15936

0.91	Adams	2	0	0.91	34387	17194
0.91	Martin	1	0	0.91	10334	10334
0.91	Switzerland	1	0	0.91	10613	10613
0.90	Posey	2	0	0.90	25910	12955
0.89	Rush	2	0	0.89	17392	8696
0.82	Crawford	1	0	0.82	10713	10713
0.82	Union	1	0	0.82	7516	7516
0.81	Wells	2	0	0.81	27636	13818
0.79	Sullivan	2	1	0.79	21475	7158.3
0.76	Ohio	1	0	0.76	6128	6128
0.75	Franklin	2	0	0.75	23087	11544
0.72	Carroll	2	0	0.72	20155	10078
0.72	Newton	2	0	0.72	14244	7122
0.70	Jay	2	0	0.70	21253	10627
0.70	Warren	1	0	0.70	8508	8508
0.66	Benton	1	0	0.66	8854	8854
0.58	Brown	1	1	0.58	15242	7621
0.56	Pulaski	2	0	0.56	13402	6701
0.55	Blackford	2	0	0.55	12766	6383
		316	87	1.23	6483842	

	County	Judges	Magistra	Case Load
1.76	Spencer	1	0	1.76
1.74	Knox	3	0	1.74
1.66	Howard	5	0	1.66
1.63	Dubois	2	0	1.63
1.62	Parke	1	0	1.62
1.62	Shelby	3	0	1.62
1.56	Gibson	2	0	1.56
1.55	Clinton	2	0	1.55
1.55	Harrison	2	0	1.55
1.55	Kosciusko	4	0	1.55
1.54	Hendricks	6	0	1.54
1.54	Jennings	2	0	1.54
1.52	St. Joseph	10	7	1.52
1.50	Daviess	2	0	1.50
1.48	Putnam	2	0	1.48
1.47	Jefferson	2	0	1.47
1.45	Scott	2	0	1.45
1.44	Marshall	3	0	1.44
1.44	Owen	1	0	1.44
1.44	Porter	6	3	1.44
1.44	Warrick	3	0	1.44
1.41	Wabash	2	0	1.41
1.40	Marion	37	19	1.40
1.38	Noble	3	0	1.38
1.36	Jackson	3	0	1.36
1.35	Dearbon	3	1	1.35
1.34	Dekalb	3	0	1.34
1.34	Greene	2	0	1.34
1.34	Hancock	3	0	1.34
1.33	LaGrange	2	0	1.33
1.30	Fayette	2	0	1.30
1.30	Jasper	2	0	1.30
1.27	Bartholomew	3	2	1.27
1.23	Fulton	2	0	1.23


11 →



1.23	Lake	17	14	1.23
1.21	Grant	4	0	1.21
1.20	Washington	2	0	1.20
1.19	Vermillion	1	0	1.19
1.16	Decatur	2	0	1.16
1.16	Whitley	2	0	1.16
1.15	Cass	3	0	1.15
1.15	Monroe	9	0	1.15
1.15	Orange	2	0	1.15
1.14	Montgomery	3	0	1.14
1.12	Henry	3	0	1.12
1.11	Perry	1	1	1.11
1.09	Boone	3	0	1.09
1.08	Delaware	5	0	1.08
1.08	Miami	3	0	1.08
1.07	Clay	2	0	1.07
1.07	Morgan	4	1	1.07
1.05	White	2	0	1.05
1.04	Lawrence	3	0	1.04
1.04	Starke	1	1	1.04
1.00	Huntington	2	0	1.00
1.00	Wayne	4	1	1.00
0.99	Randolph	2	0	0.99
0.99	Ripley	2	0	0.99
0.98	Steuben	2	1	0.98
0.97	Pike	1	0	0.97
0.96	Fountain	1	0	0.96
0.92	Tipton	1	0	0.92
0.91	Adams	2	0	0.91
0.91	Martin	1	0	0.91
0.91	Switzerland	1	0	0.91
0.90	Posey	2	0	0.90
0.89	Rush	2	0	0.89
0.82	Crawford	1	0	0.82
0.82	Union	1	0	0.82
0.81	Wells	2	0	0.81
0.79	Sullivan	2	1	0.79

0.76	Ohio	1	0	0.76
0.75	Franklin	2	0	0.75
0.72	Carroll	2	0	0.72
0.72	Newton	2	0	0.72
0.70	Jay	2	0	0.70
0.70	Warren	1	0	0.70
0.66	Benton	1	0	0.66
0.58	Brown	1	1	0.58
0.56	Pulaski	2	0	0.56
0.55	Blackford	2	0	0.55

County	Judges	Magistra	Case Load
Adams	2	0	0.91
Allen	10	11	1.57
Bartholomew	3	2	1.27
Benton	1	0	0.66
Blackford	2	0	0.55
Boone	3	0	1.09
Brown	1	1	0.58
Carroll	2	0	0.72
Cass	3	0	1.15
Clark	4	2	2.29
Clay	2	0	1.07
Clinton	2	0	1.55
Crawford	1	0	0.82
Daviess	2	0	1.50
Dearbon	3	1	1.35
Decatur	2	0	1.16
Dekalb	3	0	1.34
Delaware	5	0	1.08
Dubois	2	0	1.63
Elkhart	7	3	2.06
Fayette	2	0	1.30
Floyd	4	1	1.61
Fountain	1	0	0.96
Franklin	2	0	0.75
Fulton	2	0	1.23
Gibson	2	0	1.56
Grant	4	0	1.21
Greene	2	0	1.34
Hamilton	7	2	1.60
Hancock	3	0	1.34
Harrison	2	0	1.55
Hendricks	6	0	1.54
Henry	3	0	1.12
Howard	5	0	1.66
Huntington	2	0	1.00
Jackson	3	0	1.36




Jasper	2	0	1.30
Jay	2	0	0.70
Jefferson	2	0	1.47
Jennings	2	0	1.54
Johnson	4	2	1.67
Knox	3	0	1.74
Kosciusko	4	0	1.55
LaGrange	2	0	1.33
Lake	17	14	1.23
LaPorte	5	3	1.64
Lawrence	3	0	1.04
Madison	6	1	1.55
Marion	37	19	1.40
Marshall	3	0	1.44
Martin	1	0	0.91
Miami	3	0	1.08
Monroe	9	0	1.15
Montgomery	3	0	1.14
Morgan	4	1	1.07
Newton	2	0	0.72
Noble	3	0	1.38
Ohio	1	0	0.76
Orange	2	0	1.15
Owen	1	0	1.44
Parke	1	0	1.62
Perry	1	1	1.11
Pike	1	0	0.97
Porter	6	3	1.44
Posey	2	0	0.90
Pulaski	2	0	0.56
Putnam	2	0	1.48
Randolph	2	0	0.99
Ripley	2	0	0.99
Rush	2	0	0.89
St. Joseph	10	7	1.52
Scott	2	0	1.45
Shelby	3	0	1.62

Spencer	1	0	1.76
Starke	1	1	1.04
Steuben	2	1	0.98
Sullivan	2	1	0.79
Switzerland	1	0	0.91
Tippecanoe	7	2	1.55
Tipton	1	0	0.92
Union	1	0	0.82
Vanderburgh	8	6	1.74
Vermillion	1	0	1.19
Vigo	6	1	1.56
Wabash	2	0	1.41
Warren	1	0	0.70
Warrick	3	0	1.44
Washington	2	0	1.20
Wayne	4	1	1.00
Wells	2	0	0.81
White	2	0	1.05
Whitley	2	0	1.16
	316	87	1.23

	County	Judges	Magistra	Case Load	Population	ppj
30508	Hamilton	7	2	1.60	274569	30508
24241	Hendricks	6	0	1.54	145448	24241
23534	Delaware	5	0	1.08	117671	23534
23334	Hancock	3	0	1.34	70002	23334
23276	Johnson	4	2	1.67	139654	23276
21575	Owen	1	0	1.44	21575	21575
20952	Spencer	1	0	1.76	20952	20952
20945	Dubois	2	0	1.63	41889	20945
19896	Warrick	3	0	1.44	59689	19896
19760	Elkhart	7	3	2.06	197599	19760
19682	Harrison	2	0	1.55	39364	19682
19340	Kosciusko	4	0	1.55	77358	19340
19198	Tippecanoe	7	2	1.55	172780	19198
18982	Putnam	2	0	1.48	37963	18982
18880	Boone	3	0	1.09	56640	18880
18805	Madison	6	1	1.55	131636	18805
18564	LaGrange	2	0	1.33	37128	18564
18562	Huntington	2	0	1.00	37124	18562
18372	Clark	4	2	2.29	110232	18372
18260	Porter	6	3	1.44	164343	18260
17515	Grant	4	0	1.21	70061	17515
17339	Parke	1	0	1.62	17339	17339
17240	Fountain	1	0	0.96	17240	17240
17194	Adams	2	0	0.91	34387	17194
16920	Allen	10	11	1.57	355329	16920
16752	Gibson	2	0	1.56	33503	16752
16739	Jasper	2	0	1.30	33478	16739
16646	Whitley	2	0	1.16	33292	16646
16612	Clinton	2	0	1.55	33224	16612
16583	Greene	2	0	1.34	33165	16583
16550	Howard	5	0	1.66	82752	16550
16487	Henry	3	0	1.12	49462	16487
16444	Wabash	2	0	1.41	32888	16444
16214	Jefferson	2	0	1.47	32428	16214
16212	Vermillion	1	0	1.19	16212	16212
16132	Marion	37	19	1.40	903393	16132

2 →




16000	Lake	17	14	1.23	496005	16000
15936	Tipton	1	0	0.92	15936	15936
15845	Noble	3	0	1.38	47536	15845
15824	Daviess	2	0	1.50	31648	15824
15702	St. Joseph	10	7	1.52	266931	15702
15684	Marshall	3	0	1.44	47051	15684
15407	Vigo	6	1	1.56	107848	15407
15378	Lawrence	3	0	1.04	46134	15378
15359	Bartholomew	3	2	1.27	76794	15359
15330	Monroe	9	0	1.15	137974	15330
14916	Floyd	4	1	1.61	74578	14916
14812	Shelby	3	0	1.62	44436	14812
14409	Ripley	2	0	0.99	28818	14409
14263	Jennings	2	0	1.54	28525	14263
14131	Washington	2	0	1.20	28262	14131
14125	Jackson	3	0	1.36	42376	14125
14074	Dekalb	3	0	1.34	42223	14074
13933	LaPorte	5	3	1.64	111467	13933
13818	Wells	2	0	0.81	27636	13818
13783	Wayne	4	1	1.00	68917	13783
13779	Morgan	4	1	1.07	68894	13779
13445	Clay	2	0	1.07	26890	13445
13086	Randolph	2	0	0.99	26171	13086
12989	Cass	3	0	1.15	38966	12989
12955	Posey	2	0	0.90	25910	12955
12870	Decatur	2	0	1.16	25740	12870
12845	Pike	1	0	0.97	12845	12845
12836	Vanderburgh	8	6	1.74	179703	12836
12813	Knox	3	0	1.74	38440	12813
12708	Montgomery	3	0	1.14	38124	12708
12512	Dearbon	3	1	1.35	50047	12512
12322	White	2	0	1.05	24643	12322
12301	Miami	3	0	1.08	36903	12301
12139	Fayette	2	0	1.30	24277	12139
12091	Scott	2	0	1.45	24181	12091
11682	Starke	1	1	1.04	23363	11682
11544	Franklin	2	0	0.75	23087	11544

11395	Steuben	2	1	0.98	34185	11395
10713	Crawford	1	0	0.82	10713	10713
10627	Jay	2	0	0.70	21253	10627
10613	Switzerland	1	0	0.91	10613	10613
10418	Fulton	2	0	1.23	20836	10418
10334	Martin	1	0	0.91	10334	10334
10078	Carroll	2	0	0.72	20155	10078
9920	Orange	2	0	1.15	19840	9920
9669	Perry	1	1	1.11	19338	9669
8854	Benton	1	0	0.66	8854	8854
8696	Rush	2	0	0.89	17392	8696
8508	Warren	1	0	0.70	8508	8508
7621	Brown	1	1	0.58	15242	7621
7516	Union	1	0	0.82	7516	7516
7158	Sullivan	2	1	0.79	21475	7158.3
7122	Newton	2	0	0.72	14244	7122
6701	Pulaski	2	0	0.56	13402	6701
6383	Blackford	2	0	0.55	12766	6383
6128	Ohio	1	0	0.76	6128	6128
		316	87	1.23	6483842	
State average					16089	

SUMMARY

1. In 2003 the Legislature approved 2 addition Superior Courts and deleted a magistrate position.
2. At that time Hendricks County had a Circuit and 3 Superior Courts with a case load far above the State average.
3. Today, even with the two additional Courts, our case load is for above the State average. The State average is about 1.23. Our average is about 1.54 or 25.2% greater than the State average.
4. With a new COURT and our current case load, our average would be 1.32 or still above the State average.
5. 9 counties with magistrates have a case load less than 1.32.
6. Our case load is still increasing each year.
7. The Five Superior Court Judges are in favor of the Committee determining the needs for our County (Exhibit 1).
8. The County Commissioners and County Council are in favor of the Committee determining the needs for our County (Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 3).
9. Hendricks County is statistically about 14th highest in caseload in the State based on filings and number of judicial officers (Exhibit 5).
10. Of the counties without a magistrate, Hendricks is statistically about 7th highest in caseload based on filings and number of judicial officers (Exhibit 6).
11. Exhibit 7 lists the counties in alphabetical order with number of judges, number of magistrates, and case load (Exhibit 7).
12. Hendricks County is 2ND in number of judicial officers per county resident with one judicial officer for each 24,241 persons. The State average is one judicial officer per 16,089 persons.
13. Two new Magistrates will not cause an increase in space needed or additional support staff needed.
14. We all understand the fiscal problems, but seek approval now so that when funds are available we will be ready whether that is in the next Session or later.
15. Eight years ago these kinds of numbers were enough to show a need for new courts. Had that not occurred, Hendricks County would be in the top 2 or 3 in case load.



PRESENTATION TO COMMISSION ON COURTS

I. Chief Justice, Members of the Commission, Thank you for the opportunity to address you today. Thanks to Representative Bob Heaton for arranging for me to be on the agenda. For those members of the Commission who do not know me, I am the judge of the Owen Circuit Court. I was elected to that position in 1982, took office on January 1, 1983, and have served in this position to this date. I will not be seeking re-election in 2012, so I am in the last 1 ½ years of my service. I have been before this commission at least 3 times before asking for the change that I am requesting today. I am not here to whine or complain about my caseload. I am sure that you can find courts more busy in Indiana and I am sure that you can find courts less busy. I am here to seek a more efficient Court system for the people of Owen County.

II. WHAT I AM ASKING FOR: Your agenda indicates that I am here to request a new Owen County Judge. Actually what I am requesting in reality is one half or less of a judge depending upon the action taken by this Commission and the Legislature. Let me first explain the system in Owen County and its history.

III. History: In the 1970's when the JP courts were abolished, some counties got county courts. Small counties like Owen County were granted the authority to appoint referees, who served as fact finders for the circuit court. When I was elected in 1982, we had a half time referee who essentially handled small claims cases and minor traffic offenses—what we now refer to as infractions. This referee was and continues to be paid by the state. In the early years, the salary was based on the salary of a county court judge. Now the salary is based upon one half of the salary for the circuit court judge. Twenty-nine years later, Owen County remains in the same position in regard to the state's support of the local court system.

IV. What has transpired in those 29 years. When I took office in 1983, as I have indicated, Owen County had one judge and a part time referee. We had a part time prosecuting attorney, part time criminal deputy prosecuting attorney and a part time child support attorney. For several years now, these positions have all been increased to full time positions. In 1983, we had one full time probation officer. We now have 7 full time probation officers and one full time community corrections officer. I don't have the actual count, but there have been significant increases over the years in the number of law enforcement officers working in Owen County. From the State's perspective, Owen County continues to have one circuit court judge and one part time referee 29 years later plus 40 senior judge days.

V. Increase in caseload. Our caseload has increased over the years. In 1983, we had total of 981 new filings, of which 382 were criminal or juvenile and of which 599 were civil/domestic relations/probate. That year we disposed of 909 cases. These figures exclude the infractions and small claims numbers. However due to the manner in which statistics were kept in those days, these figures include redocketed cases, which we no longer count in our statistics. In 2010, we had 688 civil new filings, 1040 criminal/juvenile filings, 411 domestic relations/family related new filings and 85 probate filings, for a total of 2224 new filings. In 2010, we disposed of over 2000 cases. Over the past few years, small claims filings have remained the same or have decreased, while infraction filings have almost doubled in

the past 7 years. In terms of the 2010 weighted caseloads, we have a need of 1.94, have 1.35, and have a utilization number of 1.44.

VI. What has this meant for the Court. Our docket is crowded. Our calendars are full. One of the critical issues in the one judge circuits is the fact that all deadlines in all types of cases fall on one judge. In specialty courts, they only deal with their particular types of cases. In the one judge court, all deadlines apply to one calendar and creates the situation that a good majority of the time, the Court is required to address the issues in one case which has priority and postponing more significant cases because there is no deadline which applies to that particular type of case. Often this means significant delays in hearings in cases that need the most attention, just because the Court is required to deal with a mixture of cases ranging from the least significant to the most important within the same calendar.

VII. What have we done. I have attempted to increase the judicial hours of the referee by adding additional responsibilities through other court programs. We added a CASA program and assigned the referee the duties of director. We added a drug court, with the referee as the drug court judge. We added a Title IV-D child support court and made the referee the commissioner. All of these actions have increased the referee's hours and responsibilities and compensation to the point that the referee now works approximately full time. I have worked to increase the court personnel and facilities to create the setting for a second court. We presently have all the extra's needed for a second court in place.

VIII. The problem. The problem continues to be the authority granted to the referee. The referee remains a fact finder. She cannot enter final judgments. She cannot take guilty pleas and enter sentences. She does not enjoy the same authority as a magistrate or independent judge. This means that I cannot scheduled certain types of cases on her calendar. While she handles initial hearings in criminal cases, because she cannot take guilty pleas and sentence defendants, this results in multiple hearings. The result, while workable, is just not efficient. Additionally, if I have a conflict with serving as judge in a case, then she has a conflict, which requires the appointment of a special judge from another county.

IX. I said earlier that what I was requesting depended upon the course taken. In my opinion, Owen County would greatly benefit from the creation of a separate Superior Court. This would allow the Courts to divide and allocate caseloads in a more efficient manner. It would reduce the number of multiple hearings and reduce the time in which a case is disposed. It would allow for the more orderly scheduling and hearing of cases. This option would essentially mean an increase by one half of a judge, since the referee is already being compensated by the State at the rate of one half of the circuit judge salary. The other option would be to make the existing referee position a full time magistrate position, which would give the referee more authority to hear and dispose of cases. This would mean an increase in salary by 30% of the circuit judge's salary. Obviously, I am assuming that if either choice is approved that the referee position would be eliminated. I hope that you will take some action to make one of these changes for Owen County.

Thank you for your time and attention.